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A B S T R A C T

Metastable β titanium alloys with both twinning (TWIP) and martensite transformation (TRIP) usually exhibit a low yield strength of between 200 and 500 MPa, but high strain hardening rate and large uniform elongation. Alloys that exhibit twinning on a single system provide a higher yield strength, but a lower strain hardening rate. Here, for the first time, we report a new alloy (Ti-7Mo-3Cr wt%) with both high yield strength (695 MPa) and high work hardening rate (~1900 MPa), much greater compared to alloys that exhibit a single twin system. Moreover, the TRIP effect was observed at strains greater than 5%, which also contributed to the high strain hardening rate and large uniform elongation.

1. Introduction

Metastable β titanium alloys are potentially attractive for applications including biomedical and containment due to their combination of high strength, ductility, density around 4.5 g/cm³, high corrosion resistance, low elastic modulus and low biotoxicity [1–12]. Recently, considerable effort has been devoted to developing new metastable β titanium alloys due to their ability to exhibit large uniform elongation and high strain hardening rate. It has been reported that with an increase in the β phase chemical stability, the deformation mechanisms of metastable β titanium alloys change from martensite transformation, to mechanical twinning and eventually, dislocation slip [12,13]. The chemical stability of the β phase is believed to be connected to the values of two electronic parameters, Bo (the covalent bond strength between Ti and the alloying elements) and Md (the mean d-orbital energy level) [8,14,15]. Based on the Bo-Md map originally proposed by Kuroda et al. [15] and later by Abdel-Hady et al. [8,15] for superelastic titanium alloys, several metastable β titanium alloys have been developed (including Ti-12Mo [13] and Ti-9Mo-6W [16] (hereafter all the compositions are in mass%)) with both TRIP and TWIP in the initial deformation stage. These offer a peak strain hardening rate as high as 2000 MPa but a low yield strength generally below 500 MPa. Sun et al. [19] studied the early stage deformation mechanisms of Ti-12Mo alloy, finding that a strain/stress induced phase transformation (β to orthorhombic α′) and primary twinning such as (332)<113> and (112)<111> twinning were activated simultaneously. They believed that (332)<113> twinning and subsequent secondary twinning dominated the early stages of the deformation process. Recently, Yao et al. [17] investigated the plastic deformation of Ti-24Nb-4Zr-8Sn and their results showed that the stress-induced β to α′ martensitic transformation occurred first with a yield strength of ~200 MPa, giving rise to a stress plateau (pseudoelasticity); subsequently (112) (111) twinning occurred, resulting in a high strain hardening rate. Sun et al.
reported that low temperature aging at 423 K for 60s can effectively increase the yield strength of the Ti-12Mo alloy from 480 MPa to 730 MPa and avoid the stress plateau observed on the onset of plastic deformation in solid-solution-treated Ti-12Mo alloy [18]. Clearly, the stress-induced martensitic transformation leads to pseudo-elasticity and therefore low yield strength and limited work hardening, as observed in Ti-10V-3Fe-3Al [19] and Ti-Nb alloys [4,20]. In metastable β titanium alloys, the twinning system is often observed to be {332} (113), in contrast to the {112} (111) twinning system observed in more heavily β stabilized alloys [13,21–23].

Metastable β titanium alloys with initial deformation mechanisms of both TRIP and TWIP generally exhibit a low yield strength between 200 and 500 MPa (e.g. Ti-24Nb-4Zr-8Sn (~200 MPa) [17], Ti-12Mo (~480 MPa) [13], Ti-9Mo-6W (480 MPa) [16] and Ti-10V-3Fe-3Al. This is because the critical stress for martensite transformation ranges between 190 MPa and 360 MPa, depending on the strain rate [19]. These alloys exhibit a high strain hardening rate and large uniform elongation. The second class of metastable β titanium alloys, that have been of considerable interest in the last few years, only exhibit twinning and dislocation slip (e.g. Ti-10Cr [24], Ti-15Mo [25] and Ti-10Mo-1Fe [26]). These specific deformation mechanisms, i.e., twinning and dislocation slip, result in a relatively higher yield strength, but a low straining hardening rate. In this case the {332} (113) is usually the only dominant the twin system that is activated.

In this work, an alloy was designed to specifically deform by twinning, but on two twin systems, to provide a high yield strength. The alloy design had to displace the formation of martensite to higher stresses, which when combined with the twin evolution on two systems would lead to both high yield strength and high work hardening. Cr was selected as one alloy addition on the basis that Ti-Cr alloys exhibit the TWIP effect leading to high yield strength, large elongation and good corrosion resistance [24,27]. The composition was adjusted to yield values of Bo of 2.80 and and Md of 2.40, respectively. According to the Bo-Md map provided by Abdel-Hady et al. [8,15], the position of Ti-7Mo-3Cr alloy (Ti-73) is at the boundary between twinning and dislocation glide, which is a relatively unexplored region of the Bo-Md map. Our hypothesis was that this region would avoid the formation of martensite during the initial deformation and would provide both dislocation glide and twinning. Moreover, we believed that this region would provide twinning on both {332}<113> and {112]<111> systems.

The mechanical properties of this newly proposed Ti-73 alloy were studied in tension and the deformation mechanisms were systematically studied by EBSD and TEM. Quantitative evaluation of the strain hardening effect of {112}<111> and {332}<113> twinning was conducted. Several new deformed microstructures, such as the formation of high density parallel {112}<111> twins and the interaction of two sets of high density parallel {112}<111> twin variants were investigated. Thus, by careful selection of chemical composition, we successfully designed a new alloy with a high yield strength of 710 MPa, high strain hardening rate of ~1900 MPa and 32% uniform elongation, which successfully addressed the drawback of low yield strength of β titanium alloy with both TRIP and/or TWIP effects and poor strain hardening of TWIP metastable β titanium alloys.

2. Experimental

The Ti-7Mo-3Cr alloy was prepared from pure elements by arc melting in a low pressure, high-purity argon atmosphere. An ingot was then cast into a 6 × 7 × 30 mm water cooled copper mould. The ingot was then homogenized at 1200°C for 3 h under flowing argon and water quenched. It was then cold rolled from 6 to 2.4 mm thickness, annealed at 850°C for 20 min under flowing argon, and water quenched. The Ti, Mo and Cr contents were analysed using an inductively coupled plasma method (ICP-OES instrument) and the O concentration was determined by an inert gas fusion-infrared absorption method (Leco ONH836 instrument). The nominal and analysed chemical compositions are listed in Table 1. The shear modulus (G) of annealed Ti-73 alloy was determined using ultrasonic method (OLYMPUS EPOCH 650). Shear modulus can be expressed as $G = \rho V_s^2$ [28], where $V_s$ is the ultrasonic shear wave velocity and $\rho$ is the density of the material. The bulk density of the Ti-73 alloy was determined by the Archimedes method using a balance (New Classic MF MS1045/01) with an accuracy of ±0.0001 g. Tensile samples with 3 × 12.5 × 2.4 mm gauge section were cut and polished from the annealed plate. Tensile tests were then performed along the rolling direction (RD) using a laser extensometer at a nominal strain rate of 4 × 10^-4 s^-1. Three samples were tested to failure, with another three interrupted tests performed to total strains of 1.3%, 5% and 16%, for electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis. These strain levels were selected based on the hardening behaviour: just after yield, at the minimum strain hardening rate of ~1600 MPa, and at the maximum strain hardening rate observed of ~1900 MPa. Samples for backscatter electron (BSE) and EBSD imaging were mechanically polished down to 3 μm diamond suspension and twin-jet electropolished with a solution of 5% perchloric acid, 35% 2-bucryethanol and 60% methanol. In order to obtain a high quality region for EBSD mapping at magnification of 500 ×, the samples were electropolished for a short time (30–40 s) to remove the residual deformation in the surface layer induced by mechanical polishing. Prior to EBSD observation, the samples were polished using a Gatan Precision Ion Polishing (PIP-SII) system at 0.5 kV, 2 for 0.5 h. In order to be able to locate the rolling/loading direction, EBSD samples were cut from gauge section with one straight edge. BSE imaging and EBSD were performed using a field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEI Inspect F50 FEG SEM) operating at 10 kV for BSE imaging and 20 kV for EBSD with a step size of 1 μm for grain size analysis and 0.15 μm for twinning and phase analysis. EBSD data were analysed using HKL’s CHANNEL5 software. After EBSD, the thin foils from the tensile tests were cleaned in acetone and twin-jet electropolished again to get electron transparent region in the sample solutions for TEM analysis. A Tecnai T20 transmission electron microscope operated at 200 kV was used to characterize the annealed and deformed samples.

To determine the grain size effect on the activation of {332}<113> twinning and twin system selection in an individual grain, the Schmid factor (SF) of the 12 variants for both {332}<113> and {112]<111> twinning systems were calculated and the variants possessing an absolute value of SF higher than 0.3 are given in Tables 2 and 3 and Supplementary Table 1. In order to make the SF analysis more statistically significant, more than 20 grains in total were analysed. The SF is defined similarly to slip using $SF = \cos \varphi \cos \lambda$, where $\varphi$ and $\lambda$ are the angles between the tensile direction and the twinning direction and the normal to the twinning plane, respectively. The tensile direction was marked on the sample prior to analysis, allowing the straightforward calculation of the angles. The values of $\varphi$ and $\lambda$ are taken between 0° and 180°, so the SF values ranged between –0.5 and 0.5. The orientation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal composition</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysed composition</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Nominal and analysed chemical compositions of Ti-73 alloy in wt.%
index of tensile direction in each analysed grain was determined using HKL's CHANNEL5 software, which was used to calculate the values of cos \( \varphi \) and cos \( \lambda \). The twinning variants with positive SF tend to activate in tension, while with negative SF tend to activate in compression. It was assumed that no twin variants would be activated where the SF was lower than 0.3 [12].

3. Results

3.1. Microstructural analysis of the Ti-73 alloy annealed at 850°C for 20 min

After annealing at 850°C for 20 min, the Ti-73 alloy exhibited a broad grain size distribution, with maximum observed grain size of 190 \( \mu \)m and a minimum grain size of 12 \( \mu \)m. Fig. 1. The EBSD map indicated a single phase microstructure free from annealing twins and secondary phases.

TEM analysis identified the presence of hexagonal \( \omega \) phase in the \( \beta \), Fig. 2, as previously observed in water quenched metastable \( \beta \) titanium alloys [29–31]. Selected area diffraction using the \{113\}\( _{\beta} \) zone axis confirmed the expected \{113\}\( _{\beta} \)||\{113\}\( _{\beta} \) orientation relationship. Fig. 2c provides a corresponding dark field image, showing the distribution of \( \omega \)1 in the \( \beta \).

3.2. Mechanical behaviour in the annealed condition

Fig. 3a shows a typical uniaxial tensile engineering stress-strain curve of the Ti-73 alloy annealed at 850°C for 20 min. The figure includes the full tensile curve when tested to failure and the interrupted curves, which exhibited excellent reproducibility. The yield strength, ultimate tensile strength and uniform elongation were measured to be 695 MPa, 909 MPa and 33.3% from the engineering stress-strain curve. Fig. 3b shows the true stress-strain curve and the corresponding strain-hardening rate curve. After yielding, the annealed Ti-73 alloy exhibited pronounced strain hardening up to the peak strength of 1260 MPa. After yielding, the strain hardening rate dropped continuously to 1600 MPa at a strain of 4.9%. From 4.9% to 10% true strain, the work hardening rate stabilized at around 1600 MPa. Beyond 10% true strain, the strain hardening rate increased monotonically to a maximum value of 1900 MPa at 17.3% strain, followed by a steady decrease to 32% strain, at which point necking began. According to Constituère's criterion, the uniform elongation was 32%.

3.3. Microstructural analysis after 1.3% total strain

The sample deformed to 1.3% total strain (~0.2% plastic strain) is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain 1</th>
<th>Grain 2</th>
<th>Grain 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(332)&lt;113&gt; SF</td>
<td>(332)&lt;113&gt; SF</td>
<td>(332)&lt;113&gt; SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(332)[113] 0.444</td>
<td>(332)[113] 0.318</td>
<td>(332)[113] 0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(323)[111] 0.382</td>
<td>(323)[111] 0.488</td>
<td>(323)[111] 0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(213)[111] 0.330</td>
<td>(213)[111] −0.359</td>
<td>(213)[111] −0.377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain 4</th>
<th>Grain 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(332)&lt;113&gt; SF</td>
<td>(332)&lt;113&gt; SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(211)[111] 0.302</td>
<td>(211)[111] 0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(211)[111] 0.302</td>
<td>(211)[111] 0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(211)[111] 0.302</td>
<td>(211)[111] 0.302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Twining variants of both (332)<113> and (112)<111> twinning systems for which \( |SF| > 0.3 \) in grains 1, 2, 4 and 5 in Fig. 6b.
shown in Fig. 4. One \{332\}<113> twin was observed in the largest grain; several thin non-indexed deformation bands are also evident. TEM analysis was conducted to investigate these non-indexed deformation bands and whether other deformation mechanisms such as martensite transformation, \{112\}<111> twinning and the \omega phase transformation were activated. This showed that, in addition to the \{332\}<113> twins observed in Fig. 4, both an isolated \{112\}<111> twin (Fig. 5a) and high density parallel \{112\}<111> twins (Fig. 5d) were present. Fig. 5b shows that the isolated \{112\}<111> twin was ~480 nm wide, which is much wider than that the \{112\}<111> twins in Fig. 5d and e. As shown in Fig. 5e, the DF image recorded using \(110\)_b diffraction spot (red circle in
Fig. 5. TEM analysis of the tensile sample after 1.3% total strain. (a) BF image and its indexed [113] zone axis SAED pattern (c) recorded from the red circle marked area in (a). (b) DF image using (110) g and (101) h diffraction spot, red circle in (c). (d) BF image showing the formation of parallel deformation bands and its indexed [113] zone axis SAED pattern (f). (e) DF image of (110) g] diffraction spot, red circle in (f). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Fig. 5f) shows that these high density parallel [112]<111> twins have a width of ~160 nm, interleaved with thinner regions of untwinned matrix, e.g. the bottom-right corner of Fig. 5d. A preferential distribution of ω1 at the twin boundaries of both individual and parallel [112]<111> twins was observed in Fig. 5b and e. The preferential distribution of ω at [112]<111> twin boundaries was also observed in Ti-Nb alloy [31,32], which Lai et al. proposed that the interfacial formation of ω phase during [112]<111> twinning or martensite transformation (α′) was attributed to {211} β(111) β shear [31]. Zhang et al. [2] suggested a complex-mediated transformation to interpret the formation mechanisms of nano-laminate composite microstructure, consisting of adjacent orthorhombic α′, ω planar complex and twinned α ′ nanolayer. In addition to the twinning, extensive dislocation activity was observed, Supplementary Figure 1, with the [332]<113> twin boundary appearing to act as a barrier to slip. No martensite transformation was observed at this strain.

3.4. Microstructural analysis after deformation to 5% strain

An overview of the sample deformed to 5% strain is provided in Fig. 6. Individual grains 1–5 are labelled in Fig. 6b. In grains 1–3, only [332]<113> twins were observed. In grains 1–3, the [112]<111> twin boundaries, marked in red, next to the [332]<113> twin boundaries, were attributed to the mis-indexing of α′ martensite (Supplementary Figure 2) as confirmed in the TEM studies, Fig. 8b. The mis-indexing was attributed to a small lattice strain during (011)<011> shuffles for the β to α′ transformation [33]. The small strain values results in a very small shape change, so the α′ crystal lattice is close to the β crystal lattice, such that the α′ EBSD Kikuchi pattern exhibits a pseudo-cubic symmetry [33,34]. A similar phenomenon has previously been observed in Ti-36Nb-2Ta-3Zr [33]. Some of the [332]<113> twins in grain 1 propagated into neighbouring grains 2, 3 and 5, indicated by white arrows in Fig. 6b. The propagation of [332]<113> twins from one grain into another has previously been observed in Ti-12Mo [13] and Ti-15Mo-5Zr [35].

Fig. 6d) shows that these high density parallel [112]<111> twins were attributed to the mis-indexing of a twin boundaries, marked in red, next to the [332]<113> twin var-

TEM analysis. Fig. 7, was conducted to understand the non-indexed deformation bands in Fig. 6. The [113] zone axis SAED pattern from the interface between the left-hand side primary band and matrix is shown in Fig. 7d, confirming the presence of a [112]<111> twin, α ′′ martensite and ω. Fig. 7b shows a DF image using the (101) g] diffraction spot, confirming that the parallel primary deformation bands are [112]<111> twins. The DF image in Fig. 7c used the (111) g]′′ diffraction spot, confirming that the secondary deformation bands in this [112]<111> twin are martensite. The
mottling observed in Fig. 7b is $\alpha''$ transformation induced planar complexion of $\omega$, as suggested in Ref. [2].

A [332]<113> twin in this sample was also studied, Fig. 8. The BF image in Fig. 8a shows that the deformation band was 870 nm in width and contained a high density of linear features that are tentatively identified as dislocations, highlighted by white arrows in Fig. 8a, as previously suggested [22,37–39]. The twinning was identified as [332]<113> from the indexed $[\bar{0}01]$ zone axis SAED pattern. The SAED pattern in Fig. 8f confirms the presence of $\beta$, $\omega$ phase and $\alpha''$ martensite in the [332]<113> twin. Fig. 8b shows that the martensite predominantly occurred along the sides of the twin (highlighted by the red arrows), corresponding to the high KAM and mis-indexing of the [332]<113> twins in Fig. 6. Fig. 8c–d shows the distribution of two of the $\omega$ variants; this analysis is supported by the relative intensity of the two spots in the centre and edge of the twin, shown in Fig. 8g–h. Thus, variant $\omega_1$ occurred mostly in the middle of the twin, while $\omega_2$ occurred towards the twin boundaries.

Fig. 9 shows an example of secondary twinning in a [332]<113> twin. Fig. 9a shows the DF image recorded using the $(0 \bar{1} T 1)_{\omega2}$ diffraction spot in Fig. 9c, identifying the secondary deformation bands as [112]<111> twins.

3.5. Microstructural analysis after 16% strain

The Ti-73 alloy with 16% strain was studied only by TEM because the EBSD index rate was insufficient due to the high strain/stress induced complex structure. Fig. 10 shows a [112]<111> twin in the sample subjected to 16% total tensile strain. Two additional nanoscale bands were observed in the twin, Fig. 10a (BF) and b (DF), compared to the single twins found in the 5% strain sample (e.g. Fig. 7). The indexed SAED pattern, Fig. 10e recorded from the [112]<111> twin and matrix confirms the formation of a [112]<111> twin and two martensite variants with orientation relationship $[13T]_{\beta} // [ \bar{T } T 2 ]_{\omega'1} // [T T 2 ]_{\omega'2}$. The DF images in Fig. 10c–d shows the distribution of $\omega_{1}'$ and $\omega_{2}'$, respectively. Notably, the $[T T 2 ]_{\omega_{1}'}$ variant
was grown at the twin interface, presumably induced symmetrically, associated with twinning shear and/or twinning dislocations.

Fig. 11 examines another area of the same sample and shows that the β matrix of three {112}<111> twins has almost completely transformed to martensite and that three martensite variants were observed at this strain. Fig. 11b presents the DF image recorded using (002)β, showing that three {112}<111> twins were present. Fig. 11d–f shows the distributions of three martensite variants, α<sub>3</sub>, α<sub>2</sub> and α<sub>1</sub>, respectively. Fig. 11c shows that the matrix marked by the dashed line in Fig. 11b transformed mostly to variant α<sub>3</sub>. As shown in Fig. 11f, only one set of diffraction spots corresponding to the β were observed. Secondary nanoscale parallel α<sub>1</sub> variant with a width around 45 nm was observed in the bottom β phase (Fig. 11d) within the {112}<111> twin. Fig. 11d shows that α<sub>2</sub> mainly occurred in the {112}<111> twin. The bright particles observed in the β phase in the lower region of Fig. 11d may be ω phase; as can be observed in SAED pattern (Fig. 11e), the diffraction spots of (T010)<sub>ω</sub> were very close to (001)<sub>ω</sub>. Fig. 11e shows the distribution of α<sub>2</sub>, which was mainly adjacent to the twin. The SAED pattern confirms the formation of three martensite variants, which share an orientation relationship (T010)<sub>β</sub>//(T01)<sub>α</sub><sub>1</sub>//(T01)<sub>α</sub><sub>2</sub>||(020)<sub>ω</sub>.

Fig. 12 shows the formation of individual quadrilaterals of martensite at the intersection regions of two series of high density {112}<111> twin variants. In the bright field image, (a), the edges of the dark quadrilaterals inscribe an acute angle of 34.2°, which is very close to the acute angle of 33.5° between the two {112}<111> twinning planes, (2晶) and (1晶2) on the [1晶3]<sub>b</sub> zone axis. The dark field analysis indicates that the quadrilaterals are in the twinned orientation (c) rather than that of the matrix (b), and contain martensite (d) with orientation relationship [1晶3]<sub>β</sub>//[1晶2]<sub>α</sub>. Thus, the repeated shear cause by secondary twinning appears to be particularly effective at inducing martensite formation.

4. Discussion

4.1. Effect of grain size on deformation heterogeneity during the early stages of plasticity

In the early stages of plasticity (1.3% total strain, 0.2% plastic strain), plasticity occurred mostly in large grains and by a combination of twinning and dislocation glide (Supplementary Figure 1). Moreover, martensite was not observed at this strain. Therefore, the initial work hardening rate can be attributed to twinning, since dislocation glide in β Ti is not usually found to produce work hardening. No evidence for stress-induced α<sup>′</sup> martensite formation was found. The formation of α<sup>′</sup> produces superelasticity but not significant work hardening (see also, for comparison, examples in Ti–10V–3Fe–3Al [19] and Ti-Nb alloys [4, 20]). Heterogeneity of deformation between β grains with different twinning stresses due to both grain sizes and orientation may also be important in producing hardening during the early stages plasticity, e.g. load transfer between grains.

In order to examine these issues, we turn to the EBSD and TEM analysis (Figs. 4–5) of this sample. These show that both (332)<sub>1</sub><sub>1</sub><sub>3</sub> and (112)<sub>1</sub><sub>1</sub><sub>1</sub> twinning were activated. The (332)<sub>1</sub><sub>1</sub><sub>3</sub>
twins were only observed in the largest grain in Fig. 4b. This is reasonable because, for most cases, the twinning stress \( (\sigma_T) \) obeys the Hall-Petch relationship \( (\sigma_T = \sigma_{T0} + K_T d^{-1/2}) \) \[40\] \[42\]. Of course, in addition to the grain size, the resolved shear stress on the twin plane would be expected also to control the activation of twinning in an individual grain \[12,35\]. Table 2 shows the \( {\{332\}} <113> \) twin variants with an absolute SF value above 0.3 for grains 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 4. The \( {\{332\}} <113> \) twin variant in grain 1 with the highest positive SF is \( {\{332\}} [11\overline{1}] \), with a SF of 0.444, while for grain 2, the \( {\{332\}} <113> \) twin variants with the highest positive SF is \( {\{332\}} [11\overline{1}] \), with a SF of 0.488. For grain 3, \( {\{332\}} [11\overline{1}] \) and \( {\{2\overline{3}3\}} [3\overline{1}1] \) share a positive SF of 0.457. Although the SF for the \( {\{332\}} [11\overline{1}] \), \( {\{332\}} [11\overline{1}] \) and \( {\{2\overline{3}3\}} [3\overline{1}1] \) twin variants in grains 2 and 3 was 0.488 and 0.457, which are higher than that of 0.444 for \( {\{332\}} [11\overline{1}] \).
observed in grain 1, no \(\{332\}<113>\) twin variant was activated in grains 2 and 3. Therefore, grain size can be inferred to affect the activation of \(\{332\]<113>\) twinning, as expected, leading to heterogeneous deformation between larger grains and smaller grains.

The heterogeneous deformation between larger and smaller grains is beneficial to the high strain hardening rate, as extensively studied in bimodal alloys with micrometer-sized grains randomly embedded among much finer grains structures [43,44]. The larger grains would be expected to preferentially accommodate strains at yield. However, twinning also occurred preferentially in the larger grains, providing barriers to dislocation motion. This effectively sub-dividing grains, such that the larger grains would have hardened to the point at which the finer grains yielded.

4.2. Higher dynamic strain hardening behaviour because of the SF governed twin system selection

Table 3 shows the SF of twin variants possessing an absolute value above ±0.3 of both \(\{332\]<113>\) and \(\{112\)<111>\) type twinning for grains 1, 2, 4 and 5 in Fig. 6. In grain 1, there was only one \(\{112\)<111>\) twin variant, \(\{21T\]<11T\}, with an absolute value of SF \((\pm 0.40)\) above ±0.3, but because the value of the SF was negative, activation was not possible in tension. By contrast, there were three \(\{332\]<113>\) twin variants with a positive SF above 0.3 in grain 1, and therefore \(\{332\]<113>\) twinning was activated. In grain 4, four \(\{21T\]<11T\} twin variants possessed a positive SF above 0.3, two with a SF of 0.49, \(\{21T\]<11T\} and \(\{211\]<11T\}, whilst all the \(\{332\]<113>\) twin variants possessed negative Schmid factors in grain 4, and therefore only the \(\{21T\]<11T\} twin system was observed. In grain 5, both the \(\{21T\]<11T\} and \(\{332\]<113>\) twin systems possessed variants with positive SF above 0.3, with two being very similar in magnitude: \(\{21T\]<11T\} 0.41 and \(\{332\]<113>\) 0.42. Since the critical resolved shear stress for \(\{112\)<111>\) twinning is slightly lower (but very close) to that of the \(\{332\]<113>\) twinning system [12], both twin systems were activated in grain 5. In order to make the SF analysis more statistically meaningful, another 16 grains were analysed (Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1). This analysis suggests that twin system selection in individual grains is mainly governed by the Schmid factor, over and above phase stability. No dominant twin system was found, and the \(\{332\]<113>\) and \(\{112\)<111>\) systems occurred in approximately equal amounts in this Ti-73 alloy (Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1). Therefore, there was a greater potential for twinning to occur for individual grains with different orientations and grain size. This led to a higher dynamic strain hardening behaviour than TWIP alloys that exhibit a single or one dominant twin system such as Ti-15Mo [25] and Ti-10Mo-1Fe [26].

4.3. Significant strain hardening contributions of nanotwins and nanoscale martensite in twins and secondary twins

Each mechanical twin traverses a grain, resulting in a decrease of the strain component free path, which is termed the dynamic Hall-Petch mechanism [25]. Therefore, both \(\{112\)<111>\) and \(\{332\]<113>\) twinning have the potential to contribute to work hardening, providing a conceptual route to the development of new metastable β titanium alloys that resist necking and therefore show enhanced ductility. Recently, the dynamic strain hardening effects of \(\{332\]<113>\) twinning have been discussed in Ti-Nb [45] and Ti-15Mo [25] alloys as \(\{112\)<111>\) twinning was the dominant deformation mechanism in these alloys. In order to understand the underlying strain hardening mechanisms of this Ti-73 alloy, quantitative evaluation of the straining hardening effects of the \(\{112\)<111>\) and \(\{332\]<113>\) twin systems was conducted in EBSD scale of the alloy with 5% strain. We assume that the strength \(\sigma\) can be defined as [46]:

\[
\sigma = \sigma_0 + \Delta \sigma_f + \Delta \sigma_t
\]

(1)

where \(\sigma_0\) is the intrinsic (lattice friction) strength to move a dislocation including solid solution hardening, \(\Delta \sigma_f\) is the isotropic hardening contribution from forest dislocations and \(\Delta \sigma_t\) is the flow stress increment from \(\{112\)<111>\> or \(\{332\]<113>\> twinning, \(\Delta \sigma_t\) can be expressed [45,47]:

\[
\Delta \sigma_t = M\beta Gb / \lambda
\]

(2)

where \(\beta\) is the Taylor constant of 0.5 [48] and \(G\) is the material shear
modulus which is around 36 GPa for this Ti-73 alloy. M is an average Taylor factor of 3.06 [45] and b is the magnitude of the Burgers vector of $2.54 \times 10^{-10} \text{m}$ [45]. $\lambda$ is the dislocation mean free path [25,45]:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{D}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $L$ is the grain size and $D$ is the mean twin spacing, which can be calculated using the volume fraction $f$ and twin thickness $d$:

$$\frac{1}{D} = f/[2d(1-f)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The volume fraction $f$ of both \{112\}<111> and \{332\}<113> type twinning was approximated from the area fraction obtained by EBSD of the Ti-73 alloy with 5% strain. Grains for each twin system were selected under the following two conditions: (i) with comparable grain size in the range between 100 and 150 $\mu\text{m}$; (ii) with at least three twin variants of \{112\}<111> or \{332\}<113> with positive SF above 0.3. Note that nanoscale features such as nanotwins, martensite and $\omega$ phase transformation in both \{112\}<111> and \{332\}<113> twinning was not considered in this evaluation. The area fraction for the \{112\}<111> twin was 36.5%, measured using the EBSD results covering an area >100000 $\mu\text{m}^2$ and $d$ is 0.6 $\mu\text{m}$, which is taken as half of the average twin width based on stereological relations [25]. The area fraction for \{112\}<111> twin is 32.5% and $d$ is 1.0 $\mu\text{m}$. All these values are summarized in Table 4. Substituting into equations (2)-(4), $\Delta\sigma_l$ for \{112\}<111> and \{332\}<113> twinning is 6.9 MPa and 3.6 MPa, respectively. These values are too low for the twins detected by EBSD to be the dominating

---

**Fig. 10.** TEM analysis after tensile straining to 16% total strain. (a) BF image, (b)–(d) DF images recorded using the $\langle 110 \rangle_\beta$, $\langle 021 \rangle_\alpha$, and $\langle 110 \rangle_\alpha$ spot in (e) the indexed SAED pattern from red circle marked area in (a). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
contribution to the observed strain hardening behaviour. The disparity between the calculated $\Delta \sigma_t$ for {112}$_{<111>}^<$ and {332}$_{<113>}^<$ twinning and the observed strain hardening levels must therefore be inferred to be due to the contribution of the nano-scale twins (Fig. 5), nano-scale martensite observed in both {112}$_{<111>}^<$ (Fig. 7) and {332}$_{<113>}^<$ (Fig. 8) twins and the secondary twinning in {332}$_{<113>}^<$ twins (Fig. 9), all of which were below the spatial resolution of the EBSD measurements. A reasonable $\Delta \sigma_t$ (99 MPa) can be obtained for {112}$_{<111>}^<$ twinning if the twin thickness is taken as 80 nm (Table 4), half the width of the high density parallel {112}$_{<111>}^<$ twins (~160 nm in Fig. 5), which is reasonable given the large quantity of nano-scale parallel {112}$_{<111>}^<$ twins observed by TEM. From the stress-strain curve (Fig. 3), the stress increased by 89 MPa from yielding (707 MPa) to 5% strain (796 MPa), which matches reasonably with the $\Delta \sigma_t$ (49.7 MPa) calculated for the {112}$_{<111>}^<$ twin. Thus, this shows that the contributions to strain hardening cannot be rationalised purely by EBSD measurements, rather TEM is required.

4.4. Deformation mechanism

Fig. 13 shows the microstructural evolution of the Ti-73 alloy with an increase of strain, which exhibits markedly different deformation mechanisms in the initial deformation stage compared to metastable $\beta$ titanium alloys with both TRIP and TWIP effects, as referred in Fig. 10 in Ref. [13]. The initial deformation
Fig. 12. Further TEM analysis of the sample deformed to 16% strain. (a) BF image and its SAED pattern (c) recorded from the interface, red circle marked region in (a). (b)–(e) DF images recorded the marked diffraction spots \((10\bar{1})_B\), \((10\bar{1})_T\) and \((11\bar{0})_A\), respectively, indicated in the SAED pattern (c). (f) Schematic diagram of the proposed formation mechanism. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Table 4
Numerical values of physical constants and of the identified parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b), Taylor constant</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G), shear modulus (Ti-73)</td>
<td>36 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M), average Taylor factor</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b), Burgers vector</td>
<td>2.54 × 10⁻¹⁰ m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda) dislocation mean free path</td>
<td>EBSD level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>({11\bar{2}}&lt;1\bar{1}\bar{1}&gt;)</td>
<td>2.08 (\mu)m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>({3\bar{3}\bar{2}}&lt;1\bar{1}\bar{3}&gt;)</td>
<td>4.16 (\mu)m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM level</td>
<td>Parallel ({11\bar{2}}&lt;1\bar{1}\bar{1}&gt;) twin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13. Schematic illustration of the microstructural evolution of the Ti-73 alloy with an increase of strain. The activation of different deformation mechanisms is shown from annealing state to the strain (16%) around the highest strain hardening rate.
mechanisms of metastable β titanium alloys with both TRIP and TWIP effects is usually martensite transformation and primary \{332\}<113> twinning \[13\]. As expected from the design intent, the initial deformation mechanisms of the Ti-73 alloy were \{332\}<113> (Fig. 4), \{112\}<111> twinning (Fig. 5) and the absence of martensite transformation due to the increased β phase stability. Both the suppressed stress-induced martensite transformation and the formation of athermal \{113\} twinning contributed to the high yield strength and the absence of a stress plateau. Sun et al. suggested that the athermal \{113\} precipitate/matrix interface maintains a high degree of coherency, which results in elastic strain fields and consequent hardening of the surrounding β matrix, leading to an increase of yield strength \[18\]. The high strain hardening rate after yield was attributed to the heterogeneous deformation between large grains and small grains, the absence of stress-induced martensite transformation and the activation of both \{332\}<113> and \{112\}<111> twinning with neither being evidently dominant, which contributes more twinning choices for individual grains.

As discussed in section 4.2, the SF dominates the selection of the twin system between \{332\}<113> and \{112\}<111>. It has been reported that in metastable β Ti alloys, with an increase of β phase stability, the activated twinning system shifts from \{332\}<113> to \{112\}<111> \[13,22,49–52\]. Since both systems were observed here, with neither being evidently dominant, this suggests that the chemical composition of Ti-7Mo-3Cr is around the transition between \{332\}<113> and \{112\}<111> twinning. Therefore, twin system selection is dominated by the Schmid factor. In Figs. 7–9, secondary deformation products such as martensite or secondary twinning were observed in the primary twins after 5% strain. As reported in Refs. \[12,13\], the twinned β zone exhibits a modified orientation factor in comparison with the matrix. The activation of secondary twinning or martensite in the primary twins upon further straining depends on the modified orientation factor of the secondary twinning plane \[12,13\]. The stabilized high strain hardening rate (around 1600 MPa) between 5% and 10% strain was attributed to \{332\}<113> and \{112\}<111> twinning with neither being evidently dominant, martensite and \{112\}<111> phase transformations within these two types of twins, and the formation of secondary twins in \{332\}<113> type twins, according to the calculation in 4.3.

The TEM results (Figs. 10–12) show that beyond 10% strain, the increase of strain hardening rate can be attributed to the formation of new nanoscale martensite variants in both \{112\}<111> twins and the matrix, the formation of secondary nanoscale martensite in the remaining β phase in the \{112\}<111> twins, and twin-twin interaction between two sets of high density parallel \{112\}<111> twins. In metastable β titanium alloys with both TRIP and TWIP effects, primary micrometer scale martensite was formed in the matrix during yielding. However, in this Ti-73 alloy, extensive nanometre scale martensite formation in the matrix was significantly postponed to a strain between 10% and 16%, correlated to the “bump” on the strain hardening curve in Fig. 3. Considering the activation of primary and secondary products depends on the orientation factors of matrix and twins \[12,13,52\], it is reasonable to see more deformation products with an increase of stress/strain, as less favoured twinning and martensite variants could be activated, e.g. due to the grain rotation during straining and the requirement to maintain continuity between the grains. Yang et al. \[53\] and Meng et al. \[54\] found that in TWIP steels, grains rotate towards 111/tensile axis during tension, which renders the crystals favourably oriented for twinning. Moreover, it is also reported that at high strain state, the twinning activity is controlled by local stress state instead of simply grain orientation, because the local stress state may be very different from the macroscopic stress state \[42\].

5. Conclusions
In this work, by shifting the deformation mechanisms from martensite transformation to \{332\}<113> and \{112\}<111> twinning, a new metastable β titanium alloy with high strength and high strain hardening rate was developed. The heterogeneous deformation between large grains and small grains, the activation of both \{332\}<113> and \{112\}<111> with neither being evidently dominant, and the absence of stress-induced martensite transformation produced a high yield strength and high strain hardening rate, which successfully addressed the drawback of low yield strength of β titanium alloy with both TRIP and/or TWIP effects and poor strain hardening of TWIP metastable β titanium alloy. Twin system selection within grains was observed to depend upon Schmid factor, which shows that there was no dominant twinning system in this alloy. The strain hardening rate of ~1600 MPa between 5% and 10% strain was attributed, via a quantitative analysis, to the formation of \{332\}<113> and \{112\}<111> twins, orthorhombic α′ martensite and ζ phase within these two types of twins and the formation of secondary twins within the \{332\}<113> twins. With further increase of strain to 16% total strain, the formation of new martensite variants occurred in both \{112\}<111> twins and matrix. The formation of secondary nanoscale martensite in the remaining β phase in the \{112\}<111> twins, and twin-twin interactions of two series of high density parallel \{112\}<111> twins were observed.
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