

A contemporary view of the definition and diagnosis of osteoporosis in children and adolescents

Ward, Leanne M; Weber, David R; Munns, Craig F; Högler, Wolfgang; Zemel, Babette S

DOI:

[10.1210/clinem/dgz294](https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgz294)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Ward, LM, Weber, DR, Munns, CF, Högler, W & Zemel, BS 2019, 'A contemporary view of the definition and diagnosis of osteoporosis in children and adolescents', *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*.
<https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgz294>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism following peer review. The version of record Leanne M Ward, David R Weber, Craig F Munns, Wolfgang Högler, Babette S Zemel, A Contemporary View of the Definition and Diagnosis of Osteoporosis in Children and Adolescents, The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgz294>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **A Contemporary View of the Definition and Diagnosis of Osteoporosis**
2 **in Children and Adolescents**

3 Leanne M. Ward¹, David R. Weber², Craig F. Munns³, Wolfgang Högler⁴, and Babette
4 S. Zemel⁵

5
6 ¹Departments of Pediatrics and Surgery, University of Ottawa, and the Children's
7 Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Ottawa, Ontario,
8 Canada

9 ²Golisano Children's Hospital, University of Rochester, New York, USA

10 ³Department of Endocrinology, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead,
11 Australia, and Discipline of Paediatrics & Child Health, University of Sydney, Australia

12 ⁴Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Johannes Kepler University Linz,
13 Linz, Austria, and the Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of
14 Birmingham, United Kingdom

15 ⁵Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Department of Pediatrics, The
16 Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and the University of Pennsylvania Perelman School
17 of Medicine, USA

18
19 **Word Count:**

20 Abstract: 245

21 Text: 3886

22
23
24 **Corresponding Author:**

25 Dr. Leanne Ward MD FRCPC

26 Research Chair in Pediatric Bone Health

27 Professor of Pediatrics, University of Ottawa

28 Medical Director, The CHEO Bone Health Clinic

29 Scientific Director, The Ottawa Pediatric Bone Health Research Group

30 Room 250H

31 Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute

32 401 Smyth Road
33 Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1H 8L1
34 **Email:** Lward@cheo.on.ca

35
36

37 **Running Title:** Definition and diagnosis of pediatric osteoporosis

38

39 **Key Words:** osteoporosis, children, diagnosis, definition, fractures, long bone,
40 vertebral, bone mineral density, osteogenesis imperfecta, risk factors, DXA

41

42 **Disclosures:** LMW has participated in clinical trials with and received research funding
43 from Novartis, Amgen, and Ultragenyx. CFM has received research funding from
44 Ultragenyx, Kyowa Kirin, Novartis, Amgen and Alexion, and is a consultant for Kyowa
45 Kirin and Alexion; WH has participated in clinical trials with Ultragenyx and Alexion, and
46 has received research funding from Kyowa Kirin, Ultragenyx, Alexion, Internis and
47 Nutricia. DRW and BSZ declare that they have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

48

49 **Precis:**

50 We propose a new approach to the definition and diagnosis of osteoporosis in children,
51 one that includes BMD, but also fracture characteristics, risk factors for fractures, and
52 the clinical context.

53 **Abstract**

54 The last two decades have seen growing recognition of the need to appropriately
55 identify and treat children with osteoporotic fractures. This focus stems from important
56 advances in our understanding of the genetic basis of bone fragility, the natural history
57 and predictors of fractures in chronic conditions, the use of bone-active medications in
58 children, and the inclusion of bone health screening into clinical guidelines for high risk
59 populations. Given the historic focus on bone densitometry in this setting, The
60 International Society for Clinical Densitometry published revised criteria in 2013 to
61 define osteoporosis in the young, oriented towards prevention of over-diagnosis given
62 the high frequency of extremity fractures during the growing years. This definition has
63 been successful in avoiding an inappropriate diagnosis of osteoporosis in healthy
64 children who sustain long bone fractures during play. However, it's emphasis on the
65 number of long bone fractures and a concomitant bone mineral density (BMD) threshold
66 ≤ -2.0 , without consideration for long bone fracture characteristics (e.g. skeletal site,
67 radiographic features) or the clinical context (e.g. known fracture risk in serious illnesses
68 or physical-radiographic stigmata of osteoporosis), inappropriately misses clinically
69 relevant bone fragility in some children. In this perspective, we propose a new approach
70 to the definition and the diagnosis of osteoporosis in children, one that balances the role
71 of BMD in the pediatric fracture assessment with other important clinical features,
72 including fracture characteristics, the clinical context, and where appropriate, the need
73 to define the underlying genetic etiology as far as possible.

74

75

76 **Introduction**

77 Recent years have witnessed a growing recognition of the need to identify and treat
78 children with bone fragility. Given the historic focus on bone densitometry in the
79 assessment of children with fractures, or at risk for bone fragility, the International
80 Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) held a series of Position Development
81 Conferences to provide guidance for the use of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA)
82 in children, and to standardize approaches to define osteoporosis in the young. Much
83 has changed in the field of pediatric bone health since the first ISCD Pediatric Positions
84 were published in 2003 (1). Notable advances include the widespread availability of
85 clinical genetic testing, a deeper understanding of the natural history and predictors of
86 fractures in pediatric osteoporotic conditions (2-4), the development of targeted
87 pharmacotherapy for rare diseases (5), more experience with the use of medications to
88 treat pediatric osteoporosis (6), and the inclusion of bone health screening into clinical
89 guidelines for chronic childhood diseases (7, 8).

90 As the diagnostic tools and treatments available have evolved, there is need for a better
91 definition of osteoporosis in children, one that readily identifies those with underlying
92 bone fragility. An optimal definition of osteoporosis should therefore: 1. Ensure that
93 children with skeletal fragility are identified, appropriately evaluated for an underlying
94 diagnosis, assessed for the likelihood of recovery without bone-specific therapy, and
95 treated in a timely manner if warranted; and 2. Facilitate the development and
96 implementation of individualized care plans that seek to prevent major osteoporotic
97 fractures, provide pain relief, and foster mobility.

98 The intent of this perspective is to re-visit the definition of pediatric osteoporosis (9), and
99 in so doing provide a conceptual framework for identifying and managing children with
100 skeletal fragility.

101 **The Current Definition of Pediatric Osteoporosis**

102 *Background*

103 Fractures in childhood are common, with about half of children experiencing at least one
104 fracture prior to adulthood (10, 11), and more than 20% of children with fractures having
105 sustained a prior broken bone (12). Over the years, the ISCD Pediatric Positions Task
106 Forces aimed for definitions of pediatric osteoporosis that would distinguish children
107 with "...an intrinsic skeletal issue resulting in bone fragility", from children who
108 experience fracture as a result of typical childhood play and sport activities (9, 13).

109 Last updated in 2013, the ISCD recommendations on the diagnosis of osteoporosis in
110 children (9) stated that the diagnosis should not be based upon densitometric criteria
111 alone, but requires a clinically significant fracture history. The criteria for osteoporosis
112 included the presence of a non-traumatic vertebral compression fracture (VF), without
113 the need for BMD criteria. This appropriately recognized the pathological nature of low-
114 trauma VF in children. In the absence of a VF, the diagnosis required the presence of
115 both a clinically significant fracture history (≥ 2 long bone fractures by age 10 years, or \geq
116 3 long bone fractures by 19 years), and a low age- and gender-matched BMD Z-score
117 of ≤ -2.0 (with appropriate corrections for bone size). The definition additionally noted
118 that a BMD Z-score of >2.0 in this context "does not preclude the possibility of skeletal
119 fragility and increased fracture risk".

120 *Successes and Challenges*

121 The ISCD definition of pediatric osteoporosis (9) is widely used to inform clinical
122 practice, health care policy and institutional protocols. The definition has also been used
123 to determine eligibility for investigator-initiated studies and health authority-regulated
124 drug trials. This 2013 ISCD definition provides a reasonable safeguard against the over-
125 diagnosis and unnecessary treatment of children who do not have true skeletal fragility.
126 This is important, because the most widely used osteoporosis therapies in children
127 (intravenous neridronate, pamidronate and zoledronic acid) should be used with
128 caution.

129 On the other hand, the difficulty in defining low-energy trauma has been a major
130 obstacle to identifying children with intrinsic skeletal fragility. When strictly applied, the
131 2013 ISCD definition results in the under-diagnosis and thereby under-treatment of
132 children with congenital forms of bone fragility, and of children with secondary
133 osteoporosis due to, for example, osteotoxic exposures such as glucocorticoids (GCs)
134 or immobility. Specifically, waiting for a second (or third) long bone fracture, or for a low
135 BMD by DXA following low-trauma fractures, unnecessarily delays initiation of treatment
136 in a high-risk population where even a single fracture can be life-altering and lead to
137 permanent disability. The following discussion aims to overcome these limitations.

138 An additional challenge in defining osteoporosis is the inclusion of a BMD Z-score
139 threshold. BMD Z-scores vary by as much as two standard deviations for a given child
140 depending on the reference database used to generate the Z-score. This finding has
141 been described by three different groups using both Hologic- and Lunar-derived
142 pediatric reference data (14-16); the largest of these reports generated BMD Z-scores

143 from all of the available pediatric reference data published in English as of 2015 (16).
144 This variability in BMD Z-scores generated by different reference databases undermines
145 the use of a Z-score cut-off as part of an international osteoporosis definition in children.
146 Another concern is that children with bone fragility due to, for example, leukemia,
147 neuromuscular disorders, and osteogenesis imperfecta (OI), can sustain osteoporotic
148 fractures at BMD Z-scores > -2.0 (16-18), a point recognized in the 2013 ISCD report.
149 However, the reference databases are highly co-linear. Consequently, the relationships
150 between, for example, lumbar spine BMD Z-scores and VFs (i.e. odds for fracture) are
151 similar regardless of the database used to generate the BMD Z-scores (16). This means
152 that the lower the BMD Z-score generated by any reference database, the more likely a
153 child is to sustain a pathological vertebral or long bone fracture (17). With this in mind,
154 we propose to regard BMD Z-scores along a continuum that is directly correlated to
155 bone strength, but without diagnostic cut-offs, since the precise location of the healthy
156 mean and outer limits of normal on the continuum will vary, depending on the reference
157 database used to generate the Z-scores.

158 **A Contemporary View of Osteoporosis in Children - Integrating Fracture** 159 **Characteristics and Clinical Context into the Diagnostic Approach**

160 A more contemporary and nuanced diagnostic approach to pediatric osteoporosis
161 emphasizes the child's risk of a fracture, fracture characteristics, and the clinical
162 context, without a specific BMD Z-score requirement (17, 19, 20). Such an approach
163 requires the clinician to understand the following aspects of their patient's profile: 1. the
164 *a priori* risk of a fragility fracture, 2. the mechanism, location and radiographic features
165 of the fracture, 3. the precise definition of a VF (considered pathognomonic of

166 osteoporosis in the low-trauma setting), 4. the clinical characteristics that support an
167 underlying bone fragility condition, and 5. the family history and genotype, in those
168 suspected of a heritable form. This approach has been stirred not only by the limitations
169 of BMD thresholds to define osteoporosis in children, but by the recent explosion in our
170 knowledge about the genetic basis of congenital bone fragility (19, 20), and the natural
171 history of osteoporotic fractures in children with secondary causes.

172 ***The fracture characteristics and clinical contexts that support the need for a bone***
173 ***health evaluation, and that provide evidence for an osteoporosis diagnosis***

174 *Non-vertebral fractures*

175 The overall risk of a fracture between birth and 16 years ranges from 42 to 64% for
176 boys, and from 27 to 40% for girls (11). A consistent finding across all epidemiological
177 studies is that the most frequent site of fracture is the forearm, which accounts for
178 nearly half of all fractures (11, 17). Sixty-five percent of all long bone fractures in
179 childhood involve the upper extremity, and 7 to 28% the lower extremity (11). The
180 fracture rate during childhood is higher than during adult life, hypothesized to result from
181 the constant lag during the growing years between the mechanical challenges that
182 induce bone tissue strain (muscle forces and longitudinal growth), and the adaptive
183 changes in bone structure that foster bone strength in response to tissue strain (21).

184 Recognizing that long bone fractures are extremely common in childhood, the ISCD
185 2013 Position Statement defined significant fracture history as ≥ 2 long bone fractures
186 by age 10 years, or ≥ 3 long bone fractures by age 19 years (9). We consider these
187 numbers reasonable for a child with absent physical stigmata or risk factors suggestive

188 of underlying bone fragility. However, additional factors should be considered in the
189 decision to initiate a comprehensive bone health evaluation and/or to diagnose a child
190 with osteoporosis: the location and radiographic features of the long bone fracture, and
191 the clinical context in which the child presents with fractures.

192 The importance of a long bone fracture's location cannot be underestimated. Even a
193 single, low-trauma long bone fracture can represent a major osteoporotic event in
194 children with first presentations of OI (20), and in children with risk factors such as
195 Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) (2). Lower extremity fractures tend to have the
196 greatest impact on daily life because of the adverse effect on mobility. Low-trauma
197 femur fractures are particularly concerning, but even a single tibia or humerus fracture
198 can represent an osteoporotic event in those at risk, and should prompt careful
199 dissection of the injury's mechanism. Forearm fractures are so common in children, that
200 typically recurrent fractures at this site are needed to trigger a comprehensive bone
201 health evaluation, unless there are known risk factors (such as DMD), or classical
202 stigmata of OI (such as blue sclera). Comminuted fractures and those with atypical
203 displacement are also significant regardless of long bone site, especially when they
204 occur in the absence of trauma.

205 Flat bone fractures (scapula, sternum, skull and rib) usually result from significant
206 trauma, and raise red flags when they do not. Rib and scapula "pseudofractures",
207 otherwise known as "looser zones", are typical features of rickets and osteomalacia,
208 and should prompt testing for a disorder of mineral ion metabolism. Given the
209 completely disparate treatment approaches, ruling out rickets is the first step in the
210 medical evaluation of any low-trauma fracture.

211 Importantly, the possibility of non-accidental trauma must be considered in any child,
212 regardless of the fracture location, particularly if the fracture occurs prior to two years of
213 age, if there are delays in seeking medical attention, if the clinical evaluation reveals
214 unexplained bruising or other signs of injury such as retinal hemorrhages, if there are
215 multiple fractures in various stages of healing, or if the reported mechanism of injury
216 does not correlate with the fracture type.

217 Trans-iliac bone biopsies provided discrete clues about novel forms of OI prior to gene
218 discovery in the past, such as in OI type VI, ultimately shown to be due to mutations in
219 *SERPINF1* (22). This technique will continue to be useful in pursuing novel diagnoses
220 going forward, by providing the impetus for more advanced genetic scrutiny such as
221 whole exome sequencing.

222 Just as the clinical context is paramount in orienting the clinician to the possibility of
223 non-accidental trauma, the context also orients the clinician to the likelihood of an
224 osteoporotic fracture. For example, in boys with GC-treated DMD, VFs were frequent in
225 the years following a single low-trauma long bone fracture (2), providing proof of
226 principle that the initial long bone fracture, was the first osteoporotic event. For a child
227 with physical stigmata of congenital bone fragility such as blue sclera, joint
228 hypermobility, skin laxity, impaired growth, scoliosis, limb deformity, tooth abnormalities,
229 easy bruising, dysmorphism, multiple Wormian bones, and/or a positive family history,
230 the threshold for initiating a bone health evaluation is lower than in the absence of such
231 signs. In children with stigmata which together suggest the possibility of OI or an OI-like
232 disorder, the bone fragility assessment may be undertaken even before presentation

233 with fractures, to pursue a monogenic form of osteoporosis (23), and to detect VFs that
234 may be present in the asymptomatic phase.

235 *Vertebral fractures*

236 We support the ongoing use of the 2013 ISCD Position Statement that ≥ 1 VF, defined
237 as $>20\%$ loss of vertebral height ratio according to the Genant semi-quantitative method
238 (24), is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. This was further supported in the
239 2019 ISCD Position Statement (25). Pediatric VFs are extremely rare in the absence of
240 trauma (10), but occur in 75% of children with OI due to *COL1A1* haploinsufficiency
241 mutations (26), in one third of children with leukemia (3), in $>50\%$ of boys with GC-
242 treated DMD (27), and in 16% of otherwise healthy fracture-prone children (28). In a
243 study of children with leukemia, the relationship between Genant-defined VFs at
244 diagnosis and subsequent new vertebral and long bone fractures provided validity for
245 the use of the Genant method to define VFs in children (3). The fact that VFs can be a
246 presenting sign of serious systemic diseases like leukemia and inflammatory disorders
247 underscores the importance of the 2013 ISCD recommendation that even a single VF
248 can be a manifestation of osteoporosis in children (29-31).

249 ***Definition of low-trauma***

250 Low-trauma has been defined in numerous ways. The 2013 ISCD criteria defined low-
251 trauma fractures as those occurring outside of motor vehicle accidents, or falling from
252 10 feet (3 meters) or less. With respect to falls in the chronic illness setting, a more
253 conservative definition has been used - falling from a standing height or less, at no more
254 than walking speed (3). This latter definition holds validity in the chronic illness setting,

255 since VFs predicted incident low-trauma long bone fractures defined in this way (3). At
256 the same time, it is important to recognize that children with high-trauma fractures may
257 also have a bone fragility condition, a reminder that screening for telltale signs of
258 osteoporosis even at the time of first presentation for fracture management, such as
259 blue sclerae or dentinogenesis imperfecta, is warranted.

260 ***Synthesizing fracture characteristics and the clinical context into a contemporary***
261 ***approach to the diagnosis of osteoporosis in children***

262 **Figure 1** encourages the clinician to consider the relationship between the severity of
263 the child's fracture phenotype, and the magnitude of supporting clinical features and risk
264 factors that are needed to trigger a comprehensive bone health evaluation. This figure
265 conveys the balance of factors in favour or against a diagnosis of osteoporosis based
266 on clinical information. In **Figure 2**, we propose a comprehensive diagnostic pathway
267 that expands on the principles in **Figure 1**, based on current knowledge about the key
268 elements of a pediatric bone health evaluation. The concepts in **Figures 1** and **2** apply
269 to infants, toddlers, children and adolescents.

270 In Figure 2, we recommend that children undergo a work-up to explore a disorder of
271 mineral metabolism (e.g. rickets), and serious underlying acute (e.g. leukemia) or
272 chronic (e.g. inflammatory bowel disease, juvenile arthritis) illness. Figure 2 provides a
273 general framework for this initial work-up, which should be tailored to the presenting
274 symptoms and ensure use of pediatric reference data for biochemical testing. If
275 negative, the next step is to undertake a formal osteoporosis evaluation, including DXA-
276 based BMD parameters and a lateral thoracolumbar spine radiograph. Given the
277 importance of vertebral fracture identification in the pediatric osteoporosis work-up, an

278 ISCD Pediatric Task Force recently reviewed and subsequently endorsed the use of
279 DXA-based BMD for vertebral fracture assessment (VFA) in children, as updated in the
280 2019 ISCD Official Pediatric Position report (25). Occasionally, magnetic resonance
281 imaging is needed to clarify equivocal cases. Some children need more extensive
282 imaging than others, depending on the clinical context. For example, a hand x-ray (for
283 bone age and to rule out rickets) and DXA-based VFA or lateral spine x-ray are usually
284 sufficient in children with secondary osteoporosis. Children with suspected primary
285 osteoporosis typically undergo additional x-rays, to query Wormian bones of the skull
286 and skeletal deformity. In children who do not have positive clinical/radiographic/genetic
287 characteristics to support an osteoporosis diagnosis, we propose it is reasonable to
288 then follow the 2013 ISCD definition of osteoporosis regarding the requisite number of
289 long bone fractures (minus the need for specific BMD criteria, as discussed in the next
290 section). In such cases, we recommend monitoring the child's ability to return to normal
291 physical activities without further fractures, and the child's rate of bone mineral accrual
292 (32). For example, a child without obvious stigmata of OI but who continues to sustain
293 fractures or fails to accrue bone at a normal rate may tip the balance to more
294 aggressive testing (such as whole exome studies).

295 Bone turnover markers (BTM) are not part of the standard work-up for childhood
296 osteoporosis. BTM are highly correlated with growth velocity, and therefore difficult to
297 interpret. Abnormal BTM (using appropriate reference data) may provide diagnostic
298 clues in some cases. Bone resorption markers may be high pre-bisphosphonate therapy
299 in children with OI (33), and correlate with an elevated trabecular bone formation rate on
300 trans-iliac biopsies (34). Reductions in bone resorption markers, and low trabecular

301 bone formation, have been observed on chronic glucocorticoid therapy (35, 36), and in
302 juvenile osteoporosis due to mutations in *LRP5* (37, 38).

303 **The Role of BMD in the Diagnostic Pathway**

304 This paradigm raises the fundamental question - what is the role of DXA-based BMD in
305 the assessment of pediatric fractures? While a low BMD raises the index of suspicion
306 for an osteoporotic fracture, it is not diagnostic, since BMD can be low simply due to a
307 size artefact (as in short stature), or in non-osteoporotic conditions with fractures such
308 as rickets and hypophosphatasia. Furthermore, BMD can be normal in children with
309 fractures due to both primary and secondary osteoporosis. In rare cases, fragility
310 fractures are a sign of a sclerosing bone disorder, a diagnosis that should be evident on
311 plain radiographs but which can be confirmed by a high BMD Z-score in more subtle
312 cases. Overall, BMD is only one of many jigsaw pieces that orient the clinician as to
313 whether there are sufficient clinical features to warrant expanded diagnostic testing,
314 such as genetic profiling for primary osteoporosis, or chronic illness work-ups for
315 conditions such as neuromuscular disorders (e.g. congenital myopathies, DMD),
316 inflammatory states (e.g. Crohn's disease and rheumatic conditions), or nutritional
317 compromise (e.g. celiac disease). The main purpose of BMD in the childhood fracture
318 setting, then, is to provide additional supporting evidence to justify a more
319 comprehensive osteoporosis work-up in equivocal cases. In uncertain cases, the BMD
320 trajectory can be useful, with a loss of ≥ 0.5 SD considered to be clinically significant,
321 providing a threshold to trigger more comprehensive bone health testing (7).

322 A number of considerations must be taken into account when acquiring and interpreting
323 DXA scans in children. The choice of skeletal site should be informed by individual

324 patient characteristics, and local access to appropriate reference data is paramount.
325 Lumbar spine (L1-L4) and whole body (total body less head) BMD have been the most
326 widely used parameters in children to date, and associate with fracture risk (3, 39). In
327 2019, the ISCD recommendations were updated to additionally endorse DXA-based
328 BMD at the distal forearm, proximal hip, and lateral distal femur in children who need
329 additional information for clinical decision-making, or in whom spine or whole body DXA
330 scans cannot be obtained (e.g. indwelling hardware) (25). Areal BMD by DXA is subject
331 to size artifact; therefore, children with short stature and/or pubertal delay will have
332 artificially low BMD Z-scores relative to healthy reference data. To better estimate BMD
333 in short children, size-adjustment techniques have been developed including bone
334 mineral apparent density (40, 41), and height Z-score-adjusted BMD Z-scores (42).

335 Peripheral quantitative computed tomography at the radius and tibia provide valuable
336 information that cannot be obtained by DXA, including bone and muscle geometry, as
337 well as “true” (volumetric) cortical and trabecular BMD. The 2013 ISCD Official
338 Pediatric Positions noted that optimal measurement sites and scanning protocols have
339 not been established for children; furthermore, reference data are limited. As such, this
340 technique is presently restricted to highly specialized centres and research studies (43).

341 **Recognition that the Diagnosis of Osteoporosis Does Not Always Signal the Need** 342 **for Treatment**

343 The diagnosis of osteoporosis in children does not necessarily signal the need to treat.
344 Unlike adults, the pediatric skeleton is driven to undergo bone mass restitution and to
345 reshape previously fractured vertebral bodies. Vertebral body reshaping is due to
346 skeletal modeling arising from the vertebral growth plates (i.e. vertebral “catch-up

347 growth”), provided the child’s risk factors are transient and there remains sufficient
348 residual growth potential. These principles are best exemplified in children with
349 leukemia; most are diagnosed at a young age (on average between 4-6 years of age)
350 and the bone health threat is usually transient (> 90% cure rate after 2-4 years of
351 chemotherapy) (44). In childhood leukemia, nearly 80% of those with VFs undergo
352 complete vertebral body reshaping without bone-specific treatment by six years
353 following diagnosis (3).

354 At the opposite end of the spectrum, long bone and VF rates are so high in GC-treated
355 DMD, and risk factors are so aggressive and persistent, that medication-unassisted
356 vertebral body reshaping and improvements in bone mineral accrual have not been
357 reported. These observations shaped recent recommendation to monitor for signs of
358 osteoporosis with annual spine radiographs starting at the time of GC initiation in DMD,
359 and to start osteoporosis intervention at the first sign of a single low-trauma long bone
360 or VF (7).

361 These two contrasting clinical scenarios underscore the importance of assessing
362 whether the child with osteoporotic fractures needs osteoporosis therapy, recognizing
363 that younger age, transient risk factors and less severe vertebral collapse are key
364 determinants of recovery without the need for intervention (3).

365 **Peering into the Next Decade**

366 In this perspective, we propose an expanded diagnostic approach to children with
367 fractures, one that continues to respect the need to avoid over-diagnosis in healthy
368 children. At the same time, our approach safeguards against missed diagnoses in

369 milder or first-fracture cases of osteoporosis. As such, we are moving away from a
370 requisite number of long bone fractures and a low BMD, to an approach that
371 incorporates long bone fracture features, the clinical context including fracture risk, and
372 signs of a genetic disorder.

373 With pediatric bone mineral accrual Z-score equations now available (32), researchers
374 over the next decade are well-poised to assess the relationship between bone mineral
375 accrual rates and the osteoporosis diagnostic yield. In this context, it will be important to
376 ensure that BMD/BMC Z-score trajectories are determined using the same DXA
377 machine and software version, and that if changes in either are made over time,
378 appropriate machine cross-calibration factors are applied. For disease groups at very
379 high fracture risk such as DMD, bone mineral accrual rates may aid risk stratification for
380 enrolment in osteoporosis prevention trials. Furthermore, recognition that VF detection
381 is an important part of the bone health evaluation has spurred interest in the use of
382 DXA-based VF assessment as a diagnostic tool, in order to minimize radiation
383 exposure. In addition, the diagnostic validity of novel imaging technology such as high
384 resolution peripheral quantitative CT needs to be established.

385 The most pressing unmet need going forward is to understand the etiology and
386 mechanisms that lead to fragility fractures in otherwise healthy children with an absent
387 family history, lack of typical stigmata of OI and negative genetic testing, as reported in
388 72% of such patients in a recent study (19). These children remind the global pediatric
389 community that the door remains open to additional discovery of monogenic and
390 polygenic bone strength determinants, which in turn will shed more light on the
391 pathobiology and diagnosis of osteoporotic fractures in children and adolescents. As

392 part of this mission, national health authorities, independent of socioeconomic status,
393 should promote access to specialized centres for rare diseases that includes genetic
394 testing, so that no child goes without the essentials of osteoporosis management in the
395 future.

396

397 **Acknowledgments:** Dr. Ward is supported by a Research Chair Award from the
398 University of Ottawa, and The CHEO Departments of Pediatrics and Surgery. Dr. Weber
399 is supported by National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases grant
400 number K23 DK114477

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412 **References**

- 413 1. **Writing Group of the ISCD Position Development Conference.** 2004
414 Diagnosis of osteoporosis in men, premenopausal women, and children. *J Clin*
415 *Densitom* 7:17-26
- 416 2. **Ma J, McMillan HJ, Karaguzel G, Goodin C, Wasson J, Matzinger MA,**
417 **DesClouds P, Cram D, Page M, Konji VN, Lentle B, Ward LM** 2017 The time
418 to and determinants of first fractures in boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy.
419 *Osteoporos Int* 28:597-608
- 420 3. **Ward LM, Ma J, Lang B, Ho J, Alos N, Matzinger MA, Shenouda N, Lentle B,**
421 **Jaremko JL, Wilson B, Stephure D, Stein R, Sbrocchi AM, Rodd C, Lewis V,**
422 **Israels S, Grant RM, Fernandez CV, Dix DB, Cummings EA, Couch R,**
423 **Cairney E, Barr R, Abish S, Atkinson SA, Hay J, Rauch F, Moher D,**
424 **Siminoski K, Halton J** 2018 Bone Morbidity and Recovery in Children With
425 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: Results of a Six-Year Prospective Cohort Study.
426 *J Bone Miner Res* 33:1435-1443
- 427 4. **LeBlanc CM, Ma J, Taljaard M, Roth J, Scuccimarri R, Miettunen P, Lang B,**
428 **Huber AM, Houghton K, Jaremko JL, Ho J, Shenouda N, Matzinger MA,**
429 **Lentle B, Stein R, Sbrocchi AM, Oen K, Rodd C, Jurencak R, Cummings EA,**
430 **Couch R, Cabral DA, Atkinson S, Alos N, Rauch F, Siminoski K, Ward LM**
431 2015 Incident Vertebral Fractures and Risk Factors in the First Three Years
432 Following Glucocorticoid Initiation Among Pediatric Patients With Rheumatic
433 Disorders. *J Bone Miner Res* 30:1667-1675

- 434 5. **Whyte MP, Greenberg CR, Salman NJ, Bober MB, McAlister WH, Wenkert D,**
435 **Van Sickle BJ, Simmons JH, Edgar TS, Bauer ML, Hamdan MA, Bishop N,**
436 **Lutz RE, McGinn M, Craig S, Moore JN, Taylor JW, Cleveland RH, Cranley**
437 **WR, Lim R, Thacher TD, Mayhew JE, Downs M, Millan JL, Skrinar AM, Crine**
438 **P, Landy H** 2012 Enzyme-replacement therapy in life-threatening
439 hypophosphatasia. *N Engl J Med* 366:904-913
- 440 6. **Nasomyont N, Hornung LN, Gordon CM, Wasserman H** 2019 Outcomes
441 following intravenous bisphosphonate infusion in pediatric patients: A 7-year
442 retrospective chart review. *Bone* 121:60-67
- 443 7. **Birnkrant DJ, Bushby K, Bann CM, Alman BA, Apkon SD, Blackwell A, Case**
444 **LE, Cripe L, Hadjiyannakis S, Olson AK, Sheehan DW, Bolen J, Weber DR,**
445 **Ward LM** 2018 Diagnosis and management of Duchenne muscular dystrophy,
446 part 2: respiratory, cardiac, bone health, and orthopaedic management. *Lancet*
447 *Neurol* 17:347-361
- 448 8. **Hembree WC, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Gooren L, Hannema SE, Meyer WJ,**
449 **Murad MH, Rosenthal SM, Safer JD, Tangpricha V, T'Sjoen GG** 2017
450 Endocrine Treatment of Gender-Dysphoric/Gender-Incongruent Persons: An
451 Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 102:3869-
452 3903
- 453 9. **Bishop N, Arundel P, Clark E, Dimitri P, Farr J, Jones G, Makitie O, Munns**
454 **CF, Shaw N** 2014 Fracture Prediction and the Definition of Osteoporosis in
455 Children and Adolescents: The ISCD 2013 Pediatric Official Positions. *J Clin*
456 *Densitom* 17:275-280

- 457 10. **Cooper C, Dennison EM, Leufkens HG, Bishop N, van Staa TP** 2004
458 Epidemiology of childhood fractures in Britain: a study using the general practice
459 research database. *J Bone Miner Res* 19:1976-1981
- 460 11. **Clark EM** 2014 The epidemiology of fractures in otherwise healthy children. *Curr*
461 *Osteoporos Rep* 12:272-278
- 462 12. **Mayranpaa MK, Makitie O, Kallio PE** 2010 Decreasing incidence and changing
463 pattern of childhood fractures: A population-based study. *J Bone Miner Res*
464 25:2752-2759
- 465 13. **Baim S, Leonard MB, Bianchi ML, Hans DB, Kalkwarf HJ, Langman CB,**
466 **Rauch F** 2008 Official Positions of the International Society for Clinical
467 Densitometry and executive summary of the 2007 ISCD Pediatric Position
468 Development Conference. *J Clin Densitom* 11:6-21
- 469 14. **Leonard MB, Propert KJ, Zemel BS, Stallings VA, Feldman HI** 1999
470 Discrepancies in pediatric bone mineral density reference data: potential for
471 misdiagnosis of osteopenia. *The Journal of pediatrics* 135:182-188
- 472 15. **Kocks J, Ward K, Mughal Z, Moncayo R, Adams J, Hogler W** 2010 Z-score
473 comparability of bone mineral density reference databases for children. *The*
474 *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 95:4652-4659
- 475 16. **Ma J, Siminoski K, Alos N, Halton J, Ho J, Lentle B, Matzinger M, Shenouda**
476 **N, Atkinson S, Barr R, Cabral DA, Couch R, Cummings EA, Fernandez CV,**
477 **Grant RM, Rodd C, Sbrocchi AM, Scharke M, Rauch F, Ward LM** 2015 The
478 choice of normative pediatric reference database changes spine bone mineral

- 479 density Z-scores but not the relationship between bone mineral density and
480 prevalent vertebral fractures. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 100:1018-1027
- 481 17. **Fiscaletti M, Coorey CP, Biggin A, Briody J, Little DG, Schindeler A, Munns**
482 **CF** 2018 Diagnosis of Recurrent Fracture in a Pediatric Cohort. Calcif Tissue Int
483 103:529-539
- 484 18. **Henderson RC, Berglund LM, May R, Zemel BS, Grossberg RI, Johnson J,**
485 **Plotkin H, Stevenson RD, Szalay E, Wong B, Kecskemethy HH, Harcke HT**
486 2010 The relationship between fractures and DXA measures of BMD in the distal
487 femur of children and adolescents with cerebral palsy or muscular dystrophy.
488 Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American
489 Society for Bone and Mineral Research 25:520-526
- 490 19. **Bardai G, Ward LM, Trejo P, Moffatt P, Glorieux FH, Rauch F** 2017 Molecular
491 diagnosis in children with fractures but no extraskeletal signs of osteogenesis
492 imperfecta. Osteoporos Int 28:2095-2101
- 493 20. **Bardai G, Moffatt P, Glorieux FH, Rauch F** 2016 DNA sequence analysis in
494 598 individuals with a clinical diagnosis of osteogenesis imperfecta: diagnostic
495 yield and mutation spectrum. Osteoporos Int 27:3607-3613
- 496 21. **Rauch F, Bailey DA, Baxter-Jones A, Mirwald R, Faulkner R** 2004 The
497 'muscle-bone unit' during the pubertal growth spurt. Bone 34:771-775
- 498 22. **Homan EP, Rauch F, Grafe I, Lietman C, Doll JA, Dawson B, Bertin T,**
499 **Napierala D, Morello R, Gibbs R, White L, Miki R, Cohn DH, Crawford S,**
500 **Travers R, Glorieux FH, Lee B** 2011 Mutations in SERPINF1 cause
501 osteogenesis imperfecta type VI. J Bone Miner Res 26:2798-2803

- 502 23. **Makitie RE, Costantini A, Kampe A, Alm JJ, Makitie O** 2019 New Insights Into
503 Monogenic Causes of Osteoporosis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 10:70
- 504 24. **Genant HK, Wu CY, van Kuijk C, Nevitt MC** 1993 Vertebral fracture
505 assessment using a semiquantitative technique. *J Bone Miner Res* 8:1137-1148
- 506 25. **Weber DR, Boyce A, Gordon C, Hogler W, Kecskemethy HH, Misra M,**
507 **Swolin-Eide D, Tebben P, Ward LM, Wasserman H, Shuhart C, Zemel BS**
508 2019 The Utility of DXA Assessment at the Forearm, Proximal Femur, and
509 Lateral Distal Femur, and Vertebral Fracture Assessment in the Pediatric
510 Population: The 2019 Official Pediatric Positions of the ISCD. *J Clin Densitom*
- 511 26. **Ben Amor IM, Roughley P, Glorieux FH, Rauch F** 2013 Skeletal clinical
512 characteristics of osteogenesis imperfecta caused by haploinsufficiency
513 mutations in COL1A1. *J Bone Miner Res* 28:2001-2007
- 514 27. **Singh A, Schaeffer EK, Reilly CW** 2016 Vertebral Fractures in Duchenne
515 Muscular Dystrophy Patients Managed With Deflazacort. *J Pediatr Orthop*
- 516 28. **Mayranpaa MK, Viljakainen HT, Toiviainen-Salo S, Kallio PE, Makitie O** 2012
517 Impaired bone health and asymptomatic vertebral compressions in fracture-
518 prone children: a case-control study. *J Bone Miner Res* 27:1413-1424
- 519 29. **Halton J, Gaboury I, Grant R, Alos N, Cummings EA, Matzinger M,**
520 **Shenouda N, Lentle B, Abish S, Atkinson S, Cairney E, Dix D, Israels S,**
521 **Stephure D, Wilson B, Hay J, Moher D, Rauch F, Siminoski K, Ward LM**
522 2009 Advanced vertebral fracture among newly diagnosed children with acute
523 lymphoblastic leukemia: results of the Canadian Steroid-Associated

- 524 Osteoporosis in the Pediatric Population (STOPP) research program. J Bone
525 Miner Res 24:1326-1334
- 526 30. **Thearle M, Horlick M, Bilezikian JP, Levy J, Gertner JM, Levine LS,**
527 **Harbison M, Berdon W, Oberfield SE** 2000 Osteoporosis: an unusual
528 presentation of childhood Crohn's disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85:2122-
529 2126.
- 530 31. **Huber AM, Gaboury I, Cabral DA, Lang B, Ni A, Stephure D, Taback S, Dent**
531 **P, Ellsworth J, LeBlanc C, Saint-Cyr C, Scuccimarri R, Hay J, Lentle B,**
532 **Matzinger M, Shenouda N, Moher D, Rauch F, Siminoski K, Ward LM** 2010
533 Prevalent vertebral fractures among children initiating glucocorticoid therapy for
534 the treatment of rheumatic disorders. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 62:516-526
- 535 32. **Kelly A, Shults J, Mostoufi-Moab S, McCormack SE, Stallings VA, Schall JI,**
536 **Kalkwarf HJ, Lappe JM, Gilsanz V, Oberfield SE, Shepherd JA, Winer KK,**
537 **Leonard MB, Zemel BS** 2019 Pediatric Bone Mineral Accrual Z-Score
538 Calculation Equations and Their Application in Childhood Disease. J Bone Miner
539 Res 34:195-203
- 540 33. **Rauch F, Plotkin H, Travers R, Zeitlin L, Glorieux FH** 2003 Osteogenesis
541 imperfecta types I, III, and IV: effect of pamidronate therapy on bone and mineral
542 metabolism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 88:986-992
- 543 34. **Rauch F, Travers R, Plotkin H, Glorieux FH** 2002 The effects of intravenous
544 pamidronate on the bone tissue of children and adolescents with osteogenesis
545 imperfecta. J Clin Invest 110:1293-1299

- 546 35. **Sbrocchi AM, Rauch F, Jacob P, McCormick A, McMillan HJ, Matzinger MA,**
547 **Ward LM** 2012 The use of intravenous bisphosphonate therapy to treat vertebral
548 fractures due to osteoporosis among boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy.
549 Osteoporos Int 23:2703-2711
- 550 36. **Ward LM, Rauch F, Matzinger MA, Benchimol EI, Boland M, Mack DR** 2010
551 Iliac bone histomorphometry in children with newly diagnosed inflammatory
552 bowel disease. Osteoporos Int 21:331-337
- 553 37. **Hartikka H, Makitie O, Mannikko M, Doria AS, Daneman A, Cole WG, Ala-**
554 **Kokko L, Sochett EB** 2005 Heterozygous mutations in the LDL receptor-related
555 protein 5 (LRP5) gene are associated with primary osteoporosis in children. J
556 Bone Miner Res 20:783-789
- 557 38. **Fahiminiya S, Majewski J, Roughley P, Roschger P, Klaushofer K, Rauch F**
558 2013 Whole-exome sequencing reveals a heterozygous LRP5 mutation in a 6-
559 year-old boy with vertebral compression fractures and low trabecular bone
560 density. Bone 57:41-46
- 561 39. **Goulding A, Jones IE, Taylor RW, Manning PJ, Williams SM** 2000 More
562 broken bones: a 4-year double cohort study of young girls with and without distal
563 forearm fractures. J Bone Miner Res 15:2011-2018
- 564 40. **Crabtree NJ, Shaw NJ, Bishop NJ, Adams JE, Mughal MZ, Arundel P,**
565 **Fewtrell MS, Ahmed SF, Treadgold LA, Hogler W, Bebbington NA, Ward KA**
566 2017 Amalgamated Reference Data for Size-Adjusted Bone Densitometry
567 Measurements in 3598 Children and Young Adults-the ALPHABET Study. J
568 Bone Miner Res 32:172-180

- 569 41. **Kindler JM, Lappe JM, Gilsanz V, Oberfield S, Shepherd JA, Kelly A, Winer**
570 **KK, Kalkwarf HJ, Zemel BS** 2019 Lumbar Spine Bone Mineral Apparent Density
571 in Children: Results From the Bone Mineral Density in Childhood Study. *J Clin*
572 *Endocrinol Metab* 104:1283-1292
- 573 42. **Zemel BS, Leonard MB, Kelly A, Lappe JM, Gilsanz V, Oberfield S,**
574 **Mahboubi S, Shepherd JA, Hangartner TN, Frederick MM, Winer KK,**
575 **Kalkwarf HJ** 2010 Height adjustment in assessing dual energy x-ray
576 absorptiometry measurements of bone mass and density in children. *J Clin*
577 *Endocrinol Metab* 95:1265-1273
- 578 43. **Adams JE, Engelke K, Zemel BS, Ward KA** 2014 Quantitative computer
579 tomography in children and adolescents: the 2013 ISCD Pediatric Official
580 Positions. *J Clin Densitom* 17:258-274
- 581 44. **Pui CH, Evans WE** 2013 A 50-year journey to cure childhood acute
582 lymphoblastic leukemia. *Semin Hematol* 50:185-196
- 583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590

591 **Figure Legends**

592 **Figure 1:** Magnitude of supporting evidence needed to trigger a bone health evaluation
593 in relationship to fracture characteristics

594

595 **Figure 2:** Proposed approach to the diagnosis of osteoporosis in children