The role of skeletal muscle glycogen breakdown for regulation of insulin sensitivity by exercise

Jensen, Jørgen; Lai, Yu Chiang; Rustad, Per Inge; Kolnes, Anders Jensen

DOI:
10.3389/fphys.2011.00112

License:
Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):
https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2011.00112

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:
Checked 24/5/18.

General rights
Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
• Users may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
• Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy
While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 10. Jan. 2020
The role of skeletal muscle glycogen breakdown for regulation of insulin sensitivity by exercise
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Glycogen is the storage form of carbohydrates in mammals. In humans the majority of glycogen is stored in skeletal muscles (~500 g) and the liver (~100 g). Food is supplied in larger meals, but the blood glucose concentration has to be kept within narrow limits to survive and stay healthy. Therefore, the body has to cope with periods of excess carbohydrates and periods without supplementation. Healthy persons remove blood glucose rapidly when glucose is in excess, but insulin-stimulated glucose disposal is reduced in insulin resistant and type 2 diabetic subjects. During a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, 70–90% of glucose disposal will be stored as muscle glycogen in healthy subjects. The glycogen stores in skeletal muscles are limited because an efficient feedback-mediated inhibition of glycogen synthase prevents accumulation. De novo lipid synthesis can contribute to glucose disposal when glycogen stores are filled. Exercise physiologists normally consider glycogen’s main function as energy substrate. Glycogen is the main energy substrate during exercise intensity above 70% of maximal oxygen uptake (\(V\text{O}_2\text{max}\)) and fatigue develops when the glycogen stores are depleted in the active muscles. After exercise, the rate of glycogen synthesis is increased to replete glycogen stores, and blood glucose is the substrate. Indeed insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis is elevated after exercise, which, from an evolutionary point of view, will favor glycogen repletion and preparation for new “fight or flight” events. In the modern society, the reduced glycogen stores in skeletal muscles after exercise allows carbohydrates to be stored as muscle glycogen and prevents that glucose is channeled to de novo lipid synthesis, which over time will causes ectopic fat accumulation and insulin resistance. The reduction of skeletal muscle glycogen after exercise allows a healthy storage of carbohydrates after meals and prevents development of type 2 diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

Exercise is considered a cornerstone in prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes and several mechanisms may contribute to the benefits of exercise. Acutely, exercise improves insulin sensitivity in both healthy subjects and insulin resistant people (Heath et al., 1983; Mikines et al., 1988). The improved insulin sensitivity after a single bout of exercise is short-lived but repeated bouts of endurance training improve insulin sensitivity beyond the acute effect of the last training session, and insulin sensitivity correlates with oxidative capacity in skeletal muscles (Koivisto et al., 1986; Bruce et al., 2003). Importantly, the risk for development of type 2 diabetes is reduced by yearlong training (Knowler et al., 2002).

Skeletal muscles are the tissue that transforms chemical energy to mechanical work and therefore uses the majority of energy during exercise; glycogen is the main substrate during high intensity exercise (Hermansen et al., 1967; Romijn et al., 1993). Skeletal muscles are, however, also the major tissue where insulin stimulates glucose uptake to remove glucose from the blood, and the glucose taken up is incorporated into glycogen (DeFronzo et al., 1981b; Shulman et al., 1990). The logic link between glycogen content and insulin sensitivity is also supported experimentally (Jensen et al., 1997). The flux by which glucose is removed from the blood into skeletal muscle glycogen is the major determinant of insulin sensitivity (Højlund and Beck-Nielsen, 2006). Insulin stimulates glucose uptake via translocation of GLUT4 (Etgen et al., 1996; Larance et al., 2008). Endurance training increases expression of GLUT4 and other proteins involved in insulin signaling and glucose metabolism (Houmard et al., 1993), but the mechanism determining insulin sensitivity remains poorly understood. Nevertheless, the major defect in insulin resistant people is that the non-oxidative glucose disposal (glycogen synthesis) is reduced (Højlund and Beck-Nielsen, 2006). Several reviews have discussed the effect of endurance training on insulin sensitivity from a molecular point of view (Wojtaszewski et al., 2002; Maarbjer et al., 2011).
Exercise physiologists have performed numerous studies on glycogen utilization during exercise and studied the effects of nutritional supply for optimal glycogen repletion after exercise (Ivy, 2001; Betts and Williams, 2010). Rapid glycogen repletion requires that high rates of blood glucose must be taken up by skeletal muscles, and insulin sensitivity is high after exercise. Diabetes is defined by elevated blood glucose and a major defect is that insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis is impaired in skeletal muscle (Shulman et al., 1990). A common point at issue for both diabetologists and exercise physiologists is: How can blood glucose rapidly be converted into skeletal muscle glycogen? In the present review we have taken the view of exercise physiologists to discuss the role of skeletal muscle glycogen in regulation of insulin sensitivity.

**GLYCOGEN**

Glycogen is the molecular form of carbohydrates stored in humans and other mammals. A glycogen particle in skeletal muscles can contain as much as 50,000 glucose moieties linked with α(1 → 4) bonds and branched by α(1 → 6) bonds (Meléndez et al., 1999). In humans, ~80% of the glycogen is stored in skeletal muscles, simply because skeletal muscles account for ~40–50% of body weight in healthy young men and the glycogen concentration is 80–150 mmol kg⁻¹ (Ivy et al., 1988; Hawley et al., 1997; Jensen et al., 2011). The liver has a higher glycogen concentration, but as the liver is much smaller (~1.5 kg) and the total amount of liver glycogen is ~100 g (Taylor et al., 1996). Other tissue, like the heart and brain contains minor glycogen stores with important physiological function.

A main function of glycogen is to maintain a physiological blood glucose concentration, but only liver glycogen directly contributes to release of glucose into the blood. Skeletal muscles are unable to release glucose (because muscles lack glucose 6-phosphatase) and muscles glycogen is mainly a local energy substrate for exercise, rather than an energy source to maintain blood glucose concentration during fasting. Indeed, muscle glycogen can be broken down to lactate, which can be transported to the liver and via gluconeogenesis in the liver contribute to maintenance of high blood glucose concentration, but only liver glycogen directly contributes to release of glucose into the blood. Therefore, muscle glycogen may have been important for survival during acute emergencies as substrate for “fight or flight” reactions, whereas accumulated fat has its importance for survival during starvation.

The glycogen content increases slightly by acute intake of large amount of carbohydrates (Hawley et al., 1997). However, an acute bout of glycogen depleting exercise can double glycogen content in skeletal muscles if high amount of carbohydrates are ingested for 3 days (Bergström and Hultman, 1966); this phenomenon is called super compensation. The glycogen content is higher in endurance trained subjects compared to untrained subjects (Hickner et al., 1997), and glycogen content increases in muscles after endurance training (Burgomaster et al., 2005). In contrast, prolonged intake of high amount of carbohydrates does not increase glycogen content in skeletal muscles, and the excess carbohydrate ingested is converted to lipid (Acheson et al., 1988; Jensen, 2009). Therefore, the glycogen content in skeletal muscles from obese and type 2 diabetes subjects is comparable to lean subjects or may even be reduced (Shulman et al., 1990; He and Kelley, 2004). Since exercise increases the glycogen storage capacity in skeletal muscles, it is likely that inactivity will reduce storage capacity. Interestingly, the ratio between glycogen content and oxidative capacity was increased in muscles from obese subjects (He and Kelley, 2004).

Is this indicating increased glycogen content relative to the storage capacity in muscles from obese subjects? A reduced glycogen storage capacity in muscles from insulin resistant subjects will cause a stronger feedback inhibition of glycogen synthase at similar glycogen content and deteriorate glucose regulation, and the glycogen content relative to glycogen storage capacity may regulate insulin sensitivity. Indeed, it has been reported that hyperglycemia compensates for impaired insulin-mediated activation of glycogen synthase and glycogen storage in type 2 diabetic subjects (Kelley and Mandarino, 1990; Vaag et al., 1992; Mevorach et al., 1998), but these data also show a defect in regulation of glycogen storage as a higher glucose concentration is required to uphold glycogen synthesis. Such forced glycogen synthesis may increase metabolic stress.

In rats, glycogen content is increased the day after exercise when fed normal chow (Hespel and Richter, 1990; Kawanaka et al., 2000) and increased even more when rats have free access to chow and given drink containing glucose (Hespel and Richter, 1990; Derave et al., 2000). Glycogen content is also increased in epimyrone muscles when 24 h fasted rats are fed chow for another 24 h; the glycogen content is twice as high in epimyrone muscles from fasted–refed rats compared to rats with free access to chow continuously (Jensen et al., 1997, 2006; Lai et al., 2007). Both exercise and fasting decrease glycogen in the muscle where supercompensation occurs (Hespel and Richter, 1990; Jensen et al., 1997, 2006), but is not understood why glycogen content is increased after glycogen depletion.

**INSULIN-STIMULATED GLUCOSE UPTAKE**

Insulin regulates many biological functions in skeletal muscle and stimulation of skeletal muscle glucose uptake is one of the most important processes regulated by insulin (Taniguchi et al., 2006). Skeletal muscle has been reported to account for 70–75% of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal during hyperinsulinenic clamps and, therefore, represents a principle tissue mediating...
whole body glucose homeostasis (DeFronzo et al., 1981a; Shulman et al., 1990). After an oral glucose tolerance test, skeletal muscles also dispose a substantial part of the glucose. It has been reported that 30–40% of the glucose is immediately oxidized after an oral glucose tolerance test, and ~15% of the ingested glucose is stored as muscle glycogen (Kelley et al., 1988). However, after glycogen depleting exercise, more 40% of the ingested glucose can be stored as skeletal muscles glycogen of trained subjects (Hickner et al., 1997; Greive et al., 1999). Untrained subjects have lower capacity to store ingested carbohydrates after exercise than endurance trained subjects (Hickner et al., 1997; Greive et al., 1999), but exercise will still channel more of the ingested glucose into skeletal muscles glycogen and reduces metabolic stress in untrained subjects.

Insulin stimulates skeletal muscle glucose uptake through an increase of GLUT4 translocation from intracellular storage vesicles to the plasma membrane and transverse tubules (Etgen et al., 1996; Lauritzen et al., 2008). Insulin initiates its effect in skeletal muscle by binding to the insulin receptor, followed by receptor auto-phosphorylation. This induces a series of phosphorylation and protein–protein interactions mediating insulin signaling (Shepherd, 2005). In brief, insulin activates insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity that increases the tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate (IRS) proteins, which recruit and activates class 1A phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K; Figure 1). Activation of PI3K catalyzes the formation of phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PI(3)P), which recruits both PDK1 and PKB to the phospholipid, and subsequently allows PKB to be activated through phosphorylation by PDK1 at threonine 308 (Alessi and Cohen, 1998). The mammalian target of rapamycin complexed with Rictor (mTORC2) phosphorylates PKB at serine 473, and phosphorylation of both sites is required for full PKB activity (Alessi and Cohen, 1998; Sarbassov et al., 2005). Several lines of evidence have indicated the critical role of PKB phosphorylation and activation in the regulation of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (Larance et al., 2008). It is the PKBβ isofrom that controls whole body glucose homeostasis (Cleasby et al., 2007; Schultz et al., 2011).

PKB-mediated phosphorylation of AS160 and TBC1D1 has recently emerged to regulate insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation beyond PKB (Arias et al., 2007; Sakamoto and Holman, 2008). Insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of AS160 and TBC1D1 seems, however, not to be regulated by glycogen content as we did not find correlation between insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and AS160 phosphorylation using the phospho-Akt substrate (PAS) antibody (Lai et al., 2010b).


Glycogen synthase is also activated by glucose 6-phosphate and allosteric activation is necessary for normal rate of glycogen synthesis (Jensen and Lai, 2009; Bouskila et al., 2010). Glycogen synthase activity with high concentrations of glucose 6-phosphate (~8 mM) is independent of phosphorylation; activity with high glucose 6-phosphate concentration is called total activity. However, dephosphorylation of glycogen synthase increases affinity for glucose 6-phosphate and glycogen synthase activity with a physiological concentration of glucose 6-phosphate (e.g., 0.17 mM) describes activation of glycogen synthase (Jensen and Lai, 2009).

Recently, a mutated glycogen synthase was developed where phosphorylation-mediated regulation was normal, but allosteric activation by glucose 6-phosphate was abolished (Bouskila et al., 2010). Data achieved with the knockin mice expressing a GS without glucose 6-phosphate activation provided seminal information about regulation of glycogen synthase (Brady, 2010). Bouskila et al. (2010) showed that allosteric activation of GS is necessary for regulation of glycogen synthesis in skeletal muscles. Therefore, dephosphorylation of glycogen synthase increases glycogen synthesis mainly by increasing GS affinity for glucose 6-phosphate and allosteric activation. The GS knockin mice without allosteric activation by glucose 6-phosphate also answered the challenging question why AICAR (AMPK activator), which reduces GS fractional activity, increases glycogen content: AICAR stimulates glucose uptake and glucose 6-phosphate mediated GS activation stimulates glycogen synthesis (Hunter et al., 2011).
Impaired insulin-stimulated disposal is a common feature in people with type 2 diabetes, and causes inability to maintain blood glucose in a normal range. Insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis is reduced in skeletal muscle in insulin resistant people and prevents proper regulation of blood glucose (Shulman et al., 1990) and particularly non-oxidative glucose metabolism is reduced in insulin resistant subjects (Højlund and Beck-Nielsen, 2006). It is also a consistent finding that insulin signaling is reduced at several sites, like PI3K, PKB, GSK3, and GS, in muscle from insulin resistance (Kim et al., 2000; Morino et al., 2005; Højlund and Beck-Nielsen, 2006). Obesity is a strong risk factor for insulin resistance but accumulation of fat per se does not cause insulin resistance, as mice depleted for adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) accumulates fat in muscles and heart, but do not develop insulin resistance (Haemmerle et al., 2008). This finding suggest that lipid intermediates like long chain acyl-CoA, diacylglycerol, or ceramides causes insulin resistance (Franch et al., 2002; Samuel et al., 2010).

**EFFECT OF EXERCISE ON INSULIN SENSITIVITY AND INSULIN SIGNALING**

When insulin is administrated immediately after contraction or exercise, there is an additive increase in glucose uptake. This increased glucose uptake immediately after exercise occurs because the effect of muscle contraction on glucose uptake is still present; e.g., AMPK and glycogen synthase remains activated (Franch et al., 1999; Musi et al., 2001). Insulin-mediated activation of the proximal insulin signaling at the level of IRS1 and PI3K is unchanged after exercise (Wojtaszewski et al., 1999; Jessen et al., 2003). Most studies also report that insulin-stimulated PKB activity is unchanged after exercise (Wojtaszewski et al., 1999; Jessen et al., 2003), but some recent studies revealed that prior contractile activity induces higher insulin-stimulated PKB threonine 308 phosphorylation compared to rested muscles, whereas insulin-stimulated PKB phosphorylation at serine 473 was unchanged by exercise (Arias et al., 2007; Lai et al., 2009). Whether this increased site specific PKB phosphorylation contributes to training-enhanced insulin sensitivity is currently unknown. However, insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of GSK3, the critical regulator of GS activity, was not increased after muscle contraction (Lai et al., 2009, 2010b).

Exercise training enhances insulin sensitivity. It is well established that the enhanced insulin sensitivity after training is associated with adaptations in skeletal muscles such as increased expression of key proteins like GLUT4, hexokinase II, and GS, involved in insulin-stimulated glucose metabolism (Dela et al., 1993; Frosig et al., 2007). However, the signaling event that leads to enhanced insulin sensitivity after exercise training is not conclusive. It has been reported that short-term exercise training increased insulin-stimulated PI3K activity (Houmard et al., 1999), but other studies have reported that insulin-stimulated IRS1-associated PI3K activity is unchanged or reduced after training (Christ-Roberts et al., 2004; Frosig et al., 2007). While the training effect on PI3K activity is inconsistent, several studies have reported that enhanced insulin sensitivity was associated with increased PKB phosphorylation and expression (Christ-Roberts et al., 2004; Frosig et al., 2007; Wadley et al., 2007). Consistent with the increased PKB activation after training, it has also been demonstrated that insulin-mediated AS160 phosphorylation is enhanced after training (Frosig et al., 2007; Vind et al., 2011). However, exercise normalized insulin-mediated AS160 phosphorylation in skeletal muscle from type 2 diabetic subjects but without normalizing insulin-stimulated glucose disposal (Vind et al., 2011).

Exercise training also increases insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and GLUT4 translocation in muscles from obese Zucker rats (Ettgen et al., 1997). Skeletal muscles from the obese Zucker rats develop severe insulin resistance and impaired insulin signaling (Christ et al., 2002). However, although training increases insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle from obese Zucker rats, insulin-mediated activation of PI3K and PKB remained low after training (Christ et al., 2002). The signaling mechanisms which increase insulin-stimulated glucose uptake after training remain to be determined.

**GLYCOGEN UTILIZATION DURING EXERCISE**

Energy consumption at rest is low; oxygen uptake at rest is typically ~0.25 L O$_2$ and carbohydrate oxidation is ~0.1 g min$^{-1}$ (Hermansen et al., 1967; van Loon et al., 2001), and the rate of carbohydrate oxidation gradually decreases during fasting. At rest, the rate of carbohydrate oxidation depends mainly on the diet and exercise prior to measurements, and the glycogen utilization in skeletal muscles at rest is low or absent (van Loon et al., 2001).

The utilization of carbohydrate during exercise can easily be calculated from oxygen uptake(V$_O_2$) and respiratory exchange ratio (RER). Normally carbohydrate oxidation is calculated without taking protein oxidation in consideration; tables and formulae have been published for such calculations (Frayn, 1983; Peronnet and Massicotte, 1991). The relative (as well as absolute) rate of carbohydrate oxidation depends on exercise intensity and well-trained persons have a much higher capacity to metabolize glucose and fat compared to untrained persons. During exercise above 70% of the major carbohydrate source is muscle glycogen (Romijn et al., 1993; van Loon et al., 2001).

The physical form of humans is determined by their capacity to oxidize energy substrates (carbohydrates and fat), which is reflected in ability to utilize oxygen. Maximal oxygen uptake is used to describe oxidative capacity, and values of 40–50 ml kg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ are common in healthy young men. However, V$_{O_{2max}}$ can vary from below 15 ml kg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ in elderly people to more than 90 ml kg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ in some endurance athletes. Capacity for carbohydrate oxidation varies correspondingly. Although, well-trained people utilize more fat during exercise, there is huge variation in carbohydrate oxidation. Well-trained subjects can more than oxidize 3 g min$^{-1}$ (Hermansen et al., 1967) which results in oxidation of 180 g carbohydrate during 1 h of intense exercise.

During cycling, ~20 kg of muscle is active (Boushel et al., 2011) and cycling is the preferred type of activity in exercise physiology. Several studies have investigated glycogen breakdown during cycling and exercise intensity cannot be maintained when the active muscles are depleted for glycogen (Hermansen et al., 1967). Hermansen et al. (1967) reported a glycogen content of only 7 mmol kg ww$^{-1}$ at exhaustion after cycling at 75% of V$_{O_{2max}}$. Most studies find low glycogen content at exhaustion, but the degree of depletion depends of the exercise intensity, and the glycogen depletion is most pronounced when cycling to exhaustion at ~75% of...
VO_{2max} (Saltin and Karlsson, 1971). Most studies report glycogen concentration of 7–20 mmol kg ww\(^{-1}\) in m. vastus lateralis after cycling to exhaustion (Hermansen et al., 1967; Nieman et al., 1987; Hickner et al., 1997). Glycogen concentration in m. vastus lateralis is typically 80–150 mmol kg ww\(^{-1}\) in rested muscles (Coyle et al., 1986; Nieman et al., 1987; Hawley et al., 1997; van Loon et al., 2001).

During running, the energy consumption is \(\sim 1\) kcal kg\(^{-1}\) km\(^{-1}\) (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1992). This means that an 85-kg person will use about 850 kcal during a 10-km run: 850 kcal corresponds to \~\sim200 g carbohydrate or \~\sim90 g fat. During exercise, carbohydrates and fat are used simultaneously. During running, a larger muscle mass is used and less glycogen is broken down in the leg muscles and m. gastrocnemius is not depleted for glycogen at exhaustion (Madsen et al., 1990). Cross-country skiing mainly depletes glycogen stores in arms (Ortenblad et al., 2011).

The intensity of exercise, together with duration, determines the amount of energy used in the training session. High intensity intermittent training (HIT) is often performed as 30 s “all-out” cycling in experiments. The power that can be produced during 30 s “all-out” corresponds to \~\sim 250% of VO_{2max} (Gibala et al., 2006) and 3–5 min rest is typically allowed between bouts. The metabolism in skeletal muscles during the moderate intensity training and HIT differs dramatically. During HIT anaerobic provides the major part of energy, which is repaid with aerobic processes in the rest periods. During prolonged continuous exercise energy consumption will be rather stable, and skeletal muscle glycogen content will be reduced by 50–70% after 60 min cycling at 75% of VO_{2max} (Hermansen et al., 1967; Saltin and Karlsson, 1971).

During high intensity training the power output is high with substantial anaerobic energy turn over and high adrenaline concentration. Jacobs et al. (1982) reported that a single 30 s all-out cycling decreased glycogen content by 22% corresponding to \~\sim20 mmol kg ww\(^{-1}\). Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al. (1999) also found that a single 30 s all-out cycling in males and females decreased glycogen content by \~\sim25% in both type I and type II fibers. Furthermore, three bouts of 30 s all-out cycling with 20 min rest between sprints decreased glycogen content by more than 50% in type II fibers and nearly 50% in type I fibers in both females and males (Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 2002). These data show that high intensity training effectively decreases glycogen content in skeletal muscles.

**ADRENALINE-STIMULATED GLYCOGEN BREAKDOWN**

In 1928, Carl and Gerty Cori showed that adrenaline injection into young fasted rats increased glycogen content in the liver whereas carcass glycogen content decreased (Cori and Cori, 1928). It was concluded that “muscle glycogen is an indirect source of blood sugar” (Cori and Cori, 1928); in biochemistry books this metabolism of glucose is called the Cori cycle. The Cori cycle states that skeletal muscles glycogen is broken down during adrenaline stimulation and released as lactate, and converted to glucose in the liver.

It is well-understood that adrenaline stimulates glycogen breakdown via \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptors and phosphorylation (activation) of glycogen phosphorylase (Cohen, 2002). In details, \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptors activate adenyl cyclase via G-proteins which results in cAMP accumulation and activation of PKA. PKA-mediated phosphorylation of glycogen phosphorylase kinase increases phosphorylation of glycogen phosphorylase (Cohen, 2002). Phosphorylated glycogen phosphorylase is active and catalyzes breakdown of glycogen to glucose 1-phosphate. Skeletal muscles mainly express \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptors and adrenaline, rather than nora-drenaline, stimulates glycogen breakdown (Jensen et al., 1995). Adrenaline-mediated glycogen synthase inactivation also occurs via cAMP and PKA (Cohen, 2002; Jensen et al., 2007, 2008).

The amount of glycogen breakdown in resting muscles during adrenaline stimulation is significant but relatively low compared to glycogen breakdown during intense muscle contraction (Jensen et al., 1989; Jensen and Dahl, 1995; Aslesen and Jensen, 1998; Lai et al., 2007, 2009). In humans, it has also been shown that adrenaline infusion activates glycogen phosphorylase and stimulates glycogen breakdown (Chasiotis et al., 1983). Indeed, not all studies find that adrenaline infusion reduces glycogen content in humans (Laurent et al., 1998), but it has consistently been reported release of lactate from muscles during adrenaline infusion (Simonsen et al., 1992; Qvisth et al., 2007; Gjedsted et al., 2011). In humans, we infused adrenaline for 4 h and found increased plasma lactate concentration and lower glycogen content the following day compared to the day after saline infusion (Jensen et al., 2011). Despite that glycogen content was reduced the day after adrenaline infusion, we did not find elevated insulin-stimulated glucose disposal although there was a tendency (\(p = 0.14\)) for an increased insulin sensitivity the day after adrenaline infusion (Jensen et al., 2011).

The energy consumption during adrenaline stimulation is not increased similarly to the activation of glycogen phosphorylase because glycolytic intermediates accumulate and via feedback mechanisms inhibit glycogenolysis (Connett and Sahlin, 1996; Jensen, 2009). Adrenaline-stimulated glycogen breakdown in resting muscles is fiber type dependent and occurs only in muscles rich in fast-twitch fibers (Jensen et al., 1989; Jensen and Dahl, 1995). With histochemical analysis, it has been shown that adrenaline stimulates glycogen breakdown significantly in type II fibers (fast-twitch) but not in type I (slow-twitch) muscle fibers (Jensen and Dahl, 1995). In vivo, adrenaline acutely decreases glycogen content, and Nolte et al. (1994) reported a 60% reduction in glycogen content in epitrochlearis 2 h after subcutaneous injection of adrenaline in conjunction with increased insulin sensitivity. We have also found that glycogen content is reduced by \~\sim50% in fast-twitch epitrochlearis muscles 3 h after subcutaneously adrenaline injection but glycogen content did not decrease significantly in the slow-twitch soleus muscle. Interestingly, adrenaline injection increased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in epitrochlearis, but not in soleus muscles (Kolnes and Jensen, unpublished observation). Adrenaline infusion with osmotic mini pumps for 24 h also lowered glycogen content and increased insulin sensitivity in epitrochlearis muscles (Jensen et al., 2005). However, glycogen content was normal after 11 days of adrenaline infusion, but insulin sensitivity in epitrochlearis muscles remained elevated (Jensen et al., 2005).

The physiological role of adrenaline-stimulated glycogen breakdown in non-active muscles is debated. However, there is some evidence that adrenaline-mediated glycogen breakdown has...
physiological role. Taylor et al. (1993) reported that skeletal muscle glycogen content increased after a carbohydrate rich meal reaching a maximum after 4 h for thereafter to decrease. These data suggest that skeletal muscle glycogen is used in rested muscles and adrenaline-mediated glycogen breakdown may be the mechanism.

**GLYCOGEN CONTENT AND INSULIN SENSITIVITY**

The glycogen content contributes to regulation of glucose uptake during muscle contraction. In epitrochlearis muscles with normal glycogen content, contraction-stimulated glucose uptake correlated with glycogen breakdown when muscles were stimulated at different intensities (Aslesen et al., 2001). Varying the glycogen content prior to muscle contraction also showed that contraction-stimulated glucose uptake inversely correlates with glycogen content prior to muscle contraction (Lai et al., 2010b). The mechanistic link between low glycogen content and high rate of contraction-stimulated glucose uptake has not been determined, but contraction-mediated AMPK activation is higher in muscles with low glycogen content and may cause the higher glucose uptake (Lai et al., 2010b).

The glycogen content also influences insulin action. We have in several studies investigated the role of glycogen content on insulin- and contraction-stimulated glucose uptake, glycogen synthase activation, and activation of signaling proteins in skeletal muscles (Jensen et al., 1997, 2006; Lai et al., 2007, 2009, 2010a,b). In 1997, we demonstrated an inverse relationship between glycogen content and insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in the isolated rat skeletal muscle (Jensen et al., 1997). In that study, we observed that the ability of insulin to stimulate glucose uptake was markedly increased in muscle with low glycogen content, compared to muscle with normal and high glycogen content (Jensen et al., 1997).

When the glycogen content was increased acutely by fasting-refeeding, insulin signaling, and insulin-stimulated glucose uptake was unchanged (Jensen et al., 1997, 2006). However, high glycogen content decreased insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis and increased glycolytic flux (Jensen et al., 2006). Such changed glucose metabolism may over time cause insulin resistance (Jensen, 2009).

Several studies have documented similar relationship between glycogen content and metabolic regulation. It has been shown that GLUT4 protein content on cell surface was inversely correlated with glycogen content during insulin stimulation (Derave et al., 1999), suggesting that insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation is regulated by the level of muscle glycogen content. Furthermore, the enhanced insulin-stimulated glucose uptake observed after an acute bout of exercise can be preserved for more than 48 h by carbohydrate deprivation (Cartee et al., 1989), whereas the insulin-stimulated glucose uptake returned to normal when rats were fed chow, which is rich in carbohydrate (Young et al., 1983; Cartee et al., 1989).

Varying glycogen content acutely does not change the early steps of proximal insulin signaling, including insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity, insulin receptor tyrosine phosphorylation, and PI3K activity (Derave et al., 2000; Kawanaka et al., 2000; Jensen et al., 2006). Interestingly, insulin-stimulated PKB phosphorylation and activity was enhanced in muscle with low glycogen content (Derave et al., 2000; Kawanaka et al., 2000; Jensen et al., 2006; Lai et al., 2010b), which suggests that increased PKB activity may contribute the enhanced insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in muscles with low glycogen content. However, we were unable to find elevated AS160 phosphorylation in muscles with reduced glycogen content despite that PKB phosphorylation was increased (Lai et al., 2010b).

Exercise increases insulin sensitivity but insulin signaling is not consistently improved after exercise (see above). However, a consistent finding is that exercise decreases glycogen content (Bergström et al., 1967; Hermansen et al., 1967; Coyle et al., 1986). Glycogen breakdown has mostly been investigated after prolonged exercise, but high intensity also decreases glycogen content (Esbjørnsson-Liljedahl et al., 2002). Interestingly, 2 weeks of HIT training has been reported to increase insulin sensitivity (Richards et al., 2010) and exercise-mediated glycogen breakdown in skeletal muscles may contribute to the increased insulin sensitivity.

Exercise regulates insulin sensitivity via other mechanisms than reducing glycogen content. Training increases GLUT4 content in skeletal muscles, which contributes to improved insulin sensitivity (Houmard et al., 1993). A rather consistent finding is that glycogen content is higher in skeletal muscles from trained subjects and training increases glycogen content (Burgomaster et al., 2008). The glycogen stores are also refilled 24 h after exercise (Costill et al., 1981) whereas insulin sensitivity remains increased 24 h after a bout of exercise. Indeed, the fact that glycogen content is increased in skeletal muscles after training may result from increased insulin sensitivity. From an evolutionary point of view such increase in glycogen content may reflect an important adaptation: high skeletal muscles glycogen content improves the chance for survival in emergencies.

Decreasing glycogen content by exercise or fasting stimulates glycogen accumulation to levels above the glycogen content in well-fed conditions (Hespel and Richter, 1996; Jensen et al., 1997; Derave et al., 2000; Lai et al., 2007). It is possible to increase the glycogen content in skeletal muscles if they are exposed to high concentrations of insulin and glucose (Richter et al., 1988; Hoy et al., 2007). Why does glycogen content not increase when high amount of carbohydrates are ingested under normal physiological conditions? Why is the excess carbohydrate ingested converted to lipid without elevation of glycogen content in skeletal muscles?

The glycogen content in skeletal muscles will reflects a balance between available glucose and insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscles. Studies in rats have under controlled conditions shown that training increases expression of GLUT4, but insulin sensitivity is not elevated in skeletal muscles because glycogen content also increases (Kawanaka et al., 1999, 2000). The acute adaptation to training is, therefore, higher glycogen content but stable insulin sensitivity. From an evolutionary point of view, this indicates that high glycogen content is more important than high insulin sensitivity.

Prolonged training increases insulin sensitivity beyond the last training session, and insulin sensitivity correlates with oxidative capacity in skeletal muscles (Bruce et al., 2003). GLUT4 expression in skeletal muscles also regulates insulin sensitivity and correlates with rate of glycogen resynthesis (Hickner et al., 1997; Grewe et al., 1999), which supports that glycogen synthesis is important from
an evolutionary point of view. Interestingly, 24 h fasting GLUT4 content was elevated in fast-twitch epitrochlearis muscles where glycogen content was reduced (Jensen et al., 2006; Lai et al., 2007) but refeeding rats for 24 h increased glycogen content rather than increasing insulin sensitivity (Jensen et al., 1997, 2006). In soleus (slow-twitch muscle), glycogen content was minimally affected by 24 h fasting and GLUT4 was unchanged (Lai et al., 2009). These findings support that replenishment of glycogen store is superior to elevated insulin sensitivity.

Blood glucose concentration can be regulated in vivo even when skeletal muscle glycogen synthesis is impaired by short-term overeating (Acheson et al., 1988). Genetic findings support that skeletal muscle glycogen synthesis is not an absolute requirement for regulation of blood glucose concentration. Knockout mice lacking the skeletal muscle isoform of glycogen synthase have normal insulin sensitivity (Pederson et al., 2005). However, it is of note that 90% of the mice homozygotic knockout mice with deleted glycogen synthase die shortly after birth (Pederson et al., 2005). In human, a child without glycogen synthase has been described, and also this person had a normal glucose response to an oral glucose tolerance test (Kollberg et al., 2007).

Glycogen resynthesis is an important part of restitution after training and athletes optimize glycogen synthesis by intake of high amount of carbohydrates immediately after exercise (Ivy, 2001). The energy source for rapid glycogen synthesis is blood glucose and rapid extraction of glucose from the blood is required for high rate of glycogen synthesis. Diabetes subjects have impaired removal of blood glucose, because insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis is impaired (Shulman et al., 1990; Højlund and Beck-Nielsen, 2006). Exercise-stimulated glycogen breakdown will stimulate skeletal muscle glycogen synthesis and extraction of blood glucose and increase insulin sensitivity. Such increased insulin sensitivity may be secondary to replenishing glycogen stores in the context of survival. However, in the modern society, the increased insulin sensitivity after exercise may have its superior role to prevent development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes.

**MODEL FOR DEVELOPMENT OF INSULIN RESISTANCE**

Glycogen content has a strong feedback inhibition of glycogen synthase activity (Danforth, 1965) and the glycogen stores are limited. It is not possible to dispose glucose into glycogen when stores are filled and under such condition, glucose remains in the blood until it is utilized as energy or transformed into lipid. Skeletal muscles have a crucial role for regulation of whole body glucose metabolism, but acute elevation of glycogen does not impair insulin signaling and insulin-stimulated glucose transport may be normal (Jensen et al., 1997, 2006). However, insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis is decreased, and more glucose is metabolized via glycolysis and we suggest that such increased glucose metabolism in skeletal muscles is unhealthy.

Insulin signaling and insulin-stimulated glucose transport are impaired in muscles from rats and humans showing manifest insulin resistance or type 2 diabetes (Etgen et al., 1996; Ruzzin et al., 2005; Højlund and Beck-Nielsen, 2006; Petersen et al., 2007). However, such insulin resistance develops gradually. The mechanisms for development of insulin resistance in skeletal are not well-understood, but accumulation of lipid and lipid intermediates are likely contributors (Aas et al., 2005). Furthermore, energy surplus increases production of reactive oxidative spices (Hoehn et al., 2009; Hue and Taegtmeyer, 2009). The production of ROS is increased when high amount of glucose and fat is supplied the mitochondria simultaneously and forces electrons into the electron transport chain (Hue and Taegtmeyer, 2009). Preventing ROS production in skeletal muscles protects skeletal muscles form developing insulin resistance (Hoehn et al., 2009) and high glycogen content will favor metabolic stress in skeletal muscles. Insulin resistant muscles are characterized with numerous changes (e.g., expression of signaling proteins and activation of signaling pathways), and the mechanisms for initiation of insulin resistance may vary.

In skeletal muscles with low glycogen, glucose will be stored as muscles glycogen (Ivy, 1991; Hickner et al., 1997; Greiwe et al., 1999; Jensen et al., 2006). A major concern for athletes after strenuous training is to replete the glycogen stores is skeletal muscles preparing for new training sessions or competitions. Skeletal muscles are able to extract blood glucose effectively when high amount of carbohydrate are supplied (Ivy, 2001), and we suggest that glucose disposal into skeletal muscle glycogen is healthy storage of carbohydrates.

Indeed, healthy humans have large capacity to store glucose as lipid (Figure 2). Acheson et al. (1988) overfed people for 7 days in a calorimeter and found that healthy humans were able to convert 475 g carbohydrate to 150 g lipid per day. Importantly, de novo lipid synthesis occurred without development of hyperglycemia, but blood triglyceride content increased 10-fold (Acheson et al., 1988). Accumulation of fat per se does not cause insulin resistance (Haemmerle et al., 2006), but lipid intermediates like long chain acyl-CoA, ceramides, or diacylglycerol will impair insulin signaling and cause insulin resistance (Aas et al., 2005; Samuel et al., 2010).
Accumulation of lipid intermediates seems to occur secondary to increased glucose content and acute exercise reduces lipid synthesis during glucose loads (Figure 2). Glucose conversion to lipid was reduced in untrained young healthy males 15 h after cycling 1 h at 65% of 

\[ \text{VO}_{2 \text{peak}} \] (Mikines et al., 1989). Moreover, it has been reported that insulin resistant subjects stores a larger part of ingested glucose as lipid in skeletal muscles and liver compared to insulin sensitive subjects, whereas skeletal muscles glycogen synthesis is lower in insulin resistant subjects (Petersen et al., 2007).

A reduced capacity to store glucose as glycogen promotes de novo lipogenesis, which will deteriorate of insulin sensitivity due to lipid accumulation.

The increased insulin-mediated glycogen synthesis after exercise benefits survival in "fight or flight" situations from an evolutionary perspective. In the modern society, abundant food and inactivity are large challenges for humans, and metabolic diseases related to obesity deteriorate public health. Although the improved insulin sensitivity after glycogen depletion exercise may not have evolved to improve regulation of blood glucose, such effect of exercise may be the mechanism that protect humans from developing type 2 diabetes in the modern society. We suggest that dynamic glycogen metabolism is important for healthy regulation of blood glucose and prevention of insulin resistance.
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