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ABSTRACT: Ultradispersed particles of a size less than 100 nm for in situ catalytic upgrading have been reported to outperform the augmented catalytic upgrading achieved by incorporating pelleted refinery catalyst to the horizontal production well of the toe-to-heel air injection (THAI) process. Hydroconversion of heavy oil was carried out in a stirred batch reactor at 425 °C, 50 bar (initial H₂ pressure), 900 rpm, and 60 min reaction time using a range of unsupported transition metal (Mo, Ni, and Fe) catalysts. The effect of metal nanoparticles (NPs) was evaluated in terms of product distribution, physical properties, and product quality. The produced coke and recovered catalysts were also studied. The levels of API gravity and viscosity of the upgraded oils observed with the NPs was approximately 21° API and 108 cP compared with thermal cracking alone (24° API and 53.5 cP); this moderate upgrade with NPs is due to the lack of cracking functionality offered by supports such as zeolite, alumina, or silica. However, it was found that the presence of dispersed NPs significantly suppressed coke formation: 4.4 wt % (MoS₂), 5.7 wt % (NiO), and 6.8 wt % (Fe₂O₃) compared to 12 wt % obtained with thermal cracking alone. The results also showed that with dispersed unsupported metal NPs in sulfide form the middle distillate (177–343 °C) of the upgraded oil was improved, particularly with MoS₂ which gave 50 wt % relative to 43 wt % (thermal cracking) and 28 wt % (feed oil). The middle distillate yields for Fe₂O₃ and NiO are 47 and 49 wt %, respectively. Hence, iron- and nickel-based unsupported NPs showed similar activity when compared to the activity of MoS₂. The cost and availability of iron-based catalysts compared to those of Ni and Mo for heavy oil upgrading are advantages that may justify its preference. Furthermore, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses showed that introducing dispersed catalysts to the upgrading helped to produce sponge-type coke that could be used as industrial fuel compared to shot-type obtained upon thermal cracking.

1. INTRODUCTION

Because of the rapid growth in energy demand worldwide, the world’s recoverable fossil energy resources are likely to decline, unless there is significant investment in new oil fields and large scale adoption of advanced enhanced oil recovery (EOR) techniques, combined with increasing efficiency of production. This decline in conventional light crude has shifted attention to large deposits of bitumen and heavy oils especially in Canada.¹² Fossil fuels supply around 80% of energy used worldwide.³ Because conventional crude oil resources have already been extensively exploited and are declining, unconventional oil resources could play an important role in securing the world’s future energy needs. However, production, transportation, and upgrading processes of unconventional oil impose different challenges for the oil sector compared with those of conventional oil.⁴

Bitumen and heavy oils are produced mainly by EOR processes because of their poor mobility under reservoir conditions.⁵ In this phase, external thermal energy, gas injection, and chemical injection are used to stimulate production. The main goal of thermal EOR is to increase heavy oil recovery by reducing its viscosity. In the case of light oil, the objectives are decreasing interfacial tension and increasing the contact area through the reservoir by improving the sweep efficiency. The application of thermal technology, such as in situ combustion, contributes to reducing the costs of surface upgrading of heavy oil and bitumen.⁶

Steam-assisted gravity drainage (SAGD) and cyclic steam stimulation (CSS) recovery mechanisms depend upon the heat released from injected steam to reduce the viscosity of heavy oil and bitumen to facilitate their mobilization to the surface. In addition, the SAGD method provides a moderate recovery factor of 50% of original oil in place (OOIP), whereas the recovery factor for the CSS method varies from 10 to 40%.⁶,⁷ However, consumption of water and natural gas to generate steam are considered major drawbacks of these methods.⁹ Furthermore, the produced oil needs the addition of diluents to facilitate transportation, increasing operational costs.¹⁰ Therefore, in situ combustion can offer operational and economic advantages because heat is generated in situ from the combustion reactions, which aids primary upgrading of the heavy oil and bitumen via thermal cracking of heavy components.

The toe-to-heal air injection (THAI) in combination with the catalytic upgrading process in situ (CAPRI) has been studied extensively for more than 15 years after the first work of Greaves and co-workers.¹¹–¹⁶ The THAI method is classified as a modified in situ combustion because of the use of a horizontal production well, instead of a vertical well, in which air is
continuously injected to support the in situ combustion, whereas the thermal cracking occurring at the mobile oil zone ahead of the combustion front partially upgrades the oil prior to entering the horizontal well. However, the upgrading achieved with THAI was augmented by CAPRI because of the pelleted catalyst layer attached to the horizontal production well. Further details about the THAI process have been reported elsewhere.14,17

A previous study on comparing the upgrading achieved with ultradispersed particles and pelleted Co–Mo/Al2O3 catalyst at reaction temperatures 425 °C under conditions of the CAPRI process was carried out by Hart et al.18 The produced oil’s API gravity increment and viscosity reduction were found to be 9° points and 96%, respectively, with ultradispersed particles, compared to 5° and 79% achieved with pelleted fixed-bed catalyst referred to 13.8° and 1091 cP for the feed heavy oil.18

However, Galarraga and Pereira-Almao19 investigated ultradispersed trimetallic (Ni–W–Mo) submicronic catalyst for in situ upgrading at 380 °C in a batch reactor, a stirrer speed of 500 rpm, and reaction time 3–70 h and achieved an API gravity increase of 6.5° and a viscosity reduction of 98% relative to that of Athabasca bitumen (API gravity 9.5° and viscosity 7680 cP).

As the size of particle decreases to nanoscale, its specific surface area increases, whereas the diffusion path length decreases. This improves interaction with macromolecules and cracking reaction, increases the probability of active phase interaction with hydrocarbon molecules, improves hydrogen uptake, and leads to more active sites per unit weight. In situ catalytic upgrading with unsupported transition metal particles will minimize coke formation because nanosized catalyst exposes more active sites and possesses short diffusion routes, rather than the diffusion limitation of large molecules experienced in their pelleted counterpart. Because CAPRI is a once-through process, prepacking the horizontal production well with their pelleted counterpart. Because CAPRI is a once-through process, prepacking the horizontal production well with pelleted catalyst is bypassed; the precipitation or transport of the nanoparticles (NPs) into the mobile oil zone ahead of the combustion front is achieved during the THAI process.18 Also, the possibility of production lines becoming blocked because of coke and metals deposition on the pelleted catalyst is eliminated with dispersed NPs.2,20

During heavy oil upgrading, heavy molecules are converted to more valuable product (middle distillate), improving the quality of the produced oil. The cracking of heavy molecules results in the deposition of solid carbonaceous matter and undesired coke. Coke is an undesired product in heavy oil upgrading because it impedes the performance of the catalyst; coke can be classified into three main types: shot-type, sponge-type, and associate-shot-type.23,24 The chemical compositions of feedstock as well as process operating conditions greatly influence the type of coke formed.23 Picon-Hernandez et al.24 reported that sponge-type coke is formed from feedstock that has moderate asphaltene and metal (Ni + V) content.

This paper is devoted to examining the effectiveness and activity of different types of dispersed unsupported transition metal catalysts for in situ heavy oil upgrading instead of packed pellets of catalyst attached to the horizontal well. The sulfidation of the metal oxides during heating and reaction by the sulfur contained in the heavy oil was investigated using Fe2O3 nanoparticles. The performance of molybdenum-, iron-, and nickel-based dispersed catalysts were investigated in terms of product distribution, (i.e., liquid, gas, and coke as well as naphtha, middle distillate, and gas oil fractions), physical properties (i.e., API gravity and viscosity), and product quality (i.e., sulfur, metals, and nitrogen contents) and evaluated against that achieved with thermal cracking without the addition of metal particles. Also studied herein is the effect of dispersed unsupported catalysts on the type of coke produced from the upgrading reactions using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Feedstock and Catalysts. A heavy oil sample was supplied by Petrobank Energy and Resources, Ltd., Canada. The heavy oil sample was partially upgraded after being produced during the THAI process at their Whitesands pilot trial in Kerrobert, Canada. The THAI oil was from eight different wells. The chemical and physical properties of the feed sample was measured and are presented in Table S1. The unsupported catalysts used are iron(III) oxide (Fe2O3) and nickel(II) oxide (NiO), both with particle sizes less than 50 nm, molybdenum(VI) oxide (MoO3), with particle size less than 100 nm, molybdenum(IV) sulfide (MoS2), with particle size less than 2 μm, and iron(II) sulfide (FeS), with particle size less than 140 μm. All were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, United Kingdom, and used without further purification.

2.2. Experimental Apparatus. The studies to determine the effect of dispersed unsupported catalysts (Mo, Ni, and Fe) on heavy oil upgrading were carried out in a stirred batch reactor (100 mL capacity) in Baskerville, United Kingdom. The batch reactor enabled the screening of an economical quantity of catalysts particles and oil while exercising good control. A detailed description of the experimental setup and procedure can be found in ref 18. The experimental conditions are presented in Table S2.

The stirring speed of 900 rpm was used to ensure complete suspension of the catalysts particles because of the different particle size ranges and the feed oil viscosity. The reaction temperature, initial pressure, and reaction time were optimal conditions found by Hart et al.18 for the same experimental setup.

2.3. Analysis of Products. The main products of the upgrading reactions, upgraded oil (i.e., liquid), noncondensable gas, coke, and asphaltene were quantified by mass balance. The mass balances of gas, liquid, and coke were calculated and reported as a percentage of the mass of oil fed using eq 1:

\[
\text{Yield (wt%)} = \frac{m_i}{m_{\text{feedstockTHAI}}} \times 100
\]

where \( m_i \) is the weight of component \( i \). The mass of gas produced was calculated as the mass remaining after subtracting the mass of the content of the reactor after reaction. The asphaltene content was determined in accordance with method ASTM D2007-80 using n-pentane in order to evaluate the level of heavy oil upgrading.

The feed and collected upgraded oil samples were analyzed for API gravity, viscosity, and true boiling point (TBP) distribution, using the following analytical instruments: an Anton Paar DMA 35 density meter, an Advanced Rheometer AR 1000 (TA Instruments Ltd., United Kingdom), and simulated distillation by Agilent 6850N gas chromatography (GC) in line with the ASTM D2887 method. Note that the calibration mix of the Agilent 6850N GC contains hydrocarbons from C5 to C40. The maximum oven temperature is 280 °C; hence, macromolecules such as resins and asphaltene outside this carbon range cannot be accounted for by this method. The description of these instruments has been
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The sulfur and metal contents of the feed and the upgraded oil samples were determined by Warwick Analytical Service, United Kingdom, using inductively coupled plasma—optical emission spectroscopy (ICP—OES), and nitrogen content was measured by a CE 440 elemental analyzer.

The surface morphology analysis was conducted on the produced coke as well as the spent dispersed catalysts using a Philips XL 30 SEM (XL 30 ESEM-FEG) equipped with a LaB5 emission source operating at 15 kV. A micrograph was collected over a selected area of the sample surface using SEM with a resolution of 1344 × 1024 pixels, width of 10 μm, and magnification of 35 000 ×.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted using a Bruker D8 PHASER Diffractometer with a scan range from 0 to 160° and Co Kα radiation with a wavelength of 1.54056 Å. The crystalline phase was determined using an EVA database (PDF-4+, 2012) provided by the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Sulfidation Test. The active phase (metal sulfide) of the dispersed catalysts is formed in situ during the sulfidation reaction between the unsupported metals and the sulfur contained in the heavy oil.26 This activation process can influence the activity and performance of the dispersed catalysts. The sulfidation reaction was conducted using iron-based dispersed catalysts (Fe2O3). The experiment was carried out without adding a sulfur source at a reaction temperature of 410 °C, 50 bar of initial hydrogen pressure, and 900 rpm mixing speed for 50 min, followed by a reaction temperature of 425 °C for 60 min. The fresh Fe2O3 and coke from thermal upgrading was analyzed by XRD. In addition, the spent dispersed catalyst was recovered after the sulfidation experiment and analyzed to validate the activation reaction. Figure 1a−c shows the results of XRD analysis of fresh Fe2O3, coke (from thermal upgrading), and the recovered coke and catalysts after activation reaction, respectively. The presence of an active-phase pyrrhotite-SC (Fe1-xS) can be observed. (The obtained
XRD data match with pyrrhotite-SC pattern of the EVA database by ICDD).

Figure 2 shows the SEM micrograph of the recovered coke and iron particles composite after reaction. The iron particle seen on the SEM image has been identified by XRD (Figure 1c) as pyrrhotite, which has a particle size on the nanometer scale (Figure 2).

It is therefore clear that the unsupported catalysts are converted to their active phase (i.e., activated) during the heating and reaction stage of the experiments as shown in Figure 1c. This procedure and results are consistent with that reported in the literature for activation transition metal catalyst (Mo, Ni, and Fe).\textsuperscript{26–29} The sulfidation of Mo and Ni NPs was not carried out because they have been extensively reported in the literature.

**3.2. Effect of Catalyst Size on Heavy Oil Upgrading.**

It has been found that the use of ultrafine catalysts could help to mitigate the challenges of coke formation during catalytic upgrading.\textsuperscript{30} Stirred-tank reactors have been widely used for the purpose of investigating the effect of dispersed nanocatalysts on hydroconversion of heavy oil.\textsuperscript{31,32} The stirring speed used was in line with the guidelines reported in the literature to ensure suspension and adequate dispersion of the particles in the oil during reaction.\textsuperscript{33–35} Table 1 shows the effect of particle size on the extent of upgrading for experiments carried out with unsupported Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} particles. It can be observed that different particle sizes show similar activity in terms of their effect upon product distribution, physical properties, and product quality of the produced oil at high reaction conditions (described in the footnote for Table 1).

Table 1 shows that the coke yield decreased to 6.76 wt % for Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (≤50 nm) and 6.64 wt % for Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (≤5 μm) relative to 12 wt % for thermal upgrading. In addition, the amount of middle distillate fractions increased to 47 wt % for both Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (≤50 nm) and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (≤5 μm) relative to the 28 wt % feedstock. It is well-known that the particle dispersion increases as the stirring speed increases although aggregation could occur at high agitation depending on the viscosity of the feedstock.\textsuperscript{56,57} In other words, following high viscosity of the feed oil, both Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (≤50 nm) and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (≤5 μm) could be dispersed adequately at the high mixing speed of 900 rpm, although 500 rpm was found by Hart et al.\textsuperscript{18} to be the optimum mixing speed.

The 50 nm particles have a higher surface area/volume ratio than the 5 μm particles, which might be expected to influence their upgrading behavior owing to the different ratio of atomic exposure/surface area; however, it was evident in these two cases that the particle size did not significantly influence the level of upgrading or coke deposit. The lack of influence of the particle size might be expected to occur because of the possible aggregation of the 50 nm particles during the experiment. However, in Figure 2, the SEM of the coke after reaction does not show any evidence for bulk aggregation of the nanoparticles, with individual particles being observed to be distributed across the surface of the coke. Thus, it was concluded that there was no significant overall effect of particle size upon upgrading over the range of particle sizes studied.

**3.3. Effects of Molybdenum-Based Dispersed Catalysts.**

Table 2 shows the performance of molybdenum-based catalysts in terms of product distribution, physical properties, and product quality. From Table 2, thermal upgrading (in the absence of catalysts) gave a very high amount of coke and gas and relatively low amounts of liquid and asphaltene. It can be observed that although both light naphtha and middle distillate fractions of the produced oil increased the gas oil fraction decreased after thermal upgrading. The results are in agreement with previous work on thermal upgrading of heavy oil.\textsuperscript{5,38} The decrease in the gas oil fraction is an indication that the macromolecules in the heavy feed oil have been cracked into low-boiling hydrocarbon molecules that are in the range of naphtha and middle distillate fractions following their increase.

It is well-known that thermal energy is responsible for the cleavage of C−C and C−heteroatom bonds, and in the absence of active catalysts, the condensation and aromatization reactions between free radicals are favored, which are thought to be responsible for high amounts of coke and gas as well as a relatively low amount of liquid collected compared to that
observed upon the addition of an unsupported catalyst. At high reaction temperatures, such as 425 °C, the solubility of asphaltene decreases because of the increase in aromatization by removing aliphatic side chains and hydrogenating maltenes (e.g., saturates and aromatics). As a result, the maltenes tend to be more aliphatic, causing precipitation and agglomeration of asphaltene because of their insolubility in aliphatic hydrocarbons. The lower content of asphaltene in the produced oil and high yield of coke after thermal upgrading of heavy oil confirmed that large amounts of asphaltene were deposited.

In contrast, from Table 2 it can be observed that both molybdenum(IV) sulfide (MoS2) and molybdenum(VI) oxide (MoO3) dispersed catalysts suppressed coke formation, controlled gas production, and relatively increased the amount of liquid and asphaltene in the produced oil. However, MoS2 showed activity better than that of MoO3 for heavy oil upgrading in terms of product distribution. Also, the liquid yield and asphaltene amount increased to 85.84 and 9.55 wt % for MoS2 and 83.81 and 7.24 wt % for MoO3, respectively, relative to 76 and 4.5 wt % in thermal upgrading. The observed lower asphaltene after thermal cracking relative to dispersed (MoO3) dispersed catalysts suppressed coke formation, whereas the light naphtha fraction decreased relative to that of thermal cracking without catalyst addition (Table 2).

A similar level of upgrading in terms of product distribution was observed for Mo-based dispersed catalysts and reported in the literature. Also, in the hydroconversion investigation of Cold Lake vacuum residue at 415–445 °C, 13.8 MPa, and a reaction time of 1 h, Rezaei et al. found that utilizing MoS2 suppressed coke formation from 22 wt % in the absence of catalysts (i.e., thermal cracking) to 4.8 wt % in the presence of 100 ppm of Mo. This significant suppression of coke formation could be attributed mainly to hydrogen uptake, which is a major function of the unsupported catalyst particles. The hexagonal coordination exhibited by unsupported MoS2 contributed to its activity. During hydroprocessing reactions, the corner and edge that are active sites occupied by sulfur ions in MoS2 could be easily interchanged with hydrogen. As a result, unsaturated sites and sulfur ion vacancies formed that exhibit Lewis acid characteristics and active sites for H2. The activation of H2 on the surface of the catalyst particles therefore form Mo–H and S–H moieties that helped to decrease the rate of condensation and aromatization reactions by hydrogenated free radicals. As a consequence, the following was observed upon the addition unsupported Mo-based particles to the heavy oil upgrading: (1) suppression of coke formation, (2) control of gas formation, and (3) improvement of production of the middle distillate fraction.

Table 2 also shows the effect of Mo-based catalysts on physical properties as well as product quality. High viscosity and low API gravity causes major problems during the extraction and transportation process. Low API gravity and high viscosity for heavy oil could be attributed to high average molecular weight fractions (such as asphaltene) and the interaction strength between molecules. An increase in temperature accelerates the rate of major reactions occurring in the slurry environment such as free radical formation from C–C and C–heteroatom bond cleavage. As a consequence of molecular bond cleavage and the rupture of ring structures of heavy oil, gas, coke, and large numbers of smaller and less viscous products form.

The experiment on thermal upgrading of heavy oil has demonstrated that the viscosity falls drastically to 54 cP and API gravity increases to 24° relative to 1482 cP and 12.8°, respectively, for the feed oil. In contrast, it has been noticed that after upgrading with Mo-based dispersed catalysts (MoS2 and MoO3) the produced oil did not have the same level of improvements in both API gravity and viscosity as those observed with thermal upgrading. The API gravity and viscosity were observed to be 20° and 142.38 cP for MoS2 and 21.3° and 99.9 cP for MoO3, respectively. Nevertheless, Hart et al. found a different behavior where supported catalysts on Al2O3 and SiO2 showed activity better than that of unsupported MoS2 catalysts on Al2O3 and SiO2. This difference in activity is due to the support effect where the alumina support suppresses the precipitation of asphaltene and coke formation because of their hydrogen-transfer reactions. Hence, thermal cracking produced upgraded oil with lower asphaltene content and lower coke yield, whereas upgrading with dispersed metal NPs produced oil with moderate asphaltene content and lower coke yield. Furthermore, the particles lack the cracking functionality because they are unsupported; hence, the upgrading is mainly by a free radical mechanism with the unsupported catalyst particles aiding hydrogen uptake.

**Table 2. Effect of Molybdenum-Based Catalysts on Product Distribution, Physical Properties, and Product Quality**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst Type/Size</th>
<th>Coke wt %</th>
<th>Liquid wt %</th>
<th>Gas wt %</th>
<th>Physical Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoS2 (≤2 μm)</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>83.81</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Viscosity (cP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO3 (≤100 nm)</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>78.87</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>API gravity (°API)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Reaction conditions for two steps: (1) 410 °C, 50 min, metal loading 0.1 wt %, H2 initial pressure 50 bar, mixing speed 900 rpm; (2) 425 °C, 60 min, mixing speed 900 rpm. Errors are expressed in terms of standard deviation for triplicate experiments as follows: coke wt % ± 0.15, liquid wt % ± 0.35, gases wt % ± 0.45, asphaltene wt % ± 0.75, middle distillate (177–343 °C) 0.25 wt %, light naphtha (IBP–177 °C) wt % ± 0.45, gas oil (343–525 °C) wt % ± 0.55, API° ± 0.18, viscosity ± 2, HDS% ± 0.55, metal HDM% ± 0.31, N wt % ± 0.01. HDS, hydrodesulfurization, and hydrodemetallization, HDM.
of C−C and C−heteroatom bonds by the acidic sites present on zeolites and alumina. Further cracking was not therefore experienced with the addition of the unsupported catalyst because the main mechanism of upgrading is by free radical driven temperature. The unsupported catalyst carried out hydrogenation that helped to stabilize asphaltene in the reaction medium, explaining the decrease of API gravity as well as the higher viscosity relative to thermal cracking.41,64

This is again consistent with the high asphaltene content of the produced oil after upgrading with unsupported catalysts compared to thermal cracking.

To evaluate the performance of Mo-based catalysts, the product quality in terms of sulfur, nitrogen, and metal removal was investigated and is presented in Table 2. It can be seen from Table 2 that the extent of hydrodesulfurization (HDS) and hydrodemetallization (HDM) is directly proportional to coke yield. This is because the sulfur and metals are associated with macromolecules such as resins and asphaltenes that are the main contributors to coke formation. In the absence of the unsupported catalysts, HDS and HDM were 43.92 and 85.67%, respectively. However, in the presence of dispersed unsupported catalysts, the HDS and HDM decreased to 30.55 and 47.33% for MoS2 and 37.08 and 68.89% for MoO3, respectively. This observation is because of the high coke observed with hydroconversion conditions, MoS2 catalysts can form unsaturated nitrogen compounds such as pyrroles are much less reactive, and thiol.

In the presence of hydrogen, organometallic molecules are believed to be activated because of the high coke observed with thermal cracking. It is known that hydrogen plays a key role in HDM reactions.65–67 Panariti et al.28 observed that a high initial hydrogen pressure (160 bar) strongly affected metal removal during heavy oil upgrading using unsupported MoS2. In the presence of hydrogen, organometallic molecules decompose quite easily, giving rise to insoluble metal sulfide. In another study, Panariti et al.58 showed that HDS is not affected much by a high initial H2 pressure; however, HDS was significantly improved at a high level of catalyst loading. The above findings indicate that the breaking of the C−heteroatom bond is mainly controlled by temperature to overcome the bond energy. In the presence of highly active unsupported catalysts can help in stabilizing macromolecules such as asphaltene that act as stores for the sulfur and metals (Ni + V) in the reaction medium.51,43 Notably, the level of HDM was higher than that of HDS because the concentration of sulfur in the feed oil was higher than that of the metals; additionally, metals are associated mainly with macromolecules such as asphaltenes, whereas sulfur can exist as sulfide, disulfide, and thiol.

The nitrogen content increased to 0.25 and 0.2 wt % for thermal upgrading and MoO3, respectively. These results are consistent with the literature, where Gray et al.69 reported that nitrogen compounds such as pyrroles are much less reactive, which can lead to the accumulation of nitrogen compounds during the upgrading process. However, MoS2 reduced the nitrogen amount by 46% to below 0.08 wt % in feed oil. Under hydroconversion conditions, MoS2 catalysts can form unsaturated sites and sulfur ion vacancies. These have Lewis acid characteristics that could adsorb molecules with unpaired electrons (e.g., N bases) in the feed oil.50 In summary, molybdenum-based dispersed catalysts inhibit coke formation as well as boost production of middle distillate during heavy oil upgrading by activating the hydrogenation reactions. However, MoS2 and MoO3 catalysts show different levels of upgrading in terms of product distribution, physical properties, and product quality. It has been observed27,60–73 that the transformation of MoO3 to the active phase (MoS2) during the heating and reaction stage could be affected by a reducing atmosphere such as temperature and sulfur content. Feldman et al.73 and Nath et al.72 reported that if the reduction atmosphere is not sufficient then it could lead to the formation of an associate intermediate such as MoO2·Sx which is less active in comparison to MoS2. This is likely the reason for the better performance of MoS2 over MoO3.

### 3.4. Effect of Fe-Based Catalysts.

Pyrite (FeS2), troilite (FeS), and pyrrhotite (Fe1−xS) are the most common iron sulfides, and among all of these, the most active form is pyrrhotite (Fe1−xS).74–76 Under hydroprocessing conditions, iron oxide (i.e., Fe2O3) can be converted to the sulfide form via the reaction with sulfur in heavy oil as shown in Figures 1 and 2. Hydrogen molecules are believed to be activated because of sulfur-deficient sites in iron sulfide catalysts.77 The active hydrogen can help in terminating condensation and polymerization reactions between free radicals. As a consequence, valuable products such as middle distillate increased, and coke formation was inhibited in comparison to that resulting from thermal cracking.

Table 3 shows the activity of Fe-based dispersed catalysts on heavy oil upgrading in terms of product distribution, product quality, and physical properties. It has been shown in section 3.2 and Table 2 that unsupported Fe-based catalysts give similar levels of upgrading at 900 rpm under the same experimental conditions. The coke decreased from 12 wt % for thermal cracking without catalyst to 8.28 wt % for FeS and 6.79 wt % for Fe2O3. In addition, the produced gas yields were 9.59 wt % for FeS and 10.72 wt % for Fe2O3. Also, in comparison to thermal upgrading, an increase was observed for the liquid amount at 81.9 wt % for FeS and at 82.48 wt % for Fe2O3.

The distilled fractions from the SimDist analysis showed the following results for FeS: light naphtha, 24 wt %; middle distillate, 48 wt %; and gas oil, 28 wt %. However, for Fe2O3, the product quality and physical properties were similar to those of FeS.

### Table 3. Effect of Iron-Based Catalysts on Product Distribution, Physical Properties, and Product Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>catalyst type/size</th>
<th>Fe2O3 (≤50 nm)</th>
<th>FeS (≤140 μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product Distribution (wt %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coke</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>82.48</td>
<td>81.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS-asphaltene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMDIST Boiling Point Distribution (wt %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IBP−177 °C)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(177−343 °C)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(343−525 °C)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API gravity at 15 °C</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viscosity (cp) at 20 °C</td>
<td>105.8</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Quality Removal %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (wt %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions for two steps: (1) 410 °C, 50 min, metal loading 0.1 wt %, H2 initial pressure 50 bar, mixing speed 900 rpm; (2) 425 °C, 60 min, mixing speed 900 rpm. Errors are expressed in terms of standard deviation for triplicate experiments as follows: coke wt % ± 0.3, liquid wt % ± 0.19, gases wt % ± 0.6, asphaltene wt % ± 0.85, middle distillate (177−343 °C) wt % ± 0.4, light naphtha (IBP−177 °C) wt % ± 0.35, gas oil (343−525 °C) wt % ± 0.45, API° ± 0.28, viscosity ± 2, HDS% ± 0.65, metal HMDD% ± 0.38, N wt % ± 0.02. HDS, hydrodesulfurization, and hydrodemetallization, HDM.
the distillate fraction increased to 47 wt %, the light naphtha fraction reduced to 21 wt %, and the gas oil fraction stayed constant at 32 wt %. These results are in line with the literature, where similar observations have been reported.\[^{74,78,79}\] It was observed that the asphaltene amount in the produced oil was 7.3 wt % for FeS and 10 wt % for Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), relative to 4.5 wt % in thermal upgrading. This finding is consistent with the observation for Mo\(_2\)S\(_3\) and MoO\(_3\), as discussed in section 3.3.

Table 3 shows the performance of Fe-based catalysts in terms of physical properties and product quality. As expected, the results did not show further improvement in terms of physical properties or product quality when using Fe-based catalyst relative to those obtained via thermal upgrading. However, coke formation was suppressed significantly by 43.4% (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) and 31.1% (FeS), which is in line with that observed with Mo-based catalysts. An API gravity of 21\(^°\) and a viscosity of 102.8 ± 2 cP were observed for produced oil with FeS and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) relative to 24\(^°\) and 53 cP achieved with thermal upgrading. In addition, the extent of sulfur (HDS) and metal (HDM) removal was, respectively, 39.6 and 75.2% for FeS and 37.5 and 69.4% for Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). Although FeS showed HDS activity slightly higher than that of Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), the reverse was the case for HDM. The trend is similar to that reported in section 3.3, and the HDM is consistent with coke yield (Table 3). However, Fe-based unsupported catalysts showed behavior similar to that of MoO\(_3\) in terms of removal of nitrogen. The nitrogen content was 0.2 wt % (FeS) and 0.14 wt % (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)), and these results are similar to those of Gray et al.\[^{69}\]

The observed overall performance of Fe-based catalysts was similar to that of Mo-based counterparts in terms of API gravity, viscosity, distillate fractions, HDS, and HDM; however, Mo-based unsupported catalysts showed higher activity in suppressing coke formation. These results show the effectiveness of Fe-based unsupported catalysts as an attractive and alternative choice for in situ heavy oil upgrading when cost and availability are considered.

### 3.5. Nickel-Based Catalysts

It has been shown that unsupported metal oxide catalysts are converted to their sulfide form during heating and reaction (section 3.1) and that the performance of oxide and sulfide forms were approximately similar (sections 3.3 and 3.4).

Table 4 shows the performance of nickel-based catalysts in terms of product distribution, physical properties, and product quality. It can be seen that NiO-dispersed catalysts gave a low amount of coke, a moderate amount of gas, and a relatively high amount of liquid and asphaltene.

The product distributions achieved are as follows: coke, 5.8 wt %; gas, 9.5 wt % liquid, 84.6 wt %; and asphaltene, 8.6 wt %.

![Table 4. Effect of Nickel-Based Catalysts on Product Distribution, Physical Properties, and Product Quality](image)

\[^{69}\] Reaction conditions for two steps: (1) 410 °C, 50 min, metal loading 0.1 wt %, H\(_2\) initial pressure 50 bar, mixing speed 900 rpm; (2) 425 °C, 60 min, mixing speed 900 rpm. Errors are expressed in terms of standard deviation for triplicate experiments as follows: coke wt % ± 0.17, liquid wt % ± 0.36, gases wt % ± 0.5, asphaltene wt % ± 0.67, middle distillate (177–343 °C) wt % ± 0.23, light naphtha (IBP–177 °C) wt % ± 0.54, gas oil (343–525 °C) wt % ± 0.25, API ± 0.3, viscosity ± 2.7, HDS% ± 0.35, metal HDDM± ± 0.45, N wt % ± 0.02. HDS, hydrodesulfurization, and hydrodemetalization, HDDM.

The upgraded oil after experiments with NiO particles achieved a viscosity value of 74.12 cP and an API gravity increase to 22.4\(^°\) relative to 1482 cP and 12.8\(^°\), respectively, for the feed oil. However, in comparison to thermal upgrading, the results did not show higher improvement in API gravity and viscosity (Table 4). This is consistent with previous observations on the use of Mo and Fe unsupported catalysts discussed in sections 3.3 and 3.4. The low API gravity and high viscosity of produced oil after upgrading with Ni catalyst can be attributed to the high average molecular weight fractions (such as asphaltene) and the interaction strength between molecules.\[^{56–58}\] The absence in cracking functionality of the unsupported metal particles contributed to this observation.

Table 4 also shows the effect of nickel oxide (NiO) dispersed catalysts on the removal of sulfur, metal, and nitrogen. The immediate evaluation showed no further improvement in comparison to thermal upgrading. The HDS and HDM obtained was 35.1 and 65.4%, respectively, for NiO in comparison to 43.9 and 85.7%, respectively, for thermal upgrading. It has been reported that the breaking of the C–heteroatom bond is mainly controlled by temperature.\[^{35,81}\] In addition, under reaction conditions Ni-based catalysts could be converted to sulfide phase (NiS and Ni\(_3\)S\(_4\)).\[^{81,83}\] Nickel-based catalysts in their sulfide form are considered to be highly active for hydrogen activation, therefore, hydrogenating free radicals during heavy oil upgrading.\[^{70}\] In terms of nitrogen removal, Ni-
based catalysts showed behavior similar to that of MoO₃ and Fe₂O₃. The nitrogen content increased to 0.14 wt % for NiO relative to 0.08 wt % in the feed oil. A detailed explanation has been provided in sections 3.3 and 3.4. However, NiO has very similar activity effectiveness in comparison to the metal oxides of Mo and Fe.

3.6. Effect of Dispersed Catalysts on Type of Formed Coke. The spent metal NPs was highly encapsulated with coke from cracked hydrocarbon molecules and asphaltenes. However, the spent metal NPs can be recovered if magnetic characteristics can be induced, which is outside the scope of this study. There is a body of research directed to increase coke market value for use applications such as industrial fuel or study. There is a body of research directed to increase coke market value for use applications such as industrial fuel or making anodes for aluminum manufacturing. A morphological investigation was conducted on coke samples obtained from thermal and unsupported catalytic upgrading in order to identify the coke quality and type.

Figure 3a,b shows the SEM photomicrographs of coke recovered after thermal upgrading. It can be observed that the coke texture is characterized by a smooth and concave surface (position x in Figure 3a) with no evidence of holes. From a morphological standpoint, the coke produced by thermal upgrading could be classified as shot-type coke, and other researchers have made similar observations in the literature.

In addition, it has been reported that the type of coke formed is mainly dependent on feed properties; in particular, high asphaltene and metal content leads to shot-type coke. This can also be affected by low-temperature oxidation (LTO), producing shiny, very hard, and more difficult to burn coke. This therefore confirmed the high level of metal removal and low asphaltene content of the produced oil observed with thermal cracking. In a similar light, Picon-Hernandez et al. observed that shot-type coke from coking processes of feed oil has an asphaltene amount of 14 wt % as well as 600 ppm of metal (Ni + V) content. The asphaltene stability in the oil mixture could be disturbed during thermal upgrading, which could lead to precipitation of asphaltene and promote shot-type coke formation. The asphaltene and metal contents of the feed oil used in this study were 14 and 0.0132 wt % (132 ppm), respectively, previously shown in Table S1, which has the tendency to form shot-type coke. Shot-type coke is low in economic value and consists of individual particles that are spherical to slightly ellipsoidal, with average diameters of about 1–4 mm. Nevertheless, understanding feed properties as well as controlling upgrading reaction conditions can help in minimizing low-value coke (shot-type coke) and in producing more economically valuable coke types.

Figures 4 and 5 show the SEM photomicrographs for the coke recovered after upgrading using dispersed unsupported catalysts MoS₂ and Fe₂O₃, respectively; for reaction conditions, see Tables 2 and 3. Similar micrographs were obtained for NiO, MoO₃, and FeS catalysts (not shown).

It is clear from Figures 4 and 5 that the coke texture is characterized by a highly porous microstructure with a wide variety of pore sizes. From a morphological standpoint, the coke produced after upgrading reactions using unsupported metals catalysts such as MoS₂ and Fe₂O₃ can be classified as sponge-type coke. Similar observations have been reported elsewhere. Sponge-type coke is named for its spongelike appearance with different sized pores and bubbles in the coke matrix. Compared to shot-type coke observed for coke produced after thermal cracking (Figure 3), sponge-type coke has a higher economic value with a high potential to be used as fuel. It was observed that the asphaltene content in feed oil is the main factor responsible for shot-type coke versus sponge-type coke formation in delayed cokers. Moreover, Picon-Hernandez et al. observed sponge-type coke from coking processes of feed oil, which moderated asphaltene and metal (Ni + V) content. It can therefore be confirmed that the active metal (Ni, Mo, or Fe) actually helped in controlling the rate of addition reactions between free radicals during heavy oil upgrading by promoting hydrogen uptake by the cracked active hydrocarbon fragments. This stabilizes the asphaltene in the oil mixture and explains the formation of sponge-type coke during catalytic upgrading with MoS₂ and Fe₂O₃. Furthermore, sponge-type cokes are porous lumps that are surrounded by relatively thin walls with no interconnection between pores. In the reservoir, the flow of upgraded oil could crack and...
convey sponge-type coke to the production well and to the surface because of its physical appearance. Coke laid down ahead of the combustion front during the THAI process acts as fuel for the process.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the effect of different types of transition metals dispersed catalysts for in situ catalytic upgrading of heavy oil during the THAI heavy oil recovery process was investigated in a stirred batch reactor. It was found that the dispersed catalysts (Ni, Mo, and Fe) in sulfide form could enhance hydrogen uptake and help in controlling the rate of free radical propagation via β-scission reactions during heavy oil upgrading. Despite the improved API gravity and viscosity of the produced oil (24° and 54 cp) by thermal cracking over that achieved with unsupported metal catalyst (approximately 21° and 108 cp), thermal cracking gave a lower amount of upgraded oil (76 wt %) compared to an average of 83.5 wt % for dispersed catalysts. Thermal cracking also yielded 12 wt % coke, whereas the coke yields for dispersed unsupported catalysts are remarkably lower at 4.35 wt % (Mo catalyst), 5.76 wt % (Ni catalyst), and 6.79 wt % (Fe catalyst). The high coke yield with thermal cracking contributed significantly to sulfur and metal (Ni + V) removal because they mostly associate with macromolecules such as resins and asphaltenches, which are the major coke precursors. This is confirmed from the lower asphaltene content of the upgraded oil after thermal cracking compared to catalytic upgrading with dispersed unsupported Fe, Mo, and Ni metals. However, unsupported Mo catalysts showed higher activity in suppressing coke formation and improved middle distillate levels compared to those of Ni and Fe catalysts. Also, the presence of dispersed catalysts helped in producing sponge-type coke compared to shot-type coke from thermal cracking.
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