

Construct validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for people with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI)

Martin Ginis, Kathleen A; Ubeda-Colomer, Joan; Alrashidi, Abdullah; Nightingale, Tom E.; Au, Jason; Currie, Katharine ; Hubli, Michele; Krassioukov, Andrei

DOI:

[10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9)

Document Version

Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Martin Ginis, KA, Ubeda-Colomer, J, Alrashidi, A, Nightingale, TE, Au, J, Currie, K, Hubli, M & Krassioukov, A 2020, 'Construct validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for people with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI)', *Spinal Cord*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Construct Validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with SCI
(LTPAQ-SCI)

Kathleen A. Martin Ginis^{1,2,3}, Joan Úbeda-Colomer^{1,2}, Abdullah A. Alrashidi^{2,4,5}, Tom E. Nightingale^{2,3,6}, Jason S. Au⁷, Katharine D. Currie^{2,8}, Michèle Hubli^{2,9}, Andrei Krassioukov^{2,3,10}

¹School of Health and Exercise Sciences, University of British Columbia, Canada; ²International Collaboration on Repair Discoveries (ICORD), University of British Columbia, Canada;

³Department of Medicine, Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of British Columbia, Canada; ⁴Experimental Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of

British Columbia, Canada; ⁵Physical Therapy Department, King Fahad Medical City, Saudi Arabia; ⁶School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK;

⁷Department of Kinesiology, University of Waterloo, Canada; ⁸Department of Kinesiology, Michigan State University, USA; ⁹Spinal Cord Injury Center, Balgrist University Hospital,

University of Zurich, Switzerland; ¹⁰GF Strong Rehabilitation Centre, Vancouver Coastal Health, Canada

Corresponding author: Kathleen A. Martin Ginis (kathleen_martin.ginis@ubc.ca)

Abstract

Study design. Cross-sectional construct validation study.

Objectives. To test the construct validity of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with Spinal Cord Injury (LTPAQ-SCI) by examining associations between the scale responses and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) in a sample of adults living with spinal cord injury (SCI).

Setting. Three university-based laboratories in Canada.

Methods. Participants were 39 adults (74% male; *M* age: 42±11 years) with SCI who completed the LTPAQ-SCI and a graded exercise test to volitional exhaustion using an arm-crank ergometer. One-tailed Pearson's correlation coefficients were computed to examine the association between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild-, moderate-, heavy-intensity and total minutes per week of LTPA and CRF (peak oxygen uptake [$\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$] and peak power output [PO_{peak}]).

Results. Minutes per week of mild-, moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA and total LTPA were all positively correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$. The correlation between minutes per week of mild intensity LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ was small ($r = .231, p = .079$) while all other correlations were medium-sized (r s ranged from .276 to .443, $ps < .05$). Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI variables and PO_{peak} were also positive but small (r s ranged from .087 to .193, $ps > .05$), except for a medium-sized correlation between heavy-intensity LTPA and PO_{peak} ($r = .294, p = .035$).

Conclusions. People with SCI who report higher levels of LTPA on the LTPAQ-SCI also demonstrate greater levels of CRF. These results provide further support for the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of LTPA among people with SCI.

1 **Introduction**

2 Participation in exercise, sports and other forms of leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) has
3 significant positive effects on the fitness, health and well-being of people living with spinal cord
4 injury (SCI)^{1,2,3}. However, the vast majority of people with SCI are insufficiently active to derive
5 these benefits⁴ because they face so many barriers to participation⁵. Consequently, there is a need
6 to develop, test and implement strategies to increase LTPA participation in people living with
7 SCI.

8 Reliable and valid measures of LTPA are required to assess the effectiveness of LTPA-
9 enhancing interventions. Review articles^{6,7,8} have catalogued the measurement properties of
10 wearable and self-report physical activity measures that have been used in SCI research.
11 Although the reliability and validity of wearable measures is improving, a significant limitation
12 of these devices is that they cannot distinguish between LTPA and other types of physical
13 activity (e.g., household, transportation, occupational activity)⁷. Because LTPA is the only form
14 of physical activity that has been shown to significantly improve fitness and health in people
15 with SCI¹, it is crucial that scientists have valid and reliable methods to measure it. Another
16 limitation of wearable devices is that even wrist-worn accelerometers cannot accurately detect
17 and measure strength-training activities (e.g., lifting weights, resistance band exercises)⁹. As
18 strength-training is a key component of the SCI exercise guidelines¹⁰, valid and reliable measures
19 of this activity are required by systems that track SCI exercise guideline adherence. Given these
20 limitations, self-report measures are considered superior to wearable devices for feasibly
21 collecting data on the types and amounts of LTPA performed by people with SCI⁷.

22 Compared to all other measures of PA used in SCI research, the Physical Activity Recall
23 Assessment for People with SCI (PARA-SCI)¹¹ has yielded the strongest evidence of reliability

24 and validity^{7,8,12}. Using a structured, standardized interview format, respondents are cued to
25 recall and rate the intensity of all LTPA and activities of daily living (ADL) that they have
26 performed over the previous 3 days¹³. The PARA-SCI has demonstrated positive evidence of
27 criterion validity (using both indirect calorimetry and doubly-labeled water as criteria), construct
28 validity and test-retest reliability^{11,14,12}. However, because the PARA-SCI was designed to
29 capture the types, frequencies, intensities and durations of *all* physical activities, it can create
30 unnecessary participant and clinician/researcher burden in situations where investigators are
31 interested only in measuring LTPA⁷. In response to these concerns, the Leisure Time Physical
32 Activity Questionnaire for People with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI) was developed¹⁵.

33 The LTPAQ-SCI is an SCI-specific, self-report assessment of LTPA that measures the
34 number of minutes of mild, moderate, and heavy intensity LTPA that a person performed over
35 the previous 7 days¹⁵. It can be self- or interviewer-administered in less than 5 minutes. The
36 reporting format used in the LTPAQ-SCI parallels the reporting structure of one of the most
37 widely used self-report measures of PA in the general population--the International Physical
38 Activity Questionnaire-Short Form¹⁶.

39 Research has produced positive evidence of the LTPAQ-SCI's test-retest reliability.
40 Intraclass correlation coefficients were significant for LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild, moderate,
41 heavy and total LTPA over a one-week test-retest period¹⁵. A recent study of the test-retest
42 reliability of a Canadian-French version of the questionnaire produced similarly strong ICCs¹⁷.
43 Evidence of the measure's criterion validity was shown by significant correlations between
44 LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA minutes per week and PARA-
45 SCI measures (i.e., the criterion) LTPA minutes per day at these same intensities¹⁵.

46 Support for the LTPAQ-SCI's construct validity has been generated in hypothesis-testing
47 studies¹⁸. For example, LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA have been shown to increase
48 significantly in response to LTPA-enhancing interventions delivered to adults with SCI¹⁹ and
49 multiple sclerosis²⁰. LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA have also been shown to differ in predicted
50 directions between adults with SCI with low versus high depressive symptomatology,²¹ and
51 between athletes with disabilities who participate in sport at lower (recreational, developmental)
52 versus higher (provincial, state, national) competitive levels²². It is important to note, however,
53 that construct validation is an ongoing process, and no one single experiment can 'prove'
54 construct validity¹⁸. Rather, each supportive study serves to strengthen the construct's
55 nomological network,²³ by demonstrating that the construct operates predictably within a system
56 of key concepts.

57 Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is a key concept in relation to LTPA. It is well-established
58 that participation in moderate- to heavy-intensity exercise (a specific type of LTPA) imparts
59 significant improvements in the CRF of adults with SCI^{1,24}. If the LTPAQ-SCI is to be used as a
60 measure of LTPA, then its construct validation should include tests of its associations with CRF
61 (these types of tests are sometimes referred to as tests of 'convergent validity'¹⁸). Therefore, the
62 purpose of the present study was to examine the association between the number of minutes per
63 week of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA reported by adults with SCI who completed the
64 LTPAQ-SCI, and their CRF. It was hypothesized that number of minutes per week of LTPA
65 would be positively correlated with participants' CRF.

66 **Method**

67 **Participants**

68 Participants were 51 individuals who completed the LTPAQ-SCI and CRF assessment during
69 baseline testing for CHOICES (NCT01718977), a multicentre, randomized controlled clinical
70 trial assessing the effects of two different exercise interventions on cardiovascular health
71 outcomes in adults with SCI²⁵. This construct validation study was planned *a priori*, as a sub-
72 study within CHOICES, when the trial protocol was designed. CHOICES study inclusion criteria
73 were: male or female; 18-60 years of age; chronic (>1 year since injury), traumatic, motor-
74 complete SCI [American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale (AIS) A and B]; and
75 neurological level of injuries (NLI) between the cervical fourth and thoracic sixth vertebrae (C4-
76 T6). AIS and NLI were determined using the International Standards for neurological
77 Classification of SCI²⁶. Participants were excluded if they had: any medical history of symptoms
78 of cardiovascular disease; major trauma or surgery in the last six months; fracture within the
79 previous 12 months; or any psychological or cognitive dysfunction that prevented understanding
80 English instructions. All study procedures were approved by the research ethics board at each
81 trial site and all participants provided written informed consent prior to any of the study
82 procedures.

83 **Measures**

84 *LTPAQ-SCI*. The LTPAQ-SCI was administered during an interview conducted by a
85 research assistant (face-to-face interview at two sites and telephone interview at one site).
86 Consistent with the LTPAQ-SCI administration instructions,¹⁵ participants were first presented
87 with a standardized definition of LTPA: “physical activity that you choose to do during your free
88 time, such as exercising, playing sports, gardening, and taking the dog for a walk (necessary
89 physical activities such as physiotherapy, grocery shopping, pushing/wheeling for transportation
90 are not considered LTPA).” Next, participants were given a validated,¹¹ SCI-specific definition

91 of mild-intensity LTPA and were asked to recall a) the number of days, over the past 7 days, that
92 they did mild-intensity LTPA and b) on those days, how many minutes they usually spent doing
93 mild-intensity LTPA. These steps were repeated for moderate-intensity and heavy-intensity
94 LTPA. The number of minutes per week of LTPA performed at each intensity (mild, moderate
95 and heavy) was calculated by multiplying the days of activity by the minutes of activity. Total
96 LTPA was calculated as a sum of LTPA at each intensity, thus yielding the total number of
97 minutes of LTPA undertaken in the past week.

98 *Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF)*. All participants underwent an incremental exercise test
99 using an electronically braked arm-crank ergometer (Lode BV, Groningen, The Netherlands;
100 Vancouver site, Monark 881E, Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden; Toronto and Hamilton
101 sites) until the point of volitional exhaustion. Heart rate was recorded continuously using a chest
102 strap HR monitor (T31; Polar Electro Inc., Woodbury, NY, USA). Respiratory gases were
103 collected using a metabolic cart that was calibrated, prior to each use, according to the
104 manufacturer's instructions (Parvomedics Truemax 2400, Sandy, Utah, USA; Vancouver site:
105 Vmax Encore, SensorMedics, California, USA; Toronto site: Moxus Metabolic System, AEI
106 Technologies, Illinois, USA; Hamilton site).

107 Participants were asked to empty their bladders prior to the test to minimize the influence
108 of autonomic dysreflexia. The test protocol began with a warm-up of arm cranking at 0 Watts for
109 two minutes. Afterwards, the protocol continued with 1-minute stages, with a resistance
110 increment of 5-10 Watts per stage depending on the participant's neurological level of injury²⁷.
111 Participants were instructed to maintain a cycling cadence of 50 revolutions per minute (rpm)
112 throughout the duration of the test with continuous motivation delivered by the assessor. The test
113 continued to the point of volitional exhaustion or when the cadence dropped below 30 rpm.

114 Borg's rating of perceived exertion (RPE) 6-20 was administered at the end of every stage²⁸.
115 The highest $\dot{V}O_2$ of 20-second averaging during the test was recorded as peak oxygen uptake
116 ($\dot{V}O_{2peak}$). The highest power output maintained for at least 20 seconds was recorded as peak
117 power output (PO_{peak}).

118 **Procedure**

119 At two sites (Hamilton and Vancouver), the LTPAQ-SCI was administered during the
120 baseline testing session, prior to the CRF test. At one site (Toronto), the LTPAQ-SCI was
121 administered 8 days after the fitness test but before starting exercise in the CHOICES trial. This
122 timing was deliberate to avoid participants reporting any LTPA that was performed as part of the
123 CHOICES baseline testing or training protocols.

124 *Data Management and Analyses*

125 The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was used to corroborate attainment of $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$
126 during the fitness test. Analyses were conducted only on participants who exhibited an RER \geq
127 1.00. People with tetraplegia cannot achieve the same $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and PO_{peak} as people with
128 paraplegia due to more severe autonomic and upper-body motor impairments²⁹. Consequently,
129 the distributions of these values differ for people with tetraplegia versus paraplegia²⁹. Therefore,
130 the measures of CRF (i.e. $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and PO_{peak}) were standardized for lesion level (i.e., paraplegia
131 or tetraplegia) through transformations to z-scores prior to analysis.

132 Descriptive statistics were calculated as means, standard deviations, medians and
133 minimum-maximum for continuous variables, and as percentages for the categorical variables.
134 Shapiro Wilk tests were used to check the normality assumption. Because the LTPAQ-SCI
135 variables presented significant deviations from the normal distribution, a square root
136 transformation was carried out on these variables. Using the transformed variables, one-tailed

137 Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild,
138 moderate, heavy and total LTPA and the measures of CRF (i.e. $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ and PO_{peak}). One-tailed
139 tests were used given the directionality of the hypotheses. All analyses were conducted using
140 IBM SPSS Statistics v. 26. Alpha was set at .05 for all analyses given the *a priori* nature of the
141 hypotheses. Cohen's conventions were used to interpret the magnitude of the correlations (i.e., *rs*
142 of .10, .30, .50 constitute small, medium and large correlations, respectively)³⁰.

143 **Results**

144 **Preliminary analyses**

145 After excluding data from 12 participants who did not achieve $RER \geq 1.00$, 39
146 participants remained for the main analyses. Excluded participants presented significantly lower
147 PO_{peak} and $VO_{2\text{peak}}$ values than the included ones. In addition, all excluded participants had
148 tetraplegia. No significant differences were found between excluded and included participants
149 regarding sex, age, age at injury, time since injury, body mass or height, or LTPAQ-SCI values.
150 Subsequent analyses were conducted with data from the remaining 39 participants. Table 1
151 shows the demographic data for both the full sample and the final sample, as well as the *p*-values
152 of the tests performed to detect potential differences between included and excluded participants

153 **Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA and aerobic fitness**

154 Table 2 presents the full correlation matrix. Minutes per week of mild-, moderate- and
155 heavy-intensity LTPA and total LTPA were all positively correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$. The
156 correlation between minutes per week of mild intensity LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ was small ($r = .231$, p
157 $= .079$) while all other correlations were medium-sized (*rs* ranged from .276 to .443, $ps < .05$).

158 Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI variables and PO_{peak} were also positive. However, the
159 correlations were generally trivial to small (r s ranged from .087 to .193, p s > .05) except for the
160 correlation between heavy-intensity LTPA and PO_{peak} ($r = .294, p = .035$).

161 **Discussion**

162 The purpose of this study was to conduct a test of the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI.
163 As hypothesized, minutes per week of LTPA reported on the LTPAQ-SCI were positively
164 correlated with participants' CRF. Correlations tended to be stronger for heavy versus mild-
165 intensity LTPA and for $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ than for PO_{peak} .

166 Overall, the pattern and size of the correlations were similar to correlations reported between
167 CRF and other self-report measures of PA for people with and without SCI. For instance, in tests
168 of the PARA-SCI's construct validity,¹⁴ correlations between CRF and moderate- and heavy-
169 intensity LTPA were medium-sized, while the correlation between CRF and mild-intensity
170 LTPA was small. These findings align with research demonstrating that in order to produce
171 significant CRF benefits, adults with SCI must exercise at a moderate- to heavy-intensity¹.
172 Exercise of a mild intensity is insufficient³¹. Our results show that the LTPAQ-SCI does indeed
173 capture CRF-enhancing LTPA in adults with SCI.

174 Our results are also similar to the medium-sized correlations reported in validation studies of
175 the IPAQ-SF, one of the most widely-used self-report measures of PA for the general population.
176 For instance, across three studies that reported correlations between the IPAQ-SF measure of
177 total minutes per week of PA and $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, the median correlation was $r = .30$ ³². We found a
178 correlation of $r = .33$ between LTPAQ-SCI total LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$. It is worth noting that only
179 ~50% of the variance in CRF can be explained by environmental factors, such as physical
180 activity, with the rest attributed to hereditary/genetic factors³³. Furthermore, additional variance

181 in CRF within the SCI population can be attributed to the severity and exact level of neurological
182 injury sustained, contributing to the degree of autonomic and functional impairment³⁴. Thus, it is
183 encouraging to observe similar, if not slightly better, associations between LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ in
184 individuals with high-level SCI, supporting the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI in the
185 context of other well-used self-report measures of PA.

186 Our analyses suggested that LTPA was more strongly correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ than PO_{peak} .
187 This finding differs from results from the PARA-SCI validation studies in which CRF tended to
188 be more strongly correlated with PO_{peak} than $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ ¹⁴. These discrepancies are likely a statistical
189 artefact. There was greater variability in PO_{peak} values in the PARA-SCI validation study than in
190 the present study. When data variability is reduced, correlations may be lower than expected³⁵.
191 Nevertheless, as the correlations with PO_{peak} were all positive, and stronger for moderate- and
192 heavy-intensity LTPA than mild-intensity LTPA, we take this as further support for the construct
193 validation of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of CRF-enhancing LTPA.

194 Importantly, scale validation studies do not confirm that the scale itself is valid. No study can
195 ‘validate’ a scale. Rather, validation studies substantiate the inferences that can be made about
196 people based on their scale scores (e.g., the amount of LTPA they do each week)¹⁸ and that the
197 scale is valid for use with a particular group of people in a particular context¹⁸. The present study
198 was conducted with a sample of men and women with chronic, motor complete cervical or high
199 thoracic injuries. Although we would expect the results to generalize to individuals with
200 incomplete, lower-level injuries,¹⁴ this hypothesis should be tested in heterogenous samples.

201 There is also a need to conduct LTPAQ-SCI validation studies in countries other than
202 Canada, because definitions of LTPA may differ across cultural contexts³⁶. For instance, the
203 instructions for completing the LTPAQ-SCI stipulate that physiotherapy should not be counted.

204 This stipulation is included because during development of the PARA-SCI and LTPAQ-SCI,
205 many of the physiotherapy activities reported by Canadians with SCI, were neither leisure-time
206 nor fitness-enhancing activities (e.g., passive stretching, practicing transfers, practicing using
207 mobility equipment)¹³. However, in other countries or contexts, physiotherapy may routinely
208 include exercise or sport activities and may therefore be counted as LTPA. In a similar vein,
209 active transportation is uncommon among Canadians with SCI³⁷ because climate, terrain and
210 long distances are significant barriers. In some countries, however, it may be more common for
211 people with SCI to use active forms of transportation (e.g., handcycling in European countries³⁸)
212 in order to get exercise. In these circumstances, it may make sense to report such activities on the
213 LTPAQ-SCI. By testing the relationships between CRF and LTPAQ-SCI scores, including and
214 excluding physiotherapy and active transportation activities, users of the LTPAQ-SCI can better
215 define and measure LTPA in their contexts.

216 Strengths of this study include standardized administrations of the LTPAQ-SCI and the
217 CRF test, as well as multi-site data collection to maximize participant enrolment. A limitation is
218 that only one aspect of physical fitness was measured. Muscular strength and endurance are two
219 additional physical fitness aspects that should correlate positively with LTPAQ-SCI scores¹⁴ and
220 should be examined in future construct validation studies. Furthermore, if study participants
221 engaged primarily in strength-training LTPA (e.g., lifting weights), the correlation between their
222 LTPAQ-SCI measure of minutes per week of LTPA and their CRF may have been attenuated
223 relative to individuals who engaged primarily in CRF-enhancing LTPA (e.g., arm cycling).

224 Another study limitation is that data collected from nearly half of the tetraplegic
225 participants (12 out of 27) could not be used because they terminated the CRF test before
226 achieving criteria indicative of a peak exercise test (i.e., $RER \geq 1.00$). Because of arm fatigue

227 during exercise testing, peripheral ratings of perceived exertion increase much faster in those
228 with tetraplegia than paraplegia³⁹ prompting participants to terminate the test before achieving
229 peak. Given this challenge, researchers should consider other feasible, valid measures of CRF
230 that could be used in LTPAQ-SCI construct validation studies involving participants with
231 tetraplegia. An alternative construct validation approach may be to assess associations between
232 LTPAQ-SCI scores and 7-day overall physical activity levels measured via wearable devices.
233 While limitations of accelerometers attached to a single anatomical location or wheelchair have
234 been noted in people with SCI^{7,9}, the estimation of physical activity intensity can be improved by
235 utilising multi-sensor devices that incorporate physiological signals (such as galvanic skin
236 responses or heart rate) and utilising complex or individualised modelling approaches^{40,41}.
237 Combined with the use of diaries or logs to distinguish periods of LTPA from other physical
238 activity types, assessing the associations between outputs from multi-sensor wearable devices
239 and the LTPAQ-SCI may be a way to test the validity of this measure while overcoming some of
240 the challenges noted with assessing CRF in individuals with tetraplegia.

241 In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate that self-reported LTPA, as
242 measured by the LTPAQ-SCI, is positively correlated with CRF in adults with chronic, motor
243 complete cervical or high thoracic SCI. When considered with previous research showing that
244 LTPAQ-SCI scores vary in predictable ways across meaningful groups and in response to
245 behavioural interventions^{15,17-22}, these results provide further support for the construct validity of
246 the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of LTPA for adults with SCI. Further construct validation studies
247 are needed to demonstrate the validity of the LTPAQ-SCI for use as a measure of LTPA in more
248 heterogeneous samples of people with SCI and in other countries and contexts.

249 **Data archiving:** The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study
250 are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

251 **Acknowledgements:** We thank Adrienne Sinden for her assistance with manuscript
252 preparation.

253 **Ethics:** Ethics approval was obtained from the University of British Columbia (H12-
254 02945-11), McMaster University (12-672) and Toronto Rehabilitation Institute – University
255 Health Network (12-5797).

256 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

257 **Author contributions:** KAMG was responsible for conceptualizing and designing the
258 study, interpreting results and writing the report. JU-C was responsible for analyzing the data,
259 writing the results, creating tables and providing feedback on the report. AAA was responsible
260 for collecting and cleaning the data, drafting the methods section and providing feedback on the
261 report. TEN was responsible for assisting during data collection, drafting the methods section,
262 assisting with data interpretation, and providing feedback on the report. JSA was responsible for
263 assisting in the design of the study protocol, drafting the methods section, assisting with data
264 interpretation, and providing feedback on the report. KDC was responsible for assisting during
265 data collection and providing feedback on the report. MH was responsible for assisting during
266 data collection and providing feedback on the report. AK is the Principal Investigator for the
267 CHOICES study and was responsible for designing and overseeing implementation of all aspects
268 of the CHOICES protocol and providing feedback on the report.

269 **Funding:** This study was funded by a project grant from the Canadian Institutes of
270 Health Research (CIHR) with the funding reference number (TCA 118348). The first author
271 holds the Reichwald Family Southern Medical Program Chair in Chronic Disease Prevention.

References

- 272
273
- 274 1. van der Scheer JW, Martin Ginis KA, Ditor DS, Goosey-Tolfrey V, Hicks AL, West CR
275 *et al.* Effects of exercise on fitness and health of adults with spinal cord injury: a
276 systematic review. *Neurology* 2017; **89**(7): 736-745.
277
 - 278 2. Martin Ginis KA, Jetha A, Mack DE, Hetz S. Physical activity and subjective well-being
279 among people with spinal cord injury: A meta-analysis. *Spinal Cord* 2010; **48**: 65-72.
280
 - 281 3. Farrow M, Nightingale T, Maher J, McKay C, Thompson D, J. B. The effect of exercise
282 on cardiometabolic risk factors in adults with chronic spinal cord injury: A systematic
283 review: Exercise and CMS risk in SCI. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*
284 2020 Ap 12.
285
 - 286 4. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer AE, Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP, Buchholz AC, Bray SR, Craven
287 BC *et al.* Leisure time physical activity in a population-based sample of people with
288 spinal cord injury Part I: Demographic and injury-related correlates. *Archives of Physical*
289 *Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2010; **91**(5): 722-728.
290
 - 291 5. Williams TL, Smith B, Papathomas A. The barriers, benefits and facilitators of leisure
292 time physical activity among people with spinal cord injury: a meta-synthesis of
293 qualitative findings. *Health Psychology Review* 2014; **8**(4): 404-4025.
294
 - 295 6. Tsang K, Hiremath SV, Crytzer TM, Dicianno BE, Ding D. Validity of activity monitors
296 in wheelchair users: A systematic review. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2016; **53**(6): 641-658.
297
 - 298 7. Nightingale TE, Rouse PC, Thompson D, Bilzon JLJ. Measurement of Physical Activity
299 and Energy Expenditure in Wheelchair Users: Methods, Considerations and Future
300 Directions. *Sports medicine - open* 2017; **3**(1): 10.
301
 - 302 8. Lankhorst K, Oerbekke M, van den Berg-Emons R, Takken T, de Groot J. Instruments
303 Measuring Physical Activity in Individuals Who Use a Wheelchair: A Systematic Review
304 of Measurement Properties. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2020;
305 **101**(3): 535-552.
306
 - 307 9. Ma JK, McCracken LA, Voss C, Chan FHN, West CR, Martin Ginis KA. Physical
308 activity measurement in people with spinal cord injury: comparison of accelerometry and
309 self-report (the Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with Spinal Cord Injury).
310 *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2020; **42**(2): 240-246.
311
 - 312 10. Martin Ginis KA, van der Scheer JW, Latimer-Cheung AE, Barrow A, Bourne C,
313 Carruthers P *et al.* Evidence-based scientific exercise guidelines for adults with spinal
314 cord injury: An update and a new guideline. *Spinal Cord* 2018; **56**(4): 308-321.
315

- 316 11. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer AE, Craven BC, Hicks AL. Development and evaluation of an
317 activity measure for people with spinal cord injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005; **37**(7):
318 1099-1111.
- 319
- 320 12. Tanhoffer RA, Tanhoffer AI, Raymond J, Hills AP, Davis GM. Comparison of methods
321 to assess energy expenditure and physical activity in people with spinal cord injury.
322 *Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine* 2012; **35**(1): 35-45.
- 323
- 324 13. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer-Cheung AE. *Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People*
325 *with Spinal Cord Injury (PARA-SCI)*: [https://sciactioncanada.ok.ubc.ca/resources/para-](https://sciactioncanada.ok.ubc.ca/resources/para-sci-manual/)
326 [sci-manual/](https://sciactioncanada.ok.ubc.ca/resources/para-sci-manual/), Accessed May 2020.
- 327
- 328 14. Latimer AE, Martin Ginis KA, Craven BC, Hicks AL. The physical activity recall
329 assessment for people with spinal cord injury: Validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006;
330 **38**(2): 208-16.
- 331
- 332 15. Martin Ginis KA, Phang SH, Latimer AE, Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP. Reliability and
333 validity tests of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with Spinal
334 Cord Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2012; **93**: 677-682.
- 335
- 336 16. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjoström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE *et al.*
337 International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci*
338 *Sports Exerc* 2003; **35**(8): 1381-95.
- 339
- 340 17. Cummings I, Lamontagne M-E, Sweet SN, Spivock M, Batcho CS. Canadian-French
341 adaptation and test-retest reliability of the leisure time physical activity questionnaire for
342 people with disabilities. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine* 2019; **62**(3):
343 161-167.
- 344
- 345 18. Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. *Health Measurement Scales A practical guide to*
346 *their development and use*, Oxford University Press, 2015.
- 347
- 348 19. Ma JK, West CR, Martin Ginis KA. The effects of a patient and provider co-developed,
349 behavioral physical activity intervention on physical activity, psychosocial predictors,
350 and fitness in individuals with spinal cord injury: A randomized controlled trial. *Sports*
351 *Medicine* 2019; **49**: 1117-1131.
- 352
- 353 20. Lithopoulos A, Bassett-Gunter RL, Martin Ginis KA, Latimer-Cheung AE. The Effects
354 of Gain- versus Loss-Framed Messages Following Health Risk Information on Physical
355 Activity in Individuals With Multiple Sclerosis. *Journal of Health Communication* 2017;
356 **22**(6): 523-531.
- 357
- 358 21. Barbonetti A, Cavallo F, D'Andrea S, Muselli M, Felzani G, Francavilla S *et al.* Lower
359 Vitamin D Levels Are Associated With Depression in People With Chronic Spinal Cord
360 Injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2017; **98**(5): 940-946.
- 361

- 362 22. Stapleton JN, Perrier M-J, Campbell DS, Tawse HL, Martin Ginis KA. Social cognitive
363 predictors of competitive level among athletes with physical disabilities. *Psychology of*
364 *Sport and Exercise* 2016; **22**: 46-52.
365
- 366 23. Cronbach LJ, Meehl PE. Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*
367 1955; **52**(4): 281-302.
368
- 369 24. Hicks AL, Martin Ginis KA, Pelletier CA, Ditor DS, Foulon B, Wolfe DL. The effects of
370 exercise training on physical capacity, strength, body composition and functional
371 performance among adults with spinal cord injury: A systematic review. *Spinal Cord*
372 2011; **49**(11): 1103-1127.
373
- 374 25. Krassioukov AV, Currie KD, Hubli M, Nightingale TE, Alrashidi AA, Ramer L *et al.*
375 Effects of exercise interventions on cardiovascular health in individuals with chronic,
376 motor complete spinal cord injury: protocol for a randomised controlled trial
377 [Cardiovascular Health/Outcomes: Improvements Created by Exercise and education in
378 SCI (CHOICES) Study]. *BMJ open* 2019; **9**(1): e023540-e023540.
379
- 380 26. Kirshblum SC, Burns SP, Biering-Sorensen F, Donovan W, Graves DE, Jha A *et al.*
381 International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury (revised
382 2011). *J Spinal Cord Med* 2011; **34**(6): 535-46.
383
- 384 27. Eerden S, Dekker R, Hettinga FJ. Maximal and submaximal aerobic tests for wheelchair-
385 dependent persons with spinal cord injury: a systematic review to summarize and identify
386 useful applications for clinical rehabilitation. *Disabil Rehabil* 2018; **40**(5): 497-521.
387
- 388 28. Scherr J, Wolfarth B, Christle JW, Pressler A, Wagenpfeil S, Halle M. Associations
389 between Borg's rating of perceived exertion and physiological measures of exercise
390 intensity. *European journal of applied physiology* 2013; **113**(1): 147-55.
391
- 392 29. Simmons OL, Kressler J, Nash MS. Reference fitness values in the untrained spinal cord
393 injury population. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014; **95**(12): 2272-8.
394
- 395 30. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin* 1992; **112**(1): 155-159.
396
- 397 31. van der Scheer JW, de Groot S, Tepper M, Faber W, Veeger DH, van der Woude LH.
398 Low-intensity wheelchair training in inactive people with long-term spinal cord injury: A
399 randomized controlled trial on fitness, wheelchair skill performance and physical activity
400 levels. *J Rehabil Med* 2016; **48**(1): 33-42.
401
- 402 32. Lee PH, Macfarlane DJ, Lam TH, Stewart SM. Validity of the International Physical
403 Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF): a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr*
404 *Phys Act* 2011; **8**: 115.
405

- 406 33. Bouchard C, An P, Rice T, Skinner JS, Wilmore JH, Gagnon J *et al.* Familial aggregation
407 ofV o 2 max response to exercise training: results from the HERITAGE Family Study.
408 *Journal of Applied Physiology* 1999; **87**(3): 1003-1008.
409
- 410 34. West CR, Gee CM, Voss C, Hubli M, Currie KD, Schmid J *et al.* Cardiovascular control,
411 autonomic function, and elite endurance performance in spinal cord injury. *Scand J Med*
412 *Sci Sports* 2015; **25**(4): 476-485.
413
- 414 35. Goodwin LD, Leech NL. Understanding Correlation: Factors That Affect the Size of r.
415 *The Journal of Experimental Education* 2006; **74**(3): 249-266.
416
- 417 36. Booth M. Assessment of Physical Activity: An International Perspective. *Research*
418 *Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 2000; **71**(sup2): 114-120.
419
- 420 37. Perrier MJ, Stork MJ, Martin Ginis KA. Type, intensity and duration of daily physical
421 activities performed by adults with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2017; **55**(1): 64-70.
422
- 423 38. Hettinga FJ, Valent L, Groen W, van Drongelen S, de Groot S, van der Woude LHV.
424 Hand-Cycling: An Active Form of Wheeled Mobility, Recreation, and Sports. *Physical*
425 *medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America* 2010; **21**(1): 127-140.
426
- 427 39. Au JS, Totosy De Zepetnek JO, Macdonald MJ. Modeling Perceived Exertion during
428 Graded Arm Cycling Exercise in Spinal Cord Injury. *Medicine & Science in Sports &*
429 *Exercise* 2017; **49**(6): 1190-1196.
430
- 431 40. Hiremath SV, Ding D, Farrington J, Vyas N, Cooper RA. Physical activity classification
432 utilizing SenseWear activity monitor in manual wheelchair users with spinal cord injury.
433 *Spinal Cord* 2013; **51**(9): 705-9.
434
- 435 41. Nightingale TE, Walhin JP, Thompson D, Bilzon JLJ. Predicting physical activity energy
436 expenditure in wheelchair users with a multisensor device. *BMJ Open Sport & amp;*
437 *Exercise Medicine* 2015; **1**(1): bmjsem-2015-000008.
438
439

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants in the Full Sample and the Analyzed Sample and p-values of Tests to Detect Differences Between Included and Excluded Participants.

	Full Sample (n=51)	Analyzed Sample (n=39)	p-value
	n (% total); M \pm SD; Median, min-max	n (% total); M \pm SD; Median, min-max	
Sex			.964
Male	38 (74%)	29 (74%)	
Female	13 (26%)	10 (26%)	
Age (years)	42 \pm 10 43, 22-60	42 \pm 10 43, 22-60	.638
Age at injury (years)	28 \pm 13 24, 3-57	29 \pm 14 25, 3-57	.252
Years post-injury	14 \pm 11 10, 1-42	13 \pm 11 10, 1-42	.502
Level and severity of injury			<0.001
Tetraplegia AIS A	16 (31%)	8 (21%)	
Tetraplegia AIS B	11 (22%)	7 (18%)	
Paraplegia AIS A	24 (47%)	24 (61%)	
Body mass (kg)	78.5 \pm 17.6 78.2, 44.9-135.7	79.2 \pm 17.3 78.5, 44.9-135.7	.764
Height (cm)	176 \pm 10 177, 158-200	175 \pm 8 176, 158-188	.201
VO _{2peak}	12.53 \pm 5.48 11.24, 5.49-29.84	13.93 \pm 5.49 13.60, 6.07-29.84	<.001
PO _{peak}	52 \pm 29 50, 10-130	60 \pm 28 60, 10-130	.001
Mild LTPA	204 \pm 278 120, 0-1680	221 \pm 308 135, 0-1680	.555
Moderate LTPA	102 \pm 118 60, 0-480	115 \pm 124 60, 0-480	.093
Heavy LTPA	56 \pm 100 15, 0-480	60 \pm 96 20, 0-480	.260
Total LTPA	363 \pm 395 240, 0-2405	395 \pm 431 240, 0-2405	.291

AIS: ASIA Impairment Scale

Table 2. Correlation Matrix Showing Pearson Correlation Coefficients for Cardiorespiratory Fitness and LTPAQ-SCI Measures of Mild, Moderate, Heavy and Total Leisure-Time Physical Activity (LTPA)

<i>Measure</i>	VO _{2peak}	PO _{peak}	Mild LTPA	Moderate LTPA	Heavy LTPA	Total LTPA
VO _{2peak} (mL/kg/min)	1					
PO _{peak} (Watts)	.773**	1				
Mild LTPA (min/wk)	.231	.087	1			
Moderate LTPA (min/wk)	.276*	.193	.315*	1		
Heavy LTPA (min/wk)	.443**	.294*	.225	.499**	1	
Total LTPA (min/wk)	.330*	.176	.815**	.729**	.591**	1

Note. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 (one-tailed).

LTPAQ-SCI is the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire-Spinal Cord Injury. VO_{2peak} is peak volume of oxygen consumption and PO_{peak} is peak power output during the cardiorespiratory fitness test.