

## Treatment of refractory adrenocortical carcinoma with thalidomide

Kroiss, Matthias; Deutschbein, Timo; Schloetelburg, Wiebke; Ronchi, Cristina; Segolene, Hescot; Koerbl, Daniela; Megerle, Felix; Beuschlein, Felix; Neu, Bruno; Quinkler, Marcus; Baudin, Eric; Hahner, Stefanie; Heidemeier, Anke; Fassnacht, Martin

DOI:  
[10.1055/a-0747-5571](https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0747-5571)

License:  
None: All rights reserved

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*  
Kroiss, M, Deutschbein, T, Schloetelburg, W, Ronchi, C, Segolene, H, Koerbl, D, Megerle, F, Beuschlein, F, Neu, B, Quinkler, M, Baudin, E, Hahner, S, Heidemeier, A & Fassnacht, M 2018, 'Treatment of refractory adrenocortical carcinoma with thalidomide: analysis of 27 patients from the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumours Registry', *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology and Diabetes*. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0747-5571>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

Checked for eligibility: 28/09/2018  
published by Thieme in *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes* (e-first on 14 Nov 2018)  
<https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/abstract/10.1055/a-0747-5571>

### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **Treatment of refractory adrenocortical carcinoma with thalidomide: Analysis of 27 patients from**  
2 **the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumours Registry**

3  
4 Matthias Kroiss<sup>§</sup> (1), Timo Deutschbein<sup>§</sup> (1), Wiebke Schlötelburg (2), Cristina L. Ronchi (1), Ségolène  
5 Hescot (3), Daniela Körbl (1), Felix Megerle (1), Felix Beuschlein (4,5), Bruno Neu (6)\*, Marcus  
6 Quinkler (7), Eric Baudin (3), Stefanie Hahner (1), Anke Heidemeier (2), Martin Fassnacht (1, 8)

7  
8 (1) Department of Internal Medicine I, Endocrine and Diabetes Unit, University Hospital Würzburg,  
9 University of Würzburg, Germany; (2) Department of Radiology, University Hospital Würzburg,  
10 University of Würzburg, Germany; (3) Gustave Roussy, Université Paris Sud, France; (4) Medizinische  
11 Klinik und Poliklinik IV, Klinikum der Universität München, Munich, Germany; (5) Klinik für  
12 Endokrinologie, Diabetologie und Klinische Ernährung, Universitätsspital Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland;  
13 (6) Second Department of Medicine, Acedemic Teaching Hospital Landshut Achdorf, Germany (7)  
14 Endocrinology in Charlottenburg,, Berlin, Germany; (8) Comprehensive Cancer Center Mainfranken,  
15 University of Würzburg, Germany.

16  
17 <sup>§</sup> M.K. and T.D. contributed equally to this work

18  
19 **Short running title:** Thalidomide in advanced adrenocortical carcinoma.  
20 **Key words:** adrenal, adverse events, cancer, efficacy, follow-up, outcome,  
21 progression, side-effects, staging, toxicity, treatment  
22 **Corresponding author:** Matthias Kroiss, M.D., PhD  
23 Department of Internal Medicine I  
24 Endocrine and Diabetes Unit  
25 University Hospital Würzburg  
26 University of Würzburg  
27 Oberdürrbacher Str. 6  
28 97080 Würzburg  
29 Germany  
30 Phone: +49-(0)931-201-39740  
31 Fax: +49-(0)931-201-639740  
32 Email: Kroiss\_m@ukw.de  
33 **Grants:** This research was supported by grants from the Deutsche  
34 Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant FA 466/4-2 to M.F., KR 4371/1-2 to  
35 M.K.), and within the CRC/Transregio 205/1 „The Adrenal: Central  
36 Relay in Health and Disease“ (project B16) to M.K. and M.F.  
37 **Disclosure statement:** The authors have nothing to disclose.

1 **Word count:** Abstract = 250 words, Text = 2480 words  
2 **Number of tables:** 2  
3 **Number of figures:** 2  
4 **Number of references:** 50  
5 **Abbreviations:** ACC, adrenocortical carcinoma; CT, computed tomography; CTC,  
6 Common Toxicity Criteria; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology  
7 Group; ENSAT, European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumours;  
8 OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; RECIST,  
9 Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumours  
10  
11 **Quick summary:** This retrospective multi-center study of 27 adrenocortical carcinoma  
12 (ACC) patients evaluated salvage treatment with thalidomide. Best  
13 response was stable disease in two cases. Wide-spread use of  
14 thalidomide as a salvage therapy in ACC is not advisable.  
15

1 **Abstract**

2

3 **Objective:** Adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC) is a rare malignancy with a dismal prognosis. In advanced  
4 stages, tumour control by mitotane and cytotoxic chemotherapy is often temporary and salvage  
5 treatments are warranted.

6 **Methods:** Retrospective cohort study of participants in the prospective European Networks for the  
7 Study of Adrenal Tumours (ENSAT) registry. Main outcome measures were best response during  
8 treatment, progression-free survival (PFS), both measured according to RECIST 1.1 by two blinded  
9 radiologists, and overall survival (OS).

10 **Results:** Twenty-seven patients (13 males; median age 44.1 years) progressing after mitotane and a  
11 median of 4 further systemic treatments were included. Thalidomide was administered as tolerated with  
12 a starting dose of 50 mg and target dose of 200 mg /d. The median interval between treatment initiation  
13 and first imaging was 10.5 (4.4-17.5) weeks. The best response to treatment was stable disease (SD,  
14 n=2) and progressive disease (n=25), with a median PFS of 11.2 weeks and a median OS of 36.4 weeks.  
15 The first patient with SD discontinued treatment due to mild epistaxis and diarrhea after 22.3 weeks.  
16 The second patient had SD at the second treatment evaluation after 25.2 weeks and continued  
17 thalidomide but then had clinical progression and deceased after 54.3 weeks. In general, thalidomide  
18 induced only mild or moderate adverse effects (mainly fatigue and gastrointestinal complaints).

19 **Conclusion:** Thalidomide was overall well tolerated but resulted in disease control in only 2/27 (7.4%)  
20 patients. In the absence of predictive response markers, thalidomide should only be considered in  
21 exceptional cases as a salvage therapy in ACC.

22

1 **Introduction**

2  
3 Adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC) is an orphan malignancy with a dismal prognosis [1-4]. Complete  
4 tumour removal is still the only potentially curative option and is the initial treatment of choice in  
5 localized disease [5-7]. While the role of surgery in advanced disease remains controversial [8-11], the  
6 adrenostatic agent mitotane is regarded as the cornerstone of medical therapy in advanced disease and  
7 in adjuvant treatment of high-grade ACC [12-18]. The first phase III clinical trial in ACC established  
8 mitotane plus combination chemotherapy with etoposide, doxorubicin, and cisplatin as standard of care  
9 for the treatment of advanced cases [19]. Other cytotoxic chemotherapy regimens include  
10 gemcitabine/capecitabine [20,21] and streptozotocin [22]. In tumours refractory to cytotoxic  
11 chemotherapy, however, treatment options are still scarce. Over the last decade, several cytotoxic and  
12 molecular targeted therapies have been evaluated as potential alternatives [23-28] but failed to reach  
13 significant improvement [29]. In the absence of established treatments, thalidomide has attracted some  
14 interest. Historically prescribed as a hypnotic agent, it was soon banned due to its high teratogenic  
15 potential. Extensive research led to recognition of the anti-angiogenic and immunomodulatory  
16 properties of the drug, resulting in its renaissance as an effective therapy for leprosy and multiple  
17 myeloma for which it is approved in combination with melphalan and prednisone [30-33]. An  
18 encouraging case report published in 2005 showed an impressive tumour response in a female patient  
19 with advanced ACC [34]. Another series described a decrease of tumour burden in four of six ACC  
20 patients treated with thalidomide (either alone or in combination with other mitotane or systemic  
21 chemotherapy [35]. This resulted in subsequent use of thalidomide as an off-label treatment in selected  
22 patients suffering from refractory ACC. Unfortunately, however, the success rate of this salvage therapy  
23 has never been investigated. Hence, we hereby aimed at determining the efficacy and tolerability of  
24 thalidomide in patients with refractory ACC who were prospectively enrolled in the European Network  
25 for the Study of Adrenal Tumours (ENSAT) Registry.

1 **Subjects and Methods**

2 **Patients**

3 Patients and clinical parameters (e.g. sex, age at initial diagnosis, evidence of hormone excess, size of  
4 the primary tumour, tumour stage according to the ENSAT classification [36], Weiss score [37], Ki67  
5 index [38,39], date of documented irresectability and subsequent therapies, presence and number of  
6 distant metastases, concomitant treatment with mitotane, and detailed follow-up information) were  
7 retrieved from the German ACC Registry and the ENSAT Registry ([www.ensat.org/registry](http://www.ensat.org/registry)). Both  
8 registries had formerly been approved by the ethics committee of the University of Würzburg (approval  
9 numbers 86/03, and 88/11, respectively). To be included into the study, patient had to fulfill the  
10 following criteria at the time of treatment initiation with thalidomide: age  $\geq 18$  years, histologically  
11 confirmed ACC, written informed consent, refractory and measurable progressive disease at baseline,  
12 no prior therapy with thalidomide, treatment with thalidomide for at least 30 days.

13

14 **Treatment evaluation**

15 In 24 cases (89%), tumour response was radiologically assessed prior to treatment and from the  
16 beginning of treatment until tumour progression, using the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid  
17 Tumours (RECIST) guideline version 1.1 for interpretation of imaging results [40]. For this, all imaging  
18 studies were individually reviewed in a blinded fashion by two experienced radiologists (A.H., W.S.).  
19 Follow-up imaging after initiation of thalidomide was not performed in three patients with severe tumour  
20 progression; these cases were only clinically evaluated. Adverse drug effects considered to be treatment  
21 related were retrieved from patient records and graded according to the National Cancer Institute  
22 Common Toxicity Criteria (CTC) version 4.0. In uncertain cases, the physician who originally  
23 supervised the treatment was contacted to clarify potential adverse events. Adverse drug effects at least  
24 considered possibly treatment-related are reported in this study.

25

26 **Statistical analysis**

27 Progression-free survival was defined as the interval between the beginning of thalidomide treatment  
28 and the date at which progressive disease was documented at imaging, clinically (e.g. treatment

1 discontinuation due to severely impaired general condition or adverse effects), or death of any cause.  
2 Overall survival was calculated as the time between start of thalidomide and death of any cause or last  
3 follow-up. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method. Continuous variables are  
4 presented as the median and range and Kaplan-Meier curves as the median and 95% confidence interval  
5 unless otherwise stated. Statistical significance was taken as  $p < 0.05$ . GraphPad Prism 6.0 software  
6 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, USA) was used for statistical calculations.

## 8 **Results**

### 10 **Patient characteristics**

11 At the time of the final analysis (January 2018), 27 patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria were  
12 identified (pertinent data are given in Table 1). Patients were treated with thalidomide between 2005  
13 and 2017 in 4 European centers participating in the ENSAT Registry. At the time of treatment initiation,  
14 all except one patient had undergone surgical resection (with 13 subjects having at least one surgical re-  
15 intervention). Systemic pretreatment was mitotane in all 27 patients (100%); 21 patients (78%) had at  
16 least three prior systemic therapies in addition to mitotane (median of 4 further systemic treatments),  
17 while three patients (11%) had declined any cytotoxic chemotherapy and were pretreated only with  
18 mitotane. The median intervals between initiation of thalidomide and the initial diagnosis of ACC or the  
19 first documentation of metastatic disease were 36.0 months (range 6.0 - 98.9 months) and 25.2 months  
20 (range 0.0 to 72.5 months), respectively.

### 22 **Tumour response and survival analysis**

23 Patients were initially treated with a median thalidomide dosage of 100 mg/d (range 50 to 200 mg/d),  
24 usually given once daily. Thalidomide was adjusted according to tolerability and toxicity aiming at a  
25 target dosage of 200mg/d. In a single patient, thalidomide was reduced from 200 to 100 mg per day  
26 because of CTC grade II fatigue. Conversely, dosages were increased in 11 patients to a maximum of  
27 400 mg/d in a single patient. One patient refused to increase the dosage  $> 50$ mg/d due to the perceived  
28 risk of adverse effects. The median interval between treatment initiation and subsequent staging was

1 10.5 weeks (range 4.4 to 17.5 weeks). Best response to treatment was stable disease in two patients,  
2 whereas 25 patients experienced progressive disease already at the time of their first imaging. The  
3 median progression-free survival was 11.2 weeks (range 4.4 to 22.8 weeks, Figure 1). The first patient  
4 with stable disease refused continuation of treatment due to mild epistaxis and diarrhea after 22.3 weeks  
5 and progressed finally after 34.8 weeks. The second patient had stable disease according to RECIST  
6 criteria at the second staging on treatment after 25.2 weeks. This treatment evaluation was performed  
7 by 18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (F-18-FDG-PET-CT) and revealed increased  
8 tracer uptake of one bone lesion that was previously barely detectable. The patient continued treatment  
9 despite clinical suspicion of tumour progression (bone pain) without interim imaging for 41.6 weeks  
10 and died from ACC 54.3 weeks after treatment initiation. Of note, prior thalidomide he had progressed  
11 to 4 different cytotoxic regimens after a duration of 17 (EDP), 13 (gemcitabine/capecitabine), 9  
12 (streptozotocin), and 26 (trofosfamide) weeks. This patient received a thalidomide dose of only 50 mg/d  
13 since he declined a higher dosage. Mitotane had been discontinued before thalidomide.  
14 At the time of evaluation, all patients have deceased and median OS is 36.4 weeks (range 5.1 to 111.1  
15 weeks, Figure 2).

16

### 17 **Treatment related toxicity**

18 Retrospective information about tolerability was available in 25 patients (93%). Relatively mild  
19 treatment related symptoms (i.e., CTC grades I and II) were observed in 14 patients, whereas 4 patients  
20 experienced more severe adverse events (i.e., CTC grade III). For the remaining 7 patients, no treatment-  
21 related side-effects were recorded. Details are given in Table 2.

22

### 23 **Description of two remarkable cases**

24 We observed only two cases with disease stabilization. In the first patient, thalidomide was stopped due  
25 to adverse effects (i.e. epistaxis and diarrhea). The second patient had disease stabilization at the second  
26 treatment evaluation and continued treatment without further tumour evaluation until his death in the  
27 42<sup>nd</sup> week of treatment at a dose of only 50 mg thalidomide. This patient was diagnosed with an ENSAT  
28 stage II ACC 4.3 years before initiation of thalidomide. The primary tumour had a very low Ki67 index

1 of only 2% and a corresponding Weiss score of 4; biochemically, an androgen excess was observed.  
2 Despite these features, advanced disease was diagnosed after one year. Apart from local recurrence,  
3 metastases were present in both lungs, the peritoneum, and bones (with the latter presenting as diffuse  
4 osteolytic metastasis). Of note, extra-osseous tumour lesions were radiologically stable at the first and  
5 second radiologic evaluation of thalidomide. However, there was also increasing FDG-uptake in a bone  
6 lesion which was retrospectively present at the initial staging on therapy. Bone metastases during  
7 continued thalidomide showed clinical progression, resulting in a pathological fracture which required  
8 surgical stabilization. Hence, overall disease course was characterized by uncontrolled bone metastases  
9 and it is uncertain whether stable disease of measurable tumour lesions really reflected a treatment  
10 related effect.

11

## 12 **Discussion**

13 After an initial very promising case report published in 2005 [34], our study is the first evaluating the  
14 efficacy of thalidomide in ACC. In this series of 27 mostly heavily pre-treated patients, we did not  
15 observe clinically significant single-agent activity of this drug. Whereas 25 of 27 patients (93%)  
16 experienced clinically or radiologically unequivocal progressive disease at the time of first staging, two  
17 patients had stable disease lasting for 25 weeks in one patient.

18 Over the last few years, systemic therapy for adrenocortical carcinoma has been intensively investigated.  
19 In metastatic disease, mitotane alone or in combination with cytotoxic drugs is considered as a first-line  
20 treatment. It has recently been confirmed that objective tumour response can be expected up to 20% of  
21 selected cases [18]. Cytotoxic chemotherapy is currently regarded as the mainstay of treatment and  
22 combination chemotherapy with etoposide, doxorubicin and cisplatin together with mitotane is currently  
23 considered as a standard of care according to the first international randomized phase III trial in ACC  
24 [19]. Although objective response was observed in 23.2%, progression-free survival is still only 5  
25 months. Other regimens such as gemcitabine/capecitabine [20] result in much lower rates of objective  
26 response. Tumour stabilization is seen in ~25% of patients [21], which is similar to the response obtained  
27 with streptozotocin [19]. Hence, most patients treated with current chemotherapeutic regimes suffer  
28 from insufficiently controlled disease and seek additional treatment options.

1 Extensive neo-angiogenesis as a hallmark of tumour growth has attracted attention also in ACC. Due to  
2 the high expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptor (VEGFR2) in ACC  
3 tumour cell lines [41,42], prospective phase II clinical trials have been conducted using the multi-  
4 tyrosine kinase inhibitors sunitinib and sorafenib [25,26]. However, results were disappointing and are  
5 partly supposed to be related to accelerated metabolism of tyrosine kinase inhibitors through induction  
6 of cytochrome P450 3A4 by mitotane [43,44]. It has been argued that thalidomide may be a promising  
7 therapeutic alternative for solid tumours since it has both anti-angiogenic and immunomodulatory  
8 properties [30-33]. In 2005, the case of a 40-year-old female ACC patient (who experienced a dramatic  
9 tumour response to thalidomide) was reported [34]. Since then, some centers have used thalidomide as  
10 a salvage treatment in selected patients, but efficacy and tolerability have not been systematically  
11 investigated to date.

12 The present study assessed the efficacy and tolerability of thalidomide in patients with refractory ACC.  
13 We identified 27 patients who received off-label treatment with thalidomide. Before the latter was  
14 initiated, most of the patients had already been treated with several consecutive therapeutic modalities  
15 (e.g. surgery, mitotane, cytotoxic chemotherapy, and radiation therapy).

16 All remaining patients exhibited progressive disease already at the first staging. A possible explanation  
17 for this disappointing results in these patients may be secondary drug resistance acquired during previous  
18 therapies. However, administering another salvage therapy after various pretreatments is a common  
19 circumstance in patients with refractory ACC. In contrast to many targeted therapies [44], thalidomide  
20 is not metabolized and hence reduced drug exposure through mitotane-induced cytochrome P450 is  
21 unlikely [45], although drug interaction cannot be finally excluded. Overall, thalidomide treatment was  
22 well tolerated but one patient with stable disease declined further treatment after 22.3 weeks due to  
23 relatively mild epistaxis and diarrhea (both CTC grade I).

24 An obvious limitation of our current evaluation is its retrospective design. This brings along variable  
25 clinical management including restaging at variable time intervals which hampers comparison of  
26 treatment effects. Furthermore, the number of cases in our series is still rather small. However, with 27  
27 patients we would expect an initial signal indicating efficacy if present in a clinically relevant proportion

1 of patients and this number is similar to those in phase II clinical trials of ACC (e.g. [26,46]). Moreover,  
2 it has to be kept in mind that larger series are difficult to collect due to the rarity of ACC. Another  
3 relevant bias of the study is selection bias. As thalidomide was usually offered only as salvage therapy  
4 after failing several other treatment option, this patient cohort is not representative for all patients with  
5 ACC. On one hand, the pre-treatment might have induced - as discussed above - drug resistance, on the  
6 other hand, patients, who are still alive after failing these many treatment regimens, have obviously not  
7 the most aggressive type of ACC. Stable disease as best response in 2 out of 27 patients (7%) may reflect  
8 the natural course of disease.

9 Absence of response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in ACC has been previously associated with reduced  
10 drug exposure due to strong induction of drug metabolizing cytochrome P450 enzymes by mitotane  
11 [43,44]. Although we did not measure thalidomide plasma concentrations, only minimal hepatic  
12 metabolism of this drug has been described which renders this possibility rather unlikely. A single  
13 published phase I clinical trials combining lenalidomide - a related immune modulatory drug (IMiD) -  
14 with the mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) inhibitor temsirolimus [47] also included three ACC  
15 patients of whom one experienced prolonged disease stabilization. It is unclear whether this effect was  
16 due to lenalidomide or temsirolimus since a phase II trial of temsirolimus with the IGF1-receptor  
17 antibody cixutumumab demonstrated stable disease >6months in 11/26 patients. In general, results of  
18 “IMiDs” in solid tumours were largely disappointing. Since thalidomide was overall well tolerated, one  
19 might reason that higher doses of thalidomide may be used in the future. Combination of thalidomide  
20 with metronomic chemotherapy such as temozolomide [48] or 5-fluorouracil prodrugs [49] might be  
21 another option to achieve better tumour response.

22 In conclusion, our series provide some evidence that thalidomide has only very modest single-agent  
23 activity in patients with refractory advanced ACC. The majority of patients does not benefit from the  
24 drug. Thus, there is little reason to recommend use of thalidomide as a monotherapy in ACC as long as  
25 molecular or clinical response markers are yet to be discovered.

26

## 27 **Acknowledgments**

28

1 We are grateful to all the colleagues who provided patient data for the German ACC Registry as well as  
2 the ENSAT Registry. We appreciate the support for establishing (Uwe Maeder) and maintaining  
3 (Michaela Haaf) the database of the German Adrenocortical Carcinoma Registry. We are also thankful  
4 for the continuous management and technical development of the ENSAT registry by Anthony Stell.  
5

## 1   **References**

- 2   1.    Bilimoria KY, Shen WT, Elaraj D et al. Adrenocortical carcinoma in the United  
3       States: treatment utilization and prognostic factors. *Cancer* 2008; 113: 3130-3136  
4       DOI: 10.1002/cncr.23886 [doi]
- 5   2.    Golden SH, Robinson KA, Saldanha I et al. Clinical review: Prevalence and incidence  
6       of endocrine and metabolic disorders in the United States: a comprehensive review. *J*  
7       *Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009; 94: 1853-1878 DOI: 94/6/1853 [pii] 10.1210/jc.2008-  
8       2291 [doi]
- 9   3.    Fassnacht M, Kroiss M, Allolio B. Update in adrenocortical carcinoma. *J Clin*  
10       *Endocrinol Metab* 2013; 98: 4551-4564 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2013-3020
- 11 4.    Else T, Kim AC, Sabolch A et al. Adrenocortical carcinoma. *Endocrine reviews* 2014;  
12       35: 282-326 DOI: 10.1210/er.2013-1029
- 13 5.    Schteingart DE, Doherty GM, Gauger PG et al. Management of patients with adrenal  
14       cancer: recommendations of an international consensus conference. *Endocr Relat*  
15       *Cancer* 2005; 12: 667-680
- 16 6.    Jurowich C, Fassnacht M, Kroiss M et al. Is there a role for laparoscopic  
17       adrenalectomy in patients with suspected adrenocortical carcinoma? A critical  
18       appraisal of the literature. *Hormone and metabolic research = Hormon- und*  
19       *Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme* 2013; 45: 130-136 DOI:  
20       10.1055/s-0032-1331743
- 21 7.    Fassnacht M, Dekkers O, Else T et al. European Society of Endocrinology Clinical  
22       Practice Guidelines on the Management of Adrenocortical Carcinoma in Adults, in  
23       collaboration with the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors. *Eur J*  
24       *Endocrinol* 2018; in press:
- 25 8.    Grubbs EG, Callender GG, Xing Y et al. Recurrence of adrenal cortical carcinoma  
26       following resection: surgery alone can achieve results equal to surgery plus mitotane.  
27       *Ann Surg Oncol* 2010; 17: 263-270 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-009-0716-x [doi]
- 28 9.    Datrice NM, Langan RC, Ripley RT et al. Operative management for recurrent and  
29       metastatic adrenocortical carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 2012; 105: 709-713 DOI:  
30       10.1002/jso.23015 [doi]
- 31 10.   Erdogan I, Deutschbein T, Jurowich C et al. The role of surgery in the management of  
32       recurrent adrenocortical carcinoma. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; 98: 181-191 DOI:  
33       10.1210/jc.2012-2559
- 34 11.   Baur J, Bunttemeyer TO, Megerle F et al. Outcome after resection of Adrenocortical  
35       Carcinoma liver metastases: a retrospective study. *BMC Cancer* 2017; 17: 522 DOI:  
36       10.1186/s12885-017-3506-z
- 37 12.   Luton JP, Cerdas S, Billaud L et al. Clinical features of adrenocortical carcinoma,  
38       prognostic factors, and the effect of mitotane therapy. *N Engl J Med* 1990; 322: 1195-  
39       1201.
- 40 13.   Haak HR, Hermans J, van de Velde CJ et al. Optimal treatment of adrenocortical  
41       carcinoma with mitotane: results in a consecutive series of 96 patients. *Br J Cancer*  
42       1994; 69: 947-951.
- 43 14.   Baudin E, Pellegriti G, Bonnay M et al. Impact of monitoring plasma 1,1-  
44       dichlorodiphenildichloroethane (o,p'DDD) levels on the treatment of patients with  
45       adrenocortical carcinoma. *Cancer* 2001; 92: 1385-1392
- 46 15.   Terzolo M, Angeli A, Fassnacht M et al. Adjuvant mitotane treatment for  
47       adrenocortical carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 356: 2372-2380
- 48 16.   Hermsen IG, Fassnacht M, Terzolo M et al. Plasma concentrations of o,p'DDD,  
49       o,p'DDA, and o,p'DDE as predictors of tumor response to mitotane in adrenocortical

- 1 carcinoma: results of a retrospective ENS@T multicenter study. *J Clin Endocrinol*  
2 *Metab* 2011; 96: 1844-1851 DOI: jc.2010-2676 [pii] DOI 10.1210/jc.2010-2676
- 3 17. Berruti A, Grisanti S, Pulzer A et al. Long-Term Outcomes of Adjuvant Mitotane  
4 Therapy in Patients With Radically Resected Adrenocortical Carcinoma. *J Clin*  
5 *Endocrinol Metab* 2017; 102: 1358-1365 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2016-2894
- 6 18. Megerle F, Herrmann W, Schloetelburg W et al. Mitotane Monotherapy in Patients  
7 With Advanced Adrenocortical Carcinoma. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2018; 103: 1686-  
8 1695 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2017-02591
- 9 19. Fassnacht M, Terzolo M, Allolio B et al. Combination chemotherapy in advanced  
10 adrenocortical carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 366: 2189-2197 DOI:  
11 10.1056/NEJMoa1200966
- 12 20. Sperone P, Ferrero A, Daffara F et al. Gemcitabine plus metronomic 5-fluorouracil or  
13 capecitabine as a second-/third-line chemotherapy in advanced adrenocortical  
14 carcinoma: a multicenter phase II study. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2010; 17: 445-453
- 15 21. Henning JEK, Deutschbein T, Altieri B et al. Gemcitabine-Based Chemotherapy in  
16 Adrenocortical Carcinoma: A Multicenter Study of Efficacy and Predictive Factors. *J*  
17 *Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2017; 102: 4323-4332 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2017-01624
- 18 22. Khan TS, Imam H, Juhlin C et al. Streptozocin and o,p'DDD in the treatment of  
19 adrenocortical cancer patients: long-term survival in its adjuvant use. *Ann Oncol*  
20 2000; 11: 1281-1287
- 21 23. Quinkler M, Hahner S, Wortmann S et al. Treatment of advanced adrenocortical  
22 carcinoma with erlotinib plus gemcitabine. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008; 93: 2057-  
23 2062
- 24 24. Wortmann S, Quinkler M, Ritter C et al. Bevacizumab plus capecitabine as a salvage  
25 therapy in advanced adrenocortical carcinoma. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2010; 162: 349-356  
26 DOI: EJE-09-0804 [pii]  
27 10.1530/EJE-09-0804 [doi]
- 28 25. Berruti A, Sperone P, Ferrero A et al. Phase II study of weekly paclitaxel and  
29 sorafenib as second/third-line therapy in patients with adrenocortical carcinoma. *Eur J*  
30 *Endocrinol* 2012; 166: 451-458 DOI: 10.1530/EJE-11-0918
- 31 26. Kroiss M, Quinkler M, Johanssen S et al. Sunitinib in refractory adrenocortical  
32 carcinoma: a phase II, single-arm, open-label trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; 97:  
33 3495-3503 DOI: jc.2012-1419 [pii] DOI 10.1210/jc.2012-1419
- 34 27. Fraenkel M, Gueorguiev M, Barak D et al. Everolimus therapy for progressive  
35 adrenocortical cancer. *Endocrine* 2013; 44: 187-192 DOI: 10.1007/s12020-013-9878-  
36 1
- 37 28. Fassnacht M, Berruti A, Baudin E et al. Linsitinib (OSI-906) versus placebo for  
38 patients with locally advanced or metastatic adrenocortical carcinoma: a double-blind,  
39 randomised, phase 3 study. *The Lancet Oncology* 2015; 16: 426-435 DOI:  
40 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)70081-1
- 41 29. Megerle F, Kroiss M, Hahner S et al. Advanced adrenocortical carcinoma – what to do  
42 when first-line therapy fails? *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology and Diabetes*  
43 2018; revision submitted:
- 44 30. Melchert M, List A. The thalidomide saga. *The international journal of biochemistry*  
45 *& cell biology* 2007; 39: 1489-1499 DOI: 10.1016/j.biocel.2007.01.022
- 46 31. Kumar N, Sharma U, Singh C et al. Thalidomide: chemistry, therapeutic potential and  
47 oxidative stress induced teratogenicity. *Current topics in medicinal chemistry* 2012;  
48 12: 1436-1455

- 1 32. Licht JD, Shortt J, Johnstone R. From anecdote to targeted therapy: the curious case of  
2 thalidomide in multiple myeloma. *Cancer cell* 2014; 25: 9-11 DOI:  
3 10.1016/j.ccr.2013.12.019
- 4 33. Shortt J, Hsu AK, Johnstone RW. Thalidomide-analogue biology: immunological,  
5 molecular and epigenetic targets in cancer therapy. *Oncogene* 2013; 32: 4191-4202  
6 DOI: 10.1038/onc.2012.599
- 7 34. Chacon R, Tossen G, Loria FS et al. CASE 2. Response in a patient with metastatic  
8 adrenal cortical carcinoma with thalidomide. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 1579-1580
- 9 35. Dixit K, Shablak A, Jacob K et al. Thalidomide therapy for metastatic adrenal  
10 carcinoma. *Endocrine Abstracts* 2008; 15: P155
- 11 36. Fassnacht M, Johanssen S, Quinkler M et al. Limited prognostic value of the 2004  
12 International Union Against Cancer staging classification for adrenocortical  
13 carcinoma: proposal for a Revised TNM Classification. *Cancer* 2009; 115: 243-250
- 14 37. Weiss LM, Medeiros LJ, Vickery AL, Jr. Pathologic features of prognostic  
15 significance in adrenocortical carcinoma. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1989; 13: 202-206.
- 16 38. Beuschlein F, Weigel J, Saeger W et al. Major prognostic role of Ki67 in localized  
17 adrenocortical carcinoma after complete resection. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015;  
18 100: 841-849 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2014-3182
- 19 39. Libe R, Borget I, Ronchi CL et al. Prognostic factors in stage III-IV adrenocortical  
20 carcinomas (ACC): an European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumor (ENSAT)  
21 study. *Ann Oncol* 2015; 26: 2119-2125 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv329
- 22 40. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid  
23 tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *European journal of cancer*  
24 (Oxford, England : 1990) 2009; 45: 228-247 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026
- 25 41. Kroiss M, Reuss M, K?hner D et al. Sunitinib inhibits cell proliferation and alters  
26 steroidogenesis by down-regulation of HSD3B2 in adrenocortical carcinoma cells.  
27 *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 2011; 2: DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2011.00027
- 28 42. Mariniello B, Rosato A, Zuccolotto G et al. Combination of sorafenib and everolimus  
29 impacts therapeutically on adrenocortical tumor models. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2012;  
30 19: 527-539 DOI: ERC-11-0337 [pii]  
31 10.1530/ERC-11-0337 [doi]
- 32 43. van Erp NP, Guchelaar HJ, Ploeger BA et al. Mitotane has a strong and a durable  
33 inducing effect on CYP3A4 activity. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2011; 164: 621-626 DOI: EJE-  
34 10-0956 [pii]  
35 10.1530/EJE-10-0956
- 36 44. Kroiss M, Quinkler M, Lutz WK et al. Drug interactions with mitotane by induction of  
37 CYP3A4 metabolism in the clinical management of adrenocortical carcinoma. *Clin*  
38 *Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2011; 75: 585-591 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2011.04214.x [doi]
- 39 45. Teo SK, Colburn WA, Tracewell WG et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of thalidomide.  
40 *Clinical pharmacokinetics* 2004; 43: 311-327 DOI: 10.2165/00003088-200443050-  
41 00004
- 42 46. Naing A, Lorusso P, Fu S et al. Insulin growth factor receptor (IGF-1R) antibody  
43 cixutumumab combined with the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus in patients with  
44 metastatic adrenocortical carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 2013; 108: 826-830 DOI: bjc201346  
45 [pii]  
46 10.1038/bjc.2013.46 [doi]
- 47 47. Ganesan P, Piha-Paul S, Naing A et al. Phase I clinical trial of lenalidomide in  
48 combination with temsirolimus in patients with advanced cancer. *Investigational new*  
49 *drugs* 2013; 31: 1505-1513 DOI: 10.1007/s10637-013-0013-1

- 1 48. Kulke MH, Stuart K, Enzinger PC et al. Phase II study of temozolomide and  
2 thalidomide in patients with metastatic neuroendocrine tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24:  
3 401-406
- 4 49. Shao YY, Lin ZZ, Hsu C et al. Efficacy, safety, and potential biomarkers of  
5 thalidomide plus metronomic chemotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma.  
6 *Oncology* 2012; 82: 59-66 DOI: 10.1159/000336126

7  
8

1 **Table 1.** Clinical characteristics. Abbreviations are: ENSAT, European Network for the Study of  
 2 Adrenal Tumours.

Characteristic	Number (%) of patients or median (range)
Number of patients	27
Female Sex	14 (52%)
Age at initial diagnosis (years)	44.1 (22.7-64.4)
ENSAT tumour stage at initial diagnosis	
II	13 (48)
III	6 (22)
IV	8 (30)
Main endocrine activity at initial diagnosis	
Glucocorticoid excess	12 (44)
Androgen excess	5 (19)
Mineralocorticoid excess	1 (4)
None or not documented	9 (33)
Surgical interventions (number)	
Median (range)	1 (0-6)
Histopathology	
highest Ki67 (n=24)	
<10%	8 (33)
10-19%	6 (25)
≥20%	10 (42)
Weiss score (n=17)	6 (4-9)
Therapy prior to treatment with thalidomide	
Mitotane	27 (100)
- continued at the time of thalidomide initiation	13 (48%)
- Median plasma level at the time of thalidomide initiation (mg/l, n=13)	14.5 (3.5 – 17.6)
Cytotoxic chemotherapy	24 (89)
- Etoposide, Doxorubicin, Cisplatin	22 (81)
- Streptozotocin	22 (81)
- Gemcitabine, Capecitabine	20 (74)
- Trofosfamide	13 (48)
- Etoposide, Cisplatin	2 (7)
- Etoposide, Carboplatin	1 (4)
- Gemcitabine, Carboplatin	1 (4)
- Doxorubicin, Paclitaxel	1 (4)
Targeted therapy	5 (19)
- Linsitinib	3 (11)
- Sunitinib	2 (7)
Combined cytotoxic and targeted therapy	1 (4)
- Capecitabine, vevacizumab	1 (4)
Radiotherapy	9 (33)
Chemoembolization	4 (15)
Radio frequency ablation	3 (11)
<sup>131</sup> I-Iodometomidate	1 (4)
None	0 (0)
Interval between the initial diagnosis and thalidomide initiation (months)	
Median (range)	36.0 (6.0-98.9)
Interval between the diagnosis of metastasized ACC and thalidomide initiation (months)	
Median (range)	25.2 (0.0-72.4)
Age at thalidomide initiation (years)	
Median (range)	46.9 (24.2-69.0)

Tumor burden at thalidomide initiation	
Distant metastasis (multiple lesions)	12
Combination of local recurrence and multiple metastases	9
Unknown	6

1

2

**Kroiss, Deutschbein et al.**

3

1 **Table 2.** Treatment emergent adverse events. Abbreviations are: CTC, Common Toxicity Criteria.

CTC category	Side-effects (in alphabetical order)	CTC Grade 1 – 2 (n)	CTC Grade 3 - 4 (n)
Blood and lymphatic system	Anemia	1	
Gastrointestinal	Constipation	1	
	Decreased appetite	1	
	Diarrhea	3	
	Ileal obstruction		1
	Nausea	1	
General disorders	Asthenia	5	
	Changes of body weight (loss or gain)	2	
	Edema (limb or trunk)	2	
	Fatigue	11	1
	Pain (any)	4	
Laboratory investigations	Increased creatinine		
Nervous system disorders	Dizziness	1	
	Paresthesia	2	
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal	Dyspnea	1	
	Epistaxis	1	
Skin and subcutaneous tissue	Dry skin	1	
	Others (worsening of preexisting psoriasis)		1
Endocrine disorders	Cushing's syndrome	1	
Total		38	3

2

3

**Kroiss, Deutschbein et al.**

4

1 **Figure 1.** Kaplan-Meier curve of progression-free survival (PFS) after treatment initiation with  
2 thalidomide.

3 The patient with PID17 discontinued thalidomide after 32 days of treatment because of fatigue. Patient  
4 with PID 3 continued thalidomide without follow up imaging beyond the last imaging 22.8 weeks after  
5 treatment initiation and was therefore censored for PFS at this time point. He died from ACC after 54.3  
6 weeks.

7

8

1 **Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier curve of the overall survival after treatment initiation with thalidomide.

2

3