UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Open-label randomised pragmatic trial (CONTACT) comparing naproxen and low-dose colchicine for the treatment of gout flares in primary care

Roddy, Edward; Clarkson, Kris; Blagojevic-Bucknall, Milisa; Mehta, Rajnikant; Oppong, Raymond; Avery, Anthony; Hay, Elaine; Heneghan, Carl; Hartshorne, Liz; Hooper, Julie; Hughes, Gemma; Jowett, Sue; Lewis, Martyn; Little, Paul; McCartney, Karen; Mahtani, Kamal : Nunan, David: Santer, Miriam : Williams, Sam : Mallen, Christian

DOI:

10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216154

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Roddy, E, Clarkson, K, Blagojevic-Bucknall, M, Mehta, R, Oppong, R, Avery, A, Hay, E, Heneghan, C, Hartshorne, L, Hooper, J, Hughes, G, Jowett, S, Lewis, M, Little, P, McCartney, K, Mahtani, K, Nunan, D, Santer, M, Williams, S & Mallen, C 2020, 'Open-label randomised pragmatic trial (CONTACT) comparing naproxen and low-dose colchicine for the treatment of gout flares in primary care', Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 276-284. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216154

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Publisher Rights Statement: Roddy, E, Clarkson, K, Blagojevic-Bucknall, M, Mehta, R, Oppong, R, Avery, A, Hay, E, Heneghan, C, Hartshorne, L, Hooper, J, Hughes, G, Jowett, S, Lewis, M, Little, P, McCartney, K, Mahtani, K, Nunan, D, Santer, M, Williams, S & Mallen, C (2019), 'An open-label randomised pragmatic trial (CONTACT) comparing naproxen and low-dose colchicine for the treatment of gout flares in primary care', Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, pp. 1-9.© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216154

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 25. Apr. 2024

	Naproxen	Colchicine
Recruitment by region, n (%)		
Keele	55 (27.5%)	52 (26.1%)
Southampton	88 (44.0%)	101 (50.8%)
Oxford	41 (20.5%)	31 (15.6%)
Nottingham	16 (8.0%)	15 (7.5%)
Participants recruited per practice, median (IQR)*	2 (1-4)	2 (1-3)

Supplementary Table 1: recruitment by region and GP practice

*75/100 GP practices who agreed to take part recruited at least one participant. Numbers recruited per practice ranged from 1 (21 practices) to 41 for the best recruiting practice (skewed upwards); overall median of 3 (IQR 1-7). IQR, interquartile range

	1		2		3		
	Mean P		Mean	P value	Mean	Р	
	difference	value	difference		difference	value	
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		
Day 1	0.01	0.97	-0.04	0.85	-0.04	0.88	
-	(-0.45 to 0.46)		(-0.50 to 0.41)		(-0.54 to 0.46)		
Day 2	-0.43	0.063	-0.47	0.040	-0.57	0.024	
•	(-0.88 to 0.02)		(-0.92 to -0.02)		(-1.07 to -0.07)		
Day 3	-0.11	0.62	-0.17	0.47	-0.20	0.44	
-	(-0.56 to 0.33)		(-0.62 to 0.28)		(-0.69 to 0.30)		
Day 4	-0.11	0.62	-0.19	0.41	-0.23	0.37	
•	(-0.56 to 0.34)		(-0.64 to 0.26)		(-0.72 to 0.27)		
Day 5	-0.16	0.47	-0.25	0.27	-0.23	0.36	
•	(-0.61 to 0.28)		(-0.71 to 0.20)		(-0.73 to 0.27)		
Day 6	-0.18	0.42	-0.31	0.17	-0.22	0.38	
-	(-0.63 to 0.26)		(-0.77 to 0.14)		(-0.72 to 0.27)		
Day 7 [#]	-0.28	0.22	-0.43	0.061	-0.41	0.10	
•	(-0.72 to 0.16)		(-0.88 to 0.02)		(-0.91 to 0.08)		
Overall	-0.18	0.32	-0.27	0.14	-0.27	0.18	
(Days 1-7)	(-0.54 to 0.17)		(-0.62 to 0.09)		(-0.67 to 0.13)		
Week 4	-0.24	0.29	-0.48	0.038	-0.57	0.025	
	(-0.68 to 0.20)		(-0.94 to -0.03)		(-1.07 to -0.07)		

Supplementary table 2: per protocol analyses

Definition of per-protocol analyses:-

1 = No treatment violation by medical record note reporting (no treatment crossover + no early cessation of treatment) {n (A/B) = 184/182; 1014 / 1054 repeat data across days 1-7}

2 = At least 1-day use of randomised treatment by self-report (in addition to 1 above) {n (A/B) = 149/155; 998 / 1032 repeat data across days 1-7}

3 = 7 days (4-7 days) use of randomised treatment naproxen (colchicine) by self-report (in addition to 1 above) {n (A/B) = 118/134; 807 / 890 repeat data across days 1-7}

Summary is inclusive of minimum data collection (for scores at day 7)

	Naproxen	Colchicine	χ2	P value
Day 1	2/160 (1.3%)	8/164 (4.9%)	3.6	0.10*
Day 2	11/161 (6.8%)	12/164 (7.3%)	0.03	0.87
Day 3	29/163 (17.8%)	29/166 (17.5%)	0.01	0.94
Day 4	56/158 (35.4%)	55/168 (32.7%)	0.27	0.61
Day 5	79/156 (50.6%)	72/159 (45.3%)	0.91	0.34
Day 6	95/157 (60.5%)	89/160 (55.6%)	0.78	0.38
Day 7	115/171 (67.3%)	116/173 (67.1%)	< 0.01	0.97
Week 4	130/173 (75.1%)	130/177 (73.4%)	0.13	0.72

Supplementary table 3: Comparison of complete pain resolution over days 1-7 and at 4	•
weeks	

* Complete-case responders to all pain questions (diary days 1 through 7 and at 4 weeks).

Median = Day 5 for Naproxen; Median = Day 6 for Colchicine.

Interquartile range: Day 4, Week 4 [in both treatment groups].

P value by Mann-Whitney U test = 0.73.

	Day1		Day2 Day		ny3	73 Day4			Day5		Day6		Day7	
	Ν	C	Ν	C	Ν	С	Ν	C	Ν	C	Ν	C	Ν	C
	(n=160)	(n=164)	(n=161)	(n=164)	(n=163)	(n=166)	(n=160)	(n=168)	(n=160)	(n=162)	(n=158)	(n=162)	(n=172)*	(n=176)*
	NP	CC	NP	CC	NP	CC	NP	CC	NP	CC	NP	CC	NP	CC
Nausea	17	15	11	16	7	17	6	11	6	6	2	6	3	5
	(10.6%)	(9.1%)	(6.8%)	(9.8%)	(4.3%)	(10.2%)	(3.8%)	(6.5%)	(3.8%)	(3.7%)	(1.3%)	(3.7%)	(1.7%)	(2.8%)
Vomiting	3	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	(1.9%)	(0.6%)	(1.2%)	(0.6%)	(0.6%)	(0.6%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.6%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
Nausea and/or	19	16	12	17	7	18	6	11	6	6	2	7	3	5
vomiting	(11.9%)	(9.8%)	(7.5%)	(10.4%)	(4.3%)	(10.8%)	(3.8%)	(6.5%)	(3.8%)	(3.7%)	(1.3%)	(4.3%)	(1.7%)	(2.8%)
Dyspepsia	10	13	11	11	11	14	9	11	5	7	6	6	4	6
	(6.3%)	(7.9%)	(6.8%)	(6.7%)	(6.7%)	(8.4%)	(5.6%)	(6.5%)	(3.1%)	(4.3%)	(3.8%)	(3.7%)	(2.3%)	(3.4%)
Abdominal pain	5	7	6	8	7	8	7	8	3	9	2	6	4	6
	(3.1%)	(4.3%)	(3.7%)	(4.9%)	(4.3%)	(4.8%)	(4.4%)	(4.8%)	(1.9%)	(5.6%)	(1.3%)	(3.7%)	(2.3%)	(3.4%)
Headache	9	16	10	12	3	13	3	13	5	10	4	5	3	4
	(5.6%)	(9.8%)	(6.2%)	(7.3%)	(1.8%)	(7.8%)	(1.9%)	(7.7%)	(3.1%)	(6.2%)	(2.5%)	(3.1%)	(1.7%)	(2.3%)
Constipation	8	1	15	2	16	5	8	4	6	3	6	2	5	3
	(5.0%)	(0.6%)	(9.3%)	(1.2%)	(9.8%)	(3.0%)	(5.0%)	(2.4%)	(3.8%)	(1.9%)	(3.8%)	(1.2%)	(2.9%)	(1.7%)
Diarrhoea	7	20	7	28	12	40	10	52	3	34	7	18	5	13
	(4.4%)	(12.2%)	(4.3%)	(17.1%)	(7.4%)	(24.1%)	(6.3%)	(31.0%)	(1.9%)	(21.0%)	(4.4%)	(11.1%)	(2.9%)	(7.4%)
Skin rash	2	3	2	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2
	(1.3%)	(1.8%)	(1.2%)	(1.2%)	(0.0%)	(0.6%)	(0.0%)	(0.6%)	(0.6%)	(0.6%)	(0.0%)	(0.6%)	(0.0%)	(1.1%)
Any side	54	54	50	64	52	76	45	78	38	52	35	36	26	32
effect(s) [#]	(33.8%)	(32.9%)	(31.1%)	(39.0%)	(31.9%)	(45.8%)	(28.1%)	(46.4%)	(23.8%)	(32.1%)	(22.2%)	(22.2%)	(15.1%)	(18.2%)

Supplementary Table 4: Self-reported daily side-effects within the first week of follow-up – complete-case data

N = Naproxen; C = Colchicine

* These are higher denominator numbers since questions on side-effects on day 7 were included in minimal data collection retrieval.

[#] Includes the side-effects listed and 'other' (nominated free-text) side-effects.

	Naproxen (n=200)	Colchicine (n=199)	Difference (CI)
RESOURCE USE	• · · · ·		
GP visits	0.19 (0.34)	0.27 (0.40)	-0.08 (-0.15 to 0.0002)
Nurse visits	0.05 (0.19)	0.07 (0.22)	-0.02 (-0.06 to 0.03)
Emergency GP visits	0.05 (0.17)	0.04 (0.18)	0.01 (-0.03 to 0.04)
A and E visits	0.006 (0.07)	0.007 (0.07)	-0.001 (-0.02 to 0.01)
COSTS (£)			
Drug costs	0.83 (2.00)	1.20 (2.22)	-0.37 (-0.78 to 0.02)
GP costs	6.44 (11.16)	8.80 (13.16)	-2.36 (-4.74 to 0.12)
Nurse costs	0.66 (2.26)	0.86 (2.71)	-0.20 (-0.68 to 0.31)
Emergency GP costs	2.45 (8.54)	2.14 (8.68)	0.31 (-1.28 to 2.04)
A and E costs	0.41 (5.10)	0.48 (5.15)	-0.07 (-1.21 to 0.95)
Intervention cost	6.77 (4.56)	9.83 (6.32)	-3.06 (-4.17 to -2.08)
Total cost	17.57 (20.38)	23.31 (23.46)	-5.74 (-10.03 to -1.64)
HEALTH OUTCOM	ES		
Baseline EQ-5D	0.665 (0.21)	0.663 (0.22)	0.002 (-0.04 to 0.04)
Day 7 EQ-5D	0.882 (0.13)	0.873 (0.14)	0.009 (-0.02 to 0.03)
Week 4 EQ-5D	0.900 (0.11)	0.894 (0.15)	0.006 (-0.02 to 0.03)
QALYs	0.0663 (0.008)	0.0657 (0.01)	0.0006 (-0.001 to 0.002)
Adjusted QALYs ⁺	0.0662	0.0658	0.0004
WORK-RELATED C	OUTCOMES		
Time off work (days)	0.40 (2.47)	0.35 (2.51)	0.05 (-0.42 to 0.53)
Productivity cost (£)	32.16 (190.40)	28.44(207.42)	3.72 (-34.27 to 40.73)

Supplementary table 5: Mean (SD) resource use, costs and outcomes per participant over 4 weeks follow-up

+Adjusted for baseline EQ-5D