UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Effects on cardiovascular risk scores and vascular age after aerobic exercise and nutritional intervention in sedentary and overweight/obese adults with primary hypertension

Gorostegi-anduaga, Ilargi; Maldonado-martín, Sara; Martinezaguirre-betolaza, Aitor; Corres, Pablo; Romaratezabala, Estíbaliz; Whittaker, Anna C.; Francisco-terreros, Silvia; Pérezasenjo, Javier

DOI: 10.1007/s40292-018-0281-0

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Gorostegi-anduaga, I, Maldonado-martín, S, Martinezaguirre-betolaza, A, Corres, P, Romaratezabala, E, Whittaker, AC, Francisco-terreros, S & Pérez-asenjo, J 2018, 'Effects on cardiovascular risk scores and vascular age after aerobic exercise and nutritional intervention in sedentary and overweight/obese adults with primary hypertension: the EXERDIET-HTA randomized trial study', *High Blood Pressure and Cardiovascular Prevention*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 361-368. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40292-018-0281-0

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 05/10/2018

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in High Blood Pressure and Cardiovascular Prevention. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40292-018-0281-0

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research. •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 12. May. 2024

- 1 Effects on cardiovascular risk scores and vascular age after aerobic exercise and nutritional
- 2 intervention in sedentary and overweight/obese adults with primary hypertension: The EXERDIET-
- 3 HTA randomized trial study
- 4 Running title: Cardiovascular risk assessment
- 5 Authors of the manuscript:
- 6 Ilargi Gorostegi-Anduaga,¹ Sara Maldonado-Martín,¹ Aitor MartinezAguirre-Betolaza,¹ Pablo Corres,¹
- 7 Estíbaliz Romaratezabala,¹ Anna C Whittaker,² Silvia Francisco-Terreros,³ Javier Pérez-Asenjo⁴
- 8 ¹ Laboratory of Performance Analysis in Sport. Department of Physical Education and Sport. Faculty
- 9 of Education and Sport-Physical Activity and Sport Science Section. University of the Basque Country
- 10 (UPV/EHU). Vitoria-Gasteiz. Araba/Álava. Basque Country, Spain
- 11 ² School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences. University of Birmingham. Edgbaston,
- 12 Birmingham, UK
- ³Clinical Trials Unit. Health and Quality of Life Area. TECNALIA. Vitoria-Gasteiz. Araba/Álava. Basque
- 14 Country, Spain
- ⁴Cardiology Unit. Igualatorio Médico Quirúrgico (IMQ-Amárica). Vitoria-Gasteiz. Araba/Álava.
- 16 Basque Country, Spain
- 17 **Corresponding author:** Sara Maldonado-Martín. Department of Physical Education and Sport.
- 18 Faculty of Education and Sport. University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU). Portal de Lasarte, 71.
- 19 01007 Vitoria-Gasteiz (Araba/Alava)-Basque Country, Spain. Phone: +34 945013534. Fax:+34
- 20 945013501. E-mail: <u>sara.maldonado@ehu.eus</u>
- 21 **ORCID identifiers**:
- 22 IGA-0000-0002-1571-8408
- 23 SMM- <u>0000-0002-2622-5385</u>
- 24 AMAB-0000-0002-6563-4325
- 25 PC- 0000-0002-2363-2962
- 26

- 27 Acknowledgments. Our special thanks to G. Rodrigo Aispuru, the medical doctor who has
- 28 taken part in this project with medical assessment. Also thanks to Exercycle S.L. (BH Fitness
- 29 Company) for the machines donated to conduct the exercise intervention. Last but not least
- 30 to all undergraduate students who collaborated in this project (2011-2017 academic years).
- 31

33 Abstract

Aims To evaluate the influence of diet and aerobic exercise program intervention on cardiovascular risk (CVR) factors and predicted CVR and vascular age (VA) profiles in overweight/obese people with primary hypertension (HTN), and to analyze the potential sex differences in the ability to predict VA and CVR via different methods.

Methods The CVR and VA determined (n=167, 53.7±7.8 yr) using the Framingham Risk Score (FRS)
and the new equation for the prediction of 10-year atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD)
risk, before and after the 16-week intervention period (different aerobic exercise
programs+hypocaloric diet). The sex-specific risk factors considered were age, high-density
lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diabetes mellitus
(DM) and smoking status.

Results From baseline to follow-up, participants reduced ($p \le 0.001$) FRS-CVR score and VA, and SBP. Total cholesterol decreased significantly, but specifically in men ($p \le 0.001$), and antihypertensive medication (%) in women (p=0.047). No significant differences over time were observed for HDL-C, smoking, DM overall for either sex. For ASCVD-CVR there was no overall change or for either sex. After the intervention, women had a lower CVR score than men ($p \le 0.001$), irrespective of the calculation method.

50 **Conclusions** The improvement in CVR factors after 16-week lifestyle changes reduced the risk of 51 suffering a cardiovascular event in overweight/obese adults with HTN through the FRS estimation 52 tool, but not with the ASCVD score. The risk score algorithms could underestimate CVR in women. In 53 contrast, VA could be a useful and easier tool in the management of individuals with CVR factors.

54 Keywords: Lifestyle intervention; sex; systolic blood pressure

56 **1 Introduction**

57 Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a non-communicable disease, which represents the main cause of 58 disability and death in the world, including Europe [1, 2]. Globally, between 2006 and 2016 deaths 59 from CVD increased by 14.5%, although the age-standardized death rate decreased [3]. These data suggest that this condition needs to receive greater priority in prevention policy to reduce avoidable 60 risk factors [2, 3]. Prevention is effective, and so, healthy lifestyle behavior promotion in the general 61 62 population should directly target unhealthy lifestyles, such as poor-quality diet, physical inactivity, 63 and smoking, at the individual level [2]. Cardiovascular risk (CVR) factors assessment is the first step 64 guiding therapeutic strategy for the prevention of CVD [2], and strategy effectiveness depends on 65 each patient's CVR profile and predictive risk [4].

66 There are several risk factor assessment tools for estimating a patient's 10-year risk of 67 developing CVD [2, 4]. However, the most well-established risk score algorithm is the Framingham 68 Risk Score (FRS), which was initially validated in 1998 to predict CVR [5, 6] and subsequently revised [7]. Recently, the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association developed a 69 70 new equation for the prediction of 10-year atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk, the 71 called "Pooled Cohort Risk Equations" [8, 9]. This new tool was aimed at providing sex- and race-72 specific estimation of the 10-year risk of ASCVD for African-American and non-Hispanic white men 73 and women aged 40 to 70 years old [8, 9]. On the other hand, vascular age (VA, i.e., the age of the vascular system of a person with different CVR factors, calculated as the age a person would be with 74 75 the same calculated CVR but whose risk factors were all within normal ranges [10]) is an easily understood concept related to CVR and calculated according to the definition of D'Agostino from 76 77 FRS [7].

The common prediction factors for CVR models that have a relationship with cardiovascular
events and premature death are age, sex, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

80 (HDL-C), systolic blood pressure (SBP, including treated or untreated status), diabetes mellitus (DM),

81 and current smoking status [8].

Many observational studies have demonstrated graded associations between primary hypertension (HTN) and increased CVD risk [11] Additionally, adults with HTN usually present other modifiable CVR factors such as obesity, hypercholesterolemia, DM, smoking, physical inactivity, and unhealthy diet [12]. Therefore, correcting the dietary habits, lack of exercise and excessive consumption of alcohol through nonpharmacological interventions alone or in combination with pharmacological therapy is fundamental for the management of HTN [12].

A previous study evaluating CVR using the "Pooled Cohort Equations" (sex-specific risk 88 prediction model) and VA in overweight/obese people with HTN found that CVR was significantly 89 90 higher in men than in women despite them having the same CVR values, whereas no differences 91 were found between sexes in VA [13]. As such, women could have an underestimated CVR profile based on the misperception that women are "protected" against CVD [14]. Hence, one of the biggest 92 criticisms of the prediction scales of CVR accuracy is their capacity to overestimate or underestimate 93 the risk [15]. Currently, there is no known research that measures the effects of an aerobic exercise 94 95 program with nutritional intervention on CVR and VA in sedentary and overweight/obese adults with 96 HTN. Considering the importance of CVR assessment, the objectives of this study were: 1) to 97 evaluate the influence of 16-week diet and different aerobic exercise programs intervention on CVR 98 factors and predicted CVR and VA profiles in sedentary and overweight/obese people with HTN, and 99 2) to analyse the potential sex differences in the ability to predict VA and CVR via different methods 100 resulting from changes in lifestyle.

101 2 Methods

102 The EXERDIET-HTA study was a multi-arm parallel, a randomized, single-blind controlled 103 experimental trial comparing the effects of 16 weeks of different aerobic exercise programs two 104 days per week, and dietary intervention in a hypertensive, overweight/obese and non-physically

active population (www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT02283047) [16, 17]. The design, selection criteria, and

procedures for the EXERDIET-HTA study have been previously detailed [16]. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of The University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU, CEISH/279/2014) and the Ethics Committee of Clinical Investigation of Araba University Hospital (2015-030), and all participants provided written informed consent prior to any data collection. All follow-up examinations were performed in the same laboratory setting and by the same researchers as the baseline measurements. Medical staff was blinded to participant randomization.

105

112 One hundred and sixty-seven non-Hispanic white participants (n=108 men and n=59 women) 113 with stage 1 or 2 HTN [\geq 140 SBP and \geq 90 diastolic blood pressure (DBP)] and/or under 114 antihypertensive pharmacological treatment [16, 18, 19], and classified as overweight (body mass 115 index (BMI) \geq 25 kg/m² or obese (BMI \geq 30 kg/m²) [20]. Participants were recruited from cardiology 116 services and via local media and were enrolled in the study in Vitoria-Gasteiz (Basque Country, 117 Spain).

The measurements for CVR factors used in the present study to determine the CVR and VA of participants were taken before (T0) and after (T1) the 16-week intervention period and were defined as follows:

Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring was conducted over a 24 hour period using an oscillometric ABPM 6100 recorder (Welch Allyn, New York, USA) to evaluate SBP (as used to determine CVR) [8]. The device was used in line with the recommendations set by the European Society of Hypertension and the European Society of Cardiology guidelines. As such, BP was measured at 30-minute intervals during awake-time and at 60-minute intervals during the sleep period. Data were only used if at least 75% of the awake-time and sleep periods were successfully recorded [16, 18].

Fasting venous blood (12.5mL) was collected from each participant following an overnight
fast. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting glucose of ≥126 mg/dL [21] and/or under

130 pharmacological glycemic control treatment. Additionally, measurements of glucose and lipid profile

(total-, and HDL-C) were assayed (ABBOTT, Architect c16000, Orlando, FL, USA). The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were: for glucose 0.65% and 0.84%; for total cholesterol 0.6% and
0.8%; and for HDL-C 1.7% and 1.1%, respectively.

134Age and cigarette smoking status were assessed by self-report. All medicines being taken were

ascertained from the participant's physician.

136 Cardiovascular risk and vascular age parameters' assessment have been previously analyzed in the sample at baseline, and the same procedures were applied for the follow-up study [13]. 137 138 Briefly, the Framingham Heart Study assesses the absolute risk to the individual with a percentage score (*i.e.*, 10% means that there is a 10% chance of having a cardiovascular event within the next 10 139 140 years, <6%=low risk; 6-20%=medium risk, and ≥20%=high risk) [7]. The Pooled Cohort Risk Equations 141 to estimate the 10-year risk was described as a series of steps [8]. The Framingham method was 142 used to determine the VA of all participants [7], which indicates the biological age of the individual's vascular system, as the age a person would be with the same calculated CVR, but whose risk factors 143 144 were all within normal ranges. The sex-specific risk factors considered were age, HDL-C, total 145 cholesterol, SBP, DM, and smoking status. Each variable received a weighted score; the sum of the 146 score for each variable was then translated into the risk of a CV event in 10 years and VA [7].

147 After baseline data collection, participants were randomly allocated to one of the four 148 intervention groups stratified by sex, SBP, BMI and age using a time-blocked computerized 149 randomization program by the principal investigator and blind to medical staff. Detailed descriptions of the exercise and diet intervention procedures have been already reported [16, 17]. Briefly, the 150 151 intervention groups were: 1) Attention Control group with physical activity recommendations (i.e., at least 30 min of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise 5-7 days per week and some dynamic resistance 152 exercises); and three supervised aerobic exercise groups training two nonconsecutive days under 153 supervision by exercise specialists, 2) high-volume moderate-intensity continuous training group, 45 154

155	min at moderate intensity; 3) high-volume high-intensity interval training group, 45 min alternating
156	with different protocols moderate-to-high intensity; and 4) low-volume high-intensity interval
157	training group, 20 min alternating with different protocols moderate-to-high intensity. All
158	participants received treatment with a hypocaloric "Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension"
159	(DASH) diet. The diet was designed to provide 25% less energy than their daily energy expenditure
160	and to achieve a weekly loss of body mass between 0.5 and 1.0 kg. Approximately 30% of their
161	energy intake came from fat, 15% from protein, and 55% from carbohydrates and was designed in
162	accordance with the DASH diet [22]. This diet is rich in plant foods (<i>i.e., a</i> rich source of polyphenols)
163	due to its favourable effect of BP [23]. Every two weeks, participants were weighed and received
164	encouragement and advice alongside nutritional counseling to aid adherence.
165	Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables. Data are expressed as means±standard
166	deviations (SD) and the range. ANOVA was used to determine if there were significant pre-
167	intervention differences between sexes for the variables: age, BMI, SBP, total cholesterol, HDL-C,
168	antihypertensive medication, cigarette smoking, DM, CVR, and VA. The comparison of frequencies
169	between sexes was performed using a Chi-Square test. Repeated measures within-between
170	participants ANOVAs were used to determine whether there was a significant difference in the
171	recorded data between pre- and post-intervention for all participants and any time x sex interaction
172	effects, <i>i.e.</i> to examine whether the change due to the intervention differed between men and
173	women. A pre- and post-intervention mean difference for each variable was calculated. Statistical

significance was set at *P*<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat basis
using the SPSS version 22.0. The required sample size was determined for the primary outcome

176 variable (SBP) and previously published [16, 17].

177 3 Results

Baseline characteristics of CVR factors classified by sex are presented in Table 1. The sample was the
same as the previous study [13], but the number of participants is reduced because only those with

180 follow-up values were included. The mean age (±SD) was 53.7±7.8 years old with 64.7% being men,

12.8% of the participants were smokers, and 9.6% of the sample was suffering from DM. The results 182 indicated that there were no significant differences between sexes for all CVR factors at baseline, 183 except for total cholesterol, which was higher in women (mean difference=13.1; 95% CI=25.4-0.85 184 mg/dL) than in men, with both sexes exceeding cut-off values set by the European Society of 185 Hypertension and the European Society of Cardiology guidelines [24]. The mean HDL-C was similar in 186 men and women with both sexes remaining within the healthy cut-off values suggested by the 187 European Society of Hypertension and the European Society of Cardiology guidelines [24].

188 The absolute CVR score was significantly different (p<0.001) between sexes with women having a lower CVR than men, irrespective of calculation method (ASCVD-CVR: mean difference=6.0, 189 190 95% CI=4.0-8.0 %, p<0.001; FRS-CVR: mean difference=10.2, 95% CI=7.1-13.4%, p≤0.001, Table 1). Additionally, in accordance with the ASCVD-CVR score, men were considered to be at medium risk 191 192 (10.5%), whereas women were considered to be at low risk (4.5%). However, using the FRS-CVR 193 score, men were considered to be at high risk (>20%) whereas women were considered to be at 194 medium risk (11.3%). Consequently, significant differences were found between CVR score 195 calculators for CVR prediction (p<0.001, mean difference=9.6, 95% CI=10.6-8.6 %). In contrast, there was no sex difference in VA (mean difference=2.8, 95% CI=-7.5-1.8 yr old, p=0.23), but VA was 196 significantly higher (p<0.001) than chronological age (CA) (mean difference=17.5, 95% CI=19.4-15.7 197 198 yr old), irrespective of sex, (p<0.001).

Table 2 shows CVR factors, CVR scores and VA values at baseline and follow-up. After the intervention, all participants showed decreased SBP, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication usage (%), CVR score predicted by FRS, and VA (p<0.05). ANOVA showed that SBP decreased in both sexes (T0 *vs.* T1 difference %, men Δ =7.4 %; women, Δ =6.0 %, p≤0.001). Significant time x sex interaction effects revealed that mean total cholesterol significantly reduced in men (Δ =13.6 %, p≤0.001), but not in women (Δ =6.5 %, p=0.12), and antihypertensive medication (%) significantly

205 decreased in women (Δ =10.2 %, p=0.047), but not in men (Δ =4.6 %, p=0.30). No significant 206 differences were observed in HDL-C, smoking habit and suffering from DM after 16-weeks 207 intervention period. When CVR score and VA were analyzed, FRS-CVR and VA decreased overall, and 208 in both sexes (FRS-CVR: men Δ =4.0 %; p≤0.001; women, Δ =2.0 %; p=0.01) and (VA: men Δ =5.6 %, 209 p<0.001; women, Δ =6.5 %; p<0.001, Figure 1). However, no significant changes over time were 210 observed in ASCVD-CVR overall or for either sex (men Δ =0.8 %, p=0.30; women Δ =0.5 %, p=0.08). Finally, the magnitude of change in each CVR variable due to the intervention was not significantly 211 212 different from each other between sexes, despite some single factor reductions being significant only for men or women, as described above. However, after intervention period, the CVR score 213 remained significantly different (p<0.001) between sexes (at follow-up) with women having a lower 214 CVR than men, irrespective of calculation method (ASCVD-CVR: mean sex difference=5.6, 95% 215 216 CI=3.0-8.2 %, p<0.001; FRS-CVR: mean sex difference=8.1, 95% CI=5.1-11.2%, p≤0.001, Table 2).

217 4 Discussion

218 To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the impact of a 16-week intervention (hypocaloric DASH diet plus aerobic exercise) on CVR factors, CVR score calculators and VA in 219 220 sedentary overweight/obese and hypertensive adults. The main findings of the study were that after aerobic exercise and hypocaloric DASH diet intervention: 1) participants significantly improved SBP, 221 222 total cholesterol and decreased antihypertensive medication usage; 2) CVR and VA using the FRS 223 model was significantly reduced in both sexes but not CVR estimated by ASCVD Pooled Cohort Equations; 3) regardless of the CVR assessment tool, men showed significantly higher values than 224 225 women post-intervention albeit no differences in percentage change resulting from the intervention, 226 and 4) VA could better identify the effect of a non-pharmacological intervention in both sexes than other CVR tools. 227

Based on a rigorous approach to the validation of equations, the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association guideline strongly recommends the use of Pooled

230 Cohort Equations in non-Hispanic African Americans and non-Hispanic whites (40 to 79 years old) for 231 the assessment of the 10-year risk of a first hard ASCVD event [8]. However, although the ASCVD-232 CVR equations have been developed from the FRS [7, 8], and the role of the major variables in the 233 development of CVR was similar in both score calculators, in the present study, after the exercise and diet intervention, CVR was still 7.1% lower with ASCVD-CVR than with FRS-CVR (P<0.001) in all 234 235 participants (Table 2). Thus, the observed and predicted risks for participants in this study at follow-236 up were 9.6% and 17.6% (medium risk) in men and 4.0% (low risk) and 9.4% (medium risk) in women 237 for the ASCVD-CVR and FRS-CVR, respectively. Hence, it could be considered that the ASCVD-CVR 238 score calculator by the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association would 239 identify the least number of participants with CVR (i.e., underestimation), or the FRS-CVR would stratify a maximum number of individuals with high CVR (i.e., overestimation) [6]. This difference 240 241 could likely be caused by the objective of each score; the FRS estimates CVR for a large combination 242 of CVD outcomes and the ASCVD tool estimates risk mainly for myocardial infarction (fatal and 243 nonfatal) and stroke only [25] and does not consider family history, which influences mortality [25].

244 An appropriate lifestyle change, including diet and exercise, has been shown to effectively improve markers of CV health [18, 19] and CVD prevention [2]. Likewise, previous studies have 245 proven that a dose-response curve for physical activity and HTN has a clinically meaningful role in 246 247 primary prevention of HTN [26], along with a diet rich in polyphenols [23]. Related to that, in the 248 current study, the decreases (p<0.05, before-after intervention) in SBP (Δ =7.3 mmHg in men and Δ =6 mmHg in women), total cholesterol in men (Δ =13.6 mg/dL) and antihypertensive medication use in 249 250 women (Δ =10.5%) could rightfully be considered the reason underlying the reduction in the FRS-CVR 251 score and VA. However, given that drug therapy for primary prevention of CVD is nowadays based on absolute CVD risk, where the BP-lowering drug treatment is determined by BP level along with 252 other CVR factors (i.e., sex, age, total cholesterol, HDL-C, DM, and smoking status) [27], and that a 253 254 reduction of 5 mmHg in SBP was associated with a lower risk of CVD mortality [28], it seems that the 255 ASCVD-CVR estimation tool does not have enough sensitivity to show the benefits of a lifestyle

intervention. Hence, the lack of significant changes in ASCVD-CVR estimation, in the presence of

other CVR factor improvements, could have a negative effect on the advice to treat individuals with an ASCVD-CVR >7.5% with statins [15]. It is important, therefore, to note that treatment decisions should be individualized (*i.e.*, after a clinician-patient risk/benefit discussion addressing optimal lifestyle), as suggested by the latest cholesterol guidelines [29], and not just absolute CVR estimation.

256

262 On the other hand, the present study showed that after 16-week of intervention with diet 263 and aerobic exercise, absolute CVR remained higher in men than in women for both CVR scores 264 (ASCVD, 5.6%; FRS, 8.2%). As such, the straightforward discussion would claim that men have a 265 higher risk of suffering a CV event in the following 10 years, underlining the sex differences in life 266 expectancy and quality of life, due, in part, to unhealthy behaviors [30]. However, a deeper analysis 267 of data and literature revealed that in the current study after lifestyle intervention: 1) there were no differences in the percentage change after intervention between men and women (ASCVD, p=0.73; 268 269 FRS, p=0.09); 2) post-intervention women showed higher total cholesterol values with 270 hyperlipidemia >190mg/dL, with no differences in HDL-C (normal values >40 mg/dL), antihypertensive medication use, smoking habit or DM compared to men; 3) the new cholesterol 271 272 guidelines have no sex-specific differences in recommendations [31], and 4) menopausal status in 273 women is not taken into account when CVR is estimated irrespective of tool (in this study 50% were post-menopausal women). Given this, and that deaths from CVD have been greater in women 274 compared with men over the past 30 years, with CVR increases during the menopausal transition 275 276 and after menopause mainly marked by progressive endothelial dysfunction [32], would be logical to 277 conclude that CVR is underestimated in women.

278 Noting the imprecise previous tools for calculating the CVR, mainly due to the various 279 underlying mathematical models used to calculate the scores, VA could be a useful tool in the 280 management of individuals with CVR factors, and easier to use and understand the effect of an 281 intervention in terms of life years [10]. Thus, in the present study after 16-week lifestyle

intervention, VA decreased in all participants (Table 2, Figure 1) with no differences between sexes.

These results could identify biologically plausible mechanisms underlying exercise and diet-induced effects on CVD risk reduction irrespective of sex. Overall, the CVR factors-associated arterial wall thickening, which contributes to vascular stiffening, are sensitive to a non-pharmacological lifestyle intervention [33].

Although the present study has highlighted the importance of determining CVR factors in a hypertensive population after a lifestyle intervention, several limitations should be acknowledged. Firstly, although the sample size was sufficient as an initial investigation into CVR and HTN; it would not be comparable to that of larger epidemiological studies, and future studies should consider large-scale investigations. Secondly, the current study only had 35.3% of women which does not represent an equal gender split. As this poses statistical issues, future studies should look to recruit equal numbers, or even to study effects only in women.

294 **5 Conclusions**

The improvements in CVR factors after a 16-week lifestyle change intervention reduced the risk of suffering a CV event in the following 10 years in overweight/obese adults with HTN assessed with the FRS estimation tool. However, the ASCVD-CVR score calculator was not sensitive enough to show the benefits of diet and exercise. The risk score algorithms (FRS and ASCVD) might underestimate the CVR in women as they always consider men to be higher risk irrespective of age. Therefore, VA could be a useful tool in the management of individuals with CVR factors, and easier to apply and understand the effect of an intervention in terms of life expectancy.

302 Compliance with Ethical Standards

Funding. This work was supported by the University of the Basque Country (EHU14/08, PPGA18/15)

and the Government of the Basque Country supported IGA, AMAB, and PC with predoctoral grants.

305 **Conflict of interest.** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict

306 of interest.

- 307 Ethical approval. All procedures performed in the study involving human participants were in
- 308 accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and
- 309 its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.
- 310 **Informed consent.** All participants provided written informed consent prior to any data collection.

311

313 Figure legends

- **Figure 1.** Vascular age (VA) values at baseline (T0) and follow-up (T1) periods compared
- to chronological age (CA).

317 References

- GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life
 expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: a
 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet, 2016; 388(10053):1459 1544.
- 2. Authors/Task Force Members, Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano LA, 322 323 Cooney MT, Corrà U, Cosyns B, Deaton C, Graham I, Hall MS, Hobbs R, Løchen ML, Löllgen H, Marques-Vidal P, Perk J, Prescott E, Redon J, Richter DJ, Sattar N, Smulders Y, Tiberi M, van der 324 325 Worp HB, van Dis I, Verschuren M, Binno S. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease 326 prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology 327 and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by 328 representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts): Developed with the special contribution of 329 the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). Eur J Prev 330 Cardiol. 2016; 23:1-96.
- Greenland P, Alpert JS, Beller GA, Benjamin EJ, Budoff MJ, Fayad ZA, , Foster E, Hlatky MA, Hodgson JM, Kushner FG, Lauer MS, Shaw LJ, Smith SC Jr, Taylor AJ, Weintraub WS, Wenger NK, Jacobs AK. ACCF/AHA guideline for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic adults: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2010; 122(25):2748-2764.
- 4. Redon J. Global Cardiovascular Risk Assessment: Strengths and Limitations. High Blood Press
 Cardiovasc Prev. 2016; 23(2):87-90.
- 5. Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, Belanger AM, Silbershatz H, Kannel WB. Prediction of coronary
 heart disease using risk factor categories. Circulation. 1998; 97(18):1837-1847.
- 6. D'Agostino RB S, Grundy S, Sullivan LM, Wilson P, CHD Risk Prediction Group. Validation of the
 Framingham coronary heart disease prediction scores: results of a multiple ethnic groups
 investigation. JAMA. 2001; 286(2):180-187.
- 7. D'Agostino RB S, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, Kannel WB. General
 cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 2008;
 117(6):743-753.
- 8. Goff DC, Jr, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, Coady S, D'Agostino RB S, Gibbons R, Greenland P, 346 Lackland DT, Levy D, O'Donnell CJ, Robinson JG, Schwartz JS, Shero ST, Smith SC Jr, Sorlie P, Stone 347 348 NJ, Wilson PW, Jordan HS, Nevo L, Wnek J, Anderson JL, Halperin JL, Albert NM, Bozkurt B, Brindis 349 RG, Curtis LH, DeMets D, Hochman JS, Kovacs RJ, Ohman EM, Pressler SJ, Sellke FW, Shen WK, 350 Smith SC Jr, Tomaselli GF. American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force 351 on Practice Guidelines.et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a 352 report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice 353 Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 63(25):2935-2959.
- 354

- Muntner P, Colantonio LD, Cushman M, Goff DC, Howard G, Howard VJ, Kissela B, Levitan EB,
 Lloyd-Jones DM, Safford MM.NValidation of the atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease Pooled
 Cohort risk equations. JAMA. 2014; 311(14):1406-1415.
- 10. Cuende J.I, Cuende N, Calaveras-Lagartos J. How to calculate vascular age with the SCORE project
 scales: a new method of cardiovascular risk evaluation. Eur Heart J. 2010; 31(19):2351-2358.
- 11. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R, Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data
 for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. Lancet. 2002; 360(9349):1903-1913.
- 363 12. Whelton PK, Carey RM. The 2017 Clinical Practice Guideline for High Blood Pressure. JAMA. 2017;
 318(21):2073-2074.
- 365 13. Gorostegi-Anduaga I, Perez-Asenjo J, Aispuru GR, Fryer SM, Alonso-Colmenero A,
 366 Romaratezabala E, Maldonado-Martin S. Assessment of cardiovascular risk and vascular age in
 367 overweight/obese adults with primary hypertension: the EXERDIET-HTA study. Blood Press
 368 Monit. 2017; 22(3):154-160.
- 369 14. Maas AH, Appelman YE. Gender differences in coronary heart disease. Neth Heart J. 2010;
 370 18(12):598-602.
- 371 15. Preiss D, Kristensen SL. The new pooled cohort equations risk calculator. Can J Cardiol. 2015;
 372 31(5):613-619.
- 16. Maldonado-Martín S, Gorostegi-Anduaga I, Aispuru GR, Illera-Villas M, Jurio-Iriarte B, Francisco Terreros S, Pérez-Asenjo J. Effects of Different Aerobic Exercise Programs with Nutritional
 Intervention in Primary Hypertensive and Overweight/Obese Adults: EXERDIET-HTA Controlled
 Trial. J Clin Trial. 2016; 6:1-10.
- 377 17. Gorostegi-Anduaga I, Corres P, MartinezAguirre-Betolaza A, Perez-Asenjo J, Aispuru GR, Fryer
 378 SM, Maldonado-Martin S. Effects of different aerobic exercise programmes with nutritional
 379 intervention in sedentary adults with overweight/obesity and hypertension: EXERDIET-HTA study.
 380 Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2018.
- 18. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, Redon J, Zanchetti A, Bohm M, Christiaens T, Cifkova R, De
 Backer G, Dominiczak A, Galderisi M, Grobbee DE, Jaarsma T, Kirchhof P, Kjeldsen SE, Laurent S,
 Manolis AJ, Nilsson PM, Ruilope LM, Schmieder RE, Sirnes PA, Sleight P, Viigimaa M, Waeber B,
 Zannad F. 2013 ESH/ESC Practice Guidelines for the Management of Arterial Hypertension. Blood
 Press 2014; 23(1):3-16.
- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE,Jr, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, MacLaughlin EJ, Muntner P, Ovbiagele B, Smith SC, Spencer CC, Stafford RS, Taler SJ, Thomas RJ, Williams KA Sr, Williamson JD, Wright JT. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017.
- 20. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, Donato KA, Hu FB, Hubbard VS, Jakicic
 JM, Kushner RF, Loria CM, Millen BE, Nonas CA, Pi-Sunyer FX, Stevens J, Stevens VJ, Wadden TA,

- Wolfe BM, Yanovski SZ. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of Overweight and
 Obesity in Adults A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association
 Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. 2013; 129(2):102-138.
- 21. Authors/Task Force Members1, Rydén L, Grant PJ, Anker SD, Berne C, Cosentino F, Danchin N, 398 399 Deaton C, Escaned J, Hammes HP, Huikuri H, Marre M, Marx N, Mellbin L, Ostergren J, Patrono C, 400 Seferovic P, Uva MS, Taskinen MR, Tendera M, Tuomilehto J, Valensi P, Zamorano JL; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG), Zamorano JL, Achenbach S, Baumgartner H, Bax JJ, 401 402 Bueno H, Dean V, Deaton C, Erol C, Fagard R, Ferrari R, Hasdai D, Hoes AW, Kirchhof P, Knuuti J, 403 Kolh P, Lancellotti P, Linhart A, Nihoyannopoulos P, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Sirnes PA, Tamargo 404 JL, Tendera M, Torbicki A, Wijns W, Windecker S; Document Reviewers, De Backer G, Sirnes PA, 405 Ezquerra EA, Avogaro A, Badimon L, Baranova E, Baumgartner H, Betteridge J, Ceriello A, Fagard 406 R, Funck-Brentano C, Gulba DC, Hasdai D, Hoes AW, Kjekshus JK, Knuuti J, Kolh P, Lev E, Mueller 407 C, Neyses L, Nilsson PM, Perk J, Ponikowski P, Reiner Z, Sattar N, Schächinger V, Scheen A, 408 Schirmer H, Strömberg A, Sudzhaeva S, Tamargo JL, Viigimaa M, Vlachopoulos C, Xuereb RG. ESC 409 guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with 410 the EASD - summary. Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2014; 11(3):133-173.
- 411
- 22. Saneei P, Salehi-Abargouei A, Esmaillzadeh A, Azadbakht L. Influence of Dietary Approaches to
 Stop Hypertension (DASH) Diet on Blood Pressure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on
 Randomized Controlled Trials. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2014; 24:1253-1261.
- 415 23. Davinelli S, Scapagnini G. Polyphenols: A Promising Nutritional Approach to Prevent Or Reduce
 416 the Progression of Prehypertension. High Blood Press Cardiovasc Prev. 2016; 23:197-202.
- 417 24. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, Redon J, Zanchetti A, Bohm M, et al. Mancia G1, Fagard R, 418 Narkiewicz K, Redon J, Zanchetti A, Böhm M, Christiaens T, Cifkova R, De Backer G, Dominiczak A, 419 Galderisi M, Grobbee DE, Jaarsma T, Kirchhof P, Kjeldsen SE, Laurent S, Manolis AJ, Nilsson PM, 420 Ruilope LM, Schmieder RE, Sirnes PA, Sleight P, Viigimaa M, Waeber B, Zannad F, Redon J, 421 Dominiczak A, Narkiewicz K, Nilsson PM, Burnier M, Viigimaa M, Ambrosioni E, Caufield M, Coca 422 A, Olsen MH, Schmieder RE, Tsioufis C, van de Borne P, Zamorano JL, Achenbach S, Baumgartner 423 H, Bax JJ, Bueno H, Dean V, Deaton C, Erol C, Fagard R, Ferrari R, Hasdai D, Hoes AW, Kirchhof P, 424 Knuuti J, Kolh P, Lancellotti P, Linhart A, Nihoyannopoulos P, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Sirnes PA, 425 Tamargo JL, Tendera M, Torbicki A, Wijns W, Windecker S, Clement DL, Coca A, Gillebert TC, 426 Tendera M, Rosei EA, Ambrosioni E, Anker SD, Bauersachs J, Hitij JB, Caulfield M, De Buyzere M, 427 De Geest S, Derumeaux GA, Erdine S, Farsang C, Funck-Brentano C, Gerc V, Germano G, Gielen S, 428 Haller H, Hoes AW, Jordan J, Kahan T, Komajda M, Lovic D, Mahrholdt H, Olsen MH, Ostergren J, 429 Parati G, Perk J, Polonia J, Popescu BA, Reiner Z, Rydén L, Sirenko Y, Stanton A, Struijker-Boudier 430 H, Tsioufis C, van de Borne P, Vlachopoulos C, Volpe M, Wood DA. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for 431 the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the management of arterial 432 hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of 433 Cardiology (ESC). J Hypertens. 2013; 31(7):1281-1357.
- 434 25. Garg N, Muduli SK, Kapoor A, Tewari S, Kumar S, Khanna R, Goel PK. Comparison of different
 435 cardiovascular risk score calculators for cardiovascular risk prediction and guideline
 436 recommended statin uses. Indian Heart J. 2017; 69(4):458-463.

- 437 26. Liu X, Zhang D, Liu Y, Sun X, Han C, Wang B, Ren Y, Zhou J, Zhao Y, Shi Y, Hu D, Zhang M. Dose438 Response Association between Physical Activity and Incident Hypertension: A Systematic Review
 439 and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. Hypertension. 2017; 69:813-820.
- 440 27. Ho CLB, Breslin M, Doust J, Reid CM, Nelson MR. Effectiveness of blood pressure-lowering drug
 441 treatment by levels of absolute risk: post hoc analysis of the Australian National Blood Pressure
 442 Study. BMJ Open. 2018; 8(3)
- 28. Bundy JD, Li C, Stuchlik P, Bu X, Kelly TN, Mills KT, HuaHe, Chen J, Whelton PK, He J. Systolic
 Blood Pressure Reduction and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality: A Systematic Review
 and Network Meta-analysis. JAMA Cardiol. 2017; 2(7):775-781.
- 29. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, Bairey Merz CN, Blum CB, Eckel RH, Goldberg AC,
 Gordon D, Levy D, Lloyd-Jones DM, McBride P, Schwartz JS, Shero ST, Smith SC Jr, Watson K,
 Wilson PW. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce
 atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of
 Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;
 63(25):2889-2934.
- 30. Bilas V, Franc S, Bosnjak M. Determinant factors of life expectancy at birth in the European union
 countries. Coll Antropol. 2014; 38(1):1-9.
- 454 31. Gulati M, Merz CN. New cholesterol guidelines and primary prevention in women. Trends 455 Cardiovasc Med 2015; 25(2):84-94.
- 456 32. Witkowski S, Serviente C. Endothelial dysfunction and menopause: is exercise an effective 457 countermeasure? Climacteric. 2018; 15:1-9.
- 458 33. Barodka VM, Joshi BL, Berkowitz DE, Hogue CW,Jr, Nyhan D. Review article: implications of 459 vascular aging. Anesth Analg. 2011; 112(5):1048-1060.