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Recasting Human Vδ1 Lymphocytes in an Adaptive Role

Martin S. Davey,1,3 Carrie R. Willcox,1,3 Alfie T. Baker,1 Stuart Hunter,1,2 and Benjamin E. Willcox1,*

γδ T cells are unconventional lymphocytes commonly described as ‘innate-like’ in function, which can respond in both a T cell receptor (TCR)-independent and also major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-unrestricted TCR-dependent manner. While the relative importance of TCR recognition had remained unclear, recent studies revealed that human Vδ1 T cells display unexpected parallels with adaptive αβ T cells. Vδ1 T cells undergo profound and highly focussed clonal expansion from an initially diverse and private TCR repertoire, most likely in response to specific immune challenges. Concomitantly, they differentiate from a Vδ1 T cell naïve (T naïve) to a Vδ1 T cell effector (T effector) phenotype, marked by the downregulation of lymphoid homing receptors and upregulation of peripheral homing receptors and effector markers. This suggests that an adaptive paradigm applies to Vδ1 T cells, likely involving TCR-dependent but MHC-unrestricted responses to microbial and non-microbial challenges.

γδ T Cells and the Lymphoid Stress Surveillance Hypothesis

γδ T cells have coevolved alongside αβ T cells and B cells for at least the past ~450 million years of vertebrate evolution [1,2], each distinguished by related but distinct somatically recombined antigen receptors. However, our understanding of these different lineages is strikingly imbalanced. Critical to our understanding of αβ T cell and B cells is the classical adaptive paradigm (Box 1). Within this, seminal discoveries have established the core function of the αβ T cell lineage: to enable immune responses to target cells based on the presence on their surface of antigenic peptide in the context of MHC molecules; similarly, we understand that B cells, which underpin humoral immunity, enable the production of soluble antibodies capable of recognising a diverse range of antigenic targets in native, 3D conformation. In keeping with Burnet’s suggestion that ‘receptor occupation’ is key in driving the activation and clonal selection of adaptive lymphocytes [3], structural studies have confirmed both the involvement of clonotypically unique hypervariable loops in αβ TCR/peptide-MHC and B cell receptor (BCR)/antigen engagement, and the significance of such interactions in regulating multiple facets of their immunobiology (Box 1).

Originally identified serendipitously during studies defining αβ TCR genes [4,5] γδ T cells have by contrast remained somewhat mysterious both in terms of the immunological niche they occupy and the key reason(s) for their evolutionary preservation as a third lymphocyte lineage within vertebrate immunity. Moreover, although γδ T cells are implicated in a range of immune settings, including antimicrobial immunity, antitumour immunity, and tissue homeostasis (reviewed in [6]), the central paradigms that govern their development and antigen recognition functions are unresolved. Finally, despite remaining a focus of ongoing interest, the closely related issue of the importance and exact role of γδ TCR occupation in γδ T cell biology remains a central question.
One concept emerging from mouse studies of γδ T cells is that certain γδ T cell subsets, instead of functioning via conventional adaptive paradigms, may instead act as ‘innate-like’ lymphocytes. Notably, murine γδ T cells express distinct TCRγ and TCRδ combinations at different anatomical sites, and often display semi-invariant TCR repertoires, in some cases featuring highly restricted CDR3 regions [7–9]. They can be preprogrammed during thymic development to differentiate into discrete effector populations producing either interleukin-17 (IL-17) or interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) [10,11]. More recently, intra-epithelial lymphocyte populations have been shown to be selected in tissues after birth, dependent on the expression of particular butyrophilin-like molecules (BTNLs) [12]. Such populations of ‘activated-but-resting’ unconventional lymphocytes are thought to be capable of reacting directly to dysregulated target cells without the need for clonal expansion and differentiation. These data align with the idea such subsets may recognise a limited range of host-encoded stress ligands [13], and suggest that their TCRs act like surrogate pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) for molecular signals of microbial/non-microbial stress. In humans, the γδ T cell subset that aligns most clearly to this biology is characterised by a Vγ9/Vδ2 chain pairing, and represents the predominant peripheral blood subset (1–10% of T cells) [14]. Based on their restricted TCR Vγ and Vδ gene segment usage and CDR3 lengths, presence of common CDR3 motifs, foetal generation, polyclonal production of IFNγ and Tumour Necrosis Factor-α (TNFα) following exposure to pyrophosphate antigens (P-Ags), and strong dependency of TCR-mediated recognition on the BTN3A1 Ig-like protein, this subset arguably conforms to such an innate-like functional paradigm, although the exact mechanisms underlying its recognition of target cells remain unclear. To some extent, the features of these γδ T cell subsets mirror those of unconventional αβ T cell subsets [e.g., mucosal-associated invariant T cells (MAITs) and invariant natural killer cells.}

---

**Box 1. Hallmarks of Classical Adaptive Immunity**

Notably, αβ T cells and B cells share key hallmarks of classical adaptive immunity.

**Generation of a Diverse Antigen Receptor Repertoire and Tolerance Mechanisms**

Both αβ T cell and B cell lineages feature somatically recombined TCRs and BCRs, with repertoires featuring high diversity in their hypervariable complementarity-determining region loops, particularly CDR3. For both lineages, selection events during lymphocyte development are critical for immune tolerance. αβ T cells undergo positive and negative selection in the thymus; B cells, in the bone marrow, undergo both antigen-independent positive selection, based on tonic BCR signalling, and processes that eliminate or mitigate autoreactive specificities, including negative selection and anergy induction.

**Clonal Expansion from a Diverse Immune Receptor Repertoire**

The selection of individual clonotypes from within the diverse naïve immune receptor repertoire allows expansion of specific αβ T cell and B cell clonotypes bearing receptors that critically enable amplified responses to specific immune challenges, such as pathogen infection.

**Differentiation into Long-Lived Effectors**

Concurrent with clonal expansion, both αβ T cell and B cell lineages not only undergo differentiation to effectors, but also permit the maintenance of long-lived clonotypically expanded populations, enabling immunological memory, whereby faster and more potent immune responses are induced in response to secondary antigenic challenge.

**Critical Importance of Antigen Receptor–Ligand Interactions**

Diverse studies highlight the central role for TCR–pMHC and BCR–ligand interactions in directing αβ T cell and B cell development, maintenance, clonal amplification and activation, and memory formation, emphatically validating the concept that ‘receptor occupancy’ is a central driver of adaptive lymphocyte biology.
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(iNKTs)], which also feature highly restricted TCR repertoires [15,16], and have been shown to recognize relatively nonpolymorphic ligands [MHC class I-related gene protein (MR1) and Cluster of differentiation 1 (CD1), respectively].

These observations led to the development of the lymphoid stress surveillance hypothesis [17], which postulates that such effectors, by circumventing the requirement for clonal selection and differentiation, may provide protection from microbial or non-microbial stress challenges during the initial phase of the response, before adaptive immune responses have been generated.

A key finding in this area has been that such subsets can be activated not only via their TCR, but also independently by TCR-extrinsic signals. For example, mouse dendritic epidermal T cells (DETC, a subset of γδ T cells present in murine skin) can be activated directly via NK receptor (NKR)-mediated recognition of stress ligands expressed on stressed epithelium, independently of the TCR [18]. Moreover, while human Vγ9/Vδ2 T cells exhibit potent TCR-dependent recognition of P-Ag-exposed target cells, they can also be activated by NKG2D-ligand interactions and are responsive to cytokines such as IL-12/IL-18 [19-21]. In addition, recent studies on mouse skin and gut γδ T cell subsets suggest that TCR signals, potentially mediated via interactions with BTNLs, are required for the development, homing, and establishment of their effector program [11,12]; however, these cells can become hyporesponsive to TCR signals and function in an TCR-independent manner to respond to signs of cellular stress [22].

In addition to Vγ9/Vδ2 T cells, a second human γδ T cell compartment exists, bearing Vδ2-negative TCRs, of which the Vδ1 component is dominant. Vδ1 T cells are the most prevalent subtype of γδ T cells at birth [23], and the dominant γδ T cell subtype in peripheral tissues in adults, such as the gut [24,25] and skin [26]. Vδ1 T cells have remained very much an enigma in terms of the fundamental paradigms underlying their biology. Based on their predominant effector phenotype, their potent cytotoxicity/cytokine production, combined with their ability to recognise both virally infected and also cancerous cells, and their expression of NKRs [27-29], they have often been assumed to act in an innate-like fashion, similar to NK cells, potentially enabling recognition of diverse cellular stress signals in target cells. However, here we review recent data that have revised this picture, and suggest instead that Vδ1 T cells exhibit a radical new adaptive immunobiology. These data highlight some of the most significant questions in γδ T cell biology, including the importance and exact role of the γδ TCR that defines the lineage, but ironically, is so poorly understood.

TCR Repertoire Analyses Reveal Vδ1 T Cell Clonal Amplification
The advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS) approaches has allowed in-depth analyses of the TCR/BCR repertoire within the αβ and B cell lineage, respectively [30,31]. Furthermore, application of these technologies to human peripheral blood γδ T cells has provided valuable information on clonal evolution within the γδ T cell lineage. The approaches used include either DNA-based or RNA-based methods, with the former highlighting the requirement to purify γδ T cells from αβ subsets to avoid contamination of TCRγ sequences recombined in mature αβ T cells [32]. One inherent challenge of these methods is the potential for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequence errors [33]. In the absence of in silico error correction and appropriate data handling, this can result in retention of erroneous sequences, often resulting from single-base errors of other higher frequency clonotypes. Therefore, caution should be applied when interpreting highly similar TCR base sequences. The availability of public software packages dedicated to the interpretation of NGS TCR sequencing data (MiTCR [34], MiXCR [35], and TcR [36]), which provide robust error correction, should alleviate such challenges. Moreover, since RNA-based approaches are potentially vulnerable to bias, based on the overall
level of RNA differing between cells in different activation and/or differentiation states, ideally parallel techniques, such as single-cell PCR-based TCR analysis, should be considered to validate NGS-based TCR frequencies correlate with cell number on a specific platform [37–39].

Such studies have not only shed substantial light on Vδ1 T cell immunobiology, but equally importantly, have also highlighted features of the Vδ1 repertoire that contrast markedly with that of both peripheral blood Vγ9/Vδ2 T cells and thymically programmed mouse γδ T cells. First, based on analyses of cord blood samples, the Vδ1 TCR repertoire at the start of life, which features a variety of Vγ chain pairings, is clonotypically diverse, featuring a range of CDR3 lengths apparently unrelated in sequence. Importantly, these neonatal cord blood Vδ1+ repertoires are essentially entirely unfocussed: in other words, it appears that ‘all clonotypes are created equal’, with no single sequence exceeding 1–2% of the repertoire (Figure 1). This contrasts with the Vγ9/Vδ2 population, which, even in foetal and cord blood, includes relatively prevalent Vγ9-JγP clonotypes that are public (i.e., shared at either the nucleotide or amino acid level between individuals) and are present throughout life, consistent with selection of a semi-invariant repertoire preprogrammed in development for polyclonal P-Ag recognition [32,40–42].

A second important finding from such studies is that, in comparison to cord blood repertoires, adult Vδ1 repertoires are in general substantially more focussed, typically resulting from the presence of a relatively small number (e.g., <5) of heavily expanded clonotypes, and which often account for a large proportion of the total adult Vδ1 repertoire. Of note, parallel single-cell TCR analysis was used by Davey et al. [38] and Ravens et al. [39] to confirm that these represented genuine numerical clonal expansions, and were not biased by RNA abundance. Strikingly, both adult and cord blood Vδ1+ TCR repertoires were overwhelmingly private (i.e., unique to an individual at both a nucleotide and amino acid level; even more so than TCRβ [38]), with the Complementarity determining region 3 (CDR3) lengths of expanded clonotypes highly diverse, and their sequences apparently unrelated, both within and between individuals [38]. These features appeared to stem from the addition of high levels of nontemplated (N)
nucleotides (introduced by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase) or occasionally palindromic (P) nucleotides (a mean of 19 N/P nucleotides for V$_d$1 CDR3) during variable (diversity) joining [V(D)J] recombination. Both the private nature of the V$_d$1 repertoire and the presence of such dominant clonal expansions contrast markedly with the V$_y$9/V$_d$2 TCR repertoire, which displays less pronounced focussing and contains several public V$_y$9 sequences in cord blood and adults [32,38,41]. Moreover, compared with V$_d$1 T cells, the V$_y$9/V$_d$2 T cell repertoire features restricted CDR3$_\gamma$ and CDR3$_\delta$ lengths, with CDR3$_\delta$ sequences generally considerably shorter than CDR3$_\gamma$ sequences. Similarly, TCR$\alpha$$\beta$ repertoires also display CDR3 length restriction, which is likely imposed by the structural constraints of peptide–MHC recognition [43].

γδ TCR repertoire analyses have also highlighted factors driving such clonal expansions. In particular, Ravens et al. showed that acute cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection following stem cell transplantation (SCT) can drive expansion of V$_d$2$^{neg}$ (predominantly V$_d$1) TCR clonotypes [39]; V$_d$8 repertoires were also noted to be private. These findings build on numerous studies highlighting the importance of V$_d$2-negative T cells in responses to CMV infection following kidney transplantation and in healthy donors [29,44,45], including spectratyping data consistent with a degree of clonotypic focussing in CMV$^{pos}$ healthy donors [29]. Despite this, the link to CMV infection is not necessarily straightforward, because both Ravens et al. [39] and Davey et al. [38] noted that the V$_d$1 repertoire of some CMV$^{pos}$ individuals lacked clonal expansions, indicating that V$_d$1$^+$ TCR clonal expansion was not an inevitable consequence of CMV infection (Figure 1). The reason for this is unclear, but could conceivably reflect different routes of infection, greater/lesser dependency of the anti-CMV response on V$_d$2$^{neg}$ V$_d$1$^{neg}$ γδ T cells, and/or the presence of ‘holes’ in the V$_d$1 TCR repertoire in some individuals. Further studies are required to address these possibilities. Moreover, several CMV$^{neg}$ individuals also had heavily expanded V$_d$1 clonotypes, indicating that CMV infection is not the sole immune challenge that stimulates V$_d$1 T cell responses (Figure 1). Consistent with diverse stimuli for the V$_d$1 subset, expansion of V$_d$1 T cells has also been noted in response to HIV [46] and in synovial fluid in Lyme disease [47], while two case reports describe V$_d$1 clonal focussing after EBV infection in SCT [48,49]. Nevertheless, infectious stimuli underlying clonotypic focussing in healthy donors, other than CMV, remain to be identified. Finally, irrespective of the stimuli inducing such responses, both Davey et al. [38] and Ravens et al. [39] provide evidence that such expanded clonotypes can be long-lived, and persist for at least 2 years, consistent with long-term contributions to immunosurveillance.

Clonal Selection in V$_d$1 T Cells Induces Adaptive Changes in Phenotype and Function

Davey et al. [38] combined NGS TCR sequencing, single-cell TCR analyses, and a flow cytometric immunophenotyping approach to delineate different V$_d$1 subsets in adult peripheral blood and cord blood samples. Notably, a naïve-like CD27$^+$ V$_d$1 subset was identified expressing highly diverse TCRs and multiple markers common to naïve T cells, including IL-7R, CD28, CD62L, and CCR7 (Figure 2); we hereafter apply the term ‘V$_d$1 T$_{naïve}$’ to this subset. Importantly, although such T$_{naïve}$ cells were typically a minor fraction of adult peripheral blood V$_d$1 T cells, essentially the entire V$_d$1 T cell subset in cord blood was clonotypically unfocussed. By contrast, clonotypically expanded V$_d$1 TCRs present in adults invariably resided within a differentiated effector CD27$^{lo/neg}$ compartment largely absent in cord blood, which was detected to different extents within adult peripheral blood V$_d$1 T cells across a 20-person cohort. This compartment shared several phenotypic features with conventional T effector populations, including expression of granzymes, perforin, and CX3C chemokine receptor 1 (CX3CR1; Figure 2); we hereafter apply the term ‘V$_d$1 T$_{effector}$’ to this subset. Importantly, the observation that the V$_d$1 compartment of the minority of adult donors who
These phenotypic features of Vδ1 T naïve and T effector, subsets point towards an adaptive biology. First, the transition from Vδ1 T naïve to T effector subset is accompanied by a reprogramming of homing receptor expression. Vδ1 T naïve cells uniformly express high levels of central lymphoid homing markers. By contrast, Vδ1 T effector cells exhibit strong downregulation of CCR7 and CD62L, but increased CX3CR1, which binds to fractalkine, an endothelial homing chemokine.

The respective expression profiles of these markers on Vδ1 T naïve and T effector subsets closely mirrored expression on CD8 naïve and TEMRA cell populations, respectively. Correspondingly, and as suggested for CX3CR1+ CD8 memory T effector cells [50], CX3CR1+ Vδ1 T effector cells may be involved in endothelial immunosurveillance. In terms of function, whereas Vδ1 T naïve cells, such as naïve CD8 T cells, were devoid of cytotoxic effector markers (e.g., perforin, and granzymes A and B), these were heavily upregulated in Vδ1 T effector cells (equivalent to CD8 TEMRA populations). Moreover, Vδ1 T effector cells retained a rapid proliferative capacity and TCR retained relatively diverse Vδ1 TCR repertoires was dominated by Vδ1 T naïve cells confirmed the validity of this phenotypic distinction, and highlighted that clonal expansion and differentiation were not inevitable consequences of Vδ1 T cell maturation.
sensitivity, and were preferentially sensitive to IL-15 relative to Vδ1 T naive cells, which conversely (and similar to naïve CD8 T cells) were preferentially responsive to IL-7 and exhibited slower proliferation following TCR stimulation. These findings strongly suggest that the TCR-diverse CD27hi and highly TCR focussed CD27lo/neg populations represent bona fide naïve and effector Vδ1 subsets, respectively (Figures 2 and 3).

These findings demonstrate that, far from representing a preformed effector subset from birth, Vδ1 T cells are initially highly naïve in phenotype and feature an entirely unfocussed TCR repertoire. They also suggest that differentiation to an effector phenotype is not an inevitable developmental process, but is inextricably linked to clonal amplification, and drastically affects both cytotoxic capability and homing receptor expression (Figure 3).

**Adaptive MHC-Unrestricted γδ T Cell Stress Surveillance: A Paradigm for Vδ1 T Cells**

The observations outlined above highlight surprising parallels between Vδ1 T cells and classical adaptive T cell subsets, particularly CD8 T cells; conversely, they emphasise key distinctions

---

**Figure 3. Adaptive Stress Surveillance Paradigm.** Both Vδ1 T naive and T effector cells circulate in the peripheral blood. Vδ1 T naive populations (expressing CCR7 and CD62L) are likely to migrate to secondary lymphoid tissue, via CCL19 and CCL21 chemokine gradients. Access to secondary lymphoid tissue permits encounter of homoeostatic interleukin 7 (IL-7), maintaining Vδ1 T naive cells and allowing their persistence throughout adulthood. Vδ1 T naive cells may also encounter cognate antigen either in the lymphoid tissues, akin to CD8 T cells, or elsewhere, and give rise to Vδ1 T effector cells. Circulating Vδ1 T effector populations may enter peripheral tissues, accessing homoeostatic IL-15 concentrations. Access to peripheral tissues may indicate a stress surveillance role and antimicrobial function, through T cell receptor (TCR)-ligand engagement.
between Vδ1 T cells and the Vγ9/Vδ2 T cell subset. They suggest that the γδ TCR is central to
the biology of Vδ1 T cells, and lead us to suggest a previously unrecognised mode of MHC-
unrestricted adaptive immunobiology applies to the Vδ1 T cell subset (Box 2). This paradigm
and the evidence that underpins it, has several implications worthy of consideration that will
likely frame future investigations.

**Tolerance Induction**

The Vδ1 and Vγ9/Vδ2 T cell subsets develop at different stages, consistent with a distinct
underlying immunobiology and TCR repertoire for the two compartments. Vγ9/Vδ2 T cells are
generated during development in the foetal liver, and later the foetal thymus, and are only
present in small numbers in the postnatal thymus [51,52]. Development may require positive
selection for BTN3A1 reactivity and/or self phosphoantigens, such as isopentenyl pyrophos-
phate (IPP), and subsequent expansion after microbial exposure during early childhood [53]. By
contrast, Vδ1 T cells are the dominant γδ T cell population in the postnatal thymus. It is unclear
whether human γδ T cells undergo thymic selection. The diversity of CDR3 lengths and
sequences for Vδ1 and associated Vγ TCR chains would suggest a strong potential for
autoreactivity; however, there is little evidence of negative selection in γδ T cells [32]. Alterna-
tively, peripheral tolerance mechanisms may be involved in deleting or inducing the anergy
of strongly autoreactive cells, or other mechanisms similar to NK licensing or arming (reviewed in
[54]) may be used to set thresholds for Vδ1 reactivity. Vδ2neg γδ T cells express inhibitory NKRs,
such as LILRB1/ILT2, which may be involved in this process [28]. Additionally, TCR self-
reactivity may involve low-affinity TCR–ligand interactions and may require additional co-
stimulatory signals or adhesion molecules to lead to productive TCR signalling [55].

**T Cell Priming and Migration**

Although the cellular mechanisms underlying initiation of Vδ1 clonal expansions are unclear, the
immune phenotype of Vδ1 T naïve cells suggests preferential access to secondary lymphoid
organs, as opposed to clonally expanded Vδ1 T effector subsets. This implies that Vδ1 T naïve cells
most likely recirculate between blood and lymph tissues (Figure 3), and suggests that clonal
amplification requires lymphoid tissue-derived factors and/or is initiated during a priming step in
secondary (or possibly tertiary) lymphoid organs. Future studies assessing the differentiation
status and localisation of Vδ1 T cells in secondary and/or tertiary lymphoid organs will be
required to address these suggestions, and may shed light on whether specific antigen-
presenting cell types are involved in priming. Conversely, the increased expression of CX3CR1
on Vδ1 T effector populations and their enhanced sensitivity to IL-15 suggests that they prefer-
entially home to solid tissues, and contribute to a more clonotypically focussed repertoire at
such sites. Further studies comparing the phenotypic features and TCR diversity of peripheral
blood Vδ1 T cells with those in solid tissues will address these issues.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Chain usage of T cells</th>
<th>Origin of T cells</th>
<th>Frequency of response</th>
<th>Memory phenotype of T cells</th>
<th>Direct ligand binding/affinity</th>
<th>Comments/potential physiological significance</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPCR</strong></td>
<td>Vγ4/Vδ5</td>
<td>PBMC from immunosuppressed lung transplant patient with acute CMV</td>
<td>25% of total CD3+ T cells in one CMV+ individual</td>
<td>CD45RO-CD28+</td>
<td>~90 μM (BIAcore)</td>
<td>Single private clonotype Expanded ligand, likely γδ T effector status Potential 'restriction factor' for endothelial cells during CMV infection Allows detection of 'multimolecular stress signature' on CMV-infected cells EPCR upregulated on various cancer cells</td>
<td>[27,28,55,65,66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PE</strong></td>
<td>Vδ1†, various Vγ chains</td>
<td>PE staining of healthy donor blood</td>
<td>0.025% of total CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Mouse TCR-PE 2.7 μM (BIAcore)</td>
<td>Various clonotypes involved Collectively low frequency in Vδ1+ T cells; therefore, unlikely to represent dominant γδ T effector expansions PE derived from marine blue-green algae; therefore, may reflect potential of Vδ1+ T cells to recognise foreign antigens Immunisation of mice upregulated activation markers on PE+ γδ T cells and led to IL-17 production</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD1d</strong></td>
<td>Vδ1†, various Vγ chains</td>
<td>CD1d (unloaded or with various lipids) tetramer staining of healthy donor PBMC</td>
<td>&lt;0.05% of total T cells</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16 μM α-GalCer/CD1d; 35 μM unloaded CD1d (BIAcore); 33 μM sulfatide/CD1d, 240 μM unloaded CD1d (BLI)</td>
<td>Various clonotypes involved Collectively low frequency in Vδ1+ T cells; therefore, unlikely to represent dominant γδ T effector expansions May reflect γδ T naïve population CD1d-reactive γδ T cells could expand upon recognition of CD1d-restricted stress and/or infection-linked lipids</td>
<td>[61,63,64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD1c</strong></td>
<td>Vδ1†, various Vγ chains</td>
<td>CD1c (loaded with Mtb or self lipids) tetramer staining of healthy donor PBMC</td>
<td>0.16% of total T cells</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>23–30 μM foreign lipids; 28–150 μM self lipids LPA, LPC, sulfatide (BLI)</td>
<td>Various clonotypes involved Collectively low frequency in Vδ1+ T cells; therefore, unlikely to represent dominant γδ T effector expansions May reflect γδ T naïve population CD1c-reactive γδ T cells could expand upon recognition of CD1d-restricted stress and/or infection-linked lipids</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annexin A2</strong></td>
<td>Vδ3† clone</td>
<td>Healthy donor PBMC cultured with Raji + IL-2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3 μM (BIAcore)</td>
<td>Various clonotypes involved Frequency of clone unclear in original donor; may reflect γδ T naïve population Annexin A2 upregulated in cellular stress (tumorigenesis, oxidative stress) May permit Vδ2+ γδ T cells to recognise tumour cells or metabolically stressed cells</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: CCR7, C-C chemokine receptor type 7; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; EPCR, endothelial protein C receptor; ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule 1; LILRB1/ILT2, leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor B1/immunoglobulin-like transcript2; ND, not determined; NKG2D, natural killer group 2 member D; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; Skirt, selection and upkeep of intraepithelial T cells.

†Carrie Willcox, unpublished data.
Mechanisms and Targets Underpinning Vδ1 γδ TCR Ligand Recognition

Defining the ligands recognised by the γδ TCR remains a major goal and one that may help unlock the molecular basis by which γδ T cells recognise abnormal target cells (Table 1).

Most γδ TCR ligand investigations have focussed on peripheral blood populations. Importantly, our understanding of the Vδ1 TCR repertoire in solid tissues is limited. However, a recent study highlighted a Vγ4Vδ1 subpopulation, present at variable frequencies in colorectal tissue in different individuals, which underwent TCR-dependent activation in response to BTNLI3/8-positive target cells [12]. A priority for future investigations is to understand whether BTNLI3/8 act as direct ligands for this Vγ4Vδ1 subpopulation, and whether the TCR repertoire and phenotype of such cells reflect a semi-invariant innate-like paradigm or an adaptive immunobiology. In addition, a more comprehensive understanding of the Vδ1 TCR repertoire in different solid tissues is required.

Current data on peripheral blood Vδ1 T cells indicate a highly diverse TCR repertoire both in terms of Vγ chain pairing and Vδ1/Vγ CDR3 regions. Notably, there are no obvious similarities in the CDR3 regions of clonally amplified Vδ1 TCRs, either in terms of lengths or sequences. Moreover, these features may apply to other Vδ2-negative TCRs [38]. The high degree of CDR3 diversity within the Vδ1 TCR repertoire, including use of diverse Vγ gene segments, contrasts markedly with other unconventional T cell populations thought to recognise single germline-encoded ligands (e.g., iNKTs [15], MAITs [16], and Vγ9/Vδ2 T cells [38,39]), which feature semi-invariant TCR repertoires, including prominent public CDR3 clonotypes; by contrast, the Vδ1 TCR repertoire is both diverse and essentially private [38,39].

Importantly, the high diversity of the peripheral blood Vδ1 TCR repertoire, including in expanded clonotypes, may not necessarily exclude recognition of a limited range of physiologically relevant ligands shared between individuals. Of note, there is precedent for degeneracy in αβ TCR recognition, whereby the same peptide–MHC complex can be recognised by TCRs of diverse sequence [56]. Similarly, antibodies featuring diverse CDR loops can recognise the same protein antigen, either via distinct or similar surface epitopes [57,58]. However, an alternative, and possibly more likely scenario, is that the high Vδ1 TCR diversity reflects a diversity of ligands recognised. This is arguably supported by the diverse array of ligands proposed for Vδ2-negative TCRs [55,59–62] (Table 1). A major challenge is to make sense of this seemingly unrelated group of ligands and decipher key underlying principles; however, the basis for making such judgements has hitherto remained entirely unclear. Based on the central assumption that TCR–ligand binding (‘receptor occupation’) drives clonal expansion, the adaptive paradigm we outline suggests a set of criteria (based on clonotype frequency, phenotype, CDR3 involvement, and ligand expression pattern; Table 1) by which the position of candidate ligands within this adaptive framework could be assessed.

Re-evaluation of current γδ T cell ligands in light of these criteria prompts several observations. Since reactivities to CD1c [60] and CD1d [61,63,64] described to date reflected extremely low percentages of the Vδ1 T cell repertoire (contributing <0.05% and <0.16% of total T cells, respectively; Table 1), they are unlikely to represent in vivo expanded Vδ1 Teffector clonotypes. However, they could derive from Vδ1 T naive subsets, and conceivably changes in lipid cargo in different physiological settings may drive TCR-mediated Vδ1 clonal expansions. Similarly, phycoerythrin (PE) [62], a model BCR antigen derived from a marine alga, was also convincingly demonstrated to be a direct γδ TCR ligand in mice, and for an extremely low proportion of the human Vδ1 T cell repertoire (<0.025% of CD3+ T cells, Table 1), excluding recognition by
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Nature of Immune Stimuli for V61 Responses

Although CMV appears to trigger clonal expansion of V61 T cells, the fact that CMV-seronegative adults still frequently harbour major V61 T effector populations indicates that other immune stimuli, possibly other infectious challenges, must trigger specific V61 responses. Consistent with this, several pathogens have been linked with increased numbers or clonality of V62-negative T cells, including HIV [46], Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) [48,49], and other microbial infections [1]. Despite this, the link between a given pathogen infection, specific V61 clonal expansion, and an augmented recall response to that challenge warrants further study. Several reasons suggest that the transition from V61 T naïve to T effector status accompanying such clonal expansions would increase the speed and potency of effector responses: notably, V61 T effector cells express perforin and granzymes, whereas V61 T naïve cells do not; moreover, V61 T effector cells exhibit enhanced and more rapid production of cytokines and proliferation relative to V61 T naïve cells following CD3/CD28 stimulation [38]. In addition, previous studies have highlighted V62-negative T effector responses to CMV-infected target cells following CMV infection [27], alongside an increased proportion of V61 T cells bearing an effector phenotype relative to CMV-seronegative individuals [29]. However, an increased understanding of how such alterations link with clonotypic changes is needed. Given the diverse stimuli that could underpin the generation of clonotypic V61 T effector responses, this will require analysis of human samples before and after relevant infections, and comparison with individuals who either remained uninfected or did not exhibit postinfection clonal expansions. Such analyses will also allow the relative kinetics of the phenotypic transitions and clonal expansion to be assessed.

expanded V61 T effector clonotypes. Despite this, PE recognition may reflect the potential of V61 TCRs to recognise foreign antigens in intact form.

One human γδ TCR reactivity, to Endothelial Protein C Receptor (EPCR) [55], arguably fulfils the criteria for recognition by an expanded V61 T effector clonotype, albeit involving a V65 TCR (Table 1). In addition, the LES TCR that recognised EPCR was a private TCR sequence, in keeping with the properties of V61 TCRs and the finding that recognition of EPCR was restricted to a single patient. Despite this, it enabled γδ TCR and EPCR-mediated recognition of CMV-infected fibroblast and/or endothelial cells. Interestingly, although EPCR expression was not enhanced by CMV infection, LES γδ T cell activation was dependent on a TCR-extrinsic ‘multimolecular stress signature’, which included induction of increased expression of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) on target cells after CMV infection [55]. Moreover, while similar changes were evident in some tumour lines, it is also clear that overexpression of EPCR itself is linked to genetic changes during tumorigenesis [65], and has also been linked to chemoresistance [66]. Conceivably, the dependence of effector function on TCR-extrinsic changes in addition to the presence of TCR ligands could be an important factor in the maintenance of γδ T cell tolerance in the absence of relevant microbial and/or non-microbial stress stimuli. If, as previously suggested, the LES–EPCR reactivity proves to be ‘unique but paradigmatic’, then other private ligands may map onto other private expanded clonotypes. A repertoire-based ligand identification strategy, ideally focussing on multiple γδ TCR specificities expanded in response to a single immune challenge, should confirm this, and may reveal commonalities between ligands (e.g., expression on a particular tissue relevant to the specific pathogen infection). In addition, other modes of stress stimulus-induced γδ TCR–ligand-mediated activation could be envisaged, for example involving increased expression of the ligand, altered post-translational modification of the ligand, or decreased levels of target cell-expressed ligands for γδ T cell inhibitory receptors. Clearly, future studies in this area, guided by the criteria outlined above, may resolve some of these key questions.
Evolutionary Advantage of Adaptive MHC-Unrestricted γδ T Cell Stress Surveillance

The universal presence of γδ T cells in vertebrates suggests that compelling reasons must exist for their evolutionary conservation [1]. In addition to providing semi-invariant γδ T cell populations that have evolved recognition modes highly distinct from αβ T cell subsets, potentially involving germline-encoded targets, such as BTN3A1 [67,68] and BTNL/Selection and upkeep of intraepithelial T cells (Skint) family members [12,69], it is interesting to consider what evolutionarily advantageous immune functions adaptive γδ T cell subsets might alternatively provide. With regards to CMV infection, the only pathogenic challenge currently confirmed as driving the clonotypic expansion of human V61 T cells, much evidence supports a role for NK cells [70] and CD8 T cells [71] in anti-CMV immunity. However, CMV has also evolved numerous immune evasion strategies targeted at disrupting essential components of CD8/NK immunosurveillance, including the MHC presentation pathway [72], and sequestration of ligands for conserved activatory ligands for germline-encoded NKR, such as natural killer group 2 member D (NKG2D) [70]. Evolution of a stochastically recombined immune receptor repertoire allowing MHC-unrestricted recognition of “altered/stressed self” via diverse and potentially private γδ TCR reactivities to intact cell surface antigens, would likely complement such strategies and may prove more challenging for pathogens to evade. Conceivably, such subsets could also enable direct recognition of foreign pathogen proteins on the surface of infected host cells. Recent data from mouse models suggesting that γδ T cells provide as potent protection against CMV as the CD8 T cell compartment [73,74] are consistent with these ideas; however, further studies are required to understand the immunobiology of the γδ subsets involved and the molecular basis of the recognition events in which they are involved.

Concluding Remarks

Recent studies have substantially revised our understanding of V61 T cells. The coincident clonal expansion and differentiation of V61 T cells not only represent a ‘smoking gun’ that the γδ TCR is likely to be central to their immunobiology, but also lead us to propose that V61 function is underpinned by a novel MHC-unrestricted adaptive paradigm. This contrasts with Vγ9/Vδ2 T cell immunobiology, which appears to be predominantly innate-like, highlighting the coexistence of adaptive and innate-like paradigms within the human γδ T cell lineage.

This adaptive perspective for V61 T cells prompts a reassessment of previous studies, and also provides an intellectual framework around which future investigations can be designed, which should help answer the many unresolved questions (see Outstanding Questions). Of these, the question of what represent bona fide physiological ligands for V61 γδ TCRs in the context of this adaptive paradigm is central, but importantly the repertoire and immunophenotype-based observations upon which the paradigm is built suggest objective criteria by which to assess such reactivities and plan future studies. Moreover, there is an expanding evidence base for the importance of Skint/BTN/BTNL molecules in mouse γδ T cell development and biology [12,69,75]. Understanding the full significance of this family in human γδ T cell biology in the context of parallel innate-like and adaptive-like paradigms is another aim.

An improved understanding of human γδ T cell biology will hopefully accelerate therapeutic exploitation of their function. Despite limited understanding of γδ TCR ligand recognition, there is already substantial therapeutic interest in γδ T cells, particularly in the cancer immunotherapy arena, not least due to their MHC-unrestricted recognition of target cells and potent cytotoxic function. In addition, the ability to immunophenotypically delineate V61 T naïve from Teffector subpopulations should provide a means to probe V61 T cell responses against diverse microbial and non-microbial immunological challenges at different life stages, which would

Outstanding Questions

What are the V61 repertoires of some individuals more clonotypically focussed than others? Does this reflect differences in pathogen exposure, or are there ‘holes’ in the V61 repertoires of some individuals with respect to specific stress challenges?

How is V61 clonal amplification initiated? Does this occur in lymphoid organs or in peripheral tissues and, if so, which factors and/or antigen-presenting cells are involved?

What is special about clonally expanded V61 TCRs? Are they capable of recognising ligands that relate to physiologically relevant stress stimuli and, if so, what are these? Are the ligands private to each individual or public, and how do they relate to each other?

What is the range of pathogens involved, and which non-microbial stimuli can drive V61 responses in vivo?

How is V61 T cell responses against CMV the CD8 T cell compartment? If so, what are these? Are the ligands private to each individual or public, and how do they relate to each other?

Is there a role for BTNL molecules (e.g., BTNL3/8) in the development, selection, or antigenic stimulation of the V61 T cell compartment? If so, does this involve innate-like or adaptive V61 populations?

How do the repertoire and immunobiology of V61 T cells in solid tissues relate to peripheral blood V61 T cells? Do they overlap with adaptive V61 subsets in peripheral blood, or are they distinct, and might they also contain semi-invariant populations, as defined in mouse tissue-associated γδ T cells?

Is there a role for BTN3A1 in the development, selection, or antigenic stimulation of the V61 T cell compartment? If so, does this involve innate-like or adaptive V61 populations?
represent an important early step along the pathway towards the successful therapeutic exploitation of γδ T cell function.

Acknowledgements

We thank Adrian Hayday, Anton van der Meerve, and Simon Davis for helpful discussions. This work was supported by Wellcome Trust Investigator award funding to B.E.W., supporting M.S.D. and C.R.W. (Grant code: 099266/Z/12/Z).

References

14. De Libero, G. et al. (1991) Selection by two powerful antigens may account for the presence of the major population of human peripheral gamma/delta T cells. J. Exp. Med. 173, 1311–1322


56. Ding, Y.H. et al. (1996) Two human T cell receptors bind in a similar diagonal mode to the HLA-A2/Tax peptide complex using different TCR amino acids. Immunity 8, 403–411


