

Exercise ventilatory parameters for the diagnosis of reactive pulmonary hypertension in patients with heart failure

Lim, Hoong Sern; Theodosiou, Maria

DOI:

[10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.355](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.355)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Lim, HS & Theodosiou, M 2014, 'Exercise ventilatory parameters for the diagnosis of reactive pulmonary hypertension in patients with heart failure', *Journal of Cardiac Failure*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 650-657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.355>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published as Theodosiou M, Lim HS, Exercise ventilatory parameters for the diagnosis of reactive pulmonary hypertension in patients with heart failure, *Journal of Cardiac Failure* (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.355.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Accepted Manuscript



Exercise ventilatory parameters for the diagnosis of reactive pulmonary hypertension in patients with heart failure

Maria Theodosiou, MRCP Hoong Sern Lim, MD, FRCP

PII: S1071-9164(14)00586-7

DOI: [10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.355](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.355)

Reference: YJCAF 3347

To appear in: *Journal of Cardiac Failure*

Received Date: 13 April 2014

Revised Date: 30 May 2014

Accepted Date: 12 June 2014

Please cite this article as: Theodosiou M, Lim HS, Exercise ventilatory parameters for the diagnosis of reactive pulmonary hypertension in patients with heart failure, *Journal of Cardiac Failure* (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2014.06.355.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Exercise ventilatory parameters for the diagnosis of reactive pulmonary hypertension in patients with heart failure

Maria Theodosiou MRCP

Hoong Sern Lim* MD FRCP

University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

Edgbaston

Birmingham B15 2TH

UK

*Corresponding author

Email: sern.lim@uhb.nhs.uk

Tel: +44 121 371 3994

Word count: 4282

Tables: 4

Figures: 2

No conflicts of interest to declare

ABSTRACT

Background: Reactive pulmonary hypertension (PH) in left heart disease is associated with poor prognosis. This study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic utility of exercise ventilatory parameters on cardiopulmonary exercise testing for the diagnosis of reactive PH in patients with heart failure (HF) and reduced ejection fraction.

Methods: This was a single-centre, retrospective analysis of a prospectively collected database of 131 patients with HF who underwent in-hospital assessment for heart transplantation. Pulmonary hemodynamics was assessed by direct cardiac catheterization. Minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production (VE/VCO₂) slope, partial pressure of end-tidal CO₂ (ETCO₂) changes on exercise, oxygen pulse, and exercise oscillatory ventilation were determined from cardiopulmonary exercise testing.

Results: Sixty-one of 131 consecutive patients had reactive PH. VE/VCO₂ slope (>41), change in ETCO₂ on exercise (<1.2mmHg) and exercise oscillatory ventilation were independently associated with reactive PH. These 3 parameters in combination produced 3 possible diagnostic scenarios: (i) if all 3 criteria ("if all") were present, (ii) if any 2 of the 3 criteria ("2 of 3") were present and (iii) if any of the criteria ("if any") were present. The corresponding positive/negative likelihood ratios for reactive PH if all 3 criteria were present were 3.73/0.83, if 2 of the 3 criteria were present were 2.19/0.45, and if any of the 3 criteria were present were 1.75/0.11. The post-test probability increased from 46% to 76% ("if all" present) and reduced to 9% (if none of the criteria present).

Conclusion: Ventilatory parameters on cardiopulmonary exercise test are associated with reactive PH in patients with HF. The absence of abnormalities in these 3 ventilatory parameters can effectively exclude reactive PH in patients with HF and poor ejection fraction.

BACKGROUND

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is commonly associated with left ventricular dysfunction, as elevation in left-sided filling pressure results in corresponding passive increase in pulmonary arterial pressure. However, some patients develop super-added pulmonary vascular disease, which may manifest as further elevation of transpulmonary gradient (TPG) or pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) on cardiac catheterization – so-called reactive PH¹. In some cases, the severity of reactive PH and elevation in TPG and PVR may appear disproportionate to the increase in left-sided filling pressure (pulmonary artery wedge pressure of ≤ 25 mmHg), and this has been referred to as out-of-proportion pulmonary hypertension. An increase in mortality has been reported in patients with reactive PH and decompensated heart failure (HF)². Reactive PH is also associated with poor outcomes from orthotopic heart transplantation, even if the abnormal pulmonary hemodynamics were reversible³. Therefore, the identification of reactive PH in left heart failure may guide prognosis and therapeutic interventions.

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing is widely used in the assessment of the severity and prognosis in patients with HF. In particular, abnormal ventilatory response on exercise, as evidenced by an increased slope of ventilation to carbon dioxide (CO₂) production (VE/VCO₂), oscillatory ventilation and reduced partial pressure of end-tidal CO₂ (ETCO₂) are well described in patients with HF^{4,5,6}. These abnormalities in ventilatory parameters in HF have been attributed to ventilation-perfusion mismatch, chemoreflex deregulation, ergoreceptor activation and reduced cardiac output⁷. Similar ventilatory abnormalities on cardiopulmonary exercise testing have been described in patients with pulmonary vascular disease⁸ and patients with PH associated with left heart disease⁹. Despite these well reported associations between ventilatory abnormalities, heart failure and PH, cardiopulmonary exercise testing has not been systematically evaluated for the diagnosis of reactive PH in left heart disease based on invasive cardiac catheterization. Hence, this study aimed to evaluate the utility of

ventilatory parameters on cardiopulmonary exercise testing for the diagnosis of reactive PH in patients with heart failure against the current standard of direct cardiac catheterization.

METHODS

Study Design and Patient Population

We prospectively included consecutive ambulant patients with heart failure (New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III or IV) who underwent in-hospital assessment for heart transplantation between November 2011 and April 2013 at University Hospital Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust (Birmingham, United Kingdom). The patients completed all the assessments during their in-patient stay. Patients with congenital heart disease, acute decompensated heart failure or severe cardiogenic shock requiring inotropic support or urgent mechanical circulatory support (including intra-aortic balloon pump) were excluded from this study. Patients with significant contraindications or 'too well' for transplantation would not undergo in-hospital assessment and were not included. Baseline demographic data, including information on the medical history and current use of medications were collected from all the patients at the time of heart transplant assessment. The investigations/procedures were performed in accordance with our institution's protocol for assessment for heart transplantation and approval from the NHS Research Ethics Committee was not required.

Cardiopulmonary exercise test

Each patient underwent a supervised, progressively increasing, symptom-limited cardio-pulmonary exercise testing (ramp protocol) on a treadmill. The ramp protocol was personalized to achieve a peak exercise of between 8-12 minutes. Ventilatory expired gas analysis was obtained with a metabolic cart (Powercube, Ganshorn Medizin Electronic). The oxygen and carbon dioxide sensors were calibrated before each test with gases with known oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide concentrations. The flow sensor was also calibrated before each test with a 3-L syringe. The oxygen

uptake (VO₂), carbon dioxide production (VCO₂), and minute ventilation (VE) were collected throughout the exercise test. PeakVO₂ was expressed as the highest 30-s average value obtained during the last stage of the exercise test. Oxygen pulse is derived from VO₂ divided by heart rate and the value at anaerobic threshold was reported as previous studies have shown that stroke volume estimation most closely approximated the directly measured stroke volume at anaerobic threshold, particularly in patients with significant heart failure¹⁰. Measurements of partial pressure of end-tidal CO₂ at rest (Rest ETCO₂) and at anaerobic threshold (ETCO₂ AT) were collected as changes in end-tidal CO₂ during exercise has been well-reported in patients with heart failure and correlates with the severity of ventilation: perfusion mismatch^{11,12}. Change in ETCO₂ (delta ETCO₂) was the difference in these 2 ETCO₂ measurements. Ten-second averaged VE and VCO₂ data, from the initiation of exercise (after the warm-up period) to the point of ventilatory threshold were used to calculate the VE/VCO₂ slope.

Exercise oscillatory ventilation was defined in accordance with the recent consensus statement as oscillatory wave amplitude of at least 15% of resting average VE, which persisted for at least 60% of the exercise¹³.

Transthoracic echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed in accordance with standard guidelines. The left ventricular end-systolic, -diastolic volumes and ejection fraction (EF) were obtained by Simpson's method from 2-dimensional apical images. The tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) was measured by M-mode in the apical view as a measure of right ventricular function. Mitral and tricuspid regurgitation was quantified by Doppler in accordance with current recommendations¹⁴. Restrictive transmitral filling pattern was defined by an E/A ratio of > 2 and/or an E wave deceleration time of < 140ms¹⁵.

Right heart catheterization

Right atrial (RA), right ventricular (RV), pulmonary artery (PA) and pulmonary artery wedge pressures (PAWP) were recorded at end-expiration with a balloon-tipped catheter. Cardiac output was measured by thermodilution (using an average of three measurements agreed within 10%) with cold, refrigerated injectate (5% glucose). Transpulmonary gradient (TPG) was calculated as: mean PA – mean PAWP. Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) in Woods units was calculated as: TPG/cardiac output. Pulmonary artery capacitance was calculated as stroke volume/pulmonary artery pulse pressure. Right ventricular stroke work (RVSW) was calculated as: SV x (mean PA – mean RA pressure) x 0.0136.

Patients were divided into 3 groups based on their pulmonary hemodynamics: (i) no PH if mean PA pressure < 25mmHg; (ii) patients with passive PH if mean PA pressure > 25mmHg but PVR < 3WU and TPG < 12mmHg, and (iii) patients with reactive PH if mean PA pressure > 25mmHg and PVR > 3WU or TPG \geq 12mmHg. These criteria were based on current recommendations and guidelines^{1,16}.

Statistical Analyses

Continuous variables are reported as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (Interquartile range, IQR) and categorical variables as proportions. Characteristics of the 3 groups of patients (no pulmonary hypertension, passive PH and reactive PH) were compared by ANOVA (and Tukey's post hoc test) or Kruskal-Wallis for parametric and non-parametric data respectively. Multivariate analysis was performed to identify independent associations with the presence of reactive PH. The multivariate analysis included for baseline variables that were significantly different between groups (LVEF, LVESVi, TAPSE, MR, NT pro-BNP and cardiopulmonary exercise test parameters). Mitral regurgitation was added to the model due to reported association with pulmonary hypertension. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to assess the sensitivity/specificity of the ventilatory parameters for reactive PH. Sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratios for the combined diagnostic criteria were then calculated for the presence of reactive PH. Likelihood ratio

for a positive test (LR+) is defined as sensitivity/(1-specificity) and likelihood ratio for a negative test (LR-) is defined as (1-sensitivity)/specificity. All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 2.7.0) and a two sided p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

One hundred and thirty one consecutive patients with heart failure were included in this study. Seven patients were excluded – 3 patients unable to manage exercise test (one patient was an amputee, one patient suffered from left leg weakness due to prior stroke and one patient had leg weakness due to Becker's muscular dystrophy), 4 did not reach anaerobic threshold. Sixty-one of the 131 patients had reactive PH. Of the 7 excluded patients, 2 had reactive PH. We were unable to identify AT with confidence in 12 patients – 8 in the reactive and 4 in the non-reactive PH groups. All of whom had oscillatory ventilation on exercise. In the absence of identifiable AT, we used the peak value for calculating the delta ETCO₂. This is based on previous studies indicating relatively minor change in ETCO₂ from 50% load to peak exercise¹¹. There were no significant differences in baseline demographics between groups. Patients with reactive PH were treated with higher doses of furosemide but there were no other statistically significant differences in conventional heart failure therapy [TABLE 1]. Patients with reactive PH had more severe right and left ventricular dysfunction, with suggestion of higher filling pressures from non-invasive tests, as evidenced by greater prevalence of restrictive transmitral filling pattern and NT pro-BNP levels.

Patients with reactive PH had greater abnormalities on cardiopulmonary exercise test [TABLE 2] and pulmonary hemodynamics [TABLE 3]. On multivariate analysis, only VE/VCO₂ slope ($\beta=0.139$, $p<0.001$), delta ETCO₂ ($\beta=-0.397$, $p=0.004$) and exercise oscillatory ventilation ($\beta=0.269$, $p=0.045$) were independently associated with the presence of reactive PH. Ventilatory slope ($r=0.451$ and $r=-0.498$) and delta ETCO₂ ($r=-0.361$ and $r=0.423$) correlated with pulmonary vascular resistance and

pulmonary capacitance, respectively (all $p < 0.001$). Change in VO_2 from rest to peak exercise (delta VO_2) was correlated with PVR ($r = -0.269$, $p = 0.002$) and pulmonary capacitance ($r = 0.338$, $p < 0.001$).

On ROC analysis, the AUC for VE/VCO_2 slope delta $ETCO_2$ and exercise oscillatory ventilation were 0.79, 0.74 and 0.62; and the diagnostic threshold for VE/VCO_2 slope of >41 and delta $ETCO_2$ of <1.2 provided the optimal balance of sensitivity and specificity. The odds ratios threshold for VE/VCO_2 slope of >41 , delta $ETCO_2 < 1.2$ and exercise oscillatory ventilation were 6.86 (95% CI: 3.1-15.0), 3.27 (95% CI: 1.58-6.75) and 2.1 (95% CI 1.01-4.62) respectively for the presence of reactive PH. These 3 parameters formed the basis for the diagnostic criteria for reactive PH.

The diagnostic value of these 3 ventilatory parameters were further analysed to determine their sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratios. The number of patients who met the individual criteria is shown in FIGURE 1. These 3 parameters were then combined to produce 3 possible diagnostic scenarios: (i) if all 3 criteria ("if all") were present, (ii) if any 2 of the 3 criteria ("2 of 3") were present and (iii) if any of the criteria ("if any") were present. The corresponding sensitivities and specificities for reactive PH if all 3 criteria were present were 21% and 94%, if 2 of the 3 criteria were present were 69% and 69%, and if any of the 3 criteria were present were 95% and 46%. A flow diagram in line with the STARTD initiative¹⁷ is shown in FIGURE 2.

As the diagnostic value of a specific test is dependent on the prevalence of the condition in a population, the likelihood ratios (LR) were also computed to determine the diagnostic value of these 3 ventilatory parameters for reactive PH based on the 46% prevalence of reactive PH in this study and also on a 50% lower prevalence of 23%. The likelihood ratios for positive and negative tests and the corresponding post-test probability of reactive PH are shown in TABLE 4. Despite the significant positive likelihood ratios, the post-test probability of these 3 criteria remained limited. In contrast, the likelihood of reactive PH is low in the absence of any of the 3 criteria in both high and low

prevalence conditions, and effectively excludes the presence of reactive PH in patients with heart failure.

We also examined the subgroup of patients with out-of-proportion PH. There were 11 patients with 'out-of-proportion' PH, characterized by TPG > 12, PVR > 3 and wedge pressure \leq 25mmHg. Of these 11 patients, 7 patients had 1 of 3, 2 patients had 2 of 3 and 2 patients had all 3 criteria. Therefore, the absence of any criteria excluded 'out-of-proportion' PH, based on our analysis in this small subgroup of patients.

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the diagnostic utility of ventilatory parameters for reactive PH in heart failure. Three parameters were independently associated with reactive PH in this study: VE/VCO₂ slope, delta ETCO₂ and exercise oscillatory ventilation. These 3 parameters, applied in combination are associated with reactive PH in heart failure. However, our data suggest that the diagnostic utility of these ventilatory parameters lies predominantly in the exclusion of reactive PH in patients with heart failure, as the positive likelihood ratios and post-test probability were limited.

Pulmonary hypertension is a well recognized complication of left heart failure. The association between pulmonary artery pressure and prognosis is well documented in patients with heart failure¹⁸, with more recent studies demonstrating increasing risk of adverse outcome with higher pulmonary vascular resistance and transpulmonary gradient¹⁹. Similar findings have been reported in patients with decompensated heart failure^{2,20}. In addition, reactive PH is associated with poor outcomes from orthotopic heart transplantation²¹. Hence, the identification of reactive PH in left heart disease may have clinically significant implications for treatment and prognosis.

Abnormalities in ventilatory parameters on cardiopulmonary exercise tests are well described in patients with PH and left heart disease. Previous studies have correlated these ventilatory abnormalities with hemodynamic changes²², pulmonary vascular disease²³ and clinical outcomes^{24,25}. These observations formed the basis for further evaluation of PH in left heart disease against invasive cardiac catheterization, which is currently the accepted standard in clinical practice. Guazzi et al²⁶ evaluated the use of cardiopulmonary exercise testing for the diagnosis of PH with left heart disease. The authors reported that a raised VE/VCO₂ slope (>36), low peak ETCO₂ response (<34mmHg) and the presence of exercise oscillatory ventilation predicted elevated pulmonary artery systolic pressure estimated on echocardiography. However, although useful, there are limitations in the use of echocardiography in estimating pulmonary artery pressures²⁷. Hence, our study extends the findings reported by Guazzi et al with direct invasive hemodynamic measurements to define reactive PH, and our findings are consistent with these earlier reports on the association between ventilatory parameters on cardiopulmonary exercise testing and PH in left heart disease. The prevalence of ventilatory abnormalities on exercise, including exercise oscillatory ventilation was high in this study. We believe this reflects the heart failure severity in our patients, who were all referred for heart transplantation. Indeed, the clinical, echocardiographic and cardiopulmonary parameters are all consistent with a population of patients with advanced heart failure.

A strong post-test probability of reactive PH with left heart disease may be intuitive in light of the well-documented association between abnormal ventilatory parameters and pulmonary vascular disease. Indeed, the positive likelihood ratios for all 3 scenarios (“if all”, “2 of 3” and “if any”) were statistically significant, which is consistent with the reported association between abnormal ventilatory parameters and pulmonary vascular disease. However, while abnormalities in all 3 ventilatory parameters would increase the pre-test probability of 46% to a post-test probability of 76%, the value of these ventilatory parameters as a “rule-in” test in clinical practice would be somewhat limited. In contrast, the negative likelihood ratio in the absence of any of these

ventilatory abnormalities may be clinically significant as a “rule-out” test, as it would reduce the pre-test probability of 46% to a post-test probability of 9%, effectively excluding reactive PH in a population of patients with severe heart failure. The diagnostic value of these ventilatory parameters remained unchanged with an assumed lower (23%) prevalence of reactive PH.

Patients with out-of-proportion PH represent a complex group. It is believed that these patients have mixed pulmonary vascular disease, which may have therapeutic implications. Based on a small number of patients with out-of-proportion PH, the absence of any of the 3 criteria appeared to exclude out-of-proportion PH in our study. These findings are preliminary and deserve further assessment.

We did not specifically study patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction (HFnEF). Pulmonary hypertension is well described in patients with HFnEF²⁸, which is consistent with the prevailing paradigm of elevated left-sided filling pressure as the inciting stimulus for the development of pulmonary hypertension. Similar exercise ventilatory changes, including exercise oscillatory ventilation have been described in patients with HFnEF and PH^{29,30}, which suggests similar pathophysiological changes (eg: ventilation-perfusion mismatch, chemoreflex deregulation, ergoreceptor activation and reduced cardiac output) in patients with heart failure irrespective of the measured ejection fraction. On this basis, we speculate that exercise ventilatory parameters would have similar diagnostic value in patients with HFnEF and PH, although they have not been systematically evaluated for the detection of reactive PH in these patients.

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing has a well-established role in the assessment of heart failure. A number of exercise-derived parameters on cardiopulmonary exercise test have been described in heart failure, primarily for the assessment of prognosis^{31,32} since the initial description of VO₂ in relation to heart transplantation³³. Our study, building on the established association between

ventilatory abnormalities and adverse pulmonary hemodynamics, suggests that exercise ventilatory parameters may have diagnostic utility in excluding reactive PH in patients with heart failure. Our findings should not obviate the need for cardiac catheterization in patients undergoing assessment for heart transplantation as the pre-test probability is high, the consequences of transplant graft dysfunction in the face of adverse pulmonary hemodynamics are catastrophic and the risks of cardiac catheterization are low and may provide additional hemodynamic information to guide therapy and prognosis³⁴. However, our findings may be relevant in patients with less severe heart failure and low pre-test probability in effectively excluding reactive PH. A robust non-invasive assessment modality may also guide the therapeutic interventions (and response), as investigators continue to explore potential therapy in patients with PH and left heart disease³⁵.

Study limitations

This study will have the inherent limitations of a single centre observational study, consisting of patients with severe heart failure undergoing assessment for transplantation. The latter in particular, may limit external validity when applied to the wider population of patients with less severe heart failure. Examination of the diagnostic value of these criteria using a lower prevalence of reactive PH (a lower prevalence of reactive PH was assumed in patients with less severe heart failure) may mitigate, but would not abolish this limitation. Secondly, this is a relatively small study. However, we have endeavoured to characterize our patients in detail and our data should provide the basis for further evaluation and validation with a larger clinical study. In particular, mitral valve disease is associated with the development of PH, and combining echocardiographic assessment of mitral regurgitation with exercise ventilatory parameters may improve the positive likelihood ratio for reactive PH. The potential of combining multiple non-invasive modalities for the detection of reactive PH deserves further study. Finally, this study included only patients with PH in association with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. Hence, the results may not be applicable to other forms of PH or in patients with preserved ejection fraction.

CONCLUSION

Ventilatory parameters on cardiopulmonary exercise test are associated with reactive PH in patients with heart failure. The positive likelihood ratios of these parameters for reactive PH were statistically significant, but clinical applicability as a “rule-in” test may be limited. In contrast, the absence of any of these ventilatory abnormalities can effectively exclude reactive PH in patients with heart failure, and be useful as a “rule-out” test.

TABLE 1: Patient characteristics

	Overall (n=131)	No PH (n=21)	Non-reactive PH (n=49)	Reactive PH (n=61)	P
Age (years)	49 ± 11	49 ± 6	49 ± 3	50 ± 3	0.860
Males (n, %)	107 (82)	76	92	75	0.067
BMI	26 ± 4	25 ± 2	27 ± 1	27 ± 1	0.319
Ischemic (n, %)	31	38	20	38	0.115
AF (n, %)	30	38	20	34	0.184
DM (n, %)	14	19	10	15	0.586
QRSd (ms)	129 ± 28	115 ± 10	129 ± 8	133 ± 8	0.035*
ICD (n, %)	44	33	43	49	0.437
CRT (n, %)	42	29	45	44	0.396
ACEi/ARB (n, %)	109 (83)	76	82	87	0.492
B blockers (n, %)	109 (83)	86	78	87	0.405
Aldos antag (n, %)	85 (65)	57	69	64	0.603
Furosemide (mg/day)	80 (80-160)	80 (40-120)	80 (40-120)	120 (80-160)	0.043
LVEF (%)	16 (10-20)	20 (15-30)	15 (10-20)	16 (10-20)	0.017*
LVESVi (ml/m ²)	91 ± 25	81 ± 9	89 ± 7	97 ± 7	0.032*
TAPSE (mm)	13 (11-15)	16 (15-18)	12 (10-15)	12 (12-14)	<0.001*
RV diameter (cm)	3.7 (3.3-4.2)	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	3.7 (3.3-4.2)	3.8 (3.5-4.4)	0.085
Restrictive (n, %)	49	5	43	69	<0.001*
Severe MR (n, %)	43	29	37	53	0.091
Na (mmol/l)	137 ± 4	138 ± 2	137 ± 1	136 ± 1	0.503
eGFR (ml/min)	60 (47-80)	63 (52-88)	58 (48-79)	60 (43-74)	0.357
NT pro BNP (ng/L)	2537 (809-5615)	1030 (535-2360)	3205 (935-9201)	3264 (1294-5480)	0.011*

BMI: body mass index; AF: atrial fibrillation; DM: diabetes mellitus; QRSd: QRS duration; ICD: implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; CRT: cardiac resynchronization therapy; ACEi: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; B blocker: beta-blockers; aldol antag: aldosterone antagonist; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESVi: left ventricular end-systolic volume index; TAPSE: tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; RV: right ventricle; MR: mitral regurgitation; Na: sodium; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; NT pro BNP: N terminal pro B-natriuretic peptide

Data as mean \pm SD or median (interquartile range)

*Significant difference in the No PH group compared to Reactive PH and Non-reactive PH groups

TABLE 2: Cardiopulmonary exercise test

	Overall (n=131)	No PH (n=21)	Non-reactive PH (n=49)	Reactive PH (n=61)	P
Peak VO ₂ (ml/kg/min)	10.6 ± 3.4	12.5 ± 2.1	11.0 ± 0.9	9.7 ± 0.7	0.003*
Oxygen pulse (ml/beat)	7.9 ± 2.0	8.7 ± 0.7	8.4 ± 0.5	7.2 ± 0.5	<0.001*
Circ power (mmHg.ml/min)	1098 (837- 1387)	1288 (995- 1730)	1162 (872- 1365)	1068 (809- 1295)	0.044*
VEVCO ₂ slope	41 (38-48)	36 (34-37)	40 (37-44)	46 (41-52)	<0.001**
Rest ETCO ₂ (mmHg)	25 (23-27)	26 (25-28)	26 (24-28)	23 (21-26)	<0.001*
AT ETCO ₂ (mmHg)	27 (23-29)	31 (28-35)	28 (25-31)	24 (21-26)	<0.001*
Delta ETCO ₂ (mmHg)	1.2 (0.2-3.1)	4.4 (2.5-6.2)	1.5 (0.7-3.7)	0.7 (-0.3-1.7)	<0.001**
Osc vent (n, %)	35 (27)	2 (9)	12 (25)	21 (34)	0.076**

Circ power: circulatory power; ETCO₂: end-tidal carbon dioxide; AT ETCO₂: end-tidal carbon dioxide at anaerobic threshold; Osc vent: oscillatory ventilation

Data as mean ± SD or median (interquartile range)

*Significant difference in the reactive PH group compared to No PH and Non-reactive PH groups

**Significant difference between all 3 groups

TABLE 3: Pulmonary hemodynamics

	Overall (n=131)	No PH (n=21)	Non-reactive PH (n=49)	Reactive PH (n=61)	P
Right atrial pressure (mmHg)	14 (10-18)	8 (6-10)	15 (11-22)	15 (13-19)	<0.001*
PA systolic pressure (mmHg)	53 (40-65)	30 (26-36)	48 (40-57)	65 (55-75)	<0.001**
PA diastolic pressure (mmHg)	27 (20-33)	13 (10-16)	26 (23-31)	32 (26-36)	<0.001**
Mean PA pressure (mmHg)	37 (29-46)	30 (12-23)	35 (29-40)	46 (38-52)	<0.001**
PA wedge pressure (mmHg)	26 (20-32)	14 (10-16)	26 (20-30)	30 (25-35)	<0.001*
TPG (mmHg)	10 (7-13)	6 (5-7)	8 (7-10)	15 (12-19)	<0.001**
CO (L/min)	3.6 (3.0-4.1)	4.4 (3.6-4.9)	3.7 (3.3-4.3)	3.2 (2.8-3.7)	<0.001*
PVR (WU)	3.0 (2.1-4.1)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	2.4 (1.9-2.7)	4.1 (3.6-5.9)	<0.001**
Pulm cap (ml/mmHg)	1.6 (1.3-2.3)	4.0 (2.5-4.5)	1.8 (1.5-2.4)	1.3 (1.0-1.5)	<0.001**
Mean BP (mmHg)	83 (75-90)	83 (71-88)	79 (72-86)	87 (79-93)	0.001†
SVR (WU)	19 (16-24)	17 (15-20)	17 (15-20)	21 (19-27)	<0.001†
PVR/SVR ratio	0.16 (0.10-0.20)	0.08 (0.07-0.10)	0.14 (0.10-0.16)	0.20 (0.17-0.26)	<0.001**

PA: pulmonary artery; TPG: transpulmonary gradient; CO: cardiac output; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance; Pulm cap: pulmonary capacitance; BP: blood pressure; SVR: systemic vascular resistance

Data as mean ± SD or median (interquartile range)

*Significant difference in the No PH group compared to Reactive PH and Non-reactive PH groups

**Significant difference between all 3 groups

†Significant difference in the reactive PH group compared to No PH and Non-reactive PH groups

TABLE 4: Likelihood ratios at 2 levels of prevalence of reactive PH

Criteria	Prevalence (%)	Likelihood ratio (95% CI)	Post-test probability, % (95% CI)
"If all"	46	LR+ 3.73 (1.28-11.0)	76 (53-91)
		LR- 0.83 (0.72-0.96)	42 (39-46)
	23	LR+ 3.73 (1.33-10.0)	53 (28-75)
		LR- 0.83 (0.68-1.01)	20 (17-23)
"2 of 3"	46	LR+ 2.19 (1.49-3.22)	66 (56-74)
		LR- 0.45 (0.30-0.68)	28 (21-37)
	23	LR+ 2.19 (1.51-3.18)	40 (31-49)
		LR- 0.45 (0.26-0.78)	12 (7-19)
"If any"	46	LR+ 1.75 (1.40-2.19)	60 (55-66)
		LR- 0.11 (0.03-0.33)	9 (3-22)
	23	LR+ 1.75 (1.44-2.13)	34 (30-39)
		LR- 0.11 (0.02-0.53)	3 (1-14)

FIGURE 1: Number of patients who met the individual criteria





FIGURE 2: Flow diagram based on STARTD initiative.



REFERENCES

-
- ¹ Guazzi M, Borlaug BA. Pulmonary hypertension due to left heart disease. *Circulation* 2012; 126: 975-90.
- ² Aronson D, Eitan A, Dragu R, Burger AJ. Relationship between reactive pulmonary hypertension and mortality in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2011; 4: 644-50.
- ³ Butler J, Stankewicz MA, Wu J, Chomsky DB, Howser RL, Khadim G, Davis SF, Pierson RN, Wilson JR. Pre-transplant reversible pulmonary hypertension predicts higher risk for mortality after cardiac transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2005; 24: 170-7.
- ⁴ Corra U, Giordano A, Bosimini E, Mezzani A, Piepoli M, Coats AJ et al. Oscillatory ventilation during exercise in patients with chronic heart failure: clinical correlates and prognostic implications. *Chest* 2002; 121: 1572-80.
- ⁵ Arena R, Myers J, Abella J, Peberdy MA, Bensimhon D, Chase P et al. Development of a ventilatory classification system in patients with heart failure. *Circulation* 2007; 115: 2410-7.
- ⁶ Arena R, Peberdy MA, Myers J, Guazzi M, Tevald M. Prognostic value of resting end-tidal carbon dioxide in patients with heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2006; 109: 351-8.
- ⁷ Tumminello G, Guazzi M, Lancellotti P, Pierard LA. Exercise ventilation inefficiency in heart failure: pathophysiological and clinical significance. *Eur Heart J* 2007; 28: 673-8.
- ⁸ Sun XG, Hansen JE, Oudiz RJ, Wasserman K. Exercise pathophysiology in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension. *Circulation* 2001; 104: 429-35.
- ⁹ Guazzi M, Cahalin LP, Arena R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing as a diagnostic tool for the detection of left-sided pulmonary hypertension in heart failure. *J Card Fail* 2013; 19: 461-7.
- ¹⁰ Agostini PG, Wasserman K, Perego GB, Guazzi M, Cattadori G, Palermo P, Lauri G, Marenzi G. Non-invasive measurement of stroke volume during exercise in heart failure patients. *Clin Sci* 2000; 98: 545-51
- ¹¹ Tanabe Y, Hosaka Y, Ito M, Ito E, Suzuki K. Significance of end-tidal P(CO₂) response to exercise and its relation to functional capacity in patients with chronic heart failure. *Chest* 2001; 119: 811-7.

- ¹² Matsumoto A, Itoh H, Eto Y, Kobayashi T, Kato M, Omata M, Watanabe H, Kato K, Momomura S. End-tidal CO₂ pressure decreases during exercise in cardiac patients: association with severity of heart failure and cardiac output reserve. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000; 36: 242-9
- ¹³ Balady GJ, Arena R, Sietsema K, Myers J, Coke L, Fletcher GF et al. Clinician's guide to cardiopulmonary exercise testing in adults. A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2010; 122: 191-225.
- ¹⁴ Zoghbi WA, Enriquez-Sarano M, Foster E, Grayburn PA, Kraft CD, Levine RA, Nihoyannopoulos P, Otto CM, Quinones MA, Rakowski H, Stewart WJ, Waggoner A, Weissman NJ; American Society of Echocardiography. Recommendations for evaluation of the severity of native valvular regurgitation with two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2003; 16: 777-802.
- ¹⁵ Xie G-Y, Berk MR, Smith MD, Gurley JC, DeMaria AN. Prognostic value of Doppler transmitral flow patterns in patients with congestive heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994; 24: 132-9.
- ¹⁶ Galie N, Hoeper MM, Humbert M, Torbicki A, Vachiery JL, Barbera JA et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension for the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS), endorsed by the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). *Eur Heart J* 2009; 30: 2493-537.
- ¹⁷ Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig LM et al. Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARTD initiative. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 41-4.
- ¹⁸ Abramson SV, Burke JF, Kelly JJ Jr, Kitchen JG III, Dougherty MJ, Yih DF, McGeehin FC III, Shuck JW, Phibolis TP. Pulmonary hypertension predicts mortality and morbidity in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *Ann Intern Med* 1992; 116: 888-95.
- ¹⁹ Miller WL, Grill DE, Borlaug BA. Clinical features, hemodynamics and outcomes of pulmonary hypertension due to chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *JACC: Heart Failure* 2013; 1: 290-9.

- ²⁰ Merlos P, Nunez J, Sanchis J, Minana G, Palau P, Bodi V et al. Echocardiographic estimation of pulmonary arterial systolic pressure in acute heart failure. Prognostic implications. *Eur J Intern Med* 2013; 24: 562-7.
- ²¹ Butler J, Stankewicz MA, Wu J, Chomsky DB, Howser RL, Khadim G, Davis SF, Pierson RN, Wilson JR. Pre-transplant reversible pulmonary hypertension predicts higher risk for mortality after cardiac transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2005; 24: 170-7.
- ²² Sullivan M, Higginbotham M, Cobb F. Increased exercise ventilation in patients with chronic heart failure: intact ventilatory control despite hemodynamic and pulmonary abnormalities. *Circulation*. 1998;77: 552–9.
- ²³ Reindl I, Wernecke K, Opitz C, Wensel R, Konig D, Dengler T, Schimke I, Kleber F. Impaired ventilatory efficiency in chronic heart failure: possible role of pulmonary constriction. *Am Heart J*. 1998;136:778–85
- ²⁴ Gitt A, Wasserman K, Kilkowski C, Kleemann T, Kilkowski A, Bangert M, Scheider S, Schwarz A, Senges J. Exercise anaerobic threshold and ventilatory efficiency identify heart failure patients for high risk of early death. *Circulation*. 2002; 106: 3079 –84.
- ²⁵ Chua T, Ponikowski P, Harrington D, Anker S, Webb-Peploe K, Clark A, Poole-Wilson P, Coats A. Clinical correlates and prognostic significance of the ventilatory response to exercise in chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997; 29: 1585–90.
- ²⁶ Guazzi M, Cahalin LP, Arena R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing as a diagnostic tool for the detection of left-sided pulmonary hypertension in heart failure. *J Card Fail* 2013; 19: 461-7.
- ²⁷ Janda S, Shahidi N, Swiston J. Diagnostic accuracy of echocardiography for pulmonary hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart* 2011; 97: 612-22.
- ²⁸ Lam CSP, Roger VL, Rodeheffer RJ, Borlaug BA, Enders FT, Redfield MM. Pulmonary hypertension in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A community-based study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009; 53: 1119-26.
- ²⁹ Guazzi M, Myers J, Arena R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the clinical and prognostic assessment of diastolic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; 46: 1883-90.

³⁰ Guazzi M, Myers J, Perberdy MA, Bensimhon D, Chase P, Arena R. Exercise oscillatory breathing in diastolic heart failure: prevalence and prognostic insights. *Eur Heart J* 2008; 29: 2751-9.

³¹ Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Mehra MR. Peak exercise oxygen pulse and prognosis in chronic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2004; 93: 588-93.

³² Arena R, Myers J, Anella J, Peberdy MA, Bensimhon D, Chase P, Guazzi M. Development of a ventilatory classification system in patients with heart failure. *Circulation* 2007; 115: 2410-7.

³³ Mancini DM, Eisen H, Kussmaul W, Mull R, Edmunds LH Jr, Wilson JR. Value of peak exercise oxygen consumption for optimal timing of cardiac transplantation in ambulatory patients with heart failure. *Circulation* 1991; 83: 778-86.

³⁴ Nadir AM, Beadle R, Lim HS. Kussmaul physiology in patients with heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2014; 7: 440-7.

³⁵ Lewis GD, Lachman J, Camuso J, Lepore JJ, Shin J, Martinovic ME, Systrom DM, Bloch KD, Semigran MJ. Sildenafil improves exercise hemodynamics and oxygen uptake in patients with systolic heart failure. *Circulation* 2007; 115: 59-66.

Highlights**Exercise ventilatory parameters for the diagnosis of reactive pulmonary hypertension in patients with heart failure**

1. Reactive pulmonary hypertension in left heart disease (PHLHD) is common in advanced heart failure.
2. $VE/VCO_2 > 41$, $ETCO_2$ change < 1.2 and oscillatory ventilation are associated with reactive PHLHD.
3. The likelihood of reactive PHLHD increased 76% if all 3 criteria were present.
4. The likelihood of reactive PHLHD reduced to 9% if none of the criteria were present.
5. Absence of these 3 ventilatory abnormalities effectively excludes reactive PHLHD in heart failure