

Over-expression of DNMT3A predicts the risk of recurrent vulvar squamous cell carcinomas.

Leonard, Sarah; Pereira, Merlin; Fox, Richard; Yap, Jason; Gordon, Naheema; Luesley, David; Woodman, Ciaran; Kehoe, Sean; Ganesan, Raji

DOI:

[10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.09.001)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Leonard, S, Pereira, M, Fox, R, Yap, J, Gordon, N, Luesley, D, Woodman, C, Kehoe, S & Ganesan, R 2016, 'Over-expression of DNMT3A predicts the risk of recurrent vulvar squamous cell carcinomas.', *Gynecologic oncology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.09.001>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked 04/10/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **Title:** Over-expression of DNMT3A predicts the risk of recurrent vulvar squamous cell carcinomas

2 **Authors:** Sarah Leonard^{1*}, Merlin Pereira^{1*}, Richard Fox², Naheema Gordon¹, Jason Yap¹, Sean
3 Kehoe¹, David Luesley¹, Ciaran Woodman¹, Raji Ganesan³

4 *Both authors contributed equally to this work

5

6 ¹Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, College of Dental and Medical School, University of
7 Birmingham, Edgbaston B15 2TT, United Kingdom.

8 ²Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston B15 2TT, United
9 Kingdom.

10 ³Birmingham Women's NHS Foundation Trust, Mindelsohn Way, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15
11 2TG, United Kingdom.

12

13 **Corresponding author:** Dr Raji Ganesan. Mailing address: Birmingham Women's NHS Foundation
14 Trust, Mindelsohn Way, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TG, UK. E-mail:
15 Raji.Ganesan@bwnft.nhs.uk

16

17 **Key words:** Vulvar cancer, DNA methylation, human papillomavirus (HPV), DNMT expression
18 cancer

19

20 **Funding:** This work was funded by; Pathological Society of Great Britain and Ireland; The West
21 Midlands Deanery; and The Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 **ABSTRACT**

29 Objective: Cancer initiation and progression has been linked to aberrant expression of the DNA
30 methyltransferases (DNMT), the enzymes which establish and maintain DNA methylation patterns
31 throughout the genome. In this study, we investigated if DNMT expression in vulvar squamous cell
32 carcinomas (VSCC) was related to clinical outcome.

33

34 Methods: DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B expression was measured in a subset of cases drawn
35 from a cohort of consecutive women treated for primary VSCC at the Pan Birmingham
36 Gynaecological Cancer Centre between 2001 and 2008. Univariable and multivariable competing risk
37 modelling was performed to identify whether DNMT expression was associated with local disease
38 recurrence or disease morbidity.

39

40 Results: Over-expression of DNMT3A in the invasive component of the tumour was seen in 44% of
41 tumours and was associated with an increased risk of local vulval recurrence (LVR) (HR = 4.51, p =
42 0.012). This risk was found to increase further after adjustment for disease stage (HR = 6.00, p =
43 0.003) and groin node metastasis (HR = 4.81, p = 0.008). Over-expression of DNMT3B was
44 associated with an increased risk of LVR (HR = 5.69 p = 0.03), however this ceased to be significant
45 after adjustment for groin node metastasis. In a subset analysis, over-expression of DNMT3A was
46 found to be significantly more common in VSCCs that stained negative for CDKN2A.

47

48 Conclusions: These observations are consistent with the possibility that epigenetic changes contribute
49 to vulval neoplasia and DNMT3A over-expression may be useful in predicting local disease
50 recurrence.

51

52 **Introduction**

53 Vulvar squamous cell carcinoma (VSCC) is relatively rare, accounting for approximately 5% of all
54 gynecological malignancies and 95% of all vulvar malignancies [1]. However the incidence of VSCC
55 has recently been rising, leading to increased clinical and scientific interest to improve therapeutic
56 options [2-4]. Over the last two decades evidence has accumulated to show there are two different
57 aetio-pathogenic pathways of the precursor lesions, termed vulvar intra-epithelial neoplasia (VIN) [5].
58 The first, usual type vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (uVIN) is related to human papillomavirus (HPV)
59 infection, predominantly type 16. The second pathway which is independent of HPV infection is
60 referred to as differentiated VIN (dVIN) [6], and is often associated with Lichen Sclerosus (LS), a
61 non-neoplastic, chronic inflammatory dermatosis with distinctive histology [7,8]. Although there is
62 evidence to suggest that HPV-derived tumours confer a better survival over their HPV-independent
63 counterpart in squamous cell cancer of the head and neck, such an advantage in survival is yet to be
64 established in VSCC [9]. The rate of local vulval recurrence (LVR) has not changed over the last 3
65 decades and remained at around 25% despite the introduction of chemo-radiotherapy as an adjunct to
66 surgery [10-12]. Our recent cohort study suggests that women with VSCC arising in the background
67 of LS were more likely to develop LVR even when the primary tumours were completely excised
68 with adequate excision margins [13].

69

70 DNA methylation is a covalent modification of DNA tightly regulated by a group of three enzymes
71 known as the DNA methyltransferases (DNMT). In normal cells DNMT1 is primarily responsible for
72 maintaining methylation; however its importance in maintaining cancer stem cells and driving
73 tumorigenesis is also well established [14-16]. DNMT3A and DNMT3B are active *de novo*
74 methyltransferases, although expressed at lower levels in adult somatic cells, they have been shown to
75 be overexpressed and associated with adverse prognosis in several tumours including those of
76 epithelial origin [17-19]. A recent study has shown that tumour suppressor gene (TSG) methylation in
77 VSCC is associated with a poor disease prognosis however whether DNMT expression could be
78 useful in determining disease prognosis remains unclear [20].

79

80 In this study, we compare for the first time, the expression of DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B in
81 the invasive component and in the normal adjacent epithelium of 66, 66 and 62 VSCC cases
82 respectively, and associated their expression with clinical data collected from patient's medical
83 records and CDKN2A status.

84

85 **Materials and methods**

86 Vulval tumour study population:

87 DNMT expression was examined in a subset of cases drawn from a cohort of 201 consecutive patients
88 first diagnosed with VSCC between 2000 and 2008. All patients were actively followed up for at least
89 5 years with cause of death assigned using information from multiple sources which included hospital
90 and hospice notes, general practitioner summaries, cancer registry records and information supplied
91 by the patient's family. Information on the following variables from case notes was documented: age,
92 smoking behaviour, multifocal disease, tumour differentiation, lymphovascular space involvement,
93 stage, groin node involvement and the presence of concomitant non-neoplastic epithelial disorders.
94 Information on local, regional and distant recurrences was also recorded during the follow-up period.
95 Tissue was obtained with written consent and the use of the biopsy material for research purposes had
96 been approved by the Birmingham, East, North and Solihull Research Ethics Committee (Reference
97 number 11/WM/0070).

98

99 Preparation of study material:

100 Paraffin embedded blocks were sectioned every 4µm and one section stained with haematoxylin and
101 eosin; sequential sections were used for routine immunohistochemical staining with the last of these
102 sections used for HPV DNA testing as described previously [13]. To avoid cross contamination, the
103 microtome blades were changed between cutting of each block. Tumour enriched tissue was macro
104 dissected and DNA was extracted using AllPrep DNA/RNA FFPE (formalin fixed paraffin
105 embedded) kit, according to manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen) and stored at -20°C.

106

107 Immunohistochemical analysis:

108 *DNMT immunohistochemistry*

109 Immunohistochemistry to examine the expression of DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B was
110 performed on 66, 66 and 62 VSCC cases respectively. We included only those cases which had
111 tumour and adjacent normal epithelium, and which performed similarly in two independent
112 experiments to control for batch variation; thus accounting for the number of cases used in this study.
113 Antigen expression was assessed using methods we have previously described [21]. Primary
114 antibodies to DNMT1 (ab19905 – ABCAM), DNMT3A (HPA026588-SIGMA) and DNMT3B
115 (HPA001595-SIGMA) were used at optimal working dilutions of 1:1200, 1:200, and 1:800
116 respectively. FFPE tissues from tonsil and skin were used as controls.

117 Two pathologists (RG, MP), independently reviewed the IHC stained slides. Distinctive brown DAB
118 nuclear staining was considered as positive for DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B protein expression.
119 All invasive vulvar cancer tissue sections were initially scanned at x4 objective to select the most
120 tumour dense area. Then one field at x10 objective was selected and the total number of DNMT
121 expressing tumour cells was assessed. When assessing keratinising sub-types of VSCC, it was noted
122 that the lack of nuclei in the keratin layer result in an apparent lack of staining. In such instances,
123 staining of nucleated layers was assessed.

124 *CDKN2A immunohistochemistry*

125 43 tumours which were stained for DNMT expression were also tested for CDKN2A expression. A
126 primary antibody to CDKN2A (clone E6H4 – CINtec) was used at an optimal working dilution of
127 1:25. Expression was considered positive when there was diffuse block staining of a segment of
128 epithelium. Patchy staining, even of strong intensity, or staining of varied intensity was considered
129 negative [22].

130 *H scoring*

131 The H-score scoring system was used to quantify the immunohistochemical staining. The score is
132 based on the proportion of target nuclei stained and the intensity of the stain [23]. The formula used
133 is: 3 x percentage of strongly stained nuclei (colour = brownish black) + 2 x percentage of moderately

134 stained nuclei (colour = brown) + 1 x percentage of weakly stained nuclei (colour = light brown) + 0 x
135 percentage of absent staining nuclei. Thus the H-score generated a range from 0 to 300, where 300 is
136 equivalent to 100% of the cells of interest exhibiting strong staining. DNMT were considered over-
137 expressed when the H score of the tumour was greater than that of the normal adjacent epithelium in
138 both replicates.

139

140 Statistical analysis:

141 Survival was summarised in each DNMT expression subgroup using the methods of Kaplan and
142 Meier. Univariate Cox models were used to quantify the association between cohort characteristics
143 and the risk of disease specific survival and time to local vulval recurrence, specifically the impact of
144 overexpression of DNMT markers. Multivariable Cox modelling was performed to adjust the
145 estimates of risk associated DNMT expression for known confounders. Given the limited size of each
146 cohort there was scope to adjust for just one confounder other than the DNMT descriptor in each
147 multivariable model. The patient characteristics were explored within each sub-cohort by DNMT
148 expression through comparison of proportions via a chi-squared test, and comparison medians using a
149 Wilcoxon rank-sum test. P values <0.05 were deemed statistically significant. Analyses were
150 performed in Stata V12.1

151

152 Pyrosequencing:

153 Genomic DNA (500 ng) was bisulfite converted using EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research).
154 Pyrosequencing primers used for each of the promoter regions are shown in Supplemental table 1
155 The PCR was performed in a total volume of 50 µl using 25 µl HotStart Taq master mix (Thermo
156 Scientific) with 10pmol of primers and 10 µl of bisulfite-modified DNA. The pyrosequencing
157 reactions were performed on a Pyromark ID system (Qiagen) and analysed using Pyro Q-CpG
158 software (Qiagen).

159

160

161

162 **Results**

163 **Expression of DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B in VSCC**

164 DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B expression in the invasive component was compared to that in the
165 normal adjacent epithelium. Replicate cases which were not reproducible were removed from our
166 analysis. Therefore DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B expression in relation to prognosis was
167 analysed in 66, 55 and 45 VSCC cases respectively. DNMT1 was over-expressed in 83% (55/66) of
168 tumours, DNMT3A was over-expressed in 44% (24/55) and DNMT3B was over-expressed in 42%
169 (18/45) of tumours contributing to this analysis. For every case with DNMT over-expression, all
170 tumour cells stained positive in the invasive component. Representative examples of VSCC showing
171 over-expression of DNMT3A, DNMT3B and DNMT1 compared to the normal adjacent epithelium
172 (NAE) is shown in Figure 1.

173

174 **Risk of local vulval recurrence is associated with DNMT3A over-expression**

175 We set out to determine whether DNMT1, DNMT3A or DNMT3B over-expression is associated with
176 the risk of LVR.

177 *DNMT3A*: 13 of the 55 women in the DNMT3A cohort had a LVR. LVR free survival at 1, 3
178 and 5 years was found to be 75.4%, 56.3% and 47.5%, respectively, when DNMT3A was over-
179 expressed, and 96.4%, 92.6% and 83.3% when it was not (Figure 2A). In a univariable analysis,
180 DNMT3A over-expression was found to be associated with a 4.5 fold increased risk of LVR
181 (HR=4.51, p= 0.012). Risk of LVR was also increased in patients with groin node metastasis
182 (HR=4.72, p=0.005) (Table 1). In a multivariable analysis, DNMT3A over-expression continued to be
183 associated with increased risk of developing a LVR after adjustment for disease stage (HR=6.00,
184 p=0.003), groin node metastasis (HR=4.81, p=0.008), and the presence of LS adjacent to the primary
185 tumour (HR=4.08, p=0.019).

186

187 *DNMT3B*: 9 of the 45 women in the DNMT3B cohort had a LVR. LVR free survival at 1, 3 and 5
188 years was found to be 88.5%, 63.0% and 56.8%, respectively, when DNMT3B was over-expressed,

189 and 100%, 94.1% and 77.4% when it was not (Figure 2A). Like DNMT3A, univariable analysis
190 indicated that DNMT3B over-expression was associated with a significantly increased risk of LVR
191 (HR=5.69, p=0.03) (Table 1). Risk of LVR was also increased in women with groin node metastasis
192 (HR=4.07, p=0.04) and in those with tumours arising in a background of LS (HR=11.25, p=0.023)
193 (Table 1). In a multivariable analysis, DNMT3B over-expression continued to be associated with
194 increased risk of developing a LVR after adjustment for disease stage (HR=4.80, p=0.029). Unlike
195 DNMT3A, the excess risk associated with DNMT3B over-expression was attenuated and ceased to be
196 significant after adjustment for groin node metastasis (HR=4.18, p=0.088) and the presence of LS
197 adjacent to the primary tumour (HR=2.99, p=0.19)

198

199 *DNMT1*: 14 of the 66 women in the DNMT1 cohort had a LVR. LVR free survival at 1, 3 and 5 years
200 was found to be 91.2%, 81.3% and 75.0%, respectively, when DNMT1 was over-expressed and
201 90.0%, 90.0% and 64.3% when it was not (Figure 2A). Unlike DNMT3A and DNMT3B, univariable
202 analyses resulted in no evidence that DNMT1 over-expression increases risk of LVR (HR=0.77,
203 p=0.691) (Table 1). Risk of LVR was substantially increased in women with groin node metastasis
204 (HR=4.84, p=0.006) and in those with tumours arising in a background of LS (HR=4.41, p=0.012)
205 (Table 1). The risk of LVR associated with DNMT1 over-expression remained insignificant after
206 adjustment.

207

208 **DNMT3A, DNMT3B or DNMT1 expression does not influence disease specific mortality**

209 We next set out to determine whether DNMT1, DNMT3A or DNMT3B expression influences disease
210 mortality. However we found no significant difference in disease specific survival at 1, 3 and 5 years
211 for each of the DNMT (Figure 2B). In both univariable and multivariable analysis DNMT1,
212 DNMT3A and DNMT3B over-expression was not associated with increased mortality (Table 2).

213

214 **Over-expression of DNMT3A is associated with CDKN2A expression**

215 43 tumours from the VSCC cohort were tested for CDKN2A expression, which is indicative of HPV
216 induced dysplasia [22]. Of the 39 tumours for which CDKN2A result was available, 15 (38.5%)

217 showed positive block staining which is characteristic of a transforming HPV infection and 24 were
218 negative for CDKN2A expression (Figure 3A and B). When we compared CDKN2A and DNMT
219 expression, we found that in the 24 tumours negative for CDKN2A that over-expression of DNMT3A
220 was significantly more common (33.3% vs. 0%, difference in proportions 33.3%, 95% CI 2.34-56.25).
221 Representative examples showing CDKN2A expression in the absence of DNMT3A and vice versa
222 are shown in Figure 3. The expression of DNMT1 and DNMT3B did not vary significantly with
223 CDKN2A expression. We next examined the methylation status of the CDKN2A promoter using
224 pyrosequencing and found that CDKN2A negative tumours were significantly more methylated than
225 those tumours which were CDKN2A positive (T-test 0.0014) (Figure 4). Although the observations
226 in our results point to a relationship between DNMT3A over-expression and the absence of CDKN2A
227 expression, and a relationship between the absence of CDKN2A expression and hypermethylation of
228 the CDKN2A promoter, we were not able to directly link the over-expression of DNMT3A to
229 hypermethylation of the CDKN2A promoter. Although we show an association between DNMT3A
230 over expression and CDKN2A expression (indicative of HPV induced dysplasia), there was no
231 association between DNMT3A over-expression and HPV status when this was assigned using PCR
232 based assays.

233

234 **Discussion**

235 Approximately one in four women with VSCC will have a LVR within five years after primary
236 surgery [13]. Known risk factors for LVR are the clearance margin of the tumour, the depth of
237 invasion by tumour cells and, as we reported recently, the presence of LS adjacent to the tumour [24,
238 13]. However, more sophisticated predictors such as biomarkers capable of distinguishing cases likely
239 to recur, are of clinical importance.

240 Maintenance of DNA methylation by the DNMT is critical during development and in transcriptional
241 regulation; however aberrant expression of the DNMT has been reported for a number of human
242 cancers, including those of epithelial origin [16, 17, 19]. To date, expression of the DNMT in VSCC
243 has not been examined, therefore, we evaluated the expression of these enzymes and correlated our

244 results with CDKN2A staining, clinicopathologic parameters and survival data to determine the
245 prognostic significance of DNMT expression in vulvar cancer.

246

247 Our data showed that DNMT3A over-expression but not DNMT1 or DNMT3B was associated with a
248 significantly increased risk of LVR. This increased risk persisted after adjustment for groin node
249 metastasis suggesting that over-expression is an important predictor of VSCC recurrence. It has also
250 been shown for gastric carcinoma that DNMT3A over-expression while not DNMT3B or DNMT1 is
251 associated with poor disease survival [25]. For both epithelial tumours over-expression of DNMT3A
252 significantly contributes to disease recurrence or progression which may be associated with the
253 disruption of similar molecular pathways, such as epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT).
254 During EMT cells undergo a developmental switch from a polarized, epithelial phenotype to a highly
255 motile fibroblastoid or mesenchymal phenotype, a central process during cancer progression [26]. It is
256 recognised that VSCC with prominent fibromyxoid stroma have a poorer outcome. This stromal
257 response is phenotypic of epithelial mesenchymal transition [27]. Vulval cancers like other epithelial
258 malignancies, express a set of proteins which characterize strongly the transition from normal
259 epithelium to invasive VSCC [28]. The importance of DNMT3A in the regulation of these proteins
260 during EMT has recently been highlighted by Tan et al, where they show that a non-histone chromatin
261 protein, HMGA2 remodels chromatin to favour binding of DNMT3A to the *CDHI* promoter, thus
262 achieving sustained silencing of E-cadherin expression and promoting tumour cell invasion [29].

263 Our results also showed that DNMT3A overexpression was inversely related to the expression of
264 CDKN2A in these carcinomas. Expression of CDKN2A is related to the regulation of Rb by the viral
265 oncogene, E7. Following HPV integration into the host genome, E7 expression increases as does
266 CDKN2A expression due to its accumulation in the cell [30]. These results may tie in with a recent
267 finding published by Rodriguez et al, which suggests that HPV positive vulvar tumours may not
268 progress through EMT while HPV negative tumours do, again suggesting a role for DNMT3A in the
269 EMT process [28]. The presence of HPV when detected using PCR based methods did not reveal an

270 association with DNMT3A over expression, however this may not be surprising given that it may
271 only be transcriptionally active HPV that is related to disease progression [22].

272 Our results showed that over expression of DNMT3A and DNMT3B was associated with an LVR but
273 not survival. Identifying factors which are associated with local recurrence but not survival is not
274 unusual; in fact, these results are consistent with findings in our cohort study which shows that
275 mortality/survival is not influenced by LVR [13]. We also found in this study that women who
276 developed LVR also went on to develop at least one further episode of LVR within 2.3 years [13].
277 These findings might also suggest that DNMT3A is over expressed in a subset of less aggressive
278 tumours, a type associated with recurrence but not death. However given this is the first time we have
279 established this association, further work on the molecular biology of these VSCC tumours would be
280 necessary to fully understand this.

281 In summary, we have demonstrated that molecular profiling of the tumour and its adjacent epithelium
282 may be used to predict treatment outcomes in patients with VSCC. We have shown, for the first time
283 that DNMT3A over-expression is associated with tumours that do not contain transcriptionally active
284 HPV and have an increased risk of LVR. Our study suggests that DNMT3A may be used as a
285 surrogate marker for HPV-negative tumours and serve as a biomarker to identify those patients who
286 are at risk of developing LVR. Furthermore, given that a family of propiophenone derivatives have
287 recently been shown to be specific DNMT3A inhibitors in cancer cells, raises the interesting
288 possibility of testing these novel agents for their use in the prevention of some vulvar recurrences
289 [31]. This of course requires further evaluation including clinical studies.

290

291 **Conflict of interest**

292 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

293

294

295 **References**

- 296 1. Cancer Research UK Cancer Statistics. Vulval cancer incidence statistics.
297 [http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-](http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/vulval-cancer/incidence)
298 [cancer-type/vulval-cancer/incidence](http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/vulval-cancer/incidence).
- 299 2. Akhtar-Danesh N, Elit L, Lytwyn A. Trends in incidence and survival of women with
300 invasive vulvar cancer in the United States and Canada: a population-based study.
301 *Gynecol Oncol* 2014;134(2):314-8.
- 302 3. Judson PL, Habermann EB, Baxter NN, Durham SB, Virnig BA. Trends in the incidence
303 of invasive and in situ vulvar carcinoma. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;107(5):1018-22.
- 304 4. Deckers-Figiel S, Hampl JA, Rein D, Bender HG. New aspects of vulvar cancer: changes
305 in localization and age of onset. *Gynecol Oncol* 2008;109(3):340–45.
- 306 5. Del Pino M, Rodriguez-Carunchio L, Ordi J. Pathways of vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia
307 and squamous cell carcinoma. *Histopathology* 2013;62(1):161–75.
- 308 6. Preti M, Igidbashian S, Costa S, Cristoforoni P, Mariani L, Orioni M, et al. VIN usual
309 type-from the past to the future. *Ecancermedicalsecience* 2015;29(9):531.
- 310 7. Sideri M, Jones RW, Wilkinson EJ, Preti M, Heller DS, Scurry J, et al. Squamous vulvar
311 intraepithelial neoplasia: 2004 modified terminology, ISSVD Vulvar Oncology
312 Subcommittee. *J Reprod Med* 2005;50(11):807-10.
- 313 8. van de Nieuwenhof HP, Bulten J, Hollema H, Dommerholt RG, Massuger LF, van der
314 Zee AG *et al.* Differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia is often found in lesions,
315 previously diagnosed as lichen sclerosus, which have progressed to vulvar squamous cell
316 carcinoma. *Mod. Pathol* 2011;24(2):297–305.
- 317 9. Iyer NG, Dogan S, Palmer F, Rahmati R, Nixon IJ, Lee N, et al. Detailed Analysis of
318 Clinicopathologic Factors Demonstrate Distinct Difference in Outcome and Prognostic
319 Factors Between Surgically Treated HPV-Positive and Negative Oropharyngeal Cancer.
320 *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22(13):4411-21.

- 321 10. Gadducci A, Tana R, Barsotti C, Guerrieri ME, Genazzani AR. Clinico-pathological and
322 biological prognostic variables in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Crit Rev Oncol*
323 *Hematol* 2012;83(1):71–83.
- 324 11. Coulter J, Gleeson N. Local and regional recurrence of vulval cancer: management
325 dilemmas. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2003;17(4):663–81.
- 326 12. Mahner S, Jueckstock J, Hilpert F, Neuser P, Harter P, de Gregorio N, et al. Adjuvant
327 therapy in lymph node-positive vulvar cancer: the AGO-CaRE-1 study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*
328 2015;107(3).
- 329 13. Yap JK, Fox R, Leonard S, Ganesan R, Kehoe ST, Dawson CW, et al. Adjacent Lichen
330 Sclerosis predicts local recurrence and second field tumour in women with vulvar
331 squamous cell carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol* 2016;Jul 7: Epub ahead of print.
- 332 14. Saito Y, Kanai Y, Nakagawa T, Sakamoto M, Saito H, Ishii H, et al. Increased protein
333 expression of DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) 1 is significantly correlated with the
334 malignant potential and poor prognosis of human hepatocellular carcinomas. *Int J Cancer*
335 2003;105(4):527–32.
- 336 15. Leonard SM, Wei W, Collins SI, Pereira M, Diyaf A, Constandinou-Williams C, et al.
337 Oncogenic human papillomavirus imposes an instructive pattern of DNA methylation
338 changes which parallel the natural history of cervical HPV infection in young women.
339 *Carcinogenesis* 2012;33(7):1286-93.
- 340 16. Pathania R, Ramachandran S, Elangovan S, Padia R, Yang P, Cinghu S, et al. DNMT1 is
341 essential for mammary and cancer stem cell maintenance and tumorigenesis. *Nat*
342 *Commun.* 2015: 24;6:6910.
- 343 17. el-Deiry WS, Nelkin BD, Celano P, Yen RW, Falco JP, Hamilton SR, et al. High
344 expression of the DNA methyltransferase gene characterizes human neoplastic cells and
345 progression stages of colon cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1991;88(8):3470–4.
- 346 18. Li M, Wang Y, Song Y, Bu R, Yin B, Fei X et al. Expression profiling and
347 clinicopathological significance of DNA methyltransferase 1, 3A and 3B in sporadic
348 human renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* 2014;7(11):7597-609.

- 349 19. Zhang JJ, Zhu Y, Zhu Y, Wu JL, Liang WB, et al. Association of increased DNA
350 methyltransferase expression with carcinogenesis and poor prognosis in pancreatic ductal
351 adenocarcinoma. *Clin Transl Oncol* 2012;14(2): 116–24.
- 352 20. Guerrero D, Guarch R, Ojer A, Casas JM, Mendez-Meca C, Esteller M. et al. Differential
353 hypermethylation of genes in vulvar cancer and lichen sclerosus coexisting or not with
354 vulvar cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2011;128(12) 2853–64.
- 355 21. Leonard S, Gordon N, Smith N, Rowe M, Murray PG, Woodman CB. Arginine
356 Methyltransferases Are Regulated by Epstein-Barr Virus in B Cells and Are Differentially
357 Expressed in Hodgkin's Lymphoma. *Pathogens* 2012;1(1):52-64.
- 358 22. Leonard SM, Pereira M, Roberts S, Cuschieri K, Nuovo G, Athavale R, et al. Evidence of
359 disrupted high-risk human papillomavirus DNA in morphologically normal cervixes of
360 older women. *Sci Rep* 2016; 15(6):20847.
- 361 23. Thike AA, Chng MJ, Fook-Chong S, Tan PH. Immunohistochemical expression of
362 hormone receptors in invasive breast carcinoma: correlation of results of H-score with
363 pathological parameters. *Pathology* 2001;33(1):21–5.
- 364 24. Rouzier R, Haddad B, Plantier F, Dubois P, Pelisse M, Paniel BJ. Local relapse in
365 patients treated for squamous cell vulvar carcinoma: incidence and prognostic value.
366 *Obstet Gynecol* 2002;100(6):1159-67.
- 367 25. Cao XY, Ma HX, Shang YH, Jin MS, Kong F, Jia ZF, et al .DNA methyltransferase3a
368 expression is an independent poor prognostic indicator in gastric cancer. *World J*
369 *Gastroenterol* 2014;20(25):8201-8.
- 370 26. Zhou X, Zhang H, Han X. Role of epithelial to mesenchymal transition proteins in
371 gynecological cancers: pathological and therapeutic perspectives. *Tumor Biol*
372 2014;35:9523–30.
- 373 27. Ambros RA, Malfetano JH, Mihm MC. Clinicopathologic features of vulvar squamous
374 cell carcinomas exhibiting prominent fibromyxoid stromal response. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*
375 1996;15(2):137-45.

- 376 28. Rodrigues IS, Lavorato-Rocha AM, de M Maia B, Stiepcich MM, de Carvalho FM,
377 Baiocchi G, et al. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition-like events in vulvar cancer and its
378 relation with HPV. *Br J Cancer* 2013;109(1):184-94.
- 379 29. Tan EJ, Kahata K, Idås O, Thuault S, Heldin CH, Moustakas A. The high mobility group
380 A2 protein epigenetically silences the *Cdh1* gene during epithelial-to-mesenchymal
381 transition. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015;43(1):162-78.
- 382 30. Tringler B, Grimm C, Dudek G, Zeillinger R, Tempfer C, Speiser P, et al. p16INK4a
383 expression in invasive vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol*
384 *Morphol* 2007;15(3):279-83.
- 385 31. Erdmann A, Menon Y, Gros C, Molinier N, Novosad N, Samson A, et al. Design and
386 synthesis of new non nucleoside inhibitors of DNMT3A. *Bioorg Med Chem*
387 2015;23(17):5946-53.

388

389

390

391 **Figure and Table Legends**

392 Figure 1: Examples of VSCC with over-expression of DNMT1, DNMT3A or DNMT3B. The H score
393 of the invasive areas of the tumour was compared with that of the non-neoplastic squamous
394 epithelium lying adjacent to the invasive lesion (NAE).

395

396 Figure 2A: Univariate analysis showing a statistically significant increased risk of local vulval
397 recurrence when there is over-expression of DNMT3A and DNMT3B but not DNMT1. 2B:
398 Univariate analysis showing a statistically insignificant risk of disease specific survival (i.e. VSCC
399 related death) when there is over-expression of DNMT3A, DNMT3B and DNMT1.

400

401 Figure 3: Low level DNMT3A expression in tumours with CDKN2A overexpression. A
402 representative example of a tumour in which both the immature keratinising and keratinising
403 components of the tumour show strong CDKN2A expression but low level DNMT3A expression.

404

405 Figure 4: Graph showing the average methylation of the P16 promoter in 43 VSCC tumours.

406

407

408 Table 1: Univariable analysis showing the risk of Local Vulval Recurrence in relation to DNMT
409 expression and patient and disease related prognostic factors.

410

411 Table 2: Univariable analysis showing the risk of death from VSCC in relation to DNMT over-
412 expression and patient and disease related prognostic factors.

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

| Covariate | | DNMT 1 (N=66) | p-value | DNMT3A (N=55) | p-value | DNMT3B (N=45) | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | | HR (95% CI) | | HR (95% CI) | | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
| DNMT over-expression | Not over-expressed | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Over-expressed | 0.77 (0.21, 2.77) | 0.691 | 4.51 (1.40, 14.49) | 0.012 | 5.69 (1.17, 27.57) | 0.031 |
| Age | ≤70 | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | >70 | 1.16 (0.40, 3.36) | 0.781 | 0.73 (0.25, 2.11) | 0.563 | 0.55 (0.14, 2.21) | 0.400 |
| Smoking status | Smoker/Ex-Smoker | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | No | 7.42 (0.96, 57.32) | 0.055 | 3.76 (0.83, 17.06) | 0.086 | 3.28 (0.40, 26.81) | 0.269 |
| | Not mentioned | 1.88 (0.12, 30.10) | 0.657 | 0.57 (0.05, 6.25) | 0.642 | 1.06 (0.07, 16.99) | 0.968 |
| Stage if disease | 1/2 | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | 3/4 | 2.8 (0.95, 8.25) | 0.062 | 3.34 (1.14, 9.77) | 0.028 | 1.69 (0.15, 33.35) | 0.437 |
| Tumour size | ≤4cm | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | > 4cm | 1.16 (0.39, 3.46) | 0.789 | 1.07 (0.36, 3.18) | 0.909 | 0.98 (0.45, 6.35) | 0.990 |
| Multifocal disease | No | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Yes | 1.37 (0.43, 4.39) | 0.593 | 1.71 (0.54, 5.47) | 0.363 | 1.04 (0.22, 5.04) | 0.96 |
| Groin node involvement | No | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Yes | 4.84 (1.56, 15.07) | 0.006 | 4.72 (1.60, 13.93) | 0.005 | 4.07 (1.06, 15.59) | 0.04 |
| Lymphovascular space involvement | No | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Unavailable | 1.78 (0.48, 6.60) | 0.388 | 2.20 (0.55, 8.79) | 0.266 | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 |
| | Yes | 0.37 (0.08, 1.73) | 0.209 | 1.20 (0.37, 3.95) | 0.76 | 0.63 (0.16, 2.53) | 0.514 |
| Excision margin status | Optimum | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Incomplete | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 |
| | Sub-optimum | 0.85 (0.26, 2.76) | 0.786 | 0.72 (0.22, 2.33) | 0.58 | 0.82 (0.20, 3.30) | 0.781 |
| | Unavailable | 4.05 (0.49, 33.68) | 0.196 | 3.45 (0.41, 28.78) | 0.253 | 1.00 (., .) | . |
| Tumour differentiation | Well | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Moderate | 1.56 (0.41, 5.83) | 0.512 | 1.24 (0.33, 4.61) | 0.751 | 1.09 (0.22, 5.44) | 0.917 |
| | Poorly | 0.93 (0.22, 3.91) | 0.924 | 0.87 (0.23, 3.26) | 0.84 | 0.49 (0.08, 2.96) | 0.438 |
| | Not graded | 1.93 (0.37, 9.98) | 0.431 | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 | 0.76 (0.08, 7.40) | 0.816 |
| LS+/-VIN | No LS | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | LS, +/- Vin | 4.41 (1.38, 14.07) | 0.012 | 2.47 (0.83, 7.36) | 0.106 | 11.25 (1.40, 90.14) | 0.023 |

Table 1

| Covariate | | DNMT1 (N=66) | | DNMT3A (N=55) | | DNMT3B (N=45) | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | | HR (95% CI) | P-value | HR (95% CI) | P-value | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
| DNMT over-expression | No | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Yes | 0.85 (0.28, 2.56) | 0.772 | 2.02 (0.66, 6.21) | 0.219 | 1.48 (0.45, 4.86) | 0.517 |
| Age | ≤70 | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | >70 | 1.34 (0.53, 3.42) | 0.535 | 2.35(0.71, 7.77) | 0.162 | 0.82 (0.25, 2.69) | 0.740 |
| Smoking status | Smoker/Ex-Smoker | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Never smoker | 1.24 (0.39, 3.94) | 0.721 | 1.08 (0.27, 4.33) | 0.917 | 0.57 (0.13, 2.57) | 0.466 |
| | Not mentioned | 2.26 (0.60, 8.57) | 0.23 | 1.91 (0.42, 8.77) | 0.403 | 1.25 (0.28, 5.63) | 0.775 |
| Stage of disease | 1/2 | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | 3/4 | 9.95 (3.26, 30.43) | 0.000 | 6.27 (1.71, 22.98) | 0.006 | 6.94 (1.49, 32.3) | 0.014 |
| Tumour size | ≤4cm | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | >4cm | 3.63 (1.28, 10.26) | 0.015 | 4.86 (1.25, 18.87) | 0.022 | 1.92 (0.54, 6.83) | 0.312 |
| Multifocal disease | No | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Yes | 0.69 (0.20, 2.37) | 0.551 | 0.80 (0.18, 3.61) | 0.77 | 0.89 (0.19, 4.15) | 0.884 |
| Groin node involvement | No | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Yes | 9.68 (3.51, 26.66) | <0.01 | 6.16 (1.87, 20.31) | 0.003 | 6.50 (1.66, 25.5) | 0.007 |
| Lymphovascular space involvement | No | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Yes | 1.30 (0.48, 3.49) | 0.607 | 2.07 (0.58, 7.33) | 0.261 | 1.79 (0.52, 6.17) | 0.356 |
| | Unavailable | 1.66 (0.45, 6.17) | 0.446 | 2.61 (0.57, 11.87) | 0.214 | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 |
| Excision margin status | Optimum | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Incomplete | 3.92 (1.00, 15.29) | 0.049 | 7.82 (0.83, 73.32) | 0.072 | 3.76 (0.41, 34.8) | 0.243 |
| | Sub-optimum | 1.84 (0.60, 5.64) | 0.289 | 2.79 (0.77, 10.07) | 0.118 | 1.52 (0.38, 6.13) | 0.554 |
| | Unavailable | 7.96 (1.98, 31.95) | 0.003 | 10.73 (1.82, 63.33) | 0.009 | 1.3e+18 (., .) | . |
| Tumour differentiation | Well | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | Moderate | 1.89 (0.53, 6.72) | 0.326 | 1.27 (0.34, 4.80) | 0.724 | 1.29 (0.29, 5.85) | 0.738 |
| | Poorly | 2.90 (0.95, 8.89) | 0.062 | 0.85 (0.21, 3.45) | 0.818 | 1.13 (0.25, 5.07) | 0.87 |
| | Not graded | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 | 0.00 (0.00, .) | 1 |
| LS+/-VIN | No LS | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | | 1 (reference) | |
| | LS, +/- Vin | 1.41 (0.57, 3.47) | 0.458 | 0.92 (0.30, 2.82) | 0.887 | 3.22 (0.85, 12.1) | 0.084 |

Table 2