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- There is an urgent need for novel drugs for PSC.
- In this phase II clinical trial, norUDCA reduced serum ALP levels within 12 weeks.
- norUDCA’s effects on liver enzymes were dose-dependent.
- The safety profile of norUDCA was excellent.
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Lay summary

Effective medical therapy for primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is urgently needed. In this phase II clinical study in PSC patients, a side chain-shortened derivative of ursodeoxycholic acid, norursodeoxycholic acid (norUDCA), significantly reduced serum alkaline phosphatase levels in a dose-dependent manner during a 12-week treatment. Importantly, norUDCA showed a favorable safety profile, which was similar to placebo. The use of norUDCA in PSC patients is promising and will be further evaluated in a phase III clinical study.
norUrsodeoxycholic acid improves cholestasis in primary sclerosing cholangitis

Peter Fickert1, Gideon M. Hirschfield2, Gerald Denk3, Hans-Ulrich Marschall4, Istvan Altorjay5, Martti Färkkila6, Christoph Schramm7, Ulrich Spengler8, Roger Chapman9, Annika Bergqvist10, Erik Schrumpf11, Frederik Nevens12, Palak Trivedi12, Florian P. Reiter3, Istvan Tornai5, Emina Halilbasic13, Roland Greinwald14, Markus Pröls14, Michael P. Manns15, Michael Trauner13,\*, for the European PSC norUDCA Study Group1

Background & Aim: Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) represents a devastating bile duct disease, currently lacking effective medical therapy. 24-norursodeoxycholic acid (norUDCA) is a side chain-shortened C23 homologue of UDCA and has shown potent anti-cholestatic, anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic properties in a preclinical PSC mouse model. A randomized controlled trial, including 38 centers from 12 European countries, evaluated the safety and efficacy of three doses of oral norUDCA (500 mg/d, 1,000 mg/d or 1,500 mg/d) compared with placebo in patients with PSC.

Methods: One hundred sixty-one PSC patients without concomitant UDCA therapy and with elevated serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) levels were randomized for a 12-week treatment period, followed by a 4-week follow-up. The primary efficacy endpoint was the mean relative change in ALP levels between baseline and end of treatment visit.

Results: norUDCA reduced ALP levels by -12.3%, -17.3%, and -26.0% in the 500, 1,000, and 1,500 mg/d groups (p = 0.029, p < 0.003, and p <0.00011 when compared to placebo), respectively, while a +1.2% increase was observed in the placebo group.

Conclusion: norUDCA significantly reduced ALP values dose-dependently in all treatment arms. The safety profile of norUDCA was excellent and comparable to placebo. Consequently, these results justify a phase III trial of norUDCA in PSC patients.

Introduction

Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is a chronic cholestatic liver disease of unknown etiology characterized by a chronic inflammatory and fibro-obliterative destruction of extra- and intrahepatic bile ducts.1 Currently there are no medical therapies with proven benefits on PSC patients’ survival. The list of drugs that have failed in PSC treatment is long, comprising of azathioprine, cyclosporine, methotrexate, tacrolimus, penicillamine, cholic acid, and infliximab.2 Consequently, liver transplantation is the only treatment option for PSC patients with end-stage liver disease. The use of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) in the treatment
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glutamyl transpeptidase [γ-GT], alkaline phosphatase [AP], total and conjugated bilirubin, albumin, c-reactive protein [CRP], total protein, serum creatinine, lipase lact dehydrogenase [LDH]); hematology (blood count and differential blood count), and blood coagulation tests (international normalized ratio [INR], partial thromboplastin [PTT]). Abdominal ultrasound examination was done at the screening visit and EOT. This allowed for liver size and echogenicity, the bile duct system, gall bladder abnormalities, portosystemic collaterals, spleen size, and any other abnormalities to be evaluated. Patients suffering from ulcerative colitis were asked to start a diary one week before baseline until the EOT visit, to record the four sub-scores: number of stools, blood in or on stool, general wellbeing, and abdominal pain/cramps. This diary was used at every visit to calculate the clinical activity index (CAI) according to Rachmilewitz generated by the electronic Case Report Form. Pruritus was assessed by visual analogue scale (VAS) by making a vertical line from no itching (0) to unbearable itching (100). Fatigue was assessed by questionnaire adapted from the PBC-40 questionnaire.44 Each item was scored on a 5-point scale and the fatigue score was calculated as the sum of scores for the ten items. To assess the physician’s general overall clinical impression of the therapeutic effect and changes in the patient’s condition the physician’s global assessment (PGA) scale was used.25 The short health scale (SHS) is a simplified four-item questionnaire and was used for testing the patients’ quality of life.26 Compliance was recorded by counting the returned daily boxes for study medication. Adverse events (AEs) representing any event or situation related to the study treatment considered by the investigator as related to the study treatment were recorded. Symptomatic AEs and AE-related withdrawals were recorded at each control visit. Treatment emergent AEs were defined as any event from the start of medication and occurring within the period of treatment. SAEs included death, any life-threatening event, requirement for in-patient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, events with persistent or significant disability/incapacity, and congenital anomaly/birth defects.

Study endpoints

The primary efficacy variable in this clinical trial was the relative change (%) in ALP between the baseline visit and the EOT visit (LOCF), as serum ALP is a relevant surrogate marker for prognosis in PSC patients.24–30 This was calculated for each patient as follows:

\[
100 \times \left( \frac{\text{ALP}_{\text{EOT}} - \text{ALP}_{\text{baseline}}}{\text{ALP}_{\text{baseline}}} \right)
\]

A value of –25% calculated for a patient means a reduction by 25%, i.e. the ALP value at EOT was three-quarters the value that was measured at the baseline visit. Secondary efficacy endpoints included at least a 50% reduction in ALP between baseline and EOT; normalization (<ULN) or partial normalization (<1.5x ULN) of ALP, absolute and relative changes of ALP, γ-GT, AST, ALT, and serum bilirubin levels (total bilirubin and conjugated bilirubin) at each study visit (screening to follow-up), absolute and relative changes of pruritus course measured by VAS, fatigue course, and success and therapeutic benefit according to PGA.

Statistical methods

The primary efficacy variable was subject to a confirmatory statistical analysis (\(p < 0.025\), one-sided) in the context of a two-stage group-sequential adaptive study design. The sample size has been chosen to ensure adequate power to detect absolute differences in the mean relative change (%) in serum ALP of about 20%. Differences of this magnitude were regarded to be clinically meaningful in this proof of concept study. The sample size required was calculated using nQuery Advisor 6.0. For an effect size of 0.20/0.25 ± 0.8 and power of 80%, with the result that 39 patients per treatment group were required. This calculation did not yet take the group-sequential adaptive study design into account. The study was conducted according to a 2-stage group-sequential test design with possible sample size adaptation at the interim analysis. To preserve the overall experiment-wise one-sided type I error rate of \(p = 0.025\) in the analysis of the primary endpoint, boundaries calculated according to O’Brien and Fleming were applied with a critical value at the final analysis of 2.015. The inverse normal method of combining values was used with prospectively planned information rates of 0.75 and 1.00 at the interim analysis and at the final analysis, respectively. This means that a sample size of 40 patients per treatment group was adequate (40/39 ± 1.0256). The planned sample size was therefore 160 patients; Pat, patient.

ClinicalTrials.gov number: NCT01755507.

Results

In total, 231 screenings were performed in 222 patients. Sixty-one out of 222 screened patients were not eligible for randomization. The main reason for screening failure was violation of inclusion or exclusion criteria in 51 patients. The study flow chart and additional reasons for screening failure are given in Fig. 2. Data of screening failures and data of two patients who did not take the to the EOT visit was calculated as the value at the visit minus the baseline value. Comparisons between treatment groups were performed in two steps: The first analysis step compared each norUDCA treatment group against placebo, the second analysis step was used for pairwise comparisons among the three norUDCA treatment groups. Only if all three hypotheses of the first step had been rejected, the second step was to be performed in a confirmatory sense. In order to adjust for multiplicity within each analysis step, a closed testing procedure using Simes intersection tests 31 was applied for each step. The inverse normal procedure was applied to Simes adjusted p values. Corresponding p values were combined, i.e. the p values for the global hypothesis based on patients included in the interim analysis was combined with the p value based on the remaining patients, the pairwise intersection p values were combined with their counterparts, as well as the p values for the elementary hypotheses. The global hypothesis (intersection of the 3 elementary hypotheses) could be rejected if the global test statistic (FAS) resulting from the inverse normal method exceeded the critical value. In this case, it was to be determined which of the elementary hypotheses could be rejected by the closed testing procedure. One-sided p values for the analysis of the primary endpoint were calculated using Wilcoxon rank sum tests. Calculations were performed using SAS® (version 9.3, SAS Institute, USA) and using ADDPLAN® (licensed by ADDPLAN, Inc., an ICON Clinical Research, LLC company). Descriptive statistical methods were used to analyze all variables. Continuous variables were summarized using the number of observations, arithmetic mean, and standard deviation. Categorical data are described using absolute and relative frequencies. There was no considerable number of centers contributing at least three patients per treatment group. Therefore, a possible center effect was not explored. A possible effect of geographical cluster was explored by presenting descriptive summary statistics for the primary efficacy endpoint stratified by geographical cluster. The following geographical clusters were used: Austria, Germany, Hungary, United Kingdom, Scandinavia (Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden), Benelux (Belgium, The Netherlands), and other countries (Lithuania, Spain).

For further details regarding the materials used, please refer to the CITAT table.
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study medication (one was withdrawn because of lack of cooperation, the other because of violation of an exclusion criterion after randomization) were not included in the statistical analysis. Consequently, 159 received the study medication comprising the intention to treat (ITT) analysis population. Out of the 159 patients who were treated with study medication, 21 patients discontinued the treatment phase prematurely (nor-UDCA 500 mg: 10, 25.6%; nor-UDCA 1,000 mg: 4, 9.8%; nor-UDCA 1,500 mg: 3, 7.7%, placebo: 4, 10.0%). The most frequent primary reasons were violation of inclusion or exclusion criteria (coming to light after randomization) or intake of prohibited concomitant medication. The number of patients terminating the treatment phase because of lack of efficacy was: nor-UDCA 500 mg: 1 patient, nor-UDCA 1,000 mg: 0 patient, nor-UDCA 1,500 mg: 1 patient, and two in the placebo group.

Patient characteristics

The main baseline characteristics of the 159 patients treated are shown in Table 1. Overall and for each treatment group, the majority of patients were male (109/159: 68.6%), reflecting the natural gender distribution in PSC patients. There was no significant difference between study groups with regard to age, weight, height, BMI, and ALP serum levels at baseline indicating that the four treatment groups were well balanced at enrollment. A range from 6 to 8 of newly diagnosed PSC patients was comparable between the different treatment groups, ranging from 15% and 20% (Table 1), with the highest percentage in the placebo group. The rate of concomitant IBD was highest within the nor-UDCA 500 mg group (77%) and lowest within the placebo group (50%), however this difference did not reach statistical differences. There was no correction for IBD presence with respect to allocation of treatment groups. In the active treatment groups, the median time since the first symptoms of IBD was about 13.5 years, and the median duration of IBD disease was about 11.5 years (Table 1). The median duration of PSC was 6.9 years in the placebo group and 6.9, 3.7, and 4.3 years in the 500, 1,000, and 1,500 mg nor-UDCA group respectively (variation not greater than expected by chance). Serum ALP values were profoundly elevated at baseline in all treatment groups ranging from 369 IU/L in the nor-UDCA 1,000 mg group to 495 IU/L in the nor-UDCA 500 mg group, indicating that all treatment groups had pronounced cholestasis. Out of 159 study patients, 113 (71.0%) were UDCA experienced, 58 (51.3%) of these were UDCA responders defined by partial normalization of ALP at investigator’s discretion and 55 (48.7%) UDCA non-responders. Forty out of 159 (25.2%) patients were UDCA naive, the response to previous UDCA treatment was unknown or not applicable for the remaining 6/159 (3.8%) randomized patients (Table 1). In the active treatment groups, the proportion of UDCA non-responders ranged between 51.8% in nor-UDCA 1,500 mg group and 54.8% in the nor-UDCA 1,000 mg group, whereas in the placebo group only 33.3% were UDCA non-responders, defined according to the investigators’ discretion (and therefore lacking a universal definition). The UDCA doses were in a similar range (between 12 and 15 mg/kg/d) in UDCA responders and non-responders (Table 1). There was no difference between the groups with regard to current pruritus or previous pruritus episodes reported by patients ranging from 40.0% in the placebo groups to 64.1% in the nor-UDCA 500 mg group. Fatigue at baseline was reported from 45.0% in the placebo group up to 70.7% in the nor-UDCA 1,000 mg group.

Nor-UDCA significantly reduces serum ALP levels in a dose-dependent fashion in PSC patients

The relative reduction of serum ALP values was chosen as the primary endpoint for this study, since ALP levels currently represent the best available surrogate parameter for PSC patients and therefore appeared most suitable for this short-term study.27-29 In each nor-UDCA group, the relative reduction of serum ALP was statistically significantly superior to placebo in the analysis of the primary endpoint showing dose-dependent reductions by

### Table 1. Demographic and baseline characteristics of randomized and treated patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Characteristics</th>
<th>Placebo (N = 40)</th>
<th>nor-UDCA 500 mg (N = 39)</th>
<th>nor-UDCA 1,000 mg (N = 41)</th>
<th>nor-UDCA 1,500 mg (N = 39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, N (%)</td>
<td>25 (62.5%)</td>
<td>27 (69.2%)</td>
<td>28 (68.3%)</td>
<td>29 (74.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, N (%)</td>
<td>15 (37.5%)</td>
<td>12 (30.8%)</td>
<td>13 (31.7%)</td>
<td>10 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr), (mean SD)</td>
<td>44.1 (14.88)</td>
<td>40.9 (12.24)</td>
<td>42.3 (13.08)</td>
<td>41.0 (13.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), (mean SD)</td>
<td>78.7 (13.63)</td>
<td>75.5 (13.51)</td>
<td>79.1 (15.37)</td>
<td>77.7 (15.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm), (mean SD)</td>
<td>176.0 (12.14)</td>
<td>176.0 (9.01)</td>
<td>175.7 (8.06)</td>
<td>176.9 (11.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), (mean SD)</td>
<td>25.5 (3.93)</td>
<td>24.3 (3.87)</td>
<td>25.6 (4.94)</td>
<td>24.8 (3.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of PSC (Months), (median range)</td>
<td>83 (2–343)</td>
<td>83 (1–345)</td>
<td>45 (2–242)</td>
<td>52 (2–311)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New diagnosis of PSC, N (%)</td>
<td>8 (20.0%)</td>
<td>6 (15.4%)</td>
<td>8 (19.5%)</td>
<td>7 (17.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD at screening</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis, N (%)</td>
<td>15 (37.5%)</td>
<td>27 (69.2%)</td>
<td>22 (53.7%)</td>
<td>24 (61.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s disease, N (%)</td>
<td>5 (12.5%)</td>
<td>3 (7.7%)</td>
<td>5 (12.2%)</td>
<td>1 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since first IBD symptoms (Months), (median range)</td>
<td>162 (36–451)</td>
<td>183 (10–416)</td>
<td>151 (4–526)</td>
<td>140 (11–476)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP at baseline (U/L), (mean SD)</td>
<td>456 (234.4)</td>
<td>495 (282.4)</td>
<td>369 (200.6)</td>
<td>464 (241.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA naive, N (%)</td>
<td>12 (30.0%)</td>
<td>9 (23.1%)</td>
<td>9 (22.0%)</td>
<td>10 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA pre-treatment, N (%)</td>
<td>27 (67.5%)</td>
<td>28 (71.8%)</td>
<td>31 (75.6%)</td>
<td>27 (69.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responder, N (%)</td>
<td>18 (86.7%)</td>
<td>13 (46.4%)</td>
<td>14 (45.2%)</td>
<td>13 (48.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean previous dose, mg/kg/d</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-responder, N (%)</td>
<td>9 (33.3%)</td>
<td>15 (53.6%)</td>
<td>17 (54.8%)</td>
<td>14 (51.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean previous dose, mg/kg/d</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown UDCA exposure, N (%)</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td>2 (5.1%)</td>
<td>1 (2.4%)</td>
<td>2 (5.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical methods were used to analyze all variables. Continuous variables are summarized using the number of observations, arithmetic mean, and standard deviation.

Nor UDCA treatment with the most pronounced reduction in the 1,500 mg norUDCA arm. NU, norursodeoxycholic acid.*Indicates p < 0.01 compared to placebo; #Indicates p < 0.025 comparison of NU 1,500 mg vs. NU 500 mg. A closed testing procedure using Simes intersection tests was applied for each group comparison (comparison of each norUDCA treatment group against placebo and pairwise comparisons among the three norUDCA treatment groups). The inverse normal procedure was applied to Simes adjusted p values. One-sided p values for the analysis of the primary endpoint were calculated using Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

−12.3%, −17.3%, and −26.0% in the 500, 1,000, and 1,500 mg/d norUDCA groups respectively (Fig. 3). Thus, the study was positive on ITT for all norUDCA treatment groups. Statistically significant differences compared to placebo were also seen for all three norUDCA groups in the per protocol set (data for per protocol analysis not shown). Possible confounding effects of geographical clusters were not detected by stratified analysis of the relative reduction of serum ALP (data not shown). The percentage of treated patients reaching prognostically meaningful reductions of ALP defined as serum levels ≤1.5-fold the ULN was: 12.5% (5/40) in the placebo group, 12.8% (5/39) in the NU 500 mg group, 41.5% (17/41) in the 1,000 mg group (the group starting with the lowest ALP levels), and 30.8% (12/39) in the 1,500 mg group. ALP levels within ULN were found in 2/40 (5.0%) of the placebo group, 12.8% (5/39) in the NU 500 mg group, 41.5% (17/41) in the 1,000 mg group (5/39 = 12.8% nor UDCA 1,500 mg) following the active treatment period upon physician’s discretion. It may also be of interest to note that 8 out of these 9 patients were classified as UDCA responders before study entry and that the proportion of patients receiving UDCA after placebo in the follow-up period was about double the number in the active treatment groups (Table S1). Serum bilirubin levels did not significantly differ between treatment groups at the different time points (Table S2).

NorUDCA significantly reduces γ-GT, ALT, and AST serum levels in PSC patients

NorUDCA significantly reduced serum γ-GT levels in a dose-dependent fashion, and was most pronounced with a mean reduction of 33.9% in the norUDCA 1,500 mg group (for absolute values see Fig. 4B). Notably, the dose-dependency of the biochemical norUDCA effects in the reduction of serum enzyme levels was most apparent for serum γ-GT compared to the other serum parameters (Fig. 4). In parallel to the reduction of the cholestatic liver enzymes, the median reduction of serum AST levels was 20.5% and 33.1% in ALT levels at EOT (for absolute values see Fig. 4C and D, respectively). As observed for ALP, following a significant reduction under norUDCA treatment, a pronounced rebound of γ-GT, AST, and ALT serum levels was evident from EOT to the follow-up visit.

NorUDCA response is not predicted by previous UDCA treatment, previous UDCA response, presence of IBD, or duration of PSC

Importantly, a dose-dependent reduction of ALP was observed in both sexes and independent of previous UDCA-pretreatment and response (Table 2). Similarly, presence of IBD, duration of PSC and...
Table 2. Relative changes (%) in ALP serum levels from baseline to EOT according to UDCA pretreatment as well as UDCA treatment response and other clinical parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Placebo (N = 40)</th>
<th>norUDCA 500 mg (N = 39)</th>
<th>norUDCA 1,000 mg (N = 41)</th>
<th>norUDCA 1,500 mg (N = 39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, mean % (N)</td>
<td>+4.7% (25)</td>
<td>-14.1% (27)</td>
<td>-13.9% (28)</td>
<td>-27.8% (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, mean % (N)</td>
<td>-4.6% (15)</td>
<td>-8.3% (12)</td>
<td>-24.7% (13)</td>
<td>-20.5% (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA responder, mean % (N)</td>
<td>-6.2% (18)</td>
<td>-9.9% (13)</td>
<td>-16.4% (14)</td>
<td>-19.5% (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA non-responder, mean % (N)</td>
<td>-3.5% (9)</td>
<td>-10.1% (15)</td>
<td>-14.9% (17)</td>
<td>-27.3% (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA naïve, mean % (N)</td>
<td>+12.3% (12)</td>
<td>-14.9% (9)</td>
<td>-24.8% (9)</td>
<td>-32.0% (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP &gt; 3 x ULN, mean % (N)</td>
<td>-0.9% (25)</td>
<td>-14.4% (23)</td>
<td>-19.7% (17)</td>
<td>-26.2% (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP ≤ 3 x ULN, mean % (N)</td>
<td>+4.8% (15)</td>
<td>-9.2% (16)</td>
<td>-15.6% (24)</td>
<td>-25.7% (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC with IBD, mean % (N)</td>
<td>5.8% (20)</td>
<td>-10.4% (29)</td>
<td>-14.5% (27)</td>
<td>-24.0% (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC without IBD, mean % (N)</td>
<td>-4.8% (18)</td>
<td>-21.8% (8)</td>
<td>-22.8% (14)</td>
<td>-29.9% (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC duration &lt;5 yr, mean % (N)</td>
<td>8.3% (15)</td>
<td>-10.5% (17)</td>
<td>-20.3% (22)</td>
<td>-28.2% (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC duration &gt;5 yr, mean % (N)</td>
<td>-3.0% (24)</td>
<td>-13.7% (22)</td>
<td>-13.4% (18)</td>
<td>-23.7% (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In N = 5 no classification to IBD or non-IBD was possible.
** In N = 2 data from the first PSC diagnosis was not documented.

Baseline ALP levels had no impact on the dose-dependent response to norUDCA (Table 2). Notably, the percentage of patients without therapeutic response to norUDCA (defined as an ALP at EOT above screening values when most patients were still on UDCA) declined sharply with increasing norUDCA doses, again independently of previous UDCA pretreatment and response (Fig. S1).

Patient related outcomes

Body weight, body temperature, and vital signs did not show any relevant changes from baseline to EOT in all four treatment groups. It is interesting to note, that 7 (17.9%) patients showed enlarged spleen at baseline in the norUDCA 500 mg group, 3 (7.3%) in the 1,000 mg group, and 3 in the 1,500 mg group. At EOT this number was reduced to 4 (10.3%), 0, and 0 patients in each treatment group respectively, while the number of patients with enlarged spleen was 2 (5%) in the placebo group at baseline and increased to 3 (7.5%) at EOT. The mean values of CAI sum were low in all treatment groups at baseline and increased to 3 (7.5%) at EOT. The sub-scores with the highest mean values at baseline were: score for number of stools, score for general wellbeing, score for bloody stools, score for abdominal pain/cramps and laboratory findings. Sub-scores showed some variability but no trend among treatment groups. In addition, there was no statistical significant difference in SRS scores between treatment groups but indicated a slight improvement from baseline to EOT for the norUDCA 1,500 mg group for each individual dimension. Using the sum of eleven questions for the calculation of the fatigue score there was no significant difference from baseline (placebo: 23.0, norUDCA 500 mg: 21.0, 1,000 mg: 19.7, 1,500 mg: 23.3) to EOT (placebo 21.3, norUDCA 500 mg: 20.7, 1,000 mg: 19.8; 1,500 mg: 20.2) and between treatment groups. However, a slight improvement of symptoms according to PGA of efficacy could be achieved at EOT for 43.6% of patients in the 1,500 mg norUDCA group, 29.3% in the 1,000 mg, 23.1% in the 500 mg, and 30% in the placebo group. These differences did not reach statistical significance.

NorUDCA showed a favorable safety profile

Tolerability of the study medication was described as very good in the vast majority of patients and investigators. A poor tolerability was described only by two patients (4.9%) in the norUDCA 1,000 mg group and by one patient (2.5%) in the placebo group. Tolerability was described as equally good in all treatment arms. No patient died during the course of this study. The percentage of treatment emergent AEs was 80%, 59%, 73%, and 67% in the placebo group, for the norUDCA 500 mg, the norUDCA 1,000 mg group, and norUDCA 1,500 mg groups respectively. In total eight treatment emergent SAEs were noted in 8 patients, 3 in the norUDCA 500 mg group, 0 in the norUDCA 1,000 mg group, 1 in the norUDCA 1,000 mg, and 3 in the placebo group. The number of treatment emergent SAEs was therefore similar between treatment groups. Only one SAE in the placebo group was described by an investigator as possibly being related to investigational medicinal product (IMP) (ocular icterus/lack of clinical efficacy). An additional five SAEs, not related to treatment, were also categorized as “disease progression/lack of clinical efficacy”. The remaining two SAEs were a removal of meniscus and a diagnosis of colon cancer 4 days after start of treatment. Treatment emergent AEs reported in more than 10 patients were abdominal pain (11), fatigue (13), nasopharyngitis (30), headache (13), and pruritus (17). There were no differences in the incidence of AEs between treatment groups related to dose-dependent effects, and none compared to placebo. In addition, the percentage of adverse drug reactions was comparable in all groups with 28%, 23%, 32%, and 28% in the placebo group, for the norUDCA 500 mg, the norUDCA 1,000 mg, and norUDCA 1,500 mg groups respectively. The most common AEs with the most prominent being nasopharyngitis in all treatment groups are listed in Table 3. Notably, pruritus occurred similarly across treatment arms and generally at low frequencies: 7 events in the 500 mg/d group, 7 in the 1,000 mg/d group, 12 in the 1,500 mg/d group, and 10 in the placebo group. Importantly pruritus was mild and no patient discontinued treatment. There were no significant differences of scores for pruritus between baseline visit (placebo 15.4, 500 mg: 9.9, 1,000 mg: 14.8, 1,500 mg: 16.5; scale 0–100 mm) and EOT (placebo 15.3, 500 mg: 10.8, 1,000 mg: 20.9, 1,500 mg: 15.2) and between all treatment groups. There was no effect on IBD...
activity. Taken together the safety profile of norUDCA seems to be favorable and no warning signs emerged from the data of this study.

Discussion

For decades there has been no significant progress in the medical therapy of PSC. This double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose finding study is a proof of concept study and the first human study with norUDCA to assess its efficacy, safety and tolerability in PSC patients. The main findings of the study are: (i) norUDCA resulted in a significant reduction of ALP values in PSC patients within 12 weeks of treatment compared to placebo, (ii) the positive effects occurred in a dose-dependent manner, and (iii) the safety profile of norUDCA was comparable to placebo in our study.

All three norUDCA doses tested in this study resulted in a significant reduction in ALP, which was most pronounced in the 1,500 mg arm and consequently all norUDCA treatment arms reached the primary efficacy endpoint at ITT analysis as defined for this study. In addition, the decline in ALP levels was paralleled by significant reductions in ALT, AST, and γ-GT levels. In particular, the time course of γ-GT levels uncovered the most pronounced relationship between norUDCA doses and its biochemical effect. In addition to serving as a biochemical parameter of cholestasis in PSC patients, serum γ-GT levels may also reflect inflammation-triggered hepatic oxidative stress, which may be significantly reduced upon norUDCA treatment as observed previously in preclinical models. Since spleen size may also reflect PSC activity it is worth noting that 13 verum-treated patients showed increased spleen size at baseline but only 4 patients at EOT. Potential anti-inflammatory effects of norUDCA treatment were related to nasopharyngitis, which was not more frequently observed in the norUDCA treatment in PSC patients. This is particularly important for pruritus, which was not more frequently observed in the norUDCA arms, as pruritus may represent a serious problem associated with bile acid treatment in cholestatic patients. We did not observe any significant differences concerning fatigue score, CAI, and PGA between baseline and EOT in all treatment arms. However, it may be overambitious to expect significant differences within 12 weeks of norUDCA treatment; notably we also observed no worsening in these scores, which indicates at least that norUDCA was well tolerated in all active treatment arms. Importantly, this norUDCA study, the first conducted in humans, excluded patients with main bile duct strictures or recent need for endoscopic therapy, as we had potential concerns regarding the potent cholesteric actions of norUDCA observed preclinically. Predicting the relative risk for potential aggravation of portal hypertension or the development of bile infarcts in norUDCA-treated PSC patients with more advanced disease or main bile duct strictures will be very challenging. This may become even more complex when combination therapy of norUDCA with of future phase III clinical trial in PSC patients. Importantly 12.8% of patients achieved a reduction of serum ALP levels <1.5 × the ULN in the norUDCA 500 mg group, 41.5% in the 1,000 mg norUDCA group, 30.8% in the 1,500 mg norUDCA group, and 12.5% in the placebo group, which was previously shown to be of potential prognostic importance in PSC patients.

Although a direct comparison of the current study with previous UDCA trials in PSC is not possible due to substantial differences in study design, UDCA has also demonstrated pronounced ALP reduction in the range observed for norUDCA herein or even higher. Along these lines, it is also important to note that in the post-hoc analyses of the very high dose UDCA trials as reported by Stanich et al. and of the Scandinavian UDCA trial by Lindström et al. patients with normalization of ALP had a better prognosis, although this was not necessarily related to UDCA use. This may underline the prognostic relevance of ALP normalization in PSC patients, but also points towards a potential dissociation from active drug (UDCA) treatment. Importantly, based on our preclinical findings norUDCA can be expected to have profoundly different/additional mechanisms than UDCA, which may not be fully reflected by biochemical improvement in short-term phase II studies. For our current study, it is essential to note that the observed response to norUDCA treatment was independent of whether patients were UDCA-naïve or -experienced and whether the physicians categorized them as UDCA non-responder or responders. There may however still be PSC patients who may benefit from UDCA treatment, potentially those with a significant reduction in ALP serum levels. Interestingly, the norUDCA-induced fall in serum ALP levels was most pronounced within the first two weeks of treatment. Consequently, it appears plausible that norUDCA may reduce the viscosity of bile immediately after starting the treatment, since it represents a potent pharmacological inducer of biliary bicarbonate secretion and therefore protects the liver.

The safety profile of norUDCA as observed in our study was very encouraging. Most importantly there was no statistical difference with relation to treatment emergent AEs between norUDCA and placebo arms. The most frequently reported complaints were related to nasopharyngitis, which was also comparable between all treatment arms. The number of reported SAEs was generally low and equally in all treatment arms. Consequently, the reported AEs in this study do not raise alarm for norUDCA treatment in PSC patients. This is particularly important for pruritus, which was not more frequently observed in the norUDCA arms, as pruritus may represent a serious problem associated with bile acid treatment in cholestatic patients. We did not observe any significant differences concerning fatigue score, CAI, and PGA between baseline and EOT in all treatment arms. However, it may be overambitious to expect significant differences within 12 weeks of norUDCA treatment; notably we also observed no worsening in these scores, which indicates at least that norUDCA was well tolerated in all active treatment arms. Importantly, this norUDCA study, the first conducted in humans, excluded patients with main bile duct strictures or recent need for endoscopic therapy, as we had potential concerns regarding the potent cholesteric actions of norUDCA observed preclinically. Predicting the relative risk for potential aggravation of portal hypertension or the development of bile infarcts in norUDCA-treated PSC patients with more advanced disease or main bile duct strictures will be very challenging. This may become even more complex when combination therapy of norUDCA with
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UDCA is considered in future studies, since the compounds may have additive beneficial effects.\(^23\) Therefore closely spaced, clinical, and biochemical controls will be necessary for the best safety of our patients in a future phase III clinical trial.

This norUDCA phase II dose finding study in PSC has several limitations including the lack of C4 bile acids and FGF19 serum levels. The primary efficacy variable of this phase II clinical trial was defined as the relative change (\(\%\)) in serum ALP between the baseline visit and the EOT, since ALP, transient elastography, and histology are currently seen as the most promising candidate surrogate endpoints for clinical trials in PSC patients.\(^23\)\(^36\)\(^38\)\(^41\) However, pronounced changes in liver stiffness or liver histology may be rather ambitious to expect within a short treatment period of 12 weeks in PSC patients and therefore have not been chosen as primary endpoints for this study. Moreover, the observed significant reduction in ALP values in all norUDCA treatment arms in our study should not be overestimated at this stage. A randomized controlled trial testing high doses of UDCA (17 to 23 mg/kg/day) showed a favorable biochemical trend but lacked significant effects on hard clinical endpoints such as transplant-free survival and was in addition probably underpowered.\(^10\) Testing even higher doses (28–30 mg/kg/day) in PSC patients again revealed an improvement in serum liver tests including ALP but were associated with adverse clinical outcomes.\(^11\) In the current study, PSC patients with more advanced disease with Child-Pugh class B and C and those with serum bilirubin levels higher than 3 mg/dl have been excluded due to potential safety reasons. Consequently, it is clearly uncertain whether norUDCA will be safe to use in these patients.

In summary, the herein presented findings justify a phase III clinical trial for norUDCA treatment in PSC patients. The design of such a trial poses several key questions: (i) a future study should consider combined endpoints with serum ALP levels, transient elastography, proper assessment of spleen size, and liver histology. Hard clinical endpoints such as need for liver transplantation or death will be unrealistic study endpoints for such a rare disease with a highly variable clinical course. (ii) The different therapeutic mechanisms and pharmacodynamics of norUDCA and its mother compound UDCA may provide a potential rationale for combining norUDCA and UDCA in the treatment of PSC, which has to be demonstrated in a combination therapy arm. (iii) Based on our current data the daily norUDCA dose of 1,500 mg was proven to be efficacious and safe, and therefore should be further tested in a phase III clinical trial.
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