

Effect of losartan on performance and physiological responses to exercise at high altitude (5035 m)

Lucas, Samuel J. E.; Malein, William L; Thomas, Owen D; Ashdown, Kimberly M; Rue, Carla A; Joyce, Kelsey E.; Newman, Charles; Cadigan, Patrick; Johnson, Brian; Myers, Stephen D; Myers, Fiona A; Wright, Alexander D.; Delamere, John; Imray, Chris H E; Bradwell, Arthur R.; Edsell, Mark

DOI:

[10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000982](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000982)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Lucas, SJE, Malein, WL, Thomas, OD, Ashdown, KM, Rue, CA, Joyce, KE, Newman, C, Cadigan, P, Johnson, B, Myers, SD, Myers, FA, Wright, AD, Delamere, J, Imray, CHE, Bradwell, AR & Edsell, M 2021, 'Effect of losartan on performance and physiological responses to exercise at high altitude (5035 m)', *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 1, e000982. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000982>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Lucas SJE, Malein WL, Thomas OD Birmingham Medical Research Expeditionary Society, et al Effect of losartan on performance and physiological responses to exercise at high altitude (5035 m) *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* 2021;7:e000982. doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000982

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.




When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Effect of losartan on performance and physiological responses to exercise at high altitude (5035 m)

Samuel J E Lucas ¹, William L Malein,² Owen D Thomas,³ Kimberly M Ashdown,⁴ Carla A Rue,⁴ Kelsey E Joyce ¹, Charles Newman,⁵ Patrick Cadigan,⁶ Brian Johnson,⁶ Stephen D Myers,⁴ Fiona A Myers,⁷ Alexander D Wright,⁸ John Delamere,⁸ Chris H E Imray,⁹ Arthur R Bradwell ⁸, Mark Edsell,¹⁰ Birmingham Medical Research Expeditionary Society

To cite: Lucas SJE, Malein WL, Thomas OD, *et al.* Effect of losartan on performance and physiological responses to exercise at high altitude (5035 m). *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* 2021;**7**:e000982. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000982

Accepted 14 December 2020

ABSTRACT

Objective Altitude-related and exercise-related elevations in blood pressure (BP) increase the likelihood of developing pulmonary hypertension and high-altitude illness during high-altitude sojourn. This study examined the antihypertensive effect and potential exercise benefit of the angiotensin II receptor antagonist losartan when taken at altitude.

Methods Twenty participants, paired for age and ACE genotype status, completed a double-blinded, randomised study, where participants took either losartan (100 mg/day) or placebo for 21 days prior to arrival at 5035 m (Whymper Hut, Mt Chimborazo, Ecuador). Participants completed a maximal exercise test on a supine cycle ergometer at sea level (4 weeks prior) and within 48 hours of arrival to 5035 m (10-day ascent). Power output, beat-to-beat BP, oxygen saturation (SpO₂) and heart rate (HR) were recorded during exercise, with resting BP collected from daily medicals during ascent. Before and immediately following exercise at 5035 m, extravascular lung water prevalence was assessed with ultrasound (quantified via B-line count).

Results At altitude, peak power was reduced relative to sea level ($p < 0.01$) in both groups (losartan vs placebo: down 100 ± 29 vs 91 ± 28 W, $p = 0.55$), while SpO₂ (70 ± 6 vs $70 \pm 5\%$, $p = 0.96$) and HR (146 ± 21 vs 149 ± 24 bpm, $p = 0.78$) were similar between groups at peak power, as was the increase in systolic BP from rest to peak power (up 80 ± 37 vs 69 ± 33 mm Hg, $p = 0.56$). Exercise increased B-line count ($p < 0.05$), but not differently between groups (up 5 ± 5 vs 8 ± 10 , $p = 0.44$).

Conclusion Losartan had no observable effect on resting or exercising BP, exercise-induced symptomatology of pulmonary hypertension or performance at 5035 m.

INTRODUCTION

Altitude and dynamic exercise both increase arterial blood pressure (BP).^{1,2} This information is of increasing relevance to standard clinical practice given the increasing numbers of individuals with pre-existing cardiovascular conditions (eg, hypertension) sojourning at high altitude.³ Moreover, BP management

What are the findings?

- ▶ Losartan, an angiotensin II type-I receptor antagonist, had no observable effect on either resting or exercise blood pressure responses at 5035 m, nor did it reliably reduce exercise-induced symptomatology of pulmonary hypertension or improve arterial saturation.
- ▶ Losartan did not improve exercise performance at 5035 m.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the future?

- ▶ Findings of a null effect on blood pressure management has implications for hypertensive individuals currently receiving antihypertensive treatment who travel to high altitudes, as they may be at greater risk for high altitude illness and cardiovascular events than the normotensive individuals tested herein.

is essential in the high-altitude setting since pulmonary hypertension can precipitate the development of high altitude pulmonary oedema (HAPE).⁴ The worrying symptomatology of HAPE, including fatigue, dyspnoea and the potential for death, has made prophylaxis and treatment an important aspect of modern altitude medicine.⁵ The antihypertensive actions of nifedipine and dexamethasone have been shown to decrease arterial BP (although not consistently⁶), pulmonary artery pressure, and reduce the incidence of HAPE.^{6,7}

Pharmacological inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) could also be beneficial for HAPE prevention and may also improve exercise performance at altitude. Support for how RAAS inhibition may prevent HAPE lies within genetic studies, which have demonstrated that genetic



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Samuel J E Lucas;
S.J.E.Lucas@bham.ac.uk



polymorphisms among RAAS-associated candidate genes are related with the physiologic response to hypoxic exposure.^{8,9} For example, polymorphisms in the ACE gene (eg, I/I vs D/D genotype) appear to partly contribute to the heterogeneity displayed in the susceptibility to high-altitude illness.¹⁰ Further, the I allele is more frequently found in climbers who successfully reach over 8000 m and has been associated with the ability to maintain higher arterial oxygenation at altitude, a greater hypoxic ventilatory drive, higher endurance performance at sea level, as well as better high-altitude adaptation.^{8,10,11} Parati *et al* have previously demonstrated the anti-hypertensive effects of RAAS inhibition (via telmisartan, 80 mg dose) during exposure to high altitude,¹² however, the potential of RAAS inhibition to improve exercise performance at altitude remains unknown, as does its ability to reduce exercise-induced evidence of pulmonary hypertension (eg, increases in extravascular lung water (EVLW)).¹³ Interestingly, Kiely *et al* reported that losartan, an angiotensin II receptor antagonist, reduced both systemic BP and pulmonary vascular resistance in response to acute graded hypoxic exposure.¹⁴ Whether this laboratory-based observation translates to the sustained hypoxic exposure of the high-altitude setting remains to be determined.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of the angiotensin II receptor antagonist, losartan, on BP during ascent and to determine whether it could improve exercise performance at 5035 m. We hypothesised that blockade of the angiotensin receptor would reduce altitude-related increases in resting and exercising BP, reduce the effect of strenuous exercise on the development of EVLW at high altitude, and ultimately improve exercise performance via enhanced pulmonary ventilation (V_E)-perfusion matching and increased arterial oxygenation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design and participants

Twenty participants (14 male, 6 female) free of any pre-existing conditions were included in the study. None of the participants had slept at high altitude (ie, >2500 m) in the 3 months prior to the experiment. ACE genotyping (II, ID or DD) was performed for each participant prior to pair-matching participants for ACE genotype, age, sex and previous altitude exposure. Following matching, a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial design was adopted in which individuals within each pair were randomly assigned to either placebo or losartan groups. Losartan (100 mg/day or placebo) and placebo (starch) administration began in the UK 21 days prior to departure for Quito, Ecuador (2850 m). On arrival at Quito, participants ascended over 8 days to the Whymper Hut on the flank of Chimborazo volcano (5035 m). Within 48 hours of arrival to 5035 m, each pair of participants (within ~1 hour of each other) completed a graded maximal aerobic capacity exercise test. Further details of participant genotype pairing, losartan administration

and ascent profile have been previously published as part of another study conducted on this expedition.¹⁵

Baseline and daily measures

Baseline measures of BP and exercise performance were recorded at sea-level and before losartan/placebo administration (~4 weeks prior to ascent). During ascent, measures of resting systolic and diastolic BPs (SBP and DBP) were collected each morning using a manual sphygmomanometer as part of the routine medical examination.

Exercise protocol and measures

Sea-level exercise test

Baseline sea-level maximal exercise tests were conducted 4 weeks prior to ascent. This test consisted of a graded exercise test to volitional fatigue¹⁶ on a cycle ergometer (Alticycle) designed for altitude studies.¹⁷ Maximal power output (Watt_{max}) was determined and heart rate (HR) recorded via short range telemetry (Polar Electro, UK). These data were used to calculate the intensity steps for high-altitude exercise tests and to determine maximal power output for this exercise modality (supine cycling).

Altitude exercise test

Participants were instrumented and then rested for at least 2 min while pre-exercise measurements of oxygen uptake (VO_2), carbon dioxide production, V_E , HR, SBP, and DBP were collected. Participants undertook a 5 min self-paced warm-up and a modified graded exercise test on the Alticycle. This modified graded exercise test began at an intensity of 30% of sea-level Watt_{max} , with 10% increases every 3 min up to 80% of sea-level Watt_{max} , followed by 10% increases every minute until volitional fatigue. Expired respiratory gases were analysed breath-by-breath using a Cosmed K4b² (Metabolic Company, Rome, Italy) portable metabolic system alongside continuous measurements of HR (via three-lead ECG), pulse oximetry (oxygen saturation (SpO_2), Datex Ohmeda 3900, GE Healthcare, USA), and beat-to-beat measurements of SBP and DBP by photoplethysmography (Portapres, Finapres Medical Systems BV, Netherlands). The exercise-induced change in SBP and DBP is reported, calculated as the difference between pre-exercise and value obtained at Watt_{max} .

EVLW assessment

To assess EVLW, lung ultrasound was used to detect the presence of ultrasound B-lines,¹⁸ with these assessments performed at 5035 m, immediately prior to and immediately after exercise tests. Ultrasounds were conducted using a portable system (MicroMaxx, Sonosite, Bothell, Washington, USA) in conjunction with an 8 MHz linear transducer. These examinations consisted of an 8-zone technique, scanning in the mid-clavicular line in the second and third intercostal spaces and the mid-axillary line in the fifth and sixth intercostal spaces each side.¹⁸ These points of insonation were marked with permanent ink prior to testing to minimise time and ensure the

same zones were scanned on each examination. Ultrasound examinations were performed by two clinicians experienced with this methodology, and pre-exercise and postexercise scans for each participant were done by the same operator. Ultrasounds were recorded live (Nikon D3S, Japan, on video mode) without audio comments. Recordings only included participant number and time-point of examination (ie, first or second ultrasound). No indications for the presence or number of B-Lines were made at the time of the ultrasound nor at any time during the expedition. Rather, recordings were analysed on return to the UK by two blinded experimenters at separate sites. The total number of B-lines from each scan was taken as the average from these two reviewers. The inter-reviewer correlation on B-line count was strong ($R=0.92$). Group allocation was only revealed once recordings had been analysed and all B-lines counted.

Data analysis

Independent t-tests were used to test for differences between groups at rest and exercise-related time points of interest at 5035 m (when measures were not repeated), such as, at peak power, immediately postexercise or exercise-induced change. Repeated-measures analysis of variance with pairwise comparisons (Bonferroni corrected) was used for between-group comparisons of the morning BP assessment during ascent. All data are presented as mean \pm SD and statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$.

Patient and public involvement

This study was supported by the Birmingham Medical Research Expeditionary Society, which provided input for the conduct of the research. Patients were not included. Public involvement was limited to recruitment. Notification was given to participants at the time of consent that acquisition of personal data was permitted on request. Permission was also obtained at this time for the dissemination of deidentified data within the research team and only externally when a reasonable request was submitted directly to the corresponding author of the present study within 6 months of its publication. A portion of the cohort was invited to review the research methods for accuracy and readability.

Participants give their written informed consent and the study was conducted in agreement with Declaration of Helsinki principles. This study did not aim to investigate safety or efficacy of the already Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drug included, thus no clinical trial approval was obtained. There were no active FDA recalls for the drug for the duration of the study.

RESULTS

Two paired participants did not complete the exercise test at high altitude, withdrawn during submaximal intensity steps due to symptomatic abnormalities in the ECG recording (unifocal ventricular ectopics and atrial tachycardia) and very low SpO₂ measures (ie, $<55\%$). For these

two participants, post-exercise ultrasound scans were still conducted following test termination, so EVLW data were collected from all 20 participants. For the remaining exercise-related measures, data are reported from 18 paired participants, unless otherwise stated. Such cases related to participant's exercising BP recordings being deemed unreliable (eg, Portapres BP signal dropped out, $n=2$) and a missing ultrasound data file ($n=1$), whereby group comparisons were made without the matched pair for this measure.

Ascent and resting measures at 5035 m

During ascent, there was no significant difference between groups for morning resting measures of SBP (group effect: $p=0.71$), although SBP was on average lower in the losartan group at the lower altitudes (figure 1A). On average, SBP increased during ascent, although not significantly so ($p=0.17$).

At 5035 m, there was no significant difference between groups for resting measures of SpO₂ (losartan vs placebo: 79 ± 5 vs $76\pm 6\%$, $p=0.40$), HR (80 ± 12 vs 76 ± 15 b/min, $p=0.50$), or SBP (124 ± 16 vs 122 ± 14 mm Hg, $p=0.76$; figure 1).

Exercise at 5035 m: performance and exercise-induced responses

Peak power was reduced at high altitude relative to sea-level values ($p<0.01$), with this reduction in power similar for both losartan and placebo groups (down 100 ± 29 vs 91 ± 28 W, respectively, $p=0.55$; figure 2). At peak power, measures of SpO₂ (70 ± 6 vs $70\pm 5\%$, $p=0.88$), VO_{2peak} (31.3 ± 4.6 vs 34.0 ± 7.2 mL/kg/min, $p=0.35$), V_E (142 ± 38 vs 146 ± 31 L/min, $p=0.81$) and HR (146 ± 21 vs 149 ± 24 b/min, $p=0.78$) were similar between groups. The increase in SBP from rest to peak power was also no different between groups ($n=14$ paired participants: increased by 80 ± 37 vs 69 ± 33 mm Hg, $p=0.56$).

Ultrasound B-lines were present in 18/19 participants (one pre-exercise scan was lost) prior to the exercise test at 5035 m, and present in all 20 participants immediately following exercise (figure 3A). Exercise increased B-line count in both groups, however, there was no significant difference between groups at either the pre-exercise or postexercise time points, nor for the change in B-lines from resting values (up by: 4.9 ± 4.6 (losartan) vs 7.8 ± 9.8 (placebo), $p=0.44$; figure 3B).

DISCUSSION

Exposure to high altitude induces hypertension, both systemic and pulmonary, which exacerbates arterial hypoxia by means of increasing pulmonary vasoconstriction and V_E perfusion mismatching.¹ Given that exercise also increases BP, especially at higher intensities,¹⁹ the combination of these two stimuli may exacerbate the likelihood of pulmonary hypertension developing into high altitude illness (ie, HAPE), but may also be a mechanism by which exercise capacity is reduced at high altitude. Therefore, we sought to determine the anti-hypertensive




Figure 1 Measures (mean±SD) of resting systolic blood pressure during ascent and arrival to 5035 m (A, n=10 matched pairs), and measures of peripheral arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂, (B), systolic (C) and diastolic (D) blood pressure measures from the first morning routine medical examinations at 5035 m.

effectiveness of losartan to lower altitude-induced systemic and pulmonary hypertension and improve exercise performance at high altitude (5035 m). Our findings, however, showed no beneficial effect of RAAS inhibition via this angiotensin II antagonist on resting and exercising BP responses, SpO₂, exercise-induced symptomatology of pulmonary hypertension, or indeed exercise performance at 5035 m. These findings reflect the complex nature of this physiological response and highlight the need for further research to understand the mechanisms and consequences of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction on high-altitude performance.²⁰

The lack of effect on resting BP we observed is consistent with findings from Parati and colleagues.¹² Specifically, while they did show a lowering of ambulatory BP at sea level and at 3400 m with the use of the angiotensin-II receptor antagonist, telmisartan, its antihypertensive efficacy was not evident at 5400 m, similar to our current observations at 5035 m. Interestingly, although our group differences did not reach statistical significance, the losartan group also had lower SBP at altitudes below 3950 m. Taken together, both studies indicate that these anti-hypertensive medications are not effective at higher altitudes (eg, >3500 m). These findings have important potential clinical implications for hypertensive individuals who wish to travel to high altitudes (eg, >4000 m) that are currently receiving antihypertensive treatment, the numbers of which are increasing.³ Therefore, a pharmacological strategy to appropriately treat and manage

the combined hypertensive effects of hypoxia and exercise is warranted and deserves further study.

Our findings illustrate the utility of using lung ultrasound to assess EVLW.^{13 21} The presence of B-lines observed at rest, although low, supports previous observations that indicate a degree of interstitial oedema is frequent at such altitudes in both healthy individuals and those with mountain sickness.^{18 21} The almost universal (19/20) increase in EVLW following strenuous exercise further implicates exercise as a risk factor for developing HAPE and may represent what is increasingly being considered as subclinical HAPE.¹⁸ Eldridge *et al* demonstrated bronchoalveolar lavage samples from healthy individuals after heavy exercise in hypoxia that mirrored the composition of that seen in early HAPE, which persisted for at least 26 hours postexercise.²² Further, our group have previously demonstrated that ultrasound evidence of exercise-induced pulmonary oedema at 4000 m persisted for approximately 4 hours following exercise before returning to baseline.¹³ Taken together, these findings indicate an additional pathological process is required for the propagation and persistence of fulminant HAPE on top of a propensity to develop oedema following exercise.

Justification for our choice of the angiotensin-II receptor antagonist, losartan, over other agents that influence aspects of the RAAS, was based on previous findings that highlighted angiotensin-II's specific ability to modulate acute pulmonary vasoconstriction responses.^{14 23}




Figure 2 Comparison of between losartan and placebo groups for the reduction in peak power at 5035m relative to individuals sea-level performance (A, n=9 matched pairs), the exercise-induced increase in systolic blood pressure (B, n=7 matched pairs), peripheral arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂, (C) and heart rate (D) at rest and at peak power (n=9). Data are presented as mean±SD. Lower sample size for blood pressure measures were due to unreliable data produced from the finometer in at least one matched pair.

Furthermore, genetic studies have revealed the involvement of several genes associated with the RAAS in relation to the physiological response to hypoxia, which have included the AGRT1 gene.^{8 24} Polymorphisms within the AGRT1 have specifically been associated with the risk for developing HAPE.^{10 25 26} Thus, we hypothesised that the administration of losartan would elicit favourable responses in systemic and pulmonary pressures at high altitude and improve exercise capacity. Ultimately, we aimed to determine if losartan could

be a beneficial agent for the prophylactic treatment of HAPE and offset altitude-related impairments in exercise capacity. However, based on the findings of the current study, a daily dose of losartan at 100mg appears not to be useful in this context. Finally, the development of pulmonary oedema at altitude is likely polygenetic and multifactorial, thus blockage of a single pathway may not be sufficient. It may be that a specific pulmonary vasodilator (eg, nifedipine, a calcium channel blocker) or a type-5 phosphodiesterase inhibitor (eg, tadalafil), which




Figure 3 Prevalence of extravascular lung water, as indexed by number of ultrasound B-lines, in response to a graded exercise test to volitional fatigue at 5035m. (A) shows absolute count at rest before and then immediately following exercise. (B) shows change in number of B-lines induced by the exercise test.



have been shown to reduce incidence of HAPE among susceptible individuals,^{6,7} is required to prevent exercise-induced pulmonary hypertension and improve exercise performance at altitude.

Limitations

The relatively small sample size limited this study's power to detect a significant effect of losartan on resting BP and prevalence of B-lines induced by exercise. Parati *et al*'s study demonstrated the lower BP effect at 3400 m in a sample of 20 taking telmisartan (vs 25 in placebo group). Our study sample size was restricted due to difficulties and cost of recruiting participants to a remote place for an extended time, typical of this type of field research.²⁷ Second, we did not perform lung ultrasound at sea level to assess effect of strenuous sea-level exercise on the development of B-lines. However, we have previously reported that EVLW is not detected at sea level before or after exercise to exhaustion in a similar group of individuals as studied here.¹³ The differential diagnosis for B-lines includes pneumonitis and interstitial pneumonia. However, the pattern of B-lines was typical of pulmonary oedema, and our participants were otherwise well with no history of chronic lung disease and the observed increase is most likely explained by worsening interstitial oedema.

CONCLUSION

Our findings showed that the angiotensin II type-I receptor antagonist, losartan, had no observable effect on either resting or exercise BP responses at 5035 m, nor did it reliably reduce exercise-induced symptomology of pulmonary hypertension (as assessed via ultrasound quantification of EVLW) or improve arterial saturation. These null effects were supported by no observable difference in exercise performance. Our findings have potential clinical implications for hypertensive individuals who travel to high altitudes (eg, >4000 m) that are currently receiving antihypertensive treatment, as they may be at greater risk for high altitude illness and cardiovascular events since their anti-hypertensive treatments appear to exhibit a null effect on BP management.

Author affiliations

¹School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

²Department of Anaesthesia, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, UK

³Department of Anaesthesia, Royal Gwent Hospital, Aneurin Bevan University Health Board, Newport, UK

⁴Occupational Performance Research Group, University of Chichester, Chichester, UK

⁵Royal Centre for Defence Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

⁶Birmingham Medical Research Expeditionary Society, Birmingham, UK

⁷School of Biological Sciences, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, UK

⁸School of Medicine, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

⁹Department of Vascular Surgery, University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

¹⁰Department of Anaesthesia, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

Acknowledgements The authors thank Dr Cassim Ladha for his technical support on the expedition and Dr Yash Wimalasena for this advice with the EVLW analysis.

Contributors All authors listed contributed substantially to the work and by ICMJE 2019 standards meet at minimum satisfy criterion no. 1, no. 3 and no. 4, with additional support for no. 2 provided by SJEL, WM, ODT, KJ, SDM, AB and ME.

Funding This study was supported by a grant from the JABBS Foundation.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Ethical approval was granted through the University of Chichester Research Ethics Committee (reference code: 1314 42).

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. The data are owned by the University of Birmingham and can be obtained on reasonable request from either the corresponding author or the School of Sport, Exercise, and Rehabilitation Sciences +44 (0)121 414 9286. Reuse is not permitted unless otherwise indicated at the time of reasonable request. There is no additional relevant information.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Samuel J E Lucas <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8713-2457>

Kelsey E Joyce <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3189-847X>

Arthur R Bradwell <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1562-1606>

REFERENCES

- Boos CJ, Vincent E, Mellor A, *et al*. The effect of high altitude on central blood pressure and arterial stiffness. *J Hum Hypertens* 2017;31:715–9.
- Bärtsch P, Gibbs JSR. Effect of altitude on the heart and the lungs. *Circulation* 2007;116:2191–202.
- Parati G, Agostoni P, Basnyat B, *et al*. Clinical recommendations for high altitude exposure of individuals with pre-existing cardiovascular conditions: a joint statement by the European Society of cardiology, the Council on hypertension of the European Society of cardiology, the European Society of hypertension, the International Society of mountain medicine, the Italian Society of hypertension and the Italian Society of mountain medicine. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:1546–54.
- Maggiorini M, Mélot C, Pierre S, *et al*. High-Altitude pulmonary edema is initially caused by an increase in capillary pressure. *Circulation* 2001;103:2078–83.
- Hackett PH, Roach RC. High-Altitude illness. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:107–14.
- Maggiorini M, Brunner-La Rocca H-P, Peth S, *et al*. Both tadalafil and dexamethasone may reduce the incidence of high-altitude pulmonary edema: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;145:497–506.
- Bärtsch P, Maggiorini M, Ritter M, *et al*. Prevention of high-altitude pulmonary edema by nifedipine. *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:1284–9.
- Hennis PJ, O'Doherty AF, Levett DZH, *et al*. Genetic factors associated with exercise performance in atmospheric hypoxia. *Sports Med* 2015;45:745–61.
- Montgomery HE, Marshall R, Hemingway H, *et al*. Human gene for physical performance. *Nature* 1998;393:221–2.
- Wang Y, Lu H, Chen Y, *et al*. The association of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene insertion/deletion polymorphisms with adaptation to high altitude: a meta-analysis. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst* 2016;17:1470320315627410–10.
- Montgomery H, Clarkson P, Barnard M, *et al*. Angiotensin-Converting-Enzyme gene insertion/deletion polymorphism and response to physical training. *Lancet* 1999;353:541–5.
- Parati G, Bilo G, Faini A, *et al*. Changes in 24 h ambulatory blood pressure and effects of angiotensin II receptor blockade during acute and prolonged high-altitude exposure: a randomized clinical trial. *Eur Heart J* 2014;35:3113–22.
- Edsell ME, Wimalasena YH, Malein WL, *et al*. High-Intensity intermittent exercise increases pulmonary interstitial edema at altitude but not at simulated altitude. *Wilderness Environ Med* 2014;25:409–15.
- Kiely DG, Cargill RI, Lipworth BJ. Acute hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction in man is attenuated by type I angiotensin II receptor blockade. *Cardiovasc Res* 1995;30:875–80.

- 15 Joyce KE, Delamere J, Bradwell S, *et al*. Hypoxia is not the primary mechanism contributing to exercise-induced proteinuria. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2020;6:e000662.
- 16 Riebe D, Ehrman JK, Liguori G. *ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. 10th edn. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer, 2018.
- 17 Bradwell AR, Myers SD, Beazley M, *et al*. Exercise limitation of acetazolamide at altitude (3459 M). *Wilderness Environ Med* 2014;25:272–7.
- 18 Wimalasena Y, Windsor J, Edsell M. Using ultrasound lung Comets in the diagnosis of high altitude pulmonary edema: fact or fiction? *Wilderness Environ Med* 2013;24:159–64.
- 19 Michelsen S, Otterstad JE. Blood pressure response during maximal exercise in apparently healthy men and women. *J Intern Med* 1990;227:157–63.
- 20 Swenson ER. Hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction. *High Alt Med Biol* 2013;14:101–10.
- 21 Pratali L, Rimoldi SF, Rexhaj E, *et al*. Exercise induces rapid interstitial lung water accumulation in patients with chronic mountain sickness. *Chest* 2012;141:953–8.
- 22 Eldridge MW, Braun RK, Yoneda KY, *et al*. Effects of altitude and exercise on pulmonary capillary integrity: evidence for subclinical high-altitude pulmonary edema. *J Appl Physiol* 2006;100:972–80.
- 23 Cargill RI, Lipworth BJ. Lisinopril attenuates acute hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction in humans. *Chest* 1996;109:424–9.
- 24 Qadar Pasha MA, Khan AP, Kumar R, *et al*. Angiotensin converting enzyme insertion allele in relation to high altitude adaptation. *Ann Hum Genet* 2001;65:531–6.
- 25 Luo Y, Zou Y, Gao Y. Gene polymorphisms and high-altitude pulmonary edema susceptibility: a 2011 update. *Respiration* 2012;84:155–62.
- 26 Qi Y, Sun J, Zhu T, *et al*. Association of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene insertion/deletion polymorphism with high-altitude pulmonary oedema: a meta-analysis. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst* 2011;12:617–23.
- 27 Ainslie PN. On the nature of research at high altitude: packing it all in! *Exp Physiol* 2014;99:741–2.