UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Multi-point forming using mesh-type elastic cushion

Tolipov, A.; Elghawail, A.; Abosaf, M.; Pham, D.; Hassanin, H.; Essa, K.

DOI: 10.1007/s00170-019-03635-z

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Tolipov, A, Elghawail, A, Abosaf, M, Pham, D, Hassanin, H & Essa, K 2019, 'Multi-point forming using mesh-type elastic cushion: modelling and experimentation', *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 103, no. 5-8, pp. 2079–2090. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03635-z

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Multipoint forming using mesh-type elastic cushion: modelling and experimentation

A. Tolipov¹ • A. Elghawail¹ • M. Abosaf¹ • D. Pham¹ • H. Hassanin² • K. Essa¹

Received: 29 November 2018 / Accepted: 25 March 2019 $\hfill \mathbb C$ The Author(s) 2019

Abstract



There is a growing demand for flexible manufacturing techniques that meet the rapid changes in production technology, processes and innovations. Multipoint forming (MPF) is a flexible sheet metal forming technique where a reconfigurable die can be readily changed to produce various shapes. Parts produced using MPF suffer from geometrical defects such as wrinkling, dimpling and thickness variations. In this paper, a multipoint forming process using a novel mesh-type elastic cushion was proposed in order to improve the quality of the deformed sheet and to minimise the developed defects. Finite element modelling (FEM) and design of experiments (DoE) were used to study the influence of the mesh-type elastic cushion parameters such as the type and the size of the mesh, and the thickness of the cushion on the wrinkling, deviation and thickness variations of the deformed sheet. The results showed that using elastic cushion with square meshes of a size of 3.5 mm and a thickness of 3 mm reduced the wrinkling from 3.18 to 1.98 mm, while the thickness variation improved from 98 to 19 μ m. Finally, the deviation from target shape reduced from 1.7848 to 0.0358 mm.

Keywords Multipoint forming · Mesh-type elastic cushion · Modelling · Design of experiment

1 Introduction

Sheet metal forming is a widely used technology for various applications because of its advantages in manufacturing of lightweight metal components. A typical sheet metal forming process requires a die set to shape the sheet metal into the

K. Essa K.e.a.essa@bham.ac.uk

> A. Tolipov AAT464@student.bham.ac.uk

A. Elghawail Ali75lby@yahoo.com

M. Abosaf MEA315@student.bham.ac.uk

D. Pham D.T.Pham@bham.ac.uk

H. Hassanin H.Hassanin@liverpool.ac.uk

- ¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK
- ² School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 e3BX, UK

Published online: 16 April 2019

required geometry. However, production of dies is a timeconsuming and costly process. Recently, efforts were made to overcome the drawbacks of conventional sheet metal forming. Multipoint forming (MPF), one of the advanced and flexible manufacturing techniques to produce 3D sheetmetal parts, has been emerged to increase the flexibility of sheet metal forming by using reconfigurable dies. In MPF, individual active punches or pins were employed to form the working surface of the discrete die. MPF technology was first introduced for more than 40 years ago by Nakajima et al. [14]. A matrix of round pins mounted on headstock of a NC milling machine was used as a solid die. The device was developed further by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) to achieve reconfigurable die for flexible manufacturing of aircraft panel. Since then, several researchers have carried out research studies to develop the technology further. Valjavec and Hardt introduced a closed-loop control method for MPF process to manufacture aircraft panels using reconfigurable die [21]. Park et al. carried out simulation and experimental work to study the effect of stretching on flexible forming [15]. Several MPF methods such as multipoint press, forming sectional MPF and iterative (MPF) were also proposed to form sheet metals for different applications such as titanium implants, train and ship panels [5, 6].



Fig. 1 Experimental setup of MPF process

Although MPF holds a great potential as a cost-effective and time-saving technology, the product defects such as





Fig. 2 a Tensile test results of the samples cut at 0° , 45° and 90° with respect to the rolling direction. **b** Experimental and power law fitted stress strain curve for steel DC05 test

 Table 1
 Mechanical

 properties of DC05 blank
 sheet

Property/units	Value
Density (kg/m ³)	7850
Young's modulus (GPa)	220.3
Yield stress (MPa)	200.6
Poisson's ratio	0.3
Strength coefficient k (MPa)	527.13
Strain-hardening exponent (n)	0.17

applications [9]. Wrinkles are caused by the compressive instability which is initiated by the developed stresses, strain and geometry of the workpiece, material properties and contact conditions [18]. On the other hand, dimples are caused by the contacts between sheet plate and the pin ends [1]. Furthermore, in MPF, the metal sheet is subjected to a combination of stretching and elastic-plastic deformation. Springback of the sheet metal is caused by the recovery of the elastic deformation [7]. To reduce or eliminate wrinkling on the workpiece during MPF, the punch pressure must be higher than the compressive force induced from the sheet metal. However, increasing the punch pressure may cause dimples and springback problems of the workpiece due to the discrete punch elements. The abovementioned issues are the serious problems with MPF which deteriorate the product surface quality. As a result, efforts were made to eliminate wrinkles and dimples in MPF. Cai et al. [4] studied the influence of the contact between the pins and metal sheet, mesh size and forming force on the developed defects, such as wrinkling, dimpling and springback. They found that a large number of simulations are needed in order to achieve a defect-free design shape. Paunoiu et al. [16] researched the effect of the type of the pins on the sheet deformation of sheet metals in MPF with a fixed setup in terms of thickness, developed stress and springback. They found that there is a strong correlation between the pin types and the product quality, and therefore,

pin types must be selected carefully optimised. Researchers have used elastic cushion sandwiching the metal sheet and carried out finite element analysis (FEA) with subsequent experimentation to optimise appropriate thickness of the elastic cushion. Quan et al. [19] investigated the effect of the elastic cushion thicknesses to improve the quality of the formed sheet metal of AZ31B. Cai et al. [4] carried out FE simulation and experimental study into dimpling, wrinkling and springback using different process parameters. Zareh-Desari et al. [23] confirmed that the elastic cushion layers are essential elements in MPF and have improved the geometrical accuracy of the deformed sheet.

Paunoiu et al. [17] used a dynamic FE model and studied the impact of the elastic cushion and tool geometry on the sheet deformation. They found that the elastic cushion had contributed on improving the surface quality of the deformed sheet. Kareem and Imad [3] studied the influence of localised deformation on the quality of the deformed sheet and the importance of using the elastic cushion in reducing the formation of dimples, but they also found that using a very thick elastic cushion degrades the accuracy of the fabricated part. On the other hand, Essa et al. [2, 8, 20] studied the effect of elastic material, friction coefficient and thickness on the surface quality. They were able to optimise the elastic material type and thickness for better product quality parts.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, many researchers used solid elastic cushions to improve the quality of the deformed sheets in MPF process, but no study was found of using mesh-type elastic cushion to replace the typical solid one. In this work, a novel mesh-type elastic cushion was proposed aiming to improve surface quality of the MPF process. Finite element modelling and design of experiments were employed to optimise the mesh-type elastic cushion parameters. The effect of the cushion thickness, mesh size and the type on the quality of the deformed parts in terms of wrinkling, thickness variation and maximum deviation was investigated.

Table 2 Solid and mesh-type cushions used in the experiment



Fig. 3 Nominal compression stress–strain diagram of polyurethane A-90 compared with the Yeoh, Neo-Hooke and Mooney–Rivlin models



2 Experimental and modelling

2.1 Experimental work

The experimental work of the MPF process was carried out using a MPF device as shown in Fig. 1. There were 600 pins for each array, with 30 rows in the x-direction and 20 columns in the z-direction; the square pin cross section was 10×10 mm with a 10-mm tip radius and with 0.25-mm gap between adjacent pins. The height of the pins was adjusted by rotating a lead screw.

A blank sheet of DC05 steel with 1-mm thickness was used in this work. The mechanical properties of the DC05 blank sheet were obtained in order to feed them to the numerical simulation. A Zwick/Roell tensile test machine with an extensometer attached to the specimen gage length (University of Birmingham) was used according to the ASTM E8 standard. Samples of the DC05 sheet material were cut at 0°, 45° and 90° with respect to the blank sheet rolling direction to investigate the effect of the rolling direction on the mechanical properties of the samples. The tensile test results are shown in Fig. 2a. They indicate that the rolling direction had no



Fig. 4 3D model of MPF process with mesh-type layered polyurethane



(a) Boundary conditions

(b)Mesh model

Fig. 5 Boundary conditions and meshing of the MPF model

significant effect on the tensile testing results, and therefore, the blank sheet material was considered as isotropic material. In addition to the experimental data, a power law equation was also applied to represent the stress of the sheet material (see Eq. 1) [2].

$$\sigma = k\varepsilon^n \tag{1}$$

where σ is the true stress, k is the coefficient of strength, ε is the true strain and n is the strain hardening. The mechanical properties of the DC05 sheet material are shown in Table 1.



ers
e

Due e con a constructions	Units	Units Levels			
Process parameters		Low	Intermediate	High	
Elastic cushion mesh size (A)	mm	2×2	3×3	4×4	
Elastic cushion thickness (B)	mm	3	6	9	
Elastic cushion mesh-type (C)	_	_	Square/circular	_	

A mesh-type elastic cushion was prepared using polyurethane A-90 as it is one of the durable materials used in the MPF process [2]. Table 2 shows the solid and mesh-type elastic cushions used in the experiments.

The polyurethane A-90 properties were obtained using a Zwick tensile test machine and subjected to a compression test and according to the authors' previous research work [2]. The results of the compression test were compared with three mathematical models before using them in the FE simulation. These models were Yeoh, Neo-Hooke and Mooney–Rivlin. Figure 3 shows a comparison between the three models and the compression results. As shown, there is an agreement between the experimental results and the Mooney–Rivlin model. Therefore, this model was used in the FE simulation as a nonlinear hyperelastic. The profile of the deformed sheet was measured using a coordinate measuring machine (CMM) with geomatics. The deformed surface was scanned with a grid of points with a spacing of 4 mm in the X and Y directions.

2.2 Modelling

A FE model was developed to study the MPF process with mesh-type elastic cushion. The model consists of a pair of pin matrices forming the die and the punch, respectively, a blank sheet and two sheets of mesh-type elastic cushion. The pins of the die and punch are square-shaped with hemispherical tips. Figure 4 represents the FE model and its components. SolidWorks was used to construct the model and simulated by using ABAQUS Explicit. As the model is symmetric, only one quarter of the model was used to speed up the computational time. The sheet and elastic cushion were modelled as deformable bodies and C3D8R quadratic element type was used. Five elements through the sheet thickness have been used as recommended by Wang, S. et al. [22]. The element size is 1 mm and a total of 182,250 elements were used to mesh one-quarter of the sheet, while a total of 49,140 elements were used for the elastic cushion. The pin size of 10 mm was recommended in previous investigation by Abosaf et al. [2]. Therefore, each of the punch and die contains 300×200 pins and each single pin has 10-mm tip radius. The pins were modelled as discrete rigid bodies. Symmetric boundary conditions were applied to the sheet and elastic cushion. The die is fixed in X, Y and Z directions, while the punch is fixed in X and Z directions only and free to move in Y axis as shown in the figure. Surface to surface contact between the pins and elastic cushion as well as between the elastic cushion

Factor 3 Response 1 Response 2 Response 3 Response 4 Exp. run Factor 1 Factor 2 A: mesh size B: cushion thickness Thickness variation Maximum deviation C: mesh type Wrinkling Sheet metal thickness 2.29 1 3.88 Square 1 1 0.003217 1.32 2 4 Circular 1.65 0.99 0.005451 0.56 6 3 3.71 3.88 Circular 1.5 1 0.003266 1.34 4 3.71 3.88 Square 1.5 1 0.003883 1.36 9 5 3 0.81 1 0.009041 0.89 Circular 6 3 6 Circular 0.79 1 0.003817 0.79 7 2 6 Square 1.15 1 0.006134 1.08 3 8 3 Square 0.65 1 0.00164 0.35 9 3 6 Square 0.79 1 0.007452 0.65 10 3 9 0.34 0.009041 1.03 Square 1 8.12 1.11 0.008974 2.11 11 3.71 Circular 1 12 2.29 8.12 Circular 1.45 1 0.007974 0.74 13 8.12 0.5 0.72 3.71 Square 1 0.007208 14 3 3 Circular 1 1 0.001983 0.68 15 2.29 3.88 Circular 1.5 1 0.003217 1.32 16 4 6 1.65 1 0.007451 0.56 Square 17 3 6 Circular 0.79 1 0.007817 0.78 0.89 18 2.29 8.12 Square 0.27 0.008356 0.06

 Table 4
 Experimental analysis and simulation results

Table 5 1 values of each process parameters				
Wrinkling	Thickness variation	Maximum deviation		
0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
0.0021	0.0001	0.0001		
0.139	0.3147	0.6715		
(AB) = 0.3811 (AC) = 0.0502 (BC) = 0.0559	(AB) = 0.3538 (AC) = 0.1273 $(B \times C) 0.0057$	(AB) = 0.6338 (AC) = 0.4373 (BC) = 0.5472		
	Wrinkling 0.0001 0.0021 0.139 (AB) = 0.3811 (AC) = 0.0502 (BC) = 0.0559	Wrinkling Thickness variation 0.0001 0.0001 0.0021 0.0001 0.139 0.3147 (AB) = 0.3811 (AB) = 0.3538 (AC) = 0.0502 (AC) = 0.1273 (BC) = 0.0559 (B × C) 0.0057		

 Table 5
 P values of each process parameter

and sheet metal is used. Coulomb friction has been implemented, and coefficients of friction of 0.05 and 0.1 were used as recommended by Abosaf et al. [2]. The dimensions of the sheet metal were 155 mm \times 100 mm \times 1 mm, and those of the elastic cushion were 155 mm \times 100 mm \times 3 mm. Figure 5 shows the boundary conditions and the meshing models. Coulomb friction has been used in this FE model presented in this investigation. Coulomb friction is widely used in multipoint forming where values between 0 and 0.1 were tested [2]. An explicit solver was employed in the simulation to avoid convergence problems because the large number of elements used.

The FE model was experimentally validated using the setup reported by Abosaf et al. [2]. Figure 6 shows a comparison between the experimental and simulated forces with respect to the die displacement. There was a good agreement between the two curves. The force slightly increased until the die displacement reaches about 30 mm followed by a rapid increase until the displacement reaches about 40 mm. The maximum predicted force was 45.2 kN, while the experimental one reached 45.0 kN as the upper and lower dies are closed.

2.3 Optimisation using design of experiments

Design of experiments (DoE) and analysis of variance (ANOVA) have been widely reported to be useful in studying the effect of process parameters on the process responses of many manufacturing industries [10-13] and in sheet forming [2, 7]. The process parameters included in this work were the cushion thickness, the size of the cushion mesh and geometry of the mesh (circular and square). The mesh sizes have been chosen carefully based on preliminary study to determine the extreme cases of mesh size. The mesh size must not exceed the pin size; otherwise, direct contact between the pin and sheet will occur which will lead to dimpling defect. On the other hand, if the mesh size is too small, the effect of mesh on quality characteristics becomes insignificant. Values between 2 and 4 mm were found to be reasonable. As for the other process parameters, the levels were selected based on similar published investigations [2].

The levels of parameter were low, medium and high as shown in Table 3. Three response parameters were considered to assess the quality of the proposed mesh-type cushion. These response parameters were the wrinkling,



Fig. 7 Effect of hole size and elastic cushion thickness on wrinkling

Fig. 8 Effect of mesh size and cushion thickness on the thickness variation



thickness variation and maximum deviation. Face-centred response surface method was used to create the design matrix with a set of 17 experiments.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of process parameters

The experimental process parameters and the corresponding wrinkling, sheet metal thickness, thickness variation and maximum deviation of the deformed sheet are listed in Table 4. The table shows that samples fabricated using square hole of a size of 2.29 mm and a thickness of 8.12 mm showed the minimum wrinkling and deviation, while samples fabricated using circular hole of a size of 3 mm and a thickness of 3 mm showed the minimum thickness variation. The DoE results were statistically analysed using the Design Expert 7.0 software. Investigation of the significant process parameters was carried out using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). A P value more than 0.05 represents insignificant factor. Table 5 shows the P values for the process parameters under investigation and their interactions. The table shows that the wrinkling, thickness variation and the maximum deviation are strongly affected by the mesh size and the cushion thickness as well as the interaction between cushion thickness and cushion type. One the other hand, the mesh type was found insignificant in the process. Figure 7 shows the effect of the mesh size and the cushion

significance level of 5 was used, which means that P

value less than 0.05 represents a significant factor, while

thickness on the wrinkling of the deformed sheet, as they are significant factors in the process. The figure shows that wrinkling increases with the increasing of the mesh size and the decreasing of the cushion thickness. In this case, the mesh size is much larger than the amount of the deformation of the cushion, which resulted in areas of the sheet metal with a direct contact with the pins. This causes areas with highdeveloped pressure and non-uniform stress distribution across the sheet, which increases the wrinkling effect. On the other hand, when small mesh size is used, no direct contact between



Fig. 9 Effect of elastic cushion thickness and mesh size on maximum deviation

Coefficient	Wrinkling (mm)	Thickness variation (mm)	Maximum deviation (mm)
bo	1.84755	3.60633	- 0.02307
b_1	-3.10900×10^{-3}	1.16540×10^{-3}	- 0.021315
b_2	- 0.13927	5.97254×10^{-3}	- 0.022133
b_3	0.37777	0.56882	- 0.019781
b_4	3.00000×10^{-5}	2.65000×10^{-5}	0.010012
b_5	- 1.938E-004	1.763E-004	0.047207
b_6	4.000E-003	- 0.0143	- 0.018003
b_7	5.715E-006	- 3.201E-006	0.024241
b_8	3.144E-003	5.059E-003	- 0.023006
b_9	- 0.024222	+ 4.54510E-003	0.0184457

Table 6 Response surface model coefficients for the wrinkling and thickness deviation

pins and sheet surface occurs. Instead, the cushion material flows towards the holes and prevents any undesired material buildup between the pins. Additionally, large cushion thickness allows more uniform stress distribution across the sheet. A minimum wrinkling of 1.71 mm was achieved at a hole size of 3.5 mm and a cushion thickness of 3 mm.

Figure 8 shows the thickness variation as a function of the mesh size and the cushion thickness. The figure indicates that minimum thickness variation can be achieved by using small mesh size and thick cushion. Biaxial expansion of the cushion material is expected to be more significant when using thick cushion. However, this will be resisted by the high friction between the cushion and sheet thickness which leads to non-uniform deformation [2]. The lateral deformation and friction can both be reduced by using thin cushion with small holes, i.e. small mesh size. Additionally, when large mesh size is used, dimpling becomes more significant due to the direct contact between the pint and sheet surface. This leads to nonuniform thickness distribution and large thickness variation. A minimum thickness variation of 0.017 mm was achieved at a mesh size of 3.5 mm and a cushion thickness of 3 mm.

Figure 9 shows the effect of the mesh size and the cushion thickness on the maximum deviation of the deformed sheet. The figure shows that the maximum deviation increases as the cushion thickness increases while slightly affected by the mesh size. In this case, any small change in the thickness of the cushion affects the local sheet thinning. In particular, when a thin elastic cushion is used, local deformation by

 Table 7
 The predicted and experimentally validated responses

	Wrinkling	Max.	Thickness
	(mm)	deviation (mm)	variation (mm)
Predicted	(2.08900E−007) ≈ 0	1.0267	0.1000
Measured	1.0741	0.0358	0.01932

individual pins becomes more noticeable and thus maximum deviation changes. A minimum maximum deviation of 0.60 mm was achieved at a mesh size of 3.88 mm and a cushion thickness of 3.01 mm.

3.2 Optimisation of process parameters

An empirical equation was developed to present the response surfaces (the wrinkling, thickness variation and the maximum deviation) as a function of mesh size and cushion thickness using the following equation:

$Response = b_0 + b_1A + b_2B + b_3C + b_4AB + b_5AC$	
$+ b_6 BC + b_7 A^2 + b_8 B^2 + b$	(2)

where b_i are response coefficients based on the main and interaction of the process parameters, A is the mesh size, B is the elastic cushion thickness and C is the mesh type. The values of the coefficients for the wrinkling, maximum deviation and thickness deviation are shown in Table 6.

Equation 2 was used to obtain the optimum process parameters. The aim of the optimisation was to minimise wrinkling and thickness variation. For a MPF process with 10 mm pin diameters, 46.0 kN die force and 400 mm radius of curvature, the best process parameters to achieve minimised wrinkling and thickness variation are mesh size of 3.5 mm and cushion thickness of 3.0 mm. The abovementioned optimised parameters were used for validation. Table 7 shows a comparison between the predicted and the measured responses of the samples prepared using the optimum process parameters. As shown in the table, the measured maximum deviation responses and thickness variation are slightly less than the predicted ones while measured wrinkling is about 1 mm higher than the predicted wrinkling.



Fig. 10 Developed pressure on the blank sheet using solid cushion (a) and mesh-type cushion (b)

3.3 Comparison between solid and mesh-type cushions

A comparison between the use of solid and mesh-type cushions in the MPF on the developed pressure on the blank sheet is shown in Fig. 10. The developed pressure was higher, nonuniform and affected larger areas when using solid cushion as shown in Fig. 10 a than when using mesh-type cushion (see Fig. 10b). In particular, the maximum positive and negative pressures were + 278 MPa and – 201 MPa when using solid cushions, while they were + 224 MPa and – 193 MPa when using mesh-type cushion. In addition, it can be noted that when using mesh-type cushion, the pressure is also more homogenous. This is may be attributed to the physical response of the mesh-type cushion when being deformed during MPF process. As the pins of the dies deform, the voids of the meshtype cushion allow the deformed cushion to expand/deform more uniformly than when using solid cushion. The more uniform deformation of cushion leads to uniform stress distribution which reduces the formation of wrinkling and thickness variation.

The developed pressure distribution shown in Fig. 10 affects the deformed shape and its response parameters such as wrinkling. To verify the results, the optimum results were used to fabricate double-curved panel from DC05 steel using mesh-type and solid cushions. Figure 11 shows a comparison between the scanned profiles of two sheet blanks made formed using mesh-type and solid cushions. As shown, the sheet formed using mesh-type cushion is more in agreement with the target profile than the sheet formed using solid cushion. In addition, the wrinkling is clearly notable for the sheet formed using solid cushion.



Fig. 11 a Profile of the target model compared to the scanned profile of the sheet made using mesh-type and solid cushions. b Deformed sheet using solid cushion. c Deformed sheet using mesh-type cushion

4 Conclusions

This work proposes the use of mesh-type elastic cushion to replace the current solid elastic cushion in the MPF process. The developed simulation model results were found in agreement with the experimental measurements. Design of experiments were used to study the significant parameters of the mesh-type elastic cushion and to optimise them. It was found that the use of mesh-type elastic cushion during MPF process was very efficient to improve wrinkling, thickness variation and maximum deviation when compared with those made using solid cushion. The mesh-type cushion thickness and mesh size were found significant factors while mesh hole type was found insignificant. The wrinkling was found to be highly affected by the mesh size, while the thickness variation and maximum deviation were found significantly influenced by the change of the cushion thickness. The optimum conditions were found to be square meshes of a size of 3.5 mm and a thickness of 3 mm. At these conditions, wrinkling was improved by 37%, while the thickness variation was improved by 80% and the maximum deviation was improved by 98%.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Abebe M, Lee K, Kang BS (2016) Surrogate-based multi-point forming process optimization for dimpling and wrinkling reduction. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 85:391–403
- Abosaf M, Essa K, Alghawail A, Tolipov A, Su S, Pham D (2017) Optimisation of multi-point forming process parameters. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 92:1849–1859
- 3. Alhameedi A.k., 2013, Influence of die elements shapes on process parameters in multi-point sheet metal forming process,.
- Cai Z-Y, Wang S-H, Li M-Z (2008) Numerical investigation of multi-point forming process for sheet metal: wrinkling, dimpling and springback. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 37:927–936
- Chen J-J, Li M-Z, Liu W, Wang C-T (2005) Sectional multipoint forming technology for large-size sheet metal. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 25:935–939
- Chen J, Fu W, Li M, Wang Y, Deng Y , 2017 Research on formability of multi-point press forming for 08Al and 2024-O sheet, In: Key Eng Mater, , pp.:12e4–132.
- Elghawail A, Essa K, Abosaf M, Tolipov A, Su S, Pham D (2017) Prediction of springback in multi-point forming. Cogent Eng 4: 1400507. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2017.1400507

- Elghawail A, Essa K, Abosaf M, Tolipov A, Su S, Pham D (2018) Low-cost metal-forming process using an elastic punch and a reconfigurable multi-pin die. Int J Mater Form
- Erhu Q, Mingzhe L, Rui L, Liang Z, Zhuo Y (2018) Inhibitory effects of a flexible steel pad on wrinkling in multi-point die forming. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 95:2413–2420
- Essa K, Hassanin H, Attallah MM, Adkins NJ, Musker AJ, Roberts GT, Tenev N, Smith M (2017) Development and testing of an additively manufactured monolithic catalyst bed for HTP thruster applications. Appl Catal A Gen 542:125–135
- Galatas A, Hassanin H, Zweiri Y, Seneviratne L (2018) Additive manufactured sandwich composite/ABS parts for unmanned aerial vehicle applications. Polymers 10:1262
- Hassanin H, Modica F, El-Sayed MA, Liu J, Essa K (2016) Manufacturing of Ti–6Al–4V micro-implantable parts using hybrid selective laser melting and micro-electrical discharge machining. Adv Eng Mater 18:1544–1549
- Hassanin H, Finet L, Cox SC, Jamshidi P, Grover LM, Shepherd DET, Addison O, Attallah MM (2018) Tailoring selective laser melting process for titanium drug-delivering implants with releasing micro-channels. Addit Manuf 20:144–155
- Nakajima N (1969) A newly developed technique to fabricate complicated dies and electrodes with wires. Bulletin of JSME 12:1546– 1554
- Park J-W, Kim Y-B, Kim J, Kang B-S (2014) Study on multiple die stretch forming for curved surface of sheet metal. Int J Precis Eng Manuf 15:2429–2436
- Paunoiu V, Cekan P, Gavan E, Nicoara D (2008) Numerical simulations in reconfigurable multipoint forming. Int J Mater Form 1: 181–184
- Paunoiu VTV, Maier C, Baroiu N, Bercu G, (2011), Study of the tool geometry in reconfigurable multipoint forming, The Annals of Dunărea de Jos University of Galați, Fascicle V, Technologies In Machine Building: 1221–4566.
- Peng H, Liu H, Zhang X (2017) Numerical investigation of wrinkle for multi-point thermoforming of polymethylmethacrylate sheet. IOP Conference Series: materials science and engineering 242: 012028
- Quan G-Z, Ku T-W, Kang B-S (2011) Improvement of formability for multi-point bending process of AZ31B sheet material using elastic cushion. Int J Precis Eng Manuf 12:1023–1030
- Tolipov AA, Elghawail A, Shushing S, Pham D, Essa K (2017) Experimental research and numerical optimisation of multi-point sheet metal forming implementation using a solid elastic cushion system. J Phys Conf Ser 896:012120
- 21. Valjavec M, Hardt DE (1999) Closed-loop shape control of the stretch forming process over a reconfigurable tool: precision air-frame skin fabrication. Peroceedings of ICEME 99:1–11
- Wang S, Cai Z, Li M, Lan Y (2012) Numerical simulation on the local stress and local deformation in multi-point stretch forming process. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 60:901–911
- Zareh-Desari B, Davoodi B, Vedaei-Sabegh A (2017) Investigation of deep drawing concept of multi-point forming process in terms of prevalent defects. Int J Mater Form 10:193–203

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.