Synthesis and Spark Plasma Sintering of Sub-Micron HfB$_2$: Effect of Various Carbon sources
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Abstract

The difficulties associated with the densification of HfB$_2$ are well known due to the material’s high strength covalent bonding, low self-diffusion coefficient, the presence of oxygen impurities and the fact that the commercially available HfB$_2$ powders generally have coarse particle sizes of around 1 – 2 µm with consequent poor sinterability. Since it is known that the sinterability of ceramics increases with a decrease in the particles size [1] and there is a growing demand to make complex, dense shapes using HfB$_2$ powder, there is a need to synthesise fine HfB$_2$ powders with carefully controlled levels of agglomeration [2]. The present work describes a simple process to synthesise HfB$_2$ powder with sub-micron sized particles. Hafnium chloride and boric acid were used as the elemental sources whilst several carbon sources including sucrose, graphite, carbon black, carbon nanotubes and liquid and powder phenolic resin were used. The carbon sources were characterized using thermogravimetric analysis and transmission electron microscope. The effect of the structure of the carbon source used, on the size and morphology of the resultant HfB$_2$ powder was studied; the HfB$_2$ powders were characterized using X-Ray diffraction and scanning and transmission electron microscopy. The powder synthesized using powder phenolic resin had a surface area of 21 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and a
particle size distribution between 30 – 150 nm. It also contained less agglomeration than the powders resulting from other precursors. This was sintered using SPS to a theoretical density of 94% at 2100°C and 50 MPa pressure without the help of any sintering aids.
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1. Introduction

Hafnium diboride (HfB₂) is a potential material for high-temperature structural applications due to its high melting temperature, high strength, and high thermal and electrical conductivity [3]. Its strong covalent bonding and low self-diffusion however, means that either very high temperatures and/or high pressures are required to densify it [3,4]. Both reactive hot pressing [5,6] and spark plasma sintering [7] have been used typically with additives such as carbon, B₄C [8], WC, or silicides (Si₃N₄, HfSi₂, TaSi₂, TiSi₂ and MoSi₂ [9]). These form a liquid phase and hence reduce the sintering temperature, however the resulting glassy grain boundary phases often reduce the high temperature strength and mechanical properties [10]. In addition, to achieve a homogeneous diboride-additive mixture extensive milling is typically used which, in turn, introduces impurities further decreasing the high temperature performance [11]. This work focuses on the preparation of hafnium diboride powders with fine particle size, high purity and low levels of agglomeration. Most commercially available powders have an average particle size of 1 µm and hence demonstrate poor sinterability.

A large number of routes have been reported to synthesize HfB₂ powder, as shown in Table 1. The most widely used is boro/carbothermal synthesis where an oxide or halide precursor of Hf is reduced using a suitable carbon and boron source.
Table 1: Literature data on synthesis routes for HfB₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthesis Route</th>
<th>Precursors</th>
<th>Heat treatment conditions</th>
<th>Particle sizes yielded</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boro/carbothermal reduction</td>
<td>HfO₂, B₄C, C</td>
<td>1600°C, 1650°C, 1875°C, 1 – 2 h</td>
<td>0.25 – 2 µm</td>
<td>[4, 12, 13, 14, 16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borothermal reduction</td>
<td>HfO₂, amorphous B</td>
<td>1550°C, 1 h</td>
<td>0.8 µm</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanically activated synthesis</td>
<td>HfCl₄, B, Mg</td>
<td>1100°C</td>
<td>1 µm</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure activated synthesis</td>
<td>HfCl₄, NaBH₄</td>
<td>600°C, 12 h</td>
<td>25 nm</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-self propagating high temperature synthesis</td>
<td>Hf sheet, amorphous B, carbon black</td>
<td>1500°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPS has been used for fabricating dense HfB₂–SiC [19], TiB₂–WB₂–CrB₂ [20], HfB₂–MoSi₂ [21], and HfC and HfB₂-based composites with MoSi₂ additives [22, 23]; however a dense HfB₂ without any sintering aid is yet to be achieved.

In the current work the effect of different carbon sources with different structures, on the size and morphology of the synthesized HfB₂ powder particles is reported. Hafnium chloride (HfCl₄) and boric acid (H₃BO₃) were used as the sources of Hf and B respectively. The carbon sources included liquid and powder phenolic resin, pitch, sucrose, graphite, carbon black and carbon nanotubes. The operational mechanism by which the carbon sources affected the particle size has been determined and the XRD phase pure sub micron-sized HfB₂ powder has been synthesized. A comparison between the sinter-ability of a commercially procured HfB₂ and the fine HfB₂ synthesized in the present work using SPS densification is also presented.
2. Experimental

Table 2 provides a list of the different starting materials used and their suppliers. The carbon content of each carbon source was obtained from thermo gravimetric analysis (Q5000IR TGA, TA instruments, Zellik, Belgium). The samples were heated up to 1000°C in an Ar atmosphere using a heating rate of 5°C min⁻¹ and the weight loss curves obtained. In order to study the structure of the carbon resulting from the pyrolysis of the different carbon sources, the latter were dissolved in ethanol (water for sucrose) and refluxed at 120°C for 24 h with subsequent drying and grinding. The product was then heated to 1000°C in an argon atmosphere in a high temperature horizontal tube furnace (TSH17/75/450, Elite Thermal Systems Ltd, UK) fitted with a 99.7% pure alumina tube. The heating and cooling rates were maintained as 5°C min⁻¹.

The synthesis approach used was similar to a process described previously [14]. A carbon source, dissolved and/or suspended in ethanol ii, was added to a boric acid/ethanol solution held at 120°C. HfCl₄ that had been dissolved in ethanol was then added and the mixture was allowed to stir for 24 hours at 120°C under reflux conditions. This was followed by subsequent drying in an oven at 250°C for 2 hours and grinding using a mortar and pestle to get the HfB₂ precursor powders.

---

ii Being immiscible in ethanol, the sucrose was dissolved in water and hence the HfCl₄ and boric acid were also dissolved in water.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical/Raw materials</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Purity / Carbon content @ 1000°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hafnium chloride</strong></td>
<td>HfCl₄</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK</td>
<td>98% purity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boric acid</strong></td>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>Fischer Scientific, Loughborough, UK</td>
<td>99.5% purity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liquid phenolic resin (LPR)</strong></td>
<td>[(HOC₆H₄)₂CH₂]ₙ</td>
<td>Cellbond J2027L, Momentive Speciality Chemicals, Louisville, USA</td>
<td>51% carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Powder phenolic resin (PPR)</strong></td>
<td>[(HOC₆H₄)₂CH₂]ₙ</td>
<td>Crios resins, SÍ group, Inc, São Paulo, Brazil</td>
<td>41.7% carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sucrose</strong></td>
<td>C₁₂H₂₂O₁₁</td>
<td>Fischer Scientific, Loughborough, UK</td>
<td>21.8% carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pitch</strong></td>
<td>Carbores® P</td>
<td>Rutgers chemicals, Zelzate, Belgium</td>
<td>65.6% carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graphite</strong></td>
<td>C; 325 mesh</td>
<td>Fischer Scientific, Loughborough, UK</td>
<td>99% purity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi walled carbon nano tube (MWCNT)</strong></td>
<td>C; 10 – 20 nm diameter</td>
<td>NTP, Shenzhen, China</td>
<td>&gt;97% purity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbon black N115</strong></td>
<td>C; 20 – 40 nm, oil absorption co-efficient* 1.1 ml/g</td>
<td>Columbian Chemicals UK, Bristol, UK</td>
<td>&gt;99% purity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbon black N772</strong></td>
<td>C; 100 – 150 nm, oil absorption co-efficient* 0.65 ml g⁻¹</td>
<td>Columbian Chemicals UK, Bristol, UK</td>
<td>&gt;99% purity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absolute Ethanol</strong></td>
<td>C₂H₅OH</td>
<td>Fischer Scientific, Loughborough, UK</td>
<td>99.9% purity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Oil absorption co-efficient: a measure of the structure i.e. degree of aggregation/agglomeration present in the carbon black powder [24].
The HfB₂ precursor powders synthesized were subjected to boro/carbothermal reduction (BCTR) in the same horizontal tube furnace (TSH17/75/450, Elite Thermal Systems Ltd, UK). The heating and cooling rates were maintained at 5°C min⁻¹ up to 1000°C and 3°C min⁻¹ above 1000°C and a flowing argon atmosphere was used throughout. The BCTR synthesis temperature used in this study was 1600°C for 2 h. An estimation of the particle size of the powders was obtained using field emission electron microscopy (FEGSEM 1530 VP, Carl Zeiss (Leo), Oberkochen, Germany) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM 100 CX, JEOL JEM, Munich, Germany). Phase analysis was performed by XRD (Bruker D8 X-Ray Diffractometer, Bruker, Coventry, UK) using Cu Kα radiation. The d spacings were calculated from the 2θ values and were compared with the standard values from the JCPDS powder diffraction files to identify the phases. The particle size distribution was obtained using a Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern, Worchestershire, UK) using prop-3-ol (99%, Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) as the solvent. The BET surface area was analysed using a gas adsorption analyser (Micrometrics TriStar 3000, Norcross, USA).

The finest of all the synthesized HfB₂ powders achieved was sintered using spark plasma sintering (SPS) in vacuum at 1900, 2000 and 2100°C for 10 min. 10 grams of the powder was cold pressed at 62 MPa pressure and placed in graphite foil-lined, 20 mm diameter graphite dies, the latter being covered with graphite felt to reduce heat loss. A load of 50 MPa was applied from 1000°C and was maintained throughout the sintering and cooling step. The heating rate and the cooling rates were 100°C min⁻¹ and 50°C min⁻¹ respectively. The linear change in shrinkage was recorded during sintering by monitoring the displacement of the sample along the pressing direction. It should be noted that the optical pyrometer was focused on the surface of the graphite die and not directly on the specimen, which will have given rise to a difference between the temperature of the die and that of the specimen, especially at the faster heating rates and shorter hold times. Similar runs were carried out for 99% pure HfB₂ powder with d₅₀
= 2 µm procured from Treibacher, Austria. The density of the sample was measured using the Archimedes principle, using the theoretical density as 11.1 g/ cm$^3$ [25], and the grain size was studied by imaging their surfaces using Solid state retractable backscatter detector with low voltage capability (Nova 600 Nanolab Dual Beam, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

3. Results and Discussion

i. Synthesis and effect of carbon sources

The thermogravimetric analysis of the carbon sources, excluding graphite, carbon nanotubes and carbon black since they have no volatiles, is shown in Figure 1. All of the carbon sources tested showed an initial weight loss curve that plateaued as the temperature increased over 600$^\circ$C. The weight loss was highest for sucrose with a residual carbon content of just 21.8%; pitch had the lowest weight loss with a residual mass of 65.6%. The sucrose was stable up to 200$^\circ$C after which there was a very significant weight loss caused by dehydration, dehydrogenation and volatilization of CO and CO$_2$ [26]. The pitch showed no mass loss up to 350$^\circ$C due to the absence of both physically and chemically bonded water, after which it slowly began to lose weight due to breaking of the aromatic chains and dehyrdrogenative cross linking during the process of pyrolysis [27]. The liquid and powder phenolic resins lost water until they cured at around 145$^\circ$C. Beyond this temperature the weight loss remained almost stable up to 400$^\circ$C and only CO and CO$_2$ degassing occurred. The degradation of the resin then started, involving the release of volatile compounds like phenol, cresol, and toluene [28]. The residual weight of each carbon source after pyrolysis in argon, which was the weight of the carbon present in the chemical, was obtained and used for further calculations.
In every case the final powders obtained by heat treating the hafnium and boron precursors with the different carbon sources at 1600°C for 2 h was single phase hexagonal hafnium diboride; the XRD result for one system is shown in Figure 2.
Figure 2: XRD of the HfB\textsubscript{2} powder prepared using Hf, B and LPR after heat treatment at 1600ºC for 2 h

The peaks are indexed according to JCPDS 00-038-1398. Figure 3, shows the TEM images (on the left) of the different carbon sources after pyrolysis and FEGSEM images (on the right) of the corresponding HfB\textsubscript{2} powders synthesized from these sources. Since the only difference between the different precursor powders was the carbon source, the differences in the size and/or structure of the particles in the final powders can be attributed to the carbon source used. The carbon from pitch was spherical and very uniform and so was the final HfB\textsubscript{2} powder produced, Figure 3a; the particle size was approximately 1.5 µm. Sucrose and graphite both form sheet-like carbon and the HfB\textsubscript{2} particle sizes obtained from them were around 1 µm and 3 µm respectively, Figure 3b and c. The effect of the carbon being in the form of sheets will be discussed shortly.
Figure 3: TEM images of the carbon structures (left) resulting from the pyrolysis of different carbon sources at 1000°C for 0.1 h and the corresponding FEGSEM images of the resultant HfB₂ powders after heat treatment at 1600°C for 2 h using a) pitch, b) sucrose, c) graphite, d) C-Black N115, e) C-Black N772 and f) MWCNT
For the HfB₂ powders resulting from the carbon black and multi-walled carbon nano tubes, Figures 3d – f, the final particle size was influenced by the level of agglomeration of the pyrolysed carbon sources. For instance, carbon black N115 had much finer particles at ~20 nm than carbon black N772 at ~150 nm, but the structure factor was higher for the former, meaning that it was more heavily agglomerated. This led to the resultant HfB₂ particles actually being coarser when made from N115. The average particle size of HfB₂ powders obtained from these sources was between 1 – 3 µm. Similarly, although the diameter of the MWCNT were only 10 – 20 nm, the tubes were heavily entangled and the resulting HfB₂ particles were 0.8 – 1 µm in size.

Figure 4 shows the electron micrographs of the carbon structures resulting from heat treating the liquid phenolic resin (LPR) to 1000°C for 0.1 h and the resulting HfB₂ powder. The carbon resulting from LPR was in the form of a sheet as for the sucrose and graphite, and the size and the level of agglomeration of the sheets directly influenced the size of the HfB₂ particles in the final product formed as illustrated in Figure 5.

![Figure 4: a) TEM image of the carbon structure resulting from pyrolysis at 1000°C for 0.1 h and b) a FEGSEM image of the resultant HfB₂ powder](image)

It is believed that the sheet-like carbon resulting from the LPR acted like a matrix with the Hf and B precursors being embedded in it. Figure 5a, shows the structure after heating to 1000°C
for 0.1 h; several islands of the carbon sheets may be seen with the embedded ceramic particles appearing clearly within them. The different situations are illustrated in Figure 5b, c, d and e, one with excess carbon and one with the stoichiometric carbon content. If excess carbon was present then the carbon matrix prevented the ceramic particles from coalescing and growing larger, resulting in fine HfB₂ particles of 20 – 80 nm, Figure 5b, but retaining a significant carbon impurity. On the other hand, if the stoichiometric amount of carbon was present then the matrix was consumed in the process of HfB₂ formation and the ceramic particles tended to coalesce, becoming 1 – 3 μm in size, Figure 5d and e. It is believed that this explanation is also valid for other carbon sources, sucrose and graphite, where pyrolysis yielded sheet-like carbon.

**Figure 5:** Method of formation of HfB₂ powder with LPR as the carbon source

The finest HfB₂ was obtained when using the powder phenolic resin (PPR) as the carbon source. The latter yielded tiny, well dispersed particles of carbon on pyrolysis, Figure 6a-e and consequently the resultant HfB₂ particles were 30 – 150 nm in size. Figure 6c shows the formation of the HfB₂ particles as a result of different calcination temperatures.
At 700°C the ceramic particles and the carbon from the PPR were well dispersed and intermixed, with the carbon forming tail-like structures for the ceramic particles. As the calcination temperature increased the carbon appeared to prevent much growth of the ceramic particles in a similar manner to LPR but on a finer scale. After heat treatment at 1600°C for 2 h the carbon was consumed and each ceramic particle grew at the expense of its neighbours, yielding HfB₂ particles of the approximate shape and size of the carbon island in which it was contained.

Figure 6: a) TEM image of carbon structure resulting from heat treating PPR to 1000°C for 0.1 h, b) FEGSEM picture of HfB₂ powders made using PPR and c, d, e) formation of HfB₂ powder from PPR
Figure 7 shows the particle size analysis of the HfB$_2$ powder formed from the PPR carbon source as measured using the Malvern mastersizer. The d$_{10}$, d$_{50}$ and d$_{90}$ number % values were 30, 70 and 130 nm respectively, whilst the volume % values were 90, 200, 2331 nm respectively; the BET surface area was 21.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The particle size obtained from the BET surface area value was 26 nm. The volume % shows very little agglomeration in the sample.

Table 3 summarises the carbon sources used and the size of the resultant powders produced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>HfB$_2$ particle size / µm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid phenolic resin</td>
<td>0.5 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>1.5 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>3 – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon black N 772</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon black N 115</td>
<td>2 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi walled carbon nano tube</td>
<td>0.8 – 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder phenolic resin</td>
<td>0.03 – 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7: Particle size analysis for the HfB₂ powder synthesized using PPR as the carbon source and calcined at 1600°C for 2 h a) Number %, b) Volume %

ii) Sintering

The fine HfB₂ powder obtained when using PPR as the carbon source and the commercial powders obtained from Treibacher, Germany (fine grade) were sintered at different temperatures using spark plasma sintering as outlined in the experimental section. The time, temperature and force profiles are given in Figure 8. The pressure was kept as a constant at 16 kN in all the cases. The time-displacement curves at 1900°C, 2000°C and 2100°C for the commercial and LU powders is given in Figure 9. The densification of both the commercial and LU HfB₂ appeared to start around 1400°C in all the cases. However, the percentage densification of LU powder seemed to overtake the commercial powder with every 100°C rise in temperature, due to its higher surface area leading to more shrinkage.
Figure 8: Time temperature force profile used for SPS of the fine HfB$_2$ powders

Figure 9: Time evolution of SPS parameters during densification at a) 1900°C, b) 2000°C and c) 2100°C
Figure 10a-c shows the FEGSEM images of the Treibacher powders (left) and Loughborough (LU) synthesized fine powders (right) sintered at 1900°C, 2000°C, and 2100°C for 10 mins, along with their theoretical density values. As seen from the images, the LU HfB$_2$ powders have a finer grain size, which is indicative of the fine size of the starting powder. The density of the commercial samples did not increase with the increase in temperature, however, the grain size did increase, trapping pores within the grains. For the sample sintered at 2100°C and 50 MPa pressure, the grain size ranged between 20 – 40 µm and the theoretical density was 93%. On the other hand, the density along with the grain size of the LU HfB$_2$ increased linearly with the increase in temperature, reaching a maximum theoretical density of 94% and a grain size of 1 – 2 µm at 2100°C and 50 MPa pressure. With its covalent bonding and low self-diffusion coefficient, HfB$_2$ is difficult to sinter without any addition of sintering aids, however the nanometer sized powder sintered to 94% of theoretical density at 2100°C whilst retaining a fine grain size. Sciti et al. [29] obtained monolithic HfB$_2$ ceramics with relative density of ~ 80.0% by SPS at 2200°C and 95 MPa pressure using commercially available powders. The smallest grain size and highest density ever achieved was through reactive SPS at 1700°C and 95 MPa. A 98% dense and ≈10 µm wide grains was attributed to the absence of surface oxidation in this single step synthesis and sintering [30].

In order to further improve the density of the monolith sintered bodies, the pressure applied during SPS could be increased up to 200 MPa, or reactive SPS similar to the approach used by Munir et.al [30] could be exploited.
**Figure 10:** BS images of the polished surface of commercial (left) and LU fine (right) HfB$_2$ powders spark plasma sintered at a) 1900°C, b) 2000°C and c) 2100°C for 10 min under 50 MPa pressure
4. Conclusion

HfB$_2$ powders were synthesized at 1600°C for 2 h using various carbon sources like LPR, pitch, sucrose, graphite, carbon black, carbon nanotubes and PPR. It was found that the structure and the level of agglomeration of the carbon source had a direct influence on the particle size of HfB$_2$ powder. LPR, sucrose, graphite, and PPR on pyrolysis resulted in a sheet like carbon that engulfed the ceramic particles. Tiny and well dispersed sheets resulted in finer and agglomeration free HfB$_2$ particles. For carbon black and carbon nanotubes the size of the resultant HfB$_2$ particles was directly dependent on the level of agglomeration of carbon sources. The finest HfB$_2$ powder was obtained when using PPR as the carbon source and the particle size was between 30 – 150 nm and a surface area of 21.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. SPS sintering of the sub-micron sized HfB$_2$ powders obtained using PPR as the carbon source at 2100°C and 50 MPa resulted in 94% dense body and a grain size of 1 – 2 µm, whilst under the same sintering conditions commercial powders had grain sizes ranging between 20 – 40 µm.
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