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**Abstract**

Ecological genomics aims to understand the functional association between environmental gradients and the genes underlying adaptive traits. Many genes that are identified by genome-wide screening in ecologically relevant species lack functional annotations. Although gene functions can be inferred from sequence homology, such approaches have limited power. Here, we introduce ecological regulatory genomics by presenting an ontology-free gene prioritization method. Specifically, our method combines transcriptome profiling with high-throughput *cis*-regulatory sequence analysis in the water fleas *Daphnia pulex* and *Daphnia magna*. It screens coexpressed genes for overrepresented DNA motifs that serve as transcription factor binding sites, thereby providing insight into conserved transcription factors and gene regulatory networks shaping the expression profile. We first validated our method, called *Daphnia-cisTarget*, on a *D. pulex* heat shock data set, which revealed a network driven by the heat shock factor. Next, we performed RNA-Seq in *D. magna* exposed to the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Daphnia-cisTarget* identified coregulated gene networks that associate with the moulting cycle and potentially regulate life history changes in growth rate and age at maturity. These networks are predicted to be regulated by evolutionary conserved transcription factors such as the homologues of *Drosophila* Shavenbaby and Grainyhead, nuclear receptors, and a GATA family member. In conclusion, our approach allows prioritising candidate genes in *Daphnia* without bias towards prior knowledge about functional gene annotation and represents an important step towards exploring the molecular mechanisms of ecological responses in organisms with poorly annotated genomes.
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**Introduction**

Understanding how organisms respond and adapt to their natural environments is a key objective of biology (Feder and Mitchell-Olds 2003). Evolutionary and ecological functional genomics is a discipline of biology that arose from the application of modern sequencing technologies to uncover genetic variation under natural selection (Feder and Mitchell-Olds 2003; Andrew et al. 2013; Pardo-Diaz et al. 2015). In its search for genes and other elements of the genome that underpin adaptive traits, an expanding suite of organisms is emerging as ecological model species, which are accessible to both laboratory and field studies along defined environmental gradients. Discoveries made from ecological genomics include adaptive variation arising from both de novo (Karasov et al. 2010) and standing genetic variation (Colosimo et al. 2005); phenotypes that evolve by selection on multiple small effect loci (Burke et al. 2010) or on a few loci of major effect (Lamichhaney et al. 2016); and new phenotypes resulting from major effect mutations that alter protein coding sequences (Protas et al. 2006) or gene regulation (Manceau et al. 2011). Moreover, tools and approaches that were once reserved to traditional biomedical model
species, such as genome-wide forward or reverse genetics, are increasingly being applied to ecological model species (Ekblom and Galindo 2010; Stapley et al. 2010; Alvarez et al. 2011; Pardo-Diaz et al. 2015).

Genome-wide gene expression profiling is a particularly powerful method to identify regulated genes under different ecological conditions and linked to phenotypic change (Purdy et al. 2010). The set of all genes that are expressed in a given condition and in a particular cell or tissue type (the transcriptome) is itself a “molecular phenotype” (Hughes et al. 2000). This molecular phenotype is under the control of transcription regulation that genetically varies, based on the ecological and evolutionary history of populations (Dalziel et al. 2009). Hence, the transcriptional responses of natural isolates to environmental perturbation are a rich source of both phenotypic and genotypic information about the mechanisms of adaptation (Todd et al. 2016). The use of next generation sequencing for data-driven genome-wide investigations of transcriptomes (RNA-Seq, Wang et al. 2009) is increasingly used in studies on ecological model species (Todd et al. 2016), because this method is comprehensive and does not assume prior knowledge of the functional elements of the genome, nor of the gene annotations. However, the biological interpretation of RNA-Seq data obtained from ecological model species remains challenging, because these investigations often provide the first evidence of the biological functions of genes within an ecological context (Colbourne et al. 2011; Alvarez et al. 2015). The challenge is particularly acute for lineage-specific genes having no identified sequence homology to the functionally annotated genes of related model species (Colbourne et al. 2011). Otherwise, the genes that have known homologues are often analyzed using Gene Ontology or pathway enrichment tools to test for the statistical significance of their enrichment within a coexpressed gene set (Primmer et al. 2013; Kanehisa et al. 2012). Although this homology-based approach makes use of the vast knowledge obtained from traditional model species, it makes many assumptions on the reliability of ascribing functional orthology to gene family members across large evolutionary distances (Primmer et al. 2013; Pavey et al. 2012).

Another powerful method at identifying ecologically important elements of genomes is the analysis of cis-regulatory sequences, also referred to as motif discovery (reviewed by Hardison and Taylor 2012; Yáñez-Cuna et al. 2013; Aerts 2012). Motif discovery finds enriched motifs in the promoters or upstream sequences of coexpressed genes, which may represent shared binding sites for a particular transcription factor (TF). If such a TF is known, direct TF-target interactions can be inferred leading to the prediction of gene regulatory networks (GRN). This concept is proven for genetic model species and human, by producing experimentally validated predictions (Van Loo et al. 2008; Warner et al. 2008; Aerts et al. 2010). For ecological model species, however, little is known about gene regulation and its mechanisms. On one hand, rapid turnover of regulatory sequences (Schmidt et al. 2010; Weirauch and Hughes 2010; Sayou et al. 2014; Baker et al. 2011) and complete rewiring of GRNs (Tsong et al. 2006) across evolutionary time scales have been documented. On the other hand, evidence shows that TFs, their binding specificities and even complete GRNs can be highly conserved between distantly related species (Del Bene et al. 2007; Nitta et al. 2015; Weirauch et al. 2014; Villar et al. 2015; Guertin et al. 2010; Green et al. 2015). Therefore, it remains unknown if and to what extend knowledge about the regulatory code (e.g., TF binding specificities) obtained from genetic model species can be transferred to ecological model species.

Here, we propose a motif discovery method for ecological model species, namely for the water fleas Daphnia pulex and Daphnia magna. Water fleas (Crustacea: Cladocera) are key-stone species in lake and pond ecosystems and are intensively used as model organisms in ecology, evolutionary biology, and ecotoxicology (Lampert and Kinne 2011; Miner et al. 2012). They are well-studied for their phenotypic responses to various environmental conditions (see e.g., Miner et al. 2012) and a draft genome sequence is available for both D. pulex and D. magna (Colbourne et al. 2011; Wfleabase). However, the GRNs underlying ecological responses in Daphnia are unknown.

To discover GRNs in D. magna and D. pulex, we used the method Daphnia-cisTarget that identifies overrepresented cis-regulatory motifs and candidate regulators in a set of coexpressed genes. The approach and algorithm are based on cisTargetX. cisTargetX and its follow-up methods i-cisTarget and evo-cisTarget are successfully applied for motif discovery in fruit fly, human, and mouse (Aerts et al. 2010; Herrmann et al. 2012; Janky et al. 2014; Imrichová et al. 2015; Naval Sanchez 2014). We use Daphnia-cisTarget to computationally predict transcription factors and gene regulatory networks in Daphnia, using RNA-Seq data and TF binding specificities from other species. We validate our method by linking the inferred factors and networks to morphological and life history traits.

Materials and Methods

Daphnia-cisTarget

Daphnia-cisTarget is based on the Drosophila melanogaster-specific method cisTargetX (Aerts et al. 2010). To adapt this method to Daphnia, we used an established protocol and default thresholds that are empirically determined. Our approach includes two major steps: 1) Definition of a motif search space and subsequent genome-wide motif cluster prediction, and 2) motif enrichment analysis on a set of coexpressed genes and target gene prediction. Daphnia-cisTarget is available through a web interface at http://daphniacistarget.aertslab.org/ (last accessed July 17, 2017). To delineate candidate cis-regulatory regions, we used the genome sequences of D. magna and D. pulex together with their
gene catalogues. First, we assigned potential regulatory regions to each gene. Those regions include all exons and introns, 3’- and 5’-UTRs, and a region upstream of the transcription start site. The upstream region comprises either 300 bp or 5 kb. If another gene at the same strand falls within this region, the region is terminated at the margin of that upstream gene. Next, we scored the potential cis-regulatory sequences generated in the previous step for homotypic motif clusters with the program Cluster-Buster (Frith et al. 2003). Cluster-Buster uses a Hidden Markov Model and allows regions with clusters of multiple motif matches to receive higher scores than regions with single motif instances. The Cluster-Buster cluster score threshold was set to zero to receive a score for every region, resulting in several scores for each gene. Only the highest score was retained and assigned to the gene. The above procedure results in one score for each gene for a given motif. This score was used to rank all genes. We repeated this scoring and ranking step for each motif in a library of 9713 transcription factor motifs collected from various species (Janky et al. 2014) and compiled the resulting 9713 rankings into a database. The search space delineation and motif scoring steps outlined above were done separately for each of the two Daphnia species (pulex and magna) and the two search space delineations (300 bp and 5 kb), resulting in four databases in total. In the motif enrichment step, the database is queried with a set of coexpressed genes to determine which motifs are enriched in this set as compared with the whole-genome background. For each of the 9713 motif rankings within a queried database, Daphnia-cisTarget calculates a cumulative recovery curve of the input gene set along the ranking (blue curve fig. 1C). It also calculates the area under the recovery curve (AUC) as a measure of enrichment. Because we are mainly interested in highly ranked genes, the AUC is calculated for a fraction of the top ranked genes only. This fraction is delimited by the AUC threshold, which defaults to 3% of the total number of ranked genes (927 for D. pulex and 990 for D. magna). Lowering the threshold increases specificity by favoring motifs with steeper enrichment curves, i.e., where the input gene set is highly enriched at the top of the ranking of all genes. A threshold of 3% has proven sensible for cisTargetX (Potier et al. 2012). We have shown that motif and TF recovery are robust across a wide range of AUC thresholds in a version of cisTargetX for human (Janky et al. 2014). Note that the user can adjust the AUC threshold in Daphnia-cisTarget and compare the impact of different settings. To normalize the AUC, Daphnia-cisTarget calculates first an average recovery curve by taking the mean of all AUC scores across all motifs in the database (red curve fig. 1C), and second the normalized enrichment score as 

\[ \text{NES} = (\text{AUC}_{\text{mean}}) \pm \text{AUCStd} \], where AUCSTD is the standard deviation of the mean AUC. Only motifs with a NES above a specified threshold (default 2.5) are considered enriched. To simplify the output, the enriched motifs are grouped into clusters of similar motifs using STAMP (Mahony and Benos 2007). Motifs assigned to the same cluster are given the same color in the results table. To retrieve an optimal subset of the input gene set as putative target genes, a “leading edge” is determined as the rank position (below the AUC threshold) where the difference between the signal (recovery curve: blue curve fig. 1C) and the background (mean recovery curve across all motifs plus two standard deviations: green curve) is largest. The input genes within this leading edge are predicted as target genes for the given motif. To enable the identification of TFs that putatively bind to the enriched motifs and regulate the predicted target genes, candidate transcription factors are mapped to the motifs. Specifically, these candidate TFs comprise Daphnia genes that are homologous to D. melanogaster genes that have been mapped to the same motifs in the motif2TF database developed by Janky et al. (2014).

Heat Shock Data Set

The D. pulex heat shock signature used for the validation of Daphnia-cisTarget was retrieved from an experiment by Becker et al. (unpublished data; GSE91031). Briefly, 20°C-acclimated animals (adult females with a body length of 2–2.5 mm, carrying parthenogenetic eggs and embryos), were exposed for 2, 4, or 8 h to either 30 ± 0.2°C (test condition) or 20 ± 0.3°C (control condition). After exposure, animals were shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and short-term stored at −80°C. For each experimental condition, four independent replicates (50 animals each) were analyzed. Whole-body RNA-levels were measured using a 12-plex 60 nt-oligonucleotide microarray platform, which is described elsewhere (Colbourne et al. 2011). For each treatment, four independent RNA samples were processed and the labeled cDNA were competitively hybridized (test vs. control condition) on four replicate microarrays including dye swaps. To obtain differential gene expression profiles, data were analyzed as described elsewhere (Colbourne et al. 2011). We selected upregulated genes with an FDR < 0.05 as input for Daphnia-cisTarget.

Reanalysis of the Chronic Cyanobacteria Data Set

To recover GRNs that are affected by chronic cyanobacteria exposure, we reanalyzed the RNA-Seq data produced by Schwarzenberger et al. (2014) (SRA177938). Briefly, the authors exposed D. magna to two different cyanobacteria strains, one expressing Microcystin-LR (“toxic,” here named “BX”), and a microcystin-free strain (“less-toxic,” here named “BN”). We mapped the reads with TopHat v2.0.12 (Trapnell et al. 2009) (option –max-multhits 1) against the D. magna reference genome (v2.4) and used HTSeq v0.6.1p1 (Anders et al. 2014) (–stranded = no) for read counting. We calculated differential gene expression with the Bioconductor (Gentleman et al. 2004) R-package DESeq2 v1.6.1 (Love et al. 2014) and applied a cut-off of \(|\log_2 F C| \geq 2\) and FDR ≤ 0.05 to obtain the lists of differentially expressed genes.
We ran *Daphnia-cis*Target on the four sets of differentially expressed genes (i.e., up-/downregulated genes of BN & BX treatment), and selected all predicted target genes of the top scoring motif clusters (containing NR, ovo/Svb and GATA motifs). To reduce the number of false positives, we used only the motif-gene mappings of the 300 bp version to construct Cytoscape networks.

### Acute Exposure of *D. magna* to Cyanobacteria

To compare the responses to chronic and acute cyanobacteria exposure in *D. magna*, we designed a follow-up experiment. Experimental conditions and RNA-Seq data generation are described by Orsini et al. (2016) (SRA272145). Briefly, we exposed 5-days-old juvenile females for 4 h to a unicellular, Microcystin-LR producing strain of *M. aeruginosa* (strain T4, characterized by van Grembergh et al. (2009)), and the mutant strain CCAP 1450/1, which lacks the gene to produce the toxic cyanobacterial Microcystin-LR. We used the two *D. magna* Straus, 1820 genotypes described by Routtu et al. (2014), originating from different habitats (linb1: Munich, Germany; Xnb3: Tvärminnen, Finland). Replicates were obtained from three maternal lines (“cohorts”) cultured separately for two generations. For full-body RNA extraction, library preparation and Illumina RNA-Seq sequencing details we refer to Orsini et al. (2016). We processed the reads similarly to the chronic data set. To assess the treatment-specific and cohort effects, respectively, we performed two different differential expression analyses. First, for each clone and cohort, we contrasted the control and each of the two treatment samples separately, resulting in 12 comparisons. Expressed genes that had a mean of <10 reads per exon base position across two samples were excluded from the analysis. This filtering step resulted in about 11,300–14,000 genes having sufficient coverage. We calculated the log2-fold change (log2FC) between each treatment and its control using the Bioconductor (Gentleman et al. 2004) R package DESeq v1.18.0 (Anders and Huber 2010) and ranked the genes according to this value. For subsequent analysis with *Daphnia-cis*Target, we used 24 gene sets containing the 1000...
most differentially expressed genes (i.e., the 500 most up- and downregulated genes of each comparison, respectively), corresponding to 7–8.8% of the whole ranking. The resulting 24 gene sets were object to a Daphnia-cisTarget analysis. Predicted target genes for each cluster of GATA, NR, ovo/Svb, Blimp-1, and Grh motifs were pooled, and genes that contained a motif in at least three Daphnia-cisTarget runs (300bp version) were displayed as a network with Cytoscape (Shannon et al. 2003). Second, we considered the three samples (control and two cyanobacteria treatments) of each cohort as replicates and contrasted the cohorts, which resulted in six comparisons (2 clones × 3 cohorts). We filtered for read coverage of <10 reads per exon base position across the six samples that were to be compared (11,700–13,800 genes retained), and calculated differential expression with DESeq2 v1.6.3 (Love et al. 2014). It is important to note that the three cohorts of the I-clone (1–3) are independent.

Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Tree

We determined homology between protein sequences through sequence alignment with the online program Clustal Omega v1.2.1 (Sievers et al. 2011). For the transcription factors discussed in this paper, we retrieved the D. melanogaster DNA-binding domain sequences from the CIS-BP database v1.02 (Weirauch et al. 2014), aligned them to the D. magna predicted protein sequence with Clustal Omega, and calculated amino acid identities.

Gene Ontology (GO)

GO-term enrichment analysis was carried out using the Cytoscape plug-in BiNGOv3.0.3 (Maere et al. 2005). Blasting D. magna against D. melanogaster protein sequences frequently yielded several water flea genes that map to the same fruit fly gene and thus share the same annotation. Therefore, we created a custom background for this analysis. To this end, we assigned the GO-terms attributed to D. melanogaster genes to the respective homologous D. magna genes (8268 D. magna genes had sufficient homology) and used those as background set (option “select organism/annotation”). Gene ontologies for D. melanogaster were obtained from the Gene Ontology Consortium (Blake et al. 2015). BiNGO was used with the settings “ontology file”: go.obo; “statistical test”: hypergeometric; “multiple testing correction”: Benjamini and Hochberg; False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction with a significance level of 0.05. Otherwise, default settings were used.

Overrepresentation Analysis

To test whether D. magna homologues of known D. melanogaster GATAe and Svb targets were enriched among the differentially expressed genes from the chronic...
cyanobacteria data, we calculated the probability of overlap with the hypergeometric distribution function phyper in R. As background we used the number of genes that have D. melanogaster homology (i.e., 8268).

Explained Variation

To visualize the variation that can be explained by the GRNs predicted with Daphnia-cisTarget, we generated cumulative recovery curves. To this end, we sorted the STAMP-predicted motif clusters by the NES score of their highest-ranking members, and generated a cumulative recovery curve by counting the number of unique predicted target genes (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). We also calculated the percentage of input gene sets recovered by 1) the highest scoring motif cluster, 2) by motif clusters containing GATA, NR, ovoSvB, Grh, and Blimp-1 motifs, and 3) by all motif clusters recovered with Daphnia-cisTarget, representing the maximal variation that can be explained with motif discovery.

Data Availability

The genomic resources and databases used in this study are listed in supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online.

Results

Design and Implementation of Daphnia-cisTarget

The motif discovery method Daphnia-cisTarget is comprised of two steps: a computationally intensive motif scoring and ranking step, and a fast motif recovery step (see Materials and Methods). For the motif scoring step, we first define the search space for potential cis-regulatory sequences. We tested our method by delineating two different intervals: a small search space of 300 bp, and a larger search space of 5 kb sequence upstream of the TSS. The average intergenic space is 1,800 bp in D. magna, with 44% and 88% of the genes having an upstream sequence smaller than 300 bp and 5 kb, respectively. For D. pulex, those values are 3,200 bp, 21%, and 83%. The defined sequence search spaces capture thus a large proportion of the intergenic space. Next, we scored those sequences for the occurrence of specific DNA sequence motifs. To this end, we used motifs derived from different species including yeast, fruit fly and human (Janky et al. 2014). In the subsequent motif recovery step, a gene set is used to query the database in order to find the motifs that are enriched in this set over the background set of all genes. This gene set can be for, example, a signature of differentially expressed genes, a coexpression cluster, or genes associated with a certain functional annotation. For each motif ranking in the database, a cumulative recovery curve is generated (fig. 1). The motifs with the strongest representation of input genes at the top of their corresponding gene ranking receive the strongest enrichment score (NES). Since our motif collection contains a number of similar motifs, the significantly enriched motifs are clustered based on motif similarity, to facilitate interpretation. Furthermore, to enable GRN reconstruction, an optimal subset of candidate target genes is selected for each motif (for details see Materials and Methods). Finally, Daphnia-cisTarget also provides a list of genes encoding TFs that putatively bind to the enriched motifs and regulate the predicted target genes, based on the motif2TF database developed by Janky et al. (2014). Daphnia-cisTarget is available via a web interface at http://daphniacis-target.aertslab.org/ (last accessed July 17, 2017).

Validation of Daphnia-cisTarget Using the Heat Shock Response in D. pulex

To validate Daphnia-cisTarget, we generated a set of coexpressed genes under heat shock (Becker et al., unpublished data). The heat shock response is controlled by the Heat Shock Factor (HSF) and evolutionarily highly conserved from yeast to human (Liu et al. 1997). We acclimatized D. pulex to 20 °C, followed by an exposure to 30 °C for 2, 4, and 8 h. RNA was extracted and analyzed using a Daphnia-specific microarray platform (see Materials and Methods). Differential expression analysis (False discovery rate (FDR)<0.05) resulted in heat shock signatures containing 314, 350, and 321 upregulated genes, respectively, for the three treatment durations (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). To also test the D. magna version of Daphnia-cisTarget with these signatures, we used BLAST homology to converted the gene sets into 266, 297, and 274 D. magna genes, respectively. Given the conserved role of HSF in regulated target genes in response to heat shock, and given the presence of a HSF homologue in both Daphnia genomes (pulex: JGI_V11_213340; magna: mu8AUGepir7s01348g56; both 63% sequence identity to DNA binding domain of D. melanogaster Hsf), we expected to find the HSF motif enriched across the heat shock signatures, in agreement with observations in other species, where the HSF motif is enriched among heat shock gene signatures (e.g., nematodes GuhaThakurta et al. [2002] and mammals Mahat et al. [2016]).

As expected, Daphnia-cisTarget identified known heat shock factor motifs as the top scoring motifs (fig. 2A), both for the D. pulex gene set, and the D. magna set of homologous genes. The 300 bp version performs slightly better on this data set than the 5 kb version (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). In D. melanogaster, the majority of genomic DNA regions bound by HSF under heat shock are located either directly within genes or within 1250 bp upstream of their TSS (Gonsalves et al. 2011). If the same holds true for Daphnia, scoring of a smaller upstream region for the 300 bp version of Daphnia-cisTarget increases the signal-to-noise ratio compared with the 5 kb
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version. However, for the recovery of TFs with remote binding sites, the 5 kb version might yield higher sensitivity. For the 2 h heat shock signature, the normalized enrichment scores of the best motif were 10.43 for *D. pulex* and 6.77 for *D. magna* for the 300 bp version, and 8.91 and 6.17, respectively, for the 5 kb version. The best motif clustered together with 20 other HSF motifs based on motif similarity (*D. pulex*, 300 bp version; fig. 2A). To construct a gene regulatory network, we combined the predicted target genes of those 21 motifs to a set of 95 candidate HSF targets (fig. 2B, supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). Out of the genes that are putatively regulated by HSF in *D. pulex*, 47% have no sequence-based homology to *D. melanogaster* genes thereby lacking functional annotation. However, our results are the first to provide evidence that these genes may play a role in the primary response to heat shock. First, the unknown genes are upregulated after a heat shock together with known heat shock-inducible genes such as Hsp60. Second, they are potentially directly regulated by the HSF because of the presence of HSF motifs within the search space of the gene models. With this proof of principle, we demonstrate that our method can be useful to identify relevant motifs and transcription factors, define gene regulatory networks in *Daphnia* gene signatures, and facilitate the work of experimentally annotating genes of ecological model species by ascribing functions based on their specific responses to ecological conditions.

**Deciphering Gene Regulatory Networks Underlying *D. magna* Response to Cyanobacteria**

Having demonstrated the utility of *Daphnia-cisTarget*, we next applied our method to study the response of *D. magna* to cyanobacteria. In a chronic exposure experiment, Schwarzenberger et al. exposed a cyanobacteria-tolerant *D. magna* clone to a *M. aeruginosa* strain containing the toxin Microcystin-LR (termed hereafter BX, “toxic strain”), and a microcystin-free mutant strain (referred to as: BN, “less-toxic strain”) and compared both treatments to untreated control animals. The daphnids were exposed in triplicates until they reached maturity (control: 7 days, cyanobacteria treatments: 11 days), and whole-body mRNA levels were measured with RNA-Seq. We re-analyzed those sequencing data for differential expression and obtained comparable results to Schwarzenberger et al., although the absolute numbers of differentially expressed genes differed due to the different analysis methods applied (see Materials and Methods). We found 352 and 269 upregulated and 501 and 78...
downregulated genes in BX and BN treatment, respectively ($|\log2FC| \geq 1$; FDR $\leq 0.05$). In general, many genes (216) were upregulated to a similar extent in both treatments, whereas many downregulated genes (433) were stronger differentially expressed in the BX than in the BN treatment (fig. 3A). Next, we assigned functions to the differentially expressed genes using blast homology to *D. melanogaster* genes, and performed a Gene Ontology term enrichment analysis using these assignments. Similar to the results presented in Schwarzenberger et al. (2014), we found that the BX downregulated genes are enriched for proteolysis (adj. $P$ value: $4.62 \times 10^{-15}$), chitin catabolic process ($1.56 \times 10^{-6}$) and phototransduction ($3.51 \times 10^{-6}$). The upregulated genes are enriched for glycoprotein metabolic process ($2.16 \times 10^{-3}$), nonsensory hair organization ($1.11 \times 10^{-2}$), and proteolysis ($1.27 \times 10^{-2}$) (fig. 3C).

To reconstruct the gene regulatory networks that underlie the observed gene expression patterns, we performed a motif discovery analysis with *Daphnia-cisTarget* (using the *D. magna* 300 bp version). This analysis returned three main clusters of enriched motifs (fig. 3B). The upregulated gene sets in both treatments were enriched for motifs that are bound by nuclear receptors (NRs) (top motifs with NES 5.30 in BN and 4.68 in BX) and motifs corresponding to the *Drosophila* transcription factor Ovo/Shavenbaby (Svb) (BN: 3rd motif, NES 5.02; BX: 70th motif, NES 2.86). The downregulated genes sets...
were dominated by GATA motifs (top motifs in BN and BX; NES 5.37 and 8.89, respectively). Interestingly, we found upregulated genes encoding TFs that potentially bind to those motifs, namely two genes encoding nuclear receptors (DamHR3 and DamE78) and a gene that is reciprocally homologous to *D. melanogaster* ovo/Svb (fig. 3A). The predicted protein sequences of DamHR3, DamE78, and *D. magna* ovo/Svb show 95%, 97%, and 90% sequence identity with the DNA binding domains of *D. melanogaster* Hr46, Eip78C and ovo/Svb, respectively (supplementary file S4, Supplementary Material online). Such high similarities of the DNA binding domain are strongly indicative of conserved binding sites according to Weirauch et al. (2014). Both cyanobacteria treatments elicited similar responses both in terms of differential gene expression and enriched motifs. The difference between the toxic wild-type *M. aeruginosa* strain and the less-toxic mutant strain is the incapability of the latter to express the phosphatase inhibitor Microcystin-LR. Nevertheless, both strains reduce the somatic growth of *D. magna* as compared with a control green algae diet (Schwarzenberger et al. 2014). This growth rate reduction might be attributed to the low nutritional quality of cyanobacteria (Martin-Creuzburg et al. 2008) or other cyanobacterial toxins such as the protease inhibitor microcystin J (Rohrlack et al. 2004) and digestive protease inhibitors (Schwarzenberger et al. 2010). It is therefore not surprising that the global transcriptional response to both strains is very similar. In this analysis, we did not attempt to filter for a Microcystin-LR-specific response but focused instead on the general cyanobacteria response. Therefore, we combined the *Daphnia*-cisTarget results of both cyanobacteria treatments to two modules, one consisting of the upregulated NR & ovo/Svb targets, and one consisting of the downregulated GATA targets (fig. 3C; the genes that are part of the cyanobacteria networks discussed in this paper are listed in table 1 and supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). In conclusion, *Daphnia*-cisTarget identifies the regulators underlying responses of *D. magna* to an important natural stressor and establishes links between those regulators and putative target genes, without using any functional annotation of the target genes, and relying on the homology of highly conserved transcription factors only.

First, we found strong conservation between the predicted NR-driven network in *D. magna* and the ecdysone pathway regulating developmental timing in *D. melanogaster* (fig. 4A). The steroid hormone ecdysone regulates developmental programs and molting in insects and other arthropods (Nijhout 2013) and presumably also in *D. magna* (Sumiya et al. 2014). By binding to the receptor dimer EcR/USP-RXR, ecdysone triggers a signaling cascade that involves the activation of other nuclear receptors. We found three nuclear receptor genes that are differentially expressed and whose *D. melanogaster* homologues are known targets of the ecdysone receptor: DamHR3, DamE78, and DamE75 (King-Jones and Thummel 2005). Additionally, the top enriched motif was the *D. melanogaster* EcR/USP motif (Down et al. 2007) with the inverted repeat of 5′-AGGTCA-3′ that is typical for nuclear receptors (Germain et al. 2006).

Second, the predicted ovo/Svb targets are strongly enriched for the Gene Ontology term “nonsensory hair organization.” This term is attributed to a group of genes whose *D. melanogaster* homologues are direct targets of Svb (Menoret et al. 2013) (fig. 4A). These known Svb targets are significantly overrepresented among the genes that are upregulated in the BX treatment ($P = 3.2 \times 10^{-14}$). In *Drosophila*, Svb regulates epidermis differentiation and the development of nonsensory, cuticular hairs (trichomes) (Delon et al. 2003; Arif et al. 2015). We found both the *D. magna* Svb orthologue DamSvb to be differentially expressed, as well as its motif to be enriched among the upregulated genes. Interestingly, in *D. melanogaster*, Svb is translated as a transcriptional repressor that becomes an activating TF by cleavage through short peptides encoded by polished rice (*Pr*) (Kondo et al. 2010). In *D. magna*, we find a homologous gene, DamPri (supplementary fig. S3B, Supplementary Material online), and its expression pattern clearly correlates with the expression of DamSvb and the ecdysone-inducible NRs (fig. 4B). There are thus several lines of evidence that the Pri/Svb system is conserved in *D. magna* and that it is activated upon cyanobacteria stress, namely: 1) DamPri and Svb sequence conservation, 2) coexpression of DamPri, DamSvb and homologues of known Svb targets, and 3) enrichment of Svb binding sites among the upregulated genes. The function of DamSvb is yet unknown, but *Daphnia* have numerous cuticular structures that are in the same size range as *Drosophila* trichomes, such as the setae forming plumose structures at the setae of the swimming antennae (Agar 1950) and the filter mesh at the thoracic limbs required for feeding (Fryer 1991). The size of this filter mesh has been shown to be affected by cyanobacteria in *Daphnia pulex* (Ghadouani and Pinel-Alloul 2002). Our findings suggest that DamSvb and its target genes might control the formation of those cuticular protrusions in *D. magna*.

Third, a homologue of the *Drosophila* TF Grainyhead (Grh) and several of its known target genes (Lee and Adler 2004) are upregulated in the BX treatment, although the Grh motif
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol D. magna</th>
<th>Gene ID D. magna</th>
<th>Gene Symbol homologue</th>
<th>Predicted Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>20E signaling, developmental timing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spok</td>
<td>mu8AUGep24b_0s01592g203</td>
<td>Spok</td>
<td>20E synthesis (Ono et al. 2006; Yoshiyama et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamNvd1</td>
<td>mu8PASAgasmbl_42864</td>
<td>nvd α</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamHR3</td>
<td>mu8AUGep24b_0s00930g63_</td>
<td>Hr46 α</td>
<td>20E-responsive NR (King-Jones and Thummel 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamE78</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir7p2o02190g15</td>
<td>E78 α</td>
<td>20E-responsive NR (King-Jones and Thummel 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamE75</td>
<td>mu8AUGapi5s00642g188</td>
<td>E75 α</td>
<td>20E-responsive NR (King-Jones and Thummel 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spoka</td>
<td>Spokα</td>
<td>20E synthesis (Ono et al. 2006; Yoshiyama et al. 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blimp-1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ftz-f1</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir7p03025g22</td>
<td>ftz-f1 α</td>
<td>orphan NR; developmental timing, “competence factor” (King-Jones and Thummel 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ftz-f1a</td>
<td>ftz-f1α</td>
<td>20E-responsive Zn-finger TF, repressor of ftz-f1 (Agawa et al. 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trichome formation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamSvb</td>
<td>mu8AUGapi5s00662g70</td>
<td>ab α</td>
<td>attenuation of 20E-signaling (Jang et al. 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ftz-f1</td>
<td>ftz-f1</td>
<td>20E-responsive Zn-finger TF, repressor of ftz-f1 (Agawa et al. 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cuticle formation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mwh</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir7s00311g165</td>
<td>mwhα</td>
<td>wing hair formation (Yan et al. 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mwha</td>
<td>mwhα</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grh</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir6s00018g56; Dapma7bEvm018464</td>
<td>grhα</td>
<td>adult epidermis differentiation; cuticle organization; wound healing (Lee and Adler 2004; Mace et al. 2005; Gangishetti et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwn</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir7s001005g246</td>
<td>pwnα</td>
<td>wing hair formation (Adler et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pk</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir5s00018g56</td>
<td>pkα</td>
<td>wing hair formation (Adler et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stan</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir6s00018g56; Dapma7bEvm018464</td>
<td>stanα</td>
<td>wing hair formation (Hogan et al. 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Midgut differentiation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamGATAe</td>
<td>mu8AUGep24b_0s000000g30</td>
<td>GATAeα</td>
<td>midgut differentiation (Okumura et al. 2007; Okumura et al. 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG17633</td>
<td>mu8AUGepir7s00311g142t1_</td>
<td>CG17633α</td>
<td>Carboxypeptidase A (Okumura et al. 2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol D. magna</th>
<th>Gene ID D. magna</th>
<th>Gene Symbol homologue</th>
<th>Predicted Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>epsilonTry</td>
<td>epsilonTrya</td>
<td>serine-protease (Ross et al. 2003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gammaTry</td>
<td>gammaTrya</td>
<td>serine-protease homologue (Ross et al. 2003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zetaTry1</td>
<td>zetaTrya</td>
<td>gut-specific trypsin (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zetaTry2</td>
<td>zetaTrya</td>
<td>gut-specific trypsin (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zetaTry3</td>
<td>zetaTrya</td>
<td>gut-specific trypsin (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zetaTry4</td>
<td>zetaTrya</td>
<td>gut-specific trypsin (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamT152</td>
<td>DamT152b</td>
<td>gut-specific trypsin (Von Elert et al. 2004)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if</td>
<td>ifa</td>
<td>adhesion/signaling protein regulating cellular adhesion, migration and survival (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mys</td>
<td>mysa</td>
<td>starch digestion (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy-p1</td>
<td>Amy-pa</td>
<td>starch digestion (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy-p2</td>
<td>Amy-pa</td>
<td>starch digestion (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy-p3</td>
<td>Amy-pa</td>
<td>starch digestion (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy-p4</td>
<td>Amy-pa</td>
<td>starch digestion (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy-p5</td>
<td>Amy-pa</td>
<td>starch digestion (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy-p6</td>
<td>Amy-pa</td>
<td>starch digestion (Attrill et al. 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damfrp2</td>
<td>irp2a</td>
<td>insulin-related neuropeptide (Dircksen et al. 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tobi</td>
<td>tobi</td>
<td>target of insulin-signaling; glucosidase; influences life span, growth and viability (Buch et al. 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impl2</td>
<td>Impl2a</td>
<td>suppressor of insulin signaling (Honegger et al. 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamAstB</td>
<td>astB</td>
<td>inhibition of JH and 20E biosynthesis (Hofmann et al. 1999; Yamanaka et al. 2010; Dircksen et al. 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamAT</td>
<td>atf</td>
<td>inhibition of JH and 20E biosynthesis (Kaneko and Hiruma 2015); myotropic activity on the gut (Dircksen et al. 2011; Verlinden et al. 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamRya</td>
<td>rya</td>
<td>attenuation of feeding motivation (Dircksen et al. 2011; Ida et al. 2011; Maeda et al. 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamRYa-R</td>
<td>RYa-Ra</td>
<td>RYamide receptor (Ida et al. 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tequila</td>
<td>Tequila</td>
<td>regulates insulin-like signaling and life span; proteolytic peptide hormone activation (Huang et al. 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amon</td>
<td>amon</td>
<td>proteolytic peptide hormone activation (Reiher et al. 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7B2</td>
<td>7B2a</td>
<td>required by amon for maturation (Hwang et al. 2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH signaling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamJBP</td>
<td>pfam06585d</td>
<td>JH titre promotion (Nijhout et al. 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamJHE</td>
<td>JHEb</td>
<td>JH degradation (Heckmann et al. 2008; Nijhout et al. 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aDrosophila melanogaster.
*bDaphnia magna.
*cDaphnia pulex.
*dContains haemolymph JH binding protein domain pfam06585 (BLAST CDD).
Motif recovery, sequence homology and literature curation uncover links to molting and growth regulation. Grey edges connect Daphnia-cisTarget motifs with their predicted targets, dotted purple edges indicate literature-curated interactions and solid purple edges literature connections that were confirmed by Daphnia-cisTarget. (A) Daphnia-cisTarget establishes a connection between ecdysone-signaling and the formation of cuticle and cuticular structures in D. magna. The curated network contains genes involved in trichome formation, developmental timing and cuticle formation. References to fruit fly literature: 1) Yoshiyama et al. (2006); 2) Gauhar et al. (2009); 3) Agawa et al. (2007); 4) White et al. (1997); 5) Chanut-Delalande et al. (2014); 6) Kondo et al. (2010); 7) Menoret et al. (2013); 8) Lee and Adler (2004); 9) Gangishetti et al. (2012); 10) Jang et al. (2009); 11) Ono et al. (2006). (B) The heatmap demonstrates coexpression of the genes in the network. Note the anticorrelation of ftz-f1 and its transcriptional repressor Blimp-1 (red box). (C) D. magna might react to poor food quality of cyanobacteria by downregulation of insulin/IGF-signaling (IIS). Among the downregulated genes are many neuropeptides, including the insulin-related peptide homologue DamIrp2, a neuropeptide receptor, and proteases that activate neuropeptides through cleavage. In insects and crayfish, those genes regulate feeding motivation, nutrient storage and starvation resistance. Homologues of targets of the D. melanogaster midgut
is not enriched among the differentially expressed genes (79% DNA binding domain conservation between D. melanogaster Grh and D. magna transcriptome-based gene model, see supplementary additional file S3, Supplementary Material online). In Drosophila, Grh is involved in adult epidermis differentiation (Lee and Adler 2004), cuticle organization (Gangishetti et al. 2012) and wound healing (Mace et al. 2005), and functions together with Svb (Menoret et al. 2013). The signal triggering the expression of this factor in insects is still unknown (Gangishetti et al. 2012). We found NR motifs in the promoter sequence of grh, indicating that in D. magna, grh is potentially regulated by one or several NRs of the ecdysone-signaling cascade.

Fourth, the predicted GATA module can be linked to the midgut epithelium and neuropeptide signaling (fig. 4C). GATA factors represent a class of Zn-finger transcription factors that bind to the DNA consensus motif 5'-HGATAR-3' and are evolutionary conserved in eukaryotes (Lowry and Atchley 2002). GATA factors are involved in various processes in D. melanogaster, among others endoderm development (Murakami et al. 2005; Okumura et al. 2005) and immune response (Senger et al. 2006). We investigated whether any of the predicted GATA factors in D. magna qualifies as candidate regulator for the downregulated GATA module. One candidate, a homologue of D. melanogaster GATAe, has a similar expression pattern to the genes in the GATA module (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). GATAe is a midgut-specific TF in fruit fly (Okumura et al. 2007), and 11 homologues of its targets were significantly enriched among the downregulated genes upon BX exposure ($P = 9.5 \times 10^{-5}$). Although the RNA for this experiment was extracted from whole-body homogenates, there is evidence that the GATA module is expressed in the midgut, as many of its genes are known to be gut-specific (table 1).

In addition to the genes related to digestive functions and the midgut, several members of the Insulin/Insulin-like growth factor signaling (ILS) and peptide hormones involved in brain-gut signaling were downregulated after chronic cyanobacteria exposure (fig. 4C and D), as well as peptidases that are necessary to process and therewith activate peptide hormones. In insects and crayfish, these genes regulate feeding motivation, nutrient storage, growth rate and starvation resistance (Iida et al. 2011; Maeda et al. 2015; Britton et al. 2002; Mirth et al. 2014; Honegger et al. 2008). In conclusion, we were able to associate the gene regulatory networks that are affected by chronic cyanobacteria stress to ecdysone signaling, cuticle formation, midgut differentiation and neuropeptide signaling. Additionally, we provide a first indication of the putative functions of yet unannotated genes by assigning them to specific GRNs and therewith to biological processes.

**The Same Gene Networks Respond to Acute and Chronic M. aeruginosa Exposure**

The data set by Schwarzenberger et al. (2014), discussed in the above paragraphs, reflects a response to chronic (i.e., many days) exposure to cyanobacteria. Next, we aimed to test whether the differential expression of the moulding- and growth-related GRNs is an effect of the chronic exposure, or a direct response to cyanobacteria. To this end, we designed a follow-up experiment consisting of only 4 h (“acute”) exposure, which forms part of the study described by Orsini et al. (2016). In this experiment, we exposed two D. magna clones (termed X-clone and I-clone in the following) to two different cyanobacteria strains, a microcystin-producing (“toxic”, BX), and a microcystin-free (“less-toxic”, BN) strain. Although the cyanobacterial strains used for the chronic exposures differed from the strains used for the acute exposures, we refer to both microcystin-producing strains as “BX” or “toxic”, and to the microcystin-free strains as “BN” or “less-toxic.” This designation is justified because rather than examining condition-specific responses, we focus on the similarities in the responses to toxic and less-toxic cyanobacteria and between the chronic and acute experiment. The experimental conditions in our study differed from the experiment by Schwarzenberger et al. (2014) in terms of genotypes (of both daphnids and cyanobacteria), developmental time point (5-days-old sub-adults in our experiment vs. mature daphnids in Schwarzenberger et al. (2014)) and exposure time (4 h vs. 7–11 days, respectively). Nevertheless, a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed that our acute experiment generated significant changes in the same gene networks that underlie the chronic response (family-wise error rate (FWER) <0.01; supplementary fig. S5B, Supplementary Material online). Surprisingly, the direction of the change (up- or downregulation) differed across the three cohorts (i.e., replicates of the acute experiment), suggesting that our networks capture a systemic cyclic response that is
likely correlated with the molting phase (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). This finding indicates that it is important to avoid averaging across cohorts, as this would average out the upregulation in one cohort, with the downregulation of the same network in another cohort. We generated several random control networks, namely the HSF network, and a housekeeping gene network (using ribosomal genes). These control networks were stable during the treatments across the different cohorts (supplementary fig. SS6, Supplementary Material online). We therefore conclude that there is a reproducible biological response to both chronic and acute exposure to cyanobacteria in our data set.

To construct final GRNs based on the acute cyanobacteria response, we ranked each of the 12 experiments by log2FC and extracted the 500 most up- and down-regulated genes, respectively, which resulted in 24 sets comprising in total 4600 unique genes. We ran Daphnia-cisTarget on each of those sets and recovered the same motifs as in the previous analysis: GATA (in seven out of 24 runs), NR (7×), and ovo/Svb (3×). Additionally, we identified Blimp-1 (11×) and Grh (7×) motifs, two TFs that were upregulated in the chronic stress experiment, but whose motifs were not enriched. This illustrates that our increased resolution in terms of sampling time and sampling numbers, resulted in an increased motif discovery resolution. In total, 493 genes had one or more of those five motifs enriched in at least three computational runs, and were used to construct a network (fig. 5). This network consists of two subnetworks: 249 genes are predicted GATA targets, and 247 genes belong to the highly connected NR/Svb/Blmp-1/Grh-subnetwork. Although both modules derived from the chronic experiment were similarly enriched in acute data set (supplementary fig. SS6, Supplementary Material online), more genes from the midgut module than from the ecdysone/cuticle module were similarly enriched in acute data set (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). About 38% of the differentially expressed genes can be grouped into putative GRNs that are not molting- and growth-related. These networks might represent Daphnia- or tissue-specific GRNs that deserve further investigation.

**Discussion**

The diversity and number of high-throughput sequencing studies using ecological model species are rapidly increasing (Ekblom and Galindo 2010; Todd et al. 2016; Tagu et al. 2014). In this context, computational analysis becomes a significant bottleneck in environmental genomic studies (see e.g., Stapley et al. 2010; Alvarez et al. 2015), primarily because functional gene annotation and bioinformatic tools for such species are limited in comparison to the resources available for traditional (biomedical) genetic models (e.g., fruit fly, nematode, and mouse). For example, analytical approaches typically used to interpret Daphnia transcriptomes rely on functional gene annotation and gene ontologies (GO) that are derived from the annotation of orthologues of distantly related model species (see e.g., [Toyota et al. 2015; De Coninck et al. 2014; Rozenberg et al. 2015]). Although this approach may be helpful, it makes many assumptions on the deep conservation of gene functions between species and among gene paralogues, and ignores the significant number of genes that lack homology to model species (Primmer et al. 2013). This is particularly relevant for studies in ecological model systems that contain lineage-specific genes and may also reveal putative function of genes that are shared with model species yet have no known effects on phenotypes under laboratory conditions (see e.g., Colbourne et al. 2011).

Here, we present Daphnia-cisTarget, a tool to discover gene regulatory networks by combining gene expression and genomic sequence information. Daphnia-cisTarget is an implementation of cisTargetX by Aerts et al. (2010) for D. pulex and D. magna, the two species for which there are draft genomes available. In this study, we initially demonstrate that Daphnia-cisTarget yields biologically meaningful results by validating its utility at analysing a D. pulex heat shock data set (Becker et al., unpublished data). We selected the heat shock response to validate our method because the target genes of the evolutionary conserved (Liu et al. 1997) heat shock factor (HSF) are known to contain a conserved DNA motif (Guertin et al. 2010). As predicted, this motif is highly enriched among the genes that are upregulated after a heat shock in D. pulex. Our findings confirm the efficacy of Daphnia-cisTarget at recovering the predicted heat shock response system Daphnia.
Next, we used *Daphnia-cis*Target to gain new insights into the response of *D. magna* to the cyanobacterium *M. aeruginosa*. Cyanobacteria threaten freshwater ecosystems through the production of toxic algae blooms (Huisman et al. 2005) and have been shown to reduce somatic and population growth in *D. magna* (Schwarzenberger et al. 2014; Kuster and Von Elert 2013). Cyanobacterial toxins include among others the protein phosphatase inhibitor Microcystin-LR (DeMott and Dhawale 1995) and protease inhibitors (Agrawal et al. 2005; Rohrlack et al. 2003). The response and adaptation of *Daphnia* to cyanobacterial toxins is of particular interest because *Daphnia*, being major grazers of phytoplankton, hold the potential to suppress algae blooms (Kuster and Von Elert 2013). Recently, a series of studies has been published on the transcriptional responses of *Daphnia* to the cyanobacterium *M. aeruginosa* (Asselman et al. 2012; Schwarzenberger et al. 2014; De Coninck et al. 2014). However, the underlying gene networks and the transcriptional regulators governing those responses remain to be identified. To this end, we analyzed two transcriptome data sets covering the response after 1) chronic (Schwarzenberger et al. 2014) and 2) acute (Orsini et al. 2016) exposure. In the chronic data set, we discovered ecdysone/cuticle- and midgut/neuropeptide-related gene regulatory networks that we attribute to moulting and growth regulation. By the application of *Daphnia-cis*Target, we discovered that these networks play...
a central role in the general response to cyanobacteria in *D. magna*. The networks respond both to chronic and acute cyanobacteria exposure, despite the marked differences in the designs of both experiments (i.e., different *D. magna* and *M. aeruginosa* genotypes, daphnid life stages and exposure time). First, the ecdysone/cuticle and midgut/neuropeptide-related modules from the chronic exposure experiment were strongly affected under acute stress. Second, *Daphnia-cisTarget* recovered similar motifs in both experiments, i.e., GATA factor, nuclear receptor and ovo/Svb motifs. The greater resolution of our acute data set revealed two additional motifs, attributed to the GATA and ovo/Svb motifs. The interplay between ecdysone and insulin signaling might coordinate growth and nutritional input. Ecdysone, juvenile hormone, and insulin/IGF signaling are known to be tightly linked and to regulate growth and development in insects (purple arrows). Colored nodes represent genes from the chronic data set that can be mapped onto the insect interactome and exposure time). First, the ecdysone/cuticle and midgut/neuropeptide-related modules from the chronic exposure experiment were strongly affected under acute stress. Second, *Daphnia-cisTarget* recovered similar motifs in both experiments, i.e., GATA factor, nuclear receptor and ovo/Svb motifs. The greater resolution of our acute data set revealed two additional motifs, attributed to the GATA and ovo/Svb motifs.

The ecdysteroid hormone ecdysone regulates moulting processes in arthropods, which are tightly coupled with epidermis differentiation and cuticle formation (Mitra 2013). The cooccurrence of the above-mentioned genes and motifs (i.e., nuclear receptor, ovo/Svb, Blimp-1, and Grainshead motifs) suggests that the ecdysone/cuticle-related network regulates moulting processes in *D. magna* and that these are affected by exposure to cyanobacteria. The downregulated network, on the other hand, is associated with midgut-differentiation, food digestion, and neuropeptide brain-gut signaling, processes that can be related to somatic growth regulation.

Given these findings, we postulate several possible links between the identified gene regulatory networks and known cyanobacteria-induced phenotypic changes.

First, Schwarzenberger et al. (2012) showed that cyanobacteria slow the growth rate and delay maturity of *D. magna* (supplementary fig. SSC, Supplementary Material online). Growth and moulting are tightly coupled processes in arthropods because of their rigid carapace. In insects, those processes are controlled through a complex temporal and spatial interplay of ecdysone, juvenile hormone (JH) and insulin/IGF signaling (IIS), integrating nutritional and developmental signals (Nijhout et al. 2010). When *D. magna* is chronically exposed to cyanobacteria, components of each of the three endocrine signaling pathways are affected and we find differentially expressed genes that are known functional links between them (fig. 6 and table 1). 1) *DamNvd1* forms part of the ecdysone synthesis pathway, is crucial for moulting in *D. magna*, and is expressed in the gut (Sumiya et al. 2014, 2016); 2) the ecdysone-responsive (Nätzle et al. 1986) gene *ImpL2* counteracts IIS and is known to be overexpressed upon starvation in *D. melanogaster* (Honegger et al. 2008); and 3) two genes that influence juvenile hormone titres in insects (Nijhout et al. 2014), *DamuHE* and *DamIHBP*, form part of the midgut-related network. We thus established connections between ecdysone and insulin signaling that may be important for the coordination of growth and nutritional input in *D. magna* and underlie the observed phenotypic changes in growth rate and age at maturity.

Second, it was also shown that the *Daphnia* midgut epithelium is disrupted by cyanobacterial toxins (Rohrlack et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2005) and starvation (Elendt and Storch 1990). We found *DamGATAe* and many midgut-specific genes that contain GATA motifs differentially expressed upon cyanobacteria treatment. We therefore hypothesize that *DamGATAe* plays a role in midgut epithelium differentiation and maintenance, as does its *Drosophila* orthologue (Okumura et al. 2016), and that its differential expression in the cyanobacteria treatments reflects a response to the disruption of the midgut epithelium.

Third, the filter mesh of *Daphnia*’s thoracic limbs is required for feeding and changes with food conditions and cyanobacterial presence (e.g., Ghadouani and Pinel-Alloul 2002; Lampert 1994; Repka et al. 1999). This mesh is formed by cuticular structures that are of the same size range as *Drosophila* trichomes (cf. Fryer 1991; Chanut-Delalande et al. 2014). In *Drosophila*, trichome formation is controlled in an ecdysone-dependent manner by the transcription factor Svfb and its activator Pri. We identified the presence of pri and svfb orthologues in *D. magna* and their differential expression under cyanobacteria exposure, and hypothesize that *DamPri* and *DamSvfb* might be important in regulation of filter mesh size in *D. magna*. In addition, the *Daphnia* exoskeleton features numerous cuticular extensions, such as spinules, denticles, and setae required for swimming and filter feeding.
(Fryer 1991), that are rebuilt prior to each moult (Agar 1950). Any of those structures could be regulated by DamPri/Svb. Consequently, the DamPri/Svb system would be invoked during each moulting cycle and its expression affected by any stressor that influences the moulting cycle. Given that cyanobacteria affect both moulting (Rohrlack et al. 2004) and filter mesh size (Ghadouani and Pinel-Alloul 2002; Repka et al. 1999), a combination of both functions may be involved.

Interestingly, the direction of expression of the moulting- and growth-related networks is cohort-specific in the acute stress experiment (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). In D. magna, ecdysone and genes involved in ecdysone signaling and moulting show cyclic expression patterns (Mu and Leblanc 2004; Martin-Creuzburg et al. 2007; Kato et al. 2007; Sumiya et al. 2014; Hannas and Leblanc 2010; Espie and Roff 1995). A possible explanation for the observed pattern is that the cohorts were sampled at different time points during the moulting cycle and that the acute exposure to cyanobacteria induces a small shift in this cycle as a general stress response. This hypothesis is in line with the statement by Chang and Mykles (2011) that external cues can inhibit moulting in Crustacea. Consequently, careful experimental design is necessary to control for such effects and to disentangle moulting-related genes from genes underlying other traits of interest (see also Vandegesechhte et al. [2010]; Alvarez et al. [2015] and supplementary note S1, Supplementary Material online).

In this study, we focused on moulting and growth regulation, processes that are conserved across arthropods (Nijhout 2013). Consequently, we found multiple homologies at the levels of transcription factors, motifs, and target genes in the Microcystis data sets presented here. It has been shown that transcription factors and their binding specificities can be highly conserved across different taxa (e.g., Liu et al. 1997), and Weirauch et al. (2014) found that transcription factors with closely related DNA-binding domains are likely to bind to similar DNA sequence motifs. The mere presence of a motif in a putatively cis-regulatory region of a gene does not necessarily imply a functional binding site. However, the fact that the gene is expressed in the experiment and that its motif is enriched among coexpressed genes provides a strong indication that the binding site is indeed functional. For each transcription factor, we examined several indications that suggest the conservation of a gene regulatory network between water fleas and other species: 1) enrichment of the motif in a set of coexpressed genes, 2) conservation of the transcription factor that has been assigned to that motif in another species, 3) coexpression of the factor with its target genes as predicted by Daphnia-cisTarget, and 4) coexpression of orthologues of known target genes of that factor in other species. By this, we were able to identify several gene expression modules in D. magna and link them to biological functions. Typical for ecological model species such as Daphnia, those modules contain many lineage-specific genes that lack functional annotation (fig. 3, supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). By exploiting the principle of guilt-by-association, we were able to also assign biological functions to these genes. Another network biology approach, i.e., gene coexpression clustering, has proven to be a valuable tool to obtain a mechanistic understanding of genotype–phenotype relationships in ecological model species (e.g., Weston et al. 2008; Williams et al. 2011; Filteau et al. 2013). However, clustering methods require large sample sizes (Allen et al. 2012; Altay 2012). Daphnia-cisTarget does not have this requirement and is thus better suited to small-scale gene expression studies.

Other existing methods can be used to discover motifs and gene regulatory networks in Daphnia, for example Clover (Frith et al. 2004), RSAT peak-motifs (Thomas-Chollier et al. 2012), or CisFinder (Sharov and Ko 2009). A comprehensive comparison of the different methods is beyond the scope of this article and we refer the reader to the recent review by Boeva (2016). Because of differences in the underlying algorithms and different input data requirements, it has proven difficult to directly compare the performance of motif-discovery tools, and Tran and Huang (2014) recommend the use of multiple different tools. Since all existing motif-discovery methods are not directly tailored to water fleas, some bioinformatic knowledge is required to apply those methods in the same fashion as Daphnia-cisTarget. Particularly, in other tools the user has to provide putatively cis-regulatory sequences for each gene of interest and a background set of genes (i.e., the full gene catalogue) and a library of sequence motifs. Daphnia-cisTarget offers several advantages over existing motif-discovery tools for the analysis of Daphnia gene sets: It 1) makes use of a large collection of sequence motifs, 2) comprises a precompiled full genomic background, which makes enrichment analysis quite fast, 3) provides the prediction of putative TFs and target genes, and 4) is accessible to users without bioinformatic knowledge through its web-interface.

**Conclusion**

We developed a tool for gene regulatory network discovery in the water flea Daphnia that combines gene expression and genomic sequence information. We demonstrated that Daphnia-cisTarget can be used to understand the structure of a gene expression data set independently of functional gene annotation. We also demonstrated how the identification of different components of conserved gene regulatory networks (transcription factors, their binding sites and target genes) can lead to new insights on the function of genes and their interactions. Therefore, Daphnia-cisTarget provides an additional layer to data interpretation and accommodates the fact that complex gene interactions rather than individual genes, determine a phenotype (Benfey and Mitchell-Olfs 2008). With Daphnia-cisTarget, we presented a gene prioritization approach that yields biologically meaningful results in
two genetic nonmodel species and that can be applied to any ecological model species for which a draft genome assembly and gene catalogue are available.
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