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Abstract

Kidney transplantation is the preferred modality of renal replacement therapy. Long-term patient- and graft-survival have only improved marginally over the recent decade, mainly due to the development of cardiovascular disease following transplantation. Obesity is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, and is common pre- and post-transplantation. This article reviews the literature assessing the role of pre- and post-transplant obesity on patient- and graft-survival, discusses the underlying obesity-related mechanisms leading to inferior kidney transplant outcomes, and explores the role of nutritional intervention on improving long-term outcomes of transplantation. While the role of pre-transplant obesity remains uncertain, post-transplant obesity increases the risk of graft failure and mortality. Nutritional intervention is effective in achieving post-transplant weight loss, but the impact on long-term
outcomes has not been established. Future research should focus on conducting nutritional intervention studies aiming to improve long-term outcomes of kidney transplantation.
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**Introduction**

Kidney transplantation is the preferred modality of renal replacement therapy for many patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) \(^1\). Compared to remaining on transplant waiting list, kidney transplantation improves long-term survival \(^2\), enhances quality of life \(^3\), demonstrates cost benefit \(^4\), and rectifies uraemia and metabolic abnormalities contributing to overall sense of well-being \(^5\). Despite several advantages following successful transplantation, the corollary of the current shortage of organs is that only a proportion of patients on transplant waiting list proceed to transplantation, with up to 40% dying whilst waiting on dialysis \(^6\). It is crucial that the best possible use is made of those transplanted kidney (graft), and therefore optimising patient- and graft- survival following transplantation should be a priority in this patient group.
Short-term patient- and graft- survival have substantially improved over the recent decades, with most centres reporting both survival rates at 1 year of greater than 90%\(^7\). However, long-term success has been difficult to accomplish as evident by the marginal increase in patient- and graft- survival rates over the past 15 years\(^8\). This phenomenon is multifactorial. Firstly, modification of alloimmunity using immunosuppression has reduced short-term early acute rejection rates\(^8\), but the nephrotoxicity of immunosuppressive medication is known to limit long-term graft survival\(^9\). Secondly, the use of maintenance immunosuppressive therapy is associated with increased risk of infection and malignancy\(^10\). Thirdly, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a well-known long-term complication in kidney transplant recipients (KTRs)\(^11\). It is both the leading cause of death following transplantation\(^12\) and death with a functioning graft\(^13\).

According to the World Health Organization, overweight is defined as a BMI of 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m\(^2\); and obesity is defined as a BMI of ≥ 30.0 kg/m\(^2\)\(^14\). Prior to transplantation, the role of obesity on long-term patient- and graft survival remains uncertain. However, available evidence suggests that obesity predisposes to delayed graft function with subsequent impact on graft failure\(^7\). Following transplantation, obesity is strongly associated with reduced long-term patient- and graft survival\(^7\), but it failed to display an independent relationship with CVD risk\(^15\)-\(^18\). However, obesity leads to higher prevalence of CVD risk factors including hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus and insulin resistance, all of which are independently associated with increased risk of graft failure\(^15\)-\(^18\). These individual diseases also cluster as part of metabolic syndrome. A recent sub-analysis of the ALERT (Assessment of LEscol in Renal Transplantation) study revealed that metabolic syndrome was associated with increased risk of graft failure\(^19\). Obesity is modifiable by nutritional
intervention, but the impact of weight loss resulting from these interventions on long-term patient- and graft- survival has not been well-established.

The purposes of this article were to review the relevant literature assessing the role of pre- and post- transplant obesity on patient- and graft- survival, to discuss the potential underlying mechanisms by which obesity induces its detrimental effects leading to inferior kidney transplant outcomes, and to explore the role of nutritional intervention on improving long-term outcomes of kidney transplantation. Finally, this article aims to identify gaps in current literature and recommends potential areas for future research.

**Prevalence and impact of obesity prior to transplantation**

Overweight and obesity are common at the time of transplantation. Currently, 60% of KTRs are overweight at the time of transplantation, representing a 116% increase from 1987. Indeed, many transplant centres in the UK and US exclude patients with a BMI greater than 30 or 35 kg/m². A BMI ≥ 35 kg/m² was the third most common reason to exclude as many as 10% of patients from transplantation. Despite this, the effect of pre-transplant obesity on patient- and graft- survival remains controversial, with several conflicting studies in the literature. Table 1 summarises the studies examining the impact of pre-transplant obesity on kidney transplant outcomes. In some studies, while pre-transplant obesity had no effect on long-term outcomes, it demonstrated a negative impact upon short-term outcomes including graft failure within the first year post-transplant, post-transplant surgical complications and delayed graft function. Despite the lack of relationship between pre-
transplant obesity and long-term outcomes in these studies, it is important to note that
delayed graft function is associated with reduced long-term graft survival \(^7,^{30}\). Some studies
showed that obesity exerts a negative impact on long-term patient- and graft survival \(^7,^{31-36}\).
In contrast, adverse impact of obesity on both short- and long- term outcomes were seen in
some studies \(^31,^{33}\). Also, a study by Yamamoto et al \(^35\) otherwise demonstrated that while
obesity had no effect on short-term graft survival, decreased medium- and long- term graft
survival were observed in obese KTRs. Finally, Streja et al showed that obesity was
associated with graft loss, but had no effect on mortality \(^36\). In summary, studies with short-
term outcome data have unanimously demonstrated negative impact of pre-transplant obesity
whilst studies with long-term outcome data have yielded variable conclusions. Such
conflicting results from the latter group of studies may partly stem from large variations in
the sample size and follow-up period (2-20 years), with studies of larger sample size and \(\geq 6\)-
year follow-up generally showing an impact.

**Impact of body composition prior to transplantation**

Given the conflicting data on the effect of pre-transplant obesity on patient- and graft-
survival, a recent study explored the effect of body composition on long-term patient- and
graft- survival rates. It showed that sarcopenic obesity prior to transplantation was associated
with increased mortality and graft failure \(^36\), while sarcopenia in the study was defined as
reduced pre-transplant muscle mass represented by lower pre-transplant serum creatinine in
patients with BMI \(\geq 30\) kg/m\(^2\).
Impact of weight loss during transplant listing

Whilst the effect of pre-transplant obesity on patient- and graft- survival remains unclear, Schold et al reported that weight loss during transplant listing had no effect on long term outcomes following transplantation including mortality risk and graft loss across the entire BMI spectrum\textsuperscript{37}. The same study also highlighted that substantial weight loss pre-transplant was associated with rapid weight gain following transplantation\textsuperscript{37}. This finding, together with the observed “obesity paradox” in the dialysis population\textsuperscript{38}, suggest that weight loss prior to transplantation may not necessarily be beneficial, as mortality risk during transplant listing and following transplantation is not alleviated. However, the authors highlighted that these information needs to be interpreted with caution as data on BMI was taken from the registry and crucial information including the nature of weight change and other aspects of nutritional status is therefore unavailable\textsuperscript{37}. Intentional weight loss that is potentially beneficial may be masked by weight loss that is a manifestation of malnutrition or progressive disease\textsuperscript{37}.

In addition, a retrospective study of the United States Renal Data System (USRDS) registry found that substantial weight loss was achieved with bariatric surgery in a cohort of patients prior to transplant-listing and wait-listed for transplantation\textsuperscript{39}. These results were similar to findings from recent studies, which demonstrated that laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy were effective procedures for weight loss and improved candidacy for kidney transplantation\textsuperscript{40,41}. However, perioperative complications and mortality within a 30-day period post bariatric surgery were evident\textsuperscript{39,41}. Also, the impact of
intentional weight loss induced by bariatric surgery on short- and long-term patient- and graft-survival has not yet been examined.

**Prevalence and causes of obesity following transplantation**

Weight gain following transplantation is very common and occurs in up to 50% of KTRs, affecting both obese and non-obese patients. The average weight gain following transplantation is between 10% and 35% of body weight, with the majority of weight gain within the first 12 months following transplantation. Much of the weight gain post-transplant is attributed to an increase in body fat mass, especially in the abdominal area.

Excessive weight gain and increased fat mass in KTRs is traditionally attributed to the immunosuppression treatment protocol post-transplantation. This is due to the well-known hyperphagic effect of steroids, and its adverse influence on adipocytes, resting energy expenditure and lipid oxidation, resulting in centripetal obesity i.e. increased fat deposition in the peritoneum, mediastinum, subcutaneous sites such as face and neck. However, recent evidence suggests that the effect of steroids on weight gain is controversial. Table 2 summarises the studies showing the impact of steroids on weight gain and body composition. First of all, an early study by Ratcliffe et al showed that KTRs on steroid withdrawal therapy achieved a significant reduction in body weight compared to steroid maintenance therapy. Another study by van den Ham et al indicated that weight gain after the first year post-transplant was significantly and positively related to cumulative steroid dose. Further studies by Rogers et al and Woodle et al showed that early steroid withdrawal
minimizes weight gain in KTRs. However, contrasting studies proposed that the role of steroid use on post-transplant weight gain is only partial as an increase in body weight was seen in all KTRs regardless of the immunosuppression protocol\textsuperscript{42,49,53}. In particular, Elster et al\textsuperscript{53} reported no significant difference in weight gain between patients treated with steroid and patients on steroid avoidance therapy, and Johnson et al\textsuperscript{42} found no correlation between weight gain and cumulative steroid dose, which in part is similar to findings from van den Ham et al\textsuperscript{49} where weight gain in the first year post transplant was related neither to maintenance nor to cumulative steroid dose. Furthermore, a single centre study showed no difference in fat mass between steroid avoidance and steroid maintenance protocols, and no relationship was observed between cumulative steroid dose and body composition\textsuperscript{54}.

While the effect of immunosuppressive protocol on weight gain and changes in body composition is controversial, other contributing factors for post-transplant weight gain should be considered. Age, gender, ethnicity, pre-transplant BMI, dialysis modality, the occurrence and treatment of rejection, and graft function have all been suggested as significant contributors to weight changes following transplantation\textsuperscript{42,45,49}. Importantly, weight gain post transplantation may be largely due to lifting of previous dietary restrictions, improved appetite following the correction of uraemia, and enhanced sense of well-being that occurs with transplantation.

**Impact of obesity following transplantation**
Irrespective of the contributing factors to post-transplant weight gain, obesity following transplantation is known to adversely affect cardiovascular risk profile and graft function. A recent study by Hoogeveen et al. showed that one year following transplant, BMI and BMI increments were both significant risk factors for mortality and graft failure in the long-term. In contrast, Kovesdy et al. reported that higher BMI was associated with lower mortality after adjustment for waist circumference, whereas higher waist circumference was associated with higher mortality after adjustment for BMI. The opposite association displayed by BMI and waist circumference highlighted the uncertainty of relying on BMI as a marker of obesity in view of its incapability to distinguish between visceral adiposity, subcutaneous adiposity and muscle mass. Additionally, clinically obese patients with exclusive subcutaneous fat excess were found in a normal metabolic state and demonstrated a limited deposition of fat at visceral sites, conferring to reduced metabolic risk. These findings suggest that not only waist circumference appears to be a better prognostic marker for obesity than BMI, visceral adiposity adversely affect kidney transplant outcomes, and increased subcutaneous fat and/or muscle mass may be protective against mortality risk. In addition, recent data from a renal transplant population showed that lower urinary creatinine excretion, a proxy for reduced muscle mass, was associated with increased mortality and graft failure.

**Obesity-related mechanisms of inferior kidney transplant outcomes**

A number of mechanisms associating obesity and inferior transplant outcomes have been proposed, these are summarised in Figure 1. Overall, sarcopenic obesity, characterised by
reduced muscle mass coupled with increased fat mass, appears to be the driving force behind these mechanisms.

A link between muscle mass and survival has been suggested, increased muscle mass has been proposed to improve skeletal, respiratory and cardiac muscle function, and consequently improve muscle-based oxidative mechanism leading to increased antioxidant defence. Reduced muscle mass may therefore exerts a negative effect on the proposed mechanism, leading to increased risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer, neurodegenerative disorders, and other chronic conditions. Also, gelsolin produced by the skeletal muscle has been shown to be associated with improved survival in dialysis patients. Although the effect of gelsolin has not been studied in kidney transplantation, the depletion of which may explain the higher mortality risk in KTRs.

Negative metabolic effects of raised BMI and adiposity, particularly visceral adiposity, may explain the increased CVD risk and graft failure observed in KTRs. First of all, the ALERT trial showed that raised BMI was associated with inflammation, where inflammatory markers including interleukin-6 (IL-6) and high-sensitivity c-reactive protein (hsCRP) were independently and positively associated with major cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in KTRs. Specifically, visceral adipose tissue is the most metabolically active system that secretes adipokines (cytokines secreted by adipose tissue), examples include chemerin, IL-6, visfatin, adiponectin, leptin, tumor-necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and resistin. The role of adipokine has received preliminary attention in kidney transplantation. Kaisar et al found that adiponectin (an adipokine) up-regulates high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and
down-regulates triglycerides in KTRs, a typical profile of dyslipidaemia associated with obesity \(^{62,57}\), and confers to increased CVD risk in KTRs\(^{62}\). However, Chitalia et al found no association between adiponectin and CVD risk in kidney transplant population \(^{63}\).

Nevertheless, adiponectin was shown to correlate inversely with inflammation in KTRs, characterised by elevated c-reactive protein (CRP) \(^{62}\). In addition, elevated levels of visfatin were found to correlate with inflammation and markers of endothelial damage in KTRs, including raised levels of hsCRP, prothrombin fragments 1 + 2, and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM) \(^{64}\). Furthermore, raised resistin levels correlated with markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in KTRs, including elevated levels of hsCRP, IL-6, thrombomodulin and VCAM \(^{65}\).

The link between inflammation and mortality in KTRs has been established by Winkelmayer et al \(^{66}\). Inflammation has been proposed to exert its downstream adverse sequelae via the mediation of vascular damage causing vascular inflammation, which in turn leads to pathogenesis of atherosclerosis in KTRs \(^{60}\). Another suggested mechanism associated with adiposity is that adipose tissues secretes pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6, which sequentially activates renin-angiotensin-aldosterone pathway, contributing to the onset and progression of graft damage by sustaining cell growth, inflammation and fibrosis \(^{7}\).

In addition, the metabolic load of the graft increases as a result of obesity, the graft consequently adapt by increasing in size and glomerular filtration \(^{7}\). The combining effect of fibrosis and glomerulomegaly are the most common histologic lesions in patients with
obesity-related glomerulopathy, suggesting that these are important pathways leading to graft failure.

**Obesity intervention strategies**

**Lifestyle modification and behavioural intervention**

Currently, no interventional studies exist to evaluate the effect of pre-transplant obesity management on long-term clinical outcomes of kidney transplantation. It is unsurprising as the effect of pre-transplant obesity on kidney transplant outcomes remains uncertain. In contrast, 4 studies have evaluated the effect of lifestyle interventions on weight management post transplantation, with all studies consistently demonstrated that nutritional interventions were effective in achieving weight loss, as summarised in Table 3. It is worth noting that lifestyle intervention incorporating dietary advice led to improved CVD risk factors in KTRs, but the effect of weight reduction through lifestyle intervention per se on kidney transplant outcomes, including “surrogates” such as acute rejection, remains undetermined.

Firstly, Patel et al reported that 4-months of intensive individualised dietary intervention immediately post transplant resulted in significant reduction in body weight and BMI. However, 8 months following cessation of dietary intervention, significant weight gain and increased BMI was observed. These findings suggested that early intensive dietary advice and regular follow-up is effective in controlling weight gain in the first-year following
transplant, and dietary advice should be an important component of post-transplant management. Also, continued dietetic input beyond the early stage may be beneficial for the durability of weight control.

Moreover, Lopes et al.\textsuperscript{67} showed that the 6 months of American Heart Association (AHA) Step One Diet with individualised diet plan and monthly dietetic review significantly reduced body weight and body fat. This was accompanied by a significant improvement in nutritional intake profile including reductions in calorie, dietary cholesterol, total lipids, saturated fats and monounsaturated fats. Along with this, lipid profile also improved, including decreased total- and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, resulting in reduced CVD risk.

Furthermore, Orazio et al.\textsuperscript{73} , by using the Transtheoretical Model of Health Behaviour Change to incorporate simple exercise advice and nutrition therapy (Mediterranean-style low glycaemic index diet), produced results that mirrors Lopes et al, where significant weight loss was observed among KTRs with abnormal glucose tolerance. The progress was also complemented with significant improvement in dietary risk factors for CVD including reductions in intakes of energy, total fat and percentage saturated fat. Improvement in other CVD risk factors was also evident, including reductions in triglycerides, total- and LDL-cholesterol. Importantly, patients with >5% weight loss were associated with increased HDL cholesterol.

Conversely, a study by Jezior et al.\textsuperscript{74} demonstrated that a weight reduction program comprised of 2 sessions with 6 months interval apart failed to achieve an overall weight
reduction. Nevertheless, 27% of patients experienced weight loss following the program. The lack of success could be explained by the nature of this study where no dietary advice was given during the program. At the first visit, patients were only given an evaluation of dietary intake followed by anthropometry assessment and a short description of the negative effects of obesity on post-transplant morbidity. Six months later at the second visit, patients then received dietary advice based on the analysis of the first visit.

In addition to dietetic intervention, increased physical activity level is an important lifestyle modification strategy for weight reduction. Zelle et al. reported an association between increased physical activity level and reduced mortality in KTRs independent of waist circumference, muscle mass, triglyceride and insulin levels.

**Nutritional intervention vs pharmacological approach**

Dietary interventions have shown promising results in weight management when compared with findings from recent prospective controlled trials investigating steroid minimisation as an immunosuppressive strategy. Woodle et al. demonstrated that patients on steroid avoidance protocol gained 2.6kg less weight compared to patients on 5mg maintenance steroid therapy. Also, Vincenti et al. reported that patients on steroid avoidance protocol gained 1.0kg/m² less BMI increment compared to patients on 5-10mg maintenance steroid therapy. These results are rather unremarkable compared to the results from nutritional interventions shown in *Table 3*. Importantly, both studies using steroid minimisation
have shown an increase in acute transplant rejection, which raises concerns over the use of steroid minimisation as a strategy for weight management.

**Alternative strategies to weight management**

Generally, weight management has proven to be a difficult challenge, involving calorie reduction, increased physical activity, and behavioural modification. Increasingly, pharmacological and surgical options are pursued to tackle the problem. The use of anti-obesity medications has not been exclusively studied in KTRs. Sibutramine was associated with hypertension, Orlistat interfered with cyclosporine absorption decreasing its bioavailability, and Rimonabant treatment led to increased systemic exposure of Cyclosporin A as well as psychiatric complications in some patients. Bariatric surgery yielded substantial weight loss in KTRs, and gastric bypass procedure has shown promise in selected KTRs, leading to reduction in cardiovascular co-morbidity.

**Future research on obesity in kidney transplantation**

Currently, the effect of pre-transplant obesity on patient- and graft- survival remains unclear. Also, clinical trials demonstrating the effects of intentional pre-transplant weight loss on subsequent patient- and graft- survival are lacking. Given the well-established contributions of obesity to increased morbidity and mortality following transplantation, future research in this area should be channelled towards prospective interventional studies, aiming to evaluate...
the impact of pre-transplant intentional weight loss through bariatric surgery, lifestyle modification, and behavioural intervention on short- and long-term outcomes of kidney transplantation.

On the contrary, the adverse effect of obesity following transplantation is well-established. Nutritional intervention studies have shown promising results in achieving weight loss and improving risk factors for CVD, but lacked hard clinical endpoints e.g. risk of graft failure and mortality. Future studies should concentrate on evaluating the effect of weight loss on long-term transplant outcomes. Also, the role of visceral adiposity and its related adipose tissue cytokines have only received preliminary attention in kidney transplantation research. At present, there is a lack of pre-clinical data on this topic, thereby highlighting the need to develop experimental animal models of renal transplant with obesity in parallel to ongoing clinical studies. This will not only aid in dissecting the pathophysiology of obesity in renal transplants, but also paving the way for possible interventional studies in the future. In addition, further clinical research should assess the effect of varying adipokines on inflammation and the associated effects on graft failure and mortality. Similarly, the role of muscle mass in KTRs on long-term transplant outcomes has not been well-investigated.

**Conclusion**

In summary, obesity is common both at the time of transplantation and following transplantation. While the implication of pre-transplant obesity on kidney transplant outcomes remains unclear, post-transplant obesity is a significant risk factor for graft failure
and mortality. Obesity intervention post-transplantation focusing on nutritional therapy has shown encouraging results in weight management, but the impact on long term outcomes has not been demonstrated. There is now emerging data signifying the relevance and benefit of nutritional intervention in KTRs. It is therefore important that future research should focus on conducting nutritional intervention studies aiming to improve kidney transplant outcomes in this population group.

**Practical application**

This review article is the first to not only highlight the role of nutritional intervention and the impact of sarcopenia on kidney transplant outcomes, but to illustrate the complex pathophysiology of obesity in this patient population. Future research should focus on conducting prospective studies of nutritional intervention, aiming to evaluate the impact on outcomes of kidney transplantation.
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Table 1: Summary of studies showing the impact of pre-transplant obesity on kidney transplant outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Exposure Variable</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>Impact on Kidney Transplant Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-year graft survival</td>
<td>Obesity had no independent effect on 3-year graft- and patient- survival outcomes.</td>
<td>No impact on long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-year patient survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete wound dehiscence</td>
<td>BMI does not predict other post-operative complications, hospitalization rates, 5-year graft- and patient- survival.</td>
<td>No impact on long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wound infections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other post-operative complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hospitalization rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed graft function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acute rejection episodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-year graft survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-year patient survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett et al (2004)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Wound infections</td>
<td>Patients with BMI&gt;30 kg/m² have increased wound infections.</td>
<td>Impact on short-term outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other surgical complications</td>
<td>No difference in other surgical complications, 3-year graft- and patient-survival between different BMI categories.</td>
<td>No impact on long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-year graft survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-year patient survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massarweh et al (2005)</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Graft-loss (total loss of graft function or patient death with a functioning graft) with mean follow-up time of 24 months</td>
<td>Patients with BMI&gt;30kg/m² had increased incidence of post-transplant surgical complications.</td>
<td>Impact on short-term outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acute rejection rates</td>
<td>No difference in acute rejection rates, long-term mortality and risk of graft failure between patients with BMI&gt;30kg/m² and ≥30kg/m².</td>
<td>No impact on long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoogeveen et al (2011)</td>
<td>1,810</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Patient survival in a 20-year follow-up study with median follow-up time of 8.3 years</td>
<td>Obesity (BMI&gt;30 kg/m²) is a risk factor for both patient mortality and graft failure independent of other cardiovascular risk factors.</td>
<td>Impact on long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Graft-survival in a 20-year follow-up study with median follow-up time of 8.3 years</td>
<td>Obesity (BMI&gt;30 kg/m²) increased the risk of death and graft failure by 20-40% compared with normal BMI.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A high BMI (&gt;30 kg/m²) post-transplantation was more strongly related to death and graft failure compared with pre-transplant obesity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meier-Kriesche et al (2002)</td>
<td>51,927</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Primary study end-points were graft- and patient-survival for up to 10 years post-transplant.</td>
<td>Very high and very low BMI were associated with worse patient- and graft-survival.</td>
<td>Impact on short-term outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary study end-points were death censored graft survival, chronic allograft failure, delayed graft function, and acute rejection.</td>
<td>Very high and very low BMI were associated with death censored graft failure and chronic allograft failure.</td>
<td>Impact on long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated BMI was associated with increased risk of delayed graft function.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No association between BMI and acute rejection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sancho et al (2007)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Graft survival with a mean follow-up of 54 months</td>
<td>Compared to normal weight patients (BMI&lt;25kg/m²), overweight patients (BMI&gt;30kg/m²) displayed higher incidence of hypertension, left-ventricular</td>
<td>Impact on graft survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patient survival was lower in</td>
<td>Patient survival was lower in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Variables</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overall graft survival for up to 6 years post transplantation</td>
<td>Morbid obesity (BMI&gt;35kg/m²) was independently associated with increased risk of delayed graft function, prolonged hospitalization, and acute rejection in the post-operative period. Morbid obesity (BMI&gt;35kg/m²) decreased overall graft survival.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarznau et al (2008)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Graft loss within the first year after kidney transplant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased BMI was in independent risk factor for graft loss within the first year post transplant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meier, Kriesche et al (1999)</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>7-year graft survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-year patient survival</td>
<td>BMI=25kg/m² conferred a 2-fold relative risk of long-term graft loss and patient death. The 7-year actuarial graft survival was higher among the non-obese KTRs (BMI≤25kg/m²) compared to the obese KTRs (BMI&gt;25kg/m²), 88% vs 72%. The 7-year actuarial patient survival was higher among the non-obese KTRs (BMI≤25kg/m²) compared to the obese KTRs (BMI&gt;25kg/m²), 92% vs 81%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact on long-term outcomes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard et al (2001)</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1- and 5- year graft survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1- and 5- year patient survival</td>
<td>Higher incidence of delayed graft function was found in overweight KTRs (BMI between 25.0kg/m² and 29.9kg/m²) and obese KTRs (BMI≥30.0kg/m²). Higher incidence of post-transplant diabetes mellitus was found in obese KTRs (BMI≥30.0kg/m²). Death with a functioning graft was less common among obese KTRs (BMI≥30.0kg/m²) compared to normal weight KTRs (BMI between 25.0 kg/m² and 29.9kg/m²) and overweight KTRs (BMI≥30.0kg/m²). No difference in 1-year and 5-year graft- and patient- survival between different BMI categories (&lt;25.0kg/m², 25.0-29.9kg/m², and ≥30.0kg/m²).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marks et al (2004)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>3-year graft survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-year patient survival</td>
<td>No difference in actuarial patient- and graft- survival rate for the first 3 years after transplant between non-obese and morbidly obese KTRs. Length of hospital stay, number of readmissions within the first 6 months post-transplant, and the number of major wound complications were greater for morbidly obese KTRs compared with non-obese KTRs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Length of hospital stay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Readmission rate within the first 6 months post-transplant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamamoto et al (2002)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1-, 3- and 5- year graft survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-, 3- and 5- year patient survival</td>
<td>Obesity (BMI&gt;30kg/m²) is not a risk factor for delayed graft function, acute rejection, and 1-year graft survival. Decreased 3-year and 5-year graft survival trend was observed in obese KTRs (BMI&gt;30kg/m²). No difference in patient survival between obese and non-obese KTRs at 1-, 2-and 5-year post-transplant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acute rejection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streja et al</td>
<td>10,090</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Graft survival up to 6 years post-transplant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 6 years post-transplantation, obesity (BMI&gt;30kg/m²) was not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact on long-term graft loss.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Patient survival up to 6 years post-transplant</td>
<td>Associated with mortality, although it showed a trend towards higher graft loss.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No impact on long term patient survival.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Summary of studies showing the impact of steroids on weight gain and body composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Exposure Variable</th>
<th>Study Protocol</th>
<th>Key Findings in relation to Weight Gain and Body Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratcliffe et al (1996)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trial of steroid withdrawal</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>KTRs were randomised either to reduce prednisolone treatment to zero over 4 months or to maintain their triple immunosuppression unchanged.</td>
<td>• Steroid withdrawal was associated with a significant reduction in body weight, whereas slight weight increase was observed in the control group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| van den Ham et al (2003)   | 123         | Longitudinal study with a follow-up period of 5 years | Body weight       | KTRs on either steroid- or steroid-free immunosuppression therapy.             | • Weight gain occurs in all KTRs, no difference in weight gain was found between KTRs on steroid- or steroid-free immunosuppression therapy.  
  • Within the first year post-transplant, increase in body weight was related neither to maintenance- nor cumulative steroid dose.  
  • After the first year post-transplant, cumulative steroid dose was positively related weight gain. |
| Rogers et al (2005)        | 301         | Prospective study with a follow-up period of 12 months | Body weight       | KTRs on either chronic corticosteroid therapy (CCST) or corticosteroid withdrawal (CSWD) within 7-day post-transplant. | • Weight gain was significantly higher in KTRs on CCST at 3, 6, and 12 months post-transplant.  
  • Early CSWD minimizes weight gain in KTRs. |
| Woodle et al (2008)        | 386         | 5-year randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial | Body weight       | KTS on either early corticosteroid withdrawal (CSWD) or chronic low dose corticosteroid therapy (CCS). | • CSWD lead to significantly lower weight gain compared to CCS. |
| Johnson et al (1993)       | 115         | Retrospective study with an end-point of 5 years post-transplantation | Body Weight       | Potential factors (including cumulative steroid dose) influencing post-transplantation weight gain were assessed. | • Weight gain did not correlate with cumulative steroid dose. |
| Elster et al (2008)        | 95          | Retrospective study with 3-year follow-up data | Body Weight BMI   | KTRs who received either lymphocyte depletion-based steroid sparing or traditional immunosuppressive therapy that included steroids for maintenance immunosuppression. | • Regardless of immunosuppression therapy, BMI and body weight increased post-transplant in all KTRs. |
| van den Ham (2000)         | 77          | Cross-sectional study | Body composition | KTRs on either 0-, 5-, and 10-mg maintenance steroid therapy. | • No significant differences in fat mass, lean body mass, and body fat distribution between KTRs on 0-, 5-, and 10-mg maintenance steroid therapy.  
  • No significant relationships between cumulative steroid dosage and body composition and body fat distribution parameters in KTRs. |
Table 3: Comparison between nutritional intervention and steroid minimisation protocols on weight changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Magnitude of Weight Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patel et al (1998)</td>
<td>4-month individualised dietary advice immediately post transplant with regular follow-up compared with no dietary advice and follow-up</td>
<td>Dietetic intervention resulted in 5 kg less weight gain compared to no dietetic intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopes et al (1999)</td>
<td>6-month American Heart Association (AHA) Step One Diet with individualised diet plan and monthly dietetic review</td>
<td>Significant reduction of 3 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orazio et al (2011)</td>
<td>Transtheoretical Model of Health Behaviour Change incorporating simple exercise advice and nutrition therapy (Mediterranean-style low glycaemic index diet)</td>
<td>Mean weight reduction not reported, but patients underweight lifestyle intervention resulted in 3.8% weight loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jezior et al (2007)</td>
<td>Weight reduction program comprised of 2 sessions with 6 months interval apart</td>
<td>Mean weight unchanged, but 27% of patients enrolled in weight reduction program experienced weight loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodle et al (2008)</td>
<td>Steroid cessation by day 7 post transplantation compared with 5 mg steroid maintenance</td>
<td>Steroid cessation resulted in 2.6 kg less weight gain compared to 5 mg steroid maintenance therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincenti et al (2008)</td>
<td>Steroid avoidance immunosuppression protocol compared with 5-10 mg steroid maintenance therapy</td>
<td>Mean weight reduction not reported, but mean BMI reduction of 1.0 kg/m² demonstrated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Obesity-related mechanisms of inferior kidney transplant outcomes
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