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DENSENESS OF INTERMEDIATE $\beta$-SHIFTS OF FINITE TYPE

BING LI, TUOMAS SAHLSTEN, TONY SAMUEL, AND WOLFGANG STEINER

(Communicated by Nimish Shah)

ABSTRACT. We determine the structure of the set of intermediate $\beta$-shifts of finite type. Specifically, we show that this set is dense in the parameter space

$$\Delta = \{(\beta, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \beta \in (1, 2) \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2 - \beta\}.$$ 

This generalises the classical result of Parry from 1960 for greedy $\beta$-shifts.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Since the pioneering work of Rényi [35] and Parry [31, 32, 33], intermediate $\beta$-shifts have been well-studied by many authors, and have been shown to have important connections with ergodic theory, fractal geometry and number theory. We refer the reader to [9, 23, 36] for survey articles concerning this topic.

For $\beta > 1$ and $x \in [0, 1/(\beta - 1)]$, a word $(\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with letters in the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ is called a $\beta$-expansion of $x$ if

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k \beta^{-k}.$$ 

When $\beta$ is a natural number, Lebesgue almost all numbers $x$ have a unique $\beta$-expansion. On the other hand, in [37, Theorem 1] it was shown that if $\beta$ is not a natural number, then, for almost all $x$, the cardinality of the set of $\beta$-expansions of $x$ is equal to the cardinality of the continuum. In [3, 4, 38] the set of points which have countable number of $\beta$-expansions has also been studied.

Through iterating the maps $G_\beta : x \mapsto \beta x \mod 1$ on $[0, 1)$ and $L_\beta : x \mapsto \beta(x - 1) \mod 1$ on $(0, 1)$, see Figure 1, for $\beta \in (1, 2]$, one obtains subsets of $[0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ known as the greedy and (normalised) lazy $\beta$-shifts, respectively, where each point $\omega^+$ of the greedy $\beta$-shift is a $\beta$-expansion, and corresponds to a unique point in $[0, 1]$, and each point $\omega^-$ of the lazy $\beta$-shift is a $\beta$-expansion, and corresponds to a unique point in $[(2 - \beta)/(\beta - 1), 1/(\beta - 1)]$. Note that, if $\omega^+$ and $\omega^-$ are $\beta$-expansions of the same point, then $\omega^+$ and $\omega^-$ do not necessarily have to be equal, see for instance [24, Theorem 1].

Received by the editors August 10, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 37E05, 37B10; Secondary: 11A67, 11R06.

B. Li, T. Sahlsten and T. Samuel are extremely grateful to the organisers of the Research Program: Fractals and Dynamics and the Mittag-Leffler Institut for their very kind hospitality during the writing of this article. B. Li was supported by NSFC 11671151 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities SCUT 2017MS109; T. Sahlsten was partially supported by the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship 655310 and a travel grant from the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters; W. Steiner was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche DYNAS ANR-13-BS02-0003.
There are many ways, other than using the greedy and lazy $\beta$-shift, to generate a $\beta$-expansion of a positive real number. For instance, the intermediate $\beta$-shifts $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ which arise from the intermediate $\beta$-transformations $T_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm : [0,1] \to [0,1]$, where

$$(\beta, \alpha) \in \Lambda : = \{ (\beta, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \beta \in (1,2) \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2 - \beta \},$$

and where the maps $T_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm$ are defined as follows, also see Figure 2. Let $p = p_{\beta,\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)/\beta$ and set

$$T_{\beta,\alpha}^+(x) := \begin{cases} \beta x + \alpha \mod 1 & \text{if } x \neq p, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = p, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\beta,\alpha}^-(x) := \begin{cases} \beta x + \alpha \mod 1 & \text{if } x \neq p, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = p. \end{cases}$$

The maps $T_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm$ are equal everywhere except at the point $p$ and $T_{\beta,\alpha}^-(x) = 1 - T_{\beta,\alpha}^+(1 - x)$, for all $x \in [0,1]$. Notice, when $\alpha = 0$, the maps $G_\beta$ and $T_{\beta,\alpha}^+$ coincide on $[0,1]$, and when $\alpha = 2 - \beta$, the maps $L_\beta$ and $T_{\beta,\alpha}^-$ coincide on $(0,1)$. Intermediate $\beta$-transformations are sometimes called linear Lorenz maps and arise naturally from Poincaré maps of the geometric model of Lorenz differential equations, see for instance [14, 29, 39, 40]. Here, let us also make the observation that, for all $(\beta, \alpha) \in \Lambda$, the symbolic space $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ of $T_{\beta,\alpha}^+$ and $T_{\beta,\alpha}^-$, see Section 2.2 for a formal definition, is always a subshift, meaning that it is invariant under the left shift map. As an aside, we remark that another way to generate a $\beta$-expansion of a point is to use random $\beta$-transformations, see for instance [10, 11, 12].

Subshifts are to dynamical systems what shapes like polygons and curves are to geometry. Subshifts which can be described by a finite set of forbidden words are called subshifts of finite type and play an essential role in the study of dynamical systems. Their study has provided solutions to practical problems within biology, engineering, information theory and physics. Applications appear in analogue to digital conversion [13], analysis of electroencephalography (EEG) data [22], data storage [28], electronic circuits [5], mechanical systems with impacts and friction [2] and relay systems [41].

One reason why subshifts of finite type are so useful is that they have a simple representation using a finite directed graph. Questions about the subshift can then often be phrased as questions about the graph’s adjacency matrix. Results from linear algebra help us to take this matrix apart and find solutions. Moreover, in the case of greedy $\beta$-shifts (that is when $\alpha = 0$), to compute the multifractal analysis for Birkhoff averages, one first computes the result for greedy $\beta$-shifts of finite type, and then one uses an approximation argument to determine the result for a general greedy $\beta$-shift, see [25] and references therein.

Given $(\beta, \alpha) \in \Lambda$, the $\beta$-expansions of the point $p$ given by $T_{\beta,\alpha}^+$ are called the kneading invariants of $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$. It is known that the kneading invariants completely determine $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$, see Theorem 2.5, and that, provided $\alpha \notin [0,2 - \beta]$, then an intermediate $\beta$-shift is a subshift of finite type if and only if the kneading invariants are periodic, see Theorem 2.4. In contrast, the greedy and lazy $\beta$-shifts (that is when $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 2 - \beta$, respectively) are subshifts of finite type if and only if the lower, respectively the upper, kneading invariant is periodic, see Theorem 2.3. Our contribution, in this article and to this story, is to show the following result, which generalises the classical result [31, Theorem 5] of Parry on the denseness of the set of simple $\beta$-numbers. We recall that Parry defines a number $\beta$ to be simple if the Greedy expansion of $p$ is periodic, in other words if $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a subshift of finite type (see Theorem 2.3). Since the types of subshifts which we know how to deal with are mostly subshifts of finite type and their factors, called sofic subshifts, it is very natural to ask whether $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is such and if so, for which $\beta$ and $\alpha$?

**Theorem 1.1.** The set of $(\beta, \alpha)$ belonging to $\Lambda$ for which $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a subshift of finite type is dense in $\Lambda$. 

DENSENESS OF INTERMEDIATE $\beta$-SHIFTS OF FINITE TYPE

A subshift is sofic if it is a factor of a subshift of finite type. Theorem 1.1 implies that the set of $(\beta, \alpha) \in \Delta$ for which the intermediate $\beta$-shift is sofic is dense in $\Delta$, since any subshift of finite type is a sofic shift. If one considers the dynamical property of topologically transitivity, then the structure of the set of $(\beta, \alpha)$ in $\Delta$ such that $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is topologically transitive, with respect to the left shift map, is very different to the set of $(\beta, \alpha)$ belonging to $\Delta$ for which $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a subshift of finite type. In fact the former of these two sets is far from being dense in $\Delta$, see for instance [18, Proposition 1 and 2] and also [30].

Combining the results of [19, Theorem 3] and [26, Theorem 1.3] it can be shown that if $\beta$ is a transcendental number, then the set of $\alpha$ for which the intermediate $\beta$-shift $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a subshift of finite type is empty. Indeed, for $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ to be a subshift of finite type, we require $\beta \in (1, 2)$ to be a maximal root of a polynomial with coefficients in $[-1, 0, 1]$. Moreover, the entropy of a subshift of finite type is the logarithm of the largest eigenvalue $\lambda$ of its adjacency matrix, which is a nonnegative integral matrix. By [27, Theorem 3], we have that $\lambda$ is the positive $n^{th}$-root of a Perron number. Therefore, since the entropy of an intermediate $\beta$-shift is $\ln(\beta)$, if an intermediate $\beta$-shift is of finite type, then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta$ is the positive $n^{th}$-root of a Perron number. This leads to the following natural question. If $\beta \in (1, 2)$ is a positive $n^{th}$-root of a Perron number, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is the set of $\alpha$ for which $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a subshift of finite type dense in $[0, 2 - \beta]$? In the case that $\beta$ is a multinacci number, this question has been answered in [34, Theorem 1.1].
2. Preliminaries

2.1. Subshifts. We equip the space $[0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ of infinite sequences with the topology induced by the word metric $\mathcal{D}: [0, 1]^\mathbb{N} \times [0, 1]^\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(\omega, \nu) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega = \nu, \\ 2^{-|\omega \land \nu| + 1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $|\omega \land \nu| := \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_n \neq \nu_n \}$, for all $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots), \nu = (\nu_1 \nu_2, \ldots) \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ with $\omega \neq \nu$. Note that the topology induced by $\mathcal{D}$ on $[0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ coincides with the product topology on $[0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$. We let $\sigma: [0, 1]^\mathbb{N} \cup \text{denote the left-shift map which is defined by } \sigma(\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) := (\omega_2, \omega_3, \ldots).$ A subshift is any closed set $\Omega \subseteq [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$.

Given a subshift $\Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\Omega_n := \{(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in [0, 1]^n : \text{there exists } (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m) \in \Omega \text{ with } (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)\}$$

and write $\Omega^* := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$ for the collection of all finite words. We denote by $|\Omega_n|$ the cardinality of $\Omega_n$. A subshift $\Omega$ is called a subshift of finite type if there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $(\omega_n, \ldots, \omega_1)$, $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) \in \Omega^*$, for all $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$, $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) \in \Omega$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq M$, if and only if $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) \in \Omega^*$.

The following result gives an equivalent condition for when a subshift is of finite type. For this we require the following notation. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$, we set $\omega|_n := (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ and, for $\xi \in [0, 1]^*$, we let $|\xi|$ denote the length of $\xi$.

**Theorem 2.1** ([28, Theorem 2.1.8]). A shift space $\Omega$ is a subshift of finite type if and only if there exists a finite set $F \subseteq \Omega$ such that for each $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots) \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N} \setminus \Omega$ there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in F$ with $(\nu_i, \ldots, \nu_{i+|\xi|-1}) = \xi$ and for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in F$ we have that $\omega|_i \neq \xi$.

The set $F$ is often called the set of forbidden words.

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m) \in [0, 1]^*$, set

$$(\nu, \xi) := (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m);$$

we use the same notation when $\xi \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$. An infinite word $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ is called periodic with period $p \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if, $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) = (\omega_{n+1}, \ldots, \omega_{2n})$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$; in which case we write $\omega = (\overline{\omega_1}, \ldots, \overline{\omega_n})$. Similarly, $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ is called eventually periodic with period $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\omega_k, \ldots, \omega_{k+n}) = (\omega_{k+1}, \ldots, \omega_{k+n+1})$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$; in which case we write $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k, \overline{\omega_{k+1}}, \ldots, \overline{\omega_{k+n}})$.

2.2. Intermediate $\beta$-shifts and expansions. Here we give the definition of an intermediate $\beta$-shift. Throughout this section let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ be fixed and let $p = p_{\beta, \alpha} := (1 - \alpha)/\beta$.

The $T^\pm_{\beta, \alpha}$-expansion $T^\pm_{\beta, \alpha}(x)$ of $x \in [0, 1]$ is defined to be the word $(\omega_1^x, \omega_2^x, \ldots) \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$, where, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\omega_n^+ := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (T^+_{\beta, \alpha})^{n-1}(x) < p, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad \omega_n^- := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (T^-_{\beta, \alpha})^{n-1}(x) \leq p, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

We will denote the images of the unit interval under $T^\pm_{\beta, \alpha}$ by $\Omega^\pm_{\beta, \alpha}$, respectively, and set $\Omega_{\beta, \alpha} := \Omega^+_\beta \cup \Omega^-_{\beta, \alpha}$. The upper and lower kneading invariants of $\Omega_{\beta, \alpha}$ are defined to be the infinite words $\tau^\pm_{\beta, \alpha}(p)$, respectively.

**Remark 2.2.** Let $\omega^\pm = (\omega^+_1, \omega^+_2, \ldots)$ denote the words $\tau^\pm_{\beta, \alpha}(p)$, respectively. By definition, $\omega^-_1 = \omega^-_2 = 0$ and $\omega^+_1 = \omega^+_2 = 1$. Further, one can show $(\omega^+_1, \omega^+_2, \ldots) = (0, 0, 0, \ldots)$ if
and only if $\alpha = 0$ and $k \geq 2$; and $(\omega_1, \omega_1, \ldots) = (1, 1, 1, \ldots)$ if and only if $\alpha = 2 - \beta$ and $k \geq 2$. Indeed, $\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(0) = \sigma(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(p))$ and $\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(1) = \sigma(\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(p))$.

The connection between intermediate $\beta$-transformations and the $\beta$-expansions of real numbers is given via the $T_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm$-expansions of a point and the projection $\pi_{\beta,\alpha} : [0,1]^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0,1]$ defined by

$$\pi_{\beta,\alpha}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \coloneqq \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\beta^k}.$$  

Note, $\pi_{\beta,\alpha}$ is linked to the iterated function system $([0,1]; f_0 : x \mapsto x/\beta, f_1 : x \mapsto (x+1)/\beta)$ via the equality

$$\pi_{\beta,\alpha}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} + \lim_{n \to \infty} f_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_n}([0,1]).$$

We refer the reader to [16, 17] for further details on iterated function systems. An important property $\pi_{\beta,\alpha}$ is that the following diagram commutes.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm \\
\pi_{\beta,\alpha} \downarrow & & \pi_{\beta,\alpha} \downarrow \\
[0,1] & \xrightarrow{T_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm} & [0,1]
\end{array}
$$

This result is readily verifiable from the definitions of the maps involved, see [6, p. 488]. From this, one can deduce that, for $x \in [\alpha/((\beta-1), 1+\alpha/((\beta-1))$, the words $\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm(x-\alpha/(\beta-1))$ are $\beta$-expansions of $x$.

### 2.3. Intermediate $\beta$-shifts of finite type.

An equivalent condition, in terms of the upper and lower kneading invariants, for the finite type property of greedy and the lazy $\beta$-shifts is as follows.

**Theorem 2.3.** For $\beta \in (1,2)$, we have that

1. The greedy $\beta$-shift (that is when $\alpha = 0$) is a subshift of finite type if and only if $\tau_{\beta,0}^-(p)$ is periodic, and
2. The lazy $\beta$-shift (that is when $\alpha = 2 - \beta$) is a subshift of finite type if and only if $\tau_{\beta,2-\beta}^+(p)$ is periodic.

**Proof.** Part (1) is given in [9, Proposition 4.1] and follows from [31, Theorem 3]. Part (2) follows from Part (1) together with the following facts. The transformations $T_{\beta,0}^-$ and $T_{\beta,2-\beta}^+$ are topologically conjugate via the map $x \mapsto 1-x$ and thus, as is given in [15, Equation (8)], if $\tau_{\beta,0}^-(p) = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots)$, then $\tau_{\beta,2-\beta}^+(p) = (1-\omega_1, 1-\omega_2, \ldots)$. Further, the subshift of finite type property is preserved under topological conjugation, see [28, Theorem 2.1.10].

An analogous result holds for intermediate $\beta$-shifts.

**Theorem 2.4** ([26, Theorem 1.3]). Let $\beta \in (1,2)$ and $\alpha \in (0,2-\beta)$. The intermediate $\beta$-shift $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a subshift of finite type if and only if both $\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm(p)$ are periodic.

A necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of the upper and lower kneading invariants, for the property of an intermediate $\beta$-shift to be a sofic shift can be found in [21, Proposition 2.14] and reads as follows. Let $(\beta, \alpha) \in A$. The intermediate $\beta$-shift $\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}$ is sofic if and only if both $\tau_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm(p)$ are eventually periodic.
2.4. Structure of intermediate \( \beta \)-shifts. The following results on the structure of \( \Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm \) will play a crucial role in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.5** ([1, Proposition 4], [6, Theorem 5.1], [7, Theorem 1], [20, Theorem 1] and [21, Theorem 2.5]). For \((\beta, \alpha) \in \Delta\), the spaces \( \Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^+ \) are completely determined by upper and lower kneading invariants of \( \Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^\pm \); indeed, we have that

\[
\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^+ = \left\{ \omega \in [0,1]^\mathbb{N} : \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(0) \leq \sigma^\alpha(\omega) < \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(p) \text{ or } \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(p) \leq \sigma^\alpha(\omega) \leq \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(1) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},
\]

\[
\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^- = \left\{ \omega \in [0,1]^\mathbb{N} : \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(0) \leq \sigma^\alpha(\omega) < \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(p) \text{ or } \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(p) \leq \sigma^\alpha(\omega) \leq \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(1) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.
\]

Here, \(<, \leq, > \) and \(\geq\) denote the lexicographic orderings on \([0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}\). Moreover,

\[
\Omega_{\beta,\alpha} = \Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^+ \cup \Omega_{\beta,\alpha}^- \]

is closed with respect to the metric \(D\) and hence is a subshift.

A natural question stemming from this result is the following. When are two elements of \([0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}\) kneading invariants of an intermediate \(\beta\)-transformation? This was answered in [8, Corollary 1] and [20, Theorem 1]. To state the result we require the following. Given \(\omega, \nu \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}\) with \(\omega < \nu\) we define the sets \(\Omega^\omega(\omega, \nu)\) by

\[
\Omega^\omega(\omega, \nu) := \{ \xi \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}} : \sigma(\nu) \leq \sigma^\alpha(\xi) < \omega \text{ or } \nu \leq \sigma^\alpha(\xi) \leq \sigma(\omega) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \},
\]

\[
\Omega^-\omega(\omega, \nu) := \{ \xi \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}} : \sigma(\nu) \leq \sigma^\alpha(\xi) \leq \omega \text{ or } \nu < \sigma^\alpha(\xi) \leq \sigma(\omega) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}.
\]

**Definition 2.6.** A pair \((\omega, \nu)\) of infinite words is said to be **admissible** if the following four conditions are satisfied.

\(\omega\)

\(\nu\)

\(
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \omega_{|1|} = 0 \text{ and } \nu_{|1|} = 1 \\
(2) & \quad \omega \in \Omega^\omega(\omega, \nu) \text{ and } \nu \in \Omega^-\omega(\omega, \nu) \\
(3) & \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \ln (|\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_n) > 0 \\
(4) & \quad \text{If } \omega, \nu \in [\xi, \zeta]^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ for two finite words } \xi, \zeta \text{ in the alphabet } [0,1] \text{ with length greater than or equal to three, such that } \xi_{|\xi|} = (0,1), \zeta_{|\zeta|} = (1,0), (\xi) \in \Omega^\omega(\xi, \zeta) \text{ and } (\zeta) \in \Omega^-\omega(\xi, \zeta), \text{ then } \omega = (\xi) \text{ and } \nu = (\zeta).
\end{align*}
\)

If in addition \(\omega\) and \(\nu\) are periodic, then we call the pair \((\omega, \nu)\) **periodically admissible**.

**Remark 2.7.** The limit in Definition 2.6 (3) exists for the following reason. For a given pair \((\omega, \nu)\) of infinite words and \(m, n \in \mathbb{N}\), we have that \(|\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_{n+m} \leq |\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_m \cdot |\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_n|\). Therefore, the sequence \(\{\ln(\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_n)\}_{n \geq 0}\) is sub-additive and hence

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \ln (|\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{-1} \ln (|\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{-1} \ln (|\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{-1} \ln (|\Omega(\omega, \nu)|_n)
\]

With this at hand we may answer the above question.

**Theorem 2.8** ([8, Corollary 1] and [20, Theorem 1]). **Two infinite words** \(\omega, \nu \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}\) **are kneading invariants for an intermediate** \(\beta\)-**shift if and only if** \((\omega, \nu)\) **is an admissible pair.**

2.5. Periodic kneading invariants.

**Corollary 2.9.** Two infinite words \(\omega, \nu \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}\) are kneading invariants for an intermediate \(\beta\)-shift of finite type if and only if \((\omega, \nu)\) is a periodically admissible pair.

The following lemma, which is interesting in its own right and which we will require in our proof of Theorem 1.1, shows that Definition 2.6 (4) is violated when Definition 2.6 (1), (2) and (3) hold but where \(\omega\) and \(\nu\) are not kneading invariants of an intermediate \(\beta\)-shift.
Lemma 2.10 ([8, Lemma 6]). Let \( \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots), v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots) \in [0,1]^\mathbb{N} \) be such that Definition 2.6 (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied. Let \( \beta \) denote the exponential of the value given in Definition 2.6 (3) and let

\[
(2.1) \quad \alpha = 1 - \beta + \beta(\beta - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\beta^k},
\]

namely the solution of \( \pi_{\beta,\alpha}(\omega) = p \), with \( p = (1 - \alpha)/\beta \). If \( \omega \neq \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(p) \) or \( v \neq \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(p) \), then there exist \( u, v \in [0,1]^\mathbb{N} \) such that \( \omega, v \in [u, v]^\mathbb{N} \) and \( (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \) satisfies Definition 2.6 (1), (2) and (3) with \( \omega \neq (\bar{u}) \) or \( v \neq (\bar{v}) \). Moreover, \( (\bar{u}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(p) \) and \( (\bar{v}) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(p) \).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Fix \( (\beta, \alpha) \in \Delta \) with \( \alpha \notin [0,2 - \beta] \). For ease of notation let \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(p_{\beta,\alpha}) \) and \( \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(p_{\beta,\alpha}) \). Assume that at least one of \( \omega \) and \( v \) is not periodic. Our aim is to construct a pair of periodically admissible words \((\mu, \eta)\), arbitrarily close to the pair \((\omega, v)\). Then, by Corollary 2.9 and Lemma 2.10, there exists a unique point \((b, a) \in \Delta \) such that \( \mu = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^-(p_{b,a}), \eta = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}^+(p_{b,a}), \Omega_{b,a} \) is a subshift of finite type. To complete the proof we show that the Euclidean distance between \((b, a)\) and \((\beta, \alpha)\) is arbitrarily small.

In what follows, we use that, by Theorem 2.5, for \( m \in \mathbb{N}, \) if \( \omega_{m+1} = 0 \) then \( \sigma^m(\omega) \leq \omega \), and if \( \gamma_1 = 1 \), then \( \sigma^m(\gamma) \leq \gamma \). Suppose \( \omega \) is not periodic, otherwise, set \( \omega' = \omega \) and move to the construction of \( \omega' \) in the following paragraph. By Remark 2.2, we may choose an integer \( n \geq 3 \) with \( \omega_n = 0 \). Let \( j \geq 1 \) be minimal such that \( (\omega_{j+1}, \ldots, \omega_n) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-j}) \); note this equation holds for \( j = n - 1 \). Since \( \omega \) is not periodic, we have \( \sigma^j(\omega) < \omega \). Therefore, there exists a minimal integer \( k \geq n \) with \( \omega_{k+1} = 0 \) and \( \omega_{k+j} = 1 \), in which case,

\[
(\omega_{j+1}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}, \omega_n, \ldots, \omega_{k}) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-j-1}, \omega_{n-j}, \ldots, \omega_{k-j}).
\]

Let \( \omega' = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k) \). We claim that \( \omega', v \) is a pair of infinite words satisfying Definition 2.6 (1) and (2). Since \( \sigma^i(\omega')_{k+1} = \omega'_{k+1} = \sigma^i(\omega'_k)_{k+1} \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \omega_{k+1} = 0 \), we have \( \omega \leq \omega' \). As \( \omega \neq \omega' \), it follows that \( \omega < \omega' \). Combining this with the fact that \( \omega_{k+1} = \omega'_{k+1} \), we conclude that \( \sigma^m(\omega) < \sigma^m(\omega') \), for all \( m \in [0, \ldots, k + 1] \). By the admissibility of \((\omega, v)\), we have \( v \in \Omega^+(\omega, v) \) and, hence, \( v \in \Omega^+(\omega', v) \). For proving \( \omega' \in \Omega^-(\omega', v) \), by the periodicity of \( \omega' \) and the definition of \( \Omega^-(\omega', v) \), it is sufficient to check that \( \sigma(v) \leq \sigma^m(\omega') \leq \omega' \) or \( v < \sigma^m(\omega') \leq \sigma(\omega') \) for all \( m \in [0, \ldots, k - 1] \). The inequalities \( \sigma(v) \leq \sigma^m(\omega') \) for \( m = 0 \) and \( v < \sigma^m(\omega') \) for \( m = 1 \) follow from \( \omega \in \Omega^-(\omega, v) \) and \( \sigma^m(\omega) < \sigma^m(\omega') \). To conclude the proof of the claim, it remains to show that \( \sigma^m(\omega') \leq \omega' \) for all \( m \in [0, \ldots, k - 1] \) with \( \omega_{m+1} = 0 \), as this implies that \( \sigma^m(\omega') \leq \sigma(\omega') \) when \( m = 1 \). If \( 1 \leq m \leq j \), then \( \sigma^m(\omega) \leq \omega \) and the minimality of \( j \) imply that \( (\omega_{m+1}, \ldots, \omega_n) = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-m}) \), hence \( \sigma^m(\omega') < \omega' \). If \( m \geq j \), then we have

\[
(\omega_{m+1}, \ldots, \omega_k, \omega_{j}) < (\omega_{m+1}, \ldots, \omega_k, 1)
\]

\[
= (\omega_{m-j+1}, \ldots, \omega_{k-j}, \omega_{k-j+1})
\]

\[
\leq (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{k-m}, \omega_{k+m+1})
\]

when \( \omega_{m+1} = 0 \), which yields \( \sigma^m(\omega') < \omega' \). Therefore, we have \( \omega' \in \Omega^-(\omega', v) \). Since \( n \) can be chosen arbitrarily large, we have that \( \omega' \) can be made to be arbitrarily close to \( \omega \) with respect to the metric \( \mathcal{D} \).

Analogous to the construction of \( \omega' \), one can build a periodic word \( v' \leq v \) arbitrarily close to \( v \) with respect to the metric \( \mathcal{D} \), such that \( (\omega', v') \) is a pair of infinite words satisfying...
Definition 2.6 (1) and (2). By construction we have that \( \Omega_{\beta,\alpha} \subset \Omega(\omega', \nu') \) and so
\[
\ln(b) := \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-1} \ln(|\Omega(\omega', \nu')|_k) \geq \ln(\beta) > 0.
\]
Therefore, Definition 2.6 (3) is satisfied. By Remark 2.7, if \( \omega'\big|_n = \omega\big|_n \) and \( \nu'\big|_n = \nu\big|_n \), then \( |\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}|_n = |\Omega(\omega', \nu')|_n \) and thus \( \ln(b) \leq n^{-1} \ln(|\Omega_{\beta,\alpha}|_n) \). Hence, for a given \( \epsilon > 0 \), we can find an \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( 0 \leq b - \beta < \epsilon \), for all \( n \geq N \).

Let \( a \) be as in (2.1), that is the solution of \( \pi_{\beta,\alpha}(\omega') = q \) with \( q = (1-a)/b \). For \( 0 \leq b - \beta < \epsilon \), we observe the following chain of inequalities.

\[
|a - a'| = 1 - \beta + \beta(\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i b^{-i} - 1 + b - b(b - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i' b^{-i} \\
\leq b - \beta + \beta(\beta - 1) \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \omega_i b^{-i} + b(b - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i b^{-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\beta(\beta - 1) b^{-i} - b(b - 1) b^{-i}) \\
\leq 2(b - \beta) + \beta^{\alpha+1} + b^{-\alpha+1} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b^{-i} - b^{-i}) \\
\leq 2 \left( b - \beta + \beta^{\alpha+1} + \frac{1}{\beta - 1} - \frac{1}{b - 1} + \frac{1}{b^{\alpha}(b - 1)} \right) \\
\leq 2 \left( \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{(b - 1)^2} + \frac{\beta^2 - \beta + 1}{b^\alpha(b - 1)} \right) \\
\leq \frac{4\epsilon}{(\beta - 1)^2} + \frac{6}{b^\alpha(\beta - 1)}
\]

This implies, given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) so that \( \omega' \) and \( \nu' \) are periodic, \( |b - \beta| < \epsilon \) and \( |a - a'| < \epsilon \). If \( \omega' = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}(q) \) and \( \nu' = \tau_{\beta,\alpha}(q) \), then the intermediate \( \beta \)-shift \( \Omega_{\beta,\alpha} \) is a subshift of finite type. Otherwise, an application of Lemma 2.10 yields the required result.
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