UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Hydropower generation, flood control and dam cascades:

Nguyen-Tien, Viet; Elliott, Robert J.R.; Strobl, Eric A.

DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.02.063

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Nguyen-Tien, V, Elliott, RJR & Strobl, ÉA 2018, 'Hydropower generation, flood control and dam cascades: A national assessment for Vietnam', *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 560, pp. 109-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.02.063

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Published in Journal of Hydrology on 08/03/2018

DOI:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.02.063

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Accepted Manuscript

Research papers

Hydropower generation, flood control and dam cascades: A national assessment for Vietnam

Viet Nguyen-Tien, Robert J.R. Elliott, Eric A. Strobl

 PII:
 S0022-1694(18)30145-8

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.02.063

 Reference:
 HYDROL 22612

To appear in: *Journal of Hydrology*



Please cite this article as: Nguyen-Tien, V., Elliott, R.J.R., Strobl, E.A., Hydropower generation, flood control and dam cascades: A national assessment for Vietnam, *Journal of Hydrology* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.02.063

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Hydropower generation, flood control and dam

cascades: A national assessment for Vietnam

Viet Nguyen-Tien¹, Robert J. R. Elliott¹, Eric A. Strobl²

¹ University of Birmingham, UK

²University of Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

Vietnam is a country with diverse terrain and climatic conditions and a dependency on hydropower for a significant proportion of its power needs and as such, is particularly vulnerable to changes in climate. In this paper we apply SWAT (Soil and Water Assessment Tool) derived discharge simulation results coupled with regression analysis to estimate the performance of hydropower plants for Vietnam between 1995 to mid-2014 when both power supply and demand increased rapidly. Our approach is to examine the watershed formed from three large inter-boundary basins: The Red River, the Vietnam Coast and the Lower Mekong River, which have a total area of 977,964 km^2 . We then divide this area into 7,887 sub-basins with an average area of 131.6 km^2 (based on level 12 of HydroSHEDS/HydroBASINS datasets) and 53,024 Hydrological Response Units (HRUs). Next we simulate river flow for the 40 largest hydropower plants across Vietnam. Our validation process demonstrates that the simulated flows are significantly correlated with the gauged inflows into these dams and are able to serve as a good proxy for the inflows into hydropower dams in our baseline energy regression, which captures 87.7% of the variation in monthly power generation In other results we estimate that large dams sacrifice on average around 18.2% of their contemporaneous production for the purpose of flood control. When we assess Vietnam's current alignment of dams we find that the current cascades of large hydropower dams appear to be reasonably efficient: each MWh/day increase in upstream generation adds 0.146 MWh/day to downstream generation. The study provides evidence for the multiple benefits of a national system of large hydropower dams using a cascade design. Such a system may help overcome future adverse impacts from changes in climate conditions. However, our results show that there is still room for improvement in the harmonization of cascades in some basins. Finally, possible adverse hydro-ecological impacts due to the proliferation of large upstream dams, including those located beyond Vietnam's border, need to be carefully considered.

Keywords: Hydropower, climate change, Vietnam, large scale, cascade, flood control.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Hai Phong University and Hai Phong City's People Committee for administrative support during the time that this study was conducted. We are particularly grateful to Dr. Nguyen Trinh Hoang Anh (Clean Energy and Sustainable Development) lab, University of Science and Technology of Hanoi), Dr Hoang Tien Dung and Mr Nguyen Manh Cuong (Vietnam Institute of Energy) and staff at Vietnam National Committee on Large Dams and Water Resources Development for data support. Thanks also for comments from participants at the 2017 Warsaw International SWAT Conference and Workshops, and the tenth Vietnam Economist Annual Meeting (VEAM 2017). We have benefited from helpful conversation and correspondence with Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hoang Minh Tuyen (Research Center of Hydrology and water resources, Vietnam Institute of Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment), Assoc. Prof. Dr. Le Anh Tuan (Research Institute for Climate Change, Can Tho University), Dr Nga Dao (Center for Water Resources Conservation and Development). All mistakes are our own. We declare that we have no relevant or financial interests that relate to the research in this paper. MAR

Introduction 1 1

Hydropower, which utilizes running or falling water as the chief input into electricity gen-2 eration, is the leading renewable source for electricity generation globally, supplying 71% 3 of all renewable electricity. Reaching 1,064 GW of installed capacity in 2016, it generated 4 16.4% of the world's electricity from all sources (World Energy Council, 2017). Although 5 attractive as a cheap, long-lasting, flexible and low-polluting renewable energy source, it 6 is sensitive to changes in hydrology as a result of variation in weather conditions partic-7 ularly rainfall and temperature (Kumar et al., 2011; IFC, 2015). To assess the state of a 8 hydropower system requires an evaluation of water availability. However, the absence of a 9 consistent data at the country level means such an evaluation can be challenging. In many 10 cases, measures of the inflow into a hydropower dam is not available or accessible. Mod-11 elling hydropower production is further complicated by hydrower dams being increasingly 12

¹³ spatially connected so that they form cascades.¹

There is a small but growing literature that incorporates rainfall-runoff models and re-14 gression analysis to estimate the energy generation from a hydropower system conditional 15 on weather-induced variations in river flow. Rainfall-runoff models, in conjunction with 16 remote-sensing datasets, are one of the solutions employed to overcome the lack of consis-17 tent data on a large scale. Meanwhile, regression analysis enables the researcher to establish 18 the relationship between water availability and power generation. Notable papers include 19 Cole et al. (2014) who use the GeoSFM model and regression techniques to assess the po-20 tential risks to energy supply in hydro-dependent African countries under different IPPC cli-21 mate change scenarios.² Studies for Vietnam include Gebretsadik et al. (2012) who employ 22 the CLIRUN-II model to simulate river flow for Vietnam in an integrated study while (Arndt 23 et al., 2015) evaluate the impact of climate change on multiple water-dependent sectors in-24 cluding energy in Vietnam.³ However, these studies do not take into account the multiple 25 purpose use of hydropower dams and the interactions between them. Indeed, a recent paper 26 by de Faria et al. (2017) looking at the local socio-economic impact of large dams highlights 27 the lack of (1) quantitative studies looking at impacts over an extended period and (2) a lack 28 of studies that consider multiple projects in the context of a developing country. 29

The purpose of this paper is fill this gap in the literature and to estimate the performance of the hydropower system across the whole of Vietnam using new data and advanced regression modelling techniques. More specifically, our main contribution is to estimate the

¹In addition to power production, hydropower dams typically serve multiple purposes including, but not limited to, flood control, irrigation, and navigation

²The GeoSFM model is a semi distributed, physically based catchment scale hydrological model originally developed by the National Centre for Earth Observation and Science (EROS) to support the Famine Early Warning System Network (FEWSNET) through river flow monitoring. See Cole et al. (2014) for more details.

³CLIRUN-II is the latest in a family of hydrologic models developed specifically for the analysis of the impact of climate change on river-runoff. See Gebretsadik et al. (2012) for details.

impact of water availability, flood control and the interconnected cascades of dams on hy-33 dropower production for the period 1995 to 2014. With floods being the most common 34 natural disaster in the country and with climate change predicted to lead to more extreme 35 weather patterns it is important that we gain a better understanding of the role of hydropower 36 dams in both the mitigation of floods and droughts as well as providing a reliable and clean 37 source of energy.⁴ Our methodological approach allows us to answer a number of questions 38 relevant for water resource management and Vietnam future energy strategy: (1) How is 39 national hydropower generation affected by variations in weather and is it robust to extreme 40 hydrological changes? (2) What is the trade-off between power generation and flood con-41 trol? and (3) Is the location of large hydropower dams appropriate to enable coordination 42 among dams through the use of dam cascades and how do cascades affect production? A 43 second contribution is that our methodology will allow future researchers to identify how variations in weather may impact those economic activities that are dependent on electric-45 ity via variation in power supply. This source of exogenous variation is useful as it allows 46 researchers to address a number of endogeneity concerns in studies of the relationship be-47 tween firm performance and electricity provision (Allcott et al., 2016; Fisher-Vanden et al., 48 2015; Alam, 2013) or household welfare and electrification (Grogan, 2016; Khandker et al., 49 2009: Walle et al., 2013). 50

To model river flow we use the HydroSHEDS/HydroBASINS dataset (Lehner, 2014;

⁵² Lehner et al., 2008), which is derived from the detailed SRTM DEM at three arc-seconds.⁵

51

⁴Flooding is the most common weather-related disaster and is estimated to affect 2.3 billion people (mainly in the Asia) (CRED, 2015). In Vietnam, floods rank second among natural disasters. According to national statistics, natural disasters in Vietnam are responsible for 750 deaths annually and economic losses equivalent to 1.5% of GDP (IFAD, 2010). In October 2017 floods killed 72 people and damaged 22,000 hectares of rice with deforestation being blamed for the floods being more severe than usual (https://www.nytimes.com/reuters/2017/10/16/world/asia/16reuters-asia-storm-vietnam.html).

⁵A digital elevation model (DEM) is a digital model or 3D representation of a terrain's surface created from terrain elevation data. Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital _ elevation _ model. DEM data is stored in a format that utilizes three, five, or 30 arc-seconds of longitude and latitude to register cell values.

⁵³ Consequently, the river network and nested basin system created by HydroSHEDS/HydroBASINS
⁵⁴ has a higher resolution than the popular Hydro1K dataset.⁶ As a result we are able to under⁵⁵ take our analysis at a more disaggregated spatial level which we believe is necessary if one
⁵⁶ is to accurately model dam interactions. To the best of our knowledge, we are also the first
⁵⁷ to apply the SWAT model for Vietnam at the national scale.

To model river-flow we use the SWAT (Soil and Water Assessment Tool) river-runoff model. SWAT is "one of the most widely used water quality watershed and river basin–scale models and is applied extensively to a broad range of hydrologic and/or environmental problems" (Gassman et al., 2014, p. 1). SWAT has been applied to large-scale watersheds all over the world, for example China (Hao et al., 2004), West Africa (Schuol and Abbaspour, 2006), and Europe (Abbaspour et al., 2015). For a summary of SWAT applications for the US and EU see Arnold and Fohrer (2005).

There is also an emerging literature that applies the SWAT model to Vietnamese watersheds. For example, it has been used to study the hydrological process and sediment transport in the trans-boundary basin of Lower Mekong River (LMR), which is shared by Cambodia, Laos, Thailand, Myanmar, and Vietnam (Rossi et al., 2009; Piman et al., 2013). A part of the LMR, the Sesan, Srepok, and Sekong rivers (widely referred to as the 3S rivers) shared by Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia is particularly attractive to researchers because of the recent boom in hydropower (Wild and Loucks, 2014; T.Piman et al., 2013; Shrestha

The geographic reference system treats the globe as if it were a sphere divided into 360 equal parts called degrees. An arc-second represents the distance of latitude or longitude traversed on the earth's surface while travelling one second (1/3600th of a degree). At the equator, an arc-second of longitude approximately equals an arc-second of latitude, which is 1/60th of a nautical mile (or 101.27 feet or 30.87 meters). Arc-seconds of latitude remain nearly constant, while arc-seconds of longitude decrease in a trigonometric cosine-based fashion as one moves toward the earth's poles. Source: http://www.esri.com/news/arcuser/0400/wdside.html.

⁶The Hydro1K dataset which is a comprehensive global geographic database at a resolution of 1 km that includes streams, drainage basins and ancillary layers derived from the 30 arc-second digital elevation model (DEM) of the world (GTOPO30) generated by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS, 2015) and has been used for large scale river flow modelling by (Cole et al., 2014; Gebretsadik et al., 2012).

et al., 2016). There are also a series of studies that apply SWAT to separate basins in dif-72 ferent parts of Vietnam: the North (Wang and Ishidaira, 2012; Phan et al., 2011; Ngo et al., 73 2015), the Central Coast (Giang et al., 2014; Le and Sharif, 2015), the Central Highland 74 (Vu et al., 2012; Tram et al., 2014; Vu et al., 2015; Quyen et al., 2014), and the South (Ho 75 et al., 2013; Khoi and Suetsugi, 2014). Although a frequent application of SWAT is to as-76 sess the impact of climate change on hydrological processes (Phan et al., 2011; Giang et al., 77 2014; Le and Sharif, 2015; Vu et al., 2015) they have also been used to evaluate the impact 78 of human activities that take into account deforestation (Khoi and Suetsugi, 2014), forest 79 planting, soil protection, crop conversion (Ngo et al., 2015; Quyen et al., 2014), and hy-80 dropower construction and operation (Wang and Ishidaira, 2012; Le et al., 2014). Although 81 the application of these models varies they all attempt to inform policy makers on various 82 aspects of water management, agricultural land use, and energy supply. However, none of 83 these papers examine Vietnam at a national scale. 84

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the evolution of hydropower in Vietnam. Section 3 describes our methodology and data including the materials needed for our hydrological simulation, the SWAT model, the consolidation and transformation of hydropower and the regression techniques used in the paper. We present our results in Section 4. The final section concludes.

⁹⁰ 2 Hydropower in Vietnam

⁹¹ Understanding the hydrology of Vietnam is important for the reasons highlighted in the ⁹² introduction. Located in the south-east of the Indochinese peninsula, Vietnam's terrain is ⁹³ dominated by tropical hills and densely forested highlands. This means that Vietnam's low-

lands, which are suitable for agricultural cultivation, cover less than 25% of its area with 94 production concentrated in the Red River Delta (in the North) and the Cuu Long River Delta 95 (in the South). The majority of water resources (63.9% of total flows) are concentrated in 96 these basins while other parts of the country, that occupy more than 75% of the total area of 97 Vietnam, receive just over 35% of the national total river-runoff. Yet Vietnam is abundant 98 in water resources with 2,360 rivers above 10 km in length and 16 river basins above 2,500 99 km^2 in area. The annual run-off volume is around 847 km^3 . However a population of nearly 100 100 million means that the total water volume per capita is still only around 9,560m³ per 101 year compared to an average of global value of 10,000m³ according to the International 102 Water Resources Association (IWRA) (MONRE, 2012). 103

In the future, a rapidly growing economy and continued urbanization is expected to 104 increase pressure on water resources. In addition, Vietnam's river network is connected to 105 neighbouring countries with 72% of its largest combined basin of about 1.167 million km² 106 located beyond its border (MONRE, 2012). This means Vietnam's water availability could 107 be severely affected if upstream countries were to change their demand or decide to divert 108 or manipulate the river flow. Finally, although the monsoon tropical climate brings about a 109 high average of annual rainfall of around 1940mm, the mountainous and hilly terrain causes 110 a high degree of inter-annual rainfall variability. As a result, Vietnam's water availability can 111 change dramatically throughout the year. This means that foods and prolonged droughts can 112 occur within the same year and region. As a rule, the dry season lasts around 6-9 months 113 (with exact times varying across the country) and accounts for only 20-30% of annual run-114 off. At the same time, half of the 15 major basins experience a shortage of water (MONRE, 115 2012). 116

¹¹⁷ Under such challenging circumstances, dams, reservoirs, and their associated irrigation

systems play an important role in the water management of Vietnam. The combined total
storage capacity of reservoirs across the country is about 37 billion m³, which is equivalent
to 4.5% of Vietnam's average annual run-off. The network of over 7,000 dams means that
Vietnam is one of the most dammed in the world alongside the US and China (Pham and
Pham, 2014).

Our study covers the period 1995-2014 which is a time when Vietnam experienced rapid 123 growth in the demand for electricity. Between 1995-2005, demand for electricity grew on 124 average by 15% per annum as a result of an economic growth rate of around 7.5% per year 125 (Huu, 2015). At current growth rates, projections are that Vietnam's energy supply will need 126 to triple by 2020 with additional supply coming chiefly from petroleum, coal, natural gas, 127 and hydropower (ADB, 2015b). As a result, per capita energy consumption is projected to 128 increase to 5,400 kilowatt-hours by 2030 from 985 kilowatt-hours in 2010 (ADB, 2013). As 129 an inexpensive and available source of power, hydropower is a key component of the national 130 energy mix. The ten major rivers suitable for hydropower construction have an approximate 131 total potential capacity of 21,000-24,000 MW (UNIDO and ICSHP, 2013).⁷ In the last two 132 decades, Vietnam has increased construction of hydropower plants to the extent that it has 133 exploited nearly 70% of its theoretical hydropower potential (Huu, 2015).⁸ Hydropower cur-134 rently contributes 44% of Vietnam's installed power capacity (ADB, 2015a). As part of its 135 development plan looking ahead to 2035 (PDP7 revised) (Prime Minister, 2016), Vietnam 136 continues to prioritise the development of hydropower, especially multi-purpose projects 137 that combine flood control, irrigation, and electricity generation. The total capacity of hy-138 dropower plants is planned to increase from approximately 17,000 MW (2016) to 21,600 139

⁷For comparison, the total power installed capacity of Vietnam in 2015 is 38,642 MW. Hence, if hydropower's potential was to be fully exploited it could account for 54% - 62% of the total power installed capacity in 2015.

⁸Compared to a global average rate of 35%

¹⁴⁰ MW (2020)⁹. In addition, the pumped storage electricity plants are scheduled to have a total ¹⁴¹ capacity of 1,200 MW in 2025 and 2,400 MW in 2030.¹⁰

3 Material and methods

3.1 Material for hydrological simulation

To develop the SWAT model for Vietnam we use data generated through remote sens-144 ing. For the administrative boundary maps, we use the Global Administrative unit layers 145 (GAUL) (version 2015), which provides "the most reliable spatial information on admin-146 istrative units for all countries in the world" (FAO, 2015). Our hydrographic data comes 147 from HydroSHEDS (Hydrological data and maps based on SHuttle Elevation Derivatives 148 at multiple Scales) (Lehner et al., 2008) and its subset HydroBASINS (Lehner and Grill, 149 2013). HydroSHEDS is a derivative of the digital elevation model (DEM) at a 3 arc-second 150 resolution of the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM). The elevation data was void-151 filled, hydrologically processed, and corrected to produce a consistent and comprehensive 152 suite of geo-referenced data that enables the analysis of upstream and downstream connec-153 tivity of watersheds. Among the subsets of the HydroSHEDS database, the polygon layers 154 that depict watershed boundaries and sub-basin delineations at a global scale critical for 155 hydrological analysis are termed HydroBASINS. HydroBASINS delineates and codes sub-156

⁹However, the share of hydropower in national electricity production is predicted to fall to 29.5% by 2020 and 15.5% by 2030 (due to faster growth of other components of the energy sector).

¹⁰Vietnam is predicted to be among the countries likely to be most affected by climate change (WB & MPI, 2016). This vulnerability has come to the attention of the government and international organizations (ADB, 2013; FAO, 2011; IFAD, 2010, 2014; IMHEN, 2010; IPCC, 2007; ISPONRE, 2009; MONRE, 2003, 2009, 2010, 2011; WB, 2010, 2011; WB and MPI, 2016) with the main threats being increasing temperature, altered rainfall patterns, and rising sea levels. For hydropower, higher temperatures are thought to lead to an increase in demand for energy (MONRE, 2010) whilst also affecting stream flows into hydropower plants (WB, 2011).

¹⁵⁷ basins purely based on topographic and hydrographic bases without any local information
¹⁵⁸ (for example the name of rivers/ basins). To mitigate this, we utilize the basin and river
¹⁵⁹ layers of the dataset named "Rivers in South and East Asia" derived from HydroSHEDS
¹⁶⁰ by FAO (2014) which provides a river and basins network that simplifies HydroBASINS to
¹⁶¹ include annotated attributes, such as the name of each large river and basin and the tentative
¹⁶² classification of perennial and intermittent streams.

For our analysis we require a large amount of consistently collected weather data. Al-163 though Vietnam has an intensive network of meteorological stations, access to the data to 164 such a large number of stations over a long time period is prohibitively costly. Even if access 165 were possible, inconsistencies in data availability (especially the missing data in weather se-166 ries) prevents us using this data for watershed analysis on a large scale. In addition, since 167 Vietnam shares river basins with Laos, Cambodia and China, the weather data outside of 168 Vietnam would also be needed since variations in rainfall and temperature in the neighbour-169 ing countries could affect the discharge of downstream rivers in Vietnam. Our solution is to 170 use a high quality gridded weather database that supports SWAT applications from the Cli-17 mate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) by the US's National Centers for Environmental 172 Prediction (NCEP) (Saha et al., 2010, 2014). To obtain information on soil profile, we use 173 the Digital Soil Map of the World (DSMW) version 3.6 (FAO, 2007). The (physical and 174 chemical) characteristics of each soil unit are tabulated by Schuol et al. (2008). For land 175 cover information, we use the University of Maryland Department of Geography (UMD) 176 Land Cover classification collection at the 1km pixel resolution (Hansen et al., 1998, 2000). 177 The resolution of materials for the simulation are summarized in Table 1. 178

179 **[Table 1 about here]**

3.2 The SWAT hydrological simulator

SWAT (Arnold et al., 1998) is a continuation of thirty years of non-point source modelling by 181 the US Department of Agriculture (USDA), the Agricultural Research Service (ARS), and 182 Texas A&M University.¹¹ The model is a physically based, continuous, semi-distributed 183 model that was initially developed to project the impact of land management practices on 184 water, sediment and agricultural chemical yields in large complex catchment areas under 185 various soil conditions, land use and management over a long time period (Neitsch et al., 186 2009). More recently it has been incorporated into a variety of GIS interfaces such as SWAT/ 187 GRASS (Srinivasan and Arnold, 1994), ArcView-SWAT (AVSWAT) (Di Luzio et al., 2004) 188 and ArcSWAT (Olivera et al., 2006). 189

SWAT enables the simulation of numerous physical and chemical processes: discharge, 190 erosion, nutrients, pesticides and management (rotations and water use) (Neitsch et al., 191 2009). It divides a given watershed into a number of sub-basins mainly based on topog-192 raphy characteristics given a chosen number or size of sub-basin. This process is typically 193 referred to as watersheds delineation. However, each sub-basin is not treated as a lump but 194 instead each sub-basis in broken down into different hydrologic response units (HRU) each 195 considered as a homogenous unit with their own unique set of land cover, soil and manage-196 ment features. The hydrological cycle of a watershed is simulated based on two processes. 197 First, the land phase controls the amount of water flowing to the main channel of each sub-198 basin and second, the routing phase controls the movement of water through the channel 199 (river) network to an outlet of the watershed. 200

¹¹Other federal agencies also contributed to the model, including the US Environmental Protection Agency, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, the National Oceanic and the Atmospheric Administration and the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

The land phase The land phase of the hydrological cycle is based on the water balance equation:

$$SW_{t} = SW_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \left(R_{day} - Q_{surf} - E_{a} - w_{seep} - Q_{gw} \right) (mm H_{2}O)$$
(1)

where the final soil water content (SW_t) is made up of the sum of initial soil water content (SW_0) and a daily-time-step summation of the difference between the amount of precipitation (R_{day}) , and the sum of the amount of surface runoff (Q_{surf}) , evapotranspiration (E_a) , percolation and bypass flow exiting the soil profile bottom (w_{seep}) , and return flow (Q_{gw}) .

Equation (1) captures potential pathways of water movement simulated by SWAT. Once 208 precipitation descends, it is either intercepted or held in the vegetation canopy or falls to the 209 soil surface. Water on the soil surface infiltrates into the soil profile or flows overland as 210 runoff. Runoff moves relatively quickly toward a stream channel and contributes to a short-211 term stream response. Infiltrated water is either held in the soil and later evapotranspried or 212 slowly makes its way to the surface water system via underground paths. Different crops 213 and soils appear with varying evapotranspiration. Runoff is projected individually for each 214 HRU then combined to obtain a total runoff for the watershed. Details about each phase can 215 be found in Arnold et al. (1998); Neitsch et al. (2009). 216

Routing phase The lump of runoff in each sub-basin is calculated and then routed to the main channel through the stream network using either the variable storage coefficient method by Jimmy (1969) or the Muskingum routing method. The underlying principle is that water discharges downstream except for that part that is lost due to evaporation and

transmission through the bed of the channel and the removal for agricultural and human use.

222 3.3 Hydrological simulation

In this paper we simulate river flow for Vietnam using the ArcSWAT 10.2 interface (SWAT
model incorporated in ArcGIS 10.2).

The first step is to delineate the watershed. The watershed we study is a combination of three large basins as defined by the "FAO Rivers in South and East Asia": Red River (165,007 km²), Vietnam Coast (186,187 km²), and a part of Mekong River (similar to Lower Mekong River with an area of 626,771 km²). The total area of the watershed is 977,964 km².

Figure 1 shows the transboundary watershed shared by Vietnam, China, Myanmar, Lao 230 PDR, Thailand and Cambodia. The area within Vietnam accounts for 32.22% the total area 231 of the watershed and covers 96.15% (315,122 km²/327,727 km²) of Vietnam's mainland 232 area. The remainder belongs to the Bang Giang – Ky Cung river basin, which makes no 233 meaningful contribution to Vietnam's overall hydropower production. ArcSWAT offers two 234 options for breaking the watersheds into smaller sub-basins: burning a river network from 235 a DEM input or using layers that predefine sub-basins and the river network. We chose 236 the second option to take advantage of the HydroBASINS dataset. Hence, the watershed is 237 divided into 7,887 sub-basins at level 12 of HydroBASINS. 238

[Figure 1 about here]

Table 2 summarises the sub-basin data and shows that their areas vary from 0.2 km² to 368.6 km², with a mean of 131.6 km². The use of HydroBASINS has two advantages

over using DEM. First, HydroBASINS was derived from HydroSHEDS, which already 242 hydrographically conditioned DEM. DEM like SRTM has some characteristics, artefacts, 243 and anomalies unfavourable for hydrologic application (Lehner, 2013).¹² Second, Hy-244 droBASINS provides two nested coding systems (Pfafstetter codes and HydroSHEDS ID). 245 These codes are a useful for our spatial analysis as they help us to determine systematically 246 the upstream- downstream relationship between dams. Despite using predefined basins, a 247 DEM is still required to calculate the topography characteristics of each basin and hence we 248 used the HydroSHEDS void-filled DEM for this purpose. 249

[Table 2 about here]

ArcSWAT divides each sub-basin into HRUs using a combination of soil, land use and 251 slope layers. The soil and land use layers are listed in subsection 3.1. The slope layer was 252 created by ArcSWAT using the HydroSHEDS void-filled DEM. We determined three classes 253 of slope in line with the soil slope classification of DSMW: 0-8% (class 1), 8-30% (class 254 2) and above 30% (class 3), which respectively contribute 64.12%, 31.51%, and 4.37% to 255 the area of the watershed. Based on the above layers, ArcSWAT assigned multiple HRUs to 256 each watershed given our sensitivity thresholds (5% for land use and soil and 20% for slope). 257 In our final data set the watershed is divided into 7,887 sub-basins and 53,024 HRUs. Daily 258 data for each sub-basin on the maximum and minimum temperature, precipitation, wind 259 speed, relative humidity, and solar radiation were supplied from 2,755 weather gridded-260stations from the CFSR/NCEP dataset. 261

We simulated monthly river flow for the whole watershed for the period January 1995 to July 2014. The simulation period was chosen to best fit the available performance data of

¹²HydroSHEDS reduces errors by deepening open water surfaces, weeding coastal zones, burning stream, filtering, moulding valley courses, filling sinks and carving through barriers.

hydropower plants, subject to the availability of weather data. The model was also run for
5 years prior to this period for warming up purposes, which helps to establish the equalized
initial condition of soil water before simulation. The simulation used the method integrated
in ArcSWAT (Arnold et al., 1998; Neitsch et al., 2009) that modify the SCS curve number
procedure (SCS, 1972) to take into account the varying conditions of land uses and soil types
to estimate surface runoff and use the Penman-Monteith method (Allen, 1986; Allen et al.,
1989; Monteith, 1981) to estimate evapotranspiration.

3.4 Hydropower data consolidation and transformation

Our analysis focuses on river flow to the 40 largest hydropower plants in Vietnam, which 272 belong to the 12 basins shown in Figure 2 and listed in Table 3. The location of these hy-273 dropower dams is mapped using a GIS software (ArcGIS) based on information from various 274 sources including the Vietnam Energy Map of Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO), 275 WB (2014), United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change - Clean Develop-276 ment Mechanism (UNFCCC-CDM) database, hydropower companies' websites, and local 277 news websites. Finally, we validated and refined the dataset using observations from Google 278 Earth. 279

[Figure 2 about here]

[Table 3 about here]

280

Our hydropower operation data at the plant level is from Electricity of Vietnam (EVN, 283 2015). The report provides information on total capacity, monthly gauged river flow data 284 of each plant, and electricity generation of 40 of the largest hydropower plants of Vietnam

between 1995-2014. Vietnam classifies hydropower plants into two categories: small (un-285 der 30 MW and managed by local governments) and large (above 30MW and managed by 286 central government). WB (2014) further divides the latter group into medium (from 30MW 287 to under 100MW) and large hydropower plants (above 100 MW). The majority of plants on 288 our list of 40 are defined as large and all of them are managed by the central government. 289 Figure 3 shows that the combined installed capacity of these plants accounts for 75-85% of 290 all hydropower sources which in turn accounts for 35% -53% of all energy sources across 291 Vietnam. 292

[Figure 3 about here]

As part of the data cleaning process we transformed the data in a number of ways. The 294 original power generation data was monthly and measured in million kWh. However, the 295 number of days within a month varies between 28 to 31. Our solution is to divide the 296 monthly electricity generation by the number of days per month and re-scale it into MWh. 297 Initially we had a simple measure of the installed capacity of plants after full installation. 298 However, as there were no additional developments to existing hydropower plants during our 299 period of analysis, this variable is time-invariant and would be absorbed by the fixed effects 300 in our regressions. In other words, under such circumstances, we would n2ed to exclude the 301 capacity variable as there would be perfect multicollinearity between it and the dam fixed 302 effects, which already capture any time-invariant dam-specific characteristics. To mitigate 303 this, we take advantage of the fact that each plant is comprised of several generators that 304 are typically commissioned at different times. More precisely, it can take a plant months or 305 even years to be fully operational after the commissioning of its first generator. Hence, in 306 reality the installed capacity of each plant is time varying during the installation phase and 307 time-invariant from that point onwards. We gather information on the operation date of each 308

generator from the website of plants or local online newspapers, and then adjust the installed 309 capacity of each plant to reflect the real operation of each generator. Fortunately, the first run 310 of each generator in a large hydropower plant is an important event to investors and local 311 residents and hence this information is fully recorded. As a result, the installed capacity 312 variable, after transformation, became time-varying and can be included in a regression 313 with fixed effects. All plants in our sample are of the storage type and many have large 314 government regulated reservoirs. The total capacity of reservoirs ranges from 30.4 million 315 m^3 to 9.8 billion m^3 and the mean size is 1.1 billion m^3 .¹³ 316

To enable us to investigate the interaction between dams on the same river system, we 317 need to determine the upstream-downstream relationship between dams. To do this we 318 use the HydroSHEDS identifiers from the HydroBASINS dataset at the most disaggregated 319 level. HydroBASINS provides two systems of basin coding: HydroSHEDS identifiers and 320 Pfafstetter code (Verdin and Verdin, 1999). We use the former as the HydroSHEDS identi-321 fiers is more consistent and each sub-basin is assigned one unique identifier and routed to 322 (only) one immediate downstream sub-basin or the sea/itself (if it is an outlet) or an out-323 let (if it is an endorheic sink).¹⁴ Table 4 shows that, after tracing all routes, that there are 324 13 hydropower cascades detected in 9 of the 12 basins studied comprised of 36 upstream-325 downstream pairs. We then consolidated with local information (websites of hydropower 326 plants, local newspapers and so on). The distance between each upstream plant and its 327 downstream counterparts in Table 4 is measured by the number of HydroSHEDS sub-basins 328

¹³Information about these reservoirs is from the 11 most recent PM decisions on inter-reservoir management procedures in 11 basins: Ba (1077/QD-TTg -2014), Sesan (1182/QD-TTg 2014), Srepok (1201/QD-TTg 2014), Ca (2125/QD-TTg 2015), Huong (2482/QD-TTg 2015), Kon Ha Thanh (1841/QD-TTg 2015), Ma (1911/QD-TTg 2015), Tra Khuc (1840/QD-TTg - 2015), Red River (1622/QD-TTg -2015), Vu Gia Thu Bon (1537/QD-TTg 2015), Dong Nai (471/QD-TTg -2016). For smaller reservoirs, data were collected from internet sources. The smallest plant among those studied has a full installed capacity of 44 MW. The largest one is more than 50 times greater (2,400 MW) and the average one is about 300 MW.

¹⁴Because of the challenges in the latter in determining the downstream plants; for example, the difference in routing between inter-basins and basins and the skip of coding due to endorheic sinks and islands.

shared between them. The exception is Ham Thuan and Da Mi where the zero-distance
reflects the fact that the dams are located in the same sub-basin.

[Table 4 about here]

We create two proxies for the operation of an upstream dam: the combined installed 332 capacity of upstream dams and the combined production of upstream dams. Some dams 333 have many upper dams that are operated at different times and we need to fill in all missing 334 values before aggregating. The installed capacity and production of plants before they begin 335 their operation are assigned zero values. Any missing values from upstream dams are filled 336 in using the predicted values of a double log regression of non-missing production values 337 on its installed capacity and simulated discharge.¹⁵ The double-log regression has lower 338 predictability than the double-level regression; however, it ensures that the predicted values 339 are positive. The variable coefficients then measure the average impact of upstream dams 340 nationwide. 341

Table 5 provides summary statistics for our key variables of interest. We model monthly 342 hydropower generation using data on installed capacity and simulated discharge. We con-343 sider the period from January 1995 to July 2014 and since the majority of Vietnam's hy-344 dropower plants were commissioned after 1995, the panel is unbalanced. Our final sample 345 includes 2,984 observations with a mean generation of 4.39 MWh per day. The highest pro-346 duction record is 46.8 MWh (Son La on August 2014). Recall that the installed capacity, 347 disaggregated at the generator level, is time variant and ranges from 22MW to 2,400 MW 348 with a mean of 376.3 MW. The simulated flows in the sample vary from 0.12 - 9,105 cubic 349 metres per second and the combined installed capacity of upstream dams varies from 0 to 350

¹⁵The model for each plant (*i*) is $ln(Gen_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ln(CAP_t) + \beta_2 ln(Flow_t) + \varepsilon_t$.

2,820 MW. The combined production of upstream dams varies from 0 to 45,781 MWh/day.

ITable 5 about here

353 3.5 Simulation validation

To evaluate the performance of the river flow model in general and the SWAT application in 354 particular, a common approach is to compare the gauged flow with the simulated flow based 355 on statistics established and documented prior to the modelling. There are numerous statis-356 tics that can be used for this purpose that are usually put into 3 different categories: standard 357 regression, dimensionless, and error index (Moriasi et al., 2007). In this study, since river 358 flows are simulated to serve as an explanatory variable in subsequent regression analyses, 359 we are interested in the variation in discharge rather than the level. Hence, for validation we 360 intend to use two standard regression statistics: Pearson's correlation coefficient r and the 361 coefficient of determination R^2 . The gauged data for validation are monthly average inflows 362 to dams from the same source as hydopower generation data. The data are only available 363 for dams during their operation time hence the length of gauged series vary across dams. 364 It should also be noted that there are many missing values hence gauged series are shorter 365 than the generation series. Validation is applied for dams with gauged series long enough 366 (at least 30 observations) to provide statistically reliable results. 367

Pearson's correlation coefficient *r* measures the degree of the linear relationship between two types of data, ranging from -1 (perfect negative correlation) to 1 (perfect positive correlation) (Krause et al., 2005; Moriasi et al., 2007). To have confidence in our simulated data we expect a sensible river flow model to have a positive *r* with a magnitude close to one. As we do not have any guide on specific threshold to classify the fit of the model based on *r*,

we report the significance at conventional levels (i.e., whether two variables are significantly correlated or not).

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_{i}^{obs} - \bar{Y}^{obs} \right) \left(Y_{i}^{sim} - \bar{Y}^{sim} \right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_{i}^{obs} - \bar{Y}^{obs} \right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_{i}^{sim} - \bar{Y}^{sim} \right)^{2}}}$$
(2)

The coefficient of determination R-squared measures the percentage of the change in observed data explained by a best-fit regression line using simulated data as an explanatory variable. The value is bounded between 0 and 1, and the higher it is, the better the match between observed data and simulated data. A value above 0.5 is considered acceptable (Santhi et al., 2001; Van Liew et al., 2007).

M

380 **3.6 Regression method**

To estimate hydropower generation using simulated flows, we rely on the linear panel data 38 model and the pooled ordinary least squares (POLS) estimator. See Appendix A for more 382 details. For inference purposes, we make minimal assumptions about the error term and rely 383 on standard errors that are robust to heteroscedasticity and contemporaneous and lagged 384 spatial correlation computed by statistical package STATA and command *xtscc* (Hoechle, 385 2007). They are derived from the non-parametric covariance matrix estimator by Driscoll 386 and Kraay (1998) adjusted for an unbalanced panel. Driscoll and Kraay (1998) standard 387 errors are used because the normal i.i.d assumptions are not appropriate as the variances in 388 the errors are likely to be larger for the larger plants. In addition, power generation from 389 different plants, especially those within the same basin, are likely to be correlated with each 390 other. Finally, the electricity generation from any given plant at a particular time is not in-391

dependent of its lagged values. To assess the goodness-of-fit of our models, we consider the adjusted R-squared (\bar{R}^2) that measures the percentage of variation in the dependent variable explained by a model with a penalty for excessive regressors. We employ a number of specifications to model hydropower generation as follows:

Baseline regression In the first stage we examine the degree to which simulated river flow explains the production of electricity from hydropower plants. Our baseline specification follows Cole et al. (2014) and assumes that the main determinants of hydropower generation (*Gen*) are a quadratic function of river flow (*Flow*) and dam capacity (*CAP*):

$$Gen_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 F low_{it} + \beta_2 F low_{it}^2 + \beta_3 CAP_{it} + \lambda_t + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(3)

where subscripts *i* and *t* refer to a hydropower plant and our unit of time, respectively. λ_t is included to capture all time-specific factors (monthly) that have a uniform effect on all hydropower plants. μ_i is the unobservable time-invariant hydropower plant fixed effect and ε_{it} is an idiosyncratic error term. One should note that our specification differs from Cole et al. (2014) in that they studied hydropower generation on a continental scale for Africa at yearly intervals, while our study is on a national scale for Vietnam using monthly data.

The calculation of electricity production from a hydropower plant is given by:

$$P = \eta \times \rho \times g \times Q \times H \tag{4}$$

where P is the power produced at the transformer (million MW), η is the overall effi-

ciency of the power plant, ρ is the density of water (1000 kg/m³), *g* is the acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s2), *Q* is the volume flow rate passing through the turbine (m³/s), and *H* is the net head (m). By assigning a typical overall efficiency of 87% (IFC, 2015) it reduces the formula to:

$$P(kW) = 8.5 \times Q \times H$$

(5)

The installed capacity is one of the most prominent features of a hydro plant, which can 412 be calculated by equation (4) using design discharge, net head, and the overall efficiency 413 for a given design discharge. If the river flow rate passing through a turbine is equal to 414 its design discharge, the production of electricity is proportionate to the installed capacity. 415 If the flow rate is higher or lower than the design discharge, there will be more or less 416 electricity generated. The gap between the flow rate and the design discharge can be sensibly 417 proxied by the variation in the river flow into a hydropower plant. We expect positive signs 418 for both explanatory variables in a linear specification ($\beta_1, \beta_3 > 0$). The linear function 419 of the inflow's impact on hydropower electricity generation is based on the assumption of 420 constant overall efficiency. In practice, efficiency is a non-linear function of the deviation 421 between the turbine discharge and the optimal value, the outcome of which is subject to the 422 type of turbine. Figure 4 presents a stylized version of the efficiency profiles of different 423 turbine types and shows that plant efficiency is low when the discharge is far below the 424 optimal value, and then increases. This suggests that a quadratic function of discharge with 425 a negative coefficient for the squared term ($\beta_2 < 0$) is a more appropriate functional form to 426 model hydropower production. 427

428 [Figure 4 about here]

Besides the key determinants, our baseline model includes two-way fixed effects (λ_t + 429 $\mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$). Dam fixed effects μ_i are included to account for the unobserved features that are 430 unchanged overtime. As our SWAT model has not been calibrated, the parameters in the 431 rainfall-runoff model can not be considered optimal. Hence, the relationship between sim-432 ulated and actual inflows into a dam depends in part on the heterogeneous characteristics 433 of each sub-basin (for example soil composition, land cover distribution and topographic 434 features) and are partially absorbed by dam fixed effects. Dam fixed effects also capture 435 variations in turbine efficiency across dams. We include time fixed effects to control for com-436 mon trends over time. For example, the performance of satellite data on which our SWAT 437 model relied may varying across the months of a year and across years. Likewise, time 438 fixed effects will control for any time-varying fluctuations in the demand for electricity that 439 is common across the country. Finally, besides measurement error, the idiosyncratic error 440 term (ε_{it}) captures any unobserved factors that vary across either time or dams, for example, 441 production adjustments due to regional changes in demand, deviations from overall turbine 442 efficiency, the storage and releasing of water from dam reservoirs, and any hydropower plant 443 interactions. 444

Flood control regression A key feature of hydropower plants is they important role they play in flood control. In Vietnam, 3 basins have reservoirs with a flood control capability namely Hong–Thai Binh River, Ma River and Huong River (MONRE, 2012).¹⁶ Even hydropower plants without a specific flood control function are able to store flood water as a means to smooth electricity production across time.

⁴⁵⁰ To quantify the degree to which the flood control function of dams affects production of ¹⁶Lo–Gam–Chay River and Da River are parts of larger Hong–Thai Binh River.

⁴⁵¹ hydropower we extend the baseline specification to include proxies for floods. We estimate ⁴⁵² three alternative specifications: (1) a dummy variable $Flood_{it}$ (defined as flows that exceed ⁴⁵³ the mean flow at each hydropower plant by at least one standard error), (2) its interaction ⁴⁵⁴ terms with inflows into hydropower plants and (3) both (1) and (2) together. The final ⁴⁵⁵ specification is given by:

 $Gen_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 F low_{it} + \beta_2 F low_{it}^2 + \beta_3 CAP_{it} + \gamma_0 F lood_{it} + \gamma_1 F low_{it} \times F lood_{it} + \lambda_t + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$ (6)

where the assumption is that utilizing a dam's flood control role is at the expense of a reduction in hydropower generation even though this shortfall in power generation may be considered socially and economically desirable. We expect the estimated coefficients on our flood variables to be negative (γ_0 , $\gamma_1 < 0$).

Dam interactions Finally, a little understood issue is how hydropower plants interact with each other. Hydropower generation in many basins requires coordination between plants that share a common water resource. Knowledge of how these interactions work could facilitate improvements in the allocation of existing water resources.

There are a number of reasons why an upstream plant may potentially influence the power generation of downstream plants that can be related to either their construction or operation. For example, the building of a hydropower plant is typically associated with a degree of deforestation and hence an increase in river-runoff. As a result, downstream discharge and electricity generation may increase.¹⁷ Alternatively, some hydropower plants

¹⁷A report from the National Assembly reveals that 160 hydropower projects in 29 cities and provinces

construct weirs that diverts water to an 'off-site' facility that enables it to create a higher 469 head for electricity generation (Hecht and Lacombe, 2014) but at the cost of a reduction in 470 river flow into downstream rivers which in turn lowers the production of hydropower plants 471 in those down stream locations. In terms of operation, upstream plants with large storage 472 facilities and no water diversion normally adjust outflows in a way that favours downstream 473 electricity generation. By reducing extreme inflows into downstream plants it means that 474 the turbines can operate at higher efficiency levels leading to higher levels of electricity 475 production. 476

The actual effect of dam interactions is therefore an empirical question. To capture the 477 cascade effect our solution is to include a proxy for the operation of upstream dams into our 478 baseline specification. As part of our robustness checks we include the combined upstream 479 capacity and combined upstream production variables (as described in Subsection 3.4). We 480 further decompose the impact of cascades by adding interaction terms between upstream 481 operation variables and categorical variables of hydrological conditions (flood, normal and 482 drought). Finally, we investigate the heterogeneity in dam interaction across basins. Ac-483 cording to PanNature (2011), basin-based water management was adopted in Vietnam fairly 484 early.¹⁸ As basins have historically been managed by different organizations, dam interac-485 tion could vary across basin. We explore this heterogeneity by adding the interaction terms 486

over the period 2006-2012 converted an area of 19,792ha of forest land into land suitable for the location of hydropower plants (Le and Tran, 2016).

¹⁸Water Resources Law issued in 1998 sketched river basin plan regulation and the role of the River Basin Planning Management Commissions. The commissions then established the management of water resources in Cuu Long (Mekong) River (2001), Dong Nai River (2001), Hong–Thai Binh River (2001), Vu Gia–Thu Bon (2005) by MARD. Similarly, River Basin Councils with the participation of local governments and the involvement of communities were created to manage the Srepok River (2006) and Ca River. Subsequently, the water resource management function was passed from MARD to the newly set-up MONRE with the establishment of a number of River Basin Environment Protection Commissions in Cau River (2007), Dong Nai River (2008), and Nhue-Day River (2009). The ineffectiveness of various basin management organizations mentioned above was addressed by the Decree 120/2008/ND-CP on River Basin management, which sought to establish consistent basin-based water resources management and proposed the establishment of the River Basin Commissions to coordinate and supervise the activities of ministries, and local governments related to water resources planning and management.

⁴⁸⁷ between the proxies for upstream operation and dummies for each basin.

488 4 Results and discussions

489 4.1 Simulated discharge validation

The validation is made for simulated inflows to 24/40 studied hydropower dams (belonging 490 to 11/12 studied basins) that have at least 30 gauged observations for comparison.¹⁹ The as-491 sessment results are shown in Table 6. Overall, all simulated flows are positively correlated 492 with the gauged flows. The magnitude of the Pearson correlation coefficients (r) ranges from 493 0.33 (An Khe - Kanak) to 0.90 (Thac Mo). The mean and median of these coefficients are 494 0.70 and 0.72 respectively. Almost every correlation is significant at 1%. The exceptions 495 are An Khe - Kanak and Quang Tri, which are significant at 10% and 5% respectively. The 496 coefficients of determination (R^2) vary between 0.11 and 0.8. Based on the threshold of 0.5 497 for the this statistic, our rainfall-runoff model could be labelled as 'acceptable' for inflows 498 to 13/24 dams. Both the mean and median of these R^2 are 0.52 and exceed the threshold. 499

500 [Table 6 about here]

There are a number of reasons why the simulated data does not perfectly match the observed data. Firstly, the model may inherit errors in the data, especially when we need to rely on remote-sensing data for our large scale study. For example, the HydroSHEDS dataset is known to be exposed to error in coastal areas (Lehner, 2013) due to SRTM satellite operation characteristics (Farr et al., 2007). The problem is amplified as Vietnam is a coastal

¹⁹The only basin that has no dam validated is the Huong River Basin.

country and stretches along the sea. Our model perform better for basins in the North and the
South rather than those in the Central part of the country. It is indeed difficult to accurately
model discharge in small, narrow coastal basins like Huong River, or Thach Han River due
to the strong influence of the tide. In addition, we simulated the model with assumptions
of no change in topography, soil profile and land cover. We acknowledge that this is a
reasonably strong assumption over a long period of time.

As our time period covers a time when Vietnam experienced rapid economic develop-512 ment, the change in land cover could be considerable (for example deforestation and urban-513 ization). A boom of hydropower in Vietnam and countries upstream may also have a consid-514 erable impact on river flow regimes and sediment patterns. Finally, as our SWAT model was 515 not calibrated, the default parameters that we rely on may not be optimal for Vietnam. An 516 appropriate adjustment of the parameters ET, lateral flow, surface runoff, return flow and tile 517 flow processes (Arnold, Moriasi, et al., 2012) may improve the performance of the model. 518 However, we were not able to execute such an approach in this study due to a shortage of 519 longer observed flows that would be necessary for calibration. 520

Nevertheless, by exploiting information on the variation of discharge data rather than 521 its level, the simulated flows appears to be useful given the significant and relatively high 522 correlation with gauged flows. The introduction of fixed effects and dam interconnection 523 modelling in regressions are expected to help mitigate some of the problems mentioned 524 above. The above assessment also indicates that there are substantial similarities in SWAT 525 model performance for dams in the same basin. This is a signal of potential spatial corre-526 lation besides possible serial correlation and motivates our decision to adjust the standard 527 errors following Driscoll and Kraay (1998). See subsection 3.6 for details. 528

529 4.2 Regression results

4.2.1 Hydropower generation (baseline model)

First, to arrive at the baseline specification we add each regressor sequentially which en-531 ables us to consider the contribution of each explanatory variable to the operation of hydro-532 plants. The results from Columns (1) to (5) in Table 7 show that all the regressors in the 533 baseline specification appear with the expected signs and significance at the conventional 534 levels. Column (1) shows that discharge is the main explanatory variable for hydropower 535 electricity production with its variation alone explaining 64.4% of the variation in electric-536 ity generation. The non-linear specification in Column (2) provides additional explanatory 537 power and adds 1.5% to the goodness-of-fit. A negative and significant quadratic term sug-538 gests that higher discharge increases production but at a decreasing rate before it reaches a 539 turning point. Our finding is similar to that for African hydropower production shown in 540 (Cole et al., 2014). The reason for the inverted U is due to the variation in efficiency of 541 turbines discussed in Subsection 3.6^{20} In Column (3) we include installed capacity which is 542 found to be positive and significant and increases the adjusted R-squared by a further 19.3-543 percentage points to 0.853 and reduces the coefficients on both discharge and its squared 544 term by roughly a half. The inclusion in Columns (4) and (5) of plant and time fixed effects 545 respectively only marginally increases the goodness of fit. Our final baseline specification 546 in Column (5) explains 87.7% of the variation in hydropower electricity generation. 547

548 [Table 7 about here]

²⁰The average turning point is estimated to be approximately 9,820 (m^3/s) , which is out of range of the discharge sample.

549 4.2.2 Flood control

Column (6) of Table 7 includes a dummy for a flood and indicates that, on average, a plant 550 during those months of flooding produces 795.5 MWh per day less than during normal op-551 eration conditions (which is equivalent to 18.2% of the mean production of the sample). In 552 Column (7) we include the interaction term which suggests that a higher reduction in elec-553 tricity production mitigates a more severe flood. On average, a plant reduces its production 554 by 1 MWh/day to mitigate 1 (m^3/s) increase in flood flow. When we add both a dummy 555 for a flood and its interaction term with discharge in Column (8), only the coefficient of the 556 latter is statistically different from zero and the estimate of the coefficient is just below -1 557 (m^3/s) . It should be noted that as we do not model dynamics, the sacrifice of electricity 558 generation for flood control purposes should be interpreted as a contemporaneous response 559 rather than a permanent trade-off.²¹ Dams can use water stored during periods of flooding 560 to generate electricity at a later date. The large and significant coefficients estimated here 561 provide evidence of the substantial benefits of large dams to mitigate the adverse impact of 562 extreme weather events. 563

564 4.2.3 Hydropower plant cascade interactions

In Table 8 we present our estimates for the impact of hydropower cascades on hydropower production. In addition to our proxy for the operation of upstream dams we also include year and dam fixed effects. Our upstream dam proxies in Columns (1) and (3) are the sum of hydropower capacity installed upstream and the sum of hydropower generated upstream. The results show that upstream plant have a positive and significant impact on hydropower

²¹We thank an anonymous referee for raising this point.

production although capacity is only significant at the 10 percent level. The interpretation
of the coefficients is straightforward. Each additional MW of hydropower capacity installed
upstream increases the daily production of a downstream plant by 1.332 MWh (Column 1).
This is equivalent to 0.03% the mean production of the sample. Column (3) shows that an
increase by 1 MWh in the production of upstream plants adds 0.146 MWh to downstream
production.

576 [Table 8 about here]

In Columns (2) and (4) we include interaction terms to evaluate the synergies under 577 different hydrological conditions. Column (2) suggests that for downstream electricity gen-578 eration, on average each extra MW upstream adds 1.28 MWh in normal conditions, 2.721 579 MWh under extremely dry conditions and reduces production by 0.167 MWh under ex-580 tremely wet conditions. Column (4) indicates that a 1 MWh increase in upstream production 581 induces a rise in downstream production by 0.161 MWh under normal discharge conditions, 582 by 0.0634 MWh under flood conditions and 0.341 MWh in a drought. The differences be-583 tween the coefficients under extremely dry conditions and normal conditions is significant 584 at the 1% level. 585

⁵⁸⁶ Our results highlight that hydropower cascades can be successfully used to improve the ⁵⁸⁷ reliability of power supply and as an adaptation measure against future extreme weather ⁵⁸⁸ conditions. During period of drought an upstream plant can roughly double the production ⁵⁸⁹ of downstream plants but has a negligible effect during extreme wet conditions. The logic is ⁵⁹⁰ simple. Upstream plants store water during floods and release water during droughts, which ⁵⁹¹ in both cases improves the efficiency of downstream plants.

⁵⁹² To investigate the cascade effect further, in Table 9 we include hydropower dam inter-

actions at the basin scale. Since there are no cascades among the plants in the basins of the 593 Thach Han River, Kon-Ha Thanh River and Vu Gia–Thu Bon River, the results in Table 9 594 estimate the spillover effects for 9 basins only.²² Our results now suggest that the spillover 595 effect of upstream plants is not always positive. While synergies are found for the Da River, 596 Sesan River, and Srepok River, we find a negative spillover effect for the Dong Nai River, 597 Ba River, Ca River, Huong River, and Ma River. Although all of the positive synergies are 598 significant, the only negative and significant impact is for the Dong Nai River and the Ca 599 River. 600

[Table 9 about here]

An important question is whether these synergies were anticipated before the construc-602 tion of new upstream plants. If this is the case, if well coordinated, the construction of an 603 upstream plant can be equivalent to extending downstream storage such that downstream 604 plants can store and release stored water to maximize power generation. An example of 605 coordinated construction comes from Srepok where the construction of the Buon Tua Srah 606 dam (86 MW) was predicted to enhance the generation of the Buon Kuop and Srepok 3 607 dams by 77 million kWh and 34.8 million kWh, respectively (VINACONEX, 2017). In the 608 Da River basin, the installation of Son La dam (2400 MW), the largest hydropower dam of 609 Vietnam (and in Southeast Asia), was expected to increase the annual production of Hoa 610 Binh dam by 1.26 billion kWh (Vietnam National Committe on Large Dams and Water 611 Resources Development, 2006). Similarly, the operation of Ban Chat dam (220MW), up-612 stream of Son La dam since 2013, was expected to add nearly 0.4 billion kWh per year to 613 two downstream dams (Vietnam National Committe on Large Dams and Water Resources 614

²²The estimates for Ca River, Huong River and Srepok River using interaction terms for upstream dams installed capacity were dropped due to the perfect multicollinearity with dam fixed effects.

Development, 2015). Finally, in the Sesan river, the most upstream hydropower plant (Plei
Krong 1 – 100 MW) was supposed to increase the installed capacity of downstream plants
(Yaly, Sesan 3 and Seasan 4) by 157.3 MW and to increase production by 217.1 million
kWh/year (Ialy Hydropower Company, 2017)

The negative spillovers are most likely the result of the deliberate diversion of water by 619 upstream dams. Given the multi-purpose nature of dams, this negative impact may be inten-620 tional. The claim is that the Dai Ninh dam and Dong Nai 3 dam in the Dong Nai River were 621 constructed to help prevent flooding in downstream districts of Lam Dong province and to 622 reduce the flooding pressure on the most downstream hydropower dams in this basin (Tri 623 An dam) (Pham, 2014). At other times, droughts caused by hydropower dams is unintended 624 and undesirable. One of the most frequently criticised is the case of An Khe-Kanak dam 625 (173 MW) which, after starting operation, began to store water from the Ba River but to dis-626 charges water into the Kon River to create a higher head and to improve its own production 627 (MONRE, 2012). The result was that a downstream segment of Ba River became dry and 628 adversely affected the welfare of nearby residents. 629

Although our results are robust to different specifications, it is worth noting that while 630 we control for dam heterogeneity and time heterogeneity, there may still be some omitted 631 variable bias if there are unobserved factors that vary both spatially and temporally. One 632 example might be variations in weather conditions such as temperature and rainfall which 633 are correlated with discharge and therefore could simultaneously affect hydropower supply 634 through changes in demand for electricity. In this case, hot weather induces higher demand 635 for cooling. However, over our time period, power transmission and distribution in Viet-636 nam was centrally managed which should mean that these factors have a uniform impact 637 on plants and hence be absorbed by time fixed effects. In addition, as hydropower was the 638

cheapest form of electricity generation, it is used to cover the base load and hence should 639 be less sensitive to demand fluctuations than other energy sources. A second concern is 640 that physical processes like land cover changes, soil degradation and sediment transporta-641 tion that were excluded from our SWAT model, could bring about a degree of measurement 642 error. For simplicity, we assume that this source of error is exogenous. Finally, Vietnam is 643 located downstream of a large number of international rivers, such that upstream changes 644 could affect the discharge into Vietnam's basins. Although our SWAT model already ac-645 counts for variations in weather in upstream sub-basins outside Vietnam, the construction 646 and operation of neighbouring countries' hydropower plants is beyond the scope of this 647 study. For example, the construction of new hydropower plants in China has been blamed 648 for worsening the drought that hit Southeast Asia (The Diplomat, 2016). Vietnam and Laos 649 are also predicted to be the most badly affected from the proliferation of new hydropower 650 plants along the Mekong River (The Economist, 2012, 2016). 651

52 5 Conclusions

In this paper we apply the SWAT river flow model combined with regression analysis to explain the operation of hydropower plants on a national scale in a hydro-dependent country with a diversity of terrain and climate conditions. Although Vietnam has experienced a period of rapidly-growing demand for energy (12-15% per year), it also faces the challenge of potentially adverse impacts of climate change.

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to build a river flow model using SWAT for Vietnam as a whole. To take into account the high level of inter-connectivity between Vietnam's rivers and upstream sources beyond its border, the extent of our river flow model

covers a large part outside Vietnam. It includes three inter-boundary basins: Red River, 661 Vietnam Coast, and Lower Mekong River, in which Vietnam shares water resources with 662 China, Laos, Cambodia, Myanmar and Thailand. The watershed of 977,964 km² is di-663 vided into 7,887 sub-basins with a mean area of 131.6km² and 53,024 HRUs. Such a 664 detailed analysis is possible thanks to a variety of high-resolution datasets, especially Hy-665 droSHEDS/HydroBASINS, which is finer than Hyro1K and a topographic and hydrographic 666 dataset widely used in previous economic studies using river flow models. River-flow was 667 simulated for the period from 1995 to mid-2014, coinciding with a period when both power 668 supply and power demand of Vietnam increased dramatically. Since it is mainly dependent 669 on global datasets derived from satellite data, the method described within this study could 670 be easily replicated for other countries and regions. 671

Our regression analysis uses panel data fixed effects regression models to explain the operation of large hydropower plants across Vietnam. Simulated discharge is shown to be a good proxy for inflows into hydropower plants. Our results are similar to those of Cole et al. (2014) and show that installed capacity and a quadratic function of discharge are the key determinants of hydropower generation. Furthermore, our model shows evidence of a flood control benefit of large hydropower plants across Vietnam.

Finally, we used simulated flows to evaluate the coordination and the spillover effect among hydropower plants in Vietnam. Overall, the construction and operation of upstream plants improves the generation efficiency of downstream plants. The effect is particularly large during periods of drought, with a smaller but still positive effect for floods. However, the impact is not the same across all basins. The two basins that are the most important for hydropower provide contrasting results with a negative impact found for the Dong Nai River (in the South), although this is compensated for by a stronger flood control role to protect

the downstream area, while we find a strong positive synergy for the Da River (in the North).

In future research it would be interesting to also consider more carefully changes in 686 power demand, land cover, soil degradation, and sediment transportation. In addition, more 687 detailed calibration could improve the accuracy of the river flow model. However, due to 688 current data limitations we leave this for future research. Nevertheless, using simulated 689 discharge still manages to explain up to 87.7% of the monthly variation in hydropower 690 generation (using the two-way fixed effect regressor including installed capacity, discharge 691 and its squared term). This suggests that river flow simulated from a SWAT model, even 692 without calibration, serves as a good predictor for hydropower electricity generation. We 693 acknowledge that our flood measure is relatively coarse and is not able to capture all aspects 694 of floods in a monsoon context (to be able to differentiate the impacts of beneficial vs disaster 695 floods) and our static model of flood control benefit is not appropriate to model the long run 696 impact. Improvements in either flooding data or dynamic modelling techniques would be 697 useful for future research. Finally, our study concentrates on large dams and excludes the 698 impact of medium and small plants, which grew dramatically after 2010 and may impact 699 the hydropower plant cascade effect. Compared to large hydropower plants, the lack of 700 supervision, coordination and regulation with regards to the construction and operation of 701 small and medium hydropower in Vietnam is problematic (PanNature, 2010). Hence, one 702 should not generalize the results in this paper for hydropower dams of all sizes. 703

Our study has a number of policy implications. First, we provide evidence to that an hydropower operation with large reservoirs and cascades of hydropower plants can strengthen the resilience of the national power supply system against the adverse impact of future climate change. However, harmonizing the operation of plants that share common water resources is not simple, as evidenced by the finding of significant and negative spillovers

for some basins. Finally, although we only quantify the impact of hydropower plants on 709 downstream electricity generation, it is implicitly made clear that upstream plants can have 710 an important impact on downstream flow regime (seasonality, water availability and so on). 711 This implies potentially large impacts on downstream ecosystems and other economic activ-712 ity using water resources (i.e. agriculture), as well as the welfare of downstream inhabitants. 713 Given the numerous interconnections between Vietnam's rivers and those of its neighbours, 714 our findings also raise concerns about possible hydro-ecological consequences associated 715 with the proliferation of large upper dam projects on the Mekong River. The challenges 716 associated with inter-basin dam operation management are likely to be even harder when 717 cross-border coordination is required. 718

719 A Appendix: Regression estimators

Our method is based on the linear panel data model:

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_{it}; i = 1, 2, ..., N; t = 1, 2, ..., T$$

⁷²⁰ where *i* and *t* are respectively indices for dam and time, scalar *y* is the dependent variable, ⁷²¹ **x** is a $K \times 1$ vector of independent variables, which may contain an intercept, dummies and ⁷²² non linear variable (interaction and/or quadratic terms). β is a $K \times 1$ vector of unknown ⁷²³ coefficients, which are restricted to be common across time and dam. However, change in ⁷²⁴ parameters across dam or time are permitted by the inclusion of appropriate regressors in **x** ⁷²⁵ (for example time dummies or dam dummies).

Our coefficients are estimated using a pooled ordinary least squares (POLS) estimator:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}'_{it} \mathbf{x}_{it}\Big)^{-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}'_{it} y_{it}\Big)$$
(A1)

The estimator is shown to be consistent (Wooldridge, 2010, pg. 192) as long as $E(\mathbf{x}'_t \varepsilon_t) = 0$, for t = 1, 2, ..., T (exogeneity condition) and rank $\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} E(\mathbf{x}'_t \mathbf{x}_t)\right] = K$ (no perfect collinearity condition).

The goodness-of-fit of regressions are computed as:

$$R^{2} = \frac{\sum (\hat{y}_{it} - \bar{y})^{2}}{\sum (y_{it} - \bar{y})^{2}}$$
(A2)

$$\bar{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-K}(1-R^2)$$
(A3)

where \hat{y}_{it} and \bar{y} are respectively the predicted values and the mean of the observed values of the dependent variable. *n* is the number of observations. R^2 is bounded by 0 and 1. \bar{R}^2 never exceeds R^2 and can be negative if a poorly-performing model includes too many redundant regressors. In general, a model with higher R^2 or \bar{R}^2 fits the observed data better.

Figures





Source: Authors compiled from HydroSHEDS/HydroBASINS (Lehner et al., 2008; Lehner and Grill, 2013) and 'Rivers in South and East Asia' (FAO, 2014). Note: The resolution of HydroSHEDS void-filled DEM is 3 arc-seconds. The resolution of HydroBASINS river network is 15 arc-seconds.



Figure 2: Studied basins and hydropower plants

Source: Basins are derived from "FAO Rivers in South and East Asia" and MONRE (2012).

Figure 3: Total installed capacity of studied hydropower plants, as percentage of national energy capacity



Source: Authors calculated from EVN (2015).



Figure 4: Typical efficiency curves for different types of hydropower turbines

Source: (Kumar et al., 2011, p. 453)

Tables

Data types	Sources	Resolution
Predefined basins	HydroBASINS http://www.hydrosheds.org/page/hydrobasins	15 arc-seconds
and river networks	Rivers in South and East Asia http://ref.data.fao.org/map?entryId=dc2a5121-0b32- 482b-bd9b-64f7a414fa0d	30 arc-seconds
Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	HydroSHEDS void-filled DEM http://www.hydrosheds.org/	3 arc-seconds
Soil	Digital Soil Map of the World version 3.6 http://www.fao.org/geonetwork/srv/en/metadata.show? id=14116	5 arc minutes
Land cover	UMD Land Cover classification collection http://glcf.umd.edu/data/landcover/	1km pixels
Weather	CFSR-NCEP https://globalweather.tamu.edu/	19 arc-seconds

Table 1: Data description and sources for SWAT simulation

Note: See text for more details

Table 2: Summary statistics of subbasins

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
VARIABLES	Ν	mean	sd	min	max
All sub-basins within the watershed					
Area of the sub-basin	7,887	131.6	56.52	0.2	368.6
Total upstream area	7,887	15,443	77,756	0.4	774,282
Distance to the next downstream sink	7,887	951.4	754.4	0	3,628
Distance to the most downstream sink	7,887	957	751.3	0	3,628
Sub-basins within Vietnam					
Area of the sub-basin	2,617	131.9	58.4	0.2	368.6
Total upstream area	2,617	7,812	59,053	0.4	774,282
Distance to the next downstream sink	2,617	341.4	417.2	0	2,506
Distance to the most downstream sink	2,617	343.6	416.4	0	2,506

Source: Authors compiled from HydroBASINS dataset (level 12).

Note: N=Number of observations. sd= standard deviation

	Basin	Dams
	Lo - Gam - Chay	Thac Ba (120 MW), Tuyen Quang (342 MW), Bac Ha (90 MW)
	Da	Hoa Binh (1920 MW), Son La (2400 MW), Nam Chien (200 MW), Ban Chat (220 MW)
	Ma	Hua Na (180 MW), Cua Dat (97 MW)
	Ca	Khe Bo (100 MW), Ban Ve (320 MW)
	Thach Han	Quang Tri (64 MW)
	Huong	A Luoi (170 MW), Binh Dien (44 MW), Huong Dien (71 MW)
	Vu Gia – Thu Bon	Song Tranh 2 (190 MW), Dak Mi 4 (190 MW), A Vuong (210 MW), Song Con (63 (MW)
	Kon – Ha Thanh	Vinh Son (66 MW)
	Sesan	Sesan 4 (360 MW), Sesan 3 (260 MW), Yaly (720 MW), Plei Krong 1 (100 MW)
C	Ва	Song Hinh (70 MW), Song Ba Ha (220 MW), An Khe-Knak (173 MW)
P	Srepok	Buon Tua Srah (86 MW), Buon Kuop (280 MW), Srepok 3 (220 MW)
	Dong Nai	Tri An (400 MW), Da Mi (175 MW), Ham Thuan (300 MW), Dai Ninh (300 MW), Da Nhim (160 MW), Thac Mo (150 MW), Dong Nai 3 (180 MW), Dong Nai 4 (340 MW), Dak R'Tih (144 MW), Can Don (78 MW)

Table 3: Studied hydropower plants



43

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
VARIABLES	Ν	mean	sd	min	max
Dam statistics					
Year of Operation	40	2005	10.5	1964	2013
Total storage capacity (million m^3)	40	1,164	2,098	30.4	9,862
Full installed capacity (MW)	40	294	455	44	2,400
Production statistics (Jan 1995 – Jul 2	2014)				
Average production (<i>MWh/day</i>)	2,984	4,393	6,754	0	46,833
Installed capacity (MW)	2,984	376.3	521.4	22	2,400
Flow to dam (m^3/s) ; SWAT simulation	2,984	465.5	979.5	0.120	9,105
Upstream capacity (MW)	2,984	141.9	360.9	0	2,820
Upstream production (MWh/day)	2,984	1,615	4,161	0	45,781

Table 5: Summary statistics

Note: N=Number of observations. sd= standard deviation

Dam	Basin	Capacity (MW)	N	r	R^2
Thac Ba	Lo - Gam - Chay	120	235	.82***	.67*
Tuyen Quang	Lo - Gam - Chay	342	70	.8***	.64*
Hoa Binh	Da	1920	235	.88***	.78*
Son La	Da	2400	43	.81***	.65*
Cua Dat	Ma	97	31	.75***	.56*
Ban Ve	Ca	320	31	.68***	.47
Quang Tri	Thach Han	64	31	.41**	.17
A Vuong	Vu Gia - Thu Bon	210	31	.6***	.36
Vinh Son	Kon - Ha Thanh	66	209	.7***	.49
Sesan 3	Sesan	260	31	.54***	.29
Yaly	Sesan	720	171	.73***	.53*
Plei Krong 1	Sesan	100	31	.82***	.68*
Song Hinh	Ba	70	171	.86***	.73*
Song Ba Ha	Ba	220	31	.69***	.48
An Khe - Kanak	Ba	173	31	.33*	.11
Buon Kuop	Srepok	280	31	.71***	.5*
Tri An	Dong Nai	400	235	.82***	.67*
Da Mi	Dong Nai	175	122	.42***	.17
Ham Thuan	Dong Nai	300	160	.53***	.28
Dai Ninh	Dong Nai	300	70	.68***	.46
Da Nhim	Dong Nai	160	235	.65***	.42
Thac Mo	Dong Nai	150	223	.9***	.8*
Dong Nai 3	Dong Nai	180	31	.85***	.73*
Can Don	Dong Nai	78	31	.86***	.74*

Table 6: Simulated discharge validation

Note: Capacity indicates full installed capacity. N indicates number of observations. Significance level for Pearson correlation coefficient (*r*): *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. For the coefficient of determination (R^2) to indicate acceptable model (Santhi et al., 2001; Van Liew et al., 2007): * ($R^2 \ge 0.5$).

L	lable 7: Pro	duction regr	ession using	simulated d	ischarge			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)
VARIABLES	<u> </u>		ł	Average produc	tion (MWh/da)	(/		
Intercept	1,818***	1,382***	76.50	1,645***	901.4***	899.6***	825.5***	826.9***
	(155.3)	(121.4)	(106.5)	(217.7)	(229.7)	(232.8)	(237.5)	(236.1)
Flow to dam (m^3/s) ; SWAT simulation	5.533***	7.459***	3.108^{***}	4.198***	4.478***	4.627***	4.641***	4.647***
	(0.339)	(0.487)	(0.370)	(0.464)	(0.554)	(0.574)	(0.587)	(0.594)
Flow to dam (m^3/s) ; SWAT simulation squared		-0.000392***	-7.39e-05	-0.000212**	-0.000228**	-0.000233**	-0.000102	-0.000105
		(0.000105)	(8.71e-05)	(8.64e-05)	(9.24e-05)	(9.25e-05)	(0.000108)	(0.000118)
Installed capacity (MW)			7.858***	6.319***	5.856***	5.904***	5.996***	5.996***
			(0.375)	(1.118)	(1.186)	(1.185)	(1.190)	(1.190)
Flood				P		-795.5***		-48.06
						(266.1)		(286.5)
Inflow*Flood							-1.001**	-0.981**
					5		(0.386)	(0.454)
Observation number	2,984	2,984	2,984	2,984	2,984	2,984	2,984	2,984
R-squared	0.644	0.660	0.854	0.875	0.889	0.890	0.892	0.892
Adjusted R-squared	.644	.659	.853	.873	.877	.879	.881	.881
Dam dumnies				Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ
Time dummies					Υ	Y	Υ	Υ
Driscoll-Kraay standard errors in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.								

Table 8: The syne	rgies of hvdi	ropower case	ades	~
	(1)	(2)	(2)	
VARIARIES	(1)	(2) Average product	(3)	(4)
VANIADELS		Average product		
Variables for dam operation			6	
Installed capacity (MW)	5.876***	5.880***	5.859***	5.834***
	(1.182)	(1.176)	(1.190)	(1.184)
Flow to dam (m^3/s) ; SWAT simulation	4.476***	4.984***	4.359***	4.865***
	(0.544)	(0.554)	(0.559)	(0.568)
Flow to dam (m^3/s) ; SWAT simulation squared	-0.000225**	-0.000275***	-0.000216**	-0.000267***
	(9.19e-05)	(9.17e-05)	(9.14e-05)	(9.11e-05)
Variables for upstream dam operation				
Upstream capacity (MW)	1.332*	1.280		
	(0.705)	(0.853)		
Upstream capacity (MW)*Flood	*	-1.447**		
		(0.689)		
Upstream capacity (MW)*Drought		1.441**		
		(0.574)		
Upstream production (<i>MWh</i>)			0.146**	0.161**
			(0.0598)	(0.0695)
Upstream production (MWh)*Flood				-0.0976*
				(0.0541)
Upstream production (MWh)*Drought				0.180***
				(0.0446)
Intercept	-429.8	-553.0	-430.3	-523.5
	(464.2)	(456.5)	(468.7)	(464.6)
Observation number	2,984	2,984	2,984	2,984
R^2	.891	.893	.892	.895
Adjusted R^2	.88	.88	.88	.88

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Dam fixed effects and time fixed effects are included. Driscoll-Kraay standard errors are in parentheses.

	(1)		(2)	
VARIABLES	Avera	age productic	n (MWh/day)	
Installed capacity (MW)	5.724***	(1.165)	5.716***	(1.164)
Flow to dam (m^3/s) ; SWAT simulation	4.719***	(0.533)	4.625***	(0.545)
Flow to dam (m^3/s) ; SWAT simulation squared	-0.000247***	(8.69e-05)	-0.000246***	(8.54e-05)
Interaction: Updams operation*Ba	-3.818	(2.699)	-0.170	(0.247)
Interaction: Updams operation*Ca			-0.697*	(0.402)
Interaction: Updams operation*Da	2.157***	(0.783)	0.220***	(0.0685)
Interaction: Updams operation*Dong Nai	-2.136***	(0.501)	-0.135***	(0.0428)
Interaction: Updams operation*Huong			-0.618	(0.711)
Interaction: Updams operation*Lo - Gam - Chay	1.289	(3.862)	-0.307	(0.555)
Interaction: Updams operation*Ma	-1.495	(2.252)	-0.166	(0.222)
Interaction: Updams operation*Sesan	2.314	(9.777)	0.215***	(0.0427)
Interaction: Updams operation*Srepok			0.577***	(0.0639)
Observation number	2,984		2,984	
R^2	.896		.897	
Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	.885		.886	
Upstream operation	Installed capacity		Production	

Table 9: The synergies of hydropower cascades by basin

2184

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Dam fixed effects and time fixed effects are included. Driscoll-Kraay standard errors are in parentheses. Upstream operation indicates which variable is used to proxy for operation of upstream dams: (combined upstream) installed capacity (MW) or (combined upstream) production (MWh/day)

References

Abbaspour, K. C., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H. and Kløve, B. (2015), 'A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model', *Journal of Hydrology* 524, 733–752.

URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169415001985

ADB (2013), Vietnam Environment and Climate Change Assessment, Report, Asian Development Bank.

URL: *http://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/institutional-document/33916/files/viet-nam-environment-climate-change.pdf*

ADB (2015*a*), Assessment of Power Sector Reforms in Viet Nam - Country Report, Report, Asian Development Bank.

URL: *https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/institutional-document/173769/vie-power-sector-reforms.pdf*

ADB (2015*b*), Energy efficiency developments and potential energy savings in the Greater Mekong Subregion, Report, Asian Development Bank.

URL: *https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/161894/energy-efficiency-savings-gms.pdf*

Alam, M. (2013), 'Coping with blackouts: Power outages and firm choices'.

Allcott, H., Collard-Wexler, A. and O'Connell, S. D. (2016), 'How Do Electricity Shortages Affect Industry? Evidence from India', *American Economic Review* **106**(3), 587–624. **URL:** *http://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.20140389*

Allen, R. G. (1986), 'A Penman for All Season', Irrigation and Drainage 112(4), 348–368.

- Allen, R. G., Jensen, M. E., Wright, J. L. and Burman, R. D. (1989), 'Operational Estimates of Reference Evapotranspiration', *Agronomy Journal* 81(4), 650–662. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj1989.00021962008100040019x
- Arndt, C., Tarp, F. and Thurlow, J. (2015), 'The Economic Costs of Climate Change: A Multi-Sector Impact Assessment for Vietnam', *Sustainability* 7(4), 4131–4145.
- Arnold, J. G. and Fohrer, N. (2005), 'SWAT2000: current capabilities and research opportunities in applied watershed modelling', *Hydrological Processes* **19**(3), 563–572. **URL:** *http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.5611*
- Arnold, J. G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R. S. and Williams, J. R. (1998), 'Large Area hydrologic modeling and assessment part 1: Model development', *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 34(1), 73–89.
 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05961.x
- Cole, M., Elliott, R. and Strobl, E. (2014), 'Climate Change, Hydro-Dependency, and the African Dam Boom', *World Development* **60**, 84.

- CRED (2015), The human cost of weather related disasters (1995-2015), Report, Centre for Research on the Epodemiology of Disasters (CERD). URL: https://www.unisdr.org/2015/docs/climatechange/COP21_WeatherDisastersReport_2015_FINAL.p
- de Faria, F. A. M., Davis, A., Severnini, E. and Jaramillo, P. (2017), 'The local socioeconomic impacts of large hydropower plant development in a developing country', *Energy Economics* 67(Supplement C), 533–544. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S014098831730292X
- Di Luzio, M., Srinivasan, R. and Arnold, J. G. (2004), 'A GIS-Coupled Hydrological Model System for the Watershed Assessment of Agricultural Nonpoint and Point Sources of Pollution', *Transactions in GIS* 8(1), 113–136.
 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9671.2004.00170.x
- Driscoll, J. and Kraay, A. (1998), 'Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimation With Spatially Dependent Panel Data', *The Review of Economics and Statistics* **80**(4), 549–560. URL: http://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:tpr:restat:v:80:y:1998:i:4:p:549-560
- EVN (2015), Report on National Power System Operation 2014, Report, Electricity of Vietnam.
- FAO (2007), 'Digital Soil Map of the World'. URL: http://www.fao.org/geonetwork/srv/en/metadata.show?id=14116
- FAO (2011), Climate change impacts on agriculture in Vietnam, Report UNJP/VIE/037/UNJ.
- FAO (2014), 'Rivers in South and East Asia (Derived from HydroSHEDS) Map'. URL: http://ref.data.fao.org/map?entryId=dc2a5121-0b32-482b-bd9b-64f7a414fa0d
- FAO (2015), 'GAUL 2015 Data License'.
- Farr, T. G., Rosen, P. A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M., Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Seal, D., Shaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, J., Werner, M., Oskin, M., Burbank, D. and Alsdorf, D. (2007), 'The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission', *Reviews of Geophysics* 45(2).
 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005RG000183
- Fisher-Vanden, K., Mansur, E. T. and Wang, Q. (2015), 'Electricity shortages and firm productivity: Evidence from China's industrial firms', *Journal of Development Economics* 114, 172–188.
 - URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304387815000048
- Gassman, P. W., Sadeghi, A. M. and Srinivasan, R. (2014), 'Applications of the SWAT Model Special Section: Overview and Insights', *J Environ Qual* 43(1), 1–8. URL: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25602534
- Gebretsadik, Y., Fant, C. and Strzepek, K. (2012), Impact of Climate Change on Irrigation, Crops and Hydropower in Vietnam, Report, UNU-WIDER.
- Giang, P. Q., Toshiki, K., Sakata, M., Kunikane, S. and Vinh, T. Q. (2014), 'Modelling climate change impacts on the seasonality of water resources in the Upper Ca River

Watershed in Southeast Asia', *ScientificWorldJournal* **2014**, 279135. URL: *https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25243206 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC41* 279135.pdf

Grogan, L. (2016), 'Household Electrification, Fertility, and Employment: Evidence from Hydroelectric Dam Construction in Colombia', *Journal of Human Capital* **10**(1), 109–158.

URL: http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/684580

- Hansen, M., DeFries, R., Townshend, J. and Sohlberg, R. (1998), 'UMD Global Land Cover Classification, 1 Kilometer'.
- Hansen, M., DeFries, R., Townshend, J. and Sohlberg, R. (2000), 'Global land cover classification at 1km resolution using a decision tree classifier', *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 21, 1331–1365.
- Hao, F.-b., Zhang, X.-s. and Yang, Z.-f. (2004), 'A distributed non-point source pollution model: calibration and validation in the Yellow River Basin', *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 16(4), 646–650.
- Hecht, J. and Lacombe, G. (2014), The effects of hydropower dams on the hydrology of the Mekong Basin, Report, CGIAR Research Program on Water, Land and Ecosystems (WLE).

URL: https://wle-mekong.cgiar.org/download/state-of-knowledge/hydrology-mekong-basin/Hydrology%20of%20the%20Mekong%20Basin%20_English_web%2016092014.pdf

- Ho, Q. B., Nguyen, H. Q. and Vo, L. P. (2013), 'Impacts of Climage Change on Catchment Flows and Assessing Its Impacts on Hydropower in Vietnam's Central Highland Region', *Global Perspectives on Geography* **1**(1).
- Hoechle, D. (2007), 'Robust standard errors for panel regressions with cross-sectional dependence', *Stata Journal* 7(3), 281–312. URL: http://www.stata-journal.com/article.html?article=st0128
- Huu, T. P. (2015), Dilemmas of hydropower development in Vietnam: between dam-induced displacement and sustainable development, Eburon Uitgeverij BV.
- Ialy Hydropower Company (2017), 'Introduction'. URL: http://ialyhpc.vn/?php=about&basic=detail&id=240

IFAD (2010), Vietnam Environmenal and Climate Change Assessment, Report.

- IFAD (2014), Comprehensive environment and climate change assessment in Vietnam, Report.
- IFC (2015), Hydroelectric Power: A Guide for Developers and Investors, Report, International Finance Corporation, World Bank Group. URL: http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/ifc+sustainabit
- IMHEN (2010), Impacts of climate change on water resources and adaptation measures, Report, Vietnam Institute of Meteorology, Hydrology and Climate change. URL: http://assela.pathirana.net/images/6/6e/Impact_of_climate_change_on_water_resources_and_adaptation

- IPCC (2007), Climate change 2007 : impacts, adaptation and vulnerability : contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change , Report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- ISPONRE (2009), Vietnam assessment report on climate change VARCC, Report, Institute of Strategy and Policy on Natural Resources and Environment (ISPONRE).
- Jimmy, R. W. (1969), 'Flood Routing With Variable Travel Time or Variable Storage Coefficients', 12(1). URL: http://elibrary.asabe.org/abstract.asp?aid=38772&t=3
- Khandker, S. R., Barnes, D. F. and Samad, H. A. (2009), Welfare Impacts Of Rural Electrification: A Case Study From Bangladesh, Report, The World Bank. URL: https://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/abs/10.1596/1813-9450-4859
- Khoi, D. N. and Suetsugi, T. (2014), 'The responses of hydrological processes and sediment yield to land-use and climate change in the Be River Catchment, Vietnam', *Hydrological Processes* 28(3), 640–652.
 URL: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/store/10.1002/hyp.9620/asset/hyp9620.pdf?v=1&t=ix0o7jx9&s=a1c.
- Krause, P., Boyle, D. P. and Bäse, F. (2005), 'Comparison of different efficiency criteria for hydrological model assessment', *Adv. Geosci.* 5, 89–97. URL: *http://www.adv-geosci.net/5/89/2005/*
- Kumar, A. T. S., Ahenkorah, A., Rodriguez, R. C., Devernay, J.-M., Freitas, M., Hall, D., Killingtveit, . and Liur, Z. (2011), IPCC Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change. Chapter 5: Hydropower, Report.
- Le, T., Al-Juaidi, F. and Sharif, H. (2014), 'Hydrologic Simulations Driven by Satellite Rainfall to Study the Hydroelectric Development Impacts on River Flow', *Water* **6**(12), 3631–3651.
- Le, T. B. and Sharif, H. O. (2015), 'Modeling the projected changes of river flow in Central Vietnam under different climate change scenarios', *Water (Switzerland)* **7**(7), 3579–3598.
- Le, T. C. and Tran, T. T. V. (2016), *The impacts of hydropower on forest, runoff and biodiveristy*, The Science and Technology Publisher, Hanoi, Vietnam, pp. 47–58.
- Lehner, B. (2013), 'HydroSHEDS Technical Documentation Version 1.2'. URL: http://www.hydrosheds.org/images/inpages/HydroBASINS_TechDoc_v1c.pdf
- Lehner, B. (2014), 'HydroBASINS Technical Documentation Version 1.c (with and without inserted lakes) '. URL: http://www.hydrosheds.org/images/inpages/HydroBASINS_TechDoc_v1c.pdf
- Lehner, B. and Grill, G. (2013), 'Global river hydrography and network routing: baseline data and new approaches to study the world's large river systems', *Hydrological Processes* 27(15), 2171–2186. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9740

Lehner, B., Verdin, K. and Jarvis, A. (2008), "New Global Hydrography Derived From Spaceborne Elevation Data", *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union* 89(10), 93–94.
URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008E0100001

- MONRE (2003), 'Vietnam Initial National Communication to the UNFCCC'.
- MONRE (2009), Climate change, Sea level rise scenarios for Vietnam, Report, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MONRE).
- MONRE (2010), Vietnam's second national Communication to UNFCCC, Report, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MONRE).
- MONRE (2011), Climate change, sea level rise scenerios for Vietnam, Report, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MONRE).
- MONRE (2012), Report on National Environment 2012, Report, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment.
- Monteith, J. L. (1981), 'Evaporation and surface temperature', *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* **107**(451), 1–27. **URL:** *http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710745102*
- Moriasi, D. N., Arnold, J. G., Van Liew, M. W., Bingner, R. L., Harmel, R. D. and Veith, T. L. (2007), 'Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations', *American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers* 50(3), 885– 900.
- Neitsch, S., Arnold, J., Kiniry, J. and Williams, J. (2009), 'Soil and Water Assessment Tool Theoretical Documentation Version 2009'.
- Ngo, T. S., Nguyen, D. B. and Rajendra, P. S. (2015), 'Effect of land use change on runoff and sediment yield in Da River Basin of Hoa Binh province, Northwest Vietnam', *Journal of Mountain Science* **12**(4), 1051–1064.
- Olivera, F., Valenzuela, M., Srinivasan, R., Choi, J., Cho, H., Koka, S. and Agrawal, A. (2006), 'ARCGIS-SWAT: A GEODATA MODEL AND GIS INTERFACE FOR SWAT1', JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association 42(2), 295–309. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2006.tb03839.x
- PanNature (2010), 'Small and Medium Hydropower: Great Impacts on Environment but Less Supervision of Environmental Impact Assessment'. URL: http://www.nature.org.vn/en/2010/07/small-and-medium-hydropower-impacts-onenvironment/

PanNature (2011), 'River basin management in Vietnam: Power and Challenges'.

Pham, C. H. and Pham, C. H. (2014), Project Information Document (Concept Stage)
Vietnam Dam Rehabilitation and Safety Improvement Project - P152309 (English), Report, World Bank Group.

URL: http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/721261468320653018/Project-Information-Document-Concept-Stage-Vietnam-Dam-Rehabilitation-and-Safety-Improvement-Project-P152309

Pham, V. C. (2014), 'Sustainable Development of hydropower in Dong Nai River'. URL: http://nangluongvietnam.vn/news/vn/an-ninh-nang-luong-va-moi-truong/phattrien-ben-vung-thuy-dien-tren-he-thong-song-dong-nai.html

- Phan, D. B., Wu, C. C. and Hsieh, S. C. (2011), 'Impact of climate change on stream discharge and sediment yield in Northern Viet Nam', *Water Resources* 38(6), 827–836. URL: http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/76/art%253A10.1134%252FS0097807811060133.pdf?ori.
- Piman, T., Lennaerts, T. and Southalack, P. (2013), 'Assessment of hydrological changes in the lower Mekong Basin from Basin-Wide development scenarios', *Hydrological Processes* 27(15), 2115–2125. URL: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/store/10.1002/hyp.9764/asset/hyp9764.pdf?v=1&t=ix0o7x3t&s=01d
- Prime Minister (2016), 'Decision No. 428 QD/TTg: Approval of the revised National Master Plan for Power Development for the 2011-2020 Period with the Vision to 2030'.
- Quyen, N. T. N., Liem, N. D. and Loi, N. K. (2014), 'Effect of land use change on water discharge in Srepok watershed, Central Highland, Viet Nam', *International Soil and Water Conservation Research* **2**(3), 74–86.
- Rossi, C. G., Srinivasan, R., Jirayoot, K., Duc, T. L., Souvannabouth, P., Binh, N. and Gassman, P. W. (2009), 'Hydrologic evaluation of the lower Mekong river basin with the soil and water assessment tool model', *International Agricultural Engineering Journal* **18**(1-2), 1–13.
- Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Pan, H.-L., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Kistler, R., Woollen, J., Behringer, D., Liu, H., Stokes, D., Grumbine, R., Gayno, G., Wang, J., Hou, Y.-T., Chuang, H.-Y., Juang, H.-M. H., Sela, J., Iredell, M., Treadon, R., Kleist, D., Van Delst, P., Keyser, D., Derber, J., Ek, M., Meng, J., Wei, H., Yang, R., Lord, S., Van Den Dool, H., Kumar, A., Wang, W., Long, C., Chelliah, M., Xue, Y., Huang, B., Schemm, J.-K., Ebisuzaki, W., Lin, R., Xie, P., Chen, M., Zhou, S., Higgins, W., Zou, C.-Z., Liu, Q., Chen, Y., Han, Y., Cucurull, L., Reynolds, R. W., Rutledge, G. and Goldberg, M. (2010), "The NCEP Climate Forecast System Reanalysis", *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 91(8), 1015–1057.
- Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Behringer, D., Hou, Y.-T., Chuang, H.-y., Iredell, M., Ek, M., Meng, J., Yang, R., Mendez, M. P., van den Dool, H., Zhang, Q., Wang, W., Chen, M. and Becker, E. (2014), 'The NCEP Climate Forecast System Version 2', *Journal of Climate* 27(6), 2185–2208.
- Santhi, C., Arnold, J. G., Williams, J. R., Dugas, W. A., Srinivasan, R. and Hauck, L. M. (2001), 'VALIDATION OF THE SWAT MODEL ON A LARGE RWER BASIN WITH POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCES1', *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association* **37**(5), 1169–1188. **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2001.tb03630.x
- Schuol, J. and Abbaspour, K. C. (2006), 'Calibration and uncertainty issues of a hydrological model (SWAT) applied to West Africa', *Advances in Geosciences* 9, 137–143. URL: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00296967
- Schuol, J., Abbaspour, K. C., Srinivasan, R. and Yang, H. (2008), 'Estimation of freshwater availability in the West African sub-continent using the SWAT hydrologic model', *Journal* of Hydrology 352(1–2), 30–49.

URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169407007755

- SCS (1972), SCS National Engineering Handbook, Section 4: Hydrology, United States Soil Conservation Service,. URL: https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=sjOEf-5zjXgC
- Shrestha, B., Cochrane, T. A., Caruso, B. S., Arias, M. E. and Piman, T. (2016), 'Uncertainty in flow and sediment projections due to future climate scenarios for the 3S Rivers in the Mekong Basin', *Journal of Hydrology* 540, 1088–1104.
- Srinivasan, R. and Arnold, J. G. (1994), 'INTEGRATION OF A BASIN-SCALE WATER QUALITY MODEL WITH GIS1', JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association 30(3), 453–462. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1994.tb03304.x
- The Diplomat (2016), 'China and the Mekong: The Floodgates of Power'. URL: http://thediplomat.com/2016/05/china-and-the-mekong-the-floodgates-of-power/
- The Economist (2012), 'Lies, dams and statistics'. URL: http://www.economist.com/blogs/banyan/2012/07/mekong-river
- The Economist (2016), 'The proliferation of dams along the Mekong river'. URL: http://www.economist.com/blogs/graphicdetail/2016/02/daily-chart-11
- T.Piman, Cochrane, T. A., Arias, M. E., A.Green and Dat, N. (2013), 'Assessent of Flow Changes from Hydropower Development and Operations in Sekong, Sesan, and Srepok Rivers of the Mekong Basin', *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management* 139(6), 723–732.
- Tram, V. N. Q., Liem, N. D. and Loi, N. K. (2014), 'Assessing Water Availability in Poko Catchment using SWAT model', *Khon Kaen Agricultural Journal* **42**(2).
- UNIDO and ICSHP (2013), World Small Hydropower Development Report 2013 Vietnam, Report, United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) International Center on Small Hydro Power (ICSHP).
 URL: http://www.smallhydroworld.org/fileadmin/user_upload/pdf/Asia_South_Eastern/WSHPDR_2013_
- USGS (2015), 'HYDRO1K'. URL: https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/HYDRO1K
- Van Liew, M. W., Veith, T. L., Bosch, D. D. and Arnold, J. G. (2007), 'Suitability of SWAT for the conservation effects assessment project: Comparison on USDA agricultural research service watersheds', *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering* **12**(2), 173–189.
- Verdin, K. L. and Verdin, J. P. (1999), 'A topological system for delineation and codification of the Earth's river basins', *Journal of Hydrology* 218(1–2), 1–12. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169499000116
- Vietnam National Committe on Large Dams and Water Resources Development (2006), 'Son La Hydropower Plant'. URL: http://www.vncold.vn/Web/Content.aspx?distid=128
- Vietnam National Committe on Large Dams and Water Resources Development (2015), 'Ban Chat Hydropower dam'. URL: http://www.vncold.vn/Web/Content.aspx?distid=3754

VINACONEX (2017), 'Buon Tua Srah hydropower plant'. URL: http://www.vinaconex.com.vn/?id=964

- Vu, M. T., Raghavan, S. V. and Liong, S. Y. (2012), 'SWAT use of gridded observations for simulating runoff a Vietnam river basin study', *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 16(8), 2801–2811.
 URL: http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/16/2801/2012/hess-16-2801-2012.pdf
- Vu, M. T., Raghavan, V. S. and Liong, S. Y. (2015), 'Ensemble Climate Projection for Hydro-Meteorological Drought over a river basin in Central Highland, Vietnam', KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering 19(2), 427–433.
- Walle, D. v. d., Ravallion, M., Mendiratta, V. and Koolwal, G. (2013), Long-Term Impacts of Household Electrification in Rural India, Report. URL: https://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/abs/10.1596/1813-9450-6527
- Wang, J. and Ishidaira, H. (2012), 'Effects of climate change and human activities on streamflow and sediment flow into the Hoa Binh reservoir', *Journal of Japan Society of Civil Engineers* **68**(4).
- WB (2010), Economics of Adaptation to Climate Change in Vietnam, Report, World Bank.
- WB (2011), Vulnerability, Risk Reduction, and Adaptation to Climate change Vietnam, Report, World Bank (WB).
 URL: http://countryadaptationprofiles.gfdrr.org
- WB (2014), Small hydro resource mapping in Vietnam : inception report, Report, World Bank Group.

URL: *http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/523501468121154457/Small-hydro-resource-mapping-in-Vietnam-inception-report*

- WB and MPI (2016), Vietnam 2035 : Toward Prosperity, Creativity, Equity, and Democracy, Report, World Bank (WB) and Vietnam Misnistry of Planning and Investment (MPI).
 URL: http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/996421479825859721/pdf/103435-v2-PUBLIC.pdf
- Wild, T. B. and Loucks, D. P. (2014), 'Managing flow, sediment, and hydropower regimes in the Sre Pok, Se San, and Se Kong Rivers of the Mekong basin', *Water Resources Research* 50(6), 5141–5157.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2010), *Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data*, 2 edn, The MIT PRess, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England.

World Energy Council (2017), 'Hydropower'. URL: https://www.worldenergy.org/data/resources/resource/hydropower/