

The medical therapy of craniopharyngiomas

Alexandraki, Krystallenia I; Kaltsas, Gregory A; Karavitaki, Niki; Grossman, Ashley

DOI:

10.1210/jc.2019-01299

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Alexandraki, KI, Kaltsas, GA, Karavitaki, N & Grossman, A 2019, 'The medical therapy of craniopharyngiomas: the way ahead', Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 104, no. 12, pp. 5751–5764. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2019-01299

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism following peer review. The version of record

Krystallenia I Alexandraki, Gregory A Kaltsas, Niki Karavitaki, Ashley B Grossman, The Medical Therapy of Craniopharyngiomas: The Way Ahead, The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, Volume 104, Issue 12, December 2019, Pages 5751–5764, https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2019-01299

is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article-abstract/104/12/5751/5540964

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
 •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 09. Apr. 2024

Full title: THE MEDICAL THERAPY OF CRANIOPHARYNGIOMAS: THE WAY **AHEAD** 3 4 5 Krystallenia I. Alexandraki¹, Gregory A. Kaltsas¹, Niki Karavitaki^{2,3,4} and Ashley B. Grossman*5,6 6 7 ¹Endocrine Unit, 1st Department of Propaedeutic Medicine, Laiko University Hospital, 8 Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece 9 ²Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, College of Medical and Dental 10 Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. 11 ³Centre for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Birmingham Health Partners, 12 Birmingham, UK. 13 ⁴Department of Endocrinology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University Hospitals 14 Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK. 15 ⁵Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford, 16 Oxford, UK 17 ⁶Centre for Endocrinology, William Harvey Institute, Barts and the London School of 18 Medicine, London, UK 19 20 21 Short title: Medical therapy of craniopharyngiomas 22 23 **Key words:** Craniopharyngioma – BRAF – β-catenin – Wnt signaling therapy – 24 vemurafenib - dabrafenib - trametinib - cobimetinib 25 26 27 Correspondence to: 28 Dr. K. Alexandraki, Endocrine Unit, 1st Department of Propaedeutic Medicine, Laiko University Hospital, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 29 Athens, Greece, Email: alexandrakik@gmail.com 30 31 *NK and ABG are to be considered as joint senior authors 32 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: The authors have nothing to disclose for this specific 33 34 work.

Title Page

1

Abstract

36

37

63

64

evolving agents alone and in combination.

or papillary (PCP) have traditionally been treated with surgery and/or radiotherapy, 38 although when the tumors progress or recur further therapeutic possibilities are very 39 limited. albeit with limited therapeutic options available for recurrent or progressive 40 41 disease. Following recent advances in their molecular pathogenesis, further medical therapeutic options have emerged. 42 Evidence Acquisition: The search strategy that we selected to identify the 43 evidence involved the following 44 appropriate mesh terms: ("Craniopharyngioma" [Mesh] AND "Craniopharyngioma/drug therapy" [Mesh] NOT 45 ("review" [Publication Type] OR "review literature as topic" [MeSH Terms] OR 46 "review"[All Fields]) AND ("2009/05/01"[PDat]: "2019/04/28"[PDat]). 47 48 **Evidence Synthesis**: Mutations of β -catenin causing Wnt activation with alterations of the MEK/ERK pathway are encountered in the great majority of patients with ACP; 49 specific alterations also stratify patients to a more aggressive behavior. In most PCP 50 there is primary activation of the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway secondary to BRAF-51 V600E mutations. BRAF inhibitors, such as dabrafenib or vemurafenib, either alone 52 or in combination with the MEK inhibitors trametinib and cobimetinib, have been 53 administered in patients with PCP producing clinically useful and, in some cases, 54 sustained responses. In contrast to PCP, drugs targeting β-catenin and its 55 downstream MAPK pathway in ACP have so far only been used in in vitro studies, 56 but appear to be promising new targets clinically. 57 58 Conclusions: The identification of specific genetic alterations in patients with craniopharyngiomas has expanded the therapeutic options, providing evidence for a 59 a customized approach using newer molecular agents. more personalized approach 60 with molecular targeted drugs. More studies including a larger number of carefully 61 selected patients are required to evaluate the response to currently available and 62

Context: Craniopharyngiomas which are categorized as adamantinomatous (ACP)

Précis

- The presence of specific genetic alterations in craniopharyngiomas has important
- 67 implications for the diagnosis and potential treatments of these neoplasms with
- 68 molecular targeted agents.

Introduction

The title of this review may sound like an oxymoron, as until very recently the mainstays of treatment for craniopharyngiomas (CP) have been surgery and radiotherapy (RT). However, over the last few years there have been major developments in our understanding of the molecular pathology of these tumors that

could set the scene for specific targeted therapy.

Craniopharyngiomas develop as malformations of embryonic remnants along the original as embryonic malformations in the sellar and parasellar regions arising along the-pathway of the craniopharyngeal duct. They are characterized as mostly benign epithelial tumors according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of *Tumors of the Central Nervous System* (CNS), namely WHO grade I tumors (1-3). They are relatively rare, with an incidence of 0.5-2.5/ 10⁶ cases/ year (2,4-7), and are divided into two distinct subtypes, adamantinomatous CP (ACP) and papillary CP (PCP), differing in both histological features and genetic alterations (8). ACP is more prevalent and displays a bimodal age distribution, with peaks between the ages 5-15 years and 45-60 years, but can occur at any age, even during the neonatal period (3,9,10); PCP has been classically considered as an adult entity peaking between 40 and 55 years (2,4,7,11), although pediatric cases have occasionally been reported (12).

Harvey Cushing not only suggested the term craniopharyngioma but also recognized the significant challenges in their management (13). Indeed, their anatomic vicinity to vital structures (hypothalamus, optic pathways, brain) and their intrinsic local infiltrative tendency render their growth pattern unpredictable and complete safe

Formatted: Not Highlight

surgical removal problematic (14). Furthermore, malignant transformation may also rarely be seen and, overall, the long-term morbidities and mortality of patients with craniopharyngioma are disappointedly high, particularly compared to patients with treated pituitary neuroendocrine tumors (PitNETs) (15,16).

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

95

96

97

98

Current therapeutic options are relatively limited: surgery, either with the intention to treat by gross total resection (GTR) or with the intention to reduce their mass by subtotal/ partial resection (STR) (17,18), with or without post-operative radiotherapy (RT) (19), is the primary therapeutic modality (3). In a systematic review, STR with adjuvant RT, and GTR, showed similar rates of long-term tumor control (20). Currently, STR followed by RT is recommended, unless there is a clear margin between the tumor and surrounding vital structures allowing complete safe tumor removal. Whenever feasible, the tumours are approached by the transsphenoidal route The trans-sphenoidal approach is used whenever possible (17,18). The intracystic administration of sclerosing substances, mainly bleomycin and interferonalpha, has been suggested in the past to attenuate fluid formation and diminish cyst size (21-23). Bleomycin use is limited by its toxicity (21,22), while interferon-alpha has shown some benefit with an acceptable safety profile (24); however, no prospective or randomized study has been performed and there are isolated reports of relatively poor responses and major adverse effects following interferon-alpha instillation, limiting its use (21).

116117

118

119

Recently, progress in molecular biology has unraveled novel potentially druggable molecular pathways in CPs that offer new promising therapeutic options. The use of such drugs is especially important to minimize the otherwise adverse effects

Formatted: Not Highlight

associated with surgery and RT, particularly in recurrent tumors where more there are few or no alternative options. conventional options have been exhausted. The purpose of this mini-review is to present the available literature on clinical studies and case reports describing targeted-treatments which are based on the recently-identified molecular alterations in both ACP and PCP. The search strategy that we selected to identify the appropriate evidence involved the following mesh terms: ("Craniopharyngioma"[Mesh] AND "Craniopharyngioma/drug therapy"[Mesh] NOT ("review"[Publication Type] OR "review literature as topic"[MeSH Terms] OR "review"[All Fields]) AND ("2009/05/01"[PDat]: "2019/04/28"[PDat]) (Figure 1).

Molecular biological advances in ACP and PCP

133 134

135

136

137

138

139

140

132

It has recently been shown that each CP histological subtype (Figures 2 and 3) is characterized by alterations in oncogenic molecular signaling pathways, harboring distinct mutational, transcriptomic and epigenomic profiles as a result of different gene mutations, gene expression and methylation patterns (25-28). These findings have shed light on the identification of tumor-specific signaling pathway activation that may lead to CP-targeted therapies. An additional role of the different molecular profiles may be to differentiate pathologically indeterminate cases of CP (28-29).

141 142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

Sekine et al. first described activating mutations of the β-catenin encoding gene CTNNB1 in ACPs as early as 2002 (30). Whole-exome sequencing revealed mutations in CTNNB1 in the majority of a small group of ACPs (11/12, 92%) (25). In addition, targeted genotyping revealed CTNNB1 mutations in 96% of ACPs (51/53) (25). Next-generation panel sequencing did not identify any mutations other than those in CTNNB1 (27). In 76% of the ACPs, a mutation in exon 3 of CTNNB1 was found, and there was a trend towards a worse event-free survival in cases mutated at Thr41 (27). When primary and recurrent ACP tumor samples from the same patient were tested, the same CTNNB1 mutation (S45P) was found without additional ones (28). No significant large chromosomal aberrations have been found, although a fraction of ACPs showed recurrent focal gains of chromosomal material, while in other cases there was loss of showed loss in the chromosomal region Xq28 (27).

Formatted: Not Highlight

These CTNNB1 mutations are exclusively found in exon 3 which encodes the destruction/degradation complex of β-catenin: β-catenin is usually held in a multiprotein complex and, when phophorylated at specific residues, is subject to rapid degradation. Mutation leading to a loss of such phosphorylation sites results in aberrant \(\beta\)-catenin nucleo-cytoplasmic accumulation (30) (Figure 3A). This represents the most reliable immunohistochemical (IHC) marker for the confirmation of ACP, aiding in the differential diagnosis from other (para)sellar lesions (31,32); βcatenin is a component of the adherens junction complex and a central mediator of the canonical Wnt molecular signaling pathway (8). In the cytoplasm, β-catenin maintains a low concentration when phosphorylated within the multi-protein destruction-regulator complex which facilitates its ubiquitination and degradation by the proteasome. This process corresponds to inactive Wnt signaling (default "off" state) in the absence of any Wnt ligands (8,33-35) (Figure 4A). This regulator complex includes a number of proteins such as the scaffold proteins Axin and Axin2, the tumor suppressor protein adenomatosis polyposis coli (APC), and the phosphokinase glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β), casein kinase 1α (CK1), protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) or alternatively by I kappa B kinase alpha (IKK-α) (36). APC is phosphorylated by these kinases, resulting in recruitment of β-catenin to the complex (34,36), which is then tagged by these kinases for degradation through phosphorylation of the serine (Ser33, Ser37, Ser45) or threonine (Thr41) residues (of exon 3 on the CTNNB1) (34,36-39). This phosphorylation pattern is then recognized by multiple ubiquitin molecules, such as a component of E3 ubiquitin ligase, β-TrCP, ubiquitinating β -catenin, resulting in dissociation of α -catenin from β -catenin with concomitant loss of cadherin adhesion (34,35,37-41). Exogenous Wnt signaling ligands 'switch on' i.e., activate Wnt signaling (41,42). The Wnt protein family includes approximately 20 different proteins that bind to the Frizzled (Fz) family of receptors; the Wnt pathway is activated (34) resulting in an intracellular signaling

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

cascade that promotes dimerisation of Fz with LRP5/6 (34,43) The Fz/LRP5/6 dimer can bind to Axin, GSK3ß, and CK1, which facilitates their accumulation at the cell membrane, preventing them from joining the β-catenin destruction complex (34-36,43,44), i.e. disrupting the regulator complex via Dishevelled (DvI) by preventing the phosphorylation of β-catenin and its subsequent degradation (45). Therefore, βcatenin protein accumulates and ultimately translocates to the nucleus, where it activates factors allowing transcription of β-catenin target genes, such as CyclinD1, c-Myc, CD44, Survivin, VEGF or fascin (26,46-49), resulting in stimulation of cellular proliferation and other Wnt-regulated cellular processes (50). Cellular localisation of β-catenin is not per se sufficient to promote target gene activation, as opposed to nuclear β-catenin accumulation which is sufficient to induce Wnt signaling target gene expression. Target genes (Axin2, bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)4 and Fascin-1), stem cell markers (CD133 and CD44) and the cell cycle inhibitor p21Waf1/CIP1 co-localise in cells with nuclear β-catenin (8). Fascin (48) acts as a facilitator of tumor cell migration, necessary for invasion and dissociation (36); βcatenin binds fascin by its armadillo-repeat sequence (essential for the passive nuclear-pore-complex translocation of β-catenin) (51) which promotes relocation of βcatenin, changes cell adhesion properties, and reduces β-catenin destruction (36). Inhibition of fascin or β-catenin expression has been shown to decrease the migratory capacity of ACP tumor cells in culture (36). Other binding factors for the armadillo-repeat sequences include the TCP-family transcription factors, Axin2, and APC (52). In ACP, distinct β-catenin clusters also result by activation of other growth factor pathways, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), or src-driven phosphorylation at the tyrosine of codon 654 (Y654) and sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling pathways (8,26,28,48,52-55), which may cause the dissociation of β-

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

catenin from the adherens complex by reducing its binding affinity to E-cadherin (40,56), and may facilitate tumor stem cell maintenance (57-59). In addition, Fyn, Fer or c-Met promote phosphorylation of β-catenin tyrosine residue 142, which results in dissociation of α-catenin from β-catenin (Figure 4A). RNA profiling (60) has confirmed that human ACP clusters express high levels of members of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF), BMP and Wnt families (50), representing paracrine growthrelated signaling molecules that play a wide range of developmental and physiological roles. FGF-2 is expressed only in recurrent ACPs, while PDGFR-α expression is significantly higher in recurrent ACP compared with non-recurrent tumors, and † VEGF and fibronectin are present in both ACP and PCP were expressed in both types of tumors (61). ACP is characterized by high gene expression of genes encoding both BMP2 and BMP4, which are downstream of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway (62,52,53). The EGFR is a member of the human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) family; the principal, while the major downstream signal transduction pathways activated by receptors of the HER family are the Ras-Raf mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway (MAPK/ERK pathway) and the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway (8) (Figure 4B).

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

The Ras-Raf-Mek-ERK1/2 is known to be one of the most dysregulated MAPK pathway signaling cascades in human cancer (63,64). Extracellular growth factors (FGFs, EGF, PDGF) bind to and activate receptor tyrosine kinases, causing a downstream phosphorylation cascade which eventually leads to transcription of target genes regulating cellular proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and senescence (63). Downstream activation of the MAPK/ERK pathway, as evidenced by phosphorylation of ERK1/2, was identified by IHC around the clusters with

Formatted: Not Highlight

particularly prominent staining at the forefront, known as the leading edge, of the tumor—at the leading edge of tissue invasion—(50). Phospholipase Cγ (PLCγ), the signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) and src/FAK cascades, are also stimulated (8,65,66). SHH signaling pathway gene products, such as Gli2 and patched (Ptch)1, are over-expressed in ACP, and their cleaved active forms are especially highly expressed (8,26,28,53). Up-regulation of certain matrix metallopeptidases (MMPs) has also been reported in ACP transcriptome studies (23,50). A variable degree of co-localization between Ki-67 and pERK1/2 expression has also been also shown (60). In ACP, whole-exome sequencing showed additional mutations in genes listed in the Cancer Gene Census (67), although many of these may be 'passenger' mutations (25): there is also evidence for recurrent copy number changes in some tumors while some ACP showed recurrent focal copynumber aberrations at least in subgroups of cases—(27). Thus, mutation-produced loss of phosphorylation sites on β-catenin leads to a plethora of downstream changes initiating and augmenting tumor growth.

Formatted: Not Highlight

Considering PCPs, a *BRAF*-V600E mutation was documented (25) by targeted genotyping in 95% of PCPs (36/39), while whole-exome sequencing revealed recurrent mutations in *BRAF*-V600E in all PCPs (3/3) (25). In a parallel study, an analysis of known oncogenes revealed that *BRAF*-V600E mutations were seen in 81% (17/21) of PCPs by targeted Sanger sequencing and in 86% (18/21) of PCPs by IHC (68). Agreement between methods was seen in 95% (20/21) of cases; however, interpretation of anti-BRAF-V600E staining was challenging due to occasional non-specific reactivity (68). It is well established that the gain-of-function mutation *BRAF*-V600E, a critical serine/threonine kinase in the Ras-Raf-Mek-ERK1/2 pathway,

--

renders it a potent oncogene leading to increased cell proliferation and survival, resulting in cell transformation and tumorigenesis (69,70) (Figure 4B). Such mutations render the BRAF kinase constitutively-active. Mutations in BRAF transform the BRAF kinase into a constitutively-active kinase. The MAPK pathway also plays a role in controlling stem-cell specification during development and perhaps during stem-cell homeostasis in the post-natal period (70), modulating the balance between the proliferation and differentiation of Sox2+ cells, implying that persistent proliferative capacity of Sox2+ cells may underlie the pathogenesis of PCP (70). Sox2+ progenitor cells appear to show tumor-inducing potential, driving tumor formation in a paracrine manner by inducing tumorigenic events in adjacent cells but without gains or losses of genetic material (53,54,71). INO gains or losses of genetic material were detected in the PCPs (27). In PCPs (25), whole-exome sequencing with a recently described novel technique - MuTect - also showed isolated mutations of cancer-related genes encoding chromatin remodeling factors (CHD5, CHD6) and cell adhesion molecules (CDH26, PTPRT), and one (KIAA1549) that is fused to BRAF in most cases of pilocytic astrocytoma (72,73). However, it is presently unclear whether these mutations are pathogenetic or merely 'passenger' mutations (25).

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265 266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

Brastianos et al first postulated that CTNNB1 and BRAF mutations were mutually exclusive and clonal in ACP and PCP, according to an original observation by Brastianos et al, and there were no each CP subtype, since they did not detect any other recurrent mutations or genomic aberrations in either subtype (25) (Figures 3A and 3B). Thus, the specific oncogenic change The crucial pathogenetic event appears to be Wnt activation in ACP, and activation of the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway by BRAF-V600E mutations in PCP (27). It was then suggested that one

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

should classify CPs as ACP CTNNB1-mutated, ACP CTNNB1-wild-type, PCP BRAF-V600E-mutated and PCP BRAF-wild-type (74). Comparison microarray analysis of 18 ACP and 10 PCP samples revealed significant up-regulation of several direct targets of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in ACPs compared to PCP, including LEF1 and AXIN, while components of the SHH such as GLI2, PTCH1 and SHH were also over-expressed specifically in ACP in comparison to PCP (8,36,48,52,71,75,76). Unsupervised consensus clustering of the gene expression values of the 5000 most variable genes resulted in two distinct and stable clusters that perfectly separated ACP and PCP samples. Gene expression data showed increased expression of microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2), tenascin C (TNC) and the stem cell marker CD133 (PROM1) in ACPs. CD44 and claudin 1 (CLDN1) were significantly downregulated in ACPs, but showed a recently described distinguishing marker for the two variants, were significantly down-regulated in ACPs but exhibited significantly higher expression in PCPs (28,52,75). Moreover, reduction of claudin-1 protein levels point towards an invasive growth pattern in ACP (75). Down-regulation of microRNA (miRNA) miR-132 was identified as a marker of aggressiveness, playing a role in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), in a study of 754 miRNAs from childhood CP (77). However, in contrast to the view of a complete molecular separation between these two tumor subtypes, Larkin et al in a small cohort of ACPs reported a dual aberration of CTNNB1 mutated at Thr41 together with BRAF-V600E mutation in two tumors (68). This finding was validated by review of morphology and comparison with IHC findings. Further validation was obtained by Sequencing in forward and reverse directions sequencing in forward and reverse directions from two DNA samples extracted on different occasions confirmed these findings. Whether this represents the rare occurrence of a collision tumor needs to be established in future

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

larger studies (68). The expression of additional stem cell markers SOX9, KLF4 and Okt4 was also identified by IHC in both ACPs and PCPs (8,78).

Other transcriptional molecular analyses of ACP have revealed the expression of immune-system gene expression, including Interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8 (CXCL-8), IL-10, IL-18 and TNF (TNF-α). In particular, there is a characteristic inflammatory cytokine/chemokine reaction in both ACP cyst fluid and sold tumor components.

ACP cyst fluid and solid tumor components are characterized by an inflammatory cytokine and chemokine expression pattern. Cytokines and chemokines with elevated concentrations in ACPs included IL-6, CXCL-1 (GRO), CXCL-8 and the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10, and their relevant receptors are also expressed in ACPs., as were IL-10, plus — Most receptors for these cytokines and chemokines are also over-expressed in ACPs. In addition to IL-10, the established immunosuppressive factor IDO-1 was over-expressed by ACPs—at both mRNA and

Formatted: Not Highlight

Summing up, in the era of molecular targeted treatments, the molecular pathways that characterize either ACP or PCP are under vigorous investigation. Currently, ACP is characterized and identified by activating mutations of the β-catenin-encoding gene CTNNB1, while PCP are characterized by the activating BRAF-V600E mutation. In both cases, there is up-regulation of the MAP-kinase pathway which is critically involved in cell proliferation.

protein levels (79). This interaction between tumor cells and chemokines is not

Targeting molecular aberrations in craniopharyngiomas

dissimilar to that seen in some PitNETs (80).

_-

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

Currently, there are no approved targeted or cytotoxic therapies available for the systemic treatment of CPs. The development of molecular targeted treatments in oncology has opened new horizons in the pharmacological treatment of tumors caused by genetic alterations. Recently, in cancers harboring BRAF-V600E alterations, V600E mutation-specific BRAF inhibitors, such as dabrafenib or vemurafenib (81,82), have been shown to be effective anti-tumor agents. In addition, the MEK inhibitors trametinib and cobimetinib have been administered in combination with BRAF inhibitors, since these compounds override any resistance to BRAF inhibition (81,83). These data have led to the use of BRAF inhibitors in patients with aggressive PCPs (see Table 1 for summary). Aylwin et al. (83) described the beneficial effect of the off-label administration of vemurafenib (960 mg twice daily) in a 41 year-old female with a 16-year history of a recurrent PCP (carrying a BRAF-V600E mutation) with progressive visual failure following three trans-sphenoidal operations. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) two weeks after starting treatment showed marked reduction in the size of the tumor with resolution of the surrounding edema, while three months later there was evidence of radiological improvement. after starting vemurafenib radiological remission was seen. The craniopharyngioma recurred six weeks later and vemuranefib was re-started with reduction of tumor size followed by stabilization for seven months but this was followed by further growth. In the same year, Brastianos et al. (84), reported on the use of dabrafenib (150mg twice daily) in a 39 year-old male with a PCP harboring a BRAF-V600E mutation. This patient had undergone four craniotomies along with cyst decompression over a period of 11 months: as early as four days after treatment, the tumor showed a a 23% size reduction while the cyst volume decreased by 32%. in tumor size and a

Formatted: Not Highlight

32% decrease in cyst volume were identified. The beneficial effect was even more obvious 17 days after treatment, achieving a 52% reduction in tumor size and 70% decrease in the cyst volume. The MEK inhibitor trametinib (2mg, orally, twice daily) was added to the therapeutic scheme on day 21 aiming to enhance the effect. Fourteen days after this combined therapy, there was a 85% reduction in tumor size and 81% decrease in the cyst volume. On day 38 of treatment, the patient underwent endoscopic trans-sphenoidal surgery: two weeks later the combined treatment was discontinued, and a week later RT was offered (50.4 Gy in 28 fractions). Seven months later, the patient has remained free of symptomatic recurrence. In the following year, Roque & Odia (29) described a 47 year-old female with acute visual loss and a 4-month history of amenorrhea, cold intolerance and headache. A 2.7cm cystic lesion was identified in the suprasellar area harboring a BRAF-V600E mutation (29). Subsequently, she underwent right frontal craniotomy but the tumor regrew causing bilateral visual impairment necessitating an Ommaya catheter placement into the cyst and then RT (54 Gy in 30 fractions). Seven months later, the tumor regrew and dabrafenib (150mg twice daily) and trametinib (2-mg daily) were administered. After two months, a 52% reduction in tumor volume was documented, and after five months, the tumor size was reduced by 75%. The patient was continuing to improve on this treatment both radiologically and clinically 7 months post-treatment. Subsequently, Rostami et al. (85) reported a 65 year-old male with visual deficits who underwent a partial trans-sphenoidal resection of a PCP harbouring a BRAF-V600E mutation. After rapid tumor growth, dabrafenib (150mg twice daily) was initiated, followed by trametinib (2mg daily) three weeks later for a total of 7 weeks. An 11% tumor reduction was seen at four weeks and 91% by the 8th week.

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

Very recently, Himes et al. (86) presented the longer-term effects of monotherapy with dabrafenib in a patient with a history of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and colon cancer who had a PCP with the BRAF-V600E mutation treated by carnial surgery and RT. who had undergone craniotomy and RT for a PCP with the BRAF-V600E mutation. Three years after the RT he developed tumor recurrence, and dabrafenib was initiated (150mg twice daily, reduced to 150mg daily due to joint pains but then increased to 225mg daily). Two months following treatment, an enlargement of the cystic component was documented in parallel with a reduction of the solid component. However, six months post-treatment significant reduction in the size of both components was identified, while by 9 months only minimal residual tumor was evident and this remained stable until 12 months; treatment was discontinued, and the tumor remained stable for further 12 months. A sixth case was recently reported at the European Congress of Endocrinology 2019 (87) by Juratli and colleagues, who administered dabrafenib in a neo-adjuvant setting to a 21 year-old male prior to surgery and found a more than 80% reduction of tumor size. All these cases support the potential benefits of BRAF inhibitors, at least in achieving transient tumor responses, either as neo-adjuvant treatment or following recurrence (Table 1). What is unclear from these single reports relates to the efficacy of different inhibitors, the mechanisms of tumor resistance, and the means to overcome such resistance. An interesting observation from one of these case reports was the documentation of a circulating BRAF-V600E mutation in the peripheral blood of the patient during treatment with the BRAF-inhibitor (84). The authors stated that their finding of detectable BRAFV600E in peripheral blood was unexpected, since there was not any previously reported case of circulating tumor cells or cell free DNA in patients with

Formatted: Font: Italic

intracranial benign tumors. However, if this is not a result of the surgical procedures or drug treatment, and the presence of BRAFV600E can be detected in the blood before any treatment, then this assessment may be of value as a selection criterion of the patients that may benefit from BRAF-inhibitor neoadjuvant therapy (84). Following these observations, an ongoing cooperative group trial (Alliance A071601) is testing the combination of vemurafenib and cobimetinib in an open-label, phase II study in patients with BRAF-V600E-mutant CP (88) to determine the frequency, durability, and extent of BRAF-treatment responses in patients with PCP. In this study, vemurafenib is administered twice daily on days 1-28, and cobimetinib fourtimes daily on days 1-21; treatment is repeated every 28 days to a maximum of 5 cycles as long as the disease shows a failure to progress and there is no unacceptable toxicity. for up to 5 cycles in the absence of disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. Patients may then be treated with RT, surgery, or to continue the combination of medical treatment. In another published series, a patient in whom GTR was achieved had a recurrence of a cystic tumor component after two years, and has been referred to a phase-II trial of combined BRAF/MEK inhibitors (NCT03224767) (88,89).

424

425

426

428

429

430

431

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

Adverse effects of compounds currently used in the management of craniopharyngiomas

Data on the adverse effects profile of these treatments in patient with CP are limited.

The phenomenon of "pseudo-progression" with dabrafenib, defined as early initial

cystic enlargement together with solid component reduction, followed by lesion

shrinkage, has been observed, similar to that seen in gliomas after RT or

chemotherapy (86). In addition, transient fever has been described with BRAF

inhibitors (29,84,85). Finally, the addition of the MEK inhibitor to BRAF inhibition may play a role in reducing the incidence of secondary squamous cell skin carcinomas, as previously shown in patients with melanoma (90).

Other potential treatments targeting craniopharyingiomas

Despite the identification of the deranged molecular pathways in ACP pathogenesis, drugs targeting β-catenin and its downstream MAPK pathway have been used only in *in vitro* studies. A transcriptome study used mRNA microarray gene expression analysis and unraveled several 'druggable' pharmaceutical targets that were consistently over-expressed in a panel of 15 ACP, compared to other brain tumors and normal brain tissue (26). LCK, EPHA2, SRC were identified as targets of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) dasatinib. AREG, EGFR, ERBB-3 in the EGFR pathway may respond to inhibitors such as cetuximab, erlotinib, lapatinib; and MMP9 and MMP12 could potentially respond to the oral MMP9/12 inhibitor, AZD1236 (26,50). Recurrence in ACP has been associated with increased VEGF expression suggesting a possible therapeutic role for the VEGF inhibitor bevacizumab (49,61). Indeed, some of these targets can be shown to be expressed in the primary ACP tumor using Western blot analysis Western blot analysis confirmed that a subset of these targets is highly expressed in ACP primary tumor samples (26). On the other

hand, although the SHH pathway may be implicated in the pathogenesis of ACP,

inhibition of this pathway may be tumorigenic (91). This relies on data showing that

vismodegib, a well-established inhibitor of SHH, results in premature tumor formation

and increased tumor cell proliferation in both genetically-engineered and patient-

Formatted: Not Highlight

derived xenograft mouse models (91). Inhibition of EGFR signaling by the TKI, gefitinib, reduced ACP cell migration *in vitro* by decreasing Fascin expression; this

was attributed to the finding of nuclear co-localization of activated EGFR, β-catenin and Fascin in ACP cell migration *in vitro* (48), implying a crosstalk of these pathways in ACP. Since Annexin A2 expression, a Ca2+-regulated binding protein, correlates with gefitinib sensitivity *in vitro*, this may serve as a biomarker of a response to treatment (92). Small samples of human ACP tumors were cultured with and without trametinib, a specific MEK inhibitor; the inhibition of the MAPK/ERK pathway resulted in reduced proliferation along with a dose-dependent significant decrease in the Ki-67 proliferation index in the trametinib treated tumors, suggesting a possible role in the management of not only PCP but also ACP (91). Notably, the well-established synergistic effects of combined targeted therapies in other neuroendocrine tumor models provide support for the potential use of combinatorial targeted therapy in CPs (93,94).

Given the potential role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of craniopharyngioma and previous studies on intra-tumor administration of interferon-alpha in patients with CP (22), an open label, phase II study is underway aiming to estimate the one-year disease stabilization rate associated with the use of Peg-interferon alfa-2b in patients with progressive unresectable or recurrent CP after surgery alone (without adjuvant RT). The study will also estimate the sustained objective response rate (partial and complete response) to Peg-interferon alfa-2b in patients with CP which progress or recur following RT (95). Finally, noting the immune microenvironment in ACP, IL1R inhibitors, such as anakinra, may have a place in our therapeutic armamentarium (60).

Conclusions

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

503

504

505

506

The discovery of distinct oncogenic molecular signaling pathways in CPs has opened new avenues for the personalized treatment of patients with these challenging tumors. The key pathogenetic event in ACP appears to be Wnt activation along with alterations of the MEK/ERK pathway, whereas in PCP there is primary activation of the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway by BRAF-V600E mutations. Current literature on PCPs includes single case reports, with both BRAF and MAPK inhibitors, in patients with V600E mutations. Their results are promising but well-designed studies are needed aiming to provide robust data on treatment regimens and protocols, as well as on efficacy and safety. It also remains unclear whether single or combination therapies will be necessary, although in vitro data from other endocrine tumors have highlighted the use of multiple simultaneous combination treatments. The use of MAPK inhibitors for the more common ACPs also requires assessment in clinical trials, particularly in view of the risk of acquired drug resistance. The value of combination therapies aiming to target multiple molecular mediators implicated in the pathogenesis of ACP and possibly minimizing drug toxicities also requires further investigation.

needs to be evaluated.

501 Neve

Nevertheless, the recently expanding data on the pathogenesis of CPs offer substantial ground for the translation of molecular insights into practical therapies for primary, recurrent or very aggressive tumors which will, hopefully, improve the prognosis of the patients. The eventual use of neo-adjuvant medical treatment or even an entirely non-operative treatment strategy for CP should improve the quality of life of these patients, minimizing the neurological and endocrinological sequelae

caused either by the tumor extension or by the neurosurgical or radiotherapeutic complications. Combination therapy strategies could be also be effective in cases of ACP in order to target the multiple molecular mediators that are implicated in ACP pathogenesis and to mimimize any adverse treatment effects. –and minimizing the side effects of treatment.

References

- Karavitaki N, Cudlip S, Adams CB, Wass JA. Craniopharyngiomas. Endocr
 Rev. 2006;27(4):371-397.
- 2. Louis D, Ohgaki H, Wiestler O, Cavenee W, Ellison D, Figarella-Branger D, et al (2016) WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system, Revised 4th Edition. IARC, Lyon, pp 324–328. ISBN 978-92-832-4492-9
 - 3. Lithgow K, Pohl U, Karavitaki N. Craniopharyngiomas. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, Chrousos G, Dungan K, Grossman A, Hershman JM, Kaltsas G, Koch C, Kopp P, Korbonits M, McLachlan R, Morley JE, New M, Perreault L, Purnell J, Rebar R, Singer F, Trence DL, Vinik A, Wilson DP, editors. Endotext [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc.; 2000-2019 Mar 14.
- 4. Bunin GR, Surawicz TS, Witman PA, Preston-Martin S, Davis F, Bruner JM.
 The descriptive epidemiology of craniopharyngioma. J Neurosurg.
 1998;89(4):547-551.
- 529 5. Bulow B, Attewell R, Hagmar L, Malmstrom P, Nordstrom CH, Erfurth EM.

 Postoperative prognosis in craniopharyngioma with respect to cardiovascular

- mortality, survival, and tumor recurrence. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.
- 532 1998;83(11):3897-3904.
- 6. Nielsen EH, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Poulsgaard L, Kristensen LO, Astrup J,
- Jψrgensen JO, Bjerre P, Andersen M, Andersen C, Jψrgensen J, Lindholm J,
- 535 Laurberg P. Incidence of craniopharyngioma in Denmark (n = 189) and
- estimated world incidence of craniopharyngioma in children and adults. J
- 537 Neurooncol. 2011;104(3):755-763
- 7. Olsson DS, Andersson E, Bryngelsson IL, Nilsson AG, Johannsson G. Excess
- mortality and morbidity in patients with craniopharyngioma, especially in
- patients with childhood onset: a population-based study in Sweden. J Clin
- 541 Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100(2):467-474
- 8. Hölsken A. Pathogenesis of Human ACP, pp 1-26. In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera,
- 543 C.L. Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical Aspects of
- Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer International Publishing AG
- 545 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_1
- 9. Bailey W, Freidenberg GR, James HE, Hesselink JR, Jones KL (1990)
- 547 Prenatal diagnosis of a craniopharyngioma using ultrasonography and
- magnetic resonance imaging. Prenat Diagn. 1990;10(10):623-629.
- 10. Müller-Scholden J, Lehrnbecher T, Müller HL, Bensch J, Hengen RH,
- 550 Sörensen N, Stockhausen HB. Radical surgery in a neonate with
- craniopharyngioma—report of a case. Pediatr Neurosurg. 2000;33(5):265-269.
- 11. Nielsen EH, Lindholm J, Laurberg P. Excess mortality in women with pituitary
- disease: a meta-analysis. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2007;67(5):693-697.
- 554 12. Borrill R, Cheesman E, Stivaros S, Kamaly-Asl ID, Gnanalingham K, Kilday
- JP. Papillary craniopharyngioma in a 4-year-old girl with BRAF V600E

2019;35:169-173 557 13. Cushing H. Intracranial Tumors. Notes upon series of two thousand verified 558 cases with surgical mortality percentages pertaining thereto. Thomas, 559 560 Springfield,, 1932 14. Vardon AJ and Karavitaki N. Clinical Diagnosis of Human ACP, pp 57-66. In: 561 J.P. Martinez-Barbera, C.L. Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical 562 Aspects of Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer International 563 Publishing AG 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_4 564 15. Erfurth EM. Obesity and Metabolic Disturbances in Adamantinomatous 565 Craniopharyngioma Patients, pp 85-98. In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera, C.L. 566 567 Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical Aspects Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer International Publishing AG 568 569 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_6 16. Asa SL, Casar-Borota O, Chanson P, Delgrange E, Earls P, Ezzat S, 570 Grossman A, Ikeda H, Inoshita N, Karavitaki N, Korbonits M, Laws ER Jr, 571 Lopes MB, Maartens N, McCutcheon IE, Mete O, Nishioka H, Raverot G, 572 Roncaroli F, Saeger W, Syro LV, Vasiljevic A, Villa C, Wierinckx A, Trouillas J; 573 attendees of 14th Meeting of the International Pituitary Pathology Club, 574 Annecy, France, November 2016. From pituitary adenoma to pituitary 575 neuroendocrine tumor (PitNET): an International Pituitary Pathology Club 576

proposal. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2017;24(4):C5-C8.

17. Aquilina K and Buchfelder M. Surgical Treatment of Human ACP, pp 137-158.

In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera, C.L. Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and

mutation: a case report and review of the literature. Childs Nerv Syst.

556

577

578

- Clinical Aspects of Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer
 International Publishing AG 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_8
- 18. Müller HL. Long-Term Management and Clinical Trials in Adamantinomatous
 Craniopharyngioma, pp 179-214 In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera, C.L. Andoniadou

 (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical Aspects of Adamantinomatous
- Craniopharyngioma Springer International Publishing AG 2017; DOI
- 586 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_10
- 19. Jyoti B, Indelicato DJ, Bradley JA, Rotondo RL. Radiology and Radiotherapy
- of Craniopharyngioma, pp 101-135. In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera, C.L.
- Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical Aspects of
- 590 Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer International Publishing AG
- 591 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_7
- 592 20. Yang I, Sughrue ME, Rutkowski MJ, Kaur R, Ivan ME, Aranda D, Barani IJ,
- 593 Parsa AT. Craniopharyngioma: a comparison of tumor control with various
- treatment strategies. Neurosurg Focus. 2010;28(4):E5.
- 595 21.Kilday JP, Bartels U. Intracystic Administration of Interferon-Alpha for
- 596 Reduction of Cystic Tumour Burden, pp 159-177. In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera,
- 597 C.L. Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical Aspects of
- 598 Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer International Publishing AG
- 599 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_9
- 600 22. Mrowczynski OD, Langan ST, Rizk EB. Craniopharyngiomas: A systematic
- review and evaluation of the current intratumoral treatment landscape. Clin
- 602 Neurol Neurosurg. 2018;166:124-130.
- 23. Pettorini BL, Inzitari R, Massimi L, Tamburrini G, Caldarelli M, Fanali C,
- 604 Cabras T, Messana I, Castagnola M, Di Rocco C. The role of inflammation in

the genesis of the cystic component of craniopharyngiomas. Childs Nerv Syst. 2010;26(12):1779-178424. Kilday JP, Caldarelli M, Massimi L, Chen RH, Lee YY, Liang ML, Parkes J, Naiker T, van Veelen ML, Michiels E, Mallucci C, Pettorini B, Meijer L, Dorfer C, Czech T, Diezi M, Schouten-van Meeteren AYN, Holm S, Gustavsson B, Benesch M, Müller HL, Hoffmann A, Rutkowski S, Flitsch J, Escherich G, Grotzer M, Spoudeas HA, Azquikina K, Capra M, Jiménez-Guerra R, MacDonald P, Johnston DL, Dvir R, Constantini S, Kuo MF, Yang SH, Bartels U. Intracystic interferon-alpha in pediatric craniopharyngioma patients: an international multicenter assessment on behalf of SIOPE and ISPN. Neuro Oncol. 2017 Oct 1;19(10):1398-1407

- 24. Kilday JP, Caldarelli M, Massimi L, Chen RH, Lee YY, Liang ML, Parkes J, Naiker T, van Veelen ML, Michiels E, Mallucci C, Pettorini B, Meijer L, Dorfer C, Czech T, Diezi M, Schouten-van Meeteren AYN, Holm S, Gustavsson B, Benesch M, Müller HL, Hoffmann A, Rutkowski S, Flitsch J, Escherich G, Grotzer M, Spoudeas HA, Azquikina K, Capra M, Jiménez-Guerra R, MacDonald P, Johnston DL, Dvir R, Constantini S, Kuo MF, Yang SH, Bartels U. Intracystic interferon-alpha in pediatric craniopharyngioma patients: an international multicenter assessment on behalf of SIOPE and ISPN. Neuro Oncol. 2017 Oct 1;19(10):1398-1407
- 25. Brastianos PK, Taylor-Weiner A, Manley PE, Jones RT, Dias-Santagata D, Thorner AR, Lawrence MS, Rodriguez FJ, Bernardo LA, Schubert L, Sunkavalli A, Shillingford N, Calicchio ML, Lidov HG, Taha H, Martinez-Lage M, Santi M, Storm PB, Lee JY, Palmer JN, Adappa ND, Scott RM, Dunn IF, Laws ER Jr, Stewart C, Ligon KL, Hoang MP, Van Hummelen P, Hahn WC, Louis DN, Resnick AC, Kieran MW, Getz G, Santagata S. Exome sequencing

- identifies BRAF mutations in papillary craniopharyngiomas. Nat Genet.
- 631 2014;46(2):161-165.
- 26.Gump JM, Donson AM, Birks DK, Amani VM, Rao KK, Griesinger AM,
- Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Johnston JM, Anderson RC, Rosenfeld A,
- 634 Handler M, Gore L, Foreman N, Hankinson TC. Identification of targets for
- rational pharmacological therapy in childhood craniopharyngioma. Acta
- Neuropathol Commun. 2015;3:30.
- 27. Goschzik T, Gessi M, Dreschmann V, Gebhardt U, Wang L, Yamaguchi S,
- 638 Wheeler DA, Lauriola L, Lau CC, Müller HL, Pietsch T. Genomic Alterations of
- 639 Adamantinomatous and Papillary Craniopharyngioma. J Neuropathol Exp
- 640 Neurol. 2017;76(2):126-134.
- 28. Hölsken A, Sill M, Merkle J, Schweizer L, Buchfelder M, Flitsch J, Fahlbusch
- R, Metzler M, Kool M, Pfister SM, von Deimling A, Capper D, Jones DT, Buslei
- R. Adamantinomatous and papillary craniopharyngiomas are characterized by
- distinct epigenomic as well as mutational and transcriptomic profiles. Acta
- Neuropathol Commun. 2016;4:20
- 29. Roque A, Odia Y. BRAF-V600E mutant papillary craniopharyngioma
- dramatically responds to combination BRAF and MEK inhibitors.CNS Oncol.
- 648 2017;6(2):95-99.
- 30. Sekine S, Shibata T, Kokubu A, Morishita Y, Noguchi M, Nakanishi Y,
- 650 Sakamoto M, Hirohashi S. Craniopharyngiomas of adamantinomatous type
- harbor beta-catenin gene mutations. Am J Pathol. 2002;161(6):1997-2001.
- 31. Hofmann BM, Kreutzer J, Saeger W, Buchfelder M, Blumcke I, Fahlbusch R,
- Buslei R. Nuclear beta-catenin accumulation as reliable marker for the

- differentiation between cystic craniopharyngiomas and rathke cleft cysts: a clinico-pathologic approach. Am J Surg Pathol. 2006;30(12):1595-1603.
- 32. Martinez-Barbera JP. Molecular 656 and cellular pathogenesis of adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 657 658 2015;41(6):721-732.
- 33. Kemler R. From cadherins to catenins: cytoplasmic protein interactions and regulation of cell adhesion. Trends Genet. 1993;9(9):317-21.
- 34. Larkin SJ, Ansorge O. Pathology and pathogenesis of craniopharyngiomas.

 Pituitary. 2013;16(1):9-17
- 35. Cani CM, Matushita H, Carvalho LR, Soares IC, Brito LP, Almeida MQ,
 Mendonça BB. PROP1 and CTNNB1 expression in adamantinoumatous
 craniopharyngiomas with or without b-catenin mutations. Clinics (Sao Paulo).
 2011;66(11):1849-1854.

667

668

- 36. Hölsken A, Buchfelder M, Fahlbusch R, Blümcke I, Buslei R. Tumour cell migration in adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas is promoted by activated Wnt-signalling. Acta Neuropathol. 2010;119(5):631-639.
- 37. Behrens J, Jerchow BA, Würtele M, Grimm J, Asbrand C, Wirtz R, Kühl M,
 Wedlich D, Birchmeier W. Functional interaction of an axin homolog,
 conductin, with beta-catenin, APC, and GSK3beta. Science.
 1998;280(5363):596-599.
- 38. Rubinfeld B, Albert I, Porfiri E, Fiol C, Munemitsu S, Polakis P. Binding of GSK3beta to the APC-beta-catenin complex and regulation of complex assembly. Science. 1996;272(5264):1023-1026.

- 39. Rubinfeld B, Souza B, Albert I, Müller O, Chamberlain SH, Masiarz FR,
 Munemitsu S, Polakis P. Association of the APC gene product with beta-
- catenin. Science. 1993;262(5140):1731-1734.
- 40. Lilien J, Balsamo J. The regulation of cadherin-mediated adhesion by tyrosine
- phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of beta-catenin. Curr Opin Cell Biol.
- 682 2005;17(5):459-465
- 41. Giles RH, van Es JH, Clevers H. Caught up in a Wnt storm: Wnt signaling in
- cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2003;1653(1):1-24
- 42. Hölsken A, Stache C, Schlaffer SM, Flitsch J, Fahlbusch R, Buchfelder M,
- Buslei R. Adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas express tumor stem cell
- 687 markers in cells with activated Wnt signaling: further evidence for the
- existence of a tumor stem cell niche? Pituitary. 2014;17(6):546-556
- 43. Esheba GE, Hassan AA. Comparative immunohistochemical expression of b-
- 690 catenin, EGFR, ErbB2, and p63 in adamantinomatous and papillary
- craniopharyngiomas. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst. 2015;27(3):139-145
- 44. Buslei R, Nolde M, Hofmann B, Meissner S, Eyupoglu IY, Siebzehnrübl F,
- 693 Hahnen E, Kreutzer J, Fahlbusch R. Common mutations of b-catenin in
- 694 adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas but not in other tumors originating
- from the sellar region. Acta Neuropathol. 2005;109(6):589-597.
- 45. Andoniadou CL, Martinez-Barbera JP. Genetically Modified Mouse Models of
- 697 Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma. In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera, C.L.
- 698 Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical Aspects of
- 699 Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer International Publishing AG
- 700 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_3

- 46. Chen Z, He X, Jia M, Liu Y, Qu D, Wu D, Wu P, Ni C, Zhang Z, Ye J, Xu J,
- 702 Huang J. β-catenin Overexpression in the Nucleus Predicts Progress Disease
- and Unfavourable Survival in Colorectal Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. PLoS One.
- 704 2013;8(5):e63854.
- 705 47. Weiss V, Dueber J, Wright JP, Cates J, Revetta F, Parikh AA, Merchant NB,
- 706 Shi C. Immunohistochemical analysis of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway
- 707 in pancreaticneuroendocrine neoplasms. World J Gastrointest Oncol.
- 708 2016;8(8):615-622.
- 709 48. Hölsken A, Gebhardt M, Buchfelder M, Fahlbusch R, Blümcke I, Buslei R.
- 710 EGFR signaling regulates tumor cell migration in craniopharyngiomas. Clin
- 711 Cancer Res. 2011;17(13):4367-4377.
- 49. Gupta S, Bi WL, Giantini Larsen A, Al-Abdulmohsen S, Abedalthagafi M, Dunn
- 713 IF.Craniopharyngioma: a roadmap for scientific translation. Neurosurg Focus.
- 714 2018;44(6):E12.
- 50. Robinson L, Santagata S, Hankinson TC. Transcriptomic and Genomic
- Analyses of Human Craniopharyngioma, pp 27-39. In: J.P. Martinez-Barbera,
- 717 C.L. Andoniadou (eds.), Basic Research and Clinical Aspects of
- 718 Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma Springer International Publishing AG
- 719 2017; DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-51890-9_2
- 51. Koike M1, Kose S, Furuta M, Taniguchi N, Yokoya F, Yoneda Y, Imamoto N.
- 721 beta-Catenin shows an overlapping sequence requirement but distinct
- molecular interactions for its bidirectional passage through nuclear pores. J
- 723 Biol Chem. 2004;279(32):34038-34047
- 52. Hölsken A, Kreutzer J, Hofmann BM, Hans V, Oppel F, Buchfelder M,
- 725 Fahlbusch R, Blümcke I, Buslei R. Target gene activation of the Wnt signaling

- pathway in nuclear beta-catenin accumulating cells of adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas. Brain Pathol. 2009;19(3):357-364.
- 53. Andoniadou CL, Gaston-Massuet C, Reddy R, Schneider RP, Blasco MA, Le
- Tissier P, Jacques TS, Pevny LH, Dattani MT, Martinez-Barbera JP.
- 730 Identification of novel pathways involved in the pathogenesis of human
- adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma. Acta Neuropathol. 2012;124(2):259-
- 732 271
- 54. Gaston-Massuet C1, Andoniadou CL, Signore M, Jayakody SA, Charolidi N,
- Kyeyune R, Vernay B, Jacques TS, Taketo MM, Le Tissier P, Dattani MT,
- 735 Martinez-Barbera JP. Increased Wingless (Wnt) signaling in pituitary
- 736 progenitor/stem cells gives rise to pituitary tumors in mice and humans. Proc
- 737 Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(28):11482-11487.
- 55. Sekine S, Takata T, Shibata T, Mori M, Morishita Y, Noguchi M, Uchida T,
- 739 Kanai Y, Hirohashi S. Expression of enamel proteins and LEF1 in
- 740 adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma: evidence for its odontogenic epithelial
- 741 differentiation. Histopathology. 2004;45(6):573-579.
- 742 56. Roura S, Miravet S, Piedra J, García de Herreros A, Duñach M. Regulation of
- 743 E-cadherin/Catenin association by tyrosine phosphorylation. J Biol Chem.
- 744 1999;274(51):36734-36740.
- 745 57. Mimeault M, Batra SK. Frequent deregulations in the hedgehog signaling
- 746 network and cross-talks with the epidermal growth factor receptor pathway
- 747 involved in cancer progression and targeted therapies. Pharmacol Rev.
- 748 2010;62(3):497-524
- 749 58.Reya T, Clevers H. Wnt signalling in stem cells and cancer. Nature.
- 750 2005;434(7035):843-850

- 59.Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, Weissman IL. Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nature. 2001;414(6859):105-111.
- 60. Apps JR, Carreno G, Gonzalez-Meljem JM, Haston S, Guiho R, Cooper JE, 753 Manshaei S, Jani N, Hölsken A, Pettorini B, Beynon RJ, Simpson DM, Fraser 754 755 HC, Hong Y, Hallang S, Stone TJ, Virasami A, Donson AM, Jones D, Aquilina K, Spoudeas H, Joshi AR, Grundy R, Storer LCD, Korbonits M, Hilton DA, 756 Tossell K, Thavaraj S, Ungless MA, Gil J, Buslei R, Hankinson T, Hargrave D, 757 Goding C, Andoniadou CL, Brogan P, Jacques TS, Williams HJ, Martinez-758 Barbera JP.Tumour compartment transcriptomics demonstrates the activation 759 of inflammatory and odontogenic programmes in human adamantinomatous 760
- 761 craniopharyngioma and identifies the MAPK/ERK pathway as a novel
- therapeutic target. Acta Neuropathol. 2018;135(5):757-777
- 61. Sun HI, Akgun E, Bicer A, Ozkan A, Bozkurt SU, Kurtkaya O, Koc DY, Pamir
 MN, Kilic T. Expression of angiogenic factors in craniopharyngiomas:
 implications for tumor recurrence. Neurosurgery. 2010;66(4):744-750
- 62. Baker JC, Beddington RS, Harland RM. Wnt signaling in Xenopus embryos inhibits bmp4 expression and activates neural development. Genes Dev. 1999;13(23):3149-3159.
- 63. Dhillon AS, Hagan S, Rath O, Kolch W. MAP kinase signaling pathways in cancer. Oncogene. 2007;26(22):3279-3290
- 64. Zhang W, Liu HT. MAPK signal pathways in the regulation of cell proliferation in mammalian cells. Cell Res. 2002;12(1):9-18.
- 65. Herbst RS. Review of epidermal growth factor receptor biology. Int J Radiat
 Oncol Biol Phys. 2004;59(2 Suppl):21-6

- 66. Laurent-Puig P, Lievre A, Blons H. Mutations and response to epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15(4):1133-1139
- 67. Futreal PA, Coin L, Marshall M, Down T, Hubbard T, Wooster R, Rahman N,
 Stratton MR. A census of human cancer genes. Nat Rev Cancer.

779 2004;4(3):177-183.

68. Larkin SJ, Preda V, Karavitaki N, Grossman A, Ansorge O. BRAF V600E mutations are characteristic for papillary craniopharyngioma and may coexist with CTNNB1-mutated adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma. Acta

783 Neuropathol. 2014;127(6):927-929

27;417(6892):949-954

- 69. Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, Teague J, Woffendin H, Garnett MJ, Bottomley W, Davis N, Dicks E, Ewing R, Floyd Y, Gray K, Hall S, Hawes R, Hughes J, Kosmidou V, Menzies A, Mould C, Parker A, Stevens C, Watt S, Hooper S, Wilson R, Jayatilake H, Gusterson BA, Cooper C, Shipley J, Hargrave D, Pritchard-Jones K, Maitland N, Chenevix-Trench G, Riggins GJ, Bigner DD, Palmieri G, Cossu A, Flanagan A, Nicholson A, Ho JW, Leung SY, Yuen ST, Weber BL, Seigler HF, Darrow TL, Paterson H, Marais R, Marshall CJ, Wooster R, Stratton MR, Futreal PA. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature. 2002 Jun
- 70. Haston S, Pozzi S, Carreno G, Manshaei S, Panousopoulos L, Gonzalez-Meljem JM, Apps JR, Virasami A, Thavaraj S, Gutteridge A, Forshew T, Marais R, Brandner S, Jacques TS, Andoniadou CL, Martinez-Barbera JP. MAPK pathway control of stem cell proliferation and differentiation in the embryonic pituitary provides insights into the pathogenesis of papillary craniopharyngioma. Development. 2017;144(12):2141-2152.

- 71.Larkin S, Karavitaki N. Recent advances in molecular pathology of craniopharyngioma. F1000Res. 2017;6:1202
- 72. Jones DT, Kocialkowski S, Liu L, Pearson DM, Ichimura K, Collins VP.
 Oncogenic RAF1 rearrangement and a novel BRAF mutation as alternatives
 to KIAA1549:BRAF fusion in activating the MAPK pathway in pilocytic
 astrocytoma. Oncogene. 2009;28(20):2119-2123
- 73. Tian Y, Rich BE, Vena N, Craig JM, Macconaill LE, Rajaram V, Goldman S,
 Taha H, Mahmoud M, Ozek M, Sav A, Longtine JA, Lindeman NI, Garraway
 LA, Ligon AH, Stiles CD, Santagata S, Chan JA, Kieran MW, Ligon KL.
 Detection of KIAA1549-BRAF fusion transcripts in formalin-fixed paraffinembedded pediatric low-grade gliomas. J Mol Diagn. 2011;13(6):669-677.
- 74. Brastianos PK, Santagata S. ENDOCRINE TUMORS: **BRAF** V600E mutations in papillary craniopharyngioma. Eur J Endocrinol. 2016;174(4):R139-144

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

- 75. Stache C, Hölsken A, Fahlbusch R, Flitsch J, Schlaffer SM, Buchfelder M, Buslei R. Tight junction protein claudin-1 is differentially expressed in craniopharyngioma subtypes and indicates invasive tumor growth. Neuro Oncol. 2014;16(2):256-264.
 - 76. Stache C, Hölsken A, Schlaffer SM, Hess A, Metzler M, Frey B, Fahlbusch R, Flitsch J, Buchfelder M, Buslei R. Insights into the infiltrative behavior of adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma in a new xenotransplant mouse model. Brain Pathol. 2015;25(1):1-10.
- 77. Samis J, Vanin EF, Sredni ST, de Bonaldo Mde F, Costa FF, Tomita T, Habiby
 R, Zimmerman D, Soares MB. Extensive miRNA expression analysis in
 craniopharyngiomas. Childs Nerv Syst. 2016;32(9):1617-1624

- 78. Garcia-Lavandeira M, Saez C, Diaz-Rodriguez E, Perez-Romero S, Senra A,
 Dieguez C, Japon MA, Alvarez CV. Craniopharyngiomas express embryonic
 stem cell markers(SOX2, OCT4, KLF4, and SOX9) as pituitary stem cells but
 do not coexpress RET/GFRA3 receptors. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.
- 828 2012;97(1):E80-87.
- 79. Donson AM, Apps J, Griesinger AM, Amani V, Witt DA, Anderson RCE, Niazi
- TN, Grant G, Souweidane M, Johnston JM, Jackson EM, Kleinschmidt-
- DeMasters BK, Handler MH, Tan AC, Gore L, Virasami A, Gonzalez-Meljem
- JM, Jacques TS, Martinez-Barbera JP, Foreman NK, Hankinson TC;
- 833 Advancing Treatment for Pediatric Craniopharyngioma Consortium. Molecular
- Analyses Reveal Inflammatory Mediators in the Solid Component and Cyst
- Fluid of Human Adamantinomatous Craniopharyngioma. J Neuropathol Exp
- 836 Neurol. 20171;76(9):779-788
- 80. Barry S, Carlsen E, Marques P, Stiles CE, Gadaleta E, Berney DM, Roncaroli
- F, Chelala C, Solomou A, Herincs M, Caimari F, Grossman AB, Crnogorac-
- Jurcevic T, Haworth O, Gaston-Massuet C, Korbonits M. Tumor
- microenvironment defines the invasive phenotype of AIP-mutation-positive
- pituitary tumors. Oncogene. 2019 Mar 12.
- 81. Ascierto PA, Minor D, Ribas A, Lebbe C, O'Hagan A, Arya N, Guckert M,
- Schadendorf D, Kefford RF, Grob JJ, Hamid O, Amaravadi R, Simeone E,
- Wilhelm T, Kim KB, Long GV, Martin AM, Mazumdar J, Goodman VL, Trefzer
- U. Phase II Trial (BREAK-2) of the BRAF Inhibitor Dabrafenib (GSK2118436)
- in Patients With Metastatic Melanoma. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(26):3205-3211
- 82. Tsoukalas N, Tsapakidis K, Alexandraki KI. The role of palbociclib in thyroid
- carcinoma with BRAF mutation. Gland Surg. 2018;7(Suppl 1):S82-S85

- 83. Aylwin SJ, Bodi I, Beaney R. Pronounced response of papillary
- craniopharyngioma to treatment with vemurafenib, a BRAF inhibitor. Pituitary.
- 851 2016;19(5):544-546.
- 84. Brastianos PK, Shankar GM, Gill CM, Taylor-Weiner A, Nayyar N, Panka DJ,
- 853 Sullivan RJ, Frederick DT, Abedalthagafi M, Jones PS, Dunn IF, Nahed BV,
- Romero JM, Louis DN, Getz G, Cahill DP, Santagata S, Curry WT Jr, Barker
- FG 2nd. Dramatic Response of BRAF V600E Mutant Papillary
- 856 Craniopharyngioma to Targeted Therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015;108(2)
- 85. Rostami E, Witt Nyström P, Libard S, Wikström J, Casar-Borota O,
- Gudjonsson O. Recurrent papillary craniopharyngioma with BRAFV600E
- mutation treated with neoadjuvant-targeted therapy. Acta Neurochir (Wien).
- 860 2017;159(11):2217-2221.
- 86. Himes BT, Ruff MW, Van Gompel JJ, Park SS, Galanis E, Kaufmann TJ, Uhm
- JH. Recurrent papillary craniopharyngioma with BRAF V600E mutation treated
- with dabrafenib: case report. J Neurosurg. 2018:1-5.
- 87. Juratli T. New potential treatment alternatives in patients with papillary
- craniopharyngioma. Endocrine Abstracts 2019 63 S12.2
- 88. Vemurafenib and Cobimetinib in Treating Patients With BRAF V600E Mutation
- Positive Craniopharyngioma. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03224767
- 89. La Corte E, Younus I, Pivari F, Selimi A, Ottenhausen M, Forbes JA, Pisapia
- DJ, Dobri GA, Anand VK, Schwartz TH. BRAF V600E mutant papillary
- craniopharyngiomas: a single-institutional case series. Pituitary.
- 871 2018;21(6):571-583
- 90. Flaherty KT, Infante JR, Daud A, Gonzalez R, Kefford RF, Sosman J, Hamid
- O, Schuchter L, Cebon J, Ibrahim N, Kudchadkar R, Burris HA 3rd, Falchook

| 875 | P, Allred A, Ouellet D, Kim KB, Patel K, Weber J. Combined BRAF and MEK |
|-----|--|
| 876 | inhibition in melanoma with BRAF V600 mutations. N Engl J Med. |
| 877 | 2012;367(18):1694-1670 |
| 878 | 91. Carreno G, Boult JKR, Apps JR, Gonzalez-Meljem JM, Haston S, Guiho R, |
| 879 | Stache C, Danielson LS, Koers A, Smith LS, Virasami A, Panousopoulos L, |
| 880 | Buchfelder M, Jacques TS, Chesler L, Robinson S, Martinez-Barbera JP. SHH |
| 881 | pathway inhibition is protumourigenic in adamantinomatous |
| 882 | craniopharyngioma. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2019 Jan 1 |
| 883 | 92. Wang Y, Deng J, Guo G, Tong A, Peng X, Chen H, Xu J, Liu Y, You C, Zhou |
| 884 | L. Clinical and prognostic role of annexin A2 in adamantinomatous |
| 885 | craniopharyngioma. J Neurooncol. 2017;131(1):21-29. |
| 886 | 93. Nölting S, Garcia E, Alusi G, Giubellino A, Pacak K, Korbonits M, Grossman |
| 887 | AB. Combined blockade of signalling pathways shows marked anti-tumour |
| 888 | potential in phaeochromocytoma cell lines. J Mol Endocrinol. 2012;49(2):79- |
| 889 | 96. |
| 890 | 94. Giubellino A, Bullova P, Nölting S, Turkova H, Powers JF, Liu Q, Guichard S, |
| 891 | Tischler AS, Grossman AB, Pacak K. Combined inhibition of mTORC1 and |
| 892 | mTORC2 signaling pathways is a promising therapeutic option in inhibiting |
| 893 | pheochromocytoma tumor growth: in vitro and in vivo studies in female |
| 894 | athymic nude mice. Endocrinology. 2013;154(2):646-655. |
| 895 | 95. Peginterferon Alfa-2b in Treating Younger Patients With Craniopharyngioma |
| 896 | That is Recurrent or Cannot Be Removed By Surgery. ClinicalTrials.gov |
| 897 | Identifier: NCT01964300 |
| 898 | |

G, Algazi A, Lewis K, Long GV, Puzanov I, Lebowitz P, Singh A, Little S, Sun

Table 1. Case reports and current clinical trials of patients with papillary craniopharyngioma (PCP) and BRAF-V600E alterations treated with V600E mutation-specific BRAF inhibitors (dabrafenib or vemurafenib) with or without combined treatment with MEK inhibitors (trametinib or cobimetinib).

| Case series/ reports | CP | Previous treatment | Histopathological and molecular genetic analysis | Novel treatment | Duration of treatment | Outcome (mean of measurement) | Comments |
|-------------------------------|-----|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Aylwin et al, 2015 | PCP | TSSx2, RT. | Pyrosequencing analysis indicated the presence of the BRAF mutation c.1799T[A (p.Val600Glu). | Vemurafenib 960 mg bd. | 3 months. | Near complete resolution (MRI.) | Recurrence 6 weeks off treatment; restart of treatment and stabilization for 7 months with a regrowth. |
| Brastian os et al, 2015 | PCP | Craniotomy x3. | Uniform staining of the BRAFV600E protein (VEI antibody) by IHC; V600E mutation confirmed by allelespecific genetic testing. The mutation was detectable in peripheral blood during treatment. Absence of nuclear staining for β-catenin by IHC. | Dabrafenib 150 mg bd + after 3 weeks trametinib 2 mg od. | 35 days (followed by other treatments). | 85% reduction tumor volume and 81% reduction tumor-associated Cyst (MRI). | |
| Roque et al, 2016 | PCP | Craniotomy, Ommaya catheter with percutaneous aspriration of cyst fluid, RT 54 Gy in 30 fractions. | (+)ve staining for BRAFV600E by IHC; next- generation sequencing confirmed BRAFV600E mutation and FGFR3 splice site (437,445+3del12) with two variants of unknown significance (BRCA2- G1771D and FGFR4-\$551F). | Dabrafenib 150 mg orally twice daily and trametinib 2-mg orally od. | 7 months | Almost disappearance of 2.6 × 2.3 × 3.2-cm tumour (MRI); reduced volume and intensity of FDG uptake on PET. | |
| Rostami et al, 2017 | PCP | TSS | Weak staining of mutated BRAFV600E (VEI antibody) by IHC; BRAFV600E genotype confirmed by pyrosequencing mutational analysis. | Dabrafenib 150 mg bd + after 3 weeks trametinib 2 mg od | 7 weeks | 91% reduction tumour size (MRI). | Combined BRAF and MEK-targeted therapy. |
| Himes et al, 2019 | PCP | Craniotomy, RT 36 Gy in 12 fractions. | BRAF V600E mutation was confirmed in specimens from his original resection. | Dabrafenib 150 mg bd (shortly)→ od (several weeks)→ 225 mg od | 1 year under treatment and follow-up for 1 year off treatment. | Minimal residual tumor. | |
| Jurati et al, 2019 | PCP | | | Dabrafenib 150 mg bd + trametinib 2 mg od. | | >80% reduction of tumour size (MRI). | |
| NCT032 24767 | PCP | Cohort A: ± surgery Cohort B: RT ± other treatment (except for BRAF or MEK inhibitors) | IHC for BRAF V600E mutation (VEI) and β-catenin IHC (membranous, non-nuclear pattern). | Vemurafenib and Cobimetinib. | Vemurafenib bd day 1-28 + cobimetinib QD days 1-21. | Every 28 days for up to 5 courses in the absence of disease progression or unacceptable toxicity (MRI). | Patients may then receive RT, surgery, or continued treatment. |

bd: bis die (twice a day); CP: craniopharyngioma; IHC: immunohistochemistry; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; od: once a day; PCP: papillary craniopharyngioma; RT: radiation therapy; TSS: trans-sphenoidal surgery; ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT: ¹⁸fluoro- D-glucose Positron emission tomography/ computed tomography; RT: radiotherapy; (+)ve: positive

FIGURE 1. The mesh terms used to the search strategy: ("Craniopharyngioma"[Mesh] AND "Craniopharyngioma/drug therapy"[Mesh] NOT ("review"[Publication Type] OR "review literature as topic"[MeSH Terms] OR "review"[All Fields]) AND ("2009/05/01"[PDat]: "2019/04/28"[PDat])

| 917 918 | FIGURE 2 . Photomicrograph of a representative case of a Papillary Craniopharyngioma. Hematoxylin and eosin staining, x100 magnification. |
|------------|--|
| 919 | |
| 920 | (Dr A. Ramanathan and Professor A.B.Grossman, unpublished data) |
| 921 922 | |

| aberrant nuclear accumulation of beta-catenin in nodular whorls (arrowheads) due to beta-catenin mutation; Anti-beta-catenin, x400 magnification. |
|---|
| FIGURE 3B. Histology of papillary craniopharyngioma. Squamous epithelium showing membranous immunoreactivity of beta-catenin, lacking clusters with aberrant nuclear accumulation, x400 magnification. |
| Reproduced from Lithgow K, Pohl U, Karavitaki N. Craniopharyngiomas. In:. Endotext [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc.; 2000-2019 Mar 14. |

FIGURE 4A . Schematic presentation of adherens junction complex (including β- and α-catetin and E-cadherin) and the molecular signaling pathways that may crosstalk in both, ACP or PCP. In ACP, β-catenin clusters cells show an activation of the Wnt, SHH and EGFR pathway, which are reported to crosstalk with each other. **2A.** When Wnt signaling is inactive, axin recruits and binds to GSK3β, CK1α, and PP2A. APC is phosphorylated by these kinases, recruit β-catenin to the complex and tag it for degradation through phosphorylation of the serine (S33, S37, S45) or threonine (T41) residues of exon 3 on the CTNNB1 gene. This phosphorylation pattern is recognised by β-TrCP, which ubiquitinates β-catenin, When Wnt signaling is active, by the activation of a Wnt ligand or when extracellular SHH binds to the transmembrane receptor PTCH1, the protein degradation complex is inhibited and β-catenin is accumulated firstly in cytoplasm and then in the nucleus. There, β-catenin represents a transcription co-factor by interacting with transcription factors of the TCF family TCF/LEF1, initiating the target genes expression.

FIGURE 4B. In ACP, paracrine growth-related signaling molecules such as SOX2. FGFs, EGF, PDGF bind to their receptors activating the Ras/Raf/MAPK/ERK1/2 pathway and the PI3K/Akt pathway. Similarly, in PCP the BRAF-V600E serine/threonine kinase is a critical component of the Ras-Raf-Mek-ERK1/2 pathway that has been mutated. Red lines show the inhibitors that may be used as molecular-targeted therapy for CP.

ACP: adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma; APC: adenomatosis polyposis coli; BMP: bone morphogenetic protein; CK1: casein kinase 1a; CP: craniopharyngiomas; Dvl: Dishevelled; EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor; FGF: fibroblast growth factor; Fz: Frizzled; GSK-3\beta: glycogen synthase kinase-3\beta; IKK-\alpha: I kappa B kinase alpha; MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase; PCP: papillary craniopharyngioma; PI3K: phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; PP2A: protein phosphatase 2A; PTCH1: patched 1; SHH: sonic hedgehog; TCF: T-cell factor; LEF1: lymphocyte enhancer factor 1. VEGF-R: vascular endothelial growth factor receptor