

Practical guidelines on endoscopic treatment for Crohn's disease strictures

Shen, Bo; Kochhar , Gursimran ; Navaneethan , Udayakumar; Farraye, Francis ; Schwartz, David A; Iacucci, Marietta; Bernstein , Charles N; Dryden, Gerald; Cross, Raymond ; Bruining, David H; Kobayashi , Taku; Lukas , Martin ; Shergill , Amandeep ; Bortlik , Martin ; Lan, Nan ; Lukas, Milan ; Tang, Shou-Jiang ; Kotze, Paulo Gustavo; Kiran, Ravi P. ; Dulai, Parambir S.

DOI:

[10.1016/S2468-1253\(19\)30366-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30366-8)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Shen, B, Kochhar , G, Navaneethan , U, Farraye, F, Schwartz, DA, Iacucci, M, Bernstein , CN, Dryden, G, Cross, R, Bruining, DH, Kobayashi , T, Lukas , M, Shergill , A, Bortlik , M, Lan, N, Lukas, M, Tang, S-J, Kotze, PG, Kiran, RP, Dulai, PS, El-Hachem, S, Coelho-Prabhu, N, Thakkar, S, Mao, R, Chen, G, Zhang, S, González Suárez, B, Gonzalez Lama, Y, Silverberg, MS & Sandborn , WJ 2020, 'Practical guidelines on endoscopic treatment for Crohn's disease strictures: a consensus statement from the Global Interventional Inflammatory Bowel Disease Group', *The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253\(19\)30366-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30366-8)

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Title: Practical Guideline on Endoscopic Therapy of Crohn's Disease Strictures: An Expert Consensus from the Global Interventional Inflammatory Bowel Disease Group

Authors: Bo Shen,¹ Gursimran Kochhar,² Udayakumar Navaneethan,³ Francis A. Farraye, ⁴ David A. Schwartz,⁵ Marietta Iacucci,⁶ Charles N. Bernstein,⁷ Gerald Dryden,⁸ Raymond Cross,⁹ David H. Bruining,¹⁰ Taku Kobayashi,¹¹ Martin Lukas,¹² Amandeep Shergill,¹³ Martin Bortlik,¹² Nan Lan, ¹⁴ Milan Lukas,¹² Shou-Jiang Tang,¹⁵ Paulo Gustavo Kotze,¹⁶ Ravi P. Kiran, MD, MS,¹⁷ Parambir S. Dulai,¹⁸ Sandra El-Hachem,² Nayantara Coelho-Prabhu,¹⁰ Shyam Thakkar,² Ren Mao, ¹⁹ Guodong Chen, ²⁰ Shengyu, Zhang,²¹ Begoña González Suárez,²² Yago Gonzalez Lama,²³ Mark S. Silverberg,²⁴ William J. Sandborn.¹⁸

From: 1. Interventional IBD Unit, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA; 2. Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition, Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; 3. Center for Interventional Endoscopy, Florida Hospital, Orlando, FL, USA; 4. Department of Gastroenterology, Mayo Clinic Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL, USA; 5. Department of Gastroenterology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA; 6. Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Birmingham, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, UK; 7. University of Manitoba IBD Clinical and Research Centre Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; 8. Department of Gastroenterology, University Louisville Medical Center, Louisville, KY, USA; 9. Center for Inflammatory Bowel Disease, University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, USA; 10. Department of Gastroenterology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; 11. Center for Advanced

IBD Research and Treatment, Kitasato University Kitasato Institute Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; 12. Klinické a výzkumné centrum pro zánětlivá střevní onemocnění ISCARE as a 1.LF UK Praha, Czech Republic; 13. Department of Gastroenterology, University of California Medical Center, San Francisco, CA, USA; 14. Department of Colorectal Surgery, the 6th Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China; 15. Division of Gastroenterology, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA; 16. IBD Outpatients Clinic, Catholic University of Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil; 17. Division of Colorectal Surgery, Columbia University Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; 18. Department of Gastroenterology, University of California San Diego Medical Center, San Diego, CA, USA; 19. Department of Gastroenterology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China; 20. Department of Gastroenterology, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China; 21. Department of Gastroenterology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China; 22. Servei de Gastroenterologia – ICMDiM Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; 23. IBD Unit, Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro, Madrid, Spain; 24. Mount Sinai Hospital Inflammatory Bowel Disease Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada

Running title: Endoscopic therapy in stricturing Crohn's disease

Correspondence:

Bo Shen, MD

Department of Gastroenterology/Hepatology/Nutrition-A31

Cleveland Clinic

9500 Euclid Ave.

Cleveland, OH 44195 USA

Tel. 1 216 444 9252; FAX: 1 216 444 6305

email: shenb@ccf.org and boshenmd@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Stricture formation is the most common complication of Crohn's disease, resulting from the disease process, surgery, or medications. Endoscopic balloon dilation plays an important role in the management of these strictures, with emerging techniques such as endoscopic electroincision and stenting. The underlying disease process, altered bowel anatomy from disease or surgery, and concurrent use of immunosuppressive medications can make these endoscopic procedures more challenging. An urgent need exists for the standardization of these procedures and peri-procedural management. The consensus group proposes detailed guidance in all aspects of principle and techniques for these procedures.

KEYWORDS

Balloon Dilation; Bleeding; Crohn's disease; Consensus; Electroincision; Guideline; Perforation; Stricture; Strictureplasty; Stricturotomy; Technique

ABBREVIATIONS

APAGE, the Asian Pacific Association of Gastroenterology; APSDE, the Asian Pacific Society for Digestive Endoscopy; ASGE, the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; BSG, the British Society of Gastroenterology;; CO₂, carbon dioxide; CTE, computed tomography enterography; EBD, endoscopic balloon dilatation; EL, evidence level; EMR, endoscopic mucosal resection; ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection; ESGE, the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; ET, endoscopic treatment; GA, general anesthesia; GI, gastrointestinal; GR, grade of recommendation; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MAC, monitored anesthesia care; MRE, magnetic resonance enterography; MRI,

magnetic resonance imaging; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PEG, polyethylene glycol; SEMS, self-expandable metallic stent; TNF, tumor necrosis factor ;

INTRODUCTION

The majority of patients with Crohn's disease eventually develop complications, including strictures, fistulas, abscesses, and colitis-associated neoplasia. Stricture formation is the most common complication, resulting from the underlying disease, surgical anastomosis or stricturoplasty. In a population-based study in Olmsted County, MN, USA, 249 patients presented with inflammatory phenotype at diagnosis in the diagnosed between 1970 and 2004, the cumulative risk of developing a stricturing or penetrating intestinal complication was 19% at 90 days, 22% at 1 year, and 51% at 20 years after diagnosis.¹ Review of population-based cohort studies showed 56% to 81% of patients with CD presented with inflammatory phenotype, 5% to 24% with stricturing phenotype, and 4% to 23% with penetrating disease.²

For diagnosis, disease monitoring, and treatment, a comprehensive classification of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)-related strictures, has been proposed, which is based on the etiology, clinical presentation, underlying and associated conditions, malignant potential, composition (inflammatory vs. fibrotic), length, location, degree, number, and complexity (**Table 1**).³

Early and effective medical therapy may delay or prevent the development of complications, while the role medical therapy for the management of fibrostenotic and anastomotic strictures is being explored. Mechanical modalities of therapy are necessary in view of the structural nature of stricture. Bowel resection and stricturoplasty are effective to treat primary or secondary (i.e. anastomotic) strictures. However, this invasive nature risks postoperative complications and disease recurrence, making surgical approaches a last resort. Alternative need to provide greater efficacy and durability than medical therapy, and lower cost and risks than

surgery. Endoscopic treatment (ET) with balloon dilation (EBD), electroincision, or stent placement have emerged as important options in the management of stricturing Crohn's disease.⁴

Endoscopic therapy for Crohn's disease can be technically challenging, due to underlying disease factors, anatomic alteration by disease or surgery, frequently in the setting of immunosuppression. A survey study of medical IBD specialists detailed considerable variation in practice of EBD.⁵ Initial guidelines documented clinical efficacy, safety, and contraindications of EBD and concurrent use of corticosteroids or anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF).⁶ Standardization of the technical approaches to ET is needed.

METHODS

Perspectives

These consensus statements were developed to address the general and technical aspects of endoscopic management of Crohn's disease strictures.

Data source

The steering committee (B.S., G.K., U.N.) first performed a review of the medical literature using relevant references for each statement. A systematic literature search of MEDLINE, Google Scholar, EMBASE (from 1999), and CENTRAL (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials) was performed. Key search terms included Crohn's disease, inflammatory bowel disease, stenosis, stricture, obstruction, balloon dilation (dilatation), complications, bleeding, perforation, and procedure. Inclusion criteria were: (1) Crohn's disease with primary or secondary (i.e. anastomotic stricture) strictures; and (2) ET with EBD, electroincision, or stent placement. Published articles or abstracts for evaluation met the following criteria were: (1) case

series describing EBD must exceed 50 cases for lower gastrointestinal (GI) tract stricture, 25 cases for upper GI stricture, or 15 cases of stricture therapy for ileoscopy via stoma, pelvic pouches, or Kock pouches; (2) controlled studies describing EBD must exceed 20 cases; (3) case series describing endoscopic electroincision or stricturotomy must exceed 15 cases; or (4) case series describing stent placement must exceed 5 cases. The most recent publications from serial authors were used. The lack of high-quality clinical trials, i.e. randomized controlled trials, in the endoscopic management of Crohn's disease-associated strictures, necessitated inclusion of expert opinions.

We adopted the Oxford Center for Evidence Medicine methodology to generate treatment recommendations (<http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=1025>) (**Table 2**).

Consensus Process

The Delphi method guided the preparation of documents. The consensus group consisted of leading IBD experts, advanced endoscopists, gastrointestinal (GI) radiologists, and IBD surgeons. The initial questionnaire and statement were developed and circulated by the steering committee. A face-to-face consensus meeting with the first-round voting process was convened during the annual Digestive Disease Week in San Diego, CA, in May 2019, to conduct the first voting round. The participants voted anonymously on their agreement with the statements, provided comments and suggested revisions. The second round of web-based voting process for the revised documents was performed within a month of the face-to-face meeting. A statement was accepted if >80 % of participants agreed with the statement. The manuscript was drafted, reviewed, and approved by all members of the consensus group.

The guidelines were categorized based on published literature as well as consensus among expert participants in the group. The guidelines were organized in the following categories: pre-procedural preparation, balloon dilation, other ET modalities, post-procedure care, outcome measures, and damage controls.

Funding Source

The process was largely self-funded, with participants devoting time and efforts. A total of less than \$10,000 of unrestricted grants were provided by Boston Scientific (Marlborough, MA, USA) and OVESCO (Cary, NC, USA), for the meeting space.

REPORTS

The consensus statements are listed in **Table 3**.

1. *PRE PROCEDURAL PREPARATION*

Prior to endoscopic intervention, or strictures, it is essential to delineate the number, severity, type (inflammatory vs. fibrotic), and length of strictures, and the presence or absence of associated conditions (fistulas or abscesses), or proximal disease (Recommendation **Table 3-1-1**).⁷ Major published studies used pre-procedural cross-sectional imaging.^{8,9,10,11,12,13, 14,15} CTE or MRE is generally considered to be more accurate to assess intraluminal, bowel wall, and extra-luminal structures than conventional computed tomography (CT) or conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), although the true advantages of CTE or MRE over conventional CT or MRI are yet to be verified.¹⁶ MRE with various techniques, such as diffusion-weighted and delayed enhancement is preferred, as MRE is the preferred technique to diagnose strictures and to

differentiate fibrotic from inflammatory components and to measure length of stricture.¹⁷ Ultrasound elasticity has been increasingly used for the evaluation of intestinal strictures, particularly in Europe and Australia.¹⁷ Enteroclysis or contrast enemas via the anus or stoma provide dynamic images to delineate stricture characteristics. However, use of small bowel follow through or small bowel enteroclysis for Crohn's disease is waning. Three key components have been proposed for the detection of stricture, luminal narrowing, wall thickening, and prestenotic dilation.¹⁷ Most Crohn's strictures are of mixed type and distinction between inflammatory and fibrotic stricture has been difficult with biomarkers, endoscopy, or histology.⁶ The complexities in the management of Crohn's disease require multidisciplinary team approach, including GI radiologists, as well as IBD specialists, endoscopists, and colorectal surgeons.^{18,19}

It is imperative that the bowel be optimally prepared via standard oral route to reduce procedure time and complication (Recommendation **Table 3-1-2**).²⁰ Unfortunately, scant data exist regarding the bowel preparation prior to ET for Crohn's disease.^{12,21,22} Standard bowel preparation recommended^{23,24} typically consists of polyethylene glycol-based regimen utilizing a balanced electrolyte solution and split dose regimen or equivalent approved preparations. Adequate bowel preparation is also critical to minimize electrocautery-associated colonic gas explosion.²⁵ Bowel preparation can be challenging in patients with bowel strictures. Prolonged preparation (i.e. more than 12 – 24 hours) or additional doses of the prep agent may be needed. Oral bowel preparation may be avoided in patients undergoing ileoscopy via stoma, lower GI endoscopy for diverted colon, diverted rectum, or ileal pouch.

Sedation is routinely used in IBD patients undergoing endoscopy procedures. Methods range from conscious sedation to general anesthesia (GA).^{8,10,11,21,26,27} Conscious sedation generally suffices in the most settings^{8,26,27} Monitored anesthesia care (MAC) or GA should be

performed in the setting of significant comorbidities, or when contemplating prolonged procedure time for complex strictures, angulated or multiple strictures, or strictures in the deep small bowel (Recommendation **Table 3-1-3**).¹⁵ The American Society of Anesthesiologists classification should guide sedation method, based on functional status.

The majority of ET procedures can be safely performed in an outpatient. A few studies report outpatient-based ET.^{28,29} Anatomy altered by underlying disease process or surgery can present challenges during ET. For prolonged procedures, hospital admission may be preferred. Procedures on hospitalized patients or those at high risk for perforation may be performed in the operating room where immediate surgical backup is available (Recommendation **Table 3-1-4**).

Fluoroscopic may be needed in certain ET procedure in IBD patients.^{21,30} Maintaining hydrostatic pressure and/or documenting waist obliteration by fluoroscopy portend a successful dilation when treating non-Crohn's disease strictures. However, the majority of therapeutic endoscopy procedures can be performed without on-site fluoroscopic guidance,³⁰ especially when pre-procedural abdominal imaging is available to guide ET. Complex strictures (as defined in **Table 1**), angulated, long, or multiple strictures, or the presence of pre-stenotic luminal dilation, may benefit from onsite fluoroscopy, when bowel anatomy has been significantly altered by underlying disease or surgery (Recommendation **Table 3-1-5**).

The advantages of carbon dioxide (CO₂) insufflation are documented.³¹ The use of CO₂ was reported in previous case-control studies in interventional IBD.^{28,29} Compared to room air, CO₂ insufflation reduces procedure-associated pain or discomfort, procedure time, post-procedural ileus, aspiration, and embolism (Recommendation **Table 3-1-6**).

The role of antibiotic prophylaxis in ET of Crohn's disease strictures has not been defined. Few studies reported the use of pre-procedural antibiotics.^{8,26} ASGE guidelines regarding peri-

procedural antibiotic prophylaxis did not specify use of its application in ET for Crohn's disease strictures.³² The 2017 American Heart Association guidelines stated that the administration of prophylactic antibiotics to prevent infectious endocarditis was no longer recommended for patients undergoing GI endoscopy.³³ No published data exist on the frequency of bacteremia in Crohn's disease patients following EBD, endoscopic electroincision, or stenting, while the reported rate of bacteremia following esophageal bougie dilation ranged from 12% to 22%,^{34,35,36} and declined to 6.3% after therapeutic colonoscopy procedures such as stent insertion.³⁷ Group consensus states that endoscopic intervention in immunocompromised patients, or in those with a central intravenous line, diverted colon, rectum, or ileal pouch may pose a risk for bacterial translocation; and therefore prophylactic antibiotics may be useful (**Figure 1**) (Recommendation **Table 3-1-7**).

The use of topically (i.e. budesonide) and systemically active corticosteroids was mentioned in the majority of cited studies.^{8,9,10,11,13,14,15,28,29,30} However, the impact of steroid use on efficacy and adverse events was not specified in those studies. IBD patients undergoing colonoscopy, especially EBD, exhibited a higher risk for procedure-associated perforation than non-IBD or non-intervention controls.³⁸ Current surgical literature suggests that high dose of systemic corticosteroids may attenuate systemic inflammatory responses, improve pulmonary function, and increase postoperative pain control without increasing infections or wound dehiscence.^{39, 40, 41} However, corticosteroids, especially when combined with other immunosuppressive agents, may increase postoperative complication risk in ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease.^{42,43,44,45} Systemic steroid use has been implicated with a higher risk for procedure-associated complications in patients undergoing diagnostic or therapeutic endoscopy.⁴⁶ Perforation occurring in steroid users may increase risk of bowel resection, intensive care unit admission, or need for stoma.⁴⁷

Steroid avoidance, discontinuation, or tapering in patients undergoing therapeutic endoscopy remains controversial. Surgical literature covering Crohn's disease management defines high-dose steroid use as taking more than 20 mg prednisone-equivalents for ≥ 6 weeks.⁴⁸ While this definition may be applied in interventional IBD, the group did not reach consensus for either dose or duration of pre-procedural systemic steroid use precluding ET in Crohn's disease patients. The absence of guidelines regarding steroid use before colorectal surgery also impacts diagnostic or therapeutic endoscopy. The consensus group believes that ET in IBD is generally less invasive than surgery and therefore steroid use imparts a lower risk. Nonetheless, the group agreed that systemic steroid therapy heightens risk of procedure-associated complications or adverse events of bowel resection and a diverting ostomy for perforation. The group suggests that endoscopists balance risks and benefits of ET procedure if a patient take ≥ 20 mg prednisone equivalent and taper steroids prior to elective ET, if possible (Recommendation **Table 3-1-8**).

Concurrent or prior use of biological agents ranged from 5.6% to 86.3% patients.^{8,9,10,11,14,15,21,22,26,28,29,30,47} No published data exist associating the efficacy or adverse events of EBD with concurrent biological agent use (Recommendation **Table 3-1-9**).

Bleeding is a significant complication for ET in Crohn's disease. Fortunately, ET procedures for Crohn's disease are elective; and urgent ET is not recommended. In summary, aspirin or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may be continued for EBD or stent placement; warfarin should be discontinued for at least 5 days prior to EBD, electroincision, or stent placement; and thienopyridines should be held for at least 5 days (Recommendation **Table 3-1-10**). Detailed information on the use antithrombotics in GI endoscopy and relevant society guides are listed in **Supplement**.

2. *BALLOON DILATION*

Stricture is generally defined as the narrowing of the lumen of GI tract. Luminal narrowing that prevents the non-resistant passage of an endoscope indicate a clinically significant stricture. Proper categorization of Crohn's disease strictures is important for the delivery of proper ET. The consensus group has proposed a classification system to categorize IBD-strictures (**Table 1**).³

Strictures may be found incidentally in asymptomatic patients on abdominal imaging or endoscopy. It is controversial whether asymptomatic patients with incidental strictures need to be treated endoscopically. Some only treated symptomatic strictures,^{9,10,11,12,13,27,30} while others treated both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients.^{8,21,26,28,29} The rationale offered for treating asymptomatic patients is that symptomatology is not necessarily correlated with the objective finding of strictures on imaging or on endoscopy;⁴⁹ treatment of asymptomatic strictures **may help defer or prevent the development of symptomatic strictures, and evaluate postoperative recurrence after resection and anastomosis or neoplasia in the bowel proximal to the stricture.** Symptomatic strictures demonstrated worse response to EBD and a higher risk for subsequent surgery.⁵⁰ Incidentally found strictures may impact the severity of disease courses, leading to acute partial small bowel obstruction and formation of pre-stenotic dilation or fistula/abscess. Later ET may not be feasible. Lack of pre-procedural imaging should not preclude ET of the incidental strictures (Recommendation **Table 3-2-1**).

Endoscope preference varies among endoscopists. Light-weight endoscopes would assist with scope maneuverability, maintaining scope orientation, and fatigue reduction (Recommendation **Table 3-2-2**). Gastrosopes are routinely used to treat patients with strictures at the upper GI tract,¹⁵ conventional ileostomies,⁵¹ continent ileostomies,⁵² or ileoanal pouches.⁵³

Graded dilation is recommended for the index or initial EBD to reduce the risk of bleeding and perforation. Various definitions of “graded dilation” have been used in the current literature.^{8,9,10,11,13,14,15,21,22,26,28,29} Graded dilation is normally performed with controlled radial expansion balloons, with inflation and partial or complete deflation in between each size. Inspection of the balloon-treated area should be taken after each dilatation. A goal of the size 18-20 mm should be pursued, as shown in foundational studies, even with multiple sessions of ET (Recommendation **Table 3-2-3**).^{8,9,10,11,15,21,22,26,27,28,29,30}

The efficacy of EBD may be tied with balloon size employed,¹⁴ although a pooled analysis failed to correlate balloon size and surgery-free survival.⁵⁴ No literature exists correlating balloon size and complication risk, but the consensus group cautioned that balloon size may yet impact procedure-associated complication risks. Therefore, the consensus group recommends that the integrated guide wire should be advanced beyond balloon tip for the duration of insufflation. This technique is particularly useful for high-grade, angulated, and tight strictures (**Figure 2**) (Recommendation **Table 3-2-4**). It is imperative for the endoscopist to secure the position of the balloon, as the balloon tends to slip forward (**Figure 3**). Retrograde dilation is preferred over antegrade dilation, if the stricture is initially traversable (Recommendation **Table 3-2-5**). Disagreement exists regarding optimal duration of balloon insufflation due to a lack of evidence on which to base this recommendation. No special recommendation was provided from the group (Recommendation **Table 3-2-6**). The consensus group supported taking a second look at the treated stricture after EBD, to ascertain the degree of tearing, to assess disease status of the proximal bowel, and to evaluate bleeding or perforation in which case rescue therapy should be delivered (**Figure 3; Figure 7**) (Recommendation **Table 3-2-7**). Additionally, attempts to traverse the treated stricture should be made in order. Direct through-the-balloon visualization to detect endoscopic

tearing during balloon dilation is suggested (Recommendation **Table 3-2-8**). More detailed information regarding EBD techniques please see attached **Supplement**.

EBD is efficacious and safe in primary or anastomotic strictures < 4 – 5 cm in length (Recommendation **Table 3-3-9**). The length of the stricture can be measured with endoscopy or imaging (**Figure 4** and **Figure 5**). The threshold for dividing short vs. long strictures has been defined at 4-5 cm.^{15,29,54,Error! Bookmark not defined.,55} Current literature suggests that EBD efficacy decreases being when treating strictures > 4-5 cm, without impacting procedure-associated complications.²⁹ Every 1 cm increase in stricture length increases the need for surgery by 8%.⁵⁴ Despite these findings, the endoscopist may still attempt EBD. Patients with poor immediate response or lack of long-term efficacy may benefit from alternative endoscopic therapy (e.g. electroincision) or surgery. A short interval between endoscopic interventions predicts an imminent need for surgical intervention.²⁹

EBD is more efficacious and safe for a small number of strictures (< 4) in a close proximity (Recommendation **Table 3-3-10**). EBD should be avoided for strictures with deep ulcerations (Recommendation **Table 3-3-11**). Few reported results of EBD for multiple strictures.^{11,13,22,26,28,29,30} EBD of multiple strictures in the ileocolonic segment (>3) performed poorly and often required surgical resolution.⁵⁶ The consensus group speculates that multiple strictures in a *short* segment of bowel may benefit more from surgical resection and anastomosis or stricturoplasty. These cases often involve angulation of the stenotic bowel, increasing procedural difficulty and attendant perforation risks. However, EBD may be attempted when multiple strictures are present in a *long* segment of bowel, such as concurrent strictures in the terminal ileum, ileocecal valve, and distal rectum.

The presence of inflammatory activity on the stenotic segment and concomitant use of anti-inflammatory agents do not appear to impact efficacy of EBD efficacy.¹⁰ But the impact of ulceration in stricture on EBD is unknown. The consensus group presumes that deep ulcers in strictures suggests active inflammation, which may indicate a higher risk for EBD-associated perforation³⁸ or bleeding (**Figure 6**) (Recommendation **Table 3-2-11**). However, superficial ulceration in strictures should not preclude EBD.

The presence of prestenotic luminal dilation indicates a long-standing disease **or high-grade stenosis**, raising the possibility of a poor response to EBD (**Figure 5**) (Recommendation **Table 3-2-12**). In Crohn's disease, patients with ileocolonic anastomosis strictures with prestenotic dilation demonstrated poor responses to EBD,^{28,50} although this may not hold for Crohn's disease strictures in the upper GI tract.**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Patients with concurrent fistula or abscess (except in the case of perianal abscess) were excluded for undergoing EBD in large case series.^{12,13,21,27,28,29} EBD of strictures in this setting could theoretically disrupt of **nearby** fistula track or abscess, causing bowel perforation. Therefore, EBD is not recommended in this setting (Recommendation **Table 3-2-13**).

Neoplasia associated with chronic inflammatory disease in Crohn's disease can present within strictures, although ulcerative colitis-associated strictures harbor neoplasia more commonly than Crohn's disease. Cumulative frequency of Crohn's disease-associated neoplasia ranged from 1.2% to 6.4%.^{57,58,59,60,61,62,63} The risk appears to be the highest in the anal strictures, followed by rectal strictures, then colon and small bowel strictures, respectively (**Figure 8**). Crohn's disease-associated colorectal cancer presents at more advanced stages than ulcerative colitis-associated cancer.⁶³ Few studies of Crohn's disease strictures describe the use of tissue biopsy during EBD.²¹ The role biopsy plays in determining inflammatory vs. fibrotic nature is unclear. Nonetheless, the

consensus group recommends that endoscopic biopsy of primary or anastomotic strictures should be conducted after undertaking EBD or other measures before completing the treatment session (Recommendation **Table 3-2-14**).

Therapeutic role of intralesional injection of corticosteroid adjunct to EBD is not clear. Two small randomized clinical trials provide conflicting results regarding benefits of intralesional injection of long-acting corticosteroids and outcomes of EBD.^{12,64,65} Intralesional steroid injection during EBD has also been reported in multiple case series, case-control studies,^{26,28,29,30} a metaanalysis,⁶⁵ and a pooled analysis.⁵⁴ Consensus opinion holds that the intralesional injection of long-acting corticosteroids offers no additional benefit to EBD. The risk added by intralesional steroid injection after EBD is unknown increases EBD-associated complications is not known. At this point, the consensus group recommends against routine use of intralesional injection (Recommendation **Table 3-2-15**).

Several case series report on efficacy and feasibility of intralesional anti-TNF injection for stricture treatment.^{66,67,68,69} Currently, the consensus group has no recommendation regarding this practice pending further studies (Recommendation **Table 3-2-16**).

3. OTHER ENDOSCOPIC TREATMENT MODALITIES

The past decade has witnessed an emerging role of endoscopic electroincision and stent placement for managing primary and anastomotic Crohn's disease strictures.^{3,70,71,72} The consensus group endorses efforts to standardize the terminology. **Current publications were from few tertiary-care center. These techniques need to perfection and their routine application requires training of the endoscopist.**

Endoscopic stricture electroincision in the treatment of stricturing lesions involves opening or removing strictured tissue with electrocautery. Electroincision can be performed in either radial, circumferential, or horizontal orientations (**Figure 9**). Incisions progressively widen the stenotic bowel lumen, hence, the term endoscopic stricturotomy. Selected strictures may benefit from endoscopic clipping after endoscopic stricturotomy, to enhance the short- and long- term luminal efficacy. Short-length (0.5 – 1.5 cm) strictures undergoing radial or horizontal stricturotomy may also be treated with endoscopic clipping after the incision with clips serving as spacers. Endoscopic clipping involves application of through-the-scope clips to the edges of electroincised strictures, in a fashion resembling surgical stricturoplasty. The technique used in endoscopic electroincision defines to its categorization into either (1) stricturotomy, i.e. widening of the stenotic lumen of the GI tract by incision alone; or (2) strictureplasty, i.e. widening of the stenotic lumen of the GI tract by incision, assessed by endoscopic clipping (**Figure 9**) (Recommendation **Table 3-3-1**). Information on techniques of endoscopic electroincision in Crohn's disease strictures is listed in **Supplement**.

The role of endoscopic stricturotomy or strictureplasty has yet to be defined. In the current literature, 23-50% of Crohn's disease patients with primary or anastomotic strictures who underwent endoscopic stricturotomy or strictureplasty had been previously treated with EBD.^{29,70} Endoscopic stricturotomy and stricturoplasty appear to be more effective than EBD in treating ileocolonic anastomotic strictures in Crohn's disease. Endoscopic electroincision may be particularly useful for fibrotic, anastomotic, or anal or distal bowel strictures (Recommendation **Table 3-3-2**). While endoscopic electroincision provides greater efficacy than EBD and a lower perforation risk, it can cause delayed bleeding.^{29,70,71,72} Bleeding typically results from a

protuberant vessel in the ulcer created by electrocautery. These technically challenging procedures should be performed by expert endoscopists.

The consensus group agreed that endoscopic electroincision is particularly applicable to the treatment of anorectal strictures in Crohn's disease (Recommendation **Table 3-3-3**). Compared with bougie or balloon dilation, circumferential stricturotomy at the posterior wall of the strictures allows for precise control of orientation (parallel to anal sphincters), depth, and location of the ablation. Electroincision may reduce the risk of anal sphincter damage or iatrogenic vaginal fistula seen EBD or bougie dilation (**Figure 10**). Electroincision may be conducted with various knives using a power setting of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) Endocut (Recommendation **Table 3-3-4**).

Endoscopic stenting has been used to treat both benign and malignant strictures in the lower GI tract. Due to its questionable sustain efficacy and safety concern, the role of endoscopic stent is yet to be defined (Recommendation **Table 3-3-5**). More information on endoscopic stent please see attached **Supplement**.

4. POST-PROCEDURE CONSIDERATION

Patients undergoing EBD or endoscopic electroincision should be considered at risk for developing procedure-associated complications. In addition to heightened procedural precautions, equal attention must be devoted to post-procedural care. If any concern for adverse events exists, the patient should undergo clinical and radiographic evaluation (Recommendation **Table 3-4-1**). Extreme precautions should be taken for monitoring and early intervention for procedure-associated perforation. Intra- and post- procedure intravenous antibiotics should be administered to patients with suspected or at risk for perforation (Recommendation **Table 3-4-2**).

Most patients undergoing endoscopic stricture therapy require repeat interventions.^{8,9,10,11,13,14,15,21,22,28,29,50,56,65,70,71,72} Defining risk factors that predict the need for endoscopy re-intervention or surgical intervention may identify patients requiring more frequent ET. The following items may identify the need for early follow-up endoscopy: (1) failure to achieve dilation goal (e.g. size of balloon or improvement in symptom) at initial endoscopy;²⁹ (2) smoking;²⁷ (3) multiple⁵⁶ or long⁵⁴ strictures; (4) strictures in the duodenum, jejunum, or proximal ileum;^{54,65} (5) strictures with prestenotic luminal dilation;^{28,29,50} (6) short intervals between endoscopic interventions;⁵⁰ and (7) a short interval from the disease diagnosis to need for intervention.^{29,50}

In addition to following symptoms, endoscopic assessment of treatment response is often needed. Therefore, the consensus group suggests that all patients receiving ET undergo follow up endoscopy within a year to monitor treatment response and deliver repeat treatment, if needed. The presence of risk factors for poor response or stricture recurrence should prompt a shorter follow-up interval (Recommendation **Table 3-4-3**)

5. OUTCOME MEASURES

The consensus group believe that there is a need for performance measures in therapeutic endoscopic in IBD, similar to general endoscopy.⁷³ In addition to rate of quality bowel preparation, rate of intubation of the targeted segment of the bowel, and patient experience, short- and long-term efficacy and safety should be measured.

One of the goals of ET for strictures is the successful passage of the endoscope through the area of luminal narrowing after treatment. However, current reports loosely define “technical or immediate success” as the passage of the endoscope after EBD, endoscopic stricturotomy, or

endoscopic strictureplasty.^{8,9,10,11,13,15,22,26,27,28,29,30,54} This implies that all strictures were not endoscopically traversable prior to ET, and left out the class of strictures which were traversed, but with resistance. These still need treatment. Thus, the term “traversable” needs fine-tuning. The consensus group suggests that the term “traversable to the scope” indicates passage of a pediatric colonoscope. Otherwise, the endoscopist must specify the type of scope used to traverse the lesion (i.e. gastroscope or adult colonoscope) (Recommendation **Table 3-5-1**).

Various measurements have been used for reporting outcomes of ET for Crohn’s disease strictures. Endoscopic intervention-free survival and surgery-free survival have been most commonly used.^{8,9,10,11,12,14,15,21,26,27,28,29,30,70,71,72} Other outcome measures include stricture-associated emergency department visits or hospitalization.^{28,29}

Long-term efficacy of endoscopic therapy is defined as surgery free-survival for 1 year after any endoscopic treatment (Recommendation **Table 3-5-2**). The one-year surgery-free survival is not a perfect criterion. Symptoms correlate poorly with objective findings in stricturing Crohn’s disease. Additionally, the threshold for surgical intervention varies according to patient, treating physician, and surgeon preferences. It appears that severity of stricture at the index EBD may affect the subsequent need of additional EBD.³⁰ It should also be pointed out that persistent symptoms in a patient who avoids an operation within one year) does not define a successful ET, and *vice versa*.

6. PROCEDURE-ASSOCIATED ADVERSE EVENTS AND THEIR MANAGEMENT

Mild intraluminal bleeding often occurs after applying mechanical force (e.g. balloon dilation) or electric power (e.g. stricturotomy and strictureplasty) to tissue. Inspection for bleeding during the delivery of ET should be mandatory. Patients undergoing therapeutic endoscopy

procedures may be placed on a clear liquid diet for 12-24 hours after recovery from sedation or general anesthesia, in case endoscopic re-intervention is needed to control bleeding or perforation. In most cases, mild bleeding will cease spontaneously. Significant intra-procedure bleeding is defined as the presence of associated hemodynamically instability (Recommendation **Table 3-6-1**). Significant post-procedure bleeding has been defined as hemorrhage requiring blood transfusion.^{28,29,51,52,53,56, 75} (Recommendation **Table 3-6-2**) Patients undergoing endoscopic electroincision may carry a higher risk for delayed bleeding.^{28,29,52,56,70,70,72} In most cases of significant post-procedure bleeding, repeat endoscopy is needed to evaluate bleeding source and deliver endoscopic hemostasis, supplementary to fluid resuscitation and blood transfusion.

Intra-procedure bleeding or delayed-onset bleeding can be associated with EBD or electroincision. In most cases, intra- and post- procedure bleeding can be controlled by endoscopic clips, mechanical pressure, or epinephrine or hypertonic glucose injection or spray at the site (Recommendation **Table 3-6-3**). In rare cases, angiography with embolization or surgery may be needed. Patients with significant intra-procedure bleeding should be closely monitored and observed. Unfortunately, there is limited literature on the endoscopic management of the procedure-associated bleeding and perforation (**Figure 11**).

Clear liquid diet for 12-24 hours may be considered after the therapeutic endoscopic intervention (Recommendation **Table 3-6-4**). Patients with suspected perforation and/or frank perforation should be considered as a medical emergency. Urgent evaluation and surgical consult should be obtained (Recommendation **Table 3-6-5**). Intra-procedure perforation recognized at the time of endoscopy may benefit from endoscopic interventions to close the defect (Recommendation **Table 3-6-6**). Endoscopic maneuvers may be attempted to close the defect for any intra-procedure perforation recognized at the time of endoscopy (Recommendation **Table 3-**

6-7). Information on endoscopic management of procedure-associated bleeding and perforation is listed **Supplement**.

SUMMARY

We are witnessing the emergence of interventional endoscopy in IBD, particularly ET of strictures of Crohn's disease as a major treatment modality. The goals of ET are to relieve obstruction and symptoms, delay or prevent surgery, preserve bowel by reducing surgeries, and improve patients' quality of life. A multidisciplinary approach requires a team of IBD specialists, IBD interventionalists, colorectal surgeons, nutritionists, GI radiologists, and GI pathologists to manage complex IBD, including stricturing Crohn's disease. The lack of high-quality data, e.g. large randomized controlled trials, has prevented this consensus group from making strong (**GR-A**) recommendations. However, the available data and vast experience of the participants provide a sound foundation for this technical guideline, which is the first step in standardizing and individualizing the treatment of strictures in patients with Crohn's disease.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Literature and inclusion.

Figure 2. Fecal diversion-associated distal rectum stricture and inflammation in a patient with ileostomy. **A.** Pinhole stricture at the distal rectum (Green Arrow) was treated with endoscopic stricturotomy; **B.** Severe diversion proctitis with friable mucosa, which is prone to bacterial translocation with the endoscopic therapy.

Figure 3. Retrograde and antegrade balloon dilation of distal ileum strictures. **A & B.** Retrograde dilation with first passage of endoscope through the stricture, introduction of balloon sheath and guide wire, withdrawal of endoscope and anchoring of balloon across the stricture, and insufflate the balloon; **C & D.** Antegrade dilation with sequential introduction of balloon sheath and guidewire, exchange of the wire with sheath, and insufflation of balloon, and passage of endoscope through the treated stricture.

Figure 4. Through-the-balloon direct visualization during dilation. **A.** Disruption of bowel wall seen through the balloon (Green Arrow); **B.** Post-dilation inspection of balloon-dilated stricture showing a deep tearing.

Figure 5. Post-balloon dilation inspection of the proximal bowel. **A & B.** Balloon dilation of an ileal stricture; **C.** Large ulcers in the proximal bowel; **D.** A fecal bezoar in the lumen of the proximal bowel.

Figure 6. Short terminal ileum stricture. **A & B.** Non-ulcerated stricture with normal bowel proximal to the stricture; **C.** The short stricture on CTE.

Figure 7. Long-terminal ileum stricture. **A & B.** Non-ulcerated, mixed inflammatory and fibrotic stricture with prestenotic luminal dilation; **C.** The long stricture on CTE.

Figure 8. Ulcerated strictures. **A & B.** Ileocolonic anastomosis stricture with superficial ulcers, which was treated with balloon dilation; **C & D.** Ileal stricture with deep ulceration, which was treated with balloon dilation, resulting in significant bleeding.

Figure 9. Crohn's disease-associated cancer. **A & B.** Anorectal malignant strictures (adenocarcinoma) in two patients with long-standing Crohn's disease.

Figure 10. Endoscopic stricturotomy and strictureplasty. **A.** Endoscopic electroincision of an ileorectal anastomosis stricture (stricturotomy); **B.** Endoscopic electroincision of an ileocolonic anastomosis stricture followed by the placement of endoclips to facilitate the maintenance of luminal patency (strictureplasty).

Figure 11. Electroincision of anal stricture in Crohn's disease. **A.** Tight anal stricture; **B.** Status post treatment with insulated-tip knife endoscopic stricturotomy.

Figure 12. Endoscopy balloon dilation-associated bleeding and control. **A & B.** Bleeding after balloon dilation of ileocolonic anastomosis stricture, which was controlled by the deployment of endoclips; **C & D.** Bleeding after balloon dilation of an ileal stricture, which was controlled by spray of 50% dextrose.

REFERENCES

-
- ¹ Thia KT, Sandborn WJ, Harmsen WS, et al. Risk factors associated with progression to intestinal complications of Crohn's disease in a population-based cohort. *Gastroenterology* 2010;139:1147-55.
 - ² Aniwan S, Park SH, Loftus EV Jr. Epidemiology, natural history, and risk stratification of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 2017;46:463-80.
 - ³ Shen B, Kochhar G, Navaneethan U, et al. Role of interventional inflammatory bowel disease in the era of biologic therapy: a position statement from the Global Interventional IBD Group. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2019;89:215-37.
 - ⁴ Shen B, Kochhar G, Hull TL. Bridging medical and surgical treatment of inflammatory bowel disease: The role of interventional IBD. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;114:539-40.
 - ⁵ Bettenworth D, Lopez R, Hindryckx P, Levesque BG, Rieder F. Heterogeneity in endoscopic treatment of Crohn's disease-associated strictures: An international inflammatory bowel disease specialist survey. *J Gastroenterol* 2016;51:939-48.
 - ⁶ Rieder F, Latella G, Magro F, et al. European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation topical review on prediction, diagnosis and management of fibrostenosing Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016;10:873-85.
 - ⁷ Bruining DH, Zimmermann EM, Loftus EV Jr, et al. Consensus recommendations for evaluation, interpretation, and utilization of computed tomography and magnetic resonance enterography in patients with small bowel Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2018;154:1172-94.
 - ⁸ Asairinachan A, An V, Daniel ES, Johnston MJ, Woods RJ. Endoscopic balloon dilatation of Crohn's strictures: a safe method to defer surgery in selective cases. *ANZ J Surg* 2017;87:E240-4.

-
- ⁹ Ding NS, Yip WM, Choi CH, Saunders B, Thomas-Gibson S, Arebi N, Humphries A, Hart A. Endoscopic dilatation of Crohn's anastomotic strictures is effective in the long term, and escalation of medical therapy improves outcomes in the biologic Era. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016;10:1172-88.
- ¹⁰ Thienpont C, D'Hoore A, Vermeire S, Demedts I, Bisschops R, Coremans G, Rutgeerts P, Van Assche G. Long-term outcome of endoscopic dilatation in patients with Crohn's disease is not affected by disease activity or medical therapy. *Gut* 2010;59:320-4.
- ¹¹ Greener T, Shapiro R, Klang E, et al. Clinical outcomes of surgery versus endoscopic balloon dilation for stricturing Crohn's disease. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2015;58:1151-7.
- ¹² Di Nardo G, Oliva S, Passariello M, et al. Intralesional steroid injection after endoscopic balloon dilation in pediatric Crohn's disease with stricture: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2010;72:1201-8.
- ¹³ Hirai F, Andoh A, Ueno F, et al. Efficacy of Endoscopic balloon dilation for small bowel strictures in patients with Crohn's disease: A nationwide, multi-centre, open-label, prospective cohort study. *J Crohns Colitis* 2018;12:394-401.
- ¹⁴ Reutemann BA, Turkeltaub JA, et al. Endoscopic balloon dilation size and avoidance of surgery in stricturing Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017;23:1803-9.
- ¹⁵ Singh A, Agrawal N, Kurada S, et al. Efficacy, safety, and long-term outcome of serial endoscopic balloon dilation for upper gastrointestinal Crohn's disease-associated strictures-A cohort study. *J Crohns Colitis* 2017;11:1044-51.
- ¹⁶ Schreyer AG, Hoffstetter P, Daneschnejad M, et al. Comparison of conventional abdominal CT with MR-enterography in patients with active Crohn's disease and acute abdominal pain. *Acad Radiol* 2010;17:352-7.

¹⁷ Bettenworth D, Bokemeyer A, Baker M, et al. Assessment of Crohn's disease-associated small bowel strictures and fibrosis on cross-sectional imaging: a systematic review. *Gut* 2019;68:1115-26.

¹⁸ Maaser C, Sturm A, Vavricka SR, et al. ECCO-ESGAR Guideline for Diagnostic Assessment in IBD Part 1: Initial diagnosis, monitoring of known IBD, detection of complications. *J Crohns Colitis* 2019;13:144-64.

¹⁹ Sturm A, Maaser C, Calabrese E, et al. ECCO-ESGAR guideline for diagnostic assessment in IBD Part 2: IBD scores and general principles and technical aspects. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2019;13:273-84.

²⁰ Raju GS, Saito Y, Matsuda T, Kaltenbach T, Soetikno R. Endoscopic management of colonoscopic perforations (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2011;74:1380-8.

²¹ Hagel AF, Hahn A, Dauth W, et al. Outcome and complications of endoscopic balloon dilatations in various types of ileocaecal and colonic stenosis in patients with Crohn's disease. *Surg Endosc* 2014;28:2966-72.

²² Lopes S, Rodrigues-Pinto E, Andrade P, et al. Endoscopic balloon dilation of Crohn's disease strictures-safety, efficacy and clinical impact. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017;23:7397-406.

²³ ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Saltzman JR, Cash BD, Pasha SF, et al. Bowel preparation before colonoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2015;81:781-94.

²⁴ Hassan C, Bretthauer M, Kaminski MF, et al. Bowel preparation for colonoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) guideline. *Endoscopy* 2013;45:142-50.

²⁵ Ladas SD, Karamanolis G, Ben-Soussan E. Colonic gas explosion during therapeutic colonoscopy with electrocautery. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007;13:5295-8.

-
- ²⁶ Atreja A, Aggarwal A, Dwivedi S, et al. Safety and efficacy of endoscopic dilation for primary and anastomotic Crohn's disease strictures. *J Crohns Colitis* 2014;8:392-400.
- ²⁷ Gustavsson A, Magnuson A, Blomberg B, Andersson M, Halfvarson J, Tysk C. Smoking is a risk factor for recurrence of intestinal stricture after endoscopic dilation in Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2013;37:430-7.
- ²⁸ Lian L, Stocchi L, Remzi FH, Shen B. Comparison of endoscopic dilation vs surgery for anastomotic stricture in patients with Crohn's disease following ileocolonic resection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;15:1226-31.
- ²⁹ Lan N, Stocchi L, Ashburn JH, et al. Outcomes of endoscopic balloon dilation vs surgical resection for primary ileocolic strictures in patients with Crohn's disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018;16:1260-7.
- ³⁰ Shivashankar R, Edakkanambeth Varayil J, et al. Outcomes of endoscopic therapy for luminal strictures in Crohn's Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2018;24:1575-81.
- ³¹ ASGE Technology Committee, Lo SK, Fujii-Lau LL, Enestvedt BK, et al. The use of carbon dioxide in gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016;83:857-65.
- ³² ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Khashab MA, Chithadi KV, Acosta RD, et al. Antibiotic prophylaxis for GI endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2015;81:81-9.
- ³³ Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, et al. Prevention of infective endocarditis: guidelines from the American Heart Association: a guideline from the American Heart Association Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation* 2007;116:1736-54.

-
- ³⁴ Zuccaro G Jr, Richter JE, Rice TW, et al. Viridans streptococcal bacteremia after esophageal stricture dilation. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998;48:568-73.
- ³⁵ Nelson DB, Sanderson SJ, Azar MM. Bacteremia with esophageal dilation. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998;48:563-7.
- ³⁶ Hirota WK, Wortmann GW, Maydonovitch CL, et al. The effect of oral decontamination with clindamycin palmitate on the incidence of bacteremia after esophageal dilation: a prospective trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;50:475-9.
- ³⁷ Chun YJ, Yoon NR, Park JM, et al. Prospective assessment of risk of bacteremia following colorectal stent placement. *Dig Dis Sci* 2012;57:1045-9.
- ³⁸ Navaneethan U, Parasa S, Venkatesh PG, Trikudanathan G, Shen B. Prevalence and risk factors for colonic perforation during colonoscopy in hospitalized inflammatory bowel disease patients. *J Crohns Colitis* 2011;5:189-95.
- ³⁹ Vignali A, Di Palo S, Orsenigo E, Ghirardelli L, Radaelli G, Staudacher C. Effect of prednisolone on local and systemic response in laparoscopic vs. open colon surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2009;52:1080-8.
- ⁴⁰ Waldron NH, Jones CA, Gan TJ, Allen TK, Habib AS. Impact of perioperative dexamethasone on postoperative analgesia and side-effects: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth* 2013;110:191-200.
- ⁴¹ Carmichael JC, Keller DS, Baldini G, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for enhanced recovery after colon and rectal surgery from the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2017;60:761-84.

-
- ⁴² Aberra FN, Lewis JD, Hass D, Rombeau JL, Osborne B, lichtenstein GR. Corticosteroids and immunomodulators: postoperative infectious complication risk in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Gastroenterology* 2003;125:320-7.
- ⁴³ Yu CS, Jung SW, Lee JL, et al. The influence of preoperative medications on postoperative complications in patients after intestinal surgery for Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2019 Feb 8.[Epub ahead of print]
- ⁴⁴ Markel TA, Lou DC, Pfefferkorn M, et al. Steroids and poor nutrition are associated with infectious wound complications in children undergoing first stage procedures for ulcerative colitis. *Surgery* 2008;144:540-5; discussion 545-7.
- ⁴⁵ Huang W, Tang Y, Nong L, Sun Y. Risk factors for postoperative intra-abdominal septic complications after surgery in Crohn's disease: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Crohns Colitis* 2015;9:293-301.
- ⁴⁶ Navaneethan U, Kochhar G, Phull H, et al. Severe disease on endoscopy and steroid use increase the risk for bowel perforation during colonoscopy in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *J Crohns Colitis* 2012;6:470-5.
- ⁴⁷ Mukewar S, Costedio M, Wu X, et al. Severe adverse outcomes of endoscopic perforations in patients with and without IBD. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014;20:2056-66.
- ⁴⁸ Strong SA, Koltun WA, Hyman NH, et al. Practice parameters for the surgical management of Crohn's disease. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2007;50:1735-46.
- ⁴⁹ Danese S, Bonovas S, Lopez A, et al. Identification of endpoints for development of antifibrosis drugs for treatment of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2018;155:76-87.

-
- ⁵⁰ Lian L, Stocchi L, Shen B, et al. Prediction of need for surgery after endoscopic balloon dilation of ileocolic anastomotic stricture in patients with Crohn's disease. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2015;58:423-30.
- ⁵¹ Chen M, Shen B. Ileoscopic balloon dilation of Crohn's disease strictures via stoma. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2014;79:688-93.
- ⁵² Chen M, Shen B. Endoscopic needle-knife stricturotomy for nipple valve stricture of continent ileostomy (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2015;81:1287-8; discussion 1288-9.
- ⁵³ Wu XR, Mukewar S, Kiran RP, Remzi FH, Shen B. Surgical stricturoplasty in the treatment of ileal pouch strictures. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2013;17:1452-61.
- ⁵⁴ Bettenworth D, Gustavsson A, Atreja A, et al. A pooled analysis of efficacy, safety, and long-term outcome of endoscopic balloon dilation therapy for patients with stricturing Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017;23:133-42.
- ⁵⁵ Bharadwaj S, Fleshner P, Shen B. Therapeutic armamentarium for stricturing Crohn's disease: Medical versus endoscopic versus surgical approaches. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2015;21:2194-13.
- ⁵⁶ Lan N, Shen B. Lan N, Shen B. Multiple primary Crohn's disease-associated strictures had poor response to endoscopic balloon dilation. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018;113:S421-2
- ⁵⁷ Brochard C, Siproudhis L, Wallenhorst T, et al. Anorectal stricture in 102 patients with Crohn's disease: natural history in the era of biologics. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014;40:796-803.
- ⁵⁸ Kristo I, Riss S, Argeny S, Maschke S, Chitsabesan P, Stift A. Incidental adenocarcinoma in patients undergoing surgery for stricturing Crohn's disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017;23:472-7.
- ⁵⁹ Higashi D, Katsuno H, Kimura H, et al. Current state of and problems related to cancer of the intestinal tract associated with Crohn's disease in Japan. *Anticancer Res* 2016;36:3761-6.

⁶⁰ Lovasz BD, Lakatos L, Golovics PA, et al. Risk of colorectal cancer in Crohn's disease patients with colonic involvement and stenosing disease in a population-based cohort from Hungary. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis* 2013;22:265-8.

⁶¹ Fumery M, Pineton de Chambrun G, et al. Detection of dysplasia or cancer in 3.5% of patients with inflammatory bowel disease and colonic strictures. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;13:1770-5.

⁶² Simon M, Cosnes J, Gornet JM, et al. Endoscopic detection of small bowel dysplasia and adenocarcinoma in Crohn's disease: A prospective cohort-study in high-risk patients. *J Crohns Colitis* 2017;11:47-52.

⁶³ Kiran RP, Khoury W, Church JM, Lavery IC, Fazio VW, Remzi FH. Colorectal cancer complicating inflammatory bowel disease: similarities and differences between Crohn's and ulcerative colitis based on three decades of experience. *Ann Surg* 2010;252:330-5.

⁶⁴ East JE, Brooker JC, Rutter MD, Saunders BP. A pilot study of intrastricture steroid versus placebo injection after balloon dilatation of Crohn's strictures. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:1065-9.

⁶⁵ Bettenworth D, Mücke MM, Lopez R, et al. Efficacy of endoscopic dilation of gastroduodenal Crohn's disease strictures: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018 Nov 29 [Epub ahead of print]

⁶⁶ Teich N, Wallstabe I, Schiefke I. Topical infliximab injection for refractory rectal stenosis in Crohn's disease: long-term follow-up in two patients. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2017;32:1289-94.

⁶⁷ Hendel J, Karstensen JG, Vilmann P. Serial intralesional injections of infliximab in small bowel Crohn's strictures are feasible and might lower inflammation. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2014;2:406-12.

-
- ⁶⁸ Biancone L, Cretella M, Tosti C, et al. Local injection of infliximab in the postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2006;63:486-92.
- ⁶⁹ Swaminath A, Lichtiger S. Dilatation of colonic strictures by intralesional injection of infliximab in patients with Crohn's colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2008;14:213-6. Review.
- ⁷⁰ Lan N, Shen B. Endoscopic stricturotomy versus balloon dilation in the treatment of anastomotic strictures in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2018;24:897-907.
- ⁷¹ Lan N, Shen B. Endoscopic stricturotomy with needle knife in the treatment of strictures from inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017;23:502-13.
- ⁷² Lan N, Stocchi L, Delaney CP, Hull TL, Shen B. Endoscopic stricturotomy versus ileocolonic resection in the treatment of ileocolonic anastomotic strictures in Crohn's disease. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2019 90:259-68.
- ⁷³ Kaminski MF, Thomas-Gibson S, Bugajski M, et al .Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. *Endoscopy* 2017;49:378-97.
- ⁷⁴ Chan FKL, Goh KL, Reddy N, et al. Management of patients on antithrombotic agents undergoing emergency and elective endoscopy: joint Asian Pacific Association of Gastroenterology (APAGE) and Asian Pacific Society for Digestive Endoscopy (APSDE) practice guidelines. *Gut* 2018;67:405-17.
- ⁷⁵ ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Acosta RD, Abraham NS, Chandrasekhara V, et al. The management of antithrombotic agents for patients undergoing GI endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016;83:3-16.