

An adaptable analysis workflow for characterization of platelet spreading and morphology

Pike, Jeremy; Simms, Victoria; Smith, Christopher; Morgan, Neil; Khan, Abdullah; Poulter, Natalie; Styles, Iain; Thomas, Steven

DOI:

[10.1080/09537104.2020.1748588](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537104.2020.1748588)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Pike, J, Simms, V, Smith, C, Morgan, N, Khan, A, Poulter, N, Styles, I & Thomas, S 2021, 'An adaptable analysis workflow for characterization of platelet spreading and morphology', *Platelets*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 54-58.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09537104.2020.1748588>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



An adaptable analysis workflow for characterization of platelet spreading and morphology

Jeremy A. Pike, Victoria A. Simms, Christopher W. Smith, Neil V. Morgan, Abdullah O. Khan, Natalie S. Poulter, Iain B. Styles & Steven G. Thomas

To cite this article: Jeremy A. Pike, Victoria A. Simms, Christopher W. Smith, Neil V. Morgan, Abdullah O. Khan, Natalie S. Poulter, Iain B. Styles & Steven G. Thomas (2020): An adaptable analysis workflow for characterization of platelet spreading and morphology, Platelets, DOI: 10.1080/09537104.2020.1748588

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537104.2020.1748588>



© 2020 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.



[View supplementary material](#)



Published online: 23 Apr 2020.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 304










[View related articles](#)



[View Crossmark data](#)



An adaptable analysis workflow for characterization of platelet spreading and morphology

Jeremy A. Pike ^{1,2}, Victoria A. Simms ², Christopher W. Smith², Neil V. Morgan ², Abdullah O. Khan ², Natalie S. Poulter ^{1,2}, Iain B. Styles ^{1,3}, & Steven G. Thomas ^{1,2}

¹Centre of Membrane Proteins and Receptors (COMPARE), Universities of Birmingham and Nottingham, Midlands, UK, ²Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK, and ³School of Computer Science, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Abstract

The assessment of platelet spreading through light microscopy, and the subsequent quantification of parameters such as surface area and circularity, is a key assay for many platelet biologists. Here we present an analysis workflow which robustly segments individual platelets to facilitate the analysis of large numbers of cells while minimizing user bias. Image segmentation is performed by interactive learning and touching platelets are separated with an efficient semi-automated protocol. We also use machine learning methods to robustly automate the classification of platelets into different subtypes. These adaptable and reproducible workflows are made freely available and are implemented using the open-source software KNIME and ilastik.

Keywords

Image analysis, machine learning, platelets, spreading

History

Received 31 January 2020

Revised 13 March 2020

Accepted 23 March 2020

Published online 23 April 2020

Introduction

Testing platelet function in response to genetic mutations, gene knockouts, and pharmacological agents is a valuable and widely used assay in platelet research [1–8]. In these studies, the analysis of platelet spreading, either by the calculation of adhesion levels, spread surface areas or morphological categorization, is used as a measure of platelet function. As platelets are small cells typically two to four microns in diameter, a single light microscopy field of view (FOV) can capture 50–400 platelets. As such, it is easy to acquire data for large populations of cells allowing for the identification of subtle changes. In addition, immunofluorescence-based labeling allows quantitative measures of platelet area and morphology to be combined with analysis of protein sub-cellular localization and organization.

Despite this, the analysis of platelet spreading can be a laborious process, especially in large-scale experiments, where many thousands of platelets over a range of conditions might need to be analyzed. A common way of measuring platelet spread area is to manually draw around the outline of the cell [9]. However, this is an extremely slow process that limits its application to larger datasets.

A more efficient and typically less biased way to perform the analysis is to design an image analysis workflow (not machine learning based) which is automated using reproducible and preferably open-source software such as ImageJ/Fiji [10]. Such

workflows typically employ simple filtering operations and thresholds on image intensity [7]. The free parameters of the workflow are then set ad-hoc and rarely perform well across large datasets. Moreover, these workflows are usually only applicable to images captured on a particular microscope, with cells stained, or imaged, under very specific conditions. The categorization of platelets into sub-types based on spread morphology is typically performed manually and is therefore time-consuming and highly susceptible to user bias. We present a simple, adaptable workflow which uses machine learning based techniques to overcome many of these limitations, and thus allows for the robust quantitative analysis of platelet spreading across different imaging modalities and laboratories. The workflow makes extensive use of the open-source software platforms KNIME [11] and ilastik [12,13]. KNIME is an intuitive and graphical development environment for data analysis pipelines that can easily combine many tools (including ImageJ and ilastik) without the need for text-based coding. Ilastik provides user-friendly tools for machine learning based image analysis including segmentation, tracking, and object classification.

Method

Workflow Description

An overview of the workflow is presented in Figure 1. The first step is the segmentation of platelets from the background to produce binary (black and white) images. To do this we use a pixel classifier trained within ilastik. Briefly, various pixel-level features including smoothed intensity and edge indicators are measured and used to train a random forest classifier with two outcomes; signal and background. Training images should be selected across replicates and treatments to ensure the full variability within the dataset is captured. Having trained the pixel

Correspondence: Jeremy A. Pike. E-mail: j.a.pike@bham.ac.uk Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.




Figure 1. Overview of the proposed workflow for analysis of platelet spreading. First, a pixel classifier is used to produce a binary segmentation mask. Next, touching cells are manually annotated by clicking on their center within KNIME and a watershed transform is used to establish the cell-cell boundaries. Per cell features are then calculated which can optionally be used to train a cell classifier. Validation of the classifier is achieved by reserving a proportion of the training data and visualized through a confusion matrix.

classifier within ilastik, it is run on the full dataset along with all subsequent analysis steps within KNIME, another open-source data analysis platform.

The second step is the separation of touching platelets. For this, we chose to use a semi-automated approach where the researcher is asked to click on the center of all touching platelets. These points are then used as seeds for a watershed transform which fills the binary images produced by the pixel classifier. This produces labeled segmentation images where each cell has a unique pixel value which facilitates the separation of touching cells. A connected component analysis is then used to calculate per platelet morphological features including area and circularity.

Finally, the population can be further interrogated by defining platelet morphological subtypes, for example unspread, partially spread and fully spread, and then using a machine learning approach to classify individual cells (objects). Again a random forest classifier is used, but it is trained using platelet morphological features, including area and circularity, as opposed to pixel-level features like intensity (supplementary methods). This quantifies the number of cells in each class and allows for the detailed morphological analysis of cells within a specific class. The corresponding workflows, and a detailed user guide have been released under the GNU General Public License v3.0 and are available at <https://github.com/JeremyPike/platelet-segmentation>.

Testing and Validation

To test and validate the workflow we chose to fluorescently label F-actin and image using wide-field microscopy, a commonly used approach. The cells were spread on either collagen or fibrinogen and treated either with dasatinib or a DMSO control (Figure 2). Dasatinib is a Src family kinase inhibitor and is known to reduce the efficiency of platelet spreading [14,15]. We chose to use a treatment with well-established effects as this provides a better benchmark for workflow validation. Three technical replicates with three fields of view per replicate were acquired. Further experimental details can be found in the supplementary methods.

The images were acquired as z-stacks so these were pre-processed by finding the most in-focus image of the stack and then taking the maximal projection across this slice and the two slices either side (five slices in total). This was done to limit out-of-focus contributions to the projections and improve subsequent segmentation. Vollath's F4 measure was used as the focus metric [16,17], which is implemented using ImgLib2 [18], within the KNIME workflows provided. (Note, acquiring z-stacks is not essential for the workflow which will also work

with high-quality single plane data). The 2D projections were then processed with the remaining workflow steps including classification into the following pre-defined categories; unspread, partially spread, and fully spread. In total across all conditions, 9655 platelets were segmented and analyzed. Eight images selected across replicates and conditions were used to train both the pixel and object classifiers, the images contained 1732 platelets total.

Segmentation performance was evaluated relative to a subset of manually segmented data not used for training and compared to a simple fully automated approach (supplementary methods). The proposed approach produces comparable results to manual segmentation (Supplementary Figure 1) and significantly outperforms the simple fully automated approach (Supplementary Figure 2) on the validation dataset. To evaluate the performance of the object classifier 20% of the annotated platelets were reserved for validation. When using all measured morphological features the overall classification accuracy was found to be 90% and the corresponding confusion matrix is shown in Figure 3a. Classification accuracies of 71% and 77% were found when area or circularity was used as the only input. Moreover, 87% accuracy was obtained using both area and circularity. This indicates that thresholds on area and circularity alone are not optimal for robust and accurate classification of platelets into sub-categories, and highlights the advantage of a machine learning approach which uses a larger number of features.

Figure 3b shows the measurements for mean platelet area and circularity. As expected there are significant differences in platelet area between the collagen and fibrinogen controls, and also with the dasatinib treatment on both substrates. For circularity, the only significant difference observed is between the collagen and fibrinogen controls. Figure 3c shows the relative proportion of each class type. There are clear differences between conditions, highlighting the advantage of the classification approach in further delineating the platelet spread phenotype. For example, treatment with dasatinib dramatically reduces the percentage of fully spread platelets on both substrates.

Discussion

In this manuscript, we have described a semi-automated analysis workflow for the quantification of platelet spreading. We demonstrate that, following the training of a pixel classifier on a small subset of data, this method is able to accurately segment and quantify the spread surface area and circularity of platelets treated with dasatinib (which at the concentration used here blocks both




Figure 2. Representative cropped images and results from platelets seeded on either collagen (Col.) or fibrinogen (Fib.) and treated with either dasatinib (Das.) or a DMSO control (Cntl.). Top row shows a maximal projection of the raw data (inverted gray-scale look-up-table). This is used as the input for the analysis workflow. Middle row shows the individual platelet segmentations where each cell is a distinct color. Bottom row shows the results of the object classifier where individual platelets are classified as either unspread (red), partially spread (green), or fully spread (blue). Scale bar 10 μm .




Figure 3. Summarized quantitative outputs of the analysis workflow. Platelets were seeded on either collagen (Col.) or fibrinogen (Fib.) and treated with either dasatinib (Das.) or a DMSO control (Cntl.). (a) A confusion matrix allows for visual evaluation of the object classifier. A proportion of the training data is reserved (here 20%) and the class predicted by the classifier is compared to the true class as defined by the manual annotation. On-diagonal classifications (green) represent agreement between the classifier and manual annotation, off-diagonal classifications (red) represent disagreement. (b) Mean platelet area and circularity calculated across all platelets in a replicate ($N = 3$, mean 805 platelets per replicate). (c) Percentage of cells in each category; unspread, partially spread and spread. All statistical analyses by one-way Anova and subsequent pair-wise comparison by two-sample t-test with Bonferroni correction. *** $P < .001$, ** $P < .01$, * $P < .05$, error bars are mean \pm s.d.

Btk and Src family kinases [14]) on both collagen and fibrinogen surfaces. The workflow was able to appropriately identify and segment both isolated cells and platelets touching other platelets.

Subtleties of platelet morphology such as filopodia were clearly identified and quantification of parameters such as spread area was able to give a simple, robust overview of the effect of the

inhibitor treatment on platelet spreading. Furthermore, an object classifier was used to group platelets into classes which allowed for deeper interrogation of the data.

A key advantage of the reported workflow is the ability to efficiently analyze large numbers of platelets (we routinely measure and classify 10,000+ platelets using the workflow) which allows robust statistical analyses to be performed. The power of this approach was demonstrated in a recent study of 55 samples from patients with bleeding of unknown cause [19]. We have used the workflow for both human and mouse platelets and it is applicable to a wide range of treatments (e.g. patient samples, gene knockouts, inhibitor studies, etc.). Therefore, this work presents a viable way to perform quick and accurate large-scale analysis of spreading as a measure of platelet function while also minimizing user bias.

When designing the workflow care was taken to ensure each step was robust to different imaging systems and sample preparations so as to be widely applicable. Provided it is re-trained, the ilastik pixel classifier will perform well across a range of stains and non-fluorescent imaging modalities, for example phase-contrast microscopy (Supplementary Figure 3). However, where feasible we recommend fluorescent staining to enhance the contrast between cells and background, and note that as with all image analysis processes, poor quality input data may result in incorrect classification or failure of the analysis. For object classification the classes are defined by the researcher and so can be changed to suit the biological question, although it is important to note that classification will be more successful with classes that have a clearly distinct morphology. It is also important to have sufficient image resolution to resolve key structural features (such as filopodia) which will influence morphological measurements and assist with object classification.

With regard to this final point, it is important to check the classification performance on a subset of the annotated data reserved for this purpose. Performance can then be assessed through overall classification accuracy and confusion matrices which should be reported when publishing results (Figure 3a). Tools and instructions for this performance evaluation are included in the provided workflows and guidelines. Manually selected thresholds on parameters such as area and circularity were avoided as they are non-optimal and rarely robust across replicates and conditions. For both pixel and object classification, the training can be performed quickly with a small amount of data to produce high-quality results; we recommend starting with 5–10 training images and adding additional training data if segmentation or object classification performance is poor. Furthermore, the graphical programming interface offered by KNIME means that researchers with no, or limited, programming experience can adapt these protocols for their specific needs.

The workflow is fully automated apart from the manual selection of touching platelets which is performed by the researcher within KNIME. Automated separation for other densely packed cell types is typically achieved by first segmenting nuclei which are then used as seeds to isolate the cytoplasm. This approach is not applicable to platelets which have no nuclei, hence the need to manually identify touching cells. Moreover, platelet morphology can vary dramatically depending on the surface coating and treatment which further complicates the task of automated separation. Further research will investigate if with sufficient training data, deep learning-based methods can be used to robustly segment clustered platelets.

Conclusion

We present a semi-automated workflow that can be applied to segment, classify, and analyze spread platelets. The workflow is

adaptable and applicable to input images from a range of imaging modalities. Once trained the workflow can perform efficient analysis of large data sets and provides an unbiased measure of platelet spreading. These factors, along with the use of open-source software, should allow for wide uptake by platelet researchers, who will be able to use these tools to perform robust analyses on large-scale image data.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Steve P Watson and the members of the Birmingham platelet group who provided many useful comments and advice. The work was funded by the Centre of Membrane Proteins and Receptors (COMPARE), Universities of Birmingham and Nottingham, Midlands, UK and the British Heart Foundation through the Chair award (CH0/03/003) to Steve P Watson and a project grant (PG/15/114/31945) to Steven G Thomas.

Author Contributions

JAP, IBS, and SGT conceived and coordinated the study. JAP designed and implemented analysis workflows. VAS and NSP performed wetlab experiments and microscopy. SGT, CWS, AOK, NSP, and NVM provided additional test data crucial for workflow development. SGT, CWS, NSP, and AOK tested workflows and provided feedback. All authors read and edited the manuscript.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding

This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation[CH0/03/003, PG/15/114/31945].

Supplementary Material

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed on the [publisher's website](#).

ORCID

Jeremy A. Pike  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4163-0335>
 Victoria A. Simms  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8510-9547>
 Neil V. Morgan  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6433-5692>
 Abdullah O. Khan  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0825-3179>
 Natalie S. Poulter  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3187-2130>
 Iain B. Styles  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6755-0299>
 Steven G. Thomas  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8733-7842>

References

1. Patel A, Kostyak J, Dangelmaier C, Badolia R, Bhavanasi D, Aslan JE, Merali S, Kim S, Eble JA, Goldfinger L. ELMO1 deficiency enhances platelet function. *Blood Adv* 2019;3:575–587. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2018016444
2. Moroi AJ, Zwifelhofer NM, Riese MJ, Newman DK, Newman PJ. Diacylglycerol kinase ζ is a negative regulator of GPVI-mediated platelet activation. *Blood Adv* 2019;3:1154–1166. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2018026328
3. Stefanini L, Lee RH, Paul DS, O'Shaughnessy EC, Ghalloussi D, Jones CI, Boulaftali Y, Poe KO, Piatt R, Kechele DO. Functional redundancy between RAP1 isoforms in murine platelet production and function. *Blood* 2018;132:1951–1962. doi:10.1182/blood-2018-03-838714
4. Schurr Y, Sperr A, Volz J, Beck S, Reil L, Kusch C, Eiring P, Bryson S, Sauer M, Nieswandt B. Platelet lamellipodium formation is not required for thrombus formation and stability. *Blood* 2019;134:2318–2329. doi:10.1182/blood.2019002105
5. Berger M, Lutz DR, Lutz J, Khalil JS, Aburima A, Naseem KM, Rivero F. Alterations in platelet alpha-granule secretion and

- adhesion on collagen under flow in mice lacking the atypical Rho GTPase RhoBTB3. *Cells* **2019**;8:149. doi:[10.3390/cells8020149](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8020149)
6. Eaton N, Drew C, Wieser J, Munday AD, Falet H. Dynamin 2 is required for GPVI signaling and platelet hemostatic function in mice. *Haematologica* **2019**;haematol-2019.
 7. Poulter NS, Pollitt AY, Davies A, Malinova D, Nash GB, Hannon MJ, Pikramenou Z, Rappoport JZ, Hartwig JH, Owen DM. Platelet actin nodules are podosome-like structures dependent on Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein and ARP2/3 complex. *Nat Commun* **2015**;6:7254. doi:[10.1038/ncomms8254](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8254)
 8. Flora GD, Sahli KA, Sasikumar P, Holbrook L-M, Stainer AR, AlOuda SK, Crescente M, Sage T, Unsworth AJ, Gibbins JM. Non-genomic effects of the Pregnane X Receptor negatively regulate platelet functions, thrombosis and haemostasis. *Sci Rep* **2019**;9:1–14. doi:[10.1038/s41598-019-53218-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53218-x)
 9. McCarty O, Zhao Y, Andrew N, Machesky L, Staunton D, Frampton J, Watson S. Evaluation of the role of platelet integrins in fibronectin-dependent spreading and adhesion. *J Thrombosis Haemostasis* **2004**;2:1823–1833. doi:[10.1111/j.1538-7836.2004.00925.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2004.00925.x)
 10. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **2012**;9:676–682. doi:[10.1038/nmeth.2019](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019)
 11. Berthold MR, Cebon N, Dill F, Gabriel TR, Kötter T, Meinel T, Ohl P, Thiel K, Wiswedel B. KNIME-the Konstanz information miner: version 2.0 and beyond. *AcM SIGKDD Exp Newsletter* **2009**;11:26–31. doi:[10.1145/1656274.1656280](https://doi.org/10.1145/1656274.1656280)
 12. Sommer C, Straehle C, Köthe U, Hamprecht FA. Ilastik: interactive learning and segmentation toolkit. In: 2011 IEEE international symposium on biomedical imaging: From nano to macro. **2011**. p. 230–233.
 13. Berg S, Kutra D, Kroeger T, Straehle CN, Kausler BX, Haubold C, Schiegg M, Ales J, Beier T, Rudy M. Ilastik: interactive machine learning for (bio) image analysis. *Nat Methods* **2019**; 16:1–7.
 14. Nicolson PL, Hughes CE, Watson S, Nock SH, Hardy AT, Watson CN, Montague SJ, Clifford H, Huissoon AP, Malcor J-D. Inhibition of Btk by Btk-specific concentrations of ibrutinib and acalabrutinib delays but does not block platelet aggregation mediated by glycoprotein VI. *Haematologica* **2018**; 103:2097–2108. doi:[10.3324/haematol.2018.193391](https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2018.193391)
 15. Lombardo LJ, Lee FY, Chen P, Norris D, Barrish JC, Behnia K, Castaneda S, Cornelius LA, Das J, Dowsyko AM. Discovery of N-(2-chloro-6-methyl-phenyl)-2-(6-(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-piperazin-1-yl)-2-methylpyrimidin-4-ylamino) thiazole-5-carboxamide (BMS-354825), a dual Src/Abl kinase inhibitor with potent antitumor activity in preclinical assays. *J Med Chem* **2004**;47:6658–6661. doi:[10.1021/jm049486a](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm049486a)
 16. Vollath D. The influence of the scene parameters and of noise on the behaviour of automatic focusing algorithms. *J Microsc* **1988**;151:133–146. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2818.1988.tb04620.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.1988.tb04620.x)
 17. Osibote O, Dendere R, Krishnan S, Douglas T. Automated focusing in bright-field microscopy for tuberculosis detection. *J Microsc* **2010**;240:155–163. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2818.2010.03389.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.2010.03389.x)
 18. Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Tomancák P, Saalfeld S. ImgLib2—generic image processing in Java. *Bioinformatics* **2012**;28:3009–3011. doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/bts543](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts543)
 19. Khan AO, MacLachlan A, Lowe GC, Nicolson PL, Al Ghaithi R, Thomas SG, Watson SP, Pike JA, Morgan NV, Group UGS. High-throughput platelet spreading analysis: a tool for the diagnosis of platelet-based bleeding disorders. *Haematologica* **2019**.