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Millimeter Wave Slotted Waveguide Array with Unequal Beam-widths and Low Sidelobe Levels for Vehicle Radars and Communications

Liting Qin, Yunlong Lu, Qingchun You, Student Member, IEEE, Yi Wang, Senior Member, IEEE, Jifu Huang and Peter Gardner, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—A low profile, high gain 32×64-slot array antenna with unequal beam-width in the E- and H-planes and low sidelobe is proposed for vehicular applications in the 71–81 GHz band. The antenna is composed of 512 (16×32) 2×2-slot subarrays arranged with equal spacing and excited by a non-uniform corporate-feed-network. A 2-D amplitude-tapering (complying with Taylor distribution) is used to achieve the low sidelobe level (SLL). Combined with the different dimensions of the array, the sidelobes and the beam-widths in the E- and H-plane are independently controlled. A prototype slotted waveguide (SW) array is fabricated to verify the array properties. Measurements show that the matching of the array is better than -14 dB over the entire bandwidth. The first SLL is lower than -18.9 dB/24 dB with the maximum 3-dB beam-width of 2.3°/1.3° in the E- and H-plane, respectively. The peak gain of over 39.4 dBi and the cross-polarization of below -36.2 dB are also achieved. The demonstrated features of high-gain, low SLL, and independent controllability of the beam in the E- and H-plane are most desirable for vehicular communications and radars.

Keywords—Slotted waveguide array, Low sidelobe, High gain, 2-D amplitude-tapering.

I. INTRODUCTION

Radars and communication systems in automobiles, unmanned aerial vehicles have undergone rapid development in recent years [1]. 77 GHz radars have become the main stream technology [2]. Different field of views are required for different detection scenarios. For the long range automotive radar, the expected detection range is around 200 m, and the beam-widths in the azimuth / elevation plane are normally suppressed into no more than ±2°/±5°, which enhances the radar resolution [1]. In unmanned aerial vehicle applications, the beam-widths in azimuth/elevation plane are also usually unequal and even narrower. Additionally, no matter what kind of radar application, it is often highly desirable to have a low side-lobe-level (SLL) to minimize the effect of clutter and interference [3], [4]. So, it is crucially important to be able to control the beams in both the azimuth and elevation planes.

Apart from vehicle radars, millimeter wave (MMW) is also considered one of the key enabling technologies for vehicular communications [5]-[8]. The E-band (71-76 GHz and 81-86 GHz), next to the popular radar band of 76-81 GHz, has attracted a lot of attention for communication systems [9], [10]. In this work, we choose the frequency range of 71-81 GHz to cover the interest from both radars and E-band communications. We aim to demonstrate the capability of an antenna platform that addresses the design challenges of high gain, low sidelobe, low profile, and independently controllable beam-width in the E- and H-plane.

Weighting the power applied to the array elements (the so-called amplitude tapering) is one major approach used to reduce sidelobes [11]. The weighting normally takes one of three distributions: Binomial, Chebyshev and Taylor [12]-[15]. Binomial distribution could eliminate all sidelobes but increases the beam-width. Chebyshev distribution offers the narrowest beam width under the same array sizes and SLL. However, the physical implementation of this distribution is very challenging as it requires large variation of the power amplitudes and therefore power dividers with large power ratios. Taylor distribution renders less amplitude variation and the feed network is easier to implement. It is still very effective in suppressing the sidelobes. Taylor distribution has been the choice of many previous works. Most of them were based on microstrip feed networks [16]-[19]. At MMW band, however, the losses of microstrip feed are relatively high for a high gain antenna. Since waveguide has much lower losses, waveguide-based arrays have been a top choice for high performance MMW antennas. Among them are slotted waveguide (SW) arrays [20], [21] and continuous transverse stub (CTS) arrays [22]. The realization of amplitude tapering in waveguide structures is a more involved design challenge and very few works have been reported in the literature. One waveguide-based Taylor distribution feed network that the authors are aware of is a 15 GHz 16x16 SW array antenna, with a SLL of -22 dB [23]. It was a square array with the same beam characteristics in the E- and H-plane. Other techniques have also been demonstrated to reduce the SLL, for instance, by devising a 45° linearly polarized array antenna [24]. This reduces the sidelobes without affecting the gain and beam-width. Nevertheless, it still has large sidelobes in the radiation
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patterns, although they are not at the same planes of main polarization.

In this paper, based on amplitude-tapering of a Taylor distribution, a low profile, high gain 32×64-slot array antenna with unequal beam width in the E- and H-plane and low sidelobes is presented. A set of equal-phase and unequal power dividers based on single-ridge waveguides are employed to form the 2-D amplitude-tapering feed network. Combined with different dimensions of the array along the x- and y-axis, radiation patterns of low sidelobes and unequal beam-width in the E- and H-plane are achieved. To validate the design concept, a prototype operating at 71-81 GHz is fabricated and measured. All the simulations are carried out with HFSS.

II. SW ARRAY DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

A. Configuration of the array

The configuration of the 32×64-slot antenna array is shown in Fig. 1. It contains five layers from top to bottom, namely M1, M2, M3, M4 and M5. The coupling slot layer (M4), backed cavity layer (M3) and radiating slots layers (M1, M2) constitute the radiation section, whereas the feed network is in layer M5. The subarray (based on the design in [25]) is a 2×2-slot and works as the basic radiation unit. 16×32 subarrays are arranged in the x- and y-directions with equal spacing in layer M1 and M2. It should be noted that, different from [23] and [25], two stacked layers (M1 and M2) of different slot sizes are used to increase the operating bandwidth. A 16×32 2-D power divider network is in the bottom layer (M5). The operation mechanism is as follows: the power is coupled from the feed network (M5) to the back cavities (M3) through the coupling slots (M4). Each backed cavity excites one 2×2 subarray in M2 which radiates from M1 into free space.

As the number of subarray in the x-direction is twice the number in the y-direction, different beam-widths are achieved in the E- and H-plane. Combined with the 2-D Taylor synthesis, the radiation performance (3-dB beam-width and SLL) in the E- and H-plane can be controlled independently, which is an attractive feature for vehicular communications and radars.

B. 2×2-slot subarray

The detailed view of one 2×2-slot subarray is shown in Fig. 2(a). The different widths ($w_1$ and $w_2$) of the radiating slots in M1 and M2 work as a two-section impedance transformer, which is designed to increase the bandwidth [26]. The spacing ($s$) between the slots and the length of radiation slot ($l_s$) are less than one free-space wavelength so as to minimize the grating lobes in both E- and H-plane. As shown in Fig. 2(c), two sets of perturbation stubs with the dimensions of ($w_s$, $l_s$) and ($w_s$, $l_s$) are employed in the backed cavity to suppress unwanted higher order modes. The coupling slot is designed to maximize the power coupled from the feed waveguide to the backed cavity. An impedance matching structure cascaded with the ridge-waveguide structures, as shown in Fig. 2(d), is used in the feed waveguide in layer M5.

C. Taylor synthesis

To achieve the Taylor distribution, the theoretical amplitude of the excitation at each slot is given by [27]

$$I_n(z_n) = 1 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{N} \hat{S}(m) \cos(mp)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1a)
An 2 facing the  AR 4 30 )
76 m 60 .
12 −  −  32
−60 82 56 24
An 2
turing to be 4 in this design.

where \( z_o \) is the location of each radiation slot, the subscript \( n \) is the index number of the radiation slot, \( p = (2 \pi / \lambda) \left[ n - (N + 1)/2 \right] \) and \( N \) is the total number of slots. \( S(m) \) represents the samples for the Taylor pattern, the constant \( A \) is related to the maximum allowed SLL of \( R_0 \) in that \( \cosh (\pi A) = R_0 \). \( \bar{N} \) is a constant chosen to be 4 in this design. \( \sigma \) is the scaling factor and given by

\[
\sigma = \frac{\bar{N}}{\sqrt{A^2 + (\bar{N} - 1)^2}} \tag{1c}
\]

The proposed array consists of 16x32 subarrays each of 2x2 slots. To suppress the sidelobes in both E- and H-planes, two independent groups of Taylor synthesis are used to achieve a SLL of -20 dB with 32 slots in the E-plane and a SLL of -25 dB with 64 slots in the H-plane. For the H-plane, each row has 32 waveguide excitation ports in the feed network, and the am-

Fig. 4. Calculated normalized amplitude distributions for Taylor synthesis. (a) E-plane; (b) H-plane.

\[
S(m) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{[(\bar{N}-1)^2]}{(N-1+m)[(N-1-m)^2]} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{m^2}{\sigma^2 A^2 + (n-1/2)^2} \right), & m \leq \bar{N} - 1 \tag{1b} \\
0, & m \geq \bar{N} 
\end{cases}
\]

Fig. 5. Simulated reflection, cross polarization and radiation patterns of one 2x2 subarray at 77GHz.
The synthesized radiation patterns from the amplitude distribution given in Fig. 4 are shown in Fig. 6(a). It can be seen that the 3-dB beam-weights are 2° and 1.1° in the E- and H-plane, while the SLLs are below -22.8 dB and -26.6 dB. The beam-weights and SLLs in the E- and H-plane are independently controlled by the 2-D Taylor-distribution and the different numbers of subarrays along y- and x-directions. The 3-dB beam-width is ultra-narrow in this design. A wider beam-width can be achieved by reducing the numbers of subarray, while the SLLs can be optimized by using the same method of Taylor synthesis. Fig. 6(b) shows the synthesized radiation patterns of a smaller 4×8 subarray antenna. The 3-dB beam-weights are 8.6° and 4.8° in the E- and H-plane, and the SLLs remain below

-21.1 dB and -25 dB. At about θ=±35° in the H-plane, the sidelobes are noticeably raised, which does not occur in the E-plane. This is a result of the quasi-Taylor amplitude distribution in the H-plane [23].

D. Feed network

Now we will discuss how the 2-D Taylor amplitude tapering is implemented by the feed network. A full-corporate single-ridge waveguide network is used, as shown in Fig. 7. Multiple H-plane T-junction power dividers with different power ratios are used to construct the Taylor amplitude distribution with identical phases. Due to its symmetry, the feed network can be divided into four repeated quarters. From the targeted Taylor synthesis in Fig. 4, the required power ratios of all the H-plane power dividers can be derived, as given in Fig. 8. Those ratios that are very close to each other have been
to simplify the implementation. It is worth reiterating that the last stage of the Taylor distribution for the E-plane is realized in the subarrays, not in the feed network.

The feed network requires nine different power ratios: 0.1, 0.35, 0.85, 1.1, 1.45, 2.05, 3.8, 4.1 and 5.5 dB. These are realized by single-ridge H-plane T-junctions. One is shown in Fig. 9(a). A capacitive metal plate is embedded in each of the H-plane T-junction to achieve a wideband impedance matching. The power division ratio can be controlled by the amount of the extrusion of the ridge at one of the output branches, rid_l. The variation of the power ratio with rid_l is shown in Fig. 10(a) together with corresponding phase imbalance between the outputs. Fig. 10(b) shows the simulated S-parameters of a 4.1 dB unequal power divider. Over the entire operating bandwidth, the power ratio is kept within 4.1±0.09 dB. The reflection coefficient is better than -33 dB.

From Fig. 10(a), it can also be seen that the phase imbalance increases with increasing power ratios. This is mainly due to the unequal length of the metal ridges at the output ports. For the 5.5 dB power divider, the phase imbalance increases to -7.2° at the center frequency with a variation of ±5°. To reduce this phase imbalance, a phase compensation technique is applied. As shown in Fig. 9(b), this is achieved by staggering the output ports by a certain distance, dis. Fig. 11(a) demonstrated the effects of dis on the output phase imbalance. Fig. 11(b) shows the simulated results of the 5.5 dB unequal power divider after phase compensation. With dis=0.3 mm, the phase imbalance is reduced from 7.2°±5° to 0°±2.7°, while the transmission and reflection coefficients remain good.

The simulation results of the desired nine power ratios are shown in Fig. 12. Over the frequency range of 71-81 GHz, the maximum amplitude variation is ±0.09 dB occurring at the power ratios of 3.8 dB and 4.1 dB.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The five layers of the antenna are fabricated separately out of aluminum by CNC machining and assembled by means of screws. The radius of the rounded corners, as defined by the milling tool, is 0.2 mm. During assembly, a set of screws are placed around the antenna to suppress wave leakage. Oxidation
may affect the performance of the antenna over time. In this work, the antenna is only for verification. Electroplating could be used to protect the antenna from oxidation. The overall size of the prototype is 220 mm × 110 mm × 11 mm. The photograph of the fabricated array is shown in Fig. 13. The radiation performances are measured using an MVG (Microwave Vision Group) compact-range antenna test systems. The nominal dynamic range of the system is 80 dB (over 70 dB in the E-band) and the distance between the antenna under test and the reflector is 2072 mm.

### A. Reflection coefficient

The reflection coefficient is measured using AV3672B vector network analyzer, is shown in Fig. 14. A reasonable agreement is obtained on the return loss level between the simulations and the measurements. The reflection coefficient is better than -14 dB between 71 GHz and 81 GHz (a fractional bandwidth of 13%). The large ripple in the simulated reflection coefficient is due to the multiple reflections in the feeding network. In the measurement, the ripple is less pronounced and this is believed to be due to the damping effect by the higher-than-expected losses in the waveguide.

![Fig. 13. Photo of the fabricated antenna array.](image1)

![Fig. 14. Measured S11 of the proposed array in comparison with simulation.](image2)

The reflection coefficient is measured using the network analyzer, the antenna under test and the reflector is 2072 mm. (over 70 dB in the E-band) and the distance between the antenna under test and the reflector is 2072 mm.

### B. Radiation performances

The simulated and measured normalized radiation patterns in the E- and H-planes at 71 GHz, 77 GHz and 81 GHz are presented in Fig. 15. A very good agreement is obtained between the simulated and measured results, where the patterns almost superimpose. The slight difference in the SLLs can be attributed to fabrication tolerance of the amplitude-tapering feed-network and assembly errors. The E- and H-plane patterns are controlled by different non-uniform aperture distributions imposed on the radiating slots. Therefore, at the same frequency, the SLLs and the 3-dB beam-width of the E- and H-plane are different. The simulated and measured first SLLs and the 3-dB beam-widths are detailed in Table II. Over the operating bandwidth, the measured first SLLs are below -18.9 dB / -24 dB in the E- and H-plane, respectively. The maximum beam width is 2.3° / 1.3°. At 77 GHz, the 3-dB beam-width is 2.1° / 1.1°. As expected, two raised side lobes appear at about θ=±37° because of the quasi-Taylor amplitude distribution for the H-plane, as shown in Fig 15. Still these are lower than the first side lobes.

![Fig. 16. Frequency dependence of gain, efficiency, and cross-polarization discrimination (XPD).](image3)

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>First SLL (dB)</th>
<th>3-dB Beam Width (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E-plane</td>
<td>H-plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-19.5/-20.2</td>
<td>-25/-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-19.1/-21.2</td>
<td>-29.7/-24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-18.9/-23.2</td>
<td>-24/-24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 16. Frequency dependence of gain, efficiency, and cross-polarization discrimination (XPD).**

**Fig. 17. Measured performance of the proposed antenna in comparison with simulations**

**TABLE II**

**Measured performance of the proposed antenna in comparison with simulations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>First SLL (dB)</th>
<th>3-dB Beam Width (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E-plane</td>
<td>H-plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-19.5/-20.2</td>
<td>-25/-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-19.1/-21.2</td>
<td>-29.7/-24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-18.9/-23.2</td>
<td>-24/-24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Comparison

The performance of this prototype array has been compared with several other published amplitude-tapering arrays in Table III. This work is the first reported array with 2D Taylor distribution. It achieved the peak gain of 39.4 dBi with 512 subarrays and a competitively low side lobe level. The achieved cross-polarization discrimination is also very low. With the 2-D Taylor synthesis and different array dimensions along the x and y direction, the radiation performances in the E- and H-plane can be independently controlled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Center frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>No. elements</th>
<th>Peak gain (dBi)</th>
<th>SLL (dB)</th>
<th>3-dB beam-width (degree)</th>
<th>Cross-polarization discrimination (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Taylor synthesis</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>E-plane: -18.1 H-plane: -18</td>
<td>E-plane:4.8 H-plane:4.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Taylor synthesis</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>E-plane: -22 H-plane: -22</td>
<td>E-plane:5.5 H-plane:5.3</td>
<td>-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Taylor synthesis both in E- and H-plane</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>E-plane: -18.9 H-plane: -24</td>
<td>E-plane:2.3 H-plane:1.3</td>
<td>-36.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Conclusion

This paper presents a high gain 32x64-element SW array with unequal beam-width in the E- and H-planes and very low SLLs over the band of 71-81 GHz. A 2-D Taylor synthesis has been implemented in the transverse and longitudinal directions to independently control the SLLs and 3-dB beam-widths. This feature is important for vehicular communications and radar applications. For demonstration purpose, an experimental prototype has been designed, fabricated and measured. The experimental results show the proposed array has a 3-dB beam-width of 2.1°/1.1° and SLLs of -19.1 dB/-29.7 dB in the E- and H-plane at 77 GHz. The peak gain is more than 39.4 dBi.
and the cross-polarization discrimination is better than -36.2 dB. With these unique features and high performance, the demonstrated antenna could find applications in vehicular radars as well as multi-Gbps wireless communication systems.
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