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ADCY5 Couples Glucose to Insulin Secretion in Human Islets

Diabetes 2014;63:3009–3021 | DOI: 10.2337/db13-1607

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the ADCY5 gene, encoding adenylate cyclase 5, are associated with elevated fasting glucose and increased type 2 diabetes (T2D) risk. Despite this, the mechanisms underlying the effects of these polymorphic variants at the level of pancreatic β-cells remain unclear. Here, we show firstly that ADCY5 mRNA expression in islets is lowered by the possession of risk alleles at rs11708067. Next, we demonstrate that ADCY5 is indispensable for coupling glucose, but not GLP-1, to insulin secretion in human islets. Assessed by in situ imaging of recombinant probes, ADCY5 silencing impaired glucose-induced cAMP increases and blocked glucose metabolism toward ATP at concentrations of the sugar >8 mmol/L. However, calcium transient generation and functional connectivity between individual human β-cells were sharply inhibited at all glucose concentrations tested, implying additional, metabolism-independent roles for ADCY5. In contrast, calcium rises were unaffected in ADCY5-depleted islets exposed to GLP-1. Alterations in β-cell ADCY5 expression and impaired glucose signaling thus provide a likely route through which ADCY5 gene polymorphisms influence fasting glucose levels and T2D risk, while exerting more minor effects on incretin action.

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is one of the foremost health challenges currently facing developed societies. This metabolic disease, which affects ~8.3% of the adult population worldwide (1), usually reflects a failure of the β-cell mass to adapt output to increased peripheral insulin resistance. The resulting hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia lead to debilitating complications, ranging from kidney failure and blindness to cardiovascular disease and cancer (2). Although the maintenance of an adequate functional β-cell mass is critical for avoiding the development of diabetes (3), the molecular basis of β-cell failure is still poorly understood (4).

The mechanisms underlying glucose-stimulated insulin secretion from single β-cells involve uptake of the sugar via specific glucose transporters (5), enhanced ATP synthesis (6), and closure of ATP-sensitive K⁺ (KATP) channels (7). The consequent plasma membrane depolarization leads to Ca²⁺ influx (8) and exocytosis from secretory granules (9), both of which are further potentiated by “KATP-independent” amplifying signals (10). In addition, incretin hormones such as glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide, released in response to food transit through the gut, potentiate insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent manner (11). Cognate receptor activation engages adenylate cyclases (ADCYs), enzymes that catalyze the generation of cAMP, a key intra- and intercellular signaling effector in the β-cell. Through its downstream interactions with protein kinase A and exchange protein activated by cAMP (Epac), cAMP drives changes including Ca²⁺ influx, intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization (12), and the enhanced fusion competence of
secretory granules (13). By contrast, glucose evokes more modest increases in intracellular cAMP (14), possibly via the stimulation of Ca^{2+}-activated ADCYs such as ADCY1 and ADCY10 (15).

Both genetic (16) and environmental (4) risk factors conspire to determine the rate and extent of loss of insulin secretory capacity in T2D. Thus, the majority of genetic risk loci (~70) currently identified by genome-wide association (GWAS) or familial studies alter functional β-cell mass while exerting little, or occasionally a beneficial, effect on insulin sensitivity (17). Of note, recent genetic studies have provided evidence that several pathways converging on β-cell CAMP signaling may influence T2D risk. For example, carriers of the major A-allele at rs11708067, or the C-allele at the neighboring single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs2877716, lying on chromosome 3 in intron 3 of the ADCY5 gene, have an increased odds ratio of developing T2D (18). ADCY5 is a Ca^{2+}-inhibited type III adenylate cyclase (19,20), and risk allele carriers present with elevated fasting glucose (21) but not impaired insulinogenic index or area under the curve (AUC) insulin/glucose 2-h postoral glucose load (22). Whether and how SNPs exert control over insulin secretory capacity in T2D. Thus, the majority of genetic risk loci (~70) currently identified by genome-wide association (GWAS) or familial studies alter functional β-cell mass while exerting little, or occasionally a beneficial, effect on insulin sensitivity (17). Of note, recent genetic studies have provided evidence that several pathways converging on β-cell CAMP signaling may influence T2D risk. For example, carriers of the major A-allele at rs11708067, or the C-allele at the neighboring single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs2877716, lying on chromosome 3 in intron 3 of the ADCY5 gene, have an increased odds ratio of developing T2D (18). ADCY5 is a Ca^{2+}-inhibited type III adenylate cyclase (19,20), and risk allele carriers present with elevated fasting glucose (21) but not impaired insulinogenic index or area under the curve (AUC) insulin/glucose 2-h postoral glucose load (22–25). These data strongly imply that ADCY5 activity may be required for normal insulin release in response to glucose but not incretin, the latter largely accounting for the effects of oral glucose (26). Whether and how SNPs exert control over β-cell function by influencing ADCY5 expression remain, nonetheless, unclear.

Therefore, the aims of the current study were to 1) establish a link between genotype and ADCY5 mRNA levels, 2) silence ADCY5 expression in human islets using specific short hairpin (sh)RNAs, and 3) use in situ imaging approaches and hormone release assays to establish the role of the cyclase in regulating β-cell responsiveness to glucose and incretin.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Human Islet Isolation**
Human islets were isolated from deceased heart-beating donors at transplantation facilities in Oxford, Geneva, Pisa, Edmonton, and Milan, with the relevant national and local ethics permissions, including consent from next of kin where required, and cultured as described (27). All studies involving human tissue were approved by the National Research Ethics Committee (NRES) London (Fulham), Research Ethics Committee no. 07/H0711/114.

**Mouse Islet Isolation**
Male and female C57BL/6 mice, 8–12 weeks of age, were killed by cervical dislocation and pancreatic islets isolated by collagenase digestion as previously described (28). Animal procedures were approved by the home office according to the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 of the United Kingdom (PPL 70/7349).

**ADCY5 Genotyping**
DNA samples were genotyped for the SNP rs11708067 by restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis, as the G allele generated an HhaI site. A 250-bp region flanking the locus was amplified by PCR using Phire polymerase (Thermo) with the following primers: TCCGGAAGGCAA CACAGCA and AGCCAGGCTGACCCAAGTG. The products were digested with HhaI and resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Lentiviral Delivery of shRNA**
Lentiviral particles carrying shRNA expression constructs against human ADCY5 were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (Supplementary Table 1). Multiplicity of infection was calculated using Turbo-GFP particles on the same backbone (TRC 1.5; Sigma-Aldrich). Specificity of ADCY5 gene silencing was confirmed in both dissociated and intact islets, assuming 1,000–2,000 cells/islet for the latter. In all cases, lentiviral particles containing scrambled shRNA were used as controls (Con) and islets infected for 48–72 h.

**Generation of Adenoviral Epac2-camps**
cDNA encoding the cAMP sensor citrine/ceerulean-Epac2-camps (29) was cloned into pShuttleCMV via HindIII and XhoI sites before recombination with pAdEasy1 and virus production as described (6,30).

**Real-Time PCR**
Relative mRNA abundance was quantified by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) using SYBR green (31). Primers (Supplementary Table 2) were designed using PerlPrimer (32), specificity validated using a dissociation curve, and amplification efficiency was determined using a dilution series. Expression of each gene was normalized to cyclophilin A (Ppia) and N-fold change in mRNA expression versus Con calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt.

ADCY5 mRNA levels were measured for expression quantitative trait locus analysis by qRT-PCR of RNA from isolated human islets as described earlier (33). The expression level of ADCY5 relative to TATA box–binding protein (TBP) was determined by qRT-PCR using Taqman primers and reagents (Supplementary Table 3) and the comparative Ct method (2−ΔΔCt) used for subsequent calculations.

**Immunohistochemistry**
Islets were fixed overnight at 4°C in paraformaldehyde before application of primary antibodies against ADCY5/6 (cat. no. ab66037; Abcam) (34) and either guinea pig anti-insulin 1:200 or mouse anti-glucagon 1:1,000 (both DAKO). Revelation was performed with goat anti-rabbit Alexa-Fluor 488 and either goat anti-guinea pig Alexa-Fluor 568 or goat anti-mouse Alexa-Fluor 568 antibodies (both 1:500, Invitrogen). Images were acquired as previously described (27).

**Measurements of Insulin Secretion From Isolated Islets**
Insulin secretion was measured from groups of five to six islets per well, incubated for 30 min in 0.5 mL Krebs-HEPES-bicarbonate (KHB) solution (130 mmol/L NaCl, 3.6 mmol/L KCl, 1.5 mmol/L CaCl2, 0.5 mmol/L MgSO4,
0.5 mmol/L NaH2PO4, 2 mmol/L NaHCO3, 10 mmol/L HEPES, and 0.1% [w/v] BSA, pH 7.4) at 37°C containing the indicated glucose and GLP-1 (7-36 human amide fragment) (Cambridge Bioscience) concentrations (35). Total islet proinsulin and insulin were measured after acid ethanol extraction and sonication using specific radioimmunoassays (EMD Millipore).

**Live:dead and TUNEL Assays**

Islets were incubated with 3 μmol/L calcein-AM (Life Technologies) and 2.5 μmol/L propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) before detection of absorbance/emission at 491/525 nm and 561/620 nm, respectively. The islet area occupied by dead cells was expressed as a unitary ratio versus that occupied by live cells. Apoptosis was assessed using a TUNEL staining kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and islets were counterstained against insulin before detection of absorbance/emission at 491/525 nm and 561/620 nm, respectively.

**Calcium, ATP/ADP, and cAMP Imaging**

Isolated islets were incubated (37°C, 95% O2/5% CO2) for 1 h in fluo2-AM (10 μmol/L) diluted in a bicarbonate buffer solution (120 mmol/L NaCl, 4.8 mmol/L KCl, 1.25 mmol/L NaH2PO4, 24 mmol/L NaHCO3, 2.5 mmol/L CaCl2, 1.2 mmol/L MgCl2, and 3 mmol/L d-glucose; all Sigma-Aldrich). Functional multicellular Ca2+ imaging was performed using a Nipkow spinning-disk head and the resulting traces were normalized to minimum fluorescence (Fmin) (27). A near-identical distribution of Fluo-2 intensity was detected in Con and ADCYS shRNA-treated islets under resting (3 mmol/L glucose) conditions, indicating that basal Ca2+ levels were likely unaffected by gene knockdown, assuming similar dye loading (Supplementary Fig. 1).

For imaging of cytosolic ATP/ADP with Perceval (6) or cAMP with Epac2-camps, islets were infected for 48 h with adenoviruses at multiplicity of infection 10

**ZIMIR Imaging**

ZIMIR, a membrane-bound probe that fluoresces upon binding of zinc (Zn2+) coreleased with insulin from granules, was used to dynamically monitor insulin secretion as previously described (27,36). Briefly, islets were incubated with 10 μmol/L ZIMIR for 2 h and imaged in bicarbonate buffer supplemented with 1 μmol/L EGTA. After acquisition (absorbance/emission = 491/525 nm), islets were divided into 20 subregions before extraction of intensity over time traces and analysis of amplitude and AUC.

**Total Internal Reflection of Fluorescence Microscopy**

Insulin-stained PFA-fixed islets were subjected to total internal reflection of fluorescence imaging to capture a superresolution snapshot of submembrane insulin granule distribution. The evanescent field was produced using a 561-nm laser (CrystaLaser) and a 100× 1.6 NA objective (Zeiss). Insulin granule density was expressed as a unitary ratio versus the total membrane area, the latter being clearly visible between adjacent cells.

**Correlation and Frequency Analysis**

Correlation and frequency analyses were performed as previously detailed (27,37). Briefly, intensity over time traces were extracted for each fluo-2-loaded cell using a region of interest before manual triage for glucose responsiveness based on rises above a 25% threshold. The Pearson product moment correlation was performed for all possible cell pair combinations and significance (P < 0.05) calculated versus the expected t distribution of independent R values. Functional connectivity maps showing the location of significantly correlated cell pairs were then constructed based upon correlation strength and position within the imaged field (x-y). Phase maps were compiled by converting the normalized intensity of each cell to a value between 1 and 100% and assigning this to a color using a light-dark ramp. Ca2+-spiking frequency was measured using the fast Fourier transform.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data distribution was determined using D’Agostino omnibus or Shapiro-Wilk tests. Nonmultifactorial pairwise comparisons were made using Mann-Whitney U test or Student unpaired and paired t tests. Two-way ANOVA was used to assess interactions between multiple treatments (P < 0.01), and pairwise comparisons were performed using Bonferroni posttests. Expression quantitative trait locus data were stratified according to genotype, BMI, age, and sex. Factors included in the model were selected using the Akaike Information Criterion, resulting in the exclusion of age and BMI, as these were uninformative with regard to ADCYS expression. To account for any prediction error, linear regression analyses were performed separately for both excluded variables, yielding nonsignificant relationships (P > 0.05). Owing to a significant interaction between sex and genotype in donors 22–70 years of age (P = 0.0476; two-way ANOVA), effects of genotype on mRNA abundance were assessed within sex group using one-way ANOVA. In all cases, analysis was performed using R (R Project), GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software), IgorPro (Wavemetrics), and MATLAB (Mathworks), and results were considered significant at P < 0.05.
RESULTS

ADCY5 Is Expressed in Human Islets at the mRNA and Protein Level

We sought firstly to characterize ADCY5 expression in tissue isolated from human and murine donors. Confirming previously published microarray data (33), SYBR Green qRT-PCR analyses revealed that ADCY5 and ADCY6 transcript levels were similar in human but not mouse islets (Fig. 1A). Immunohistochemistry using an antibody against ADCY5, and with some reported cross-reactivity against ADCY6, demonstrated the localization of both enzymes in the cytoplasm of insulin and glucagon immunopositive cells throughout individual human islets (Fig. 1B).

ADCY5 mRNA Levels Are Influenced by Genotype

While GWAS provides statistically powerful information concerning associations between SNPs and T2D risk, it is unable to report on how the expression of genes at variant loci is altered in tissues implicated in glucose homeostasis. Since the elevated glucose levels associated with T2D may influence ADCY5 expression, we attempted to correlate genotype at SNP rs11708067 with ADCY5 mRNA abundance using tissue from a catalog of healthy donors. Allele frequency was close to that expected from previous studies (~75% A) (18), and Taqman qRT-PCR analysis of RNA from isolated islets revealed approximately twofold lower mean ADCY5 expression in male AA versus AG carriers <70 years of age (Fig. 1C and Supplementary Table 4). When considered separately, neither age nor BMI significantly influenced ADCY5 expression for either genotype (Fig. 1D and E).

ADCY5 Is Required for Glucose-Stimulated Insulin Secretion

Given the above association between ADCY5 expression and fasting glucose levels and genotype in man, we next explored a role for this gene in human β-cell stimulus-secretion coupling. shRNAs directed against various sequences of the ADCY5 gene were delivered into dispersed cells or islets using replication-incompetent lentiviruses (n = 26 separate normoglycemic donors) (see Supplementary Table 5). Gene silencing efficiency was determined using qRT-PCR (Fig. 2A and B), and effects were confirmed at the protein level using immunohistochemistry and an antiserum raised against ADCY5/6, which is barely detectable in mouse islets (n = 3 female and 3 male animals; **P < 0.01 vs. ADCY5, Student t test). Figure 1—ADCY5 is expressed in isolated human islets and affected by T2D risk alleles. A: ADCY5 and ADCY6 are expressed at similar levels in human islets (n = 4 donors). Conversely, Adcy6 mRNA expression is ~40-fold more abundant than that of Adcy5, which is barely detectable in mouse islets (n = 3 female and 3 male animals; **P < 0.01 vs. ADCY5, Student t test). B: Immunostaining using an anti-ADCY5 immunoglobulin, with some reported cross-reactivity to ADCY6, reveals the cytoplasmic distribution of both proteins throughout the human α- and β-cell populations (DAPI, blue; scale bar, 60 μm). C: Scatter plot showing reduced ADCY5 mRNA abundance in islets from males <70 years of age who are carriers of the AA risk allele at rs11708067 (*P < 0.043 vs. AG; one-way ANOVA; n = 7 donors for each allele). Values represent mean ± SEM. D: Age of donors is not significantly correlated with ADCY5 mRNA expression (R² = 0.21 and R² = 0.01 for AG and AA, respectively; linear regression) (P values shown on graph). E: As for D, but BMI (R² = 0.23 and R² = 0.003, AG vs. AA, respectively; linear regression) (P values shown on graph).
Figure 2—ADCY5 silencing inhibits glucose- but not GLP-1–stimulated insulin secretion. A and B: Lentivirus harboring shRNA against ADCY5 reduces expression by >50% in both dispersed and intact islets (**P < 0.01 vs. Con; Student paired t test; n = 3–4 donors). C: ADCY5/6 protein expression is markedly reduced in the first few cell layers of intact islets, as determined using confocal imaging (n = 6 islets from two donors). D: ADCY6 mRNA expression is unaffected by ADCY5 silencing (NS, nonsignificant vs. Con; Student paired t test; n = 4 donors). E: Dead/live cell ratio is similar in Con and shRNA-treated islets (positive Con, Triton X-100; NS, nonsignificant vs.; Mann-Whitney U test; n = 10–11 islets from three donors). F: As for E, but TUNEL assay for apoptosis (n = 9 islets from three donors). The proportion of apoptotic β-cells was expressed as a fraction area versus nonapoptotic insulin-positive cell mass (Vv). G: ADCY5 knockdown suppresses glucose-induced insulin secretory dynamics, as shown by bar graphs of AUC and amplitude of ZIMIR responses (mean traces, left panel; n = 8–9 islets from four donors) (**P < 0.01 vs. Con; Mann-Whitney U test). H: GLP-1–stimulated insulin secretory dynamics are subtly improved after ADCY5 depletion (mean traces, left panel; AUC and amplitude, right panel; n = 7 islets from three donors) (NS, nonsignificant). I: Glucose-stimulated insulin release into static culture is impaired in shRNA-treated islets, as determined using radioimmunoassay (G3 and G16.7, 3 mmol/L and 16.7 mmol/L glucose, respectively) (n = 4–8 donors). KCl 30 mmol/L was added as a Con. J: As for I, but stimulation index vs. 3 mmol/L glucose to better account for the variation between islet preparations (*P < 0.02 vs. Con at 16.7 mmol/L glucose; Mann-Whitney U test). K: As for J, but vs. 16.7 mmol/L glucose (G16.7) (**P < 0.01 vs. 16.7 mmol/L glucose for each group; Mann-Whitney U test). Values represent mean ± SEM. hr, hour.
assessed using Nipkow spinning-disk microscopy (27) to image Zn^{2+} coreleased from insulin-containing granules within individual ZIMIR-stained islets (27,36). The amplitude and AUC of glucose (11 mmol/L)-stimulated insulin release were markedly impaired in islets silenced for ADCY5 (Fig. 2G). By contrast, insulin-release dynamics in response to GLP-1 were subtly improved in tissue depleted for ADCYS, suggesting the presence of an intact incretin axis (Fig. 2H). The observations with ZIMIR were confirmed using conventional static incubation techniques followed by radioimmunoassay of supernatant, and we further detected no significant effect of gene silencing on KCI-stimulated insulin release (Fig. 2I–K). Donor variability was largely accounted for by a paired experimental design, and this was further supported by linear regression analyses, which revealed no relationship between age, BMI, and the magnitude suppression of glucose-stimulated insulin release in ADCY5-silenced islets (Supplementary Fig. 2). Insulin content was similar in Con and ADCY5 shRNA-treated islets, although there was a tendency toward an increased proinsulin-to-insulin ratio in the latter (Table 1), as expected from studies in patients harboring SNPs in or near the ADCY5 locus (25). Lastly, and in line with the secretory measures, total internal reflection of fluorescence imaging of insulin-stained islets confirmed that ADCY5 knockdown did not alter the distribution of granules located in the vicinity of the plasma membrane (Supplementary Fig. 3A and B).

ADCY5 Couples Glucose to cAMP Generation, Ca^{2+} Rises, and β-Cell Connectivity

Using Epac2-camps (29) to measure cAMP rises in β-cells (Supplementary Fig. 4), almost a threefold reduction in glucose- but not FSK-stimulated cAMP generation could be detected in ADCY5-silenced islets (Fig. 3A and B). Since cAMP elevations are linked to enhanced electrotonic coupling (39), the effects of ADCY5 depletion on the cell-cell communication processes underlying the intrasheet regulation of insulin secretion were next investigated. Cytosolic free Ca^{2+} levels were thus used as a proxy to measure the electrical dynamics known to orchestrate Ca^{2+}-dependent hormone secretion from the hundreds of cells residing within the first few microorgan layers; under the conditions used, Ca^{2+} changes chiefly reflect those within β-cells (27). In line with its dramatic effects on secretion, ADCYS silencing suppressed both the amplitude and AUC of glucose-evoked Ca^{2+} rises throughout the imaged population (Fig. 3C–E and Supplementary Movies 1 and 2) (n = 3 donors; BMI range = 25.4–27.4; age range = 52–76 years). Furthermore, connectivity between individual β-cells, analyzed by large-scale mapping of long-term (~30 min) evolutions in correlated cell-cell interactivity (40) and recently shown to be a key element in the insulin secretory response (27,41), was also reduced in ADCY5-silenced islets (Fig. 3F and G).

Neither the number of responsive cells (Fig. 3H) nor the frequency of [Ca^{2+}]i oscillations (Fig. 3I) was affected by ADCY5 knockdown, suggesting that the absence of the cyclase was unlikely to impair insulin release by targeting the rhythmicity of a β-cell subpopulation. Implying that the effects of silencing were unlikely to reflect a long-term consequence of ADCY5 depletion, a similar degree of suppression of glucose-induced Ca^{2+} rises was obtained using 20 μmol/L NKY80, a relatively selective chemical inhibitor of the enzyme (IC_{50} = 8.3 μmol/L, 132 μmol/L, and 1.7 mmol/L for ADCY5, 3, and 2, respectively) (42) (Supplementary Fig. 5A). Demonstrating the specificity of both shRNA and NKY80, the inhibitory actions of the drug were lost after ADCY5 silencing (Supplementary Fig. 5B).

**GLP-1–Stimulated β-Cell Activity Does Not Involve ADCY5**

Consistent with the lack of effect of gene silencing on GLP-1–induced insulin release, loss of ADCY5 failed to impact Ca^{2+} changes and even appeared to augment Ca^{2+} increases in response to the incretin without modulating the proportion of responsive cells (Fig. 4A and B and Supplementary Movies 3 and 4). Furthermore, GLP-1–mediated increases in β-cell–β-cell connectivity, critical for generating the acute (5–10 min) bursts in coordinated activity that underlie incretin potentiation of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (27), were unchanged after treatment with anti-ADCY5 shRNA (Fig. 4C–E). In line with this, GLP-1R mRNA expression levels were unaffected by ADCY5 silencing (Fig. 4F).

**Glucose Still Increases Cytosolic ATP-to-ADP Ratio After ADCY5 Silencing**

We wondered whether the substantial reductions in glucose-induced Ca^{2+} rises and insulin release, detected in ADCY5-silenced islets, were accompanied by fulminant changes to β-cell glucose metabolism. To allow the real time recording of ATP dynamics specifically in β-cells, the expression of the recombinant probe Perceval was directed in human islets using an adenoviral vector (6,43). Confirming tropism of the virus for β-cells, as previously described in rodent islets (44), green fluorescent protein fluorescence was restricted to insulin-immunopositive cells (Fig. 5A). In response to increasing glucose concentrations (3–17 mmol/L), β-cells responded with large, coordinated, and nonoscillatory elevations in ATP-to-ADP ratio (Fig. 5B). Although significantly reduced, a glucose-induced increase in ATP-to-ADP ratio could still be detected after ADCY5 silencing (Fig. 5C). Further excluding a role for cAMP-independent effects on K_{ATP} or voltage-dependent Ca^{2+} channel (VDCC) activity, ADCY5 silencing

| Table 1—Insulin and proinsulin content in Con and ADCY5 shRNA-treated islets (n = 4 donors) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                | Con       | shRNA     |
| Proinsulin content (ng/islet)   | 0.82 ± 0.11 | 0.93 ± 0.07 |
| Insulin content (ng/islet)      | 32.8 ± 3.5 | 29.8 ± 3.1 |
| Proinsulin:insulin (%)          | 2.6 ± 0.5  | 4.0 ± 1.2  |

Data are means ± SEM.
Figure 3—ADCY5 depletion suppresses glucose-induced increases in cytosolic free Ca\(^{2+}\). A: ADCY5 silencing decreases cytosolic cAMP levels, as determined using the recombinant probe Epac2-camps (representative traces shown; gray/black, smoothed; red, raw). B: As for A, but summary data showing a reduction in measured FRET signal versus maximal stimulation with FSK (%), as well as decreased AUC (**P < 0.01 vs. Con; Student t test; n = 12 recordings from three donors). C: ADCY5 knockdown suppresses 11 mmol/L glucose (G11)-evoked cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) rises (left panel: mean traces) (right panel: zoom-in of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations). D: AUC and amplitude of Ca\(^{2+}\) rises are reduced in shRNA-treated islets (right panel, **P < 0.01 vs. Con; Mann-Whitney U test; n = 10 islets from three donors). E: Pseudocolored Con and shRNA-treated human islets during exposure to 11 mmol/L glucose (recording time = 40 min; image cropped to display a single islet). F: ADCY5 is required for long-term evolutions in coordinated cell activity after exposure to elevated glucose (**P < 0.01 vs. Con; Mann-Whitney U test; n = 9–10 islets from three donors) (correlation calculated over 20–30 min; sig, significantly). G: Representative functional connectivity map depicting location, number, and strength (color-coded; 0 [blue] = lowest, 1 [red] = highest) of significantly correlated cell pairs (Pearson R coefficient, P < 0.05). Note that ADCY5 silencing decreases both the number and strength of correlations. H: Gene silencing does not significantly alter the percentage (%) of glucose (11 mmol/L)-responsive cells (NS, nonsignificant vs. Con; Mann-Whitney U test). I: The cumulative distribution of Ca\(^{2+}\)-spiking frequencies remains similar in Con and shRNA-treated islets. Values represent mean ± SEM.
did not alter Ca\(^{2+}\) rises induced by KCl applied in the absence and presence of the K\(_{\text{ATP}}\) channel opener, diazoxide (Supplementary Fig. 6A and B). Consistent with our previous findings in MIN6 β-cells (12), GLP-1 was able to provoke significant rises in ATP-to-ADP ratio under both low (3 mmol/L) and high (16.7 mmol/L) glucose conditions (Fig. 5D–F), as well as in the presence of ADCY5 silencing (Fig. 5G and H). Hence, an action of glucose to accelerate oxidative metabolism toward ATP synthesis is not a prerequisite for GLP-1 signaling.
To determine the relative contribution of metabolism to ADCY5-regulated β-cell function, ATP-to-ADP dynamics were imaged after exposure to increasing glucose concentrations. Whereas both Con and ADCY5-silenced islets responded normally to 5 and 8 mmol/L glucose (Fig. 6A), the latter failed to respond to further elevation of the sugar, and this deficit could be rescued using FSK to elevate cAMP (Fig. 6B). Cytosolic Ca²⁺ responses to 8 mmol/L glucose, however, remained suppressed after ADCY5 knockdown (Fig. 6C).

DISCUSSION

The aim of the current study was to explore the role of ADCY5 in the regulation of insulin secretion from human islets. We show that ADCY5 is required to link glucose-derived signals to the intracellular Ca²⁺ rises that principally drive insulin granule exocytosis. By contrast, incretins such as GLP-1 remain competent to evoke insulin release in the face of ADCY5 silencing (Fig. 7). Providing compelling evidence that defective ADCY5 action may contribute to impaired fasting glucose were the observations that mRNA expression was reduced in islets from subjects harboring risk alleles at rs11708067. Interestingly, ADCY5 mRNA levels were also reduced in β-cells from (un genomated) patients with T2D versus healthy donors (33), providing a further link with disease status.

Assessed by next-generation sequencing (RNA-Seq), ADCY5 mRNA occupies the top 13th centile of all mRNAs in human islets (45) and is the most strongly expressed member of the ADCY family in this tissue, with mRNA levels approximately twofold higher than those of ADCY1, the next most abundant isoform, and 50% higher than ADCY6 mRNA. Assuming similar levels of PPIA mRNA, our qRT-PCR results in isolated human islets are broadly consistent with this observation (Fig. 1A). Of note, however, analysis of laser-capture–dissected β-cells (33) (Gene Expression Omnimbus public repository, accession no. GSE20966) and a β-cell–enriched fraction from human islets (46) revealed lower levels of ADCY5 mRNA (<50th and <65th centiles, respectively), being in each case ~50% of those of ADCY6. Intriguingly, in the current study, Adcy5 mRNA was barely detectable in mouse islets, being expressed at a level ~40-fold lower than Adcy6 (Fig. 1). A similar, though less marked (approximately eightfold), preponderance of ADCY6 over ADCY5 mRNA also exists for rat islets (47), demonstrating marked species variability in the relative abundance of

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

**Figure 5**—ADCY5 alters β-cell energetics. A: Expression of the ATP/ADP probe Perceval is predominantly restricted to β-cells, as shown using immunohistochemistry with antibodies against insulin and glucagon (scale bar, 25 μm [top panels] and 20 μm [bottom panels]). B: Glucose (17 mmol/L) (G17) still induces increases in ATP-to-ADP ratio after ADCY5 silencing (representative traces shown; gray/black, smoothed; red, raw). C: Bar graph showing a significant effect of shRNA treatment on the amplitude of cytosolic (cyt) ATP/ADP rises in response to 17 mmol/L glucose (*P < 0.05 vs. Con; Mann-Whitney U test; n = 9–10 islets from three donors). D: GLP-1 increases ATP/ADP in the presence of permissive (17 mmol/L) glucose concentrations (representative traces shown; gray/black, smoothed; red, raw). E: As for D, but in the presence of nonpermissive (3 mmol/L) glucose concentrations. F: Summary statistics demonstrate similar effects of GLP-1 on ATP/ADP in islets exposed to 3 or 17 mmol/L glucose (NS, nonsignificant vs. Con; Mann-Whitney U test; n = 10–13 recordings from five donors). G: ATP/ADP responses to GLP-1 are similar in Con and ADCY5 shRNA-treated islets (representative traces shown; gray/black, smoothed; red, raw). H: Summary statistics demonstrate no significant effect of gene silencing on GLP-1–induced ATP/ADP rises (NS, nonsignificant vs. Con; Mann-Whitney U test; n = 6 recordings from two donors). Values represent mean ± SEM.
ADCY isoforms within this tissue. Since these variations do not appear to reflect the greater proportion of α-cells in human (48) versus rodent (49) islets, ADCY5 may serve a nonconserved function between mammalian species.

Levels of mRNA encoding ADCY8, previously reported to be regulated by glucose in rat and human islets (50), were recently shown to be much lower in human islets than either ADCY5 or -6 (45). Confirming these findings, ADCY8 mRNA was undetectable in four out of the five independent repeats that we conducted in human islets, and in the single experiment in which an amplicon was present, C_t values were incompatible with accurate quantification (37.05 ± 0.49 cycles).

Of other genes lying 250 kb on either side of rs2877716 and rs11708067, which might also conceivably mediate the observed effects on diabetes risk, MYLK transcripts are barely detectable in human islets (45,46). On the other hand, SEC22A, PDIA, and PTPLB are each detectably expressed and so may contribute. Nonetheless, our observations on the effects of ADCY5 silencing are entirely consistent with the observed phenotype of subjects possessing risk alleles (22).

The strong dependence of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion upon ADCY5 expression in human islets is surprising given the abundant presence of ADCY6 and the redundancies inherent to the cAMP signaling cassette (51). Although the above differences at the mRNA level are not necessarily reflective of protein quantity or enzymatic activity, the observed sensitivity to ADCY5 depletion may reflect either microcompartmentalization of ADCY5-containing complexes in proximity to Ca^{2+} influx and release sites (52) or a requirement for ADCY5 in cAMP-independent signaling processes. Thus, while our data indicate that ADCY5 couples glucose to insulin secretion by translating a glucose signal into cAMP generation (53), GLP-1 receptors may engage alternative ADCY family members to modify cAMP dynamics. Further studies will be required to explore the latter possibility (Fig. 7). Of note, global deletion of ADCY5 in mice leads to longevity and the resistance of cardiomyocytes to oxidative stress via the upregulation of Ras-MAPK signaling (20). A similar mechanism might therefore contribute to the small but significant enhancement of GLP-1 signaling observed here in human β-cells after ADCY5 silencing.

Although ADCY5 is Ca^{2+} -inhibited in vitro (19), the range of Ca^{2+} concentrations over which inhibition occurs (>10 μmol/L) comfortably exceeds normal intracellular concentrations of these ions in β-cells (8). Whether ADCY5 is therefore a direct target for activation by intracellular signals generated by glucose (ATP, etc.) or is, rather, a passive but essential element of a glucose-activated signaling pathway leading to Ca^{2+} influx and insulin release remains to be established.

Recent studies have shown that the intraislet regulation of cell-cell communication is critical for the proper generation of hormone release after secretagogue challenge (27). Providing further evidence that the islet context is an important player in insulin secretion was the observation in the current study that β-cell responses to glucose were less coordinated in islets depleted of ADCY5. While the mechanisms underlying this phenomenon remain obscure, cAMP has been shown to alter β-cell gap junction conductance (39), and the resultant enhanced intercellular coupling may contribute to the insulin-raising actions of cAMP-elevating agents such as glucose and incretins. Whereas we recently showed that knockdown of connexin 36 markedly reduced coordinated cell responses to incretin, more modest effects on glucose action were observed (27). The impact of cAMP on glucose-induced cell connectivity may therefore also stem from perturbed paracrine signaling circuits between β-, α-, and other cell types, in addition to enhanced electrotonic

![Figure 6](image_url)
coupling. Likewise, an intriguing possibility is that ADCYS suppression may also affect glucagon and, potentially, GLP-1 (54) secretion from neighboring α-cells to affect β-cell "glucose competence." In any case, ADCYS-depleted islets still displayed impaired Ca²⁺ responses even when metabolic dysfunction was accounted for (Fig. 6B), supporting the view that other downstream processes, including cell-cell communication, are targeted by cAMP signaling.

Remarkably, GLP-1 evoked Ca²⁺ rises and hormone release even when glucose-stimulated insulin secretion was abolished by ADCYS silencing. This implies that GLP-1 signaling—generally believed to hinge on increased intracellular CAMP levels (55)—is sufficient under these conditions to elicit closure of K_ATP and induce Ca²⁺ influx and exocytosis. Indeed, studies by Miki et al. (56) have demonstrated that GLP-1 is still able to evoke insulin secretion in the complete absence of K_ATP channels. Thus, the glucose dependency of GLP-1–induced insulin secretion is unlikely solely to reflect the effects of the sugar on oxidative metabolism and, hence, the closure of K_ATP channels, especially in light of data showing the absence of GLP-1–induced Ca²⁺ rises in human islets incubated at nonpermissive glucose concentrations (27). Rather than a simple summation of glucose and GLP-1–derived Ca²⁺ signals, the ATP/ADP response to the latter, readily detectable at low glucose concentrations, may instead be converted into a depolarizing stimulus through a complex interplay between K_ATP-dependent and -independent pathways (10).

In summary, we describe here a novel role for the GWAS-identified gene ADCYS in the normal regulation of insulin secretion from human islets of Langerhans. Thus, we elucidate a pathway that converts ADCYS gene polymorphisms into defective β-cell function. Since β-cell decompensation is a hallmark of T2D pathogenesis irrespective of genotype, ADCYS may provide a useful target for the restoration of insulin release in man.
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