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11β-Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenase Type 1 Regulates Glucocorticoid-Induced Insulin Resistance in Skeletal Muscle

Stuart A. Morgan,1 Mark Sherlock,1 Laura L. Gathercole,1 Gareth G. Lavery,1 Carol Lenaghan,2 Ivona J. Bujalska,1 David Laber,2 Alice Yu,2 Gemma Convey,2 Rachel Mayers,2 Krisztina Hegyi,3 Jaswinder K. Sethi,3 Paul M. Stewart,1 David M. Smith,2 and Jeremy W. Tomlinson1

OBJECTIVE—Glucocorticoid excess is characterized by increased adiposity, skeletal myopathy, and insulin resistance, but the precise molecular mechanisms are unknown. Within skeletal muscle, 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1) converts cortisone (11-dehydrocorticosterone in rodents) to active cortisol (corticosterone in rodents). We aimed to determine the mechanisms underpinning glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance in skeletal muscle and indentify how 11β-HSD1 inhibitors improve insulin sensitivity.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Rodent and human cell cultures, whole-tissue explants, and animal models were used to determine the impact of glucocorticoids and selective 11β-HSD1 inhibition upon insulin signaling and action.

RESULTS—Dexamethasone decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, decreased IRS1 mRNA and protein expression, and increased inactivating pSer307 insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1. 11β-HSD1 activity and expression were observed in human and rodent myotubes and muscle explants. Activity was predominantly oxo-reductase, generating active glucocorticoid. A1 (selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor) abolished enzyme activity and blocked the increase in pSer307 IRS1 and reduction in total IRS1 protein after treatment with 11DHC but not corticosterone. In C57Bl6/J mice, the selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor, A2, decreased fasting blood glucose levels and improved insulin sensitivity. In KK mice treated with A2, skeletal muscle pSer307 IRS1 decreased and pThr308 Akt/PKB increased. In addition, A2 decreased both lipogenic and lipolytic gene expression.

CONCLUSIONS—Prereceptor facilitation of glucocorticoid action via 11β-HSD1 increases pSer307 IRS1 and may be crucial in mediating insulin resistance in skeletal muscle. Selective 11β-HSD1 inhibition decreases pSer307 IRS1, increases pThr308 Akt/PKB, and decreases lipogenic and lipolytic gene expression that may represent an important mechanism underpinning their insulin-sensitizing action. Diabetes 58:2506–2515, 2009

The pathophysiological effects of glucocorticoids are well described and impact upon almost all organ systems within the body. This is highlighted in patients with glucocorticoids excess, Cushing’s syndrome characterized by central obesity, hypertension, proximal myopathy, insulin resistance, and in some cases overt type 2 diabetes. In addition, up to 2.5% of the population are taking prescribed glucocorticoids (1), and their side effects represent a considerable clinical burden for both patient and clinician.

Glucocorticoids induce whole-body insulin resistance (2); however, the precise molecular mechanisms that underpin this observation have not been defined in detail. In simple obesity and insulin resistance, circulating cortisol levels are not elevated (3), but in key insulin target tissues including liver, fat, and muscle, glucocorticoid availability to bind and activate the glucocorticoid receptor is controlled by 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1). 11β-HSD1 is an endo-luminal enzyme that interconverts inactive (cortisone in humans and 11-dehydrocorticosterone [11DHC] in rodents) and active glucocorticoids (cortisol in humans and corticosterone [CORT] in rodents) (4). Critically, the directionality of 11β-HSD1 activity is cofactor (NADPH) dependent that is supplied by a tightly associated endo-luminal enzyme, hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (6PDH). Decreases in 6PDH expression and activity decrease 11β-HSD1 oxo-reductase and increase dehydrogenase activity (5). Despite this bidirectional potential, the predominant direction of activity in liver, adipose, and muscle is oxo-reductase generating active glucocorticoid (cortisol and CORT), therefore, amplifying local glucocorticoid action.

Binding of insulin to its cell surface receptor leads to a conformational change and tyrosine autophosphorylation. Consequently, the insulin receptor substrate (IRS) family of adaptor proteins are recruited to the intracellular domain of the receptor and are phosphorylated at multiple tyrosine residues by the receptor tyrosine kinase to permit the docking of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) and subsequent generation of PIP(3,4,5)P3. Generation of this second messenger acts to recruit the Akt/PKB family of serine/threonine kinases to the plasma membrane where they are then activated (6). Further downstream, activated Akt1/protein kinase B (PKB) phosphorylates a ras-GTPase protein, AS160, which is a crucial regulator of the translocation of GLUT4 GLUT storage vesicles to the plasma membrane (7). It is this mechanism that permits
insulin-stimulated glucose entry into target tissues including skeletal muscle (8).

The molecular mechanisms underpinning insulin resistance are complex and variable. Serine/threonine phosphorylation of IRS1 (in particular Ser107 phosphorylation) has been shown to negatively regulate insulin signaling through multiple mechanisms including decreased affinity for the insulin receptor and increased degradation (9,10).

The interaction of glucocorticoids and the insulin signaling cascade has only been examined in a small number of studies that have offered variable explanations for the induction of insulin resistance (11–14). Importantly, the role of serine phosphorylation and the impact of prererceptor glucocorticoid metabolism have not been explored. The 11β-HSD1 knockout mouse is relatively insulin sensitive (15), and specific inhibitors of 11β-HSD1 improve lipid profiles, glucose tolerance, and insulin sensitivity and have considerable potential as therapeutic agents (16–18). However, the molecular mechanisms that underpin these observations remain to be defined.

Therefore, we have characterized the impact of glucocorticoids upon the insulin-signaling cascade and analyzed the expression, activity, and functional impact of 11β-HSD1 in vitro and using in vivo mouse models.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Cell culture.** Mouse skeletal muscle cell line, C2C12 myoblasts (ECACC, U.K.), were grown in DMEM (PAAC, U.K.) supplemented with 10% FBS (37°C, 5% CO₂). Cells were grown to 60–70% confluence before differentiation (initiated by replacing growth media with DMEM with 5% horse serum). After 8 days, myoblasts had fused to form multinucleated myotubes. Before treatment, cells were washed out with serum-free media for 4 h. For experiments examining Ser1 phosphorylation, C2C12 cells stably overexpressing myc-IRS1 were generated and used as described previously for 3T3-L1 adipocytes (19).

Primary human myoblasts were obtained from PromoCell (Heidelberg, Germany). Myoblasts were cultured to confluence, as per the manufacturer’s guidelines using the supplied media. Once confluent, media was changed to a chemically defined media (PromoCell, Germany) including 2% horse serum and cells differentiated into myotubes for 11 days. After differentiation, cells were incubated with serum-free media for 4 h before treatment.

Unless otherwise stated, for all cell culture experiments investigating insulin-signaling cascade protein phosphorylation, media was spiked with human insulin (0.1 μg/ml, Sigma, U.K.) for the final 15 min of the treatment period to achieve insulin stimulation. In experiments using the glucocorticoid receptor antagonist, RU38486, cells were pretreated with RU38486 (10 μmol/l) for 1 h before stimulation with Dexamethasone (Dex). Treatments and reagents were supplied by Sigma, Poole, U.K. unless otherwise stated. Selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors (A1 and A2, >95% and >99% purity, respectively) were provided through material transfer agreements with Astrazeneca (Macclesfield, U.K.). Inhibitor properties are presented within the results section.

**RNA extraction, reverse transcription PCR, and real-time PCR.** Total RNA was extracted from cell lysates using the Tri-Reagent system and from whole-tissue explants using the Neasy Fibrous Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen). Integrity, concentration, and reverse transcription were performed as we have previously described (20). Specific miRNA levels were determined using an ABI 7500 sequence detection system (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems, Warrington, U.K.). Reactions were performed in 20 μl volumes on 96-well plates in reaction buffer containing 2 × TaqMan Universal PCR Master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Probes and primers for all genes were supplied by Applied Biosystems. All reactions were normalized against 18S rRNA as an internal housekeeping gene. Data were obtained as ct values (ct = cycle number at which logarithmic PCR plots cross a calculated threshold line) and used to determine Δct values with Δct = (ct of the target gene) – (ct of the housekeeping gene). Data are expressed as arbitrary units using the following transformation (expression = 10^Δct) arbitrary units [Au5]. When used, fold changes were calculated using the following equation: [fold increase = 2^−ΔΔct].

**Gene card analysis.** Taqman 384-well custom arrays were purchased from Applied Biosystems containing 45 custom genes and 3 housekeeping genes. Five hundred nanograms of cDNA were mixed with Taqman universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems), and the array was run on an ABI 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Data were obtained as ct values and fold changes calculated. Results were validated with standard Taqman RT-PCR.

**Protein extraction and immunoblotting.** Cells were scraped into 100 μl RIPA buffer (50 mmol/l Tris pH 7.4, 1% NP40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mmol/l NaCl, 1 mmol/l EDTA, 1 mmol/l phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Lewes, U.K.), incubated at ~80°C (10 min) on ice (30 min), and centrifuged at 4°C (10 min, 14,000 rpm). The supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and total protein concentration determined by a commercially available assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Twentymicrograms of protein was resolved on an SDS-PAGE gel (acylamide percentage varied according to protein size). Proteins were transferred to Immobilon-P ECL (Millipore St. Giles, U.K.). Primary (anti-IRS1, anti-pSer107 IRS1, anti-IRS2, and anti-AS160 from Upstate, Dundee, U.K.; anti-PKB/akt, anti-pSer473 PKB/akt [recognizing isoforms 1 and 2], and anti-Thr172 Akt/PKB from R&D Systems, Abingdon, U.K.; and anti-pTyr308 IRS1 from Biosource, Nivelles, Belgium) and secondary antibodies (Dako, Glostrup, U.K.) were used at a dilution of 1/5,000. Membranes were reprobed for β-actin and primary and secondary antibodies used at a dilution of 1/5,000 (Abcam plc, Cambridge, U.K.). Bands were visualized using ECL detection kit (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, U.K.) and quantified with Genesnap by Syngene (Cambridge, U.K.).

**Glucose transport assay.** Glucose uptake activity was analyzed by measuring the uptake of 2-deoxy-[3-14]C] glucose as described previously (21). After treatment, cells were washed three times with Krebs-Ringer-Hepes (KRP) buffer and incubated with 0.9 ml KRP buffer at 37°C for 20 min. Insulin (0.5 μg/ml) was then added, and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Glucose uptake was terminated by washing the cells three times with cold PBS. Cells were lysed and radioactivity retained by the cells lysates determined by scintillation counting.

**11β-HSD1 assay.** Briefly, intact cells were incubated with 100 nmol/l D4DH and tritiated tracer for 2–24 h dependent upon assay system. In studies using selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors, cells were incubated for 24 h with inhibitor alone or in combination with astyler, beclomethasone. Steroids were dissolved in dichloromethane, separated using a mobile phase consisting of ethanol and chloroform (8:92) by thin layer chromatography, and scanned using a Bioscan 3000 image analyzer (Lablogic, U.K.). Protein levels were assayed using a commercially available kit (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA).

**Mouse protocols.** The selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor A2 was used in two separate mouse protocols. All experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with the Animal Scientific Procedures Act 1986, Animal Welfare Act 2006, and local guidelines. First, male C57BL6/J mice (6 weeks of age) were maintained for 20 weeks on a diet comprising 48 kcal% fat/10 kcal% fructose (Research Diets RD6072801, New Brunswick, NJ). Mice were housed with a standard light cycle (06:00 h on/18:00 h off), and A2 was administered (20 mg/kg b.w.d) by oral gavage for 28 days and compared against vehicle-control and paired, vehicle-treated animals (n = 9–10). Food intake was assessed over the 28-day period, and on a second occasion. Glucose tolerance test (OGTT, 2 g/kg) was performed. Conscious tail-prick samples were analyzed for glucose using the Accu-Chek Aviva system (Roche) and for insulin using Ultra Sensitive Mouse Insulin ELISA kits (Crystal Chem #08090, Downers Grove, IL).

Separately, the impact of A2 upon skeletal muscle gene and protein expression in an additional hyperglycemic model was determined (male KK/Ta Jcl mice aged 7 weeks: CLEA Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Animals had free access to water and irradiated RM3 (E) diet composed of 11.5 kcal% fat, 27 kcal% protein, and 62 kcal% carbohydrate (Special Diets Services, Witham, U.K.). Compound A2 (20 mg/kg) or vehicle (10 ml/kg) was administered by oral gavage at 08:00 and 20:00 h for 4 consecutive days. After the administration of the third dose of A2, mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 2–3% v/v isoflurane (vaporized by oxygen at 1.5 l/min flow rate) and a 5 mg slow-release cortisone pellet (~8 mg · kg⁻¹ · day⁻¹ in a 20 g mouse) implanted subcutaneously in the lateral aspect of the neck (Innovative Research of America, Sarasota, FL). On day 4, 1–2 h after the seventh oral dose of A2, a rising-dose carbon dioxide concentration was used to humanely kill mice in the fed state and femoral quadriceps muscles were removed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

**Statistical analysis.** Where data were normally distributed, unpaired Student’s t-tests were used to compare single treatments to control. If normality tests failed, then nonparametric tests were used. One-way ANOVA on ranks was used to compare multiple treatments, doses, or times (SigmaStat 3.1; Systat Software, Point Richmond, CA). Statistical analysis on real-time PCR data was performed on mean Δct values.
11β-HSD1 mRNA was highly expressed in C2C12 cells. Expression was also detected in whole-tissue explants of mouse quadriceps muscle, although levels were lower than those seen in liver and adipose tissue (Table 2). In all systems (C2C12 cells, human primary cultures, and whole-tissue explants from mice), functional, bidirectional 11β-HSD1 activity was demonstrated with predominant oxoreductase activity (Fig. 3A and B). In mouse quadriceps explants, oxoreductase activity was significantly decreased after coincubation with the nonselective 11β-HSD inhibitor, glycyrrhetinic acid (2 μmol/l, 2 h) ([114.0 ± 5.7 vs. 44.6 ± 11.1 μmol·g⁻¹·h⁻¹, P < 0.05] (Fig. 3A and B). A1 and A2 are selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors provided through an investigator-led collaboration with AstraZeneca. A1 has a half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) for human recombinant 11β-HSD1 of 0.3 nmol/l and for rat 637 nmol/l, mouse 33 nmol/l, and human 11β-HSD2 >15 μmol/l. A2 has an IC₅₀, for human recombinant 11β-HSD1 of 7 nmol/l and for rat 94 nmol/l, mouse 26 nmol/l, and human 11β-HSD2 >15 μmol/l. Treatment with A1 (1 μmol/l, 24 h), significantly decreased oxoreductase activity in mouse quadriceps whole-tissue explants, differentiated C2C12 cells, and primary cultures of differentiated human skeletal myocytes (Fig. 3C).

**Functional impact of 11β-HSD1 inhibition**

**Rodent and human cell lines and primary cultures.** Paralleling our observations with Dex and CORT, 11DHC (250 nmol/l, 24 h) decreased IRS1 total protein expression (0.5-fold, P < 0.05) and increased pSer³⁰⁷ IRS1 (2.0-fold, P < 0.05) in C2C12 cells. Coincubation with the nonselective 11β-HSD inhibitor glycyrrhetinic acid (2.5 μmol/l, 24 h) reversed 11DHC-induced pSer³⁰⁷ IRS1 to levels seen in control untreated cells (1.1-fold, P = 0.56 vs. control) (Fig. 4A). Glycyrrhetinic acid treatment alone was without effect (data not shown). Similarly, observations with the selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor A1 (2.5 μmol/l, 24 h) mirrored those with glycyrrhetinic acid, completely blocking the effects of 11DHC to decrease total IRS1 expression and increase pSer³⁰⁷ IRS1 (Fig. 4B). Extending these findings, in primary cultures of human skeletal muscle, cortisone (250 nmol/l, 24 h) decreased insulin-stimulated pThr³⁰⁸ Akt/PKB without altering total Akt/PKB protein expression. These observations were completely abolished following coincubation with A1 (Fig. 4C).
Food intake, glucose tolerance, and insulin sensitivity. Food intake decreased within the first 48 h in the A2-treated animals in comparison with vehicle-treated controls. However, by day 4 and for the remainder of the 28-day protocol, food intake did not differ between the groups (day 4: 15.4 ± 0.7 vs. 16.5 ± 0.7 kcal/day [A2 vs. control], *P = 0.08). At day 28, fasting blood glucose and insulin levels were lower in the A2-treated animals compared with both vehicle-treated and pair-fed controls (glucose: 6.8 ± 0.3 vs. 7.4 ± 0.35 vs. 7.7 ± 0.3 mmol/L, *P < 0.05; insulin: 0.60 ± 0.10 vs. 0.82 ± 0.14 vs. 0.91 ± 0.11 ng/mL, *P < 0.05, A2 vs. vehicle vs. pair-fed vehicle). Similarly, homeostasis model assessment values were lower (4.2 ± 0.9 vs. 6.0 ± 0.98 vs. 7.1 ± 0.9 [A2 vs. vehicle vs. pair-fed vehicle], *P < 0.05) as was insulin secretion (area under curve, AUC) across an OGTT (2.29 ± 0.23 vs. 2.95 ± 0.37 vs. 2.94 ± 0.21 ng·mL⁻¹·h⁻¹ [A2 vs. vehicle vs. pair-fed vehicle], *P < 0.05). Glucose levels across the OGTT (AUC) did not change significantly (22.4 ± 0.46 vs. 24.2 ± 0.42 vs. 22.9 ± 0.45 mmol·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ [A2 vs. vehicle vs. pair-fed vehicle], *P = not significant).

Gene and protein expression in skeletal muscle from KK mice. Cortisone pellet–implanted KK mice treated with A2 for 4 consecutive days had increased total IRS1 protein expression, decreased Ser³⁰⁷ phosphorylation, and increased Thr⁵³⁸ phosphorylation of Akt/PKB in whole-tissue quadriceps explants. Tyr⁶⁰⁸ phosphorylation did not change (Fig. 5A). Genecard analysis of quadriceps mRNA expression following A2 treatment is shown in Table 3. Positive findings were endorsed with real-time PCR (Fig. 5B). 11β-HSD1 expression decreased (0.48-fold) (Table 3,
FIG. 2. The endogenous rodent glucocorticoid, CORT, induces a dose- (A) and time- (B) dependent decrease in total IRS1 protein expression (black bars) and increase in pSer307 IRS1 (white bars). Data presented are the means of n = 4–6 experiments with representative Western blots inserted above (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs. control).

Fig. 5B) without effect on glucocorticoid receptor or H6PDH expression. In agreement with our protein expression data, IRS1 mRNA expression increased after selective 11β-HSD1 inhibition (Fig. 5B). The regulatory subunit of P3K p85 decreased 0.25-fold after treatment with A2 with no change in catalytic subunit (p110) expression (Table 3, Fig. 5B). P3K activity was not measured as part of this study. A2 treatment decreased expression of key target genes involved in lipogenesis (ACC1 0.3-fold, DGAT 0.4-fold), lipolysis (HSL 0.3-fold, ATGL 0.39-fold) and lipid oxidation (ACC2 0.6-fold) (Table 3, Fig. 5B). In addition, PDK4 increased 1.7-fold (Fig. 5B).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have characterized in detail the impact of both synthetic and endogenous glucocorticoids upon insulin signaling in the rodent skeletal muscle cell line, C2C12. In addition, we have characterized expression and activity of 11β-HSD1 in both rodent and human skeletal muscle, ascribed a functional significance to its activity in terms of insulin sensitization, and have begun to explore the mechanisms by which this occurs.

Glucocorticoids impair insulin signaling at multiple levels, importantly decreasing total IRS1 protein expression and increasing Ser307 phosphorylation. IRS-1 serine phosphorylation at this site has been reported to decrease the affinity of IRS1 for the insulin receptor and increased IRS1 degradation (9,10), and this may account for the decrease in total protein expression that we observed. It is also sufficient to account for the glucocorticoid-induced decrease of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake. The pivotal role of IRS1 in skeletal muscle insulin signaling is highlighted by IRS1 knockout mice (22–24) that develop marked insulin resistance. Serine phosphorylation of IRS1 at numerous residues has been implicated in the development of insulin resistance (19,25–27). Specifically, Ser307 phosphorylation is a negative regulator of IRS1 function. Inflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor-α and C-reactive protein increase Ser307 phosphorylation (28,29), and insulin itself has been described to have similar effects (30,31). Although several kinases have been implicated in serine phosphorylation of IRS1 (32), PKCθ is believed to have a critical role, notably after free fatty acid (FFA) exposure (33,34). PKCθ knockout animals resist lipid-induced skeletal muscle insulin resistance (35), and rodent models with muscle-specific targeted serine-to-alanine substitutions at residues within IRS1 including Ser307 resist fat-induced insulin resistance (36). Glucocorticoid induction of lipolysis and consequent FFA generation (37) as well as increased FFA uptake will activate PKCθ, and this may well be an important contributor to the insulin resistance induced by glucocorticoid. This is endorsed by our gene expression analysis of lipogenic/lipolytic genes in rodent muscle after 4-day treatment with the selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor A2 (see discussion below). In addition, the lack of Ser24 phosphorylation may also add weight to this hypothesis. PKCθ does not contribute to phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate–induced

TABLE 2

Comparative mRNA expression of 11β-HSD1, glucocorticoid receptor, and H6PDH in mouse skeletal muscle and C2C12 myotubes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>C2C12</th>
<th>Quadriceps</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Adipose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11β-HSD1</td>
<td>32.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.03</td>
<td>18.40 ± 1.96</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6PDH</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid receptor</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.022</td>
<td>5.67 ± 0.29</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.36</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as AUs (AU means ± SE, n = 3–5 experiments). Expression in rodent liver and adipose tissue are provided as a quantitative reference.
Ser\textsuperscript{24} phosphorylation but instead is dependent upon PKC\(\alpha\) activation (19).

Other studies have also highlighted the pivotal role of IRS1 in glucocorticoid-associated insulin resistance, although serine phosphorylation has not been examined. The results of these studies do show a degree of variability and some but not all have shown decreased activating tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS1 (11–13). Others have reported changes in insulin receptor expression and activation, PI3K activity and expression, and IRS2 expression and phosphorylation (12,38,39). The explanation for these inconsistencies is not entirely clear but may reflect differences between animal and cell models and specific investigative protocols.

Downstream of IRS1, we observed decreased activating pSer\textsuperscript{307} Akt/PKB and pThr\textsuperscript{308} Akt/PKB, and we propose that this may be a direct consequence of reduced insulin signaling capacity through enhanced IRS1 inactivation. In addition, we observed an increase in AS160 protein ex-

---

**FIG. 3.** Functional 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 enzyme oxo-reductase (A) and dehydrogenase (B) activity is present in explants of mouse quadriceps muscle with levels comparable with that seen in adipose tissue and liver. 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 activity is also observed in differentiated C2C12 rodent skeletal myocytes (data presented as picomole per milligram of protein per hour for C2C12 cells). Although dehydrogenase activity is present, the predominant activity is oxo-reductase generating active glucocorticoid. Coincubation of skeletal muscle explants with the nonselective 11\(\beta\)-HSD inhibitor, glycyrrhetinic acid, significantly decreases activity (data shown are the means ± SE of \(n = 3–6\) experiments, *\(P < 0.05\)). In addition, the selective 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 inhibitor, A1 (1 \(\mu\)mol/L, 24 h), decreases oxo-reductase activity in rodent whole-tissue quadriceps explants, differentiated C2C12 skeletal myocytes, and primary cultures of human skeletal myocytes (C) (data shown are the means ± SE of \(n = 3–6\) experiments, *\(P < 0.05\), §\(P < 0.005\) (control = black bars, A1 = white bars). GE, glycyrrhetinic acid.

---

**FIG. 4.** Both CORT and 11DHC decrease IRS1 expression (black bars) and increase pSer\textsuperscript{307} IRS1 (white bars). The activity of 11DHC is dependent upon its activation to CORT by 11\(\beta\)-HSD1. Inhibition of 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 using glycyrrhetinic acid (A) or the selective 11\(\beta\)-HSD1 inhibitor, A1 (B), reverses the effect of 11DHC upon IRS1 expression and phosphorylation. Similarly, in primary cultures of human myotubes, A1 blocks the cortisone-induced decrease in pThr\textsuperscript{308} Akt/PKB (white bars) after insulin stimulation without changing total Akt/PKB expression (black bars) (C). Data presented are the mean of \(n = 4\) experiments with representative Western blots inserted (*\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.01\) vs. control, †\(P < 0.05\) vs. 11DHC or cortisone). Ctrl, control.
expression. AS160 is a recently identified protein with Rab-guanosine triphosphate (GTP)ase activity. Under basal conditions, it is resident within GLUT4-containing vesicles and limits the GTP availability that is necessary for vesicle translocation to the cell membrane to permit glucose entry. Upon phosphorylation by activated Akt/PKB, AS160 dissociates from the vesicle, allowing GTP to bind to Rab proteins and vesicle translocation to the cell membrane to occur (7). While regulation of AS160 phosphorylation at differing sites by growth factors including IGF-1 and EGF has been described (40), glucocorticoid regulation has not been explored. Our data show that glucocorticoids increase both AS160 protein and mRNA expression in a glucocorticoid receptor–dependent mechanism. These ob-

FIG. 5. In cortisone pellet–implanted KK mice, the selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor, A2, increases IRS1 total protein expression, decreases inactivating pSer307 IRS1, and further downstream enhances insulin-stimulated pThr308 Akt/PKB in quadriceps muscle explants. Representative Western blots are shown (A2 vs. vehicle) (A). Real-time PCR analysis endorsing observation from the Genecard analysis presented in Table 3. Gene expression from whole-tissue quadriceps explants obtained from cortisone pellet–implanted KK mice treated for 4 days with the selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor, A2 (white bars), or vehicle (black bars) (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01) (n = 3 per group) (B).
mRNA and protein expression. In addition IR, PI3K (p85
insulin resistance, we did observe an increase in IRS2
consequence of decreased IRS1 activation.
mechanism of regulation rather than simply a downstream
observations are interesting and point toward a separate

**TABLE 3**
Quadriceps skeletal muscle Genecard analysis of 45 preselected gene targets implicated in the pathogenesis of glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene of interest</th>
<th>Cortisone + vehicle (means Δct ± SE)</th>
<th>Cortisone + A2 (means Δct ± SE)</th>
<th>Fold change in gene expression after M1 treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insr</td>
<td>15.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irs1</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irs2</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pik3r1 (p85α)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pik3cb (p110β)</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>19.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdk1</td>
<td>15.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akt1</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akt2</td>
<td>17.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prkcz (PKCζ)</td>
<td>19.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>20.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prkci (PKCA)</td>
<td>19.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tbc1d1</td>
<td>15.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tbc1d4 (AS160)</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab10</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slc2a1 (GLUT-1)</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slc2a4 (GLUT-4)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptpn1 (PTP-1b)</td>
<td>17.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>18.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptpn11 (SHP2)</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pten</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppp2r1a (PP2A)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc1</td>
<td>21.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc2s</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frap1 (mTOR)</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxo1</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxo3a</td>
<td>15.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prkaa2 (AMPK)</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>15.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppara2c1a (PGC-1α)</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hifpd</td>
<td>15.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrr1c1 (GRα)</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acaca (ACC1)</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacb (ACC2)</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpl</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipe (HSL)</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppna2a (ATGL)</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dgkd (DGK5)</td>
<td>21.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pparg (PPARγ)</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>22.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp1lc1 (SPT1)</td>
<td>17.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>18.6 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ugcg (glucosylceramide synthase)</td>
<td>18.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>19.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asah1 (acid ceramidase)</td>
<td>19.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>19.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lass1</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lass6</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>21.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prkca (PKC-α)</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prkcb1 (PKC-β)</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>22.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prkkc PKC-γ</td>
<td>22.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other genes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppara (PPARα)</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>19.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppib (cyclophilin B)</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hprt1</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cortisone pellet–implanted KK mice were treated with a selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitor, A2, or vehicle for 4 days before animals were killed (*n* = 3 per group, for detailed protocol see RESEARCH DESIGNS AND METHODS). Data presented as means Δct ± SE for both groups of animals relative to 18 s as an internal housekeeping gene, higher Δct values corresponding with lower gene expression. Fold changes in gene expression were calculated as described in RESEARCH DESIGNS AND METHODS. Specific target genes and all changes >2-fold increase or 0.5-fold decrease vs. vehicle (highlighted in bold) were endorsed with real-time PCR (see Fig. 5).

Although the net effect of glucocorticoid was to induce insulin resistance, we did observe an increase in IRS2 mRNA and protein expression. In addition IR, P3K (p85 subunit), and GLUT4 mRNA expression increased, although protein expression was not examined in this study nor was P3K-specific activity. It is possible that this represents a compensatory mechanism to preserve insulin sensitivity in an attempt to compensate for the inhibition of signaling through IRS1. However, overall the effect of...
glucocorticoid exposure is to limit insulin-stimulated glucose uptake.

In addition to the effect of exogenous glucocorticoids, we have shown that prreceptor metabolism of endogenous glucocorticoid by 11β-HSD1 is a crucial regulator of insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle. 11β-HSD1 is expressed and biologically active in human skeletal muscle (41). Overexpression of 11β-HSD1 has been described in rodent skeletal muscle in models of diabetes (42) and myotubes isolated from patients with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes (43,44). However, this is not a consistent finding (45), and its precise contribution to metabolic and muscle phenotype is still to be clarified. Selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors are currently in development; in rodents and primates they limit local glucocorticoid availability and improve glucose tolerance, lipid profiles, and insulin sensitivity (16,46). Very recently, studies using in vitro differentiated primary in human myoblasts have shown that 11β-HSD1 inhibition (pharmacological or siRNA) can limit cortisone- but not cortisol-induced changes in glucose uptake, glycogen synthesis, and palmitate oxidation. However, in this model, an insulin-sensitizing action could not be demonstrated (47). In our study, we have clearly shown expression and activity of 11β-HSD1 in human and rodent skeletal muscle that is blocked by selective and nonselective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors. This is functionally important and not only restores IRS1 protein levels to control values but also decreases pSer307 IRS1, enhances Akt/PKB activation, and may represent an important insulin-sensitizing mechanism of selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors. These observations appear consistent in both our in vitro and rodent in vivo models. A2 has an insulin-sensitizing action as evidenced by decreased fasting glucose and insulin levels, decreased homeostasis model assessment scores, and reduced insulin secretion across an OGTT. Unfortunately, clamp studies were not performed as part of this protocol but have been reported elsewhere with other selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors (16).

In addition to the actions described above, A2 administration to mice in vivo decreased lipogenic gene expression (ACC1, FAS, and DGAT) and increased FFA utilization (decreased ACC2 leading to a decrease in the malonyl CoA, FAS, and DGAT) and increased FFA utilization.

In conclusion, Ser307 phosphorylation of IRS1 is a novel mechanism of glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance. The prreceptor modulation of glucocorticoid availability is an important regulator of glucocorticoid action in skeletal muscle. Selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors enhance insulin action, and we propose that this may predominantly be through modulation of lipid metabolism within skeletal muscle. Clinical data utilizing 11β-HSD1 inhibitors are beginning to emerge in obese patients and those with type 2 diabetes (50); it is likely that their efficacy in muscle will provide an additional pharmacological benefit in the treatment of type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance.
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