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ABSTRACT In order to remove speckle noise while preserving image features, a novel variational model for image restoration based on total curvature is proposed in this paper. Due to the characteristics of nonlinear, non-convex, and non-smooth, the proposed variational model is transformed into an alternating optimization problem through introducing a series of auxiliary variables and using the alternating direction method of multipliers. In each loop of optimization, the Fast Fourier Transform is employed to solve the involved partial differential equations numerically, and the generalized soft thresholding formula is used to solve the involved algebra equations analytically. Moreover, the projection method is applied to fulfill some related inequality constraints simply for different sub-problems, thus the computational efficiency is improved totally. The numerous experiments on synthetic and real cases are implemented to demonstrate the advantages of the proposed model in image edge and corner preserving, and to indicate the high computation efficiency of the designed algorithm through comparison with other fast algorithms.

INDEX TERMS Speckle noise, curvature-dependent, alternating direction method of multipliers, discrete Fourier transform.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital images are usually accompanied with speckle noise in many coherent imaging systems, such as laser images, ultrasound images, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) images, microscope images, etc [1]. It degrades image quality and erects barriers to interpret valuable information of images. Consequently, in the area of image processing, speckle noise removal has been extensively studied [2]–[7].

Assuming that original image \( u \) is corrupted by speckle noise \( \eta \), the restoration process aims to recover \( u \) from degrade image \( f = u + \eta \), and preserve image features including edges, point target, textures, and so on. To achieve this objective, a variety of speckle noise removal methods have been proposed. Some methods have been well-known, such as traditional filtering [8], wavelets approaches [9], principal component analysis-based approaches [10], and variational methods [11]. The variational method in image processing is to search numerical approximation to the lowest energy function of image [12], further the variational principle is the foundation of this method [13]. The focus of this study is to extend the variational method for speckle noise removal.

Speckle noise is non-Gaussian, signal and spatially independent [14]–[16]. Consequently, speckle noise removal is more complex and more challenging than additive noise removal. The speckle noise is described by different probability density function, includes Gamma, Poisson and Rayleigh distribution functions [17]. Over the past two decades, there are several variational approaches for addressing speckle noise removal problem. Variational model for speckle noise removal normally consists of regularized term and data fidelity term, and the regularized term is commonly designed as Total Variation (TV) [18]. A speckle noise removal model (RLO-model) was firstly advocated by Rudin et al with assumption of Gauss white noise [19]. For Gamma noise, a variational model (AA-model) via the maximum of
As mentioned above, previous studies mostly focused on constructing speckle noise removal variational models. In order to solve the minimization problems related to Euler-Lagrange formulas of TV variational model, many fast algorithms have been proposed [38]–[43]. The algorithm for speckle noise removal numerical approximation mainly adopted the algorithm of classic TV model, such as fixed point method [44], semi-implicit iteration [45], dual method [39], [46], [47], Split Bregman algorithm [48], inverse scale space method [21], [49], multi-grid method [7] and so on. Higher order variational models will result in complicated high-order nonlinear PDEs which are difficult for discretization. Motivated by the Split Bregman scheme, combing with operator splitting, relaxation method and Augmented Lagrangian Method (ALM), a fast algorithm for Euler’s elastica model was proposed by Tai et al [50]. In order to improve computational efficiency, the high-order model was transformed into several sub-problems by split Bregman scheme. Then the sub-problems could be calculated with analytical soft thresholding equation, fast Fourier transform (FFT), and projection formula [12]. The data fidelity term and curvature regularization of proposed speckle noise removal model are both not convex and nonlinear. Hence, efficient and stable numerical scheme to solve this problem is much desired. Inspired by the work from Tai [50] and Lu [12], this paper proposes an efficient and fast numerical algorithm to solve minimization problem related to high-order speckle noise removal variational model.

The objective of this work is to propose a novel higher order variational model for speckle noise removal. Combining with data fidelity term, the model adopts the total curvature as a regularization. Further, the proposed model is retransformed to an optimization problem with constraints by operator splitting and relaxation method. Besides, we adopt alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) to design a fast numerical approximation iterative scheme. Finally, the numerical experiments are implemented to illustrate that the proposed method can achieve highly restoration results.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 defines some notations for addressing the problem. In section 3, our proposed model is described in which the speckle noise removal problem is divided into some sub-problems by employing operator splitting idea. Alternating minimization iterative scheme and numerical approximation methods are detailed described in Section 4. To verify the superiority of the proposed method, extensive experiments are demonstrated in Section 5. Section 6 is the conclusion of the paper.

### II. NOTATIONS

Before proceeding, let us briefly introduce some notations for convenience. Let \( \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} \) be a set of \( M \times N \) points, and denote the two-dimensional grey scale image space \( \Omega \) with size of \( M \times N \). The coordinates \( x \) and \( y \) are oriented along rows and columns, respectively. The first order forward differences

---

**TABLE 1. Speckle noise removal variational model based on TV framework.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability density</th>
<th>Data fidelity term</th>
<th>Model abbre</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gauss distribution</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u}{x^2} \right)^2 ) dx</td>
<td>RLO</td>
<td>Rudin, Lions, Osher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma distribution</td>
<td>( \int_{\Omega} u - \log u ) dx</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Auber, Aujol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma distribution</td>
<td>( \int_{\Omega} \left( 1 + e^{-u} \right) ) dx</td>
<td>JY</td>
<td>Jin, Yang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson</td>
<td>( \int_{\Omega} (u - \log u) ) dx</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>Setzer, Steidl, Tueber, Denis, Tupin, Darbon, Sigelle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
<td>( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f}{u} \right)^2 \right) + \log u ) dx</td>
<td>DTDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u}{u} + \frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{f}{u} \right)^2 + c \log u \right) ) dx</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Shi, Osher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a posteriori estimator was derived by Auber and Aujol [20]. Motivated by the effectiveness of the inverse scale space, Shi and Osher developed a strictly convex general model (SO-model) for speckle noise removal [21]. Involving non-convex and non-smooth [22] log-likelihood terms, Markov random field was extended to speckle noise removal, and the Bayesian type variational model (DTDS-model) was introduced by Denis et al. [23]. By applying I-divergence as similarity term, an energy functional (SST-model) was presented for degraded images with Poisson noise [24]. Applying exponential transformation \( u \rightarrow e^u \) to AA model [3], globally convex model (JY-model) for speckle noise removal had been achieved [25]. In general, the TV framework for speckle noise removal can be summarized as Table 1.

Most speckle noise removal works are the transformation or combination of above mentioned data fidelity terms [7], [26], [27] and joint with additive noise removal [28]. TV regularization performs well in preserving edges, however, it suffers from staircase effect [29]. To attack this problem, higher order Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) were introduced [30]. Distinguished with TV regularization, the basis of these methods is minimizing the high order regularizations (PDEs) were introduced [30]. Distinguished with TV regularization, the basis of these methods is minimizing the derivatives of the image [31]. The high order regularizations mainly contain bounded Hessian \( \nabla^2 u \) regularization [32], Laplacian \( \Delta u \) regularization, total generalized variation [33], [34] and total curvature \( \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) \) regularization [35], [36]. Due to the computational complexity and nonlinear of these higher order terms, little research has been done on higher-order variational model for speckle noise removal [37]. In combination with data fidelity terms in Table 1, this paper aims to propose a novel speckle noise removal variational model with mean total curvature regularization term.
of $u$ at point $(i,j)$ can be written as follows.

$$
\partial_x^+ u_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
  u_{i+1,j} - u_{i,j} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < N \\
  u_{1,j} - u_{N,j} & \text{if } i = N 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\partial_x^- u_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
  u_{i,j} - u_{i-1,j} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < N \\
  u_{1,j} - u_{N,j} & \text{if } i = 1 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\partial_y^+ u_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
  u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < M \\
  u_{i,1} - u_{i,M} & \text{if } j = M 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\partial_y^- u_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
  u_{i,j} - u_{i,j-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < M \\
  u_{i,1} - u_{i,M} & \text{if } j = 1 
\end{cases}
$$

Boundary conditions of Euler-Lagrange equation are very crucial in numerical approximation. In order to apply FFT method, we utilize periodic boundary conditions, rather than Neumann boundary conditions used in SO-model. The gradient, Laplacian, gradient of divergence will be implemented for proposed model, which can be discretized as following formula.

$$
\nabla u = \left[ \frac{\partial_x^+ u}{\partial_y^+ u} \right]
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot \nabla z = (\partial_x^+ - \partial_y^-) z + (\partial_y^+ - \partial_x^-) z
$$

$$
\nabla (\nabla \cdot \tilde{n}) = \left[ \frac{\partial_x^+ + \partial_y^+}{\partial_x^+ - \partial_y^-} n_1 + \frac{\partial_y^+ + \partial_x^-}{\partial_y^+ - \partial_x^-} n_2 \right]
$$

Referencing the method in [50], for $f_{ij} \in \Omega^{M \times N}$, we introduce the shifting operators $S_{1}^\pm$, $S_{2}^\pm$, and identity operator $I$ as follows.

$$
S_{1}^\pm f_{ij} = f_{i \pm 1,j}, \quad S_{2}^\pm f_{ij} = f_{i,j \pm 1}, \quad I f_{ij} = f_{ij}
$$

In frequency domain, the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of shifting operator is the componentwise multiplication, which can be obtained by applying DFT. For discrete frequencies, $y_i = 1, 2, \cdots N$ and $y_j = 1, 2, \cdots M$, the $F$ is obtained.

$$
F S_{1}^\pm f_{y_i y_j} = e^{\pm \sqrt{-1}\alpha} F f_{y_i y_j}, \quad z_i = \frac{2\pi}{N} y_i
$$

$$
F S_{2}^\pm f_{y_i y_j} = e^{\pm \sqrt{-1}\alpha} F f_{y_i y_j}, \quad z_j = \frac{2\pi}{M} y_j
$$

where $e^{\pm \sqrt{-1}\alpha} = \cos z_i \pm \sqrt{-1} \sin z_i$ and $e^{\pm \sqrt{-1}\beta} = \cos z_j \pm \sqrt{-1} \sin z_j$. By upper transformation, high order Euler equation could be solved by the FFT to obtain algebraic equation, then use inverse DFT to obtain optimization variable. It is note that the variable result is the real part of a complex number, and we sign as $R(\cdot)$.

III. PROPOSED MODEL

A. A BRIEF REVIEW OF STRICTLY CONVEX SO-MODEL

By applying logarithm transform to $f = w_{ij}$, the multiplicative noise is converted to addictive noise, and we can achieve following equation.

$$
\log f = \log u + \log \eta
$$

Based on noisy observation $\log f$, $TV$ (log $u$) is substitute for the regularization $TV (u)$ and let $w = \log u$, a general TV formulation for speckle noise can be gained.

$$
\min E (u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{a}{u} + \frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{f}{u} \right)^2 + c \log u \right)
$$

(9)

where $a, b, c$ are nonnegative constants. Gradient descent method can be used to solve Eq.(9), and the following Euler-Lagrange equation can be obtained.

$$
u_i = \nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} + \lambda \left( a \frac{f}{u^2} + b \frac{f^2}{u^3} - c \frac{1}{u} \right)
$$

(10)

Due to the mapping $w \rightarrow e^w$ is strictly monotounous increasing, applying a partial transformation which is replace $u = e^w$ by $w$, the fidelity term was then rendered convex. The TV based minimization can be obtained.

$$
\min E (w) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w| + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left( a f e^{-w} + b \frac{f^2}{2} e^{-2w} + c w \right)
$$

(11)

B. A NEW CURVATURE BASED CONVEX MODEL (CSN)

Enlightened by SO-model, we propose the following higher order mean curvature regularized model.

$$
\min E (u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left( a f u^{-2} + b \frac{f^2}{2} e^{-2w} + c w \right)
$$

(12)

To overcome the lack of global convexity, we introduce variable $u = e^z$. While the mean curvature regularization term is left unaltered, the problem Eq.(12) is transformed into the following minimization functional with a convex data fidelity term.

$$
\min E (z) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z| + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left( a f e^{-z} + b \frac{f^2}{2} e^{-2z} + c z \right)
$$

(13)

Although the curvature regularization term has high effectiveness in removing staircase effect, the minimization of the corresponding functional Eq.(13) is a very challenging problem. The Euler-Lagrange equations of the regularization are the fourth order nonlinear PDEs, hence, it is very difficult to discretize for numerical approximation. Here, we first employ splitting operators $w, \tilde{w}, \tilde{n}$ and $q$ to simplify curvature regularization as following constrained energy functional.

$$
\min E (z) = \int_{\Omega} |q| dxdy + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left( a f e^{-w} + b \frac{f^2}{2} e^{-2w} + c w \right) dxdy
$$

s.t. $w = z, \quad \tilde{p} = \nabla z, \quad \tilde{n} = \frac{|\tilde{p}|}{p}, \quad q = \nabla \cdot (\tilde{n})
$$

(14)

Then, according to well-known Holder inequality, we transform $\tilde{n} = \frac{\tilde{p}}{|\tilde{p}|}$ into $|\tilde{n}| \leq 1$ and $|p| = \tilde{n} \cdot \tilde{p}$ equivalent
constrained optimization problem. Eq.(15) can be efficiently solved by updating dual variable with partial, and the convergence of ADMM is always guaranteed [50]. With ADMM, an iterative scheme can be employed to search optimal solution of Eq. (16) guaranteeing the first order condition. The proposed iterative scheme is presented in Figure 1. The variables $w^k, p^k, q^k, n^k, m^k$ and Lagrange multipliers $\lambda^k, \lambda^k_0, \lambda^k_1, \lambda^k_2, \lambda^k_3$ are simply initialized to zero. Avoiding log($f$) is too small or zero to difficult computation, $z^0$ is initialized to 3 log($f+1$). For iterative number $k \geq 1$, with the fixed Lagrange multipliers $\lambda^k, \lambda^k_0, \lambda^k_1, \lambda^k_2, \lambda^k_3$, alternating minimization method is adopted to calculate ($z^{k+1}, w^{k+1}, p^{k+1}, q^{k+1}, n^{k+1}, m^{k+1}$) of the Lagrange functional. So,
problem (16) is transformed into the following sub-problems.

\[ e_1(z) = \frac{\beta_1}{2} \int_\Omega (z - w^k)^2 dxdy + \int_\Omega \lambda_1^k (z - w^k) dxdy \\
+ \frac{\beta_3}{2} \int_\Omega (p^k - \nabla z)^2 dxdy \\
+ \int_\Omega \frac{\lambda_3^k}{\beta} (p^k - \nabla z)^2 dxdy \tag{17} \]

\[ e_2(w) = \alpha \int_\Omega \left( af e^{-w} + \frac{b}{2} f^2 e^{-2w} + cw \right) dxdy \\
+ \frac{\beta_1}{2} \int_\Omega (z^{k+1} - w)^2 dxdy \\
+ \int_\Omega \lambda_1^k (z^{k+1} - w) dxdy \tag{18} \]

\[ e_3(p) = \frac{\beta_2}{2} \int_\Omega \left( |\bar{p} - \bar{m}^k \cdot \bar{p}| dxdy \\
+ \int_\Omega \lambda_2^k (|\bar{p} - \bar{m} \cdot p|^2 dxdy \\
+ \int_\Omega \lambda_3^k (p - \nabla \bar{z}^{k+1})^2 dxdy \tag{19} \]

\[ e_4(q) = \int_\Omega |q| dxdy + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \int_\Omega (q - \nabla \bar{q}^k)^2 dxdy \\
+ \int_\Omega \lambda_4^k (q - \nabla \bar{n}^k)^2 dxdy \tag{20} \]

\[ e_5(m) = \frac{\beta_4}{2} \int_\Omega (q^{k+1} - \nabla \bar{n}) dxdy \\
+ \int_\Omega \lambda_4^k (q^{k+1} - \nabla \bar{n}) dxdy \\
+ \frac{\beta_5}{2} \int_\Omega \bar{m}^k \cdot (\bar{m} \cdot \bar{m}) dxdy + \int_\Omega \lambda_5^k \cdot (\bar{m} \cdot \bar{m}) dxdy \tag{21} \]

\[ e_6(m) = \frac{\beta_2}{2} \int_\Omega (|\bar{p} - \bar{m} \cdot \bar{p}| dxdy + \int_\Omega \lambda_2^k (|\bar{p} - \bar{m} \cdot \bar{p}| dxdy \\
+ \frac{\beta_2}{2} \int_\Omega |\bar{n} - \bar{m}^k|^2 dxdy + \int_\Omega \lambda_5^k \cdot (\bar{n} \cdot \bar{m}) dxdy \tag{22} \]

The corresponding Euler equation of Eq.(17)-(22) can be obtained for next step numerical approximation. The minimization of Euler equation of Eq.(2) can be done by gradient descend, \( e_3(p) \) and \( e_4(q) \) can be solved by soft thresholding formula, \( e_6(m) \) can be simply obtained by projection method. While the Euler equation of \( e_1(z) \) and \( e_5(m) \) are nonlinear equation over the whole image domain \( \Omega \), the FFT can be used to solve these two sub-problems.

After each iteration, update Lagrange multipliers \( \lambda_1^{k+1}, \lambda_2^{k+1}, \lambda_3^{k+1}, \lambda_4^{k+1}, \lambda_5^{k+1} \) based on

\[ \lambda_1^{k+1} = \lambda_1^k + \beta_1 (z^{k+1} - w^{k+1}), \]

\[ \lambda_2^{k+1} = \lambda_2^k + \beta_2 (p^{k+1} - \bar{m}^k \cdot \bar{p}^{k+1}), \]

\[ \lambda_3^{k+1} = \lambda_3^k + \beta_3 (p^{k+1} - \nabla u^{k+1}), \]

\[ \lambda_4^{k+1} = \lambda_4^k + \beta_4 (q^{k+1} - \nabla \bar{n}^{k+1}), \]

\[ \lambda_5^{k+1} = \lambda_5^k + \beta_5 (\bar{m}^{k+1} - \bar{m}^k), \]

\[ \lambda_7^{k+1} = \lambda_7^k + \beta_7 (\bar{q}^{k+1} - \bar{q}^k), \]

1) MINIMIZATION OF \( e_1(z) \) IN (17)

With fixed \( w^k, \bar{p}^k \) and \( \lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \lambda_3^k, \lambda_4^k, \lambda_5^k \), we can obtained the following Euler Lagrange equation of Eq.(17) with respect to \( z \).

\[ \begin{align*}
\beta_1 (z - w^k) + \lambda_1^k + \nabla \cdot \left( \beta_3 (\bar{p}^k - \nabla \bar{z}^k) + \lambda_3^k \right) &= 0 \quad \text{in} \Omega \\
\beta_3 (\bar{p}^k - \nabla \bar{z}) + \lambda_3^k &= 0 \quad \text{on} \partial \Omega 
\end{align*} \tag{24} \]

The expansion formula of Eq.(24) yields a linear equation.

\[ \beta_5 z - \beta_2 \nabla \cdot \nabla z = g, \quad g = \beta_5 w - \lambda_3^k - \nabla \cdot \beta_2 \bar{p} - \nabla \cdot \lambda_2^k, \tag{25} \]

Using the notations in Section 2, Eq.(25) can be efficiently solved by FFT. And Eq.(25) can be written as

\[ \beta_1 z - \beta_3 (\partial_x \partial_x + \partial_y \partial_y) z = g, \quad g = \beta_1 e^w - \lambda_1^k - \beta_3 (\partial_x \partial_y + \partial_y \partial_x) (\partial_x \partial_y \lambda_3^k + \partial_y \partial_y \lambda_3^k). \]

The identity operator and shifting operators can be utilized to discretization. By applying the DFT \( \mathcal{F} \) we obtain an algebraic equation

\[ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \frac{\beta_1 - 2\beta_3 \left( \cos z_j + \cos z_{j-2} \right)}{dz} \right) \mathcal{F}(g(i,j)) = \mathcal{F}(g(i,j)). \]

Due to

\[ dz = \left( \beta_1 - 2\beta_3 \left( \cos z_j + \cos z_{j-2} \right) \right) \mathcal{F}(g(i,j)) \]

the final solution can be achieved.

\[ z = R \left( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}(g)}{dz} \right) \right) \tag{26} \]

2) MINIMIZATION OF \( e_2(w) \) IN (18)

The Euler Lagrange equation of Eq.(18) with respect to \( w \) can be obtained with fixed \( \bar{z}^{k+1} \) and \( \lambda_1^k \).

\[ \alpha \left( af e^{-w} + \frac{b}{2} f^2 e^{-2w} - c \right) + \beta_1 (z^{k+1} - w) + \lambda_1^k = 0 \tag{27} \]
Here, we especially employ the value $w^k$ of upper step to improve the computation speed. Then $w^{k+1}_{i,j}$ can be simply calculated by gradient descend method, $\nabla t$ is time step for gradient descend.

$$w^{k+1}_{i,j} = w_{i,j}^k + \left( \alpha \left( a f_{i,j} e^{-w^k_{i,j}} + b f^2_{i,j} e^{-2w^k_{i,j}} - c \right) + \beta_1 \left( \hat{w}^{k+1}_{i,j} - w_{i,j}^k \right) + \lambda_{1i,j} k \right) \nabla t$$ (28)

3) MINIMIZATION OF $\varepsilon_3 (\hat{p})$ IN (19)
Fixing variables $\varepsilon^{k+1}, m^k$ and $\lambda^k_{2}, \lambda^k_{3}$, the Euler equation related to $\hat{p}$ can be achieved.

$$\beta_2 \frac{\hat{p}_v}{|p|} - \hat{p} \cdot \hat{m}_k^k + \lambda^k_{2} \cdot \frac{\hat{p}}{|p|} - \lambda^k_{2} \cdot \hat{m}_k^k$$

$$+ \beta_3 (\hat{p} - \nabla \varepsilon^{k+1}) + \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}^k_3}{3} = 0$$ (29)

Eq.(29) can be easily solved by the analytical soft thresholding equation.

$$\frac{\hat{p} + \beta_2 \cdot \hat{m}_k^k + \lambda^k_{3} \cdot \frac{\hat{p}}{|p|} - \lambda^k_{3} \cdot \hat{m}_k^k}{\beta_3}$$

$$\hat{p} = \nabla \varepsilon^{k+1} - \frac{\lambda^k_{3}}{\beta_3} \cdot \hat{m}_k^k$$ (30)

4) MINIMIZATION OF $\varepsilon_4 (q)$ IN (20)
The Euler Lagrange equation with respect to $q$ can be obtained as follows.

$$\frac{q}{|q|} + \beta_4 \left( q - \nabla \cdot \hat{n}_k \right) + \lambda^k q = 0$$ (31)

Arise from the minimization of energy functional Eq.(20) with fixed $\hat{n}_k$ and $\lambda^k q$. We also employ the soft thresholding equations to solve this sub-problem.

$$q^{k+1} = \max \left( \left| \nabla \cdot \hat{n}_k - \frac{\lambda^k q}{\beta_4} \right| - 1, 0 \right) \nabla \cdot \hat{n}_k - \frac{\lambda^k q}{\beta_4}$$

$$0 \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\} = 0$$ (32)

5) MINIMIZATION OF $\varepsilon_5 (\hat{n})$ IN (21)
The Euler Lagrange equation of Eq.(21) for $\hat{n}$ with fixed $q^{k+1}, m^k, \lambda^k q$ and $\lambda^k_5$ is given as follows formula.

$$\begin{cases} \beta_4 \nabla \left( q^{k+1} - \nabla \cdot \hat{n} \right) + \nabla \lambda^k q + \beta_5 (n_0 - m^k) + \frac{\lambda^k_5}{\beta_5} \frac{}{} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \beta_4 \left( q^{k+1} - \nabla \cdot \hat{n} \right) + \frac{\lambda^k_5}{\beta_5} \frac{n_0}{\nabla \cdot \hat{n}} \hat{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$ (33)

Eq.(33) can be transformed into the following equation.

$$\beta_4 \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \hat{n} \right) - \beta_5 \hat{n} = \beta_4 \nabla q^{k+1} + \nabla \lambda^k q + \frac{\lambda^k_5}{\beta_5} \frac{n_0}{\nabla \cdot \hat{n}}$$ (34)

Here, $\hat{n}$ is a vector $\begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}$, hence, Eq.(34) is the coupled linear equation and can be solved by FFT efficiently.

We introduce vector variable $\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix} = \beta_4 \nabla q^{k+1} + \nabla \lambda^k q + \frac{\lambda^k_5}{\beta_5} \frac{n_0}{\nabla \cdot \hat{n}}$. Using shifting operators and identity operator, $\nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \hat{n} \right)$ can be given as follows.

$$\nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \hat{n} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \partial_x + n_1 + \partial_x \partial_y n_2 \\ \partial_y \partial_x + n_1 + \partial_y \partial_y n_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (I - S_1) (S_1^+ - I) n_1 + (I - S_1^-) (S_2^- - I) n_2 \\ (I - S_2^-) (S_1^+ - I) n_1 + (I - S_2^-) (S_2^+ - I) n_2 \end{pmatrix}$$ (35)

In order to simply the expression, we sign $S_1^+ + S_1^- - 2I$ as $S_1$, $S_2^- + S_2^- - 2I$ as $S_2$, and $S_2^+ + S_2^- - 2I$ as $S_2$. Then the left part of Eq.(34) \beta_4 \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \hat{n} \right) - \beta_5 \hat{n}$ is transformed as follows.

$$\beta_4 \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \hat{n} \right) - \beta_5 \hat{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_4 S_{11} - \beta_5 \\ \beta_4 S_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}$$ (36)

Consequently, the Eq.(34) can be rewritten as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_4 S_{11} - \beta_5 \\ \beta_4 S_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}$$ (37)

Based on the discrete frequencies, applying the DFT to Eq.(37), the following linear equations can be obtained.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F} (\beta_4 S_{11} - \beta_5) \\ \mathcal{F} (\beta_4 S_{12}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} F_{n_1} \\ a_{22} F_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$$ (38)

where the coefficients are:

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_{11} = 2 \beta_4 (\cos z_i - 1) - \beta_5 \\ a_{12} = \beta_4 (\cos z_i - \sqrt{1} \sin z_i - 1) (1 - \cos z_j - \sqrt{1} \sin z_j) \\ a_{22} = 2 \beta_4 (\cos z_j + 1 - \beta_5) \\ a_{21} = \beta_4 (\cos z_i + \sqrt{1} \sin z_i - 1) (1 - \cos z_j + \sqrt{1} \sin z_j) \end{array}$$ (39)

The coefficient matrix is $M \times N$ number of $2 \times 2$ systems. Just ensure parameter $\beta_3 \beta_5 > 0$, the determinant $D = \beta_3 - 2 \beta_4 \beta_5 (\cos z_i + \cos z_j - 2)$ is invariably positive. Consequently, the inverse DFT can be employed to obtain $\hat{n}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{-1} \left( a_{22} F_{g_1} - a_{12} F_{g_2} \right) \\ R \left( a_{22} F_{g_2} - a_{21} F_{g_1} \right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D \end{pmatrix}$$ (40)
6) MINIMIZATION OF ɛ₆ ( êm ) IN (22)
Variable êm can be obtained from the following closed form with fixed ên⁺⁺, êp⁺⁺, λk and λk.

\[-β²p⁺⁺ - λk p⁺⁺ + β₅ (ên⁺⁺ - êm)(-1) - êk₅ = 0 \]

(41)

It is noted that constraint |êm| ≤ 1 is of great importance to avoid unboundedness of êm when ñ = 0, furthermore, it can be treated as relaxation. Hence, the following projection formulation can be imposed on êm.

\[-β²p⁺⁺ - λk p⁺⁺ + β₅ (ên⁺⁺ - êm)(-1) - êk₅ = 0 \]

(42)

\[êm = \begin{cases} \frac{β²p⁺⁺ + λk p⁺⁺ + êk₅ + ên⁺⁺}{β₅} \quad & |êm| ≤ 1 \\ \frac{β²p⁺⁺ + λk p⁺⁺ + ên⁺⁺}{β₅} \quad & |êm| > 1 \end{cases} \]

(43)

C. ITERATIVE ALGORITHM

Now, all support functionals of problem Eq.(16) are computed for convex optimization. In other words, the stable and efficient minimization algorithm can be used to remove speckle noise. The alternating minimization iterative algorithm can be read as follows.

Algorithm 1 Alternating Minimization Iterative Algorithm

1: function SNRRemoval(f)
2: Initialization: Set z = 3 log (f + 1), w = z, (êp, q, ên, êm; λ₁, λ₂, êl₃, êl₄, êl₅) = 0
3: (β₁, β₂, β₃, β₄, β₅, Δt, iteration) > 0, the value of a, b, c depends on the noise distribution function
4: Compute coefficient a₁₁, a₁₂, a₂₁, a₂₂ and determinant a₅, D
5: repeat
6: Compute z according to Eq.(26)
7: Compute w according to Eq.(28)
8: Compute êp according to Eq.(30)
9: Compute q according to Eq.(32)
10: Compute ên according to Eq.(40)
11: Compute êm according to Eq.(43)
12: Update Lagrangian multiplier λ₁, λ₂, êl₃, êl₄, êl₅ according to Eq.(23)
13: until convergence of u
14: return u = eⁿ/₃ - 1
15: end function

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, the performance of the proposed speckle noise removal method was evaluated based on images corrupted by synthetic multiplicative noise, real noisy SAR and real noisy biomedical images. Meanwhile, the results were compared with TV based AA, SST, DTDS, SO models and filter based Lee method. The detailed information of experiments is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment type</th>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>Degraded image</th>
<th>Composed method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic speckle noise</td>
<td>E01</td>
<td>Mixedgrid image</td>
<td>Gamma Poisson Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E02</td>
<td>Lena Boat</td>
<td>Poisson Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E03</td>
<td>68 Berkeley test images</td>
<td>Gamma/ Poisson Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E04</td>
<td>SAR-1 image</td>
<td>AA model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E05-1</td>
<td>SAR-2 image</td>
<td>SO model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E05-2</td>
<td>SAR-3 image</td>
<td>SO model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E05-3</td>
<td>SAR-4 image</td>
<td>SO model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real: SAR images</td>
<td>E06-1</td>
<td>Biomp-1 image</td>
<td>AA model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E06-2</td>
<td>Biomp-2 image</td>
<td>SO model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E06-3</td>
<td>Biomp-3 image</td>
<td>SO model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before we show our numerical results, we give some remarks on choosing tuning parameters. There are four parameters coming from the total curvature regularization and data fidelity term: the parameter α depends on how close we want u to be f. We tune α according to the amount of noise in an image: the noisier the image is, the smaller the value is determined for α. Parameters a, b and c are determined by noise probability density function. For convex numerical algorithms, parameters β₁, β₂, β₃, β₄ are associated with Lagrange multipliers. In which, parameters β₁, β₂, β₃ mainly control the closeness between z and w, [êp] and ên and êm, respectively, the parameters β₃, β₄ control the amount of diffusion of u, the larger β₃, β₄ are, the more diffusion u has, and the ratio between β₃ and β₄ has to do with the main factor of diffusion: larger β₄ encourages the total curvature diffusion. While using these as guideline, and to reduce the number of parameters to tune, we set β₁, β₂, β₃ = 1, β₄ = 10 in numerical experiments, therefore, we just need to tune the parameter β₄. Consequently, the number of parameters associated with our algorithm is not of too much concern. In order to implement unified assessment, the stopping criteria of iteration for AA, SST, DTDS, SO and proposed model was defined as following formula.

\[\frac{E^k - E^{k-1}}{E^k} \leq \text{threshold} \]

(44)

Eₖ is the energy value of current step of iteration, and Eₖ₋₁ is the energy value of upper step of iteration. The value of threshold for following experiments is 10⁻³.
In addition, the experiments were integrated into an executable application, which provides two kinds of operation modes, run with gray value animation and run with image and energy animation. The detailed information can be obtained in Appendix “User Guidance for Supplementary Interactive application” and “Supplementary Interactive application”.

A. RESULTS ON SYNTHETIC MULTIPLICATIVE NOISE

First, Mixedgrid, Lena, and Boat test images with size of 256 × 256 were selected to evaluate the performance of our curvature-dependent variational model, the noise free image and edge features are shown in Figure 3. In E01 experiment, the Mixedgrid image was contaminated by multiplicative Gamma noise with mean 1 and variance 0.5. In E02 experiment, the Lena image was corrupted by multiplicative Poisson noise with parameter \( \lambda = 2 \). In E03 experiment, the boat image was corrupted by multiplicative Rayleigh noise with mean 0.8 and variance 0.08.

The visual quality of the denoising image is identified as the main evaluation standard of qualitative results. The denoising results of gamma noisy mixedgrid image (E01 experiment), Poisson noisy Lena image (E02 experiment) and Rayleigh noisy boat image (E03 experiment) are presented in Figure 4, respectively. It can be observed that the proposed method can efficiently enhance visual quality of the images, compared with the AA, SST and DTDS model.

For synthetic experiments, we calculated the common measurements of image fidelity PSNR and SSIM index [51]. The denoising results of experiment E01, E02 and E03 are depicted in Table 3. In order to investigate the robustness of the proposed method, we also carried out experiments for the parameters determination. With fixed \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_5 = 1, \beta_3 = 10, \beta_3 = 15 \), we tuned \( \alpha \) from 0 to 1 with 0.1 step, the Energy, PSNR and SSIM for E01 image are strictly consistent. This also indicates that the value of \( \alpha \) depends on the amount of noise in an image. On the other hand, with fixed \( \alpha = 0.5 \), parameter \( \beta_4 \) was assigned as 10, 50, 100, 150 and 200 to
investigate the change trend of Energy, PSNR and SSIM with iterations, the results demonstrate that the smaller $\beta_4$ will accelerate the convergence, however, the denoising indicators can not perform well, with comprehensive comparison, we set $\beta_4 = 150$, $\beta_3 = 15$ for all experiments. It is worth emphasis that the PSNR for parameter $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\alpha = 1$ exists small variance. However, it is difficult to explore the intrinsic statistical law. The results illustrate that the PSNR of experiments E01 and E02 with proposed method is commonly greater than compared method. However, for experiment E01, the proposed model preserves image edges, texture better, PSNR result is not better than DTDS method.

It is well known that one certain method has different performance for different image contents, in order to carry out comprehensive comparison, experiment E04 was implemented on 500 Berkeley test images [37]. The test images were corrupted by multiplicative Gamma noise with mean 1 and variance 0.5, Poisson noise with parameter $\lambda = 2$, and Rayleigh noise with mean 0.8 and variance 0.08. To date a number of studies have been conducted on speckle noise removal and numerous despeckling filters have been proposed. Lee filter is most popular filter-based method and is a linear local statistic filter that minimizes the mean-square error for reducing speckle in images. Besides TV-based AA, SST, DTDS and so model, the denoising results were also compared with Lee filter. All the results were computed per image and then averaged over the test Berkeley dataset, as illustrated in Table 4. The results indicate that our proposed high order total curvature regularization has certain advantage compared with TV regularization and Lee filter denoising method.
B. RESULTS ON REAL SAR AND BIOMEDICAL IMAGES

The effectiveness of our proposed method was further extended to real world applications. E05 experiment was implemented to validate the efficiency of the proposed method for SAR images, and E06 experiment was for biomedical images.

The SAR images of E05 experiment were assumed to follow Gamma noise distribution, the denoising results for SAR-1, SAR-2 and SAR-3 image of our method are demonstrated visually better than AA model, as shown in Figure 5.

The biomedical images of E06 experiment were assumed to follow general noise distribution, the speckle noise removal results with propose method and SO method are shown in Figure 6. It is obvious that the CSN restoration are better than SO.
TABLE 5. The statistical iteration number and run time for experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>CSN with $\alpha=0.5$</th>
<th>CSN with $\alpha=1$</th>
<th>Compared method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iteration number</td>
<td>Run time (s)</td>
<td>Iteration number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E01</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E02</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E03</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E05-1</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E05-2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E05-3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E06-1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E06-2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E06-3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. EDGE PRESERVING AND STAIRCASE EFFECT ANALYSIS

Slices of original, noisy and restoration mixedgrid image in E01 experiment are illustrated in Figure 7. The denoising horizontal (blue) and vertical slice curve (red) can overlap with original slice curve (green) almost, consequently, the proposed model can preserve the edge and corner of image. Further, it can be observed that the slice curve of restoration image slightly deviates from original one, the main reason is the loss of image contrast.

Spatial and temporal variance for gray value of SAR-1 image in E05 and Biom-2 image in E06 are also used to demonstrate the edge preserve performance of different methods, which are presented in Figure 8. The three dimensional (3D) and contour of SAR-1 and Biom-2 image illustrate that proposed method can improve image features, meanwhile, the edges and corners are well preserved.

As well known, the first-order TV model leads to staircase effect, that is, the restored image appears jagged. For a local region comparison, we amplified regions indicated by the red rectangles in Figure 4, 5, and 6 to Figure 9 from which we can see the performance of each model more clearly. It can be easily judged that the proposed model provides more natural effect with clearer details. As is seen from the results, AA and SST reduce the noise but blurring the images. DTDS model shows better performance with a little noise remained. Furthermore, AA, SST and DTDS model generate different degree of staircase effect in the flat region which can be easily observed in Lena’s hat. It is clearly seen that the proposed model reduces the staircase effect and preserve the edges, textures and fine details which can be easily seen in the geometric edge of Mixedgrid image and the hair of Lena image.

D. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

For iteration stopping criteria Eq.(44) with threshold $=10^{-3}$, the computational time is measured in seconds and iteration number are illustrated in Table 5. Compared with AA, SST, DTDS and SO model under split Bregman algorithm, the run speed of proposed method can be identified faster except E05-3, furthermore, our algorithm takes less iteration to converge.

During the iterations, the numerical energy $E^k$, residuals $R_1^k, R_2^k, R_3^k, R_4^k, R_5^k$ defined by Eq.(45), relative error in $u^k$ defined by Eq.(46), PSNR and SSIM were always monitored, which will give us important information about the convergence of the iterations.

\[
R_1^k = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda - w^k)
\]

\[
R_2^k = \int_{\Omega} (|p^k| - m^k \cdot p^k)
\]

\[
R_3^k = \int_{\Omega} (p^k - \nabla u^k)
\]

\[
R_4^k = \int_{\Omega} (q^k - \nabla \cdot n^k)
\]

\[
R_5^k = \int_{\Omega} (m^k - \bar{m}^k)
\]

\[
\text{relative error} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} (u^k - u^{k-1})}{\int_{\Omega} u^k}
\]
\( \cdot \)\(^k\) denotes the variable value of current \( k \) iteration. Since the nonlinearity, nonconvexity of proposed model, the energy convergence was analyzed deeply, all quantities are plotted up to 1000 iterations, and furthermore, the earlier 50 iterations are amplified into the following rows, as shown in Figure 10. The graphs on the first and second rows, third and fourth rows are related to the E01, E02 experiments respectively. The plots of the other experiments also have similar profiles in Figure 10. Due to energy functional is not convex, we should not expect strict monotonic decreasing in the residuals, the relative error, the energy. However, the algorithm is stable and has converged, it is also clear that PSNR and SSIM have converged to a steady state. And it is important that the relative error in \( u^k \) converged to zero at high speed. It is need to clarify that the increasing and short-term oscillation profile of energy in early stage of iteration is reasonable, because variables \( \bar{p}, q, \bar{m}, \bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \bar{\lambda}_3, \bar{\lambda}_4, \bar{\lambda}_5 \) are initialized as zero. Starting with zero values, the fidelity term of Eq.(16) tends to zero and regularity term of Eq.(16) is increasing, eventually, the numerical energy is steady and downward after a few iterations.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A novel high order variational model and its fast algorithm for speckle noise removal were proposed in this paper. The most relevant conclusions are summarized as follows:

(1) A high order variational model for image restoration based on total curvature was proposed, the model can remove multiplicative noise as well as preserving image features.

(2) The proposed model has nonlinearity, nonconvexity and high order derivative. Due to these features, a series of constraints were specially designed with penalization techniques.

(3) A fast comprehensive alternating minimization iteration scheme, which is based on FFT, gradient descend, soft thresholding formula and projection method, were constructed to solve PDE derived from minimization of optimization problem with constraints.
Experimental results reveal that the new model can improve visual quality in terms of PSNR, SSIM and preserve edge and corner features of the restored image better than other variational model.

(5) Meanwhile, numerical results indicate the fast algorithm can generate good results in terms of convergence speed and computational time as well.

Although the proposed model is mainly based on the total curvature regularization, within this higher-order curvature variation modeling framework, there are various potential to obtain better outlook.

(1) The regularization term can be extended to other high order regularization, such as Laplacian, Hessian, Fourth-order derivative.

(2) Impulsive noise is very common in data acquisition and transmission [52], and TV is an popular prior model for impulse noise remove [53]. Due to the speckle noise has always been accompanied by impulse noise, the proposed high-order modeling method can be generalized to suppress simultaneously speckle and impulsive noise.

(3) Even if TV has staircase effect, it outperforms many image restoration methods and has a high performance to preserve image edge. So TV and high-order combination, such as \( a + b \left( \nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) (c + d |\nabla u|) \), will be an effective and prior model in the field of regularization based image processing.

(4) Algorithmically, the Lagrange multipliers and variables are initialized to zero for simplicity, it is possible to obtain faster numerical results by changing the initialization.

Consequently, the further research can expose some interesting issues about the high order variational model for speckle noise removal and other image processing problems.
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