

The challenges of muscle biopsy in a community based geriatric population

Wilson, Daisy; Breen, Leigh; Lord, Janet M.; Sapey, Elizabeth

DOI:

[10.1186/s13104-018-3947-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3947-8)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Wilson, D, Breen, L, Lord, JM & Sapey, E 2018, 'The challenges of muscle biopsy in a community based geriatric population', *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 11, no. 1, 830. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3947-8>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

checked for eligibility 28/11/18
doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3947-8

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

RESEARCH NOTE

Open Access



The challenges of muscle biopsy in a community based geriatric population

Daisy Wilson* , Leigh Breen, Janet M. Lord and Elizabeth Sapey

Abstract

Objectives: To describe the difficulties of obtaining muscle samples using a Bergstrom needle technique in a frail older adult population. The data were obtained from a study primarily investigating immunosenescence in frailty. An intended research technique was skeletal muscle biopsy in a small subset of participants to investigate muscle morphology and local inflammatory factors.

Results: Forty healthy older adults and 37 frail older adults were considered for a Bergstrom needle muscle biopsy. Of these, 17.5% of healthy older adults and 94.6% of the frail older adults had single or multiple participant factors resulting in a contra-indication to muscle biopsy. 40.7% of healthy older female participants were at risk of a failed muscle biopsy due to low muscle mass. Considering only muscle mass muscle biopsy would have been successful in 18.7% of the frail older women and 21.4% of the frail older men. In this population, muscle biopsy was not feasible because of contra-indications in the majority of participants. This questions whether a biopsy sample obtained from frail older individuals, is actually representative of this population and supports the need to disclose biopsy failure rate in this population.

Keywords: Sarcopenia, Frailty, Bergstrom needle, Percutaneous muscle biopsy

Introduction

Sarcopenia, the loss of muscle mass and physical function, and frailty, a syndrome of diminished strength, endurance, and reduced physiological function that increases the risk of adverse outcomes, are both common conditions affecting older adults [1, 2]. The syndromes although separate conditions share several characteristics and often co-exist in the same individual, the relationship between the two conditions is complex and poorly defined [3]; these reviews address these aspects in greater detail [4, 5]. The pathophysiology of both syndromes is complex, however, reduced muscle health is central to both conditions [6]. 'Muscle quality', including morphological characteristics of the muscle, aerobic capacity, intramuscular adipose tissue, fibrous tissue and motor units [7], is considered to be as, or more, important than muscle mass in the development of sarcopenia and frailty.

Investigators require muscle tissue to investigate factors contributing to muscle quality. Although animal models exist, the ability of these models to recapitulate the complexity and heterogeneity of both syndromes is limited [8], necessitating the use of human tissue. Muscle tissue from humans can be obtained from either a percutaneous muscle biopsy, preferred for most research, or as a by-product of surgery, where the sample may be altered by the surgery itself rather than the underlying condition. Percutaneous muscle biopsy has been used with success on multiple occasions in individuals with sarcopenia [9–12] and on fewer occasions in frailty [13]. A recent study reported on both the acceptability and feasibility of muscle biopsy in an older community-dwelling male population and highlighted the importance of completing this procedure in a frail population [11]. Ultrasound guidance for the prior assessment of muscle thickness and site choice is not mandated for muscle biopsy [14], but is becoming increasingly popular with researchers [15, 16].

This paper reports on the difficulties of obtaining muscle samples using a percutaneous Bergstrom needle technique in a frail older adult population [14] and potential

*Correspondence: d.v.wilson@bham.ac.uk
MRC-ARUK Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research, Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, University of Birmingham, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2WD, UK



problems in obtaining reasonable muscle sample sizes in both healthy older adults and frail older adults. The data were obtained from a study primarily investigating immunosenescence in frailty. An intended research technique was muscle biopsy in a small subset of participants (N=5) to obtain muscle samples to investigate muscle morphology and local inflammatory factors.

Main text

Study design

Forty healthy older adults (aged >65 years, no chronic inflammatory disease, malignancy or immunosuppressive medications) and 37 frail older adults (>65, frailty index >0.2 [17], able to provide written consent, no malignancy or immunosuppressive medications) were recruited from the community (100% healthy older adults; 48.6% frail older adults) or a “medically stable for discharge” inpatient population (51.4% frail older adults). Table 1 illustrates the demographics of the cohorts.

All individuals underwent assessment of frailty [17] and sarcopenia [2] including an ultrasound to determine quadriceps muscle thickness bilaterally and identify the leg with thickest muscle and therefore most suitable for biopsy.

During recruitment it was evident that acquiring a sufficient number of muscle biopsy samples would be challenging, due to participant factor contra-indications to muscle biopsies (discussed in “Participant factors” section) in frail older adults, and concerns about how representative any frail older adult muscle samples would be in comparison to the frail older adult cohort as a whole. Therefore, this line of investigation was abandoned before any muscle samples were taken in either healthy older or frail older adults.

The muscle biopsies were planned to be performed in an outpatient setting following a single prior visit for safety bloods [platelets and international normalised ratio (INR)]. The muscle biopsies were to be taken from the vastus lateralis with a percutaneous method using a

Bergstrom needle [14]. This method was chosen due to local expertise and success in community-based healthy older adults in an inpatient setting [12, 18].

The data presented illustrate the contra-indications to muscle biopsies described as participant factors and muscle thickness.

Participant factors

Participant factors resulting in contra-indications to muscle biopsy were categorised as: safety of biopsy, ability to attend for biopsy, ability to care for wound post-biopsy and anticipated high risk for complications. Examples for each category are described in Additional file 1: Table S1 and are specific to this sample.

Clinical guidelines suggest muscle biopsies are not performed on individuals on anti-platelet or anti-coagulation therapy [19]; all other contra-indications are proposed on pragmatic grounds and were considered by two experienced geriatricians prior to the individual’s exclusion from the muscle biopsy sub-study. Relative contra-indications are factors which could be mitigated with appropriate resources, such as daily nursing care.

17.5% healthy older adults had a recognised contra-indication to muscle biopsy. All these participants were precluded from undergoing a muscle biopsy due to the safety of biopsy, secondary to anti-platelet or anti-coagulant use. The majority of frail older adults had more than one contra-indication to muscle biopsy: 32.4% had a single contra-indication, 40.5% had two, 13.5% had three, 5.4% had four and 2.7% had five contra-indications. Inability to attend (54.1%), due to death, disengagement with services and loss of capacity, and safety of the biopsy (51.3%) were the most frequent contra-indications. Therefore, only 5.4% of the frail older adults did not have a contra-indication to muscle biopsy. Figure 1 demonstrates both the frequency of each contra-indication and the number of participants with multiple contra-indications illustrating the complexities of the individuals.

Although these data relate to muscle biopsies performed using the Bergstrom technique, it is also applicable to other percutaneous muscle biopsy techniques, conchotome forceps and microbiopsy needle techniques [20, 21]. The contra-indications are the same for all the techniques, although it could be argued that the incision with the microbiopsy needle technique is smaller and, therefore, would reduce the burden of post-biopsy care on the individual. Nevertheless, even if this was the case only 10.8% of the frail older adult population would have no contra-indications to muscle biopsy.

Table 1 Demographic data of recruited participants

	Healthy older adults	Frail older adults	Statistics
Gender	67.5% (27) female 32.5% (13) male	54.1% (20) female 45.9% (17) male	p = 0.241
Age	71.9 ± 9.0	84.0 ± 15.0	p < 0.000
BMI	23.4 ± 4.0	24.9 ± 7.0	p = 0.782
FI	0.03 ± 0.07	0.41 ± 0.17	p < 0.000

Data sets: healthy older adults, n = 40; frail older adults, n = 37. Median ± IQR are given. Categorical data are given as percentage of total population with raw number in brackets. Categorical data tested with Pearson’s Chi Squared and continuous data tested with Mann–Whitney U. All data not normally distributed except frail older age and frail older frailty index (FI). Statistical difference analysed with independent samples Mann–Whitney U

	Anti-coagulation	Anti-platelet	Positioning	Disengagement	Death	Palliative care	New cancer	Loss of capacity	Frequent attendee	Personal care	Visual impairment	Frequent falls
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												
26												
27												
28												
29												
30												
31												
32												
33												
34												
35												
36												
37												

Fig. 1 Frequency of contra-indications to muscle biopsy in the frail older adults. Each row represents a single frail older individual. Each column represents the contra-indication. Coloured block represents that individual having that contra-indication

Muscle thickness

To obtain an adequate muscle sample total muscle thickness of the vastus lateralis and underlying vastus intermedius at the site of sampling should be thicker than the sum of the needle point (9.5 mm) and window (8.0 mm); the total depth of needle point and window of a Bergstrom needle is 17.5 mm. Therefore, at a muscle thickness greater than 17.5 mm, it is possible to obtain a muscle sample between 25 and 140 mg, which is generally adequate for most laboratory-based analyses of muscle morphology/biochemistry [19]. At a muscle thickness between 9.5 mm and 17.5 mm a muscle sample should be obtained, but may take multiple passes, and may not be of sufficient size for experiments and will likely contain subcutaneous adipose tissue. At a muscle thickness less than 9.5 mm, no muscle sample will be obtained. Whilst there are no reports in the literature of directly measured muscle depths linked to failed Bergstrom needle muscle biopsies, there are multiple reports of failed needle biopsies in patients with significantly wasted muscle [15, 20, 22].

Muscle thickness of the rectus femoris and vastus intermedius at 50% of the femur length (identical thickness to vastus lateralis and vastus intermedius at maximum cross-sectional area of vastus intermedius, location of muscle biopsy site [23]) was measured bilaterally in 40 healthy older and 30 frail older adults using ultrasound [Acuson Antares Premium Edition (Siemens)]. Patients were divided into those with adequate (> 17.5 mm), sub-optimal (9.5–17.5 mm) or inadequate (< 9.5 mm) muscle thickness on either leg.

Analysing the entire sample (including those with a contra-indication to muscle biopsy) there was adequate muscle thickness in all healthy older males and 59.3% of the healthy older females. Figure 2 demonstrates that although there was a risk of sub-optimal sized muscle biopsy in 40.7% of healthy older females, the muscle samples obtained from these individuals was likely to be sufficient for analysis because the majority of healthy older females had muscle thicknesses closer to 17.5 mm than 9.5 mm.


In frail older adults only 18.7% of females and 21.4% of males had adequate muscle thickness for biopsy sampling. 68.8% of frail older females and 57.1% of frail older males had sub-optimal muscle thickness, suggesting multiple passes might be required to obtain an adequate sample, and 12.5% of frail older females and 21.4% of frail older males had inadequate muscle thickness. In the frail older adults with sub-optimal muscle thickness, there was a greater proportion of individuals with muscle thickness between 9.5 mm and 13.5 mm suggesting a higher chance of a failed muscle biopsy due to inadequate sample size.

Discussion

Previous studies have suggested that muscle biopsy is a feasible tool in a frail or sarcopenic older population [9–11, 13]. To our knowledge this is the first study to demonstrate the difficulties of performing percutaneous muscle biopsies in frail older adults and we describe contra-indications in the majority of frail older adults in our cohort. Potential reasons for these reported differences in findings, include study design and the criteria employed to diagnose sarcopenia. Successful studies involving biopsy investigations approached hundreds more individuals to the study than were eventually recruited, with muscle biopsies performed in 6.3% of approached pre-frail or frail individuals [13] and 9.7% of approached community-dwelling men, of whom only 6% had sarcopenia [11]. Other successful studies diagnosed sarcopenia without assessment of muscle strength or physical performance, and it is therefore unclear whether these individuals had just low muscle mass or sarcopenia [9, 10]. In addition, a single study used criteria [appendicular lean mass (ALM)/body mass index (BMI)], which over identify sarcopenia in populations with high BMI, in a sample population with a mean BMI of 34.0 [9].

Alternative methods of obtaining muscle samples include the microbiopsy needle technique and the conchotome forceps. The microbiopsy needle is narrower, but to compensate, the window for sample collection is longer (19 mm) and, therefore, in this sample population only adequate muscle biopsy samples would be obtained at first pass in 3.7% of healthy older women, 23.1% of healthy older men and none of the frail older adults. The conchotome forceps are an alternative to a needle biopsy technique, with the advantage of the researcher being able to operate at shallower depths of muscle [19]. However, this is at the expense of increased trauma to the area with an incision 5–10 mm in length required to access the muscle.

We provide evidence that ultrasound assessment of the biopsy site prior to needle insertion should be considered in all frail populations and female community-dwelling older adults. The data presented in this chapter are contrary to current literature, which suggests that muscle biopsy is feasible in older adults with frailty and/or sarcopenia [9–11, 13]. In our cohort, the frail older participant who could undertake muscle biopsy was not representative of the group as a whole, introducing the potential for bias [younger male, aged 75 (average age in frail older adults 84.0); less frail, FI 0.33 (average FI 0.41)]. The paucity of negative results in the published literature means that whilst muscle biopsy has been possible on occasion in these populations, it is unclear whether the data presented here are an anomaly or represent a common but under-reported research experience. This does suggest



that if muscle samples are fundamental to the research outcomes then the study should be designed to approach a large cohort of frail adults. Further research investigating challenges of muscle biopsy in this population is essential and should include data on acceptability of the technique.

Study limitations

- Muscle biopsy in frail older adults was not the designed primary end-point. Successful muscle

biopsy studies in frail older adults have approached and screened much larger cohorts [11, 13].

- Muscle thickness was not measured at site of biopsy but at proxy site reported to be of identical thickness [23].
- Willingness to undergo a muscle biopsy was not formally recorded but anecdotally only a small proportion of the frail older participants were agreeable. This is contrary to previous literature [11, 13].

Additional file

Additional file 1. Participant factors, both absolute and relative contra-indications. Table of participant factors present in the frail older adult population that are contra-indications to muscle biopsy. Absolute contra-indications cannot be ameliorated. Relative contra-indications could be ameliorated with appropriate resources.

Abbreviations

ALM: appendicular lean mass; BMI: body mass index; INR: international normalised ratio.

Authors' contributions

DW conceived frailty assessments, recruited subjects, performed clinical assessments, completed the statistical analysis and prepared the manuscript for publication; LB contributed to manuscript preparation, ES and JML conceived and oversaw the project and contributed to manuscript preparation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

These studies were performed with the support of the NIHR Clinical Research Facility, Birmingham.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All subjects gave their informed written consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and following approval from and in accordance with Solihull Research Ethics Committee (15/WM/0002).

Funding

Daisy Wilson was funded by a fellowship from the MRC-Arthritis Research UK Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research. The funder had no role in study design, data analysis or outcome of the study.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 16 October 2018 Accepted: 21 November 2018

Published online: 26 November 2018

References

- Morley JE, Vellas B, van Kan GA, Anker SD, Bauer JM, Bernabei R, et al. Frailty consensus: a call to action. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2013;14(6):392–7.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: report of the European working group on sarcopenia in older people. *Age Ageing*. 2010;39(4):412–23.
- Reijniers EM, Trappenburg MC, Blauw GJ, Verlaan S, de van der Schueren MAE, Meskers CGM, et al. Common ground? The concordance of sarcopenia and frailty definitions. *Aust J Ageing*. 2017;36:36.
- Wilson D, Jackson T, Sapey E, Lord JM. Frailty and sarcopenia: the potential role of an aged immune system. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2017;36:1–10.
- Cesari M, Landi F, Vellas B, Bernabei R, Marzetti E. Sarcopenia and physical frailty: two sides of the same coin. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2014;6:192.
- Chen XJ, Mao GX, Leng SX. Frailty syndrome: an overview. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2014;9:433–41.
- McGregor RA, Cameron-Smith D, Poppitt SD. It is not just muscle mass: a review of muscle quality, composition and metabolism during ageing as determinants of muscle function and mobility in later life. *Longev Healthspan*. 2014;3(1):9.
- Seldeen KL, Pang M, Troen BR. Mouse models of frailty: an emerging field. *Curr Osteoporos Rep*. 2015;13(5):280–6.
- Prior SJ, Ryan AS, Blumenthal JB, Watson JM, Katzell LI, Goldberg AP. Sarcopenia is associated with lower skeletal muscle capillarization and exercise capacity in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2016;71(8):1096–101.
- Ebhardt HA, Degen S, Tadini V, Schilb A, Johns N, Greig CA, et al. Comprehensive proteome analysis of human skeletal muscle in cachexia and sarcopenia: a pilot study. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2017;8(4):567–82.
- Patel H, Syddall HE, Martin HJ, Cooper C, Stewart C, Sayer AA. The feasibility and acceptability of muscle biopsy in epidemiological studies: findings from the Hertfordshire Sarcopenia Study (HSS). *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2011;15(1):10–5.
- Smeuninx B, McKendry J, Wilson D, Martin U, Breen L. Age-related anabolic resistance of myofibrillar protein synthesis is exacerbated in obese inactive individuals. *J Clin Endocr Metab*. 2017;102(9):3535–45.
- Fazelzadeh P, Hangelbroek RW, Tieland M, de Groot LC, Verdijk LB, van Loon LJ, et al. The muscle metabolome differs between healthy and frail older adults. *J Proteome Res*. 2016;15(2):499–509.
- Shanely RA, Zwetsloot KA, Triplett NT, Meaney MP, Farris GE, Nieman DC. Human Skeletal Muscle Biopsy Procedures Using the Modified Bergstrom Technique. *Jove-J Vis Exp*. 2014(91).
- O'Sullivan PJ, Gorman GM, Hardiman OM, Farrell MJ, Logan PM. Sonographically guided percutaneous muscle biopsy in diagnosis of neuromuscular disease: a useful alternative to open surgical biopsy. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2006;25(1):1–6.
- Sultan S, Gyure K, Smith C. Ultrasound guided open muscle biopsy. *Neurology*. 2018;90(15 Supplement):464.
- Searle SD, Mitnitski A, Gahbauer EA, Gill TM, Rockwood K. A standard procedure for creating a frailty index. *BMC Geriatr*. 2008;8(1):24.
- Breen L, Stokes KA, Churchward-Venne TA, Moore DR, Baker SK, Smith K, et al. Two weeks of reduced activity decreases leg lean mass and induces "anabolic resistance" of myofibrillar protein synthesis in healthy elderly. *J Clin Endocr Metab*. 2013;98(6):2604–12.
- Patel HC, Cooper C, Sayer AA. Percutaneous muscle biopsy: history, methods and acceptability. In: Sundaram C, editor. *Muscle biopsy*. London: InTech; 2012.
- Dietrichson P, Coakley J, Smith PE, Griffiths RD, Helliwell TR, Edwards RH. Conchotome and needle percutaneous biopsy of skeletal muscle. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1987;50(11):1461–7.
- Hayot M, Michaud A, Koechlin C, Caron MA, Leblanc P, Prefaut C, et al. Skeletal muscle microbiopsy: a validation study of a minimally invasive technique. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;25(3):431–40.
- Heckmatt JZ, Moosa A, Hutson C, Maunder-Sewry CA, Dubowitz V. Diagnostic needle muscle biopsy. A practical and reliable alternative to open biopsy. *Arch Dis Child*. 1984;59(6):528–32.
- Giles LS, Webster KE, McClelland JA, Cook J. Can ultrasound measurements of muscle thickness be used to measure the size of individual quadriceps muscles in people with patellofemoral pain? *Phys Ther Sport*. 2015;16(1):45–52.