

## Freeze drying and rehydration of alginate fluid gels

Smaniotto, Fabio; Prosapio, Valentina; Zafeiri, Ioanna; Spyropoulos, Fotios

DOI:

[10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105352](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105352)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Smaniotto, F, Prosapio, V, Zafeiri, I & Spyropoulos, F 2020, 'Freeze drying and rehydration of alginate fluid gels', *Food Hydrocolloids*, vol. 99, 105352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105352>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

Smaniotto, F. et al (2019) Freeze drying and rehydration of alginate fluid gels, *Food Hydrocolloids*, article no. 105352, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105352>

### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# Freeze drying and rehydration of alginate fluid gels

F. Smaniotto, V. Prosapio\*, I. Zafeiri, F. Spyropoulos

School of Chemical Engineering, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT,  
United Kingdom

[\\*v.prosapio@bham.ac.uk](mailto:v.prosapio@bham.ac.uk)

## Abstract

The aim of this work was to study the effect of freeze drying (FD) and rehydration on alginate fluid gels (AFG). FD was employed as a widely used drying method in order to evaluate whether its application causes any change in the material characteristics crucial for AFG behaviour. Rehydration studies were performed to assess if AFG properties could be restored after drying and rehydration processes. First, it was investigated the influence of the material formulation (alginate (ALG) and calcium chloride ( $\text{CaCl}_2$ ) concentrations) on the particle size distribution (PSD) and the rheological properties. The used ALG/ $\text{CaCl}_2$  ratio demonstrated to be responsible for forming nanoparticles or microparticles. The impact of fluid gel particle dimensions on drying and rehydration processes was also investigated. Overall, particle dimensions do not have a significant effect on drying kinetics. Analyses on rehydrated samples showed that the PSD is not affected by the processing, whereas the same viscosity was completely recovered only for samples formed by ALG and  $\text{CaCl}_2$  in quantities leading to nanoparticles formation. Preliminary encapsulation experiments were also carried out to highlight the impact of this process on the release behaviour of the loaded active from AFG. Results obtained from in vitro release studies and their model fitting showed that the active release behaviour from AFG was not affected by freeze drying when AFG was formed of nanoparticles. On the contrary, the active release behaviour was affected by freeze drying when AFG was formed by microparticles.

**Keywords:** Fluid gel; freeze-drying; rehydration; rheological properties; encapsulation

26 1. Introduction

27 Fluid gels are suspensions of gel particles in a non-gelled continuous medium and are  
28 formed by applying shear forces to a polymeric solution undergoing a sol-gel transition  
29 (Garrec & Norton, 2012). They can be prepared using both biological (polysaccharides and  
30 proteins) and synthetic polymers (Norton, et al., 1999), and can be produced using typical  
31 shear devices, such as a jacketed pin-stirrer, which allows a continuous process. Fluid gels can  
32 be temperature and/or ionically set, depending on the hydrocolloid used and to its gelation  
33 mechanism (García, et al., 2018a; Wang, et al., 2016). The particle size can be controlled by  
34 varying the polymer concentration and the shear rate (García, et al., 2018b; Norton, et al.,  
35 1999).

36 Fluid gels show unique characteristics: at low deformations their flow behaviour tends to  
37 resemble that of quiescent gels, whereas at high deformations exhibits yield and flow  
38 characteristics like a viscoelastic fluid (Norton, et al., 2015). Due to this rheological  
39 performance, fluid gels find applications in the food industry as fat replacers (Chung, et al.,  
40 2014; Le Révérend, et al., 2010), texturing (Fernández Farrés, et al., 2014), satiety enhancers  
41 (Norton, et al., 2006) and emulsion stabiliser (García, et al., 2014). A novel application involves  
42 their use for encapsulation of bioactive molecules to protect them from the surrounding  
43 environment, to control their release in a specific medium and/or to mask their flavour  
44 (Mahdi, et al., 2016; Torres, et al., 2016). The use of fluid gels as encapsulating material has  
45 the following advantages compared to other carriers (such as microcapsules, liposomes, etc.):  
46 organic solvents are not needed, the process can be run in continuous and can be easily  
47 scaled-up, mild operating conditions can be employed and good control over particle size is  
48 provided.

49 Being formed by a large amount of water, the shelf-life of fluid gels is relatively short and  
50 microbial spoilage can easily occur. This limitation can be overcome by drying the material, as  
51 water removal prevents bacteria proliferation. A dried product can be considered as safe if it  
52 is characterised by water activity ( $a_w$ ) lower than 0.6 (de Bruijn, et al., 2016) and normalised  
53 moisture content (NMC) lower than 0.1 (Brown, et al., 2010; Prosapio & Norton, 2018). In  
54 addition, the lower volume/weight of the product allows packaging, transport and storage  
55 costs to be reduced (Brown, et al., 2008; Prosapio, et al., 2017b). On the other hand, drying  
56 of food products has been reported to cause some damage to the product microstructure

57 according to the method and conditions employed, leading to low rehydration capacity and  
58 poor recovery of the initial properties (Vega-Gálvez, et al., 2015). Among the most common  
59 drying methods, freeze-drying (FD) showed the best performance in terms of water  
60 desorption, retention of the product characteristics and rehydration of the dried material  
61 (Karam, et al., 2016; Prosapio & Norton, 2017a). Specifically, for the dehydration of  
62 microstructures/formulations containing encapsulated matters, FD can be a suitable method  
63 to prevent the degradation of thermosensitive compounds, as it involves the freezing of the  
64 product, followed by ice sublimation under vacuum conditions (Barbosa, et al., 2015; Sanchez,  
65 et al., 2013).

66 The drying of quiescent gels has been extensively investigated using different materials  
67 (gellan gum (Bonifacio, et al., 2017; Cassanelli, et al., 2018), starch (De Marco & Reverchon,  
68 2017; Franco, et al., 2018), cellulose (Jin, et al., 2004; Long, et al., 2018), agarose (Mao, et al.,  
69 2017), silica (Smirnova, et al., 2003), chitosan (Cardea, et al., 2010), etc.) and techniques (air-  
70 drying, freeze-drying, supercritical carbon dioxide drying, microwave drying (Cassanelli, et al.,  
71 2019; Hu, et al., 2013)). Tiwari et al. (Tiwari, et al., 2015) analysed the structure of freeze-  
72 dried gellan gum and agar gels; they observed for both materials that mechanically stable  
73 cellular solids were produced. Cassanelli et al. (Cassanelli, et al., 2019) studied the drying of  
74 gellan gum gels using oven drying, freeze drying and supercritical carbon dioxide drying; they  
75 observed that the gel microstructure was better preserved when FD was employed, leading  
76 to a significantly higher rehydration capacity compared to the other methods. Hu et al. (Hu,  
77 et al., 2013) employed air drying, microwave vacuum drying and freeze drying to dry hairtail  
78 fish meat gels and stated that FD samples showed better quality attributes in terms of  
79 moisture content, water absorption index, protein degradation and sensory acceptance than  
80 air and microwave-dried ones.

81 Despite the potentiality in providing long/safe storage and protection of the actives, the  
82 drying of fluid gels has not been studied so far. In order to fill the gap in the current literature,  
83 this work investigates the freeze drying of alginate fluid gels (AFG). Alginate is a hydrocolloid  
84 that undergoes gelation in the presence of multivalent cations, usually  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (McHugh & Pap,  
85 1987). AFG were prepared in a pin-stirrer and thereafter freeze-dried. Rheological  
86 measurements were carried out on both unprocessed and processed fluid gels to verify if the  
87 material properties (particle size and viscosity) can be completely recovered after drying and

88 rehydration. In addition to the preservation of rheological behaviour upon rehydration, the  
89 capacity of the fluid gels to modulate the release of a model active (present within the fluid  
90 gel microstructure) prior and following FD was investigated. Preliminary encapsulation  
91 experiments were also performed, using Nicotinamide as model active, to investigate the  
92 release behaviour before and after drying/rehydration, and identify possible changes due to  
93 the processing.

94

## 95 2. Materials and methods

### 96 2.1 Materials

97 Sodium alginate (ALG), and nicotinamide (NIC,  $\geq 98\%$ , HPLC) were purchased from  
98 Sigma-Aldrich® (Sigma–Aldrich Company Ltd., Dorset, UK). Calcium chloride ( $\text{CaCl}_2$ ,  
99 anhydrous, 93%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar™ (USA). All materials were used without  
100 further purification. Milli-Q water was made using an Elix® 5 distillation apparatus (Millipore®,  
101 USA) and was used for all water-based preparations.

102

### 103 2.2 Gel preparation

#### 104 2.2.1 Blank fluid gels

105 Firstly, an alginate solution was prepared by dissolving different amounts of ALG (1%,  
106 2%, 3% (w/w), calculated on the final weight of the material) in distilled water at 95°C for 45  
107 min under stirring to ensure complete powder dissolution, as reported by Farrés et al.  
108 (Fernández Farrés, et al., 2013). Thereafter, the solution was cooled at room temperature  
109 (R.T.). Secondly, another solution was prepared by dissolving  $\text{CaCl}_2$  at a different  
110 concentration for each experiment (0.15%, 0.25%, 0.35% (w/w), calculated on the final weight  
111 of the material) in water at R.T.. Alginate Fluid Gels (AFG) were prepared using a pin-stirrer  
112 vessel (Het Stempel, HL) having a volume of 150 mL, 16 pins placed on the rotating shaft and  
113 16 pins fixed on the internal wall. 1000 rpm shaft speed was used for AFG production. The  
114 alginate solution was pumped using a flowrate of 33 mL/min with a peristaltic pump  
115 (Masterflex L/S Peristaltic, DE), while the  $\text{CaCl}_2$  solution was injected using a flowrate of 4.02  
116 mL/min with a syringe pump (Cole-Parmer Single-syringe, US) through a stainless steel needle  
117 of 1.25 mm internal diameter.

118

## 119 2.2.2 Nicotinamide fluid gels

120 Firstly, a solution of 2% (w/w) was prepared by dissolving ALG in distilled water at 95  
121 °C for 45 min under stirring to ensure complete powder dissolution. Then, the solution was  
122 cooled at R.T.. Secondly, three solutions at 0.10% (w/w) were prepared by dissolving NIC in  
123 distilled water at R.T.. To these solutions different amounts of CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.15%, 0.25%, 0.35%  
124 (w/w), calculated on the final weight) were dissolved at R.T.. Nicotinamide Fluid Gels (NFG)  
125 were then prepared using a pin-stirrer vessel as described above (2.2.1 Blank fluid gels).

126

## 127 2.3 Rheological properties

128 The rheological properties of fluid gels were determined by shear viscosity tests using  
129 a rotational rheometer (Kinexus™, Malvern®, UK) equipped with a 40 mm diameter sand  
130 blasted plate geometry. The analyses were carried out at 25 °C using a shear rate ramp of 31  
131 points between 0.01 and 100 s<sup>-1</sup>. Measurements were performed in triplicate.

132

## 133 2.4 Particle Size Distribution

### 134 2.4.1 Mastersizer

135 The particle size distribution (PSD) of fluid gels was evaluated using a Mastersizer-  
136 2000 (Malvern®, UK). Few drops of sample were placed into the mixing chamber and stirred  
137 for 10 min at 1300 rpm before performing the analysis to disrupt any possible macro-  
138 aggregation. PSD was evaluated as numerical particle sizes percentage. Measurements were  
139 performed in triplicate.

### 140 2.4.2 Zetasizer

141 The PSD of fluid gels was also evaluated by using Zetasizer (Malvern Instruments Ltd.,  
142 UK). Samples were diluted with water before analysis to yield a suitable scattering intensity  
143 and measured at 25 °C. PSD curves were evaluated as numerical particle sizes percentage. All  
144 measurements were performed at 25 °C in triplicate.

## 145 2.5 Optical microscopy

146 Optical microscope (DM 2500 LED, Leica®, CH) was used to observe and record  
147 alginate microparticles. Samples were, firstly, diluted with distilled water using a water to  
148 sample ratio of 10:1 and they were mixed using a vortex mixer (VM20, Rigal Bennet®, UK) for  
149 20 seconds. DIC settings were employed to increase the contrast, allowing the average  
150 dimensions of particles to be measured. Images were captured using a CCD camera (DFC450

151 C, Leica<sup>®</sup>, CH) coupled to the microscope. Image analysis software IPP (LAS 4.8.0, Leica<sup>®</sup>, CH)  
152 was used to evaluate the dimensions of the microparticles through the images.

153

#### 154 2.6 Freeze drying

155 Fluid gels were frozen at -20 °C overnight and then lyophilised using a bench top  
156 Freeze Dryer (SCANVAC Coolsafe™, model 110-4, DK), condenser temperature -110 °C,  
157 pressure 10 Pa, condition that is defined by the equipment. The processing time was varied  
158 from 2 to 48 h to investigate the drying kinetics. Experiments were performed in triplicate for  
159 each condition investigated.

160

#### 161 2.7 Moisture content analysis

162 Moisture content analyses were carried out measuring the sample weight before and  
163 after drying. Moisture content was expressed as NMC (Normalised Moisture Content) and  
164 calculated through the following equation (1):

$$NMC = \frac{(M_d - M_s)}{(M_o - M_s)} \quad (1)$$

165 where  $M_s$  is the solid sample mass,  $M_d$  the sample mass after drying and  $M_o$  the pre-dried  
166 sample. The drying kinetics was determined plotting NMC as a function of the drying time.  
167 Analyses were carried out in triplicate.

168

#### 169 2.8 Water activity analysis

170 Water activity ( $a_w$ ) of dried samples was measured using an AquaLab<sup>®</sup> dew point  
171 water activity meter (model 4TE, Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman, WA, USA). The temperature  
172 controlled sample chamber was set to 25 °C. Analyses were carried out in triplicate.

#### 173 2.9 Rehydration of samples

174 After dehydration, amounts of distilled water were placed in each sample in order to  
175 obtain the same sample weight before FD. Samples were then transferred in a shaking  
176 incubator (Incu-Shake MIDI, SciQuip, UK), in order to obtain a homogeneous rehydration of  
177 the material, for different times at 400 rpm and 20 °C.

178

179 2.10 In vitro release

180 In vitro release studies from NFG were performed using a UV/vis spectrophotometer  
181 (Orion Aquamate, Thermo Scientific, UK) to determine the concentration of NIC in the  
182 medium over time. A weighted amount of NFG (approximately 2.5 g) was enclosed in a  
183 dialysis sack (Sigma–Aldrich Company Ltd., Dorset, UK, dialysis tubing cellulose membrane,  
184 width 43 mm, M.W. cut-off of 14000 Da) and placed in 500 mL of distilled water at room  
185 temperature (thermostated at 21.5 °C), under stirring at 150 rpm. At regular intervals,  
186 aliquots of 2 mL were withdrawn, measured using the spectrophotometer at 214 nm  
187 wavelength and then poured again into the medium. A calibration curve was made at 214 nm  
188 wavelength (NIC maximum of absorbance) and was set for concentrations between 0.08 and  
189 45 µg/mL and was used to correlate the absorbance value to the actual concentration of NIC  
190 into the release medium. Each analysis was carried out in triplicate.

191 2.11 Data fitting

192 Data obtained from NIC in vitro release studies from NFG were model fitted using  
193 equation (2):

194 
$$\frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = kt^n \quad (2)$$

195 where  $M_t$  and  $M_\infty$  are respectively the cumulative amounts of drug released at time  $t$  and  
196 at time when the release plateau was reached,  $k$  is the kinetic constant and  $n$  is an exponent  
197 characterizing the diffusional mechanism. The use of Eq. (2) is validated by several authors  
198 and it is generally used to understand the release mechanism of drugs and bioactives from  
199 formulations from release data obtained in in vitro release experiments (Korsmeyer, et al.,  
200 1983; Rinaki, et al., 2003; Siepmann, et al., 2002).

201 3 Results and discussion

202 In the first part of the experimentation, the amounts of alginate (ALG) and  $\text{CaCl}_2$  were  
203 varied to identify the minimum ratio between  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and ALG required to obtain microparticles  
204 formation. Both formulations (forming and non-forming particles) were used as matrices for  
205 the encapsulation of nicotinamide. In vitro release behaviour of NIC from these materials was  
206 then studied. Freeze-drying experiments on AFG were carried out to investigate the effect of  
207 this method on fluid gel properties (particle size and viscosity) and to identify the conditions  
208 needed to assure the complete removal of free water ( $\text{NMC} < 0.1$  and  $a_w < 0.6$ ) (Brown, et al.,



209 2010; Ratti, 2001; Stevenson, et al., 2015). The effect of CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration in AFG on the  
210 freeze drying kinetics was also investigated. Afterwards, a second set of release experiments  
211 was performed on NFG to study the effect of the freeze drying process and rehydration on  
212 the release behaviour of NIC from AFG.

213

### 214 3.1 Effect of ALG/CaCl<sub>2</sub> ratio

215 The amount of CaCl<sub>2</sub> needed to obtain the complete gelation of AFG was investigated  
216 by producing several samples at fixed ALG concentration and changing the CaCl<sub>2</sub> one; the final  
217 properties of the obtained materials in terms of PSD were then assessed. Samples  
218 compositions used in this part of the work alongside their acronyms are reported in Table 1.

#### 219 3.1.1 Particle size distribution

220 Particle dimensions were evaluated using the Mastersizer and Zetasizer as reported in  
221 section 2.4 (Particle Size Distribution). PSD curves obtained using the Mastersizer and the  
222 Zetasizer are reported in Figure 1.

223 Mastersizer and Zetasizer curves of AFG\_2%\_0.35% displayed a PSD in the range of  
224 0.5 to 5 µm, while AFG\_2%\_0.15% and AFG\_2%\_0.25% were in the range between 0.02 µm  
225 and 0.2 µm. The particle formation behaviour of samples was also studied using light  
226 microscopy (as shown in Figure 1b).

227 Due to the small dimensions, particles in samples AFG\_2%\_0.25% and AFG\_2%\_0.15%  
228 could not be recorded/visualised by optical microscopy analysis, while it can be noticed the  
229 presence of microparticles for AFG\_2%\_0.35% (Figure 1b). Samples were also produced using  
230 different percentages of ALG to identify the ratio between CaCl<sub>2</sub> and ALG necessary to ensure  
231 particle formation. Samples compositions used are reported in Table 2.

232 Microparticles formation was observed for samples AFG\_1%\_0.25% and  
233 AFG\_3%\_0.45%, while nanoparticles were detected for the samples AFG\_1%\_0.15% and  
234 AFG\_3%\_0.35%. These results showed that microparticles formation was achieved only when  
235 a critical ratio between ALG and CaCl<sub>2</sub> was used during sample preparation. This ratio can be  
236 estimated to be around 0.155 of CaCl<sub>2</sub> to ALG (w/w) and from now on this ratio will be called  
237 Critical Ratio for Microparticles Formation (CRMF). This value was estimated considering the  
238 used percentages of CaCl<sub>2</sub> and ALG in samples that consisted of microparticles. However, even

239 in samples produced with a CaCl<sub>2</sub>/ALG ratio lower than the CRMF, PSD curves showed the  
240 presence of nanoparticles in the range of 100 nm and due to these very small dimensions they  
241 could not be visualised using optical microscopy.. In accordance with results reported by  
242 Badita et al., these nano-domains can be attributed to the alginate polymer chains  
243 morphology. Additionally, the authors observed the formation of an alginate secondary  
244 structure in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions, but only when a Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration higher than a critical  
245 concentration was used. Nano-domains dimensions of AFG produced using a CaCl<sub>2</sub>/ALG ratio  
246 lower than the CRMF were in accordance with the values obtained by Badita et al. (Badita, et  
247 al., 2016). In addition, He et al. (He, et al., 2016) reported the formation of “nuclei” of gelation  
248 when CaCl<sub>2</sub>/ALG ratio lower than a critical value was used. When a CaCl<sub>2</sub>/ALG ratio lower than  
249 the CRMF was used for the production of AFG, some cross-linking points were generated;  
250 however, it appears that the Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration was not enough to crosslink all the α-L-  
251 guluronate (G) monomers of ALG that are responsible for the formation of the egg-box model  
252 (Braccini & Pérez, 2001). The non-crosslinked residues of polymer chains were able to interact  
253 with other non-crosslinked chains, explaining the formation of an extended network all over  
254 the whole material. Samples produced with a CaCl<sub>2</sub>/ALG ratio higher than the CRMF were able  
255 to fully form microparticles. The folding of ALG polymer chains on themselves is a process  
256 induced by the applied shear regime. Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions can “lock” ALG chains in position only when a  
257 concentration high enough to achieve a full crosslinking is used. After the removal of the shear  
258 regime, due to the full crosslinking of ALG, polymer chains were unable to unfold and interact  
259 with other ALG chains, but stable microparticles could still be formed. Even when a CaCl<sub>2</sub>/ALG  
260 ratio lower than the CRMF was used, ALG folded on themselves, but due to the lack of a  
261 complete crosslinking, they were able to unfold and interact with each other, obtain an  
262 extended matrix, after the removal of the shear regime. In that case, only “pre-particles”  
263 within an ALG matrix could be identified by PSD analyses. However, due to their small  
264 dimensions they could not be visualised using the optical microscope.

265

### 266 3.1.2 Release behaviour

267 Nicotinamide loaded alginate fluid gels NFG-025 and NFG-035 were produced  
268 respectively using a CaCl<sub>2</sub>/ALG ratio lower (0.25% CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration) and higher (0.35%  
269 CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration) than the CRMF; they were produced in the presence of NIC (0.10% w/w),

270 as described in the section 2.2.2 (Nicotinamide fluid gels). These two formulations were  
271 chosen with a view to understand how the release behaviour of the incorporated active  
272 compound is affected by the formed particle sizes. NIC was chosen as a model active because  
273 of its small dimension and its low molecular weight (122.13 g/mol) to avoid its physical  
274 entrapment into the gel-network, which would limit the diffusion into the release medium.  
275 Additionally, NIC is a highly hydrophilic molecule and displays a very high water solubility  
276 (>500 mg/mL at 25 °C), which is a suitable characteristic for the production of water-based  
277 fluid gels (Budavari, 1996). Additionally, the release profiles of these materials were  
278 compared to that of a NIC water solution and a NIC and ALG (2% w/w) water solution, both  
279 containing 0.10% w/w of the active (National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubChem  
280 Database. Nicotinamide), in order to fully understand if and how the CaCl<sub>2</sub> presence affects  
281 release behaviour (Figure 2).

282 As depicted from Figure 2, the formation of microparticles did not prevent the  
283 diffusion of NIC out of AFG. In fact, NFG-025 and NFG-035 presented the same release profile.  
284 Comparing these two curves with the one of NIC water solution, it can be observed that both  
285 AFG formulations were able to slightly slow down the release of NIC. This delay is obvious  
286 even when comparing the NIC&ALG solution curve with the NIC water solution one; however,  
287 the delay effect is enhanced in the presence of CaCl<sub>2</sub>. It is possible to conclude that AFG are  
288 able to slow down the diffusion of the loaded NIC and this effect is due to a combination of  
289 the ALG and CaCl<sub>2</sub> presence. The delay ability can be attributed to the increase of viscosity of  
290 the solutions as suggested by Secouard et al. (Secouard, et al., 2003), in a release experiments  
291 of limonene from three different polysaccharide based water solutions and gel systems. They  
292 suggested that materials viscosities contribute significantly in limonene retention with the  
293 formulation and on its release behaviour.

294

### 295 3.2 Freeze drying

296 Freeze drying experiments were carried out to investigate the effect of processing  
297 time and CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration, used during material production step, on samples moisture  
298 content and water activity. Rheological properties and particle size distributions were studied  
299 on both non freeze-dried (AFG) and freeze-dried and rehydrated (FD-AFG) fluid gels

300 formulations. ALG concentration was fixed at 2% w/w and three different fluid gels were  
301 prepared using CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentration of 0.15%, 0.25% and 0.35.

302

### 303 3.2.1 Moisture content and water activity

304 Normalised moisture content (NMC) and water activity ( $a_w$ ) were measured for 48 h  
305 at different time intervals during the freeze drying experiments. The values obtained  
306 alongside the corresponding standard deviations are shown in Figure 3.

307 From Figure 3a, it is possible to observe that the first stage of drying (about 18 h) was  
308 quite fast and characterised by a constant rate. Specifically, for AFG at this stage sublimation  
309 of the ice formed from inter-particle water took place, with a drying rate of about 0.02 h<sup>-1</sup>,  
310 whereas for the alginate solution the process was faster (approx. 0.05 h<sup>-1</sup>), likely due to the  
311 absence of aggregates that act as a resistance to heat and mass transfer. As a result, AFG at  
312 18h drying still showed a NMC around 0.4, while the solution was already dried. Thereafter,  
313 for fluid gels a falling rate was observed up to 30 h, related to the intra-particle ice  
314 sublimation. After 30 h, a zero drying rate with no substantial changes to moisture content  
315 was recorded, i.e. all free water was removed from the samples. The experimental values  
316 measured for  $a_w$  of the materials during drying, reported in Figure 3b, showed a slightly  
317 different behaviour in the first stage. In fact, water activity remained nearly unchanged for 6h  
318 in the case of the alginate solution and 18 h in the case of AFG and then gradually decreased  
319 until achieving a constant value at 48 h. From these diagrams, it can be concluded that at least  
320 48 h drying is needed for AFG to lower both  $a_w$  and NMC under the threshold limits (0.6 and  
321 0.1, respectively (Brown, et al., 2010; de Bruijn, et al., 2016)) and, therefore, prevent microbial  
322 growth.

323 The effect of fluid gel formation on alginate drying behaviour can be appreciated in  
324 the first hours of drying (until 18 hours). In fact, for the sample not formed by a fluid gel (no  
325 CaCl<sub>2</sub> added), the NMC decreased more rapidly if compared to materials in which some  
326 amount of CaCl<sub>2</sub> was used. A similar trend can be identified from the water activity ( $a_w$ ) curves;  
327 especially at 18 hours of drying time big differences between samples can be seen. The slower  
328 drying kinetics of AFG, when compared to an ALG solution in which no CaCl<sub>2</sub> was added, can  
329 be due to the formation of a gel network in which water is entrapped between alginate  
330 polymer chains leading to more time being required to remove water from that network.

331 Additionally, comparing the  $a_w$  and NMC curves obtained at different  $\text{CaCl}_2$  concentrations for  
332 AFG samples (Figure 3a and 3b), it can be seen that there is negligible difference among them,  
333 suggesting that once the network is formed, this parameter does not have substantial effect  
334 on the gel drying.

335

### 336 3.2.2 Rehydration performance

337 FD samples were rehydrated, following the procedure reported in section 2.9, to  
338 assess the impact of the freezing/drying on the material properties of fluid gels. Rehydration  
339 is one of the key parameters that quantify the quality of a dried product as it describes its  
340 ability to reacquire the initial amount of water within its structure. Rehydration is a complex  
341 phenomenon, in which different mechanisms take place: water absorption into the dried  
342 product, diffusion through the porous network and swelling of the structure (Lopez-Quiroga,  
343 et al., 2019; Maldonado, et al., 2010; Ratti, 2008). Rehydrated samples were characterised in  
344 terms of viscosity, PSD and release behaviour and compared with unprocessed (non-freeze  
345 dried) fluid gels.

346

### 347 3.2.3 Recovery of rheological properties

348 The rheological behaviour of rehydrated fluid gel samples having different  $\text{CaCl}_2$   
349 content was compared to that of systems prior to FD. Samples were rehydrated for 1 hour  
350 before recording viscosity measurements. Viscosity curves for the different formulations are  
351 presented in Figure 4.

352 It is possible to notice that for AFG\_2%\_0.15% and AFG\_2%\_0.25%, viscosity curves  
353 before FD and after FD/rehydration are almost overlapping. It can be stated that freeze-dried  
354 materials with a  $\text{CaCl}_2$  concentration of 0.15% or 0.25% were able to fully recover the  
355 rheological behaviour they had before freeze-drying. AFG\_2%\_0.15% and AFG\_2%\_0.25%  
356 were produced using a 2% ALG concentration and, as shown in Figure 4, they were not able  
357 to produce microparticles. The comparison between the viscosity curves of AFG\_2%\_0.35%  
358 in the fresh and dried/rehydrated form showed more significant changes (Figure 6c). More  
359 specifically, an overall decrease in the shear viscosity can be observed and, in particular, the  
360 difference with the untreated material becomes more evident as shear rate increases. This  
361 behaviour can be due to the inability of AFG\_2%\_0.35% to fully reabsorb the added water or

362 by its delay in doing that. Additional rehydration experiments were carried out on freeze-  
363 dried AFG\_2%\_0.35%, increasing the rehydration time up to 48h, to assess if they were able  
364 to recover the rheological properties they had before freeze drying by prolonging the time of  
365 the rehydration step; however, the viscosity profile was not restored even after 2 days of  
366 rehydration in the shaker incubator. This behaviour suggests that AFG produced using a  
367  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio higher than the CRPF, i.e. when microparticles formation is achieved, cannot  
368 recover the rheological properties they had before FD. This set of experiments showed that  
369 the rehydration of AFG, and the complete recovery of the rheological properties they had  
370 before the FD, is achievable only when materials were prepared using a  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio lower  
371 than CRPF. Below that ratio, polymer chains are not fully cross-linked leaving some empty  
372 zones between them in the alginate gel formed. Because of the presence of less cross-linking  
373 junctions, polymer chains present a higher mobility than polymer chains of fully cross-linked  
374 materials (when a  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio higher than the CRMF was used). The rehydrated  
375 AFG\_2%\_0.35% samples were not visually homogeneous and regions having higher particle  
376 concentration and regions at higher water concentration could be identified. This higher  
377 mobility may explain why viscosity profiles before and after FD completely match solely for  
378 samples not completely cross-linked. In fact, the more mobile polymer chains are less rigid  
379 and they can move more and faster to fit the water molecules between them. In contrast,  
380 polymer chains having a lower mobility due to the presence of more cross-linking points, take  
381 more time to fit all water molecules or just a fraction of the removed water molecules can be  
382 reabsorbed.

383 In order to identify the time needed for AFG produced using a  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio lower  
384 than the CRMF to recover the viscosity they had before FD, an additional rehydration  
385 experiment was carried out on AFG\_2%\_0.25% using a rehydration time of 5 min and 10 min  
386 in the shaking incubator before performing the rheological test. A comparison among the  
387 resulting viscosity curves is reported in Figure 5.

388 From Figure 5 becomes evident that the complete recovery of viscosity was achieved  
389 after approximately 10 min of rehydration time. It can be concluded that AFG produced using  
390 a  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio lower than the CRMF are able to fully recover the rheological properties  
391 they had before FD in a short time.

392

### 393 3.2.3 Particle Size distribution

394 The PSD of rehydrated samples of AFG\_2%\_0.15%, AFG\_2%\_0.25% and  
395 AFG\_2%\_0.35% were compared to those of the samples before FD to identify if some particle  
396 aggregation was induced by the drying process. Samples were rehydrated for 1 hour before  
397 performing particle size measurements using the Mastersizer as described in section 2.4.1.  
398 PSD curves are displayed in Figure 6.

399 The PSD curves after rehydration were perfectly overlapping with the curves obtained  
400 from materials before FD. It is possible to conclude that AFG do not form aggregates during  
401 the freeze-drying process and that they can retain their initial sizes.

402

### 403 3.2.4 Release behaviour of nicotinamide-loaded fluid gels

404 After NFG production, a fraction of both NFG\_025 and NFG-035 was freeze-dried, as  
405 described in section 2.6 (Freeze drying), until constant weight (48 h). Freeze dried samples  
406 were then rehydrated for 1 hour and release of the active over time was studied, as described  
407 in section 2.10 (In vitro release), to highlight the influence of FD on the release behaviour of  
408 NIC. The NIC release profiles from FG before drying and following drying and rehydration are  
409 depicted in Figure 7 for two different concentrations of the active:

410 As can be seen in Figure 7a-b, both FD and rehydration processes do not seem to affect  
411 the release behaviour of NIC from AFG; in fact, release curves are almost overlapping. In order  
412 to better understand the release behaviour of NIC a model fitting analysis was conducted:  
413 data obtained from in vitro release studies of NFG formulations were used to fit a  
414 mathematical model as described in section 2.11 Data fitting and the obtained parameters  
415 are reported in Table 3:

416 As described by Rinaki et al. (Rinaki, et al., 2003), the  $n$  parameter obtained from data  
417 fitting of eq. 2 describes the mechanism of drug release. As can be noticed from Table 3, this  
418 value is almost constant for all in vitro experiments and it is in the range between 0.51-0.59.  
419 As reported by the same authors and by Korsmeyer et al. (Korsmeyer, et al., 1983; Rinaki, et  
420 al., 2003),  $n$  values in the range 0.4-0.65 are related to pure diffusion mechanism (anomalous  
421 non-Fickian diffusion) of drug. In NFG the release of NIC can be related to its diffusion through  
422 the ALG matrix; this is very similar to the diffusion of NIC from a water solution or from a  
423 water and alginate solution. This supports the theory that no drug-matrices interactions were

424 formed between NIC and AFG. In a previous reported study, the interaction between  
425 tryptophan and AFG were recorded (Smaniotto, et al., 2019). However, in that case the  
426 amount of drug detected during in vitro release studies was not equal to the overall amount  
427 of drug introduced in AFG and the amount released was also affected by storage time,  
428 suggesting a possible interaction between tryptophan and alginate polymer chains, as  
429 reported in literature by Yang et al. (Yang, et al., 2015). As described by Korsmeyer et al.  
430 (Korsmeyer, et al., 1983), the kinetic constant  $k$  is characteristic of each drug-matrix system.  
431 As can be seen in Table 3,  $k$  values of NFG-0.35 are substantially different between the  
432 “untreated” material and the one after the drying and rehydration processes. As reported  
433 above (see section 3.2.2 Recovery of rheological properties), AFG made using a  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$   
434 ratio higher than the CRMF, as in the case of NFG-0.35, were not able to be completely  
435 rehydrated and their rheological properties were affected by the drying and rehydration  
436 steps. Changes in  $k$  values of NFG-0.35 confirm that AFG made using a  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio higher  
437 than the CRMF cannot be completely rehydrated. This is not true for AFG made using a  
438  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio lower than the CRMF, as the  $k$  values of NFG-0.25 is very similar to the one of  
439 the same sample submitted to freeze drying and rehydration processes, confirming that a  
440 complete rehydration of the matrix can be achieved, as showed in section 3.2.2 Recovery of  
441 rheological properties.

442 It is possible to conclude that AFG are able to slow down the diffusion of the loaded NIC  
443 even after FD. Additionally, freeze drying is a suitable technique for preserving AFG by  
444 decreasing their moisture content and, consequently, their water activity, preventing the  
445 bacterial growth. Rehydration can restore the release behaviour they had before freeze  
446 drying.

#### 447 4. Conclusions

448 In this work, the suitability of freeze drying as preservation method for alginate fluid gels was  
449 investigated. The effect of particle dimensions on drying kinetics and rehydration behaviour  
450 of these materials was studied. It was demonstrated that the drying and rehydration  
451 processes do not affect the particle size distribution. However, the rheological properties of  
452 AFG can be completely recovered only when materials were made using a  $\text{CaCl}_2/\text{ALG}$  ratio  
453 that allowed the formation of nanoparticles. Preliminary encapsulation experiments of NIC  
454 in AFG were also carried out, showing that the release behaviour of this active from AFG was



455 not modified by the drying/rehydration processes when AFG were composed of  
456 nanoparticles. This showed to be the most suitable system to deliver the active compound.  
457 On the other hand, data fitting models of in vitro release experiments showed some changes  
458 in the release behaviour of NIC after freeze drying and rehydration of AFG made of  
459 microparticles. This is probably due to the fact that microparticles-based AFG cannot be fully  
460 rehydrated. More experiments should be conducted in the future, loading other types of  
461 actives in AFG to investigate their usage as materials for the controlled release overtime of  
462 actives. These results can be relevant from both a scientific and industrial point of view, since  
463 they lead to a better understanding of the AFG behaviour and suggest that FD can be applied  
464 to extend their shelf life, without any compromise in the material properties.

465

#### 466 Acknowledgement

467 This research was funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council  
468 [grant number EP/K030957/1], the EPSRC Centre for Innovative Manufacturing in Food.

469

470

#### 471 References

- 472 Badita, C. R., Aranghel, D., Radulescu, A., & Anitas, E. M. (2016). The study of the structural  
473 properties of very low viscosity sodium alginate by small-angle neutron scattering. *AIP*  
474 *Conference Proceedings*, 1722(1), 220007.
- 475 Barbosa, J., Borges, S., Amorim, M., Pereira, M. J., Oliveira, A., Pintado, M. E., & Teixeira, P.  
476 (2015). Comparison of spray drying, freeze drying and convective hot air drying for the  
477 production of a probiotic orange powder. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 17, 340-351.
- 478 Bonifacio, M. A., Gentile, P., Ferreira, A. M., Cometa, S., & De Giglio, E. (2017). Insight into  
479 halloysite nanotubes-loaded gellan gum hydrogels for soft tissue engineering  
480 applications. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 163, 280-291.
- 481 Braccini, I., & Pérez, S. (2001). Molecular Basis of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-Induced Gelation in Alginates and  
482 Pectins: The Egg-Box Model Revisited. *Biomacromolecules*, 2(4), 1089-1096.
- 483 Brown, Z. K., Fryer, P. J., Norton, I. T., Bakalis, S., & Bridson, R. H. (2008). Drying of foods using  
484 supercritical carbon dioxide — Investigations with carrot. *Innovative Food Science &*  
485 *Emerging Technologies*, 9(3), 280-289.
- 486 Brown, Z. K., Fryer, P. J., Norton, I. T., & Bridson, R. H. (2010). Drying of agar gels using  
487 supercritical carbon dioxide. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 54(1), 89-95.
- 488 Budavari, S. (1996). *The Merck Index - An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals*.  
489 Whitehouse Station, NJ.: Merck and Co.

490 Cardea, S., Pisanti, P., & Reverchon, E. (2010). Generation of chitosan nanoporous structures  
491 for tissue engineering applications using a supercritical fluid assisted process. *Journal*  
492 *of Supercritical Fluids*, 54(3), 290-295.

493 Cassanelli, M., Prosapio, V., Norton, I., & Mills, T. (2018). Acidified/basified gellan gum gels:  
494 The role of the structure in drying/rehydration mechanisms. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 82,  
495 346-354.

496 Cassanelli, M., Prosapio, V., Norton, I., & Mills, T. (2019). Role of the Drying Technique on the  
497 Low-Acyl Gellan Gum Gel Structure: Molecular and Macroscopic Investigations. *Food*  
498 *and Bioprocess Technology*, 12(2), 313-324.

499 Chung, C., Degner, B., & McClements, D. J. (2014). Development of Reduced-calorie foods:  
500 Microparticulated whey proteins as fat mimetics in semi-solid food emulsions. *Food*  
501 *Research International*, 56, 136-145.

502 de Bruijn, J., Rivas, F., Rodriguez, Y., Loyola, C., Flores, A., Melin, P., & Borquez, R. (2016).  
503 Effect of vacuum microwave drying on the quality and storage stability of  
504 strawberries. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 40, 1104-1115.

505 De Marco, I., & Reverchon, E. (2017). Starch aerogel loaded with poorly water-soluble  
506 vitamins through supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption. *Chemical Engineering Research and*  
507 *Design*, 119, 221-230.

508 Fernández Farrés, I., Douaire, M., & Norton, I. T. (2013). Rheology and tribological properties  
509 of Ca-alginate fluid gels produced by diffusion-controlled method. *Food Hydrocolloids*,  
510 32(1), 115-122.

511 Fernández Farrés, I., Moakes, R. J. A., & Norton, I. T. (2014). Designing biopolymer fluid gels:  
512 A microstructural approach. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 42, 362-372.

513 Franco, P., Aliakbarian, B., Perego, P., Reverchon, E., & De Marco, I. (2018). Supercritical  
514 Adsorption of Quercetin on Aerogels for Active Packaging Applications. *Industrial &*  
515 *Engineering Chemistry Research*, 57(44), 15105-15113.

516 García, Alfaro, M. C., Calero, N., & Muñoz, J. (2014). Influence of polysaccharides on the  
517 rheology and stabilization of  $\alpha$ -pinene emulsions. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 105, 177-  
518 183.

519 García, Trujillo, L. A., Muñoz, J., & Alfaro, M. C. (2018a). Gellan gum fluid gels: influence of the  
520 nature and concentration of gel-promoting ions on rheological properties. *Colloid and*  
521 *Polymer Science*, 296(11), 1741-1748.

522 García, Trujillo, L. A., Muñoz, J., & Alfaro, M. C. (2018b). Gellan gum fluid gels: influence of the  
523 nature and concentration of gel-promoting ions on rheological properties. *Colloid and*  
524 *Polymer Science*.

525 Garrec, D. A., & Norton, I. T. (2012). Understanding fluid gel formation and properties. *Journal*  
526 *of food engineering*, 112(3), 175-182.

527 He, X., Liu, Y., Li, H., & Li, H. (2016). Single-stranded structure of alginate and its conformation  
528 evolvment after an interaction with calcium ions as revealed by electron microscopy.  
529 *RSC Advances*, 6(115), 114779-114782.

530 Hu, Y., Que, T., Fang, Z., Liu, W., Chen, S., Liu, D., & Ye, X. (2013). Effect of Different Drying  
531 Methods on the Protein and Product Quality of Hairtail Fish Meat Gel. *Drying*  
532 *Technology*, 31(13-14), 1707-1714.

533 Jin, H., Nishiyama, Y., Wada, M., & Kuga, S. (2004). Nanofibrillar cellulose aerogels. *Colloids*  
534 *and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 240(1-3), 63-67.

535 Karam, M. C., Petit, J., Zimmer, D., Baudelaire Djantou, E., & Scher, J. (2016). Effects of drying  
536 and grinding in production of fruit and vegetable powders: a review. *Journal of food*  
537 *engineering*, *188*, 32-49.

538 Korsmeyer, R. W., Gurny, R., Doelker, E., Buri, P., & Peppas, N. A. (1983). Mechanisms of solute  
539 release from porous hydrophilic polymers. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*,  
540 *15*(1), 25-35.

541 Le Révérend, B. J. D., Norton, I. T., Cox, P. W., & Spyropoulos, F. (2010). Colloidal aspects of  
542 eating. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*, *15*(1), 84-89.

543 Long, L. Y., Weng, Y. X., & Wang, Y. Z. (2018). Cellulose aerogels: Synthesis, applications, and  
544 prospects. *Polymers*, *8*(6).

545 Lopez-Quiroga, E., Prosapio, V., Fryer, P. J., Norton, I. T., & Bakalis, S. (2019). Model  
546 discrimination for drying and rehydration kinetics of freeze-dried tomatoes. *Journal of*  
547 *Food Process Engineering*, e13192.

548 Mahdi, M. H., Conway, B. R., Mills, T., & Smith, A. M. (2016). Gellan gum fluid gels for topical  
549 administration of diclofenac. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, *515*(1), 535-542.

550 Maldonado, S., Arnau, E., & Bertuzzi, M. (2010). Effect of temperature and pretreatment on  
551 water diffusion during rehydration of dehydrated mangoes. *Journal of food*  
552 *engineering*, *96*(3), 333-341.

553 Mao, B., Divoux, T., & Snabre, P. (2017). Impact of saccharides on the drying kinetics of  
554 agarose gels measured by in-situ interferometry. *Scientific Reports*, *7*, 41185.

555 McHugh, D. J. J. P., & Pap, U. o. P. f. C. S. F. F. T. (1987). Production, properties and uses of  
556 alginates. *288*, 58-115.

557 National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubChem Database. Nicotinamide, C.,  
558 <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/936> (accessed on Apr. 27, 2019).

559 Norton, Frith, W. J., & Ablett, S. (2006). Fluid gels, mixed fluid gels and satiety. *Food*  
560 *Hydrocolloids*, *20*(2), 229-239.

561 Norton, Gonzalez Espinosa, Y., Watson, R. L., Spyropoulos, F., & Norton, I. T. (2015). Functional  
562 food microstructures for macronutrient release and delivery. *Food & Function*, *6*(3),  
563 663-678.

564 Norton, Jarvis, D. A., & Foster, T. J. (1999). A molecular model for the formation and properties  
565 of fluid gels. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, *26*(4), 255-261.

566 Prosapio, V., & Norton, I. (2017a). Influence of osmotic dehydration pre-treatment on oven  
567 drying and freeze drying performance. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, *80*, 401-  
568 408.

569 Prosapio, V., & Norton, I. (2018). Simultaneous application of ultrasounds and firming agents  
570 to improve the quality properties of osmotic + freeze-dried foods. *LWT*, *96*, 402-410.

571 Prosapio, V., Norton, I., & De Marco, I. (2017b). Optimization of freeze-drying using a Life  
572 Cycle Assessment approach: Strawberries' case study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*,  
573 *168*, 1171-1179.

574 Ratti, C. (2001). Hot air and freeze-drying of high-value foods: a review. *Journal of food*  
575 *engineering*, *49*, 311-319.

576 Ratti, C. (2008). *Advances in food dehydration*: CRC Press.


577 Rinaki, E., Valsami, G., & Macheras, P. (2003). The power law can describe the 'entire' drug  
578 release curve from HPMC-based matrix tablets: a hypothesis. *International Journal of*  
579 *Pharmaceutics*, *255*(1), 199-207.

- 580 Sanchez, V., Baeza, R., Galmarini, M. V., Zamora, M. C., & Chirife, J. (2013). Freeze-Drying  
581 Encapsulation of Red Wine Polyphenols in an Amorphous Matrix of Maltodextrin.  
582 *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 6(5), 1350-1354.
- 583 Secouard, S., Malhiac, C., Grisel, M., & Decroix, B. (2003). Release of limonene from  
584 polysaccharide matrices: viscosity and synergy effect. *Food Chemistry*, 82(2), 227-234.
- 585 Siepmann, J., Streubel, A., & Peppas, N. A. (2002). Understanding and Predicting Drug Delivery  
586 from Hydrophilic Matrix Tablets Using the "Sequential Layer" Model. *Pharmaceutical  
587 Research*, 19(3), 306-314.
- 588 Smaniotto, F., Zafeiri, I., Prosapio, V., & Spyropoulos, F. (2019). *Use of Alginate Fluid Gel  
589 Microparticles to Modulate the Release of Hydrophobic Actives*.
- 590 Smirnova, I., Mamic, J., & Arlt, W. (2003). Adsorption of Drugs on Silica Aerogels. *Langmuir*,  
591 19(20), 8521-8525.
- 592 Stevenson, A., Cray, J. A., Williams, J. P., Santos, R., Sahay, R., Neuenkirchen, N., McClure, C.  
593 D., Grant, I. R., Houghton, J. D. R., Quinn, J. P., Timson, D. J., Patil, S. V., Singhal, R. S.,  
594 Antón, J., Dijksterhuis, J., Hocking, A. D., Lievens, B., Rangel, D. E. N., Voytek, M. A.,  
595 Gunde-Cimerman, N., Oren, A., Timmis, K. N., McGenity, T. J., & Hallsworth, J. E.  
596 (2015). Is there a common water-activity limit for the three domains of life? *The ISME  
597 Journal*, 9, 1333-1351.
- 598 Tiwari, S., Chakkaravarthi, A., & Bhattacharya, S. (2015). Imaging and image analysis of freeze-  
599 dried cellular solids of gellan and agar gels. *Journal of food engineering*, 165, 60-65.
- 600 Torres, O., Murray, B., & Sarkar, A. (2016). Emulsion microgel particles: Novel encapsulation  
601 strategy for lipophilic molecules. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 55, 98-108.
- 602 Vega-Gálvez, A., Zura-Bravo, L., Lemus-Mondaca, R., Martinez-Monzó, J., Quispe-Fuentes, I.,  
603 Puente, L., & Di Scala, K. (2015). Influence of drying temperature on dietary fibre,  
604 rehydration properties, texture and microstructure of cape gooseberry (physalis  
605 peruviana l.). *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52, 2304-2311.
- 606 Wang, Y., Chang, Y., Xue, Y., Li, Z., Wang, Y., & Xue, C. (2016). Rheology and microstructure of  
607 heat-induced fluid gels from Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba*) protein: Effect of pH.  
608 *Food Hydrocolloids*, 52, 510-519.
- 609 Yang, Y., Qian, J., & Ming, D. (2015). Docking polysaccharide to proteins that have a  
610 Tryptophan box in the binding pocket. *Carbohydrate Research*, 414, 78-84.


611

612

613



614



615

616

Figure 1- PSD curves of AFG produced by using 2% ALG (w/w): (a) Mastersizer, (b) Zetasizer.


617

618

619

620

621




622


623 Figure 2- In vitro release profile of nicotinamide (National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubChem  
624 Database. Nicotinamide) from NIC in aqueous medium, NIC&ALG solution, and NIC-loaded alginate fluid gels  
625 produced using different concentrations of CaCl<sub>2</sub> (NFG-025 and NFG-035).

626

627




628




629

630 *Figure 3- Drying kinetics of fluid gels at 2% ALG (w/w) and different CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations: (a) Normalised*  
 631 *Moisture Content; (b) water activity.*


632



633



634




635

636 *Figure 4: Shear viscosity curves of blank AFG before freeze drying and after drying/rehydration: (a) 0.15% CaCl<sub>2</sub>;*  
 637 *(b) 0.25% CaCl<sub>2</sub>; (c) 0.35% CaCl<sub>2</sub>.*

638






639

640

Figure 5- Shear viscosity curves of AFG-025 as a function of the rehydration time

641

642




643

644


Figure 6- PSD curves of blank (no active) AFG before freeze drying and after drying and rehydration

645

646



647



648

649 Figure 7- In vitro release profiles of NIC from forming nanoparticles AFG (a) and forming microparticles AFG (b)  
 650 before freeze drying and after drying and rehydration.

651

652

653

Table 1-ALG and CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations used for sample preparations

Sample	Alginate concentration (w/w)	CaCl <sub>2</sub> concentration (w/w)
AFG_2%_0.15%	2%	0.15%
AFG_2%_0.25%	2%	0.25%
AFG_2%_0.35%	2%	0.35%

654

655

656

Table 2- ALG and CaCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations used for sample preparations

Sample	Alginate concentration (w/w)	CaCl <sub>2</sub> concentration (w/w)
AFG_1%_0.15%	1%	0.15%
AFG_1%_0.25%	1%	0.25%
AFG_3%_0.35%	3%	0.35%
AFG_3%_0.45%	3%	0.45%

657

658

659

Table 3 – k, n, R<sup>2</sup>, parameters obtained from eq. 2 data fitting

	NFG-0.25	NFG-0.25 after rehydration	NFG-0.35	NFG-0.35 after rehydration	NIC Solution	NIC&ALG
<b>k</b>	0.9526 (± 0.0835)	0.8835 (± 0.0518)	0.9187 (± 0.0785)	0.3911 (± 0.0504)	1.3440 (± 0.1925)	0.9814 (± 0.0488)
<b>n</b>	0.5826 (± 0.0654)	0.5118 (± 0.0413)	0.5578 (± 0.0625)	0.5600 (± 0.0396)	0.5851 (± 0.0887)	0.5464 (± 0.0361)
<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	0.995	0.997	0.995	0.998	0.994	0.998

660