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Abstract

Surface topography and hydrogen permeation properties of Porous Stainless Steel (PSS) substrates for thin films deposition of Pd–based hydrogen separation membrane were investigated. Hydrogen permeance through the as received PSS substrates demonstrated a wide range, despite a similar average surface pore size of ~ 15 micron determined by SEM and confocal laser microscopy analyses. The surface pores of the PSS substrates were modified by impregnation of varying amounts of tungsten (W) powder. Maximum hydrogen flux reduction of 28 % suggested that W has a limited effect on the hydrogen permeation through the PSS substrate. Therefore, it appears that hydrogen transport through PSS substrates is mainly controlled by the substrate geometrical factor ($\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}$), that is the ratio of the porosity to tortuosity. In addition, tungsten was shown to inhibit the iron inter–diffusion between the PSS substrate and the deposited Pd$_{60}$Cu$_{40}$ film at temperature as high as 800 ºC. Thus, tungsten layer also serves as an effective inter–diffusion barrier. The variation in the permeance between the nominally similar PSS substrates indicates the importance to independently assess the hydrogen transport characteristics of each of the components in a composite membrane.
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1. Introduction

Dense palladium (Pd) metal offers excellent permeability for hydrogen, based on the solution–diffusion mechanism [1]. However, its application is limited due to the $\alpha$ to $\beta$ phase transition during hydrogenation (at temperatures below 300 ºC and pressures below 2MPa), which involves a volume increase of 10 % [2,3]. The change in volume leads to a lattice distortion, formation of high internal stresses, deformation, and ultimately failure of the
membrane. The hydrogen embrittlement problem in combination with susceptibility of the pure Pd to surface poisoning by impurity gases [4,5] and the high cost of Pd have led to the exploration of a wide variety of Pd–alloy membranes [6,7,8].

Generally, Pd–alloy foils with a thickness of 20–100 µm are required to provide acceptable levels of mechanical strength and produce a high purity hydrogen [1,9]. On the other hand, hydrogen flux is limited by the thickness of the membranes [10,11,12]. Furthermore, thick membranes are associated with higher costs and efforts continue to be made to reduce the material cost. One strategy to reduce the thickness and cost is to deposit a thin Pd or Pd–alloy film on the surface of porous substrate. This approach has been practiced extensively, where thin films of Pd or Pd–alloys were deposited on the porous substrate by various techniques such as electroless plating [13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20], sputtering [11,21,22,23,24,25,26], and chemical vapour deposition [27,28,29]. Non–metallic and metallic porous substrates such as ceramics [17,22,27,30,31], glass [21], and Porous Stainless Steel (PSS) [13,25,32,33,34,35] are widely employed. Although, the smooth surfaces provided by ceramic and glass porous substrates can be conducive to thin film deposition, the relatively poor adhesion of the fabricated metal film to the substrates [8] limits the application of these substrates. In fact, failure of Pd or Pd–alloy films during thermal cycling and hydrogen loading was attributed to the rising shear stresses as a result of the different elongations of metallic layer and ceramic support at the interface [36]. The scale of such a shear stress was shown to be directly related to the thickness of the metallic film and therefore, thermal stability with a lower thickness of metallic film can be achieved only at the expense of reduced hydrogen selectivity.

Alternatively, PSS can be a suitable support for Pd or Pd–alloys film deposition because of the low cost, mechanical durability, and its close coefficient of thermal expansion to Pd (17.3×10^{-6} and 11.7×10^{-6} m/(m K) for 316L stainless steel and Pd respectively).
However, because of the rough surface and a wide distribution range of the surface pore size, depositing a thin and pinhole free film becomes challenging. The effect of the surface smoothness of the PSS support on the deposited Pd film was investigated by Mardilovich et al. [10]. It was shown that the surface of the PSS support greatly influence the final topology of the deposited film. This result further confirmed the initial work by Ma et al. [37] indicating the thickness of the deposited film requires to be at least three times higher than the maximum surface pore size to achieve a dense Pd film. In addition, solid state inter–diffusion at higher temperatures than Tamman temperature of stainless steel (550 °C) leads to the alloying of PSS with Pd, causing degradation of hydrogen permeability with time [8,38]. Combination of these problems highlights the requirement for the surface modification of PSS substrate before the thin film deposition.

The common method to modify the surface of the PSS is to use an intermediate layer capable of reducing the surface pore size whilst, simultaneously serving as an inter–diffusion barrier. Various intermediate layers such as Al₂O₃ (alumina) [16,32], TiN [38], ZrO₂ [33], Ni [14], W/WO₃ [39,40], and Yttria-Stabilised Zirconia (YSZ) [12,41] have been employed. In addition, Ma et al. [42] investigated the formation of an in–situ oxide layer on the surface of PSS as an inter–diffusion barrier. Oxide layer formed at 800 °C showed to be effective for forming a membrane with an effective inter–metallic diffusion barrier. However, further studies [43] showed that PSS substrate with in-situ oxide layer has a rougher surface in comparison with YSZ modified PSS substrate and therefore, it requires a larger thickness of Pd layer and has a larger permeation resistance. Other intermediate layers, such as Ag [44], and Pd–Ag [15] have been also shown to serve as an effective barrier for the diffusion of iron to the palladium layer. However, electroplating of a thin layer of Ag on PSS required a subsequent treatment with aluminium hydroxide gel to insure the evenness of the surface for the final Pd layer deposition. In contrast, Bi–Metal Multi–Layer electroless deposition
(BMML) of the Pd–Ag as an intermediate layer formed a graded support without significantly changing the resistance of the PSS support, suitable for the final Pd layer deposition. Furthermore, Li et al. [45] modified PSS substrate by two layers of alumina with varying sizes and showed only a mild reduction in the permeability of hydrogen and nitrogen through the membrane at ambient temperature.

Whilst, there are ample of reports investigating the surface pore size modification by intermediate layers and their effectiveness as an inter–diffusion barrier, less attention has been paid to the gas permeability of PSS substrate itself and its relation to the surface modification. Here, surface topography and hydrogen permeation through the as received PSS substrates are investigated. PSS substrates are then modified by impregnating with sub–micron tungsten into surface pores. The extent of pore filling and the corresponding hydrogen permeation properties through the tungsten modified PSS are investigated and the main controlling factors for the hydrogen permeation through PSS are discussed. In addition, the effect of tungsten layer as a diffusion barrier once coated with Pd–Cu layer is investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

Porous sintered austenitic 316L Stainless Steel (PSS) substrate discs were purchased from Mott Metallurgical Corporation. The PSS discs had a diameter of 21 mm, thickness of 1 mm and a media filtration grade of 0.1 µm. The filtration grade is calculated and defined as the minimum size of a hard spherical particle retained by the interconnected porosity [46], with 0.1 being the finest commercially available grade. All discs were cleaned with acetone in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min and dried thoroughly using a heat gun prior to use. Tungsten powder with sub–micron particles (<1 μm 99.9%) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 0.1 g tungsten powder was dispersed in in 10 ml Industrial Methylated Spirits (IMS) to facilitate the coating of the PSS surface. A vacuum was applied to the underside of the PSS substrate
and an even layer of tungsten powder was impregnated onto the surface (denoted as 1 layer). Exactly same tungsten coating process was repeated three times for some samples to triple the amount of the impregnated tungsten (denoted as 3 layers). Tungsten coated discs were then wrapped in stainless steel foil and heat treated at 900 °C for 2 h under vacuum of approximately 10^-4 mbar and then furnace cooled for 12 h.

Stainless Steel (SS), Pd and Cu targets (99.9 % purity) were obtained from Teer Coatings Ltd. Films of 316 SS of 5–20 µm thicknesses were deposited onto the as received substrates using a Closed Field Unbalanced Magnetron Sputter Ion Plating (CFUBMSIP) system supplied by Teer Coatings Ltd. The sputtering chamber was evacuated to approximately 10^-6 mbar prior to the depositions and refilled to ~ 2.5×10^-3 mbar with continuous flow (25 ml/min) of ultra–high purity argon during the deposition runs. A bias voltage of 50 volts was applied to the magnetron during deposition runs. Samples were deposited using pulsed DC, with a constant target to substrate distance and a sample rotation speed of 8 rpm. A target current of 2 Amps was applied for the stainless steel coatings. To investigate the effect of tungsten as an inter–diffusion barrier, a Pd–Cu alloy film was deposited onto the 3 layers tungsten modified sample using a same technique. Four test deposition runs were performed with varying target currents to adjust the deposition condition for fabricating the Pd_{60}Cu_{40} alloy. A target current of 1 and 0.75 Amps for Pd and Cu were selected respectively to produce Pd_{60}Cu_{40} alloy with a less than 2 wt % compositional variation. Sample was then heat treated at 800 °C (heating rate of 2 °C min^{-1}) for 6 h.

Surface morphologies were examined by a Joel 6060 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) equipped with an INCA 300 Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) for compositional analysis. The surface roughness, and pore size were also investigated by the Olympus LEXT OLS 3100 mounted on a TableStable anti vibration table. The system uses a
408 nm Class II ultraviolet laser source and has a plane resolution (X and Y) of 120 nm and a space pattern (Z resolution) of 10 nm.

Hydrogen flux was measured using a hydrogen permeation system designed and built in the School of Metallurgy and Materials Science at the University of Birmingham [47]. This system applies a controlled feed gas to the high-pressure side of a properly sealed membrane, and monitors the gas which permeates through the membrane on the downstream side (see supporting information for the schematic of the system). All the necessary measurement devices were controlled and monitored using a PC and SpecView data logging software. The system was de-gassed under 10⁻⁵ mbar vacuum prior to hydrogen (99.99995%, BOC) admittance. The feed gas was controlled using Brooks 5850S Mass Flow Controller (MFC) calibrated over a range of 6 – 600 ml min⁻¹ with an accuracy of ±6 ml min⁻¹. A constant upstream pressure was applied by continuous hydrogen flow and bled using another Brooks 5850S MFC. The permeated gas flow was measured by Brooks 5850S MFC placed on the low pressure side. To establish a gas tight seal, copper gaskets were used on each side of the sample.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 As received PSS Substrate

The surface topography of the as-received PSS substrate is shown in Figure 1(a). Whilst SEM images suggest an average diameter of approximately 15 µm for the surface pores, further analyses of the 3 Dimensional images obtained by confocal laser microscope (Fig. 1b) showed the both surface pore diameter and the pore depth ranged between 10 to 25 µm in a good agreement with the previously reported values by Li et al. [45]. Also, the average density was determined to be 6.34 g cm⁻³ indicating an overall volume porosity of
approximately 20 % compared to fully dense 316L dense stainless steel [48]. To investigate the suitability of the as received PSS substrate for thin film deposition, films of stainless steel with varying thicknesses from 5–20 µm were deposited onto the surface of PSS substrates. However, the SEM image in Figure 1(c), in conjunction with the confocal laser microscopy image in Figure 1(d), indicates that a continuous stainless steel film cannot be achieved even after depositing a 20 µm film. Based on the minimum requirement thickness rule proposed by Ma et al. [36], for the as received PSS with an average surface pore size of ~ 15 µm, depositing a layer of approximately 45 µm is required to achieve a continuous and defect free layer. Hence, depositing a thin film of Pd or Pd–alloys on the surface of the as received PSS seems to be impractical and surface modification is required to achieve a smaller surface pore size.

3.2 Surface Modification with Tungsten

Surface modification of the PSS was performed by impregnating sub–micron tungsten powder on to the surface of the PSS. Figure 2(a), shows the surface of the PSS substrate modified by impregnating of 1 layer of tungsten powder. An even coverage of the PSS surface is observed indicating tungsten can effectively modify the surface by filling the pores. The line profile in Figure 2(b) suggests almost 50 % reduction in depth of the surface pores as a result of tungsten modification in comparison to the as received PSS substrate (Fig. 1b). However, the extent of the pore filling seems to be insufficient as it can be seen in Figure 2(b) and the corresponding line profile shows that pores are not filled equally. Thus, the surface of the PSS was modified by tripling the amount of tungsten (3 layers) in Figure 2(c). The extent of the pore filling was examined by confocal laser microscope in Figure 2 (d). The line profile shows that the surface pores of the PSS have been effectively filled. However,
Figure 2(c) also shows that tungsten powder is residing on the PSS surface as its quantity increases (3 layers), leading to a higher surface roughness. This is shown in the line profile image of the PSS sample modified by 3 layers of tungsten (Fig. 2d), where the pores seem to be overfilled and tungsten clusters are formed on the surface. The scale of the tungsten clusters represents a rough uneven surface, which can deter the formation of a continuous and defect free thin film. Therefore, in order to deposit continuous thin films with less than 5 µm thickness, surface modification method needs to be precisely controlled by a sufficient amount of tungsten powder to maximise the surface pore filling, whilst minimizing the formation of powder clusters on the surface.

3.3 Hydrogen Permeation

In general, the transport mechanism of a molecular gas through a porous medium is a complex combination of viscous (Poiseuille) flow, slip flow, Knudsen diffusion and continuum binary diffusion [47,49]. It is also known that viscous flow and Knudsen diffusion are the preferred transport mechanism through macroporous membranes or supports having a pore size greater than 1.5 nm [50,51]. As described in Section 3.1, the average surface pore size of the as received PSS discs is about 15 µm. Therefore, the total gas transport across the PSS substrate can be expressed by viscous flow and Knudsen diffusion mechanisms. The relative contribution of each transport mechanism can be established by a characteristic parameter, i.e. Knudsen number ($K_n$). Knudsen number is defined by $K_n = \lambda/r$, that is the ratio of the average free path of the gas molecules ($\lambda$) over the mean pore radius ($r$) [47,51]. When the pore size is greatly smaller than the average free path, the Knudsen number becomes much larger than unity ($K_n >> 1$). This is a condition under which gas molecules travel independently from each other and the number of molecule–wall collisions becomes strongly
dominant. Under this condition the hydrogen flux ($J_K$) can be described by Knudsen equation (equation 1) [47,52]:

$$J_K = \frac{2 \varepsilon r}{3} \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{8}{\pi R T M} \right)^{0.5} \Delta P$$

Where $\varepsilon$ is the porosity, $r$ the average pore radius, $\tau$ the tortuosity, $M$ the molecular mass, and $R$, $T$, $I$, and $\Delta P$ denote the gas constant, absolute temperature, substrate thickness and the pressure differential across the membrane. On the other hand, when the pore size is greatly bigger than the average free path ($K_n << 1$), the number of intermolecular collisions is strongly dominant giving predominantly laminar Poiseuille flow. The hydrogen flux ($J_v$) follows the Hagan-Poiseuille law given in equation (2) [47,52].

$$J_v = \frac{\varepsilon}{8 \tau \eta} \frac{r^2}{RTI} P_{av} \Delta P$$

where $P_{av}$ is the average pressure across the substrate and $\eta$ denotes fluid viscosity. Because viscous and diffusive transport mechanisms are completely independent from each other [49], the overall hydrogen flux through the PSS substrate is a sum of the viscous flow and Knudsen diffusion (equation 3).

$$J_{total} = \left[ \frac{2}{3} \frac{\varepsilon r}{\tau} \left( \frac{8}{\pi R T M} \right)^{0.5} + \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{8 \tau \eta} \frac{r^2}{RTI} \right) P_{av} \right] \Delta P$$

Using the assumption of linear pressure drop across the membrane [51,52], equation (3) can be simplified to:

$$J_{total} = [\alpha_K + (\beta_v P_{av})] \Delta P$$

Where $\alpha_K$ is $\beta_v$ are the average Knudsen and Poiseuille permeation coefficients respectively. $\alpha_K$ and $\beta_v$ can be calculated by plotting total flux ($J/\Delta P$) (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$) versus average pressure (Pa) determined from experimental measurements. $\alpha_K$ and $\beta_v$ coefficients allows the
calculation of the average geometrical factor $\frac{E}{\tau}$ (it is difficult to isolate either the porosity or the tortuosity uniquely, as a result they are usually reported as $\frac{E}{\tau}$ ratio) and average $r$ of the substrate as well as prediction of the gas flux under any conditions of both temperature and pressure [47].

Hydrogen permeability of 16 as received PSS samples was measured at room temperature. All samples were taken from the same commercial batch, exhibiting similar average surface pore size. Surprisingly, the hydrogen permeance through the samples varied widely, despite their similar average surface pore size. Figure 3, shows variation of hydrogen permeance against the average pressure for some PSS samples, where sample (16) and (11) represent upper and lower extremes respectively. $\alpha_K$ and $\beta_V$ coefficients of each sample is displayed within the Figure 3. Comparisons between $\alpha_K$ and $\beta_V$ values of samples (16) and (11), reveal that whilst sample (16) has slightly lower $\alpha_K$ value, its $\beta_V$ value is almost doubled. The overall variation in the measured coefficients leads to the hydrogen flux for sample (16) being more than 1.5 times greater than that of sample (11) at the final point of measurement (Fig 4). From equation 3, it can be seen that variations in the hydrogen flux for different samples arises from the contribution of the geometrical factors $\epsilon$, $\tau$, and $r$ for the overall hydrogen flux through the PSS substrate. To further investigate the effect of geometrical factors, values of $r$ and $\frac{E}{\tau}$ ratio were calculated from the experimentally determined $\alpha_K$ and $\beta_V$ coefficients for some selected samples in Table (1). It is evident that the average pore size values derived from gas flow analysis, listed in the Table (1), are significantly smaller than the average externally observed surface pore size (~15 µm). Interestingly, whilst sample (10) represents the largest calculated pore size, it does not possess the largest hydrogen flux. This phenomenon can be in fact explained by the calculated $\frac{E}{\tau}$ ratio. Whilst, porosity in equation 3 is defined as the fraction of the open media
in any particular plane, tortuosity refers to an increased distance a gas molecule must travel in comparison to the substrate thickness [47]. The largest hydrogen flux belongs to the sample (7), which represents the biggest $\frac{e}{t}$ ratio (Table 1). However, it can be seen that sample (7) has a much smaller calculated average pore size in comparison with sample (10). Therefore, it seems that the hydrogen flux through the sample (10) is limited by internal structure of the sample.

In addition, hydrogen flux through the tungsten modified PSS substrates was investigated for some selected samples modified by either 1 or 3 layers of tungsten (Figure 4). Whilst, the hydrogen flux reduction in comparison with the as received PSS substrate ranged from 8 to 11 % for the samples modified by 1 layer of tungsten, a higher hydrogen flux reduction between 20 to 28 % was observed for the samples modified by 3 layers of tungsten. Although, an increase in the amount of tungsten enhanced the hydrogen flux reduction, the maximum flux reduction of 28 % observed for the sample (5) may suggest that tungsten does not have a dominant effect in altering the hydrogen flux through the surface porosity of the PSS substrate.

Also, the scale of the mean pore size reduction as a result of tungsten modification was investigated for some selected samples in Table (2). Whilst, pore size reductions from ~ 12 to more than 72 % can be observed for the PSS substrates modified by different layers of tungsten, hydrogen flux reductions only vary between 7 to slightly over 28 %. It is clear that no direct relationship can be established between the mean pore size and the flux reduction. For example, in sample (6) the mean pore size is reduced by more than 72 %, which is higher than the mean pore size reductions in sample (5) and (8) with 61.5 and 45 % respectively. However, sample (6) does not represent the highest reduction in the hydrogen flux in comparison with sample (5) and (8). The large reduction of mean pore size in sample (6)
shows that tungsten powder can effectively modify the surface pore size without a significant reduction in the hydrogen flux.

3.4 Inter-diffusion

The effectiveness of a tungsten intermediate layer as an inter–diffusion barrier for iron at elevated temperatures, (≈ 800 °C) was shown previously [39]. Here, a PSS sample was modified by 3 layers of tungsten and coated with Pd₆₀Cu₄₀ (wt %) alloy. The temperature of the sample was slowly (2 °C min⁻¹) increased to 800 °C under helium and kept for 6 h. Figure 5 shows the variation of iron signal intensity across the cross section of the heat treated sample observed from EDS line scans. It can be seen that the intensity of iron decreases rapidly at the interface, where tungsten layer is present. Also, it seems that iron has not generally migrated towards the Pd₆₀Cu₄₀ layer even though the sample was heat treated above the Tamman temperature of iron (550 °C). Therefore, the tungsten intermediate layer seems to have a dual role as it modifies the surface porosity and prevents the inter–diffusion of iron.

It should be noted that surface areas that are not covered by tungsten powder should be still susceptible to out diffusion of iron from the PSS substrate. However, due to the high density of the surface porosity in the PSS substrate, surface modification by tungsten should still give an acceptable overall increase to the inter–diffusion resistance between the PSS substrate and the deposited thin film.

4. Conclusions

Porous Stainless Steel as a substrate for thin film composite porous membranes was investigated. Surface analyses of the PSS substrates by SEM and confocal microscopy
suggested an average surface pore size of approximately 15 µm. The as received PSS substrate was not suitable for the deposition of continuous thin films even when coated with 20 µm of Stainless steel. Hence, surface porosity of the PSS substrates was modified by impregnating with sub–micron tungsten powder. It was noticed that whilst an increase in the amount of tungsten powder improves the extent of the pore filling, it can also increase the surface roughness by the formation of powder clusters on the surface. Therefore, in order to deposit a continuous thin film of less than 5 µm in thickness, the present work indicates that precise control of the amount of tungsten powder is required to minimise the formation of powder clusters on the surface whilst maximising the extent of the pore filling. Hydrogen transport through the selected as received PSS samples was suggested to be a combination of the Knudsen diffusion and Poiseuille flow. Notable discrepancies in the hydrogen flux through the as received substrates were observed, despite their similar average surface pore size. A maximum flux reduction of 28 %, which was observed after the surface modification of PSS substrates by tungsten, indicates the capability of tungsten to physically modify the surface without significantly reducing the hydrogen flux through the substrate. Therefore, there seems to be a significant contribution of the geometrical factor, \( \frac{E}{r} \) ratio, on the overall hydrogen flux through the nominally similar PSS substrate. The absence of iron migration in between the tungsten modified PSS and the coated Pd60Cu40 alloy after annealing at temperatures as high as 800 °C, suggests that tungsten powder also serves as an effective inter–diffusion barrier. A wide range of hydrogen flux observed for the different PSS substrates from the same batch of samples indicates that the substrate resistance can significantly vary for each PSS composite membrane. Hence, the substrate resistance needs to be accounted to correctly determine the hydrogen permeability through the deposited thin film. Therefore, further investigations into the composite membranes should be focused in developing more suitable PSS substrates in order to minimise the hydrogen permeability
resistance induced by geometrical factor and increasing the surface pore density whilst retaining the necessary pore dimension required for the deposition of the defect free film.
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Figure 1: SEM image of the surface topography of as received PSS substrate (a), and the corresponding confocal laser image with line profile (b). SEM image of the surface topography of PSS substrate coated with 20 µm stainless steel (c), and the corresponding confocal laser image with line profile (d). Line profile scale is in micron and the colored bar represent the height.
Figure 2: SEM image of the surface topography of PSS substrate modified by 1 layer of submicron tungsten powder (a), and the corresponding confocal laser image with line profile (b). SEM image of the surface topography of PSS substrate modified by 3 layers of submicron tungsten powder (c), and the corresponding confocal laser image with line profile (d). Line profile scale is in micron and the colored bar represent the height.
Figure 3: Variation in the room temperature hydrogen permeance through some selected as received PSS substrates including $\alpha_K$ and $\beta_V$ coefficients of each sample.
Figure 4: Comparison of the room temperature hydrogen flux for selected samples before and after the modification with (a) 1 layer and (b) 3 layers of tungsten powder.
Figure 5: SEM image and EDS line scan for the Pd$_{60}$Cu$_{40}$ thin film deposited onto the surface of the PSS substrate modified with 3 layers of tungsten powder.
Table 1: Comparison of the room temperature hydrogen permeance coefficients and the average geometrical factors in as received PSS substrates. Hydrogen flux measured at 1 bar pressure differential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Flux (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\alpha_K$ (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\beta_V$ (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>r (micron)</th>
<th>$\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample (4)</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>8.54×10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.42×10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (7)</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.31×10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.51×10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (10)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>5.59×10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.87×10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (11)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>9.68×10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>9.11×10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of the mean pore size and the room temperature hydrogen flux reduction for some selected samples before and after the modifications with 1 or 3 layers of tungsten powder. A hydrogen pressure differential of 1 bar was applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>As-received PSS</th>
<th>W-modified PSS</th>
<th>Pore size reduction (%)</th>
<th>Flux reduction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pore size (micron)</td>
<td>Flux (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Pore size (micron)</td>
<td>Flux (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (3)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 layer W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (5)</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 layers W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (6)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 layers W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (8)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 layers W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample (10)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 layer W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Highlights

- Porous stainless steel supports for palladium–based composite porous membranes are investigated and hydrogen transport through these porous supports is suggested to be dominated by Knudsen diffusion and Poiseuille flow.

- Notable discrepancies in the hydrogen flux through the as received porous substrates are suggested to initiate from significant contribution of the geometrical factor, $\frac{E}{t}$ ratio, on the overall hydrogen flux through the nominally similar PSS substrate.

- Surface pore size can be significantly reduced by tungsten impregnation with a minimal effect on the hydrogen permeation.

- The absence of iron migration in between the tungsten modified PSS and the coated Pd$_{60}$Cu$_{40}$ alloy after annealing at temperatures as high as 800 °C, suggests that tungsten powder also serves as an effective inter–diffusion barrier.