UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

The cone of Z-transformations on the Lorentz cone

Nemeth, Sandor; Gowda, Muddappa Seetharama

DOI: 10.13001/1081-3810.4083

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Nemeth, S & Gowda, MS 2019, 'The cone of Z-transformations on the Lorentz cone', *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, vol. 35, no. 1, 25, pp. 387-393. https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.4083

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Checked for eligibility: 13/09/2019

Nemeth, Sandor Zoltan and Gowda, Muddappa S.. (2019), "The cone of Z-transformations on Lorentz cone", Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, Volume 35, pp. 387-393.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 THE CONE OF Z-TRANSFORMATIONS ON THE LORENTZ CONE*

2

SÁNDOR Z. NÉMETH[†] AND M. SEETHARAMA GOWDA[‡]

Abstract. In this paper, we describe the structural properties of the cone of Z-transformations on the Lorentz cone in terms of the semidefinite cone and copositive/completely positive cones induced by the Lorentz cone and its boundary. In particular, we describe its dual as a slice of the semidefinite cone as well as a slice of the completely positive cone of the Lorentz cone. This provides an example of an instance where a conic linear program on a completely positive cone is reduced to problem on the semidefinite cone.

9 Key words. Z-transformation, Lorentz cone, semidefinite cone, copositive cone, completely 10 positive cone

11 AMS subject classifications. 90C33, 15A48

12 **1. Introduction.** Given a proper cone \mathcal{K} in a finite dimensional real Hilbert 13 space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, a linear transformation $A : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is said to be a \mathcal{Z} -transformation 14 on \mathcal{K} if

¹⁵
$$\left[x \in \mathcal{K}, y \in \mathcal{K}^*, \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0\right] \Rightarrow \langle Ax, y \rangle \leq 0,$$

where \mathcal{K}^* denotes the dual of \mathcal{K} in \mathcal{H} . Such transformations appear in various areas including economics, dynamical systems, optimization, see e.g., [3, 2, 12, 9] and the references therein. When \mathcal{H} is \mathbb{R}^n and \mathcal{K} is the nonnegative orthant, \mathcal{Z} -transformations become \mathcal{Z} -matrices, which are square matrices with nonpositive off-diagonal entries.

The set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ of all \mathcal{Z} -transformations on \mathcal{K} is a closed convex cone in the space of all (bounded) linear transformations on \mathcal{H} . Given their appearance and importance in various areas, describing/characterizing elements of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ and its interior, boundary, dual, etc., is of interest. An early result of Schneider and Vidyasagar [16] asserts that \mathcal{A} is a \mathcal{Z} -transformation on \mathcal{K} if and only if $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ for all $t \geq 0$; consequently,

25 (1.1)
$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R} I - \pi(\mathcal{K}).$$

where $\pi(\mathcal{K})$ denotes the set of all linear transformations that leave \mathcal{K} invariant, Idenotes the identity transformation, and overline denotes the closure. To see another description of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$, let $LL(\mathcal{K}) := \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \cap -\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ denote the lineality space of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$, the elements of which are called Lyapunov-like transformations. Then the inclusions

$$\mathbb{R} I - \pi(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathrm{LL}(\mathcal{K}) - \pi(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \overline{\mathbb{R} I - \pi(\mathcal{K})}$$

31 imply that

30

32

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \overline{\mathrm{LL}(\mathcal{K}) - \pi(\mathcal{K})}.$$

As the cones $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$, $\pi(\mathcal{K})$, and $LL(\mathcal{K})$ are generally difficult to describe for an arbitrary proper cone \mathcal{K} , we consider special cases. When \mathcal{K} is the nonnegative orthant, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ consists of square matrices with nonpositive off-diagonal entries, $\pi(\mathcal{K})$ consists of nonnegative matrices, and $LL(\mathcal{K})$ consists of diagonal matrices. Consequently, proper polyhedral cones can be handled via isomorphism arguments. Moving away from

^{*}Submitted to the editors July 15, 2019.

[†]School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Watson Building, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom (s.nemeth@bham.ac.uk)

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland 21250, USA (gowda@umbc.edu)

proper polyhedral cones, in this paper, we focus on the Lorentz cone (also called

the ice-cream cone or the second-order cone as it is induced by the 2-norm) in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , n > 1, defined by:

41 (1.2)
$$\mathcal{L} := \left\{ (t, u)^{\top} : t \in \mathbb{R}, \, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \, t \ge ||u|| \right\}.$$

⁴² This, being an example of a symmetric cone, appears prominently in conic optimiza-⁴³ tion [1]. For this cone, Stern and Wolkowicz [17] have shown that $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ if and ⁴⁴ only if for some real number γ , the matrix $\gamma J - (JA + A^{\top}J)$ is positive semidefinite, ⁴⁵ where J is the diagonal matrix diag $(1, -1, -1, \dots, -1)$. Another result of Stern and ⁴⁶ Wolkowicz ([18], Theorem 4.2) asserts that

47 (1.3)
$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{LL}(\mathcal{L}) - \pi(\mathcal{L})$$

(Going in the reverse direction, in a recent paper, Kuzma et al., [13] have shown that for an irreducible symmetric cone \mathcal{K} , the equality $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = LL(\mathcal{K}) - \pi(\mathcal{K})$ holds only when \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to \mathcal{L} .) Characterizations of $\pi(\mathcal{L})$ and $LL(\mathcal{L})$ appear, respectively, in [14] and [20].

52

65

In this paper, we describe $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ and its interior, boundary, and dual in terms of the 53 semidefinite cone and the so-called copositive and completely positive cones induced 54 by \mathcal{L} (or its boundary $\partial(\mathcal{L})$), see below for the definitions. In particular, we describe 55 the dual of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ as a slice of the semidefinite cone and also of the completely positive 56 cone of \mathcal{L} . This provides an example of an instance where a conic linear optimization 57 problem over a completely positive cone is reduced to a semidefinite problem. To 58 elaborate, consider \mathbb{R}^n , the Euclidean *n*-space of (column) vectors with the usual 59 inner product, $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the space of all real $n \times n$ matrices with the inner product 60 $\langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(X^{\top}Y)$, and \mathcal{S}^n , the subspace of all real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices in 61 $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Corresponding to a closed cone \mathcal{C} (which is not necessarily convex) in \mathbb{R}^n , let 62

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}} := \operatorname{copos}(\mathcal{C}) := \left\{ A \in \mathcal{S}^n : x^\top A x \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \right\}$$

⁶⁴ denote the *copositive cone of* C and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} := \operatorname{compos}(\mathcal{C}) := \left\{ \sum_{u \in U} u u^{\top} : U \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathcal{C} \right\}$$

denote the completely positive cone of \mathcal{C} . When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, these two cones coincide 66 with the semidefinite cone \mathcal{S}^n_+ (consisting of all real $n \times n$ symmetric positive semidef-67 inite matrices); when $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n_+$, these reduce, respectively, to the (standard) copositive 68 cone and completely positive cone. All these cones appear prominently in conic op-69 timization. A result of Burer [5] (see also, [4, 7]) says that any nonconvex quadratic 70 programming problem over a closed cone with additional linear and binary constraints 71 can be reformulated as a linear program over a suitable completely positive cone. For 72 this and other reasons, there is a strong interest in understanding copositive and 73 completely positive cones. For the closed convex cones $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$, various struc-74 tural properties (such as the interior, boundary) as well as duality, irreducibility, and 75 homogeneity properties, have been investigated in the literature, see for example, 76 [19, 6, 8, 11]. Taking \mathcal{C} to be one of \mathbb{R}^n , \mathcal{L} , or $\partial(\mathcal{L})$, we show that 77

(1.4)
$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^* = \left\{ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle B, I \rangle = 0, -JB \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \right\}$$

⁷⁹ and deduce the equality of slices

$$(1.5) \quad \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle J, X \rangle = 0, \ X \in \mathcal{S}^n_+ \right\} = \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \langle J, X \rangle = 0, \ X \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \right\}.$$

2. Preliminaries. In a (finite dimensional real) Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, a nonempty set \mathcal{C} is said to be a *closed cone* if it closed and $tx \in \mathcal{C}$ whenever $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and $t \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R} . Throughout this paper, \mathcal{C} denotes a closed cone.

⁸⁴ A nonempty set \mathcal{K} is said to be a *closed convex cone* if it is a closed cone which is also ⁸⁵ convex. Such a cone is said to be *proper* if $\mathcal{K} \cap -\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ and has nonempty interior.

⁸⁶ Corresponding to a closed convex cone \mathcal{K} , we define its dual in \mathcal{H} as the set

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{H} : \langle x, y \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in \mathcal{K} \right\}.$$

We say that a linear transformation $A : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is *copositive* on \mathcal{K} if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$. We also let $\pi(\mathcal{K}) = \{A : A(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}\}$, where A denotes a linear transformation on \mathcal{H} . For a set S in \mathcal{H} , we denote the closure, interior, and the boundary by \overline{S} , S° , and $\partial(S)$ respectively.

We will be considering closed convex cones in the space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$ which carries the usual inner product and in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ which carries the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle :=$ $\operatorname{tr}(X^{\top}Y)$, where the trace of a square matrix is the sum of its diagonal entries. In $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, \mathcal{S}^n denotes the subspace of all symmetric matrices and \mathcal{A}^n denotes the subspace of all skew-symmetric matrices. We note that $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the orthogonal direct sum of \mathcal{S}^n and \mathcal{A}^n .

We recall some (easily verifiable) properties of the Lorentz cone \mathcal{L} given by (1.2). \mathcal{L} is a self-dual cone in \mathbb{R}^n , that is, $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}$; its interior and boundary are given, respectively, by

101 102

103

$$\mathcal{L}^{\circ} = \Big\{ (t, u)^{\top} : t > ||u|| \Big\},$$

: $t = ||u|| \Big\} = \Big\{ \alpha (1, u)^{\top} : \alpha \ge 0, ||u|| = 1 \Big\}.$

$$\partial(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ (t, u)^{\top} : t = ||u|| \right\} = \left\{ lpha (1, u)^{\top} : t = ||u|| \right\}$$

104 We also have

(2.1)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \neq x, y \in \mathcal{L}, \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow x = \alpha (1, u)^{\top} \text{ and } y = \beta (1, -u)^{\top}, \text{ for some } \alpha, \beta > 0 \text{ and } ||u|| = 1.$$

1	^	6	
1	υ	υ	

110

For a closed cone C in \mathbb{R}^n , we consider the copositive cone \mathcal{E}_C and the completely positive cone \mathcal{K}_C (defined in the Introduction). Note that these are cones of symmetric matrices.

In the Hilbert space S^n (which carries the inner product from $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$), the following hold.

(1) $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the dual cone of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$ [19].

(2) When $C - C = \mathbb{R}^n$, both \mathcal{E}_C and \mathcal{K}_C are proper cones ([10], Proposition 2.2). In particular, this holds when C is one of \mathbb{R}^n , \mathcal{L} , or $\partial(\mathcal{L})$.

(3) We have $\mathcal{S}^n_+ = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$, or equivalently, $\mathcal{K}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathcal{S}^n_+$.

3. Main results. In this section, we provide a closure-free description of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ and, additionally, describe the dual, interior, and the boundary of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$. We recall that $J = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, \dots, -1)$ and \mathcal{A}^n denotes the set of all skew-symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. 121 THEOREM 3.1. Let C denote one of \mathbb{R}^n , \mathcal{L} , or $\partial(\mathcal{L})$. Then,

122 (3.1)
$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{R} I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}} + \mathcal{A}^n).$$

¹²³ *Proof.* Let $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$. From the result of Stern and Wolkowicz [17] mentioned in ¹²⁴ the Introduction, we have

$$2\gamma J - (JA + A^{\top}J) = 2P$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $P \in \mathcal{S}^n_+$. Hence, $JA + (JA)^\top = 2(\gamma J - P)$, which implies

127 (3.2)
$$2JA = JA + (JA)^{\top} - [(JA)^{\top} - JA] = 2(\gamma J - P) - 2Q,$$

where $2Q = (JA)^{\top} - JA$ is skew-symmetric. Since $J^2 = I$, this leads to

$$A = \gamma I - J(P+Q),$$

where $P \in \mathcal{S}^n_+$ and $Q \in \mathcal{A}^n$. As $\mathcal{S}^n_+ \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$, this proves that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R} I - J(\mathcal{S}^n_+ + \mathcal{A}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R} I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{A}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R} I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} + \mathcal{A}^n).$$

Now, to see the reverse inclusions, suppose $A = \gamma I - J(P + Q)$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $P \in \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$, and Q skew-symmetric. Let $0 \neq x, y \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. By (2.1), x and y are in $\partial(\mathcal{L})$, and Jy is a positive multiple of x. Hence, $\langle Px, Jy \rangle \geq 0$ as $P \in \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$ and $\langle Qx, Jy \rangle = 0$ as Q is skew-symmetric. Thus,

$$(Ax, y) = \gamma \langle x, y \rangle - \langle JPx, y \rangle + \langle JQx, y \rangle = -\langle Px, Jy \rangle + \langle Qx, Jy \rangle \leq 0.$$

This shows that $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ and so, inclusions in (3.3) turn into equalities. Thus we have (3.1).

¹³⁹ **Remarks.** From the above theorem, we have

¹⁴⁰
$$\mathbb{R} I - J(\mathcal{S}^n_+ + \mathcal{A}^n) = \mathbb{R} I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{A}^n) = \mathbb{R} I - J(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} + \mathcal{A}^n).$$

¹⁴¹ Multiplying throughout by J and noting $-\mathcal{A}^n = \mathcal{A}^n$, we get the equality of sets

$$(\mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{S}^n_+) + \mathcal{A}^n = (\mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}) + \mathcal{A}^n = (\mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}) + \mathcal{A}^n,$$

where each set is a sum of \mathcal{A}^n and a subset of \mathcal{S}^n . Since $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} = \mathcal{S}^n + \mathcal{A}^n$ is an (orthogonal) direct sum decomposition, we see that

(3.4)
$$\mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{S}^n_+ = \mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}.$$

These equalities can also be established via different arguments. A result of Loewy and Schneider [14] asserts that A symmetric matrix X is copositive on \mathcal{L} if and only if there exists $\mu \geq 0$ such that $X - \mu J \in S^n_+$. (This is essentially a consequence of the so-called S-Lemma [15]: If A and B are two symmetric matrices with $\langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle > 0$ for some x_0 and $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow \langle Bx, x \rangle \geq 0$, then there exists $\mu \geq 0$ such that $B - \mu A$ is positive semidefinite.) This result gives the equality

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{S}_{+}^{n} + \mathbb{R}_{+} J$$

4

and consequently $\mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{S}^n_+ = \mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}$. The equality

154
$$\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})} = \mathcal{S}^n_+ + \mathbb{R} J$$

can be seen via an application of Finsler' theorem [15] that says that if A and Bare two symmetric matrices with $[x \neq 0, \langle Ax, x \rangle = 0] \Rightarrow \langle Bx, x \rangle > 0$, then there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $B + \mu A$ is positive semidefinite. (For $M \in \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$ and vectors $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^{\circ}$, one has $\langle Jx, x \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle M_k x, x \rangle > 0$, where k is a natural number and $M_k := M + \frac{1}{k} uv^{\top}$. When $M_k + \mu_k J$ is positive semidefinite for all k, it follows that the sequence μ_k is bounded. One can then use a limiting argument.) From this equality, one gets $\mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{S}^n_+ = \mathbb{R} J - \mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$.

162

165

174

163 Our next result deals with the dual of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$.

164 THEOREM 3.2. Let \mathcal{C} denote one of \mathbb{R}^n , \mathcal{L} , or $\partial(\mathcal{L})$. Then,

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^* = \Big\{ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n} : \langle B, I
angle = 0, -JB \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \Big\}.$$

 $_{166}$ In particular, (1.5) holds.

167 Proof. We fix \mathcal{C} . From (3.1), we see that $B \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^*$ if and only if

168
$$0 \le \langle B, \gamma I - J(P+Q) \rangle$$

for all γ real, P in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$, and Q in \mathcal{A}^n . Clearly, this holds if and only if

$$\langle B, I \rangle = 0, \, \langle -JB, P \rangle \ge 0, \, \text{and} \, \langle -JB, Q \rangle = 0$$

for all γ , P, and Q specified above. Now, with the observation that a (real) matrix is orthogonal to all skew-symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ if and only if it is symmetric, this further simplifies to

$$\langle B, I \rangle = 0 \text{ and } -JB \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$ is the dual of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$ computed in \mathcal{S}^n . Since $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$ in \mathcal{S}^n , we see that $B \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^*$ if and only if $\langle B, I \rangle = 0$ and $-JB \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$. This completes the proof. We remark that (1.5) can be deduced directly from (3.4) by taking the duals in \mathcal{S}^n . 178

In our final result, we describe the interior and boundary of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$. First, we recall some definitions from [9]. Let

$$\Omega := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} : ||x|| = 1 = ||y|| \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that Ω is compact and, from (2.1),

183 (3.5)
$$\Omega = \left\{ (x, Jx) : x \in \partial(\mathcal{L}), ||x|| = 1 \right\}.$$

184 For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, let

$$\gamma(A) := \max \Big\{ \langle Ax, y \rangle : (x, y) \in \Omega \Big\}.$$

Note that $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if $\gamma(A) \leq 0$. We say that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a strict- \mathcal{Z} transformation on \mathcal{L} if

$$\left[0 \neq x, y \in \mathcal{L}, \left\langle x, y \right\rangle = 0\right] \Rightarrow \left\langle Ax, y \right\rangle < 0$$

The set of all such transformations is denoted by $str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the following statements are shown in [9], Theorem 3.1:

191
$$\gamma(A) < 0 \iff A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^{\circ} \iff A \in str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$$

192 and

193
$$\gamma(A) = 0 \iff A \in \partial(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}$ consists of all symmetric matrices that are copositive on \mathcal{L} . We say that a symmetric matrix P is *strictly copositive on* \mathcal{L} if $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \langle Px, x \rangle > 0$; the set of all such matrices is denoted by $str(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}})$. Similarly, one defines $str(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})})$.

¹⁹⁷ COROLLARY 3.3. The following statements hold:

¹⁹⁸
$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^{\circ} = str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathbb{R} I - J\left(str(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}) + \mathcal{A}^n\right)$$

199 and

208

210

$$\partial(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathbb{R} I - J \left(\partial_*(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}) + \mathcal{A}^n \right),$$

where $\partial_*(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})})$ denotes the boundary of $\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})}$ in \mathcal{S}^n .

202 Proof. We first deal with the interior of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$. The equality

$$\left\{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \gamma(A) < 0\right\} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})^{\circ} = str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$$

has already been observed in [9], Theorem 3.1. To see the first assertion, we show that $\gamma(A) < 0$ if and only if $A = \theta I - J(P + Q)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, P (symmetric) strictly copositive on $\partial(\mathcal{L})$, and Q skew-symmetric. Suppose $\gamma(A) < 0$. Then, for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\max\left\{\left\langle (A-\theta\,I)x,y\right\rangle : (x,y)\in\Omega\right\}<0,$$

which, from (3.5) becomes

$$\min\left\{\left\langle J(\theta I - A)x, x\right\rangle : x \in \partial(\mathcal{L}), ||x|| = 1\right\} > 0.$$

Now, fix θ and let $J(\theta I - A) = P + Q$, where $P \in S^n$ and $Q \in \mathcal{A}^n$. As $\langle Qx, x \rangle = 0$ for any x, the above inequality implies that min $\{\langle Px, x \rangle : x \in \partial(\mathcal{L}), ||x|| = 1\} > 0$. This proves that P is strictly copositive on $\partial(\mathcal{L})$. Rewriting $J(\theta I - A) = P + Q$, we see that $A = \theta I - J(P + Q)$ which is of the required form.

To see the converse, suppose $A = \theta I - J(P+Q)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, P (symmetric) strictly copositive on $\partial(\mathcal{L})$, and Q skew-symmetric. Using (3.5), we can easily verify that $\gamma(A) < 0$. Thus, $A \in str(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}))$.

An argument similar to the above will show that $\gamma(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = \theta I - J(P+Q)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $P \in \partial_*(\mathcal{E}_{\partial(\mathcal{L})})$, and Q skew-symmetric. This gives the statement regarding the boundary of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$.

6

188

We end the paper with a remark dealing with conic linear programs. Motivated by the result of Burer (mentioned in the Introduction), we consider a conic linear program on a completely positive cone $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$ (where \mathcal{C} is a closed cone):

$$\min \Big\{ \langle c,x
angle : Ax = b, x \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$$

While such a problem is generally hard to solve, we ask: (When) can we replace $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{S}^n_+ and thus reduce the above problem to the semidefinite programming problem min $\{\langle c, x \rangle : Ax = b, x \in \mathcal{S}^n_+\}$? Just replacing $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{S}^n_+ without handling the constraint Ax = b is not viable as $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{S}^n_+$ if and only if $\mathcal{C} \cup -\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ (which fails to hold when n > 1 and \mathcal{C} is pointed), see [11]. While we do not answer this broad question, we point out, as a consequence of (1.5) that for any $C \in \mathcal{S}^n$,

²³¹
$$\min\left\{\langle C, X \rangle : \langle X, J \rangle = 0, X \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}\right\} = \min\left\{\langle C, X \rangle : \langle X, J \rangle = 0, X \in \mathcal{S}^n_+\right\}.$$

232

224

REFERENCES

- [1] F. ALIZADEH AND D. GOLDFARB, Second-order cone programming, Math. Program., 95 (2003),
 pp. 3-51.
- [2] A. BERMAN, M. NEUMANN, AND R. J. STERN, Nonnegative matrices in dynamic systems, Pure
 and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [3] A. BERMAN AND R. J. PLEMMONS, Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences, vol. 9,
 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1994.
- [4] S. BURER, On the copositive representation of binary and continuous nonconvex quadratic
 programs, Math. Program., 120 (2009), pp. 479–495.
- [5] S. BURER, *Copositive programming*, in Handbook on semidefinite, conic and polynomial optimization, vol. 166 of Internat. Ser. Oper. Res. Management Sci., Springer, New York, 2012, pp. 201–218.
- [6] P. J. C. DICKINSON, Geometry of the copositive and completely positive cones, J. Math. Anal.
 Appl., 380 (2011), pp. 377–395.
- [7] P. J. C. DICKINSON, G. EICHFELDER, AND J. POVH, Erratum to: On the set-semidefinite
 representation of nonconvex quadratic programs over arbitrary feasible sets, Optim. Lett.,
 7 (2013), pp. 1387–1397.
- [8] M. DÜR AND G. STILL, Interior points of the completely positive cone, Electron. J. Linear
 Algebra, 17 (2008), pp. 48-53.
- [9] J. FAN, J. TAO, AND G. RAVINDRAN, On the structure of the set of Z-transformations on proper cones, Pac. J. Optim., 13 (2017), pp. 219–226.
- [10] M. S. GOWDA, On copositive and completely positive cones, and Z-transformations, Electron.
 J. Linear Algebra, 23 (2012), pp. 198–211.
- [11] M. S. GOWDA AND R. SZNAJDER, On the irreducibility, self-duality, and non-homogeneity of completely positive cones, Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 26 (2013), pp. 177–191.
- [12] M. S. GOWDA AND J. TAO, Z-transformations on proper and symmetric cones: Ztransformations, Math. Program., 117 (2009), pp. 195–221.
- [13] B. KUZMA, M. OMLADIČ, K. ŠIVIC, AND J. TEICHMANN, Exotic one-parameter semigroups of endomorphisms of a symmetric cone, Linear Algebra Appl., 477 (2015), pp. 42–75.
- [14] R. LOEWY AND H. SCHNEIDER, Positive operators on the n-dimensional ice cream cone, J.
 Math. Anal. Appl., 49 (1975), pp. 375–392.
- 263 [15] I. PÓLIK AND T. TERLAKY, A survey of the S-lemma, SIAM Rev., 49 (2007), pp. 371-418.
- [16] H. SCHNEIDER AND M. VIDYASAGAR, Cross-positive matrices, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 7 (1970),
 pp. 508–519.
- [17] R. J. STERN AND H. WOLKOWICZ, Exponential nonnegativity on the ice cream cone, SIAM J.
 Matrix Anal. Appl., 12 (1991), pp. 160–165.
- [18] R. J. STERN AND H. WOLKOWICZ, Trust region problems and nonsymmetric eigenvalue perturbations, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 15 (1994), pp. 755–778.
- [19] J. F. STURM AND S. ZHANG, On cones of nonnegative quadratic functions, Math. Oper. Res.,
 28 (2003), pp. 246–267.
- [20] J. TAO AND M. S. GOWDA, A representation theorem for Lyapunov-like transformations on Euclidean Jordan algebras, Int. Game Theory Rev., 15 (2013), pp. 1340034, (11 pages).