

Re: The development of a shoulder specific left/right judgement task:

Punt, Timothy

DOI:

[10.1016/j.msksp.2017.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2017.04.007)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Punt, T 2017, 'Re: The development of a shoulder specific left/right judgement task: Validity & reliability', *Musculoskeletal Science and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2017.04.007>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 25/04/2017

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for
Musculoskeletal Science & Practice
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number:

Title: Re: The development of a shoulder specific left/right judgement
task: Validity & reliability

Article Type: Letter to the Editor

Corresponding Author: Dr. David Punt, Phd

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Birmingham

First Author: David Punt, Phd

Order of Authors: David Punt, Phd

Submit as 'Letter to the Editor' to Musculoskeletal Science and Practice

Re: The development of a shoulder specific left/right
judgement task: Validity & reliability

T David Punt

University of Birmingham

Correspondence to: Dr David Punt
Senior Lecturer
School of Sport, Exercise & Rehabilitation
Sciences
University of Birmingham
Edgbaston
Birmingham B15 2TT
UK

t.d.punt@bham.ac.uk
0121 415 8391

Dear Sir or Madam

1
2 **Re: The development of a shoulder specific left/right judgement task: Validity & reliability**
3

4
5 Left/right judgment tasks (LRJTs) have become big news and big business in musculoskeletal
6
7
8 practice. A task that has its origins in experimental psychology to investigate the cognitive
9
10 processes of mental rotation has been adopted by the field of rehabilitation as an assessment and
11
12 intervention. Much of this has been accomplished with a strong rationale and a sound
13
14 understanding of the underlying science.
15
16

17
18
19 More recently however, the approach has expanded rapidly from using images showing hands and
20
21 feet to those of the neck (Wallwork et al., 2013), the trunk (Bowering et al., 2014) and now the
22
23 shoulder (Breckenridge et al., 2017). This expansion appears to make a number of assumptions
24
25 that are not supported by the underlying science.
26
27

28
29
30 There are three important concerns I will raise about Breckenridge et al.'s study. Firstly, one
31
32 cannot assume that making a left/right judgment about any particular image of the human body
33
34 requires the observer to perform motor imagery and have an intact body schema. While such a
35
36 situation is typically true for hand images, where images present multiple body parts such as the
37
38 shoulder with the head, neck, trunk and associated upper limb all visible (Breckenridge et al.,
39
40 2017), judgments are more likely to reflect an individual's ability to visually code body parts
41
42 spatially. This process is known as body structural description (Rumiati et al., 2009) and is
43
44 performed without any reference to one's own body.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51
52 Secondly, if one wishes to make a comparison between response times for images showing
53
54 different levels of awkwardness/complexity, this must be done on the basis of controlling the
55
56 perspective from which the image is seen and the amount of visual information provided.
57
58

59
60 Breckenridge et al.(2017) present images of the shoulder in a neutral position from above and
61
62
63
64
65

1 compare related response times with those for other positions (e.g. flexion, abduction) from the
2 side and from behind; perspective is not controlled for. The response times reported are more
3 likely to reflect these changes in perspective rather than any issue relating to the
4 complexity/awkwardness of the shoulder position as claimed. At the very least, these two
5 independent issues are confounded.
6
7
8
9

10
11 My third concern relates to the use of image rotation. For hand-based LRJTs, image rotation is
12 central to creating images that require different amounts of limb movement, or movement
13 simulation (Parsons, 1994). For images presented in the study by Breckenridge and colleagues,
14 the use of image rotation requires an independent spatial transformation of the whole body, and
15 one that is impossible to perform physically. Therefore, even if one accepts that participants
16 imagine moving their arm to the positions presented, response times confound the relative
17 contribution of this and the whole body transformation involved.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 The concerns raised here are important because they threaten the validity of LRJTs. The adoption
30 of these tasks by the rehabilitation field provides a potentially powerful tool to help address
31 challenging clinical problems. However, if patients are to benefit optimally, it is important that we
32 develop their use in a prudent manner with a clear understanding of the underlying science that
33 informs their use.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 BOWERING, K. J., BUTLER, D. S., FULTON, I. J. & MOSELEY, G. L. 2014. Motor imagery in people with a history of back
49 pain, current back pain, both, or neither. *Clinical Journal of Pain*, 30, 1070-5.
50
51

52 BRECKENRIDGE, J. D., MCAULEY, J. H., BUTLER, D. S., STEWART, H., MOSELEY, G. L. & GINN, K. A. 2017. The
53 development of a shoulder specific left/right judgement task: Validity & reliability. *Musculoskeletal Science
54 and Practice*, 28, 39-45.
55
56
57

58 PARSONS, L. M. 1994. Temporal and kinematic properties of motor behavior reflected in mentally simulated action.
59 *Journal of Experimental Psychology-Human Perception and Performance*, 20, 709-730.
60
61
62
63
64
65

RUMIATI, R. I., CARMO, J. C. & CORRADI-DELL'ACQUA, C. 2009. Neuropsychological perspectives on the mechanisms of imitation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, 364, 2337-47.

WALLWORK, S. B., BUTLER, D. S., FULTON, I., STEWART, H., DARMAWAN, I. & MOSELEY, G. L. 2013. Left/right neck rotation judgments are affected by age, gender, handedness and image rotation. *Manual Therapy*, 18, 225-30.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65