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The Proto-Oncogene PBF Binds p53 and Is Associated With Prognostic Features in Colorectal Cancer

Martin L. Read,1 Robert I. Seed,1 Bhavika Modasia,1 Perkin P.K. Kwan,1 Neil Sharma,1 Vicki E. Smith,1 Rachel J. Watkins,1 Sukhchain Bansal,1 Teresa Gagliano,2 Anna L. Stratford,1 Tariq Ismail,4 Michael J.O. Wakelam,3 Dae S. Kim,4 Stephen T. Ward,4 Kristien Boelaert,1 Jayne A. Franklyn,1 Andrew S. Turnell,4 and Christopher J. McCabe1*

1School of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Birmingham, UK  
2University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy  
3Department of Pediatrics, University of British Columbia, Canada  
4School of Cancer Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK  
5The Babraham Institute, Babraham Research Campus, UK  
6Centre for Liver Research and NIHR Centre for Biomedical Research Unit, University of Birmingham, UK

The PTTG1-binding factor (PBF) is a transforming gene capable of eliciting tumor formation in xenograft models. However, the precise role of PBF in tumorigenesis and its prognostic value as a cancer biomarker remain largely uncharacterized, particularly in malignancies outside the thyroid. Here, we provide the first evidence that PBF represents a promising prognostic marker in colorectal cancer. Examination of a total of 39 patients demonstrated higher PBF expression at both the mRNA (P = 0.009) and protein (P < 0.0001) level in colorectal tumors compared to matched normal tissue. Critically, PBF was most abundant in colorectal tumors associated with Extramural Vascular Invasion (EMVI), increased genetic instability (GI) and somatic TP53 mutations, all features linked with recurrence and poorer patient survival. We further demonstrate by glutathione–S–transferase (GST) pull-down and coimmunoprecipitation that PBF binds to the tumor suppressor protein p53, as well as to p53 mutants (Δ126–132, M133K, V197E, G245D, I255F and R273C) identified in the colorectal tumors. Importantly, overexpression of PBF in colorectal HCT116 cells interfered with the transcriptional activity of p53-responsive genes such as mdm2, p21 and sfn. Diminished p53 stability (> 90%; P < 0.01) was also evident with a concurrent increase in ubiquitinated p53. Human colorectal tumors with wild-type TP53 and high PBF expression also had low p53 protein levels (P < 0.05), further emphasizing a putative interaction between these genes in vivo. Overall, these results demonstrate an emerging role for PBF in colorectal tumorigenesis through regulating p53 activity, with implications for PBF as a prognostic indicator for invasive tumors.

© 2014 The Authors. Molecular Carcinogenesis published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in developed countries [1]. Ten-year survival rates for patients with colorectal cancer have increased to ~50% in the past 30 yr. However, a better understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms will be needed to identify suitable therapeutic targets to improve long-term survival. Genetic alterations that initiate and promote colorectal tumorigenesis have been well-defined and include mutations in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene, the β-catenin gene CTNNB1 and BRAF [2,3]. The precise role of proteins that have been proposed to affect later stages of colorectal tumorigenesis such as p53, Smad4, DCC and K-Ras [4] still need further investigation to facilitate their usefulness as potential therapeutic targets. Among these, inactivation of the tumor suppressor protein p53 has been identified as a critical event in the pathogenesis of most cancers, with well-established roles in cell-cycle regulation, apoptosis and senescence [5]. New roles for p53 in intestinal tumorigenesis have recently been identified in murine models, including those involved in invasiveness control [6,7], creation of an inflammatory microenvironment [8] and the induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [8]. In addition, it...
has emerged that p53-regulated transcription of miRNAs such as the miR-34 family may be involved in controlling migration [9], invasion [9] and EMT induction [10,11] of colorectal cancer cells. These studies emphasize the importance of identifying how p53 activity is modulated in colorectal cancer to help define the pathways integral to tumorigenesis.

Typically p53 inactivation is considered a late event involved in the transition of benign tumors to invasive colorectal cancer [4,12]. It has been well-characterised that inactivating mutations of the TP53 gene can occur in up to 50% of colorectal tumors, and their frequency increases with advancing tumor stage [13]. However, it is unclear whether proteins that physically interact with PTTG1, such as the PTTG1-binding factor (PBF) [18], Ku70 [19], ribosomal protein S10 [20] and DNAJA1 [20], can modulate the effects of PTTG1 on p53 activity.

Also known as PTTG1-interacting protein (PTTG1IP), PBF was first identified through its ability to bind and facilitate nuclear translocation of PTTG1 [18]. In subsequent studies we have described PBF overexpression in thyroid [21], pituitary [22] and breast cancers [23]. Functional studies highlighted that PBF was a transforming gene in vitro and induced subcutaneous high-grade malignant tumor formation in athymic nude mice [21]. PBF overexpression in breast MCF-7 cells led to increased cell invasion, which could be abrogated both by small interfering RNA (siRNA) treatment and specific mutation [23]. We further demonstrated that thyroid-targeted expression of PBF in transgenic mice resulted in the induction of hyperplastic growth and macrofollicular thyroid lesions [24]. Collectively, these observations indicated that PBF has particular relevance to endocrine and breast tumorigenesis, but its precise role in neoplasia was not established.

In this study we show for the first time that PBF is overexpressed in the non-endocrine setting of human colorectal tumors, and particularly in invasive tumors. Furthermore, we present evidence suggesting that PBF binds and acts as a novel regulator of p53 in colorectal cancers, an action that appears to be independent of PTTG1. This study therefore identifies a new pathway of p53 inactivation in tumorigenesis, and thus provides insights into understanding the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer.
Western Blotting

Western blot analyses were performed as described previously [23,26]. Blots were probed with specific antibodies against PBF [23,26], 1:500; HA (Covance Research Products), 1:2000 and p53(D0–1) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), 1:1000. Antigen-antibody complexes were detected using the ECL Plus chemiluminescent detection system (Amersham Biosciences). Actin expression was determined using mouse monoclonal anti-B actin antibody clone AC-15 (Sigma-Aldrich) at 1:10,000. Protein quantification was performed on cell lysates using the Bradford assay. To quantify detected bands by densitometry, blots were scanned into Photoshop (Adobe Systems) keeping all scanning parameters the same and analysed using ImageJ software [29].

Real-Time RT-PCR and Sequencing

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed using the Reverse Transcription System (Promega). Expression of specific mRNAs was determined using 7500 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) as previously described [24]. Colorectal tumor cDNA was sequenced to determine p53 mutational status as described with minor modifications [30]. Details of sequencing primers are given in Supplementary Figure S1A.

p53 Binding and Stability Assays

L-[35S]-methionine-labelled p53 was expressed in vitro using a TNT T7 Coupled Reticulocyte Lysate System according to the manufacturer’s guidelines (Promega). In vitro glutathione-S-transferase (GST) pull-down assays using [35S]-p53 and GST-PBF proteins were performed using established protocols [31]. Coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays were performed as described previously [26]. In p53 half-life experiments cells were incubated in 100 μM anisomycin for 2 h prior to cell lystate extraction using standard protocols. In p53 ubiquitination experiments cells were incubated in 20 μM MG132 for 5 h prior to cell lystate extraction.

Biotinylated Oligonucleotide Pull-down Assay

Oligonucleotide pull-downs were performed essentially as described previously [32]. An oligonucleotide (p21, Supplementary Figure S2A) containing a consensus p53 binding sequence was 5’-end labelled with biotin and incubated with 25 ng recombinant human p53 protein (Active Motif, #31318). The DNA binding mixture contained 2.5 nM biotinylated DNA, 200 mM NaCl, 3 mM DTT, 25 mM HEPES-OH (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween-20, 5% glycerol and 1 μg poly(dA-dT). In competition reactions non-biotinylated oligonucleotide, recombinant PBF protein or BSA was also added to the binding reaction as indicated. DNA/protein complexes were captured with 5 μg of magnetic streptavidin beads (Promega). Subsequently, bound proteins were probed with an anti-p53 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Prior to use magnetic beads were incubated in 7 mg/ml acetylated BSA for 30 min to prevent non-specific binding of p53. Oligonucleotide sequences are given in Supplementary Figure S2A.

Proximity Ligation Assay

The Duolink in situ proximity ligation assay (PLA) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Olink Bioscience). In our experiments MCF-7 cells were seeded onto coverslips and transfected with expression vectors for p53 (pcDNA3-p53) and HA-tagged PBF (pcDNA3-PBF-HA) for 24 h prior to performing the PLA assay.

Immunohistochemistry and Genetic Instability

Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded sections of colorectal tissue were immunostained using an avidin-biotin peroxidase technique (Vectastain Elite, Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Immunostaining was performed with specific antibodies against PBF [23,26], 1:200 as described previously [21]. FISSR-PCR to assess genetic instability (GI) of colorectal tumors was performed using primer (CA)8RG as described previously [34].

Cell Migration

HCT116 cell migration experiments were performed using the ORIS™ Cell Migration Assay (Platypus Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Briefly, the cell stopper was removed after seeding 50,000 HCT116 cells per 96 well for 16 h. Cells were then washed gently with 100 μl sterile PBS, fed with 100 μl culture media and incubated for 24 h at 37°C with 5% CO2 prior to analysis of cell migration. At least eight wells were analysed per condition which involved imaging cells with an inverted light microscope and digital camera (Leica Microsystems). ImageJ software was used to process the image and quantify the proportion of migrating cells.

Statistical Analysis

Data are displayed as mean ± SE. Normally distributed data were analysed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test, unless otherwise indicated. A P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
RESULTS
Overexpression of PBF in Human Colorectal Tumors

Based on our previous findings that PBF expression was upregulated in thyroid cancer [21], we investigated whether PBF was also overexpressed in colorectal cancer and might therefore represent a prognostic indicator. Tumors showed 2.4-fold higher PBF mRNA expression than matched normals (Figure 1A; n = 24; $P = 0.009$). Immunohistochemistry revealed significant positive granular staining for PBF in the cytoplasm of adenocarcinomas, with weak or absent PBF staining in normal tissue specimens (Figure 1B).

Protein expression was quantified through Western blotting in a further 15 matched normal [N] and cancer [C] colorectal specimens. 14/15 (93.3%) of tumors demonstrated significant PBF upregulation (Figure 1C), with a mean ~6-fold induction of protein compared to matched normal tissue (Figure 1D; $P < 0.0001$). Altogether these results demonstrate that PBF expression is elevated in colorectal tumors and thus represents a potential prognostic marker.

PBF Promotes Colony Formation Independent of PTTG1-Binding Domain

To investigate whether the interaction between PBF and the human securin PTTG1 might have a role in tumorigenesis [18], we evaluated the transformation ability of PBF and a mutant of PBF (M1) lacking the entire PTTG1-binding domain (PTTG1 BD). Consistent with previous data [21], stable overexpression of PBF in NIH-3T3 cells resulted in the formation of highly significant numbers of colonies in soft agar assays compared to VO cells (Supplementary Figure S3; VO 9.1 ± 1.4 colonies; PBF 201 ± 33.2; $P < 0.001$). However, mutant PBF (M1) was still capable of transforming NIH-3T3 cells with a significant number of colonies (Supplementary Figure S3; M1 175 ± 23.5; $P < 0.001$), thus implying that the transforming ability of PBF is not dependent on PTTG1.

PBF Binds to p53

We next examined whether PBF interacts with p53, a protein critical in suppressing human cancers. Initial GST pull-down assays demonstrated that L-α-[35S]-methionine-labeled p53 binds to the full-length PBF protein but not with the GST control (WT 1–180; Figure 2A). Deletion mutants of GST-PBF altered the stringency of p53 binding. For instance, a mutant of PBF lacking amino acids 149–180 (M1) retained p53 binding, whereas the p53 interaction was lost with a mutant lacking amino acids 94–149 (M2). We next investigated whether the two proteins were able to bind in colorectal cancer HCT116 cells. Following irradiation of cells PBF was precipitated with an anti-PBF antibody. Coimmunoprecipitation of p53 with PBF was observed by probing with an anti-p53 antibody following Western blotting (Figure 2B) with a two-fold greater level of intensity than in non-irradiated HCT116 cells (Figure 2C; $P < 0.01$; n = 3). Analysis of total protein cell lysate confirmed increased p53 expression in irradiated cells whereas PBF protein level was unaltered compared to controls (Figure 2B).

The reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation was performed using the anti-p53 antibody for precipitation of p53 and immunoblotting for PBF, which resulted in

![Figure 1. Elevated PBF expression in colorectal tumors. (A) PBF mRNA levels in matched normal and tumor colorectal pairs (n = 24). Data presented as mean ± SE. (B) Representative images of PBF immunostaining in matched normal (i, iii) and colorectal tumor specimens (ii, iv). (C) Western blot analysis of PBF expression in matched normal [N] and cancer [C] colorectal pairs along with a β-actin loading control (n = 15). (D) Quantification of PBF protein expression in colorectal tumors relative to normal tissue (n = 15). Data presented as a scatterplot and analysed with Wilcoxon signed-rank test. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.](image)
successful co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 2D). In subsequent experiments we examined the binding interaction after exogenous expression of p53 and PBF (Figure 2E). Following the transient transfection of PBF and p53 into HCT116 cells PBF was precipitated with an anti-PBF antibody. Purified proteins were analysed by Western blotting using an anti-p53 antibody. (lower) Analysis of total protein lysate demonstrating the presence of p53 and PBF along with a β-actin control. (C) Quantification of mean p53 protein levels ± SE from 3 independent co-IP assays described in (B). **, P < 0.01. (D) Reciprocal co-IP assay in untreated HCT116 cells showing interaction between PBF and p53. Asterisk indicates position of ~30 kDa band corresponding to PBF. (lower) Immunoprecipitation of PBF in total protein lysates. (E) Co-IP assays in HCT116 cells transfected with PBF p53, or PBF and p53. Co-IP assays were performed as described in (B). (lower) Western blotting analysis to confirm exogenous expression of p53 and PBF along with β-actin control. (F) Oligonucleotide pull-down analysis of p53 binding to a consensus p21 promoter in the presence of increasing amounts of recombinant PBF compared to BSA (upper), a control (CON) oligonucleotide (upper), or recombinant PBF mutant proteins M1 and M2 as indicated (lower). Values indicate fold (x) molar excess relative to p53. NAb = no antibody control.

In parallel experiments proximity ligation assays also demonstrated the presence of red spots of specific p53 and PBF interaction in MCF7 cells (Supplementary Figure S2C). Altogether these results demonstrate that PBF protein binds to p53 both in vitro and in the cellular environment in colorectal cells.

PBF Increases Turnover and Ubiquitination of p53

p53 is an intrinsically unstable protein which is subject to degradation both in the nucleus and cytoplasm. We next examined whether the interaction of PBF with p53 resulted in altered protein stability. Half-life studies using anisomycin to block de novo protein synthesis showed that overexpression of PBF resulted in significantly increased turnover of p53 protein in HCT116 cells, with a ~90% decrease in p53 levels compared to VO controls after 120 min (Figure 3A and B; P < 0.01; n = 4). Further evidence of diminished p53 stability in PBF-transfected HCT116 cells was shown by an increased level of high mw p53 conjugates in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Figure 3C), and in cells cotransfected with HA-tagged ubiquitin (Ubq-HA) and p53 (Figure 3D), which are consistent with the accumulation of ubiquitinated p53. Analysis of p53
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mRNA levels also revealed no change in PBF-transfected HCT116 cells (Figure 3E), which indicated that the effects of PBF on p53 were primarily at the level of protein stability and not transcriptional.

**PBF Modulates p53 Activity**

Given that PBF binds p53, we next analyzed the effect of PBF on the transactivation activity of p53 in transient reporter assays using p53-null H1299 cells. When coexpressed with p53, PBF significantly repressed p53-mediated hdm2 promoter activity by up to 50% (Figure 4A; \( P < 0.0001 \)) in H1299 cells. PBF mutant M1, which retained p53 binding in the GST pull-down assay, also repressed p53-mediated hdm2 promoter activity (Figure 4B; \( P < 0.0001 \)), but the PBF mutant M2, which did not bind p53, was unable to repress p53 transcriptional activity (\( P = \text{NS} \)).

In normal cells p53 is maintained at low levels but stabilized by irradiation-induced DNA damage. We therefore first determined the optimal p53 response in irradiated HCT116 cells (Figure 4C) and then examined the influence of manipulating PBF expression on cell survival in response to irradiation. In the absence of PBF, HCT116 cell survival was reduced by \(~30\%\) following exposure to irradiation (Figure 4D). In contrast, cells transfected with PBF demonstrated no decrease in cell survival, and indeed showed significantly greater cell number than irradiated VO-transfected cells (\( P < 0.01 \)). Examination of p53 regulated genes indicated that PBF overexpression had ablated the ability of irradiation to induce mRNA levels for genes such as p21 (Figure 4E; \( P < 0.05 \)), mdm2 (Figure 4E; \( P < 0.05 \)), bax (Supplementary Figure S4; \( P < 0.05 \)) and sfn (Supplementary Figure S5; \( P < 0.05 \)) compared to irradiated VO-transfected cells. To determine whether the reverse relationship held true, we depleted PBF (Figure 4F) and observed significant increase in p53 activity in HCT116 cells with elevated p21 (Figure 4F; \( P < 0.001 \)) and sfn (Supplementary Figure S5; \( P < 0.05 \)) mRNA levels. Together these results provide compelling evidence for the functional ability of PBF to modulate p53 activity in colorectal cells.

**PBF Expression Correlates with p53 Status**

Colorectal cancers are known to contain a high frequency of \( TP53 \) mutations that alter stability of the p53 protein as well as cellular function [35,36]. To gain further insight into the association between p53 and PBF in vivo we next compared the mutational status and relative expression of p53 in a cohort of 15 matched human normal (N) and cancer (C) colorectal specimens against their PBF expression levels as described in Figure 1C. Screening of our 15 matched colorectal specimens identified 8 tumors that contained either a nonfunctional somatic \( TP53 \) mutation or deletion (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure S1B). Highly stabilized p53 protein was also evident in these mutant p53 colorectal tumors (\( n = 8 \)) with a mean 20.6 ± 3.8-fold higher relative level of p53 expression than in WT p53 tumors (\( P < 0.001; n = 7 \); Figure 5B). Further details of the \( TP53 \) mutations identified in these tumors...
colorectal tumors and associated clinical data are given in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

To improve detection of the lower expression of p53 in WT TP53 tumors we quantified immunoblots at higher exposure as shown in Supplementary Figure S6. An inverse relationship was apparent between p53 and PBF such that colorectal tumors with WT TP53 and high PBF expression (>2-fold induction) had reduced p53 protein levels compared to those with low PBF expression (Figure 5C; \( P < 0.05 \)). In addition, all tumors with mutated TP53 were associated with higher PBF expression compared to those with WT TP53 (Figure 5D; \( P < 0.05 \)). Having observed strong evidence for PBF binding to WT p53, we next examined whether PBF was also able to bind to mutant p53. Following transient transfection of PBF and six of the p53 mutants (M1-M6) identified in the human colorectal tumors (Figure 5B), PBF was precipitated with an anti-PBF antibody. Coprecipitation for all 6 p53 mutants studied with PBF was observed following Western blotting using an anti-p53 antibody at up to 5.7-fold greater intensity than WT p53 (Figure 5E). In contrast, p53 was not detected in controls in which PBF and empty vector (\( \text{EV} \)) were transfected.

Analysis of total protein cell lysate demonstrated the presence of exogenous PBF and mutant p53 protein (Figure 5E).

These results provide evidence for a significant correlation between PBF and p53 expression levels in vivo, as well as the impact of mutant p53 status on these associations.
PBF Expression Correlates with Genetic Instability and Invasiveness

Dysregulation of p53 function has been linked to GI and invasiveness of colorectal tumors. We therefore examined whether PBF expression also correlated with levels of GI in colorectal tumors. Those tumors with greater than two-fold induction of PBF mRNA compared to their matched normals had significantly higher GI than those tumors with lower than two-fold induction (Figure 6A; \( P < 0.05 \)), indicating an association between PBF expression and GI in colorectal tumors.

We observed that PBF expression was also significantly higher (~2-fold; \( P < 0.05 \)) in WT TP53 colorectal tumors with evidence of Extramural Vascular Invasion (EMVI) (Figure 6B), an independent predictor of recurrence and poorer overall survival [37,38], than those that failed to invade. In keeping with this, subsequent in vitro experiments demonstrated ~60% greater migration of PBF-transfected HCT116 cells at 24 h (Figure 6C; \( P < 0.001 \)) compared to VO-transfected controls, which further suggests a role for PBF in promoting cell movement in colorectal tumorigenesis.

Taken together these results demonstrate the ability of PBF to bind and regulate p53 activity, as well as correlating with clinical parameters of colorectal cancer. We therefore propose that PBF has a role in colorectal tumorigenesis through inhibition of p53 activity, which may involve the induction of cancer cell migration and invasion.

DISCUSSION

PBF is a relatively well-described protein [21,23,24,26,39–41] comprising 180 amino acids which is ubiquitously expressed [18], but shares no significant homology with other human proteins. It is highly conserved across animal species, suggesting both unique function and significant evolutionary importance. We previously reported overexpression of PBF in thyroid [21] and breast cancers [23], and demonstrated it to be a transforming gene in vitro
and tumorigenic in vivo [21]. However, the precise role of PBF in tumorigenesis is unknown. Our current study provides the first evidence that PBF should be considered a new aetiological factor in colorectal cancer, as well as a potential prognostic biomarker.

Here, we characterized PBF in human colorectal tumors in order to gain possible insights into the role of PBF in tumorigenesis. Strikingly, PBF was overexpressed in the majority of colorectal tumors examined at both the mRNA (~2.5-fold) and protein (~6-fold) level, which was comparable to that seen in papillary thyroid tumors [21]. Previous studies have indicated that the expression of PBF appears to be greater in more aggressive cancers [21,42]. High PBF expression, for instance, was significantly correlated with locoregional recurrence and distant metastases in 153 patients with papillary thyroid cancer [42]. We also observed an 8-fold increase in PBF mRNA in recurrent papillary thyroid cancer compared to 2.7-fold in tumors without recurrence [21]. Similarly in this study, PBF was most abundant in colorectal tumors with reported EMVI, raised GI and somatic TP53 mutations, all features linked with recurrence and poorer patient survival [13,37,38,43]. Together these findings indicate that PBF represents a promising prognostic indicator for aggressive colorectal tumors.

The mechanisms governing colorectal tumorigenesis are yet to be fully defined, despite recent progress in identifying new roles for p53 in tumor progression [6,8,9,11]. The control of p53 activity itself is known to be highly complex involving a myriad of different pathways, and interactions with both positive and negative regulatory factors [5,44]. Our data clearly show that PBF interacts specifically with p53 as

**Figure 6.** Increased genetic instability and invasiveness of cells with elevated PBF. (A) Relative GI indexes of colorectal tumors with either low (n = 12) or high PBF mRNA levels (n = 6; >2-fold induction). (B) Relative PBF expression in WT TP53 human colorectal tumors either without (−) or with (+) EMVI. Protein levels were normalized to β-actin. Representative Western blot of PBF expression in colorectal tumors either without (patient #8) or with EMVI (patient #4), along with β-actin, is also shown. (C) Relative proportion of migrating HCT116 cells transfected with either VO or PBF after 24 h (n = 8). Representative images are shown of transfected cells taken with an inverted light microscope (upper panels) and then digitally processed (middle panels) for data analysis using ImageJ software. Magnified regions are shown in lower panels. Circles (dashed) of identical diameter are superimposed on images of both VO and PBF-transfected cells to highlight greater migration of PBF-transfected cells. *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001.
cells, as well as altered mRNA expression for p53-assays and increased survival of irradiated HCT116 sequences, with reduced transactivation in p53 reporter assays. It is therefore expected that PBF might facilitate the role of p53 in colorectal cancer overexpression of PTTG1 has been well-described in invasive disease [14], with particular significance as a marker for patient survival [15]. A role for PBF in regulating PTTG1 activity was indicated in one study where nuclear translocation of PTTG1 was enhanced by PBF in COS-7 cells [18]. We therefore expected that PBF might facilitate the role of PTTG1 as a human securin in colorectal tumorigene-
sis to inhibit mitosis and generate intrachromosomal breaks, as well as increasing the interaction between PTTG1 and p53 [16,55]. Our current data, however, suggest that the interaction of PBF with p53 might represent a new pathway in colorectal tumorigenesis that is independent of PTTG1 action. In particular, we found that a mutant of PBF (M1) lacking the entire PTTG1-binding domain (PTTG1 BD), as identified by Chien and colleagues [18], was still capable of binding p53 and abrogating function in a transactivation p53 reporter assay, as well as efficiently transforming NIH-3T3 cells in soft agar assays. In addition, preliminary co-IP experiments showed that the PBF:p53 interaction was not significantly altered in PTTG1-depleted cells (data not shown). These findings are therefore supportive of an independence of action for PBF.

A high frequency of *TP53* mutations have been identified in colorectal tumors [35]. Our data are in keeping with those in the literature with about 50% of tumors demonstrating either a somatic or deletion mutation in *TP53*, with two of the amino acids identified, that is, R273 and R282, known to be “hotspot” residues [12]. Importantly, we were able to show significant associations between PBF with the mutational status and expression of p53 in colorectal tumors. Of particular significance was that WT *TP53* tumors with high PBF expression had reduced p53 levels compared to those with low PBF expression, which further emphasizes a putative interaction between these two genes in vivo. However, greater PBF expression was also evident in colorectal tumors with somatic *TP53* mutations, a scenario in which patients have a shorter survival time than those with a WT *TP53* gene [13]. We are now planning to expand this study and investigate the wider clinical associations of these findings in a larger cohort of colorectal tumors.

It will also be important to determine the precise biological consequences of the interaction between PBF and mutant p53. Our coimmunoprecipitation experiments in p53-null H1299 cells showed a strong interaction between PBF and mutant p53. Our coimmunoprecipitation experiments in p53-null H1299 cells showed a strong interaction between PBF and mutant p53. Our coimmunoprecipitation experiments in p53-null H1299 cells showed a strong interaction between PBF and mutant p53. Our coimmunoprecipitation experiments in p53-null H1299 cells showed a strong interaction between PBF and mutant p53. Our coimmunoprecipitation experiments in p53-null H1299 cells showed a strong interaction between PBF and mutant p53.
partner such as Sp1 [36] that can enhance the oncopgenic activity of mutant p53.

Cancer cell migration and invasion are important initial steps in tumor metastasis. Of relevance in this study was the observation that PBF expression was higher in colorectal tumors with reported EMVI, a poor prognostic feature linked with recurrence and poorer overall survival, in which malignant cells have invaded endothelial cell-lined blood vessels [37,38]. Previously, we have shown that high PBF expression was associated with cancer cell invasion in breast MCF-7 cells [23]. Similarly in this study, we demonstrated that PBF overexpression induced the migration of colorectal HCT116 cells. The mechanism for the ability of PBF to promote cell movement still needs to be clarified. However, it was recently suggested that p53 controls a specific gene signature to suppress intestinal tumor progression, and that loss of p53 in the intestinal epithelium of Csnk1a1<sup>−/−</sup> p53<sup>−/−</sup> mice was responsible for rapid invasiveness [6]. Therefore, based on these observations and other recent studies on p53 [7–11], we propose that the ability of PBF to bind and regulate p53 function might have a direct role in promoting colorectal cancer cell migration and invasion.

In summary, we present evidence for PBF as a novel interacting partner of p53, which modulates p53 transactivation capabilities by disrupting promoter binding and altering p53 stability. This is the first study to demonstrate overexpression of PBF in colorectal cancer, particularly in invasive WT p53 and mutant p53 tumors, thus implying that this gene should be investigated in future studies as a novel aetiological marker in colorectal tumorigenesis. We recently showed that thyroid-related inhibitory properties of PBF can be abrogated with the Src inhibitor PP1 to promote radiiodine uptake [41]. Thus, the ability of PBF activity to be regulated by inhibitors suggests that targeting PBF might also represent a promising therapeutic strategy in colorectal cancer.
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