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Curved rods are a ubiquitous bacterial phenotype, but the fundamental question of why they are shaped this way remains unanswered. Through in silico experiments, we assessed freely swimming straight- and curved-rod bacteria of a wide diversity of equal-volume shapes parameterized by elongation and curvature, and predicted their performances in tasks likely to strongly influence overall fitness. Performance trade-offs between these tasks lead to a variety of shapes that are Pareto-optimal, including coccosoids, all straight rods, and a range of curvatures. Comparison with an extensive morphological survey of motile curved-rod bacteria indicates that the vast majority of species fall within the Pareto-optimal region of morphospace. This result is consistent with evolutionary trade-offs between just three tasks: efficient swimming, chemotaxis, and low cell construction cost. We thus reveal the underlying selective pressures driving morphological diversity in a widespread component of microbial ecosystems.

Significance

Bacteria exhibit a bewildering diversity of morphologies, but despite their impact on nearly all aspects of life, they are frequently classified into a few general categories, usually just “spheres” and “rods.” Curved-rod bacteria are one simple variation observed in many environments, particularly the ocean. However, why so many species have evolved this shape is unknown. We show that curvature can increase swimming efficiency, revealing a widely applicable selective advantage. Furthermore, we show that the distribution of cell lengths and curvatures observed across bacteria in nature is predicted by evolutionary trade-offs between three tasks influenced by shape: efficient swimming, the ability to detect chemical gradients, and reduced cost of cell construction. We therefore reveal shape as an important component of microbial fitness.
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Survey of curved-rod morphologies, with geometric parameters

We surveyed the literature for micrographs of motile, flagellated curved-rod bacteria (Methods, Survey of Observed Shapes, and SI Appendix, section 1). Since a wide range of motile straight rods (from coccosoids to filaments) has previously been reported (16), we included these in our subsequent calculations but focused our efforts on surveying visibly curved cells. Individual cells were segmented using MicrobeJ (23) (Methods, Survey of Observed Shapes, and SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and section 1) and fitted to a simple geometric model parameterized by elongation ($\mathcal{L}$) and centerline “curvature” ($\kappa$), both dimensionless and thus independent of length scale (Fig. 1, Inset; Methods, Model Geometry; SI Appendix, section 6.1). (For brevity and accessibility, instead of the typical mathematical meaning, we use the term “curvature” loosely to describe the dimensionless fraction of a full circumference formed by the cell centerline.) The survey (Fig. 1 and Dataset S3) highlights the diversity of shapes within our curved-rod parameter space: Short bean-, comma-, and long hotdog-like shapes can all be found in nature, with a few exceptionally elongated species beyond $\mathcal{L} = 10$ (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Of the 11 phyla, 98 genera, and 205 species, notable members of the dataset include Bdellovibrio spp. (which feeds on other bacteria, including human and animal pathogens), and Vibrio cholerae and V. vulnificus, both capable of causing serious illness in humans. The median curved rod ($\mathcal{L} = 3.7, \kappa = 0.14$) corresponds to a rod with limited curvature (similar to Vibrio ruber), and 50% of all curved species fall within $\mathcal{L} = 2.3–4.9$ and $\kappa = 0.05–0.25$. There is a factor of 10 variation in diameter of an equal-volume sphere [i.e., equivalent spherical diameter (ESD)], but with no discernible correlation between size (for our purposes, ESD) and shape ($\mathcal{L}$ vs. ESD, $n = 220, r^2 = 0.001, P = 0.582$; $\kappa$ vs. ESD, $n = 220, r^2 = 0.005, P = 0.298$), we do not consider size further (SI Appendix, section 2). There is, however, a weak decreasing trend in curvature versus elongation ($\mathcal{L}$ vs. $\kappa$, $n = 223, r^2 = 0.028, P = 0.012$). Although toroidal bacterial morphologies do exist (SI Appendix, section 1), there is a conspicuous lack of motile species that are more than semicircular (Fig. 1).

Swimming Efficiency

Given that curved bacteria fill a particular region of the theoretical morphospace (Fig. 1), it is natural to ask why bacteria might be curved at all, why some are as curved as they are, and why not all conceivable curved-rod morphologies are found in nature. We first hypothesized that cell curvature might enhance the swimming speed of a bacterium, given a certain power expenditure. That is, curvature might increase Swimming Efficiency, defined as the ratio of power required to translate an equal-volume sphere to the mechanical power dissipated by the flagellar motor: 

$$\Psi_{s\text{wim}} = 6\pi\mu\mathcal{L}^2c^2P^M$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent cell radius (0.62 μm for all cells here), $\mu$ is dynamic viscosity of water ($10^{-3}$ kg s $^{-1}$ μm$^{-1}$), $\mathcal{L}$ is effective swimming speed, and $P^M$ is average power dissipated by the motor (ref. 24; SI Appendix, section 10.3). This hypothesis is in line with the results of Phan-Thien et al. (25), who showed that a slightly flattened, long ellipsoid is marginally more efficient than the best rotationally symmetric spheroid, as well as Liu et al. (26), who approximated Caulobacter crescentus as a straight rod with a tilted off-axis flagellum and found increased efficiency compared with the aligned case. The reason for this is a peculiarity of propulsion by a rotating flagellum—there is a trade-off between minimizing the translational resistance of the body and maximizing its rotational resistance, to reduce the power typically wasted by body counterrotation. Applied to curved rods, this idea suggests that an optimal curvature should exist, since curvature should increase both translational and rotational resistance.

Using a regularized Stokeslet Boundary Element Method (Methods, Numerical Solution for Swimming Kinematics and Rotational Diffusion, and SI Appendix, sections 3–9), we simulated the detailed kinematics of freely swimming curved rods propelled by rotating flagella (Fig. 2B and SI Appendix, Fig. S3 and Movie S1) over a broad theoretical morphospace spanning nearly all our observed body shapes as well as spheres, straight rods, and rings (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S14). All shapes were constrained to be equal volume (1 μm$^3$, similar to E. coli) to focus on variation in shape, not size, although we note that our definition of $\Psi_{s\text{wim}}$ is scale-invariant regardless. While our focus was bacterial body shape, flagellum shape also affects swimming performance. Since there is a profound scarcity of detailed data on flagellar morphology, we chose to pair each body shape with its optimal helical flagellum (i.e., optimal amplitude, wavelength, and number of wavelengths: Fig. 2D and SI Appendix, Fig. S16 and section 11.1) that maximizes $\Psi_{s\text{wim}}$ to reduce artificial biases in our results, despite this task requiring >100,000 CPU hours. We thus generated a performance landscape for Swimming Efficiency over diverse curved bacterial shapes (Fig. 3A).

Surprisingly, we found that over the entire morphospace, the globally optimal Swimming Efficiency is achieved not by a curved rod but a straight, slightly elongated rod comparable to a medicine capsule ($\mathcal{L} = 1.46, \kappa = 0; $ Fig. 3A). However, this shape has a Swimming Efficiency advantage of just 2% over a spherical body, in general agreement with previous studies of ellipsoidal cells (16, 24, 25) (SI Appendix, Fig. S19), and is only 0.3% better than the semicircular curved rod located at a local maximum in the performance landscape ($\mathcal{L} = 4.0, \kappa = 0.70$). In fact, a diverse range of shapes exhibit essentially the same efficiency, with only long straight rods having substantially reduced performance compared with a sphere (Movie S1).

Intriguingly, the optimal curvature $\kappa$ for a given elongation $\mathcal{L}$ is approximately constant (~0.65) beyond $\mathcal{L} = 4$, with efficiencies within a few percent of that of a sphere up to $\mathcal{L} = 10$. However, even modest curvature (e.g., $\kappa = 0.2$) allows a highly elongated

![Fig. 1. Survey of extant curved-rod morphologies, with geometric parameters and definitions of dimensionless shape parameters $\mathcal{L}$ and $\kappa$. (Inset) The circles represent species median shapes based on segmented images, with circle radius proportional to equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) when scale data available (filled) or overall median ESD when not (open). The smallest ellipse containing 50% of all species is shown in red, and overall median species shape (and ESD) is depicted by a red filled circle, highlighted by a triangle. Silhouetted individuals (green), rescaled to constant volume, are shown for selected species (green filled circles).](image-url)
straight rod ($\mathcal{L} = 10$) to mitigate its efficiency penalty by over 20%. Thus, if bacteria were to elongate for reasons other than efficient swimming, they could avoid this penalty by being curved. While Swimming Efficiency (or equivalently, speed; SI Appendix, section 10.3) alone cannot explain why elongated, curved bacteria have evolved, these data suggest efficiency might nonetheless apply selective pressure if it were to influence some other “higher-level” task favoring elongated cells.

**Chemotactic Signal/Noise Ratio**

It is commonly assumed that motile bacteria swim to enable chemotaxis (27)—the directed movement of organisms toward high concentrations of favorable compounds (e.g., nutrients) or away from unfavorable ones. To perform chemotaxis, bacteria must reliably sample chemical concentration at different points in space to determine whether the stimulus gradient is increasing or decreasing as they swim. For ellipsoidal cells, Dusenbery (16) concluded that many bacteria have likely evolved elongated shapes due to the benefits to chemotactic ability. The advantage of elongation here derives from larger resistance to random Brownian rotation—the longer a bacterium can maintain its orientation, the longer it can trust its concentration gradient estimates before Brownian motion randomizes its direction of travel. Following Dusenbery’s information theory approach, we quantified how the reliability, or signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), for these gradient estimates varies with elongation $\mathcal{L}$ and curvature $K$ of rod-shaped bacteria.

We note that overall chemotactic ability depends on other factors in addition to SNR. For instance, active reorientation is important and is observed as “tumbles” in *E. coli* (28) and “flicks” in *Vibrio* spp. (29). Hence, we also considered performance in a task that quantifies the effect of shape on the ability to reorient—Tumbling Ease—in which we approximate reorientations as being due to random perturbing forces, akin to Brownian motion but due to the flagella (SI Appendix, Figs. S9 and S10 and sections 10.4 and 10.6). In addition, since a primary purpose of chemotaxis is to maximize a cell’s ability to obtain nutrients, we investigated the effect of shape on Nutrient Uptake via steady-state molecular diffusion to the cell surface (SI Appendix, Figs. S11 and S12 and section 10.7). However (as discussed later), we found that in contrast to chemotactic SNR, these two related tasks were unnecessary to explain the diversity of rod morphologies (SI Appendix, Fig. S18 and sections 12 and 13).

For a bacterium comparing concentration samples over time, Chemotactic SNR is proportional to $\Psi_{\text{chemo}} \approx (L_S) (t_{\text{chemo}}) = (U_{\text{chemo}} t_{\text{chemo}}) = (U_{\text{chemo}})_{1/2} (t_{\text{chemo}})_{3/2}$, where $L_S$ is the distance between samples, $t_{\text{chemo}}$ is the sampling time (i.e., the timescale for loss of orientation of the swimming direction), and $U_{\text{chemo}}$ is the effective swimming speed, rescaled such that all equal-volume cells dissipate the same power (SI Appendix, section 10.5) (16). Chemotactic SNR will thus benefit from both increased resistance to Brownian rotation (which increases $t_{\text{chemo}}$) as well as increased Swimming Efficiency (which increases $U_{\text{chemo}}$), so one might hypothesize that the optimal shape for Chemotactic SNR would be highly elongated as well as curved. We numerically calculated anisotropic rotational diffusivities (30) (Fig. 2C and SI Appendix, section 10.4) to quantify how $t_{\text{chemo}}$ varies with shape (SI Appendix, section 10.5.1), and calculated $U_{\text{chemo}}$ via simulations similar to those employed for Swimming Efficiency (SI Appendix, section 10.5.2). Since Swimming Efficiency and Chemotactic SNR are optimized by different flagellum shapes (Fig. 2D and SI Appendix, section 10.5.2), here we again found the $\Psi_{\text{chemo}}$-optimized helical flagellum shape for each body shape to remove any arbitrary biases in our results (SI Appendix, Fig. S16 and section 11.2). Chemotactic SNR appears to increase
We find that Chemotactic SNR increases without bound with elongation (albeit slower than previous predictions; SI Appendix, Fig. S8) due to a faster increase in $\tau_{\text{chemo}}$ (SI Appendix, Fig. S6) than decrease in $U_{\text{chemo}}$ (SI Appendix, Fig. S7) versus $\mathcal{L}$, so that there is no finite optimal cell shape for this task (Fig. 3B). Crucially, however, there is a nonzero optimal curvature $K$ for any $\mathcal{L}$ due to another trade-off, versus $K$ here, between $\tau_{\text{chemo}}$ (which favors straight rods; SI Appendix, Fig. S6) and $U_{\text{chemo}}$ (which tends to favor substantial curvature; SI Appendix, Fig. S7). Thus, within our simulated morphospace, the best shape for Chemotactic SNR is a slender, slightly curved rod ($\mathcal{L} = 10$, $K = 0.15$; Fig. 3B). Selection for Chemotactic SNR can therefore quantitatively explain why most rod-shaped bacteria are more elongated than the pill-shaped Swimming Efficiency optimum (16) as well as being curved. However, bacteria obviously cannot elongate without bound as selection solely for SNR would suggest. In addition, although we focused on surveying curved rods, many straight rods along a continuum of elongation also occur (16) and most of these presumably should not exist if the only relevant selective pressures were Swimming Efficiency and Chemotactic SNR.

**Construction Ease**

One reason for the existence of straight rods might be that they are simply easier to construct than curved cells. In fact, the least costly body shape is likely to be spherical (9), with specific structural proteins required to maintain both rod (32) and vibrioid or helical (33) cell shapes. It therefore seems reasonable to assume the existence of a selection pressure that penalizes morphologies with sharply curving surfaces (16, 34). While mechanomichanical models of bacterial (including curved rod) cell wall growth and maintenance have been proposed (11, 35), they involve many weakly quantified parameters and a general theory of construction cost applicable to diverse morphologies is lacking. We therefore built on Dusenbery’s (16) simple assumption of a minimum feasible radius of curvature, and considered geometrical cost functions (SI Appendix, Fig. S13 and section 10.8) based on two common metrics of surface curvature, mean and Gaussian curvature. The only cost function consistent with our observed bacterial shapes (SI Appendix, section 13) was based on total absolute Gaussian curvature, $\int |k_1 \cdot k_2| \, dA$, where $k_1, k_2$ are the principal curvatures at any point and $S$ is the body surface. The absolute value causes local regions of both concave and convex surface curvature to intuitively add to total cost. Since cell membrane and wall raw materials also likely incur energetic and material costs, we then multiplied by total surface area $A$, taking the square root to obtain a linear scaling of total cost versus area (SI Appendix, section 10.8): cost = $\sqrt{A \int |k_1 \cdot k_2| \, dA}$. Finally, to facilitate comparison with other tasks and simplify the forthcoming analysis, we inverted the cost function to yield a Construction Ease under selective pressure to be maximized:

$$\Psi_{\text{constr}} = 1 - \sqrt{A \int |k_1 \cdot k_2| \, dA}.$$  

As intuitively required, the maximum Construction Ease is achieved by a coccus cell ($\mathcal{L} = 1$, $K = 0$; Fig. 3C), with both elongation $\mathcal{L}$ and curvature $K$ generally increasing costs (SI Appendix, section 10.8). For almost all $\mathcal{L}$, the dependence on $K$ is stronger than on $\mathcal{L}$ so that in this putative task, curvature is costlier than elongation. While total absolute Gaussian curvature is constant for all straight rods, the area penalization ensures that elongation when $K = 0$ remains costly. Thus, Construction Ease should exert selective pressure on bacteria to minimize both elongation and curvature over evolutionary time.

![Fig. 3. Performance landscapes for putative tasks critical to curved-rod bacteria: Swimming Efficiency $\Psi_{\text{swim}}$ (A), Chemotactic SNR $\Psi_{\text{chemo}}$ (B), and body shape Construction Ease $\Psi_{\text{constr}}$ (C). In each case, performance (pseudocolor and contours) is normalized relative to that of a spherical body, i.e., $\Psi = \Psi / \Psi_{\text{glob}}$, and is thus dimensionless and scale-invariant (SI Appendix, section 10.2). In addition to selected shapes for reference (gray), performance maxima within our morphospace are shown (black); in A, these include both the global and a local maximum (see text).](image-url)
Performance Trade-offs and Pareto Optimality

Our results quantify the relative advantages and disadvantages of bacterial curvature and elongation. Relative to straight rods of equal elongation $L$ within our morphospace, curved rods of median curvature $K = 0.14$ exhibit up to a 27\% improvement in Swimming Efficiency and up to 12\% improvement in Chemotactic SNR, but a 15–40\% disadvantage in Construction Ease (Fig. 4). In reality, these performance trade-offs occur in two dimensions: A curved rod of $L = 5$, $K = 0.2$ will simultaneously experience pressure to straighten and shorten (to increase Construction Ease; Fig. 3C), to elongate (to increase Chemotactic SNR; Fig. 3B), and to become more curved (to increase Swimming Efficiency most rapidly; Fig. 3A).

Faced with such complex trade-offs between tasks, how can one approach the question of optimal shapes? The overall fitness of an organism can be assumed to be an increasing function of the performances of all tasks, but this fitness function, which depends on the relative weights of each task, is rarely known. Shoval et al. (21) discuss an elegant solution to this multi-objective optimization problem: Pareto optimality theory. This concept, borrowed from economics and engineering, delineates an optimal set of solutions that are the best trade-offs between individual tasks. In the context of this study, the Pareto optimality approach deals with the issue of unknown relative weights for each competing task by yielding a set of optimal shapes, not just a single maximizer of fitness. Within the Pareto-optimal set of solutions, it is impossible to improve at one task without sacrificing performance at another—this is an operational definition of Pareto optimality. Thus, Pareto-optimal shapes can be contrasted with suboptimal shapes that can be outperformed in all tasks simultaneously, and which are not expected to occur naturally. The shape of any given Pareto-optimal species depends on the relative contributions of each task to overall fitness in its ecological niche, i.e., the particular fitness function of that niche (21). For instance, both spherical and elongated cells might be Pareto-optimal, with the former specialized to niches favoring Construction Ease and the latter specialized to niches favoring Chemotactic SNR.

While Pareto trade-offs between a small number of critically important tasks often explain the majority of phenotypes seen in nature (21), we did not know a priori what these tasks might be for motile rod-shaped bacteria. Hence, using a simple brute-force approach based on ranking task performances across discretized morphospace (Methods, Pareto Optimality and GoF; and SI Appendix, section 12), we directly computed the Pareto-optimal regions resulting from the performance landscapes of many possible combinations (sets of between three and five) of our putative tasks (i.e., Swimming Efficiency, Chemotactic SNR, Construction Ease, Tumbling Ease, and Nutrient Uptake). We inferred the most likely set of crucial tasks by identifying the best goodness-of-fit (GoF) metric (Methods, Pareto Optimality and GoF, and SI Appendix, section 13) between each theoretically optimal set of shapes (SI Appendix, Fig. S18) and the morphospace region bounded by observed species (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and section 1).

The best GoF corresponds to a three-way trade-off between Swimming Efficiency, Chemotactic SNR, and Construction Ease (SI Appendix, Fig. S18 and section 13), indicating that these three tasks have been critically important in shaping the evolution of motile curved rods. The resulting Pareto-optimal region (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, section 12) reproduces the decreasing trend in maximum curvature $K$ of observed morphologies and encompasses the diversity of species shapes, with most bacteria apparently employing a generalist strategy but some species specializing in just one or two tasks. In particular, the boundaries (i.e., fronts) of the main Pareto-optimal region consist of bacteria engaged in trade-offs between only two tasks: Construction Ease versus Chemotactic SNR for most straight rods along the elongation ($L$) axis (e.g., *Ammonifex degensii*), and Swimming Efficiency versus Chemotactic SNR along most of the upper boundary (e.g., *Rhodospirillum rubrum*). There are only two theoretically suboptimal outliers: *Desulfovibrio africanus* ($L = 7.3$, $K = 0.32$), and *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans* ($L = 3.6$, $K = 0.50$), which often has an extreme C-shape and erodes ferrite surfaces (36), possibly facilitating high contact area with its substrate.

Crucially, the Pareto-optimal region excludes almost all shapes that are not seen in nature (e.g., short, sharply curved cells and motile ring-shaped cells). However, we note the conspicuous presence of a small “evolutionary island” of semicircular rods due to the nearby relative maximum in the Swimming Efficiency performance landscape (Fig. 3A). While these shapes may be theoretically optimal, their absence in nature (at least from our survey) could simply be a consequence of the vast “sea” of suboptimality isolating them from observed morphologies. We also predict, but do not observe, the existence of highly elongated, moderately curved rods (e.g., $L = 10$, $K = 0.3$). However, in reality, all curved-rod bacteria may have a 3D helical shape that is difficult to discern from typical microscopy images (37). We suspect that the likelihood of cell curvature appearing clearly helical and not planar increases with $L$, so that such long, curved rods do exist in a sense, but are helically shaped and beyond the scope of this study.

Discussion

We first performed a thorough survey of literature containing micrographs of curved bacteria to quantify extant morphologies (Fig. 1), although we note that selection bias and other sources of error may exist in published images as well as our analysis of them, despite our efforts to minimize the latter (SI Appendix, section 1). We then modeled performance in several putative tasks likely to be important to motile bacteria, in some cases with little a priori knowledge of which morphologies might optimize them. Faced with substantial uncertainties and variability in flagellar morphology across species (38), we eliminated arbitrary biases in our results by finding the optimal flagellum for each body shape, separately for both efficient swimming and chemotaxis (SI Appendix, Fig. S16 and section 11). Our detailed numerical simulations (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, sections 3–11) reveal performance landscapes with complex topography [i.e., nonelliptical...
a fundamental motility parameter and is likely to contribute to many other higher-level tasks, so its effect on overall fitness is probably compounded. For instance, not only is Chemotactic SNR enhanced by curvature due to its dependence on Swimming Efficiency, but overall chemotactic ability will depend on how quickly the cell can swim toward better conditions, in addition to the reliability of its gradient measurements. Experiments with marine bacteria, which tend to be fast-swimming but live in a nutrient-poor environment, have confirmed a strong relationship between swimming speed and overall chemotactic ability (44). Nonetheless, it is possible that our Pareto optimality approach, due to its insensitivity to absolute performance differences (SI Appendix, section 12), could lead to overinterpretation of the importance of tasks like Swimming Efficiency that seem to exhibit small variations across morphospace. Further experimental work, especially quantification of flagellar morphologies and swimming kinematics, is warranted to further inform efforts in this field.

The diversity of bacterial morphologies found in nature is reminiscent of the perhaps even larger diversity in marine phytoplankton, which prompted the “the paradox of the plankton” posed by Hutchinson (45). The paradox asked how there can be such a wide range of phytoplankton species, all competing for the same resources in the same habitat, despite the competitive exclusion principle predicting that one species should eventually outcompete the rest. Many resolutions of the paradox note that the open ocean is not a homogeneous habitat as originally thought, but quite spatially and temporally complex at small scales, so that species actually occupy different ecological niches (46). Similarly, while the diversity of bacterial morphologies coexisting in environments such as biofilms (47) or the human gut (48) might seem paradoxical, this level of habitat classification is far too coarse to be relevant to bacteria. In contrast to macroscopic organisms (49), our understanding of the niches occupied by bacteria is extremely limited. Nonetheless, our results provide testable predictions for which tasks, and perhaps microniches, different rod-shaped morphologies are specialized. For example, highly elongated species should display very effective chemotaxis and therefore might be adapted to habitats with shallow nutrient gradients. Species with high curvature, being efficient swimmers, might tend to live in oligotrophic or highly viscous environments—for instance, while seawater is of low viscosity on average, there is mounting evidence of significant spatial and temporal variation due to phytoplankton exudates and other factors (50). The low cost of construction we predict for nearly spherical bacteria is difficult to observe, but one might speculate a correlation between ease of construction and growth rate. While controlling for the many confounding factors that vary across species is challenging, we anticipate that advances in experimental (51) and phylogenetic methods (52) will enable direct tests of these predictions.

Methods
Survey of Observed Shapes. We examined all images in Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (5–9) and The Prokaryotes (53) for curved rods. We additionally filtered an in-house manually collected dataset of bacterial morphologies compiled according to the methods of ref. 54 for all species that were reported as “curved,” “slightly curved,” “bent,” “kidney-shaped,” “crescent-shaped,” “comma-shaped,” “crooked,” “C-shaped,” or “boat-shaped.” We then searched for these species (via genus) on http://www.bacterio.net/ and scanned the publications listed there for images of cells; if this failed, we tried a Google Scholar search for publications on the species. We restricted our searches to bacteria identified to species level, known or likely to exhibit swimming motility (i.e., flagellated, or swimming motility demonstrated for all other members of genus), and to images that contained at least some perceptibly curved individuals (see SI Appendix, section 1, for full details of the methodology). In all, our dataset consists of 4,903 individual bacteria across 363 images (Dataset S2).

Our morphology measures (elongation λ and curvature κ) were determined after semi-automatically segmenting the cells using the “Rod-Shaped” shape
Numerical Solution for Swimming Kinematics and Rotational Diffusion. A regularized Stokeslet Boundary Element Method (SI Appendix, sections 3 and 4) was used to numerically solve for the inertial and kinematics (SI Appendix, Fig. 55) of freely swimming cells (SI Appendix, sections 7 and 8) propelled by a rotating flagellum in water, i.e., their effective swimming speed \( \mathbf{\bar{u}}_{\text{swim}} \) and average power dissipation by the flagellar motor \( P_{\text{tot}} \). This type of numerical method has been used extensively to model swimming microorganisms; our approach generally follows that of Smith (55) with several performance improvements detailed in SI Appendix, section 5. We also used this code to quantify the anisotropic Brownian diffusion (30) of curved-rod bacteria, accounting for the stabilizing effect of the flagellum in the case of Chemotactic SNR (56) (SI Appendix, section 10.4). Specifically, we calculated the timescale for loss of orientation \( \tau \), which depends on the rotational diffusion coefficients for rotations around the two principal axes normal to the swimming direction (16) (Fig. 2C and SI Appendix, sections 10.5.1 and 10.6.1).

Pareto Optimality and GoF. For each putative set of evolutionarily crucial tasks (out of 38 combinations), we sampled the interpolant of each task’s performance landscape throughout a discretized grid of \( 125 \times 100 \) points in morphospace, and removed the dominated points, which are beaten at all tasks by at least one other point (full details in SI Appendix, section 12). For each set of tasks, we computed Hargrove et al.’s (57) GoF parameter between the resulting Pareto-optimal region of morphospace and the boundary around observed species shapes as GoF = \( R_\alpha / (R_\alpha + R_\beta) \), where \( R_\alpha \) is the area within the observations but outside the optimal region, \( R_\beta \) is the area within the optimal region but outside the observations, and \( R_\alpha \) is the area shared by both the observations and optimal region (SI Appendix, Fig. 518 and section 13).

Code Availability. The computer code used in this work is available at https://github.com/rschueh/RBSEM under the GPL 3.0 license; note that it is under active development.

Data Availability Statement. The relevant data supporting the findings of this study are available as supplementary data (Datasets 2 and S3).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. R.S. and S.H. were supported by Grant RL-2012-022 from the Leverhulme Trust.


