

Knowledge Management in construction projects: A way forward in dealing with tacit knowledge

An, Min; Ahmad, H

DOI:
[10.4018/jitpm.2010040102](https://doi.org/10.4018/jitpm.2010040102)

Document Version
Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (Harvard):
An, M & Ahmad, H 2010, 'Knowledge Management in construction projects: A way forward in dealing with tacit knowledge', *International Journal of Information Technology Project Management*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 16-42.
<https://doi.org/10.4018/jitpm.2010040102>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Knowledge Management in Construction Projects: A Way Forward in Dealing with Tacit Knowledge

Min An, University of Birmingham, UK

Hesham S. Ahmad, University of Birmingham, UK

ABSTRACT

Knowledge is now becoming the most valuable asset of the construction organisations to gain competitive advantages by improving quality while reducing cost and time of work completion in projects. Knowledge Management (KM) is the most effective way to deal with the intellectual capital of the organisations through facilitating the capturing and sharing of existing knowledge and creating new innovative knowledge. The most useful knowledge in construction projects is tacit knowledge since it includes the people ideas, perceptions and experiences that can be shared and re-used to improve experiences and enhance abilities of employees for problem-solving and decision-making. Many of methods have been adopted to deal with knowledge in the construction organisations, but they are still far from enough, particularly in dealing with tacit knowledge gained from construction projects. This paper presents a methodology for dealing with tacit knowledge efficiently and effectively in construction projects. A case study has been conducted to evaluate the proposed KM method and to test its importance and usefulness in the construction industry.

Keywords: Construction Organisations, Decision Making, Intellectual Capital, Knowledge Management, Tacit Knowledge

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge management (KM) is now becoming more vital for successful management of construction projects and also as a complement to the business activities of the organisations. With knowledge-based economy increasingly growing, knowledge is becoming an important asset for organisational success among other

assets such as capital, materials, machineries, and properties (Kelleher & Levene, 2001; Fong & Wong, 2005). Through successful knowledge capturing, sharing and creation, industrial companies can improve the process of organisational learning to enhance the performance of the organisations and create more possibilities to gain competitive advantages (Li & Gao, 2003; KLICON, 1999; Ahmad & An, 2008).

The current interest in KM has been motivated by the need for continuous changes

DOI: 10.4018/jitpm.2010040102

and improvements to enhance the construction processes (KLICON, 1999). KM has benefited from the remarkable development of computer technology which provides the people with the ability to digitally capture, search and transmit knowledge and electronically contact with other people (Carrillo et al., 2000; Blumentritt & Johnston, 1999). The construction organisations have showed an increased awareness of KM as a necessary prerequisite for improving quality, business performance, efficiency of project delivery, relationships with partners, suppliers and clients and innovations to gain competitive advantages (Egan, 1998; Kamara et al., 2002; Love et al., 2003). KM systems provide end-users with the tools and services necessary to capture, share, re-use, update, and create new experiences and best practices to aid them in processes, such as problem-solving, decision-making and innovation, without having to spend extra time, effort and resources on reinventing solutions that have already been invented elsewhere in the organizations (Ahmad et al., 2007).

In order to encourage the senior management to implement KM in their organisations, many of researches on the relationship between KM and supply chain management have been conducted to demonstrate business benefits and competitive advantages compared to cost of implementation of KM. Davenport et al. (1997) and Robinson et al. (2004) argued that KM has a high positive impact on the performance of the organisations, the speed of learning of new knowledge and technologies and the decision making process in the supply chain. A study conducted by Burgess and Singh (2006) suggested that knowledge, infrastructures and corporate governances can work together to produce the innovations that lead to a desirable improvement of the organisation performance. Carlucci et al. (2004) reviewed the role of KM on the business performance management models, such as the Balance Scorecard (Kaplan & Norton, 1992; Marr & Schiuma, 2001), the Business Excellence Model (EFQM, 1999) and the Performance Prism (Neely et al., 2002), and indicated that KM can be classified into four

knowledge asset groups, i.e. knowledge of human resources, management/stakeholder relationships, physical infrastructure and virtual infrastructure. According to Carlucci et al. (2004), this classification will lead to enhancements in competencies, effectiveness and efficiency of organisational processes, business management abilities and business performance, which will finally lead to an increase in value generation for the organisations. The value of intellectual capital can be measured by using methods such as cause-and-effect map that measures contribution of KM initiatives to the strategic objectives of the organisation, evaluation road-map which is an interactive tool that guides the users to select the most appropriate technique on the basis of a set of structured questions to measure the impact of each KM initiative on the user business performance, cost-benefit checklists that compare costs of each KM initiative to its potential tangible and intangible benefits, and priority matrix that prioritizes KM initiatives of users based on effectiveness and efficiency of performance (Robinson et al., 2004). Verification test is a method that can be applied to determine whether the KM system operates according to the required design and specifications by using questionnaires to collect users' feedback (Lin et al., 2006). Validation test is another method that uses questionnaires to collect users' feedback about the usefulness of the KM systems (Lin et al., 2006). The aim of the previous methods is to evaluate the KM systems by developing measurement ratios and benchmarks of the systems (Gupta et al., 2000). Although these methods can help organisations to have better views of the performance and usefulness of their KM systems, there are still no precise ways to evaluate the return on investment in knowledge and the impact of KM on the business performance (Robinson et al., 2004; Carlucci et al., 2004; Chong et al., 2000).

Many of environmental factors such as organisational culture and management support can affect the ability of KM initiatives to deliver desirable results for individuals and organisations (Burgess & Singh, 2006). In order to obtain successful KM systems, the organisations need

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Purchase" button on the product's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/article/knowledge-management-construction-projects/42123

Related Content

Electronic Commerce Travel: A Case Study in Information Technology Use, Market Flexibility, Adaptability, and Diversification

Eric Pedersen and David Paper (2007). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology* (pp. 73-89).

www.igi-global.com/article/electronic-commerce-travel/3195

Introduction to Current Techniques for Effective ICT Development

S.C. Lenny Koh and Stuart Maguire (2009). *Information and Communication Technologies Management in Turbulent Business Environments* (pp. 109-131).

www.igi-global.com/chapter/introduction-current-techniques-effective-ict/22543

Developing a Learning Organization Model for Problem-Based Learning: The Emergent Lesson of Education from the IT Trenches

Kam Hou Vat (2006). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology* (pp. 82-109).

www.igi-global.com/article/developing-learning-organization-model-problem/3177

A Decision Table for the Cloud Computing Decision in Small Business

Sathiadev Mahesh, Brett J. L. Landry, T. Sridhar and Kenneth R. Walsh (2011). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 9-25).

www.igi-global.com/article/decision-table-cloud-computing-decision/55065