

Existence of Spanning F-Free Subgraphs with Large Minimum Degree

Perarnau, Guillem; Reed, Bruce

DOI:

[10.1017/S0963548316000328](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963548316000328)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Perarnau, G & Reed, B 2016, 'Existence of Spanning F-Free Subgraphs with Large Minimum Degree', *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963548316000328>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Eligibility for repository: Checked on 9/12/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Existence of spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraphs with large minimum degree

Guillem Perarnau* Bruce Reed†

November 25, 2016

Abstract

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs and let d be large enough. For every d -regular graph G , we study the existence of a spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraph of G with large minimum degree. This problem is well-understood if \mathcal{F} does not contain bipartite graphs. Here we provide asymptotically tight results for many families of bipartite graphs such as cycles or complete bipartite graphs. To prove these results, we study a locally injective analogue of the question.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a d -regular graph on n vertices. It is well-known that every d -regular graph has a spanning triangle-free subgraph H with minimum degree at least $d/2$. Let \mathcal{F} denote a family of graphs. We say that G is \mathcal{F} -free if for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$, G does not contain any subgraph isomorphic to F . In this paper we study the which is the largest minimum degree of a spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraph H of a d -regular graph G .

Let $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ be the maximum number of edges in an \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices. Since the complete graph K_n on $n = d + 1$ vertices is d -regular, the minimum degree of a spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraph H of K_{d+1} is at most $2\text{ex}(d + 1, \mathcal{F})/(d + 1)$. In [11], Foucaud, Krivelevich and Perarnau conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1 ([11]). For every family \mathcal{F} there exists a constant $c_{\mathcal{F}} > 0$ such that for every $d \geq 1$ and every d -regular graph G , there exists a spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraph H of G with minimum degree $\delta(H) \geq c_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \frac{\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})}{d}$.

Here and throughout the paper, for any two functions f, g that depend on several variables, including d , we will use the standard asymptotic notation $g = \Omega(f)$ to denote the following: given that all variables apart from d are fixed, we have $\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} (g/f) > 0$. We also use $g = O(f)$ to denote $f = \Omega(g)$, and $g = \Theta(f)$ if both $g = \Omega(f)$ and $g = O(f)$ hold. For instance, Conjecture 1 can be written as: given a family \mathcal{F} , for every d and every d -regular graph G , there exists a spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraph H of G with minimum degree $\delta(H) = \Omega(\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})/d)$.

*School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. g.perarnau@bham.ac.uk.

†Kawarabayashi Large Graph Project, National Institute of Informatics, Japan. breed@mcgill.ca.

If the chromatic number of \mathcal{F}^1 is at least 3 (i.e., there are no bipartite graphs in \mathcal{F}) it is easy to verify the conjecture (see Proposition 1 in [11] for a precise result).

The family $\mathcal{F}_g = \{C_3, \dots, C_{g-1}\}$ is of special interest since a graph H is \mathcal{F}_g -free if and only if H has girth at least g . Even if the order of $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F}_g)$ is not known in general, there are some partial results towards Conjecture 1 for \mathcal{F}_g . Kun [16] showed that for every $g \geq 4$, every d -regular graph G admits a spanning \mathcal{F}_g -free subgraph with minimum degree $\Omega(d^{1/g})$. Foucaud, Krivelevich and Perarnau [11] improved the lower bound on the minimum degree to $\Omega\left(\frac{\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F}_g)}{d \log d}\right)$. This shows that Conjecture 1 holds up to a logarithmic factor for \mathcal{F}_g .

In this paper we prove Conjecture 1 for a large number of families \mathcal{F} with chromatic number 2, which in particular includes \mathcal{F}_g .

Before stating our main result we need to introduce some definitions. For any two graphs F and J , we say that $\varphi : V(F) \rightarrow V(J)$ is an *homomorphism* if for every $uv \in E(F)$ we have $\varphi(u)\varphi(v) \in E(J)$. We say that φ is *locally injective* if, for every $v \in F$, the restriction of φ onto the neighbors of v in F is injective. In other words, if u_1 and u_2 are neighbors of v in F , then $\varphi(u_1) \neq \varphi(u_2)$. Let $\text{hom}^*(F, J)$ be the number of locally injective homomorphisms from F to J . Observe that the condition $\text{hom}^*(F, J) = 0$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$, is stronger than \mathcal{F} -freeness. Any copy of F in J induces an injective homomorphism from F to J which, in particular, is also locally injective.

For every graph J , we denote by $\delta(J)$ its minimum degree and by $\Delta(J)$ its maximum degree. For every $\beta \geq 1$, we say that J is β -almost regular if $\Delta(J) \leq \beta\delta(J)$.

Our first result is a locally injective version of Conjecture 1.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs, $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta \geq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 25\beta^2$, and let d be large enough. If J is a graph on αd vertices such that,*

- J is β -almost regular,
- J has minimum degree $\delta(J) > d^\epsilon$, and
- $\text{hom}^*(F, J) = 0$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$,

then, for every d -regular graph G there exists a spanning subgraph H of G with $\delta(H) = \Omega(\delta(J))$ and $\text{hom}^(F, H) = 0$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$.*

The proof goes as follows. Initially, we select a bipartite subgraph G' of G with stable sets A and B and large minimum degree. The main part of the proof consists on finding a vertex coloring χ using as a color palette $V(J)$. We color A in a two steps procedure; the first one being random and the second one, deterministic. At the same time we delete some edges of G' to obtain a subgraph H' that has large minimum degree and where vertices in B have rainbowly colored neighborhoods. We color B afterwards via an iterative coloring procedure which also finishes with a deterministic step. Again, during the coloring procedure we delete some edges to obtain a subgraph H that has minimum

¹The chromatic number of \mathcal{F} is the smallest chromatic number of $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

degree $\Omega(\delta(J))$ and where all the neighborhoods are rainbowly colored. Moreover, the coloring satisfies that $\chi(a)\chi(b)$ is an edge of J for every edge ab of H . Thus, χ naturally provides a locally injective homomorphism from H to J . Since J has no locally injective copies of F , neither does H .

Theorem 2 has some direct implications for Conjecture 1. A family of graphs \mathcal{F} is *closed* if for every graph J we have: $\text{hom}^*(F, J) = 0$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if J is \mathcal{F} -free; that is, if J is \mathcal{F} -free, then there are no locally injective copies of $F \in \mathcal{F}$ in J . As a consequence of Theorem 2 we obtain that Conjecture 1 holds for every closed family.

Theorem 3. *Conjecture 1 holds for every closed family \mathcal{F} of graphs: every d -regular graph G has a spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraph H with minimum degree $\delta(H) = \Omega(\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})/d)$.*

Theorem 3 gives a lower bound on the minimum degree in terms of $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})$. We now provide some explicit results for some important families \mathcal{F} with chromatic number 2 that are closed. The problem of determining $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})$ when \mathcal{F} contains bipartite graphs is one of the most important in extremal graph theory (see [12] for a complete survey on the topic). We use some well-known constructions of extremal graphs to provide explicit corollaries of Theorem 3:

- Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_g = \{C_3, \dots, C_{g-1}\}$. Observe that \mathcal{F} is a closed family. However, the asymptotic order of $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})$ is not known in general. Using the Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(d, p)$ for some suitably chosen probability $p = p(d, g)$, one can show the existence of an \mathcal{F} -free graph of order d and $\Theta(d^{1+1/(g-3)})$ edges. This provides a lower bound for $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})$. By Theorem 3, we have that every d -regular graph G has a spanning subgraph with girth at least g and minimum degree $\Omega(d^{1/(g-3)})$. This improves the result of Kun [16].
- Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_6 = \{C_3, C_4, C_5\}$. From the constructions of extremal C_4 -free graphs provided by Erdős, Rényi and Sós [9] and Brown [2] one can obtain an \mathcal{F} -free graph of order d and $\Theta(d^{3/2})$ edges. Again, by Theorem 3, for every d -regular graph G we can show the existence of a spanning subgraph with girth at least 6 and minimum degree $\Omega(\sqrt{d})$. Extremal constructions for graphs with girth at least 8 and 12 are also known [17, 18]. From them we can obtain tight explicit lower bounds for $\mathcal{F}_8 = \{C_3, \dots, C_7\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{12} = \{C_3, \dots, C_{11}\}$.
- Let $\mathcal{F} = \{K_{a,b}\}$ with $a \leq b$. Since $K_{a,b}$ has diameter two, each locally injective homomorphism of $K_{a,b}$ onto a graph J , is also injective. Thus, if $\text{hom}^*(K_{a,b}, J) = 0$, then J is $K_{a,b}$ -free, and \mathcal{F} is closed. In particular, any family composed by complete bipartite graphs is closed. It is conjectured that $\text{ex}(d, K_{a,b}) = \Theta(n^{2-1/a})$. While the upper bound has been proved for all values of a and b (Kovári, Sós and Turán [14]), the lower bound is still wide open. However, it is known to be true in the following cases: $a = 2$ and $b \geq 2$, $a = 3$ and $b \geq 3$ (Brown [2]), and $b > (a-1)!$ (Kollár, Rónyai and Szabó [13] and Alon, Rónyai and Szabó [1]). Using these results we can get tight explicit lower bounds on the minimum degree of the largest subgraph of a d -regular graph that induces no complete bipartite subgraph of a given size.
- Let $\mathcal{F} = \{Q_3\}$, where Q_s is the s -dimensional hypercube. The family \mathcal{F} is not closed since Q_3 admits a locally injective homomorphism to K_4 , that is not injective. As before, the family

$\mathcal{F}' = \{K_4, Q_3\}$ is closed. Since $\chi(K_4) = 4$, we have $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F}) = \Theta(\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F}'))$ and Conjecture 1 is true for \mathcal{F} . It is conjectured in [10] that $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F}) = \Theta(d^{8/5})$, but no better lower bound than $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F}) \geq \text{ex}(d, C_4) = \Omega(d^{3/2})$ is known.

Theorem 3 solves in the affirmative Conjecture 1 for families of graphs satisfying a “local” condition. However, there are many families that contain bipartite graphs and that are not closed. In order to solve the conjecture for every family of graph \mathcal{F} , one needs to extend the idea of local injectivity in Theorem 2 to injectivity. In terms of colorings, it would suffice to prove the existence of a spanning subgraph H with relatively large minimum degree and a coloring χ such that *all* copies of F in H are rainbow. This is stronger than the rainbow condition in the neighborhoods of H that we impose here.

Finally, Theorems 2 and 3 study the case where G is d -regular. Similar results in terms of the maximum and minimum degree have been given in [11]. We believe that the same techniques used here could be extended to the non regular case, possibly adding a mild condition between the maximum and the minimum degree.

Related work. Conjecture 1 is closely related to the following very general question: given a graph parameter ρ , a value k and a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , determine the largest value of ℓ such that for every graph G with $\rho(G) \geq k$ there exists an \mathcal{F} -free subgraph H of G with $\rho(H) \geq \ell$. Here we list some interesting results for other important graph parameters:

- Let ρ be the average degree. Thomassen [20] conjectured that every graph with average degree at least d has an \mathcal{F}_g -free subgraph with average degree $f(d)$, for some $f(d) \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. Kühn and Osthus [15] showed that Thomassen’s conjecture is true for $g = 6$, but the general conjecture is still wide open.
- Let ρ be the number of edges. Bollobás and Erdős asked this problem for the case $\mathcal{F} = \{C_4\}$ in a workshop in 1966 [8]. The problem was rediscovered by Foucaud, Krivelevich and Perarnau [11] and they provided lower bounds for the case \mathcal{F}_g that are tight up to logarithmic factors. Conlon, Fox and Sudakov [3, 4] proved tight lower bounds when \mathcal{F} is composed by complete bipartite graphs. In particular, this answers the question of Bollobás and Erdős.
- Let ρ be the vertex-connectivity. Thomassen [21] also conjectured that every k -connected graph has a bipartite subgraph (\mathcal{F} -free for $\mathcal{F} = \{C_3, C_5, C_7, \dots\}$) with connectivity $f(k)$, for some $f(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. A first step towards the proof of this conjecture is the result of Delcourt and Ferber [5].

2 Proof of Theorem 3 assuming Theorem 2

First, we may assume that the chromatic number of \mathcal{F} is 2. Otherwise, Theorem 2 can be easily proven (see Proposition 1 in [11] for a stronger version of it). We can also assume that \mathcal{F} does not

contain any forest. If \mathcal{F} contains a forest T , since $\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F}) \leq \text{ex}(d, T) = O(d)$, then Theorem 2 is trivially true.

Recall that for every $\beta \geq 1$, we say that J is β -almost regular if $\Delta(J) \leq \beta\delta(J)$. Erdős and Simonovits [10] showed that for every $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\beta \geq 1$ such that the following holds for every family of graphs \mathcal{F} and every positive integer m : if every \mathcal{F} -free β -almost regular graph of order m has at most $O(m^{1+\gamma})$ edges, then $\text{ex}(m, \mathcal{F}) = O(m^{1+\gamma})$, where the asymptotic notation here corresponds to $m \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise stated, for every \mathcal{F} and every m , there exists a β -almost regular graph J on m vertices and $\Omega(\text{ex}(m, \mathcal{F}))$ edges. In particular, J satisfies $\delta(J) = \Omega(\text{ex}(m, \mathcal{F})/m)$.

A classic result of Erdős [6, 7] states that if \mathcal{F} does not contain any forest, then there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ such that for every large enough m , $\text{ex}(m, \mathcal{F}) > m^{1+\varepsilon_0}$.

From these two results, we conclude that for every \mathcal{F} that does not contain a forest there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ such that for every large enough m , there is an \mathcal{F} -free β -almost regular graph J on m vertices with $\delta(J) = \Omega(\text{ex}(m, \mathcal{F})/m) > m^\epsilon$.

Since \mathcal{F} is closed by the hypothesis of Theorem 3, by the definition of closed, any \mathcal{F} -free graph J also satisfies $\text{hom}^*(F, J) = 0$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Given the family \mathcal{F} , the choice of $\alpha \geq 25\beta^2$ and setting $m = \alpha d$, we note that

$$\delta(J) = \Omega\left(\frac{\text{ex}(\alpha d, \mathcal{F})}{\alpha d}\right) = \Omega\left(\frac{\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})}{d}\right).$$

Thus, we can use J in Theorem 2 to find a spanning \mathcal{F} -free subgraph H of G with minimum degree $\delta(H) = \Omega\left(\frac{\text{ex}(d, \mathcal{F})}{d}\right)$, concluding the proof of Theorem 3.

3 Notation and Probabilistic Tools

For every $v \in V(G)$ we denote by $N_G(v)$ the set of vertices adjacent to v in G , by $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ the degree of v in G and by $N_G^2(v)$ the set of vertices at distance two from v in G . If the graph G is clear from the context, we use $N(v)$, $d(v)$ and $N^2(v)$. We denote by $\Delta(G)$ and by $\delta(G)$ the maximum and the minimum degree of G respectively. We denote by χ a vertex (partial) coloring of a graph G . Throughout the paper, we identify the color palette with the vertices of a graph J of order αd and talk indistinctly of an αd coloring or a $V(J)$ coloring. We use $\chi(G)$ to denote the chromatic number of G , and for every family of graphs \mathcal{F} , we also use $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \chi(F)$. For every vertex $v \in V$, we denote by $\chi(v)$ its color and for every set $S \subseteq V(G)$, by $\chi(S)$ the set of colors appearing in S . We call $S \subseteq V$ *rainbow* if $\chi(u) \neq \chi(v)$ for every $u, v \in S$, $u \neq v$, that were assigned a color by χ .

Below, we introduce some standard tools from the probabilistic method that can be found in [19] and that we will be of use in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 4 (Chernoff's inequality). *For any $0 \leq t \leq np$:*

$$\Pr(|\text{Bin}(n, p) - np| > t) < 2e^{-t^2/3np}.$$

Moreover, for every $t \geq 7np$

$$\Pr(\text{Bin}(n, p) > t) < e^{-t}.$$

Lemma 5 (Talagrand's inequality). *Let X be a nonnegative random variable not identically 0, which is determined by n independent trials T_1, \dots, T_n and satisfying the following for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$:*

- *changing the outcome of any one trial can affect X by at most c_1 , and*
- *for any s , if $X \geq s$ then there is a set of at most $c_2 s$ trials whose outcomes certify that $X \geq s$,*

then for any $0 \leq t \leq \mathbb{E}(X)$,

$$\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| > t + 60c_1 \sqrt{c_2 \mathbb{E}(X)}) \leq 4e^{-t^2 / (8c_1^2 c_2 \mathbb{E}(X))}.$$

Lemma 6 (McDiarmid's inequality). *Let X be a nonnegative random variable not identically 0, which is determined by n independent trials T_1, \dots, T_n and m independent uniform random permutations π_1, \dots, π_m , and satisfying the following for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$:*

- *changing the outcome of any one trial can affect X by at most c_1 ,*
- *interchanging two elements in any one permutation can affect X by at most c_1 , and*
- *for any s , if $X \geq s$ then there is a set of at most $c_2 s$ trials whose outcomes certify that $X \geq s$,*

then for any $0 \leq t \leq \mathbb{E}(X)$,

$$\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| > t + 60c_1 \sqrt{c_2 \mathbb{E}(X)}) \leq 4e^{-t^2 / (8c_1^2 c_2 \mathbb{E}(X))}.$$

Lemma 7 (Lovász Local Lemma). *Consider a set \mathcal{E} of events such that for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$*

- *$\Pr(E) \leq p < 1$, and*
- *E is mutually independent from the set of all but at most D of other events.*

If $4pD \leq 1$, then with positive probability, none of the events in \mathcal{E} occur.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2. Throughout this section we will fix a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta \geq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 25\beta^2$. In order for some inequalities to hold, we will require d to be large enough with respect to the previous parameters. Recall that, by assumption, there exists a graph J on αd vertices that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2. We first describe the main ideas of the proof.

4.1 Outline of the proof

First of all, we choose a large bipartite subgraph G' of G , by selecting a maximum edge-cut and keeping the edges in it. Observe that every vertex in G' has degree at least $d/2$. Let A and B be the two stable sets of G' .

The goal of the proof is to select a spanning subgraph H of G' and a $V(J)$ coloring χ of H that satisfies the following properties

- for every $v \in V(H)$, $d_H(v) = \Omega(\delta(J))$,
- for every $v \in V(H)$, $N_H(v)$ is rainbow, and
- for every $uv \in E(H)$, $\chi(u)\chi(v) \in E(J)$.

From these properties, it will be easy to deduce that the subgraph H satisfies the statement of Theorem 2. This is done at the end of the paper.

The subgraph H is selected by deleting some edges of G' depending on the color assigned to its vertices. Here and throughout the proof of the theorem, we always identify the set of ad colors with $V(J)$.

We design a coloring procedure that is divided in two phases.

In Phase *I* we color the vertices of A and delete some of the edges of G' . This is done in two steps: the first one being random and the second one, deterministic. We obtain a spanning subgraph H' of G and a coloring χ' of A with some desirable properties (see Lemma 9 for a precise description).

In Phase *II* we color the vertices of B and delete some of the edges of H' . In contrast to Phase *I*, here we perform a randomized iterative coloring procedure to color most of the vertices of B . We also complete the coloring of B with a deterministic step. After Phase *II*, we obtain the spanning subgraph H of G and the coloring χ of H with the properties described above.

4.2 Phase I: Coloring A

For every graph G , partial coloring χ of G and $v \in V$, we define

$$\mathbf{Bad}(v, \chi, G) = |\{u \in N_G(v) : \exists v' \in N_G(u) \setminus \{v\} \text{ and } \chi(v') = \chi(v)\}|,$$

that is, the number of vertices $u \in N_G(v)$ such that $\chi(v)$ appears more than once in $N_G(u)$. This quantity will be crucial throughout the paper.

We will color the set A as follows:

1. For every $a \in A$, let $\chi'_0(a) = c$, where $c \in V(J)$ is chosen independently and uniformly at random.

2. Uncolor $a \in A$ if

$$\mathbf{Bad}(a, \chi'_0, G') \geq \frac{d}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$

Let A_1 be the set of uncolored vertices in A .

3. Delete all the edges between $a \in A \setminus A_1$ and $N_{G'}(a)$ that may cause conflicts, i.e. delete ab if $\exists a' \in N_{G'}(b) \setminus \{a\}$ such that $\chi'_0(a') = \chi'_0(a)$. Let H'_0 be the subgraph obtained by removing these edges from G' .

4. Consider an arbitrary order of the vertices in $A_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$, where $s = |A_1|$.

5. For every i from 1 to s ,

(a) Assign to a_i the color in $V(J)$ that minimizes $\mathbf{Bad}(a_i, \chi'_{i-1}, H'_{i-1})$. Let χ'_i be the partial coloring of A obtained from χ'_{i-1} and the colored vertex a_i .

(b) Delete all the edges between a_i and $N_{H'_{i-1}}(a_i)$ that may cause conflicts, i.e. delete $a_i b$ if $\exists a' \in N_{H'_{i-1}}(b) \setminus \{a_i\}$ such that $\chi'_i(a') = \chi'_i(a_i)$. Let H'_i be the subgraph obtained by removing these edges from H'_{i-1} .

6. Let $\chi' = \chi'_s$ and $H' = H'_s$.

For a random map $\chi : V(G) \rightarrow V(J)$, let p be the probability that an edge $uv \in E(G)$ gets mapped to an edge $\chi(u)\chi(v) \in E(J)$. Then we have,

$$p^- := \frac{\delta(J)}{\alpha d} \leq p = \frac{2|E(J)|}{(\alpha d)^2} \leq \frac{\Delta(J)}{\alpha d} =: p^+.$$

Observe that since J is β -almost regular, $p^+ \leq \beta p^-$.

The next lemma is going to be useful to prove that χ' has some good properties with respect to each $b \in B$.

Lemma 8. *Let $\alpha \geq 25$ and let d be large enough. Let J be a graph on αd vertices. Let G' be a bipartite graph with stable sets A and B , maximum degree at most d and minimum degree at least $d/2$. Let χ be a random coloring of A where each vertex is assigned a color from $V(J)$ independently and uniformly at random. For every $b \in B$ and $c \in V(J)$, let $W_{b,c}$ be the number of vertices $a \in N_{G'}(b)$ such that*

1. a is the only vertex with color $\chi(a)$ in $N_{G'}(b)$,

2. $\mathbf{Bad}(a, \chi, G') \leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$, and

3. $\chi(a)c \in E(J)$.

Then,

$$\Pr \left(W_{b,c} \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{4\alpha} \right) = e^{-\Omega(\delta(J))}.$$

Proof. Let X be the number of neighbors $a \in N(b)$ such that at least one of these two conditions holds

$$(F1) \quad \exists a' \in N(b) \setminus \{a\} \text{ with } \chi(a') = \chi(a).$$

$$(F2) \quad |\{b' \in N(a) \setminus \{b\} : \exists a' \in N(b') \setminus N(b) \text{ and } \chi(a') = \chi(a)\}| \geq \frac{d}{\sqrt{\alpha}} - 1.$$

Observe that if a does not satisfy conditions (F1) and (F2), then there are at most $\frac{d}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ vertices $b' \in N(a)$ (this might include $b' = b$) such that there exists $a' \in N(b') \setminus \{a\}$ with $\chi(a') = \chi(a)$. In other words, $\mathbf{Bad}(a, \chi, G') \leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ (condition 2 of the lemma). Moreover, condition (F1) also implies that a is the only vertex with color $\chi(a)$ in $N(b)$ (condition 1 of the lemma).

We first show that, with high probability, X is not too large. We will prove a stronger statement: X is concentrated around its expected value. Indeed, we will fix a coloring of $A \setminus N(b)$ and prove that, conditional on any such coloring, X is concentrated.

For the event (F1), since $d(a) \leq d$ and there are $|V(J)| = \alpha d$ colors, the probability that a vertex a receives the same color as one of the other neighbors of b is at most $1/\alpha$.

We call a color c' *dangerous* for $a \in N(b)$ if (F2) is satisfied when $\chi(a) = c'$. The fact that c' is dangerous for a depends only on the coloring of $A \setminus N(b)$ which is already fixed. In particular, since there are at most d^2 edges $a'b'$ with $b' \in N(a)$, the number of dangerous color classes is at most $\frac{d^2}{(d/\sqrt{\alpha})-1} \leq 2\sqrt{\alpha}d$. Thus, the probability that a vertex $a \in N(b)$ satisfies the event (F2) is at most $2/\sqrt{\alpha}$.

Using a union bound for the events (F1) and (F2) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(X \mid \chi(A \setminus N(b))) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right) d(b) \leq \frac{3d(b)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$

Since we have conditioned on the coloring given to $A \setminus N(b)$, X only depends on the colors assigned to $N(b)$. Changing the color of a vertex $a \in N(b)$ from c' to c'' can change the value of X by at most 2. This change can create or destroy at most two vertices with the property (F1). It can also be the case that c'' is a dangerous color for a and that c' is not (or vice versa); in this case the change is by at most 1.

Furthermore, if we have $X \geq s$ and given that the coloring in $A \setminus N(b)$ has been fixed previously, there exists a set of s choices of colors that certify $X \geq s$; if a vertex $a \in N(b)$ satisfies (F1) it suffices to reveal all the vertices in $N(b)$ with color $\chi(a)$ (and all them count for X), and if it satisfies (F2), it suffices to reveal $\chi(a)$, since the fact that it is a dangerous color is certified by the coloring of $A \setminus N(b)$, which is fixed.

Since $\alpha \geq 25$, by Talagrand's inequality with $c_1 = 2$ and $c_2 = 1$, we have

$$\Pr \left(X \geq \frac{4d(b)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \mid \chi(A \setminus N(b)) \right) \leq \Pr \left(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \geq \frac{d(b)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \mid \chi(A \setminus N(b)) \right) = e^{-\Omega(d)}. \quad (1)$$

Since the previous inequality holds conditional on any coloring of $A \setminus N(b)$, it also holds unconditionally.

We now count the number of vertices $a \in N(b)$ for which neither (F1) nor (F2) hold and that satisfy $\chi(a)c \in E(J)$. Such vertices are in $W_{b,c}$ since they fulfill conditions 1, 2 and 3. Observe that coloring each vertex in A with a color chosen independently and uniformly at random in $V(J)$ is equivalent to color A in the same way and then permute the color classes according to a permutation π of length $\alpha d = |V(J)|$ chosen uniformly at random. These two steps can be done independently and thus we can analyse them separately. In the first step the color classes are set, while, in the second one each color class is assigned a particular color according to π . Observe that the condition $\chi(a)c \in E(J)$ only depends on the second step, while (F1) and (F2) only depend on the partition induced by the color classes.

Let M be the set of vertices $a \in N(b)$ such that, after the first step, conditions (F1) and (F2) are not satisfied. Notice that every vertex in M receives a different color. Since π is a uniformly chosen permutation, the set of $|M|$ colors assigned to M is chosen uniformly from all the sets of size $|M| \subseteq [\alpha d]$. Then, for every $I \subseteq N(b)$ with $|I| = i$,

$$\mathbb{E}(W_{b,c} | M = I) = \sum_{a \in I} \Pr(\chi(a)c \in E(J) | M = I) = \sum_{a \in I} \Pr(\chi(a)c \in E(J)) \geq p^- i .$$

Recall that, by the independence of the two step coloring, to show that $W_{b,c}$ is large with high probability, we only need to focus on the second step. Once the color classes have been set, a swap between two positions of π can change $W_{b,c}$ by at most 2 and if $W_{b,c} \geq s$ we can certify it by only revealing the permutation π . By McDiarmid's inequality, for all $0 < \gamma < 1$

$$\Pr(W_{b,c} \leq (1 - \gamma)p^- i | M = I) \leq \Pr(|W_{b,c} - \mathbb{E}(W_{b,c})| \geq \gamma p^- i | M = I) = e^{-\Omega(p^- i)} . \tag{2}$$

Since $d(b) \geq d/2$, we have $\frac{p^- d(b)}{2} \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{4\alpha}$. Now, using (1) and (2), we obtain the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(W_{b,c} \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{4\alpha}\right) &\leq \Pr\left(W_{b,c} \leq \frac{p^- d(b)}{2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq N(b)} \Pr\left(W_{b,c} \leq \frac{p^- d(b)}{2} | M = I\right) \Pr(M = I) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq N(b) \\ i \geq (1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\alpha}})d(b)}} \Pr\left(W_{b,c} \leq \frac{p^- d(b)}{2} | M = I\right) \Pr(M = I) + e^{-\Omega(d)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq N(b) \\ i \geq (1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\alpha}})d(b)}} \Pr\left(W_{b,c} \leq \frac{p^- i}{2(1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\alpha}})} | M = I\right) \Pr(M = I) + e^{-\Omega(d)} \\ &\leq e^{-\Omega(\delta(J))} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq N(b) \\ i \geq (1 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\alpha}})d(b)}} \Pr(M = I) + e^{-\Omega(d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= e^{-\Omega(\delta(J))}.$$

□

Lemma 9. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 25$ and let d be large enough. Let J be a graph on αd vertices such that $\delta(J) > d^\epsilon$.*

With positive probability, Phase I provides a spanning subgraph H' of G and a coloring χ' of A with the following properties,

(P1) *for every $a \in A$, $d_{H'}(a) \geq d/4$,*

(P2) *for every $b \in B$, $N_{H'}(b)$ is rainbow, and*

(P3) *for every $b \in B$ and every $c \in V(J)$, there are at least $\delta(J)/4\alpha$ vertices $a \in N_{H'}(b)$ such that $\chi'(a)c \in E(J)$.*

Proof. Let us first show that (P1) is satisfied. If $a \in A \setminus A_1$, we have $d_{H'}(a) = d_{H'_0}(a) \geq (1 - 1/\sqrt{\alpha})d_{G'}(a)$, since by the choice of $\chi'_0(a)$ we deleted at most $d/\sqrt{\alpha}$ edges incident to it. For every $a \in A_1$, there is an $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that $a = a_i$. Since there are at most d^2 edges incident to $N_{H'_{i-1}}(a_i)$, there exists a color $c \in V(J)$ (recall that $|V(J)| = \alpha d$) such that if $\chi'_i(a_i) = c$

$$\mathbf{Bad}(a_i, \chi'_i, H'_{i-1}) = |\{b \in N_{H'_{i-1}}(a_i) : \exists a' \in N_{H'_{i-1}}(b) \setminus \{a_i\} \text{ and } \chi'_i(a') = \chi'_i(a_i)\}| \leq \frac{d}{\alpha}.$$

Since in Phase I we only delete edges incident to a_i when its color is assigned, $d_{H'}(a_i) = d_{H'_i}(a_i) \geq (1 - 1/\alpha)d_{G'}(a)$ for all $a_i \in A_1$. Since $\alpha \geq 8$ and since $d_{G'}(a) \geq d/2$, for all $a \in A$ we have $d_{H'}(a) \geq d/4$.

Property (P2) also holds deterministically. Consider a vertex $b \in B$. Note that an edge ab can only be deleted in the iteration when a retains its color. Suppose that a retains its color at the i -th iteration and that the edge ab is not deleted. Then, the color $\chi'_i(a)$ only appears once in the partial coloring χ'_i restricted to $N_{H'_{i-1}}(b)$. Moreover, if a vertex $a' \in N_{H'_i}(b) \setminus \{a\}$ retains the color $\chi'_i(a)$ in a further iteration, then the edge $a'b$ is deleted. Thus, a is the only neighbour of b in H' with color $\chi'_i(a)$. Since the choice of a is arbitrary, $N_{H'}(b)$ is rainbow.

Let us show that (P3) holds with positive probability. In order to show it, it suffices to look at the first partial coloring χ'_0 . For every $b \in B$ and $c \in V(J)$, let $E_{b,c}$ be the event that there are at most $\delta(J)/4\alpha$ vertices $a \in N_{H'_0}(b)$ such that a is the only vertex with color $\chi'_0(a)$ in $N_{H'_0}(b)$, a retains its color (i.e. $\mathbf{Bad}(a, \chi'_0, G') \geq \frac{d}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$) and $\chi'_0(a)c \in E(J)$.

By applying Lemma 8 to G' with $\chi = \chi'_0$ and noting that by assumption $\delta(J) > d^\epsilon$, we obtain $\Pr(E_{b,c}) = e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon)}$. The event $E_{b,c}$ is mutually independent from the other events $E_{b',c'}$ where both b' and c' are at distance larger than 6 from b and c in the respective graphs G and J . Then, each event is mutually independent from all but at most $d^6 \Delta(J)^6 \leq d^{12}$ other events. Since $e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon)} = O(d^{-13})$, provided that d is large enough with respect to ϵ and α , we can use the Local Lemma to show that none of the events in $E_{b,c}$ holds with positive probability.

By coloring the vertices $a_i \in A_1$ and deleting edges incident to a_i , one can neither decrease the degree of b in $A \setminus A_1$ nor change the fact that a given $a \in A \setminus A_1$ is the only neighbor of b with color $\chi'_0(a)$. Thus, with positive probability ($P3$) is satisfied. \square

4.3 Phase II: Coloring B

In the second coloring phase it will be convenient to redefine $\mathbf{Bad}(v, \chi, G)$ in a way that it takes into account the compatibilities between colors given by the edges of J . For every graph G , every set $F \subseteq E(G)$ (we say that the edges in F are *activated*), every partial coloring χ of G , every $v \in V$ and every graph J , we define

$$\mathbf{Bad}_J(v, \chi, G) = \left| \left\{ u \in N_G(v) : \begin{array}{l} \chi(u)\chi(v) \in E(J), \exists v' \in N_G(u) \setminus \{v\}, \\ uv' \in F \text{ and } \chi(v') = \chi(v) \end{array} \right\} \right|,$$

We will color B as follows:

1. Let $B_0 = B$, $H_0 = H'$, $\chi_0 = \chi'$ and $i = 1$. For every $a \in A$, let $B_0(a) = B_0 \cap N_{H_0}(a)$.
2. While there exists $a \in A$ with $|B_{i-1}(a)| > d^{\epsilon/2}$,
 - (a) For every edge in H_{i-1} , activate it independently with probability $1/\alpha$.
 - (b) Construct χ_i as follows. For every $v \in A \cup (B \setminus B_{i-1})$, let $\chi_i(v) = \chi_{i-1}(v)$ and for every $b \in B_{i-1}$, let $\chi_i(b) = c$, where c is chosen independently and uniformly at random in $V(J)$.
 - (c) Uncolor $b \in B_{i-1}$ if

$$\mathbf{Bad}_J(b, \chi_i, H_{i-1}) \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha}.$$

Let B_i be the set of uncolored vertices.

- (d) Construct H_i from H_{i-1} by deleting the following edges: for every $b \in B_{i-1} \setminus B_i$, delete all the edges ab in H_{i-1} such that either can cause conflicts (there exists a vertex $b' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(a) \setminus \{b\}$ such that ab' is activated, $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b) \in E(J)$ and $\chi_i(b') = \chi_i(b)$), $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b) \notin E(J)$ or ab has not been activated.

Let $B_i(a) = B_i \cap N_{H_i}(a)$ and increase i by one.

3. Consider an arbitrary order of the vertices in $B_\tau = (b_{\tau+1}, \dots, b_t)$, where $t = |B_\tau| + \tau$.
4. For every i from $\tau + 1$ to t ,
 - (a) Activate all the edges of H_{i-1} .
 - (b) Assign to b_i the color in $V(J)$ that minimizes $\mathbf{Bad}_J(b_i, \chi_{i-1}, H_{i-1})$. Let χ_i be the partial coloring of $V(G)$ obtained from χ_{i-1} and the colored vertex b_i .
 - (c) Construct H_i from H_{i-1} by deleting all the edges ab_i such that either can cause conflicts (there exists a vertex $b' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(a) \setminus \{b_i\}$ such that $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b_i) \in E(J)$ and $\chi_i(b') = \chi_i(b_i)$) or $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b_i) \notin E(J)$.

5. Let $\chi = \chi_t$ and $H = H_t$.

We define τ to be the smallest i such that $|B_i(a)| \leq d^{\varepsilon/2}$ for every $a \in A$; that is, the number of iterations in step 2 of Phase II. Observe that τ is a random variable.

Lemma 10. *With positive probability, Phase II of the coloring procedure ends and provides a spanning subgraph H of G and a coloring χ of H with the following properties,*

$$(Q1) \quad \text{for every } v \in V(H), d_H(v) \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2},$$

$$(Q2) \quad \text{for every } v \in V(H), N_H(v) \text{ is rainbow, and}$$

$$(Q3) \quad \text{for every } uv \in E(H), \chi(u)\chi(v) \in E(J).$$

Let $\ell_i(a)$ be number of vertices in $b \in B_{i-1}(a) \setminus B_i(a)$ such that ab is active in H_{i-1} and $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b) \in E(J)$. Recall that $p^+ = \Delta(J)/\alpha d$.

In order to prove Lemma 10 we will make sure that, for every $1 \leq i < \tau$, the following three conditions are satisfied:

$$(C1) \quad \text{for every } a \in A, \ell_i(a) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ |B_{i-1}(a)|, d^{\varepsilon/2} \right\},$$

$$(C2) \quad \text{for every } a \in A, |B_i(a)| \leq \frac{d}{\alpha^{i/2}}, \text{ and}$$

$$(C3) \quad \text{for every } b \in B_{i-1} \setminus B_i, d_{H_i}(b) \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}.$$

In words, (C1) ensures that the degree of a in $B \setminus B_\tau$ is not too large; (C2) implies that the number of uncolored vertices in each neighborhood of A decreases exponentially with the number of iterations; and (C3) ensures that $b \in B \setminus B_\tau$ has a large minimum degree in H . We will use (C1) and (C2) to prove that $b \in B_\tau$ also has a large minimum degree in H .

In particular, we will require that the following condition is satisfied after the first iteration of Phase II:

$$(C4) \quad \text{for every } a \in A, \text{ the degree of } a \text{ to } B \setminus B_1 \text{ in } H_1 \text{ is at least } \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}.$$

Condition (C4) ensures that the degree of A in H is large.

Let us show that the probability that any of these four conditions is violated, is exponentially small in terms of d . In order to control the condition (C1), for every $a \in A$, we define $D_1^i(a)$ to be the event that $\ell_i(a) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ |B_{i-1}(a)|, d^{\varepsilon/2} \right\}$.

Lemma 11. *For every $1 \leq i < \tau$ and for every $a \in A$, we have*

$$\Pr(D_1^i(a)) = e^{-\Omega(d^{\varepsilon/4})}.$$

Proof. Each edge ab is activated independently with probability $1/\alpha$. Moreover, by definition of p^+ , and independently from the fact that ab has been activated, when b is assigned a random color c , it satisfies $\chi_i(a)c \in E(J)$ with probability at most p^+ . Since the choice of color for each $b \in B_{i-1}(a)$ is done independently, $\ell_i(a)$ follows a binomial distribution with $|B_{i-1}(a)|$ trials and probability at most p^+/α . In particular, $\mathbb{E}(\ell_i(a)) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ |B_{i-1}(a)|$.

Suppose first that $|B_{i-1}(a)| \geq \frac{\alpha d^{\varepsilon/4}}{p^+}$. By Chernoff's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(\ell_i(a) \geq \frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ |B_{i-1}(a)|\right) &\leq \Pr\left(|\ell_i(a) - \mathbb{E}(\ell_i(a))| \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ |B_{i-1}(a)|\right) \\ &= e^{-\Omega(p^+ |B_{i-1}(a)|)} = e^{-\Omega(d^{\varepsilon/4})}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $|B_{i-1}(a)| < \frac{\alpha d^{\varepsilon/4}}{p^+}$. Then, $\mathbb{E}(\ell_i(a)) < d^{\varepsilon/4}$. Provided that d is large enough, $d^{\varepsilon/2} \geq 7\mathbb{E}(\ell_i(a))$ and by Chernoff's inequality,

$$\Pr\left(\ell_i(a) \geq d^{\varepsilon/2}\right) = e^{-\Omega(d^{\varepsilon/2})}.$$

□

In order to control the condition (C2), for every $a \in A$, we define $D_2^i(a)$ to be the event that $|B_i(a)| \geq \frac{d}{\alpha^{i/2}}$.

Lemma 12. *For every $1 \leq i < \tau$ and for every $a \in A$, if (C1) and (C2) hold for every $1 \leq j < i$, then*

$$\Pr(D_2^i(a)) = e^{-\Omega(d^{\varepsilon/4})}.$$

Proof. We call a color c *dangerous* for $b \in B_{i-1}(a)$ if the number of vertices $a' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b) \setminus \{a\}$ such that $\chi_i(a')\chi_i(b) \in E(J)$ and there exists $b' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(a') \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ with $a'b'$ activated and $\chi_i(b') = c$, is at least $\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha} - 1$. The fact that c is a dangerous color is fully determined by the coloring of $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ and the choice of activated edges between A and $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$.

We now show that conditional on a certain event that holds with very high probability, for every $b \in B_{i-1}(a)$ there are few dangerous colors.

Let $\mathbf{Free}_i(a')$ be the number of colors $c \in V(J)$ with $\chi_i(a')c \in E(J)$ such that at least one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

- c does not appear in $N_{H_{i-1}}(a') \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$
- for every $b' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(a') \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ with $\chi_i(b') = c$, $a'b'$ is not activated.

In other words, the number of colors such that if we assign one of them to b , then a' will not count for $\mathbf{Bad}_J(b, \chi_i, H_{i-1})$.

Let E be the event that $\mathbf{Free}_i(a') \geq (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \delta(J)$, for every $a' \in N_{H_{i-1}}^2(a)$. Observe that the event E only depends on the coloring of A , the one of $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ and the activated edges between A and $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$.

Next claim shows that the probability of E is very large.

Claim 13. *If (C1) and (C2) are satisfied for every $1 \leq j < i$, then $\Pr(E) = 1 - e^{-\Omega(d^{\epsilon/4})}$.*

Proof. Observe that $\mathbf{Free}_i(a')$ can be controlled with $\ell_j(a')$, for $j \leq i$. Since (C1) is satisfied for every $j < i$, we have that $\ell_j(a') < \max\{\frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ |B_j(a')|, d^{\epsilon/2}\}$. Moreover, by Lemma 13, $D_1^i(a')$ does not hold with probability at least $1 - e^{-\Omega(d^{\epsilon/4})}$. Recall that $D_1^i(a')$ is defined as $\ell_i(a') < \max\{\frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ |B_i(a')|, d^{\epsilon/2}\}$. A union bound shows that this is true for all $a' \in N_{H_{i-1}}^2(a)$ with probability $1 - e^{-\Omega(d^{\epsilon/4})}$.

Since (C2) holds for every $j < i$ and $|B_i(a')| \leq |B_{i-1}(a')|$, we have that $\sum_{j=1}^i |B_j(a')| \leq 2d$. Thus, for every $a' \in N_{H_{i-1}}^2(a)$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{Free}_i(a') \geq \delta(J) - \sum_{j=1}^i \ell_j(a') \geq \delta(J) - \frac{4}{\alpha} \cdot p^+ d \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \delta(J),$$

where we used that $\alpha \geq 25\beta^2$ in the last inequality. We conclude that E is satisfied with probability $1 - e^{-\Omega(d^{\epsilon/4})}$. \square

Moreover, the event E allow us to control the number of dangerous colors for the neighbors of a .

Claim 14. *If E is satisfied, then for each $b \in N_{H_{i-1}}(a)$, the number of dangerous colors for b is at most $9d$.*

Proof. Since E implies $\mathbf{Free}_i(a') \geq (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \delta(J)$ for every $a' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b) \setminus \{a\}$, we have that there are at most $\frac{\delta(J)}{\alpha} \cdot d_{H_{i-1}}(b)$ edges $a'b'$ with $\chi_i(a')\chi_i(b') \in E(J)$. Recall that if c is dangerous for b , then there are at least $\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha} - 1$ vertices $a' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b) \setminus \{a\}$ that are incident to an (activated) edge $a'b'$ with $\chi_i(a')\chi_i(b') \in E(J)$ and $\chi_i(b') = c$.

Thus, the number of dangerous colors is at most,

$$\frac{\frac{\delta(J)}{\alpha} \cdot d_{H_{i-1}}(b)}{\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha} - 1} \leq 9d.$$

\square

Let us prove that the probability $|B_i(a)|$ is large is exponentially small. Let X be the number of vertices $b \in B_{i-1}(a)$ that satisfy at least one of these two conditions,

(F1) there exists $b' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(a) \setminus \{b\}$ with $\chi_i(b') = \chi_i(b)$,

(F2) $\chi_i(b)$ is a dangerous color for b .

We claim that $|B_i(a)| \leq X$. Suppose that b does not satisfy (F1) and (F2). Since (F1) does not hold, all the other vertices in $B_{i-1}(a)$ have a color different from $\chi_i(b)$. Together with (F2) not holding, we obtain that $\mathbf{Bad}_J(b, \chi_i, H_{i-1}) \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha}$ and b retains its color.

Thus, we will show that X is small with very high probability. Indeed, it will suffice to show it in the case that the event E holds. We fix a coloring on $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ and an activation of edges between A and $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ that is compatible with E and show that, conditional on that, X is concentrated. Recall that the choice of the coloring of $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ and of the activation of the edges between A and $B \setminus B_{i-1}(a)$ fully determines whether E is satisfied.

For $b \in B_{i-1}(a)$, the probability that $\chi_i(b)$ is assigned a color that already appears in $N_{H_{i-1}}(a)$ (that is, of condition (F1)) is at most $1/\alpha$, since $d(a) \leq d$ and there are αd colors. Since E is satisfied, by Claim 14, for each $b \in B_{i-1}(a)$ there are at most $9d$ dangerous colors. Thus, the probability that b is assigned a dangerous color is at most $9/\alpha$.

By the hypothesis of the lemma, (C2) holds for $i-1$ and there are $|B_{i-1}(a)| \leq d/\alpha^{(i-1)/2}$ candidates for X . Hence, conditional on $\chi_i(B \setminus B_{i-1}(a))$ and on the activation of edges,

$$\mathbb{E}(X) \leq \frac{10}{\alpha} \cdot |B_{i-1}(a)| \leq 10\alpha^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{d}{\alpha^{i/2}}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 8, by changing the color of a vertex $b \in B_{i-1}(a)$ one can change X by at most 2 and, if $X \geq s$, then there exists a set of s colored vertices that certifies $X \geq s$. By applying Talagrand's inequality to X with $c_1 = 2$ and $c_2 = 1$, and conditional on $\chi_i(B \setminus B_{i-1}(a))$ and on the activation of edges,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(D_2^i(a)) &\leq \Pr\left(X \geq \frac{d}{\alpha^{i/2}}\right) \leq \Pr\left(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| > \left(1 - 10\alpha^{-1/2}\right) \frac{d}{\alpha^{i/2}}\right) \\ &= e^{-\Omega(d/\alpha^{i/2})} = e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon/2)} , \end{aligned}$$

if $\alpha \geq 400$. Since the previous statement holds for any choice of $\chi_i(B \setminus B_{i-1}(a))$ and of activation of edges that are compatible with E , it also holds if we only condition on E . Since by Claim 13, $\Pr(E) = 1 - e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon/4)}$, we obtain

$$\Pr(D_2^i(a)) \leq \Pr(\overline{E}) + \Pr(D_2^i(a) | E) = e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon/4)}.$$

□

In order to control the condition (C3), for every $b \in B_{i-1} \setminus B_i$, we define $D_3^i(b)$ to be the event that $d_{H_i}(b) \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha^2}$.

Lemma 15. *For every $b \in B_{i-1} \setminus B_i$,*

$$\Pr(D_3^i(b)) = e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon)}.$$

Proof. If $b \in B_{i-1} \setminus B_i$, then $\mathbf{Bad}_J(b, \chi_i, H_{i-1}) \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha}$; that is, there are at most $\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha}$ vertices $a \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b)$ with $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b) \in E(J)$ such that there exists $b' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(a) \setminus \{b\}$ with ab' activated and $\chi_i(b') = \chi_i(b)$. By (P3) with $c = \chi_i(b)$, there are at least $\frac{\delta(J)}{4\alpha}$ vertices $a \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b)$ with $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b) \in E(J)$. Hence, there are at least $\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha}$ vertices $a \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b)$ with $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b) \in E(J)$ such that either b is the only neighbour of a with color $\chi_i(b)$, or if there is another neighbour b' with $\chi_i(b') = \chi_i(b)$, then ab' is not activated and will be deleted in the case that b' retained its color.

We activate every such edge ab independently with probability $1/\alpha$. Therefore, the probability that $d_{H_i}(b)$ is smaller than k is at most the probability that a Binomial random variable with $\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha}$ trials and probability $1/\alpha$ is smaller than k . Since $\delta(J) \geq d^\epsilon$, Chernoff's inequality implies that,

$$\Pr(D_3^i(b)) = \Pr\left(d_{H_i}(b) \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}\right) = e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon)}.$$

□

In order to control the condition (C4), for every $a \in A$, we define $D_4^1(a)$ to be the event that the degree of a to $B \setminus B_1$ in H_1 is at most $\frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}$, that is $|N_{H_1}(a) \cap (B \setminus B_1)| \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}$.

Lemma 16. *For every $a \in A$,*

$$\Pr(D_4^1(a)) = e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon)}.$$

Proof. Note that in the first iteration of Phase II we can color B in the following equivalent way:

- i) Color each vertex in $B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$ independently.
- ii) Color each vertex in $N_{H_0}(a)$ independently.
- iii) Keep the color classes in $B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$ but permute the colors assigned to each class.

After the step i), we say that a color class $C \subseteq B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$ (with no color assigned, yet) is *dangerous* for $b \in N_{H_0}(a)$ if there are at least $\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha} - 1$ activated edges $a'b'$ with $a' \in N_{H_0}(b) \setminus \{a\}$ and $b' \in C$.

For a $b \in N_{H_0}(a)$, we consider the following set of conditions,

- (F1) there exists $b' \in N_{H_0}(a) \setminus \{b\}$ with $\chi_1(b') = \chi_1(b)$,
- (F2) $\chi_1(b)$ is a dangerous color for b ,
- (F3) $\chi_1(a)\chi_1(b) \notin E(J)$.

Let Y be the number of vertices $b \in N_{H_0}(a)$ that do not satisfy (F1), (F2) and (F3).

Observe that the number of vertices $b \in N_{H_0}(a)$ that do not satisfy (F1) and (F3) is determined by the coloring of $N_{H_0}(a)$ (step ii)). The number of vertices that do not satisfy (F2) is determined by the final coloring of $B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$ (steps i) and iii)) and the activation of the edges between A and $B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$. We will use the fact these steps are independent to bound Y .

Let Z be the number of vertices $b \in N_{H_0}(a)$ that do not satisfy (F1) and (F3). For a given vertex b , the probability that $\chi_1(a)\chi_1(b) \in E(J)$ is at least $p^- = \delta(J)/\alpha d$. Given that a color has been assigned to b , the probability that this color is unique in $N_{H_0}(a)$ is at least $(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha d})^d \geq 1 - 1/\alpha$. Since $d_{H_0}(a) \geq d/4$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Z) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) p^- d_{H_0}(a) \geq \frac{(1 - 1/\alpha)\delta(J)}{4\alpha}.$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 8, we can apply Talagrand's inequality to obtain that

$$\Pr\left(Z \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha}\right) \leq e^{-\Omega(\delta(J))}. \quad (3)$$

To show that Y is typically large, consider M to be the set of vertices that do not satisfy (F1) and (F3). Let $b \in M$. At step *iii*), each color class will get assigned a random (and different) color and, if in the step *i*) we have created a small number of dangerous color classes, it will be likely that b does not satisfy (F2).

We define E to be the event that, for every $a' \in N_{H_0}^2(a)$, there are at most $\frac{2d}{\alpha}$ activated edges $a'b'$ with $b' \notin N_{H_0}(a)$. A simple use of Chernoff's inequality and a union bound, gives $\Pr(E) = 1 - e^{-\Omega(d)}$. The event E is fully determined by the activation of edges between A and $B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$. Moreover, it allows us to control the number of dangerous color classes.

Claim 17. *If E is satisfied, then for each $b \in N_{H_0}(a)$, the number of dangerous color classes for b is at most $\alpha^{1/2}d$.*

Proof. Since E is satisfied, for any $a' \in N_{H_0}(b)$, there are at most $2d/\alpha$ activated edges $a'b'$ with $b' \notin N_{H_0}(a)$. Since $N_{H_0}(b)$ is rainbow, there are at most $\Delta(J) \leq \beta\delta(J)$ vertices $a' \in N_{H_0}(b)$ with $\chi_1(a')\chi_1(b) \in E(J)$ (recall that $\chi_1(b)$ has been fixed at step *ii*)). Therefore, there are at most $\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}\delta(J)d$ activated edges $a'b'$ with $a' \in N_{H_0}(b)$, $\chi_1(a')\chi_1(b) \in E(J)$ and $b' \notin N_{H_0}(a)$.

Recall that a color class $C \subseteq B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$ is dangerous for b if there are at least $\frac{\delta(J)}{8\alpha} - 1 \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{9\alpha}$ activated edges $a'b'$ with $a' \in N_{H_0}(b)$, $\chi_1(a')\chi_1(b) \in E(J)$ and $b' \in C$.

Thus, there are at most $18\beta d \leq \alpha^{1/2}d$ dangerous color classes, as desired. \square

We now proceed to show that, conditional on E , most of the vertices in M do not satisfy (F2). By Claim 17 and since there are at least αd colors, the probability that all the dangerous classes for b are assigned in step *iii*) a color different than $\chi_1(b)$ is at least $1 - \alpha^{-1/2}$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}(Y \mid E, M) \geq (1 - \alpha^{-1/2})|M|.$$

Observe that swapping the colors in two color classes of $B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$ can only change Y by at most 2, since every vertex in M has a unique color. We can use McDiarmid's inequality to prove that

$$\Pr\left(Y \leq (1 - 2\alpha^{-1/2})|M| \mid E, M\right) \leq e^{-\Omega(|M|)}.$$

Using (3) and $\Pr(E) = 1 - e^{-\Omega(d)}$, we obtain a bound on the unconditional probability,

$$\Pr\left(Y \leq \frac{(1 - 2\alpha^{-1/2})\delta(J)}{8\alpha}\right) \leq e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon)},$$

where we used that $\delta(J) \geq d^\epsilon$.

If $b \in N_{H_0}(a)$ does not satisfy (F1), (F2) and (F3), then $b \in B \setminus B_1$. The edge ab is retained in H_1 if it was activated in H_0 . Observe that the events (F1), (F2) and (F3) are independent of the activation of the edges between a and $N_{H_0}(a)$: (F1) and (F3) do not depend on edge activations, and (F2) only depends on the activation of edges between A and $B \setminus N_{H_0}(a)$. Such an edge ab is activated independently with probability $1/\alpha$. Using Chernoff's inequality we conclude the proof,

$$\Pr(D_4^1(a)) = \Pr\left(|N_{H_1}(a) \cap (B \setminus B_1)| \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}\right) = e^{-\Omega(d^\epsilon)}.$$

□

Lemma 18. *Conditions (C1), (C2), (C3) and (C4) hold after the first iteration with positive probability.*

Proof. By Lemmas 11, 12, 15 and 16, we have $\Pr(D_k^1(a)) = e^{-\Omega(d^{\epsilon/4})}$, for every $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. On the other hand, $D_1^1(a)$, $D_2^1(a)$, $D_3^1(b)$ and $D_4^1(a)$ are mutually independent from every other $D_1^1(a')$, $D_2^1(a')$, $D_3^1(b')$ and $D_4^1(a')$ such that a' (or b') is at distance larger than 6 from a (or b) in H_0 . Thus, each event is mutually independent from all but at most $4d^6$ other events. Since $e^{-\Omega(d^{\epsilon/4})} = O(d^{-7})$, provided that d is large enough with respect to ϵ , β and α , we can use the Local Lemma to show that after the first iteration, (C1), (C2), (C3) and (C4) hold with positive probability. □

The same argument suffices to show the following.

Lemma 19. *Let $1 \leq i < \tau$. If (C1), (C2) and (C3) hold for every $1 \leq j < i$, then (C1), (C2) and (C3) hold after the i -th iteration with positive probability.*

Proof of Lemma 10. Observe that (Q2) and (Q3) are satisfied deterministically, by Phases I and II of the coloring procedure.

We first show that Phase II stops with positive probability. By Lemma 19 condition (C2) is satisfied with positive probability for every $i \geq 1$. Since the stopping condition of the iterative part of Phase II is $|B_i(a)| \leq d^{\epsilon/2}$ for every $a \in A$, with positive probability the iterative part of Phase II ends after $O(\log d)$ iterations.

It remains to show that (Q1) is satisfied with positive probability at the end of Phase II. By Lemma 18, condition (C4) is satisfied after the first iteration. Hence,

$$d_H(a) \geq |N_H(a) \cap (B \setminus B_1)| = |N_{H_1}(a) \cap (B \setminus B_1)| \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}.$$

Let us show that the degrees of $b \in B$ in H are also large. First notice that the degree of a vertex $b \in B$ only decreases in the iteration when b retains its color.

Suppose first that $b \in B \setminus B_\tau$. By Lemma 19, condition (C3) is satisfied at every iteration. Therefore,

$$d_H(b) \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2}.$$

Now suppose that $b \in B_\tau$. Then $b = b_i$, for some $i \geq \tau + 1$. Recall that Phase II assigns to b_i the color that minimizes $\mathbf{Bad}_J(b_i, \chi_{i-1}, H_{i-1})$. First, we show that for every $a \in A$, $d_{H_{i-1}}(a)$ is not too large. By Lemma 19, (C2) holds at each iteration, which implies that the number of uncolored neighbors decreases exponentially fast (in particular, $\tau = O(\log d)$). Recall that since J is β -almost regular, $\Delta(J) \leq \beta\delta(J)$. Since (C1) and (C2) are satisfied at every iteration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{H_{i-1}}(a) \cap (B \setminus B_\tau)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \ell_i(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \frac{2}{\alpha} p^+ |B_i(a)| + O(d^{\varepsilon/2} \log d) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{i/2}} \right) \frac{2\Delta(J)}{\alpha^2 d} |B_0(a)| + O(d^{\varepsilon/2} \log d) \\ &\leq \frac{4\Delta(J)}{\alpha^2} \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{2\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that d is large enough and since $\alpha \geq 25\beta^2$. Here we also used that $|B_0(a)| \leq d$. By the definition of τ , we also have $|N_{H_{i-1}}(a) \cap B_\tau| = |B_\tau(a)| \leq d^{\varepsilon/2}$. This implies that, for any $i > \tau$

$$d_{H_{i-1}}(a) \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{2\alpha} + d^{\varepsilon/2} \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{\alpha}. \quad (4)$$

Now we can lower bound the degree of b_i in H . By (4), there are at most $\frac{\delta(J)d}{\alpha}$ edges in H_{i-1} incident to a neighbour of b_i . Thus, there is a color $c \in V(J)$ such that if $\chi_i(b_i) = c$, $\mathbf{Bad}_J(b_i, \chi_i, H_{i-1})$ is small, in fact

$$|\{a \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b_i) : \exists b' \in N_{H_{i-1}}(b_i) \setminus \{b_i\} \text{ and } \chi_i(b') = c\}| \leq \frac{\delta(J)}{\alpha^2}.$$

We set $\chi_i(b_i) = c$ and delete all the edges ab_i for some $a \in A$ that either may cause conflicts (at most $\delta(J)/\alpha^2$) or $\chi_i(a)\chi_i(b_i) \notin E(J)$. Since property (P3) holds for every $b \in B$ and every color $c \in V(J)$, the degree of b_i in H is

$$d_H(b_i) \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{4\alpha} - \frac{\delta(J)}{\alpha^2} \geq \frac{\delta(J)}{16\alpha^2},$$

provided that $\alpha \geq 5$.

□

We conclude with the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let H be the spanning subgraph and let χ be the partial coloring of H provided by Lemma 10. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that H contains a locally injective copy of $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Consider the coloring of F induced by the colors given by χ to the locally injective copy of F in H .

Since for every edge ab in H we have $\chi(a)\chi(b) \in E(J)$, the coloring of F induces an homomorphism from F to J . Moreover, since for every vertex $v \in V(H)$, $N_H(v)$ is rainbow, this homomorphism is locally injective. However, $\text{hom}^*(F, J) = 0$ by the hypothesis of the theorem and we obtain a contradiction. Thus, $\text{hom}^*(F, H) = 0$. Finally, the subgraph H also satisfies $\delta(H) = \Omega(\delta(J))$. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó, *Norm-graphs: variations and applications*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **76** (1999), no. 2, 280–290.
- [2] W. G. Brown, *On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin **9** (1966), no. 2, 1–2.
- [3] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov, *Large subgraphs without complete bipartite graphs*, arXiv:1401.6711 (2014).
- [4] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov, *Short proofs of some extremal results II*, to appear in J. Combin. Theory Ser. B. (2015).
- [5] M. Delcourt and A. Ferber, *On a Conjecture of Thomassen*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **22** (2015), no. 3, P3.2.
- [6] P. Erdős, *Graph theory and probability I*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **11** (1959), 34–38.
- [7] P. Erdős, *Graph theory and probability II*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **13** (1961), 346–352.
- [8] P. Erdős, *Problem 1, Theory of Graphs*, Proc. Colloq., Tihany 1966 (1968), 361–362.
- [9] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós, *On a problem of graph theory*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica **1** (1966), 215–235.
- [10] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, *Some extremal problems in graph theory*, Combinatorial theory and its applications, I (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 377–390.
- [11] F. Foucaud, M. Krivelevich, and G. Perarnau, *Large subgraphs without short cycles*, SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics **29** (2015), no. 1, 65–78.
- [12] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits, *The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems*, Erdős Centennial, Springer, 2013, pp. 169–264.
- [13] János Kollár, Lajos Rónyai, and Tibor Szabó, *Norm-graphs and bipartite Turán numbers*, Combinatorica **16** (1996), no. 3, 399–406.
- [14] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós, and P. Turán, *On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz*, Colloquium Mathematicae **3** (1954), no. 1, 50–57.

- [15] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Every graph of sufficiently large average degree contains a C_4 -free subgraph of large average degree*, *Combinatorica* **24** (2004), no. 1, 155–162.
- [16] G. Kun, *Expanders have a spanning Lipschitz subgraph with large girth*, arXiv:1303.4982 (2013).
- [17] T. Lam and J. Verstraëte, *A note on graphs without short even cycles*, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **12** (2005), no. 1, Paper 5.
- [18] F. Lazebnik, V. A. Ustimenko, and A. J. Woldar, *Polarities and $2k$ -cycle-free graphs*, *Discrete Mathematics* **197** (1999), 503–513.
- [19] M. Molloy and B. Reed, *Graph colouring and the probabilistic method*, vol. 23, Springer, 2002.
- [20] C. Thomassen, *Girth in graphs*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B* **35** (1983), no. 2, 129–141.
- [21] C. Thomassen, *Configurations in graphs of large minimum degree, connectivity, or chromatic number*, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **555** (1989), no. 1, 402–412.