

Sport supplement use predicts doping attitudes and likelihood via sport supplement beliefs

Hurst, Philip; Kavussanu, Maria; Boardley, Ian; Ring, Christopher

DOI:

[10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Hurst, P, Kavussanu, M, Boardley, I & Ring, C 2019, 'Sport supplement use predicts doping attitudes and likelihood via sport supplement beliefs', *Journal of Sports Sciences*, vol. 37, no. 15, pp. 1734-1740.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 20/03/2019

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *Journal of Sports Sciences* on 12/03/2019, available online:
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920>.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **Sport Supplement Use Predicts Doping Attitudes and Likelihood via Sport Supplement Beliefs**

2

3 **Running title:** Sport supplements, beliefs and doping

4

5 **Authors:** Philip Hurst^{a*}, Maria Kavussanu^b, Ian Boardley^b & Chris Ring^b

6 ^aSchool of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK

7 ^bSchool of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitations, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

8

9 ***Correspondence:** Philip Hurst, School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church

10 University, Canterbury, UK. Email: philip.hurst@canterbury.ac.uk

11

12

13 **Word count:**

14

Abstract

15 The aim of this study was to examine: (a) whether sport supplement use is related to doping
16 and (b) whether sport supplement beliefs mediated this relationship. In Study 1, athletes (N
17 = 598), completed measures of sport supplement use, sport supplement beliefs, and doping
18 attitudes. In Study 2, athletes (N = 475) completed measures of sport supplement use, sport
19 supplement beliefs, and doping likelihood. In both studies, sport supplement use predicted
20 doping outcomes indirectly via sport supplement beliefs. Our findings provide novel
21 evidence to suggest that sport supplement users, who strongly believe that sport
22 supplements are effective, are more likely to dope. For anti-doping organisations wishing to
23 prevent doping, targeting an athlete's beliefs about sport supplements may improve the
24 effectiveness of anti-doping prevention programmes.

25 **Key words:** drug, gateway hypothesis, Incremental Model of Doping Behaviour, nutrition,
26 performance enhancement

27

Introduction

28 According to the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), doping represents an athlete or
29 athlete-support personnel (e.g. coach, physiotherapist, doctor) committing an anti-doping
30 rule violation. Ten violations exist, including: presence of a banned substance in sample; use
31 or attempted use of a banned substance or method; evading, refusing, or failing to submit a
32 sample; whereabouts failure; tampering with doping control; possession of a banned
33 substance or method; trafficking a banned substance or method; administering banned
34 substances or methods; complicity; and prohibited association (WADC, 2015). The most
35 widely recognised anti-doping rule violation is an athlete's use of a banned performance
36 enhancing substance or method.

37 Factors associated with doping have received increased attention in the past decade (see
38 Backhouse, Whitaker, Patterson, Erickson, & McKenna, 2016). Research that identifies such
39 factors is important, as it helps anti-doping organisations and researchers design more
40 effective anti-doping prevention programmes. A large number of factors have been
41 proposed to explain doping in sport. It has been suggested that the use of non-banned sport
42 supplements (e.g., caffeine, creatine and sodium bicarbonate) may increase the likelihood of
43 an athlete doping (Backhouse, Whitaker, & Petroczi, 2013; Boardley, Grix, & Harkin, 2015).
44 However, little research has investigated what accounts for any such relationship. Recent
45 data highlight the potential importance of sport supplement beliefs influencing future
46 doping (Hurst, Foad, Coleman, & Beedie, 2017b). The main rationale for this suggestion is
47 that sport supplement use may lead athletes to develop beliefs about their effectiveness,
48 which in turn, may lead to the development of beliefs about doping substances and
49 influence future doping behaviour. We therefore aimed to extend understanding in the area
50 by 1) investigating whether a relationship exists between sport supplement use and doping,
51 and 2) whether sport supplement beliefs mediates any association.

52 *Sport Supplement Use and Doping*

53 Sport supplements are widely used by athletes of all ages and abilities, with the aim of
54 enhancing performance, promoting recovery, and correcting or preventing nutrient
55 deficiencies (Maughan et al., 2018). Prevalence of supplement use is between 40-70%, with
56 estimates varying by gender, age, sport type, time of the season, and type of supplement
57 used (Knapik et al., 2016). Whilst use of sport supplement is generally widespread, their use
58 involves risk because supplements can be contaminated with banned substances (Geyer et
59 al., 2004; Geyer et al., 2008). Geyer et al. (2008) analysed 634 sport supplements in 13
60 countries and reported that 15% of sport supplements were contaminated with anabolic
61 steroids and testosterone. Further, Cohen, Bloszies, Yee, and Gerona (2016) reported that of
62 21 supplements sampled, 52.4% contained stimulants. Thus, for athletes using sport
63 supplements, the possibility of failing a drug test through inadvertent means is high.

64 Cross-contamination of a sport supplement occurs as a result of insufficient surveillance and
65 quality control by the sport supplement industry (Geyer et al., 2004). Many supplements by-
66 pass the most rudimentary pharmaceutical safeguards and banned substances can often be
67 added to the supplement accidentally or deliberately. Given that the World Anti-Doping
68 Agency (WADA) enforces a “strict liability” under Articles 2.1 and 2.2 of the Code (WADC,
69 2015; p. 141) an athlete can be banned from sport for up to 4 years after using a sport
70 supplement without having to demonstrate “intent, negligence or knowing Use on the
71 Athlete’s part”.

72 Researchers have suggested that use of sport supplements may over time increase the
73 likelihood of athletes doping (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b; Petróczi,
74 2013). Two theoretical frameworks underpinning the sport supplement-doping association
75 are the *gateway hypothesis* (Kandel, 1975) and the *incremental model of doping behaviour*
76 (IMDB; Petróczi, 2013). Both propose that doping evolves as part of a routine application of
77 the use of banned performance-enhancing substances and methods.

78 The gateway hypothesis (Kandel, 1975) posited that the use of softer drugs (e.g., alcohol,
79 marijuana), often precedes the use of harder drugs (e.g., cocaine, heroin). In sport,
80 researchers have suggested that the use of sport supplements may similarly facilitate use of
81 banned substances (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hildebrandt, Harty, & Langenbucher, 2012;
82 Hurst et al., 2017b). It is argued that supplement use could have an impact on athletes'
83 tendency to feel comfortable with taking a substance to improve performance and lead to
84 the use of banned substances. Thus, the continued use of sport supplements could precede
85 and increase the likely consumption of banned substances.

86 The incremental model of doping behaviour (Petróczi, 2013) proposes a link between
87 supplement use and doping use based on their common intended outcome of performance
88 enhancement. The model posits that doping is a motivated, goal-directed behaviour, and
89 prolonged involvement in performance enhancement methods can lead to doping. From
90 this perspective, the IMDB can be seen as describing a behavioural translation, in which
91 doping is the eventual outcome of systematic efforts aimed to maximise athletic ability
92 through performance-enhancement methods. In short, the continued use of performance
93 enhancement methods and the search for additional and better performance enhancing
94 methods, could ultimately lead an athlete to dope.

95 Several studies have confirmed a positive association between sport supplement use and
96 doping (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Boardley et al., 2015; Hildebrandt et al., 2012), thereby
97 providing support for both the gateway hypothesis and the IMDB. Qualitative studies have
98 revealed that some athletes dope to improve performance and overcome performance
99 plateaus while taking sport supplements (Boardley et al., 2015). Cross-sectional research has
100 reported that supplement users are three and half times more likely to dope (Backhouse et
101 al., 2013). In a meta-analysis, Ntoumanis, Ng, Barkoukis, and Backhouse (2014) reported that
102 use of sport supplements was one of the strongest predictors of doping (Odds Ratio = 8.24,
103 95% CI = 5.07 to 13.39). Although this evidence is based solely on athlete testimony, it

104 suggests that the use of sport supplements represents a risk factor for doping. Further
105 research is needed to better elucidate the nature of the sport supplement-doping
106 relationship.

107 It has been suggested that sport supplement users may express more favourable beliefs
108 about their effectiveness compared to non-users (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al.,
109 2017b). In this context, beliefs refer to perceptions of an association between behaviour
110 (e.g., sport supplement use) and outcome (e.g., improvement in performance). Zelli, Mallia,
111 and Lucidi (2010) reported that beliefs accounted for nearly 50% of the variance of
112 adolescents' doping intentions. Moreover, Bloodworth, Petroczi, Bailey, Pearce, and
113 McNamee (2012) suggested that athletes who believed that sport supplementation was a
114 necessity for optimal sports performance were more likely to dope. Further, Hurst et al.
115 (2017b) showed a positive association between athletes' sport supplement use and beliefs
116 about their effectiveness. When considered alongside the main tenets of the gateway
117 hypothesis and IMDB, this evidence suggests that the use of sport supplements may put
118 athletes at greater risk of doping via the development of more positive beliefs about their
119 effectiveness. However, there is relative dearth of research that has investigated sport
120 supplement beliefs and how these may explain the sport supplement use-doping
121 relationship. If users of sport supplements have a positive belief about the effectiveness of
122 sport supplements, it is reasonable to suggest that these beliefs may influence future doping
123 behaviour. The current study was designed to address this gap in our understanding of this
124 relationship and investigate if sport supplement beliefs mediate any association between
125 supplement use and doping.

126 *Doping Attitudes and Likelihood*

127 Typically, research on substance use frames the behaviour as one of decision-making and
128 the explicit processes involved (Hauw & McNamee, 2015). Accordingly, several researchers

129 have used the Theory of Reasoned Action (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1975) and Theory of Planned
130 Behaviour (Ajzen, 1985) to examine athletes' attitudes and likelihood of doping (e.g.,
131 Backhouse et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2015; Elbe & Brand, 2016). Attitudes are an evaluation of
132 an object of thought (Bohner & Dickel, 2011) and can be anything that a person may have in
133 mind, ranging from people, groups, ideas and objects. They are stable entities stored in
134 memory and represent evaluative judgements that are constructed in the situation based on
135 current accessible information (Schwarz, 2007). Researchers interested in doping attitudes
136 are therefore aiming to understand athletes' judgements about banned substances. A large
137 body of literature has reported that attitudes are associated with doping use (Backhouse et
138 al., 2013; Whitaker, Long, Petróczi, & Backhouse, 2014) and doping likelihood (Chan et al.,
139 2015; Lazuras, Barkoukis, Mallia, Lucidi, & Brand, 2017), and that users of sports
140 supplements show more favourable attitudes towards doping than non-users (Backhouse et
141 al., 2013; Lazuras et al., 2017).

142 The Theory of Reasoned Action also suggests that attitudes are influenced by beliefs (Ajzen
143 & Fishbein, 1975). For example, an athlete who holds strong positive beliefs about the
144 effectiveness of anabolic steroids is expected to have positive attitudes towards them. In
145 turn, this influences the athlete's intention to use anabolic steroids, which ultimately
146 influences their likelihood of using them. There is accumulating evidence to support this
147 model of doping. Petróczi (2007) reported that stronger beliefs about doping were
148 associated with more favourable doping attitudes. Chan et al. (2015) showed that beliefs
149 about the advantages of using banned substances positively predicted doping attitudes and
150 intention to dope. Other studies have shown that athletes who use sport supplements
151 express more positive beliefs about these types of substances than non-users (Backhouse et
152 al., 2013; Dascombe, Karunaratna, Cartoon, Fergie, & Goodman, 2010). Research examining
153 beliefs about banned and non-banned substance use is limited, but there is sufficient
154 evidence to suggest that they can influence doping attitudes and likelihood.

155 *The Present Research*

156 In sum, research assessed doping attitudes and doping likelihood in order to better
157 understand doping behaviour. In a meta-analysis of the predictors of doping, Ntoumanis et
158 al. (2014) reported that the use of sport supplements was one of the strongest. However, no
159 study has investigated what may mediate the relationship between sport supplement use
160 and doping. We conducted two studies to examine whether sport supplement beliefs
161 mediate any relationships between sport supplement use and doping attitudes/likelihood¹.
162 In Study 1, we examined the relationships between sport supplement use, beliefs and
163 doping attitudes, and tested two hypotheses. First, we hypothesised sport supplement use
164 would be positively associated with doping attitudes. Second, we hypothesised that this
165 relationship would be mediated by sport supplement beliefs. In an extension to Study 1, in
166 Study 2, we examined the relationships between sport supplement use, sport supplement
167 beliefs, and doping likelihood. We hypothesised that sport supplement use would be
168 positively associated with doping likelihood and that this association would be mediated by
169 sport supplement beliefs.

170 **Study 1**

171 **Method**

172 *Participants*

173 Competitive male ($n = 417$) and female ($n = 191$) athletes volunteered to participate in the
174 study (mean + SD; age = 21.2 ± 4.5 years, years competing = 10.8 ± 5.9 , hours per week
175 training = 6.0 ± 3.7). Athletes had competed at club (26.3%), county (33.3%), regional

¹ We use the term doping to refer to doping attitudes and doping likelihood, when we collectively refer to these two variables.

176 (24.1%) and national level (16.3%). Athletes participated in individual (31.9%) and team
177 sports (69.1%).

178 *Measures*

179 *Sport Supplement Use*

180 Athletes were asked to indicate whether they use sports supplements. Responses were
181 scored as 0 (no) and 1 (yes).

182 *Sport Supplement Beliefs*

183 We measured sport supplement beliefs using the Sports Supplements Beliefs Scale ((SSBS;
184 Hurst et al., 2017b). This unidimensional instrument designed to assess athletes' beliefs
185 about the effectiveness of sports supplements was developed by Hurst et al. (2017b), who
186 provided evidence supporting the factorial validity of SSBS scores through exploratory and
187 confirmatory factor analyses. The SSBS includes six-statements related to beliefs about sport
188 supplements (e.g. "sport supplements are necessary for me to be competitive"). Athletes
189 indicated their level of agreement to each statement using a Likert-type scale, anchored by 1
190 (*strongly disagree*) and 6 (*strongly agree*). The mean of the six statements was computed as
191 a measure of athletes' belief about the effectiveness of sport supplements, with higher
192 scores indicating a more positive belief in their effectiveness. Cronbach alpha values were
193 very good in this study ($\alpha = .91$).

194 *Doping Attitudes*

195 We measured doping attitudes with a shortened 5-item version of the Performance
196 Enhancement Attitude Scale (Petróczi, 2006). This version has been reported to have better
197 model fit than the original 17-item scale (Nicholls, Madigan, & Levy, 2017). Athletes
198 responded to statements that represented their general attitudes towards doping (e.g.,
199 "doping is necessary to be competitive") on a six-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1

200 (*strongly disagree*) to 6 (*strongly agree*). The mean of all statements was calculated, with
201 higher scores indicating more positive attitudes towards doping. Cronbach alpha scores have
202 been reported to range from .71 to .91 (Petróczi & Aidman, 2009). In the current sample
203 internal consistency was very good ($\alpha = .90$).

204 *Procedure*

205 After obtaining ethical approval from the institutional research ethics committee, athletes
206 were recruited in person from sport clubs. Stakeholders of sport clubs (e.g., coaches,
207 managers and secretaries) were first contacted via telephone and informed about the study
208 purposes. After gaining permission to conduct the study from club stakeholders, athletes
209 were recruited in person at the club's training facility. They were informed about the
210 purpose of the study, that participation was voluntary, and that honesty in their responses
211 was vital. Athletes did not disclose any personal information (e.g., names, date of births or
212 contact details) and were told that all data would be kept anonymous and the information
213 they provided would be used only for research purposes. After reading the study
214 information sheet and providing informed consent, athletes completed the measures
215 described above and returned the questionnaire in a sealed envelope.

216 *Data Analysis*

217 Preliminary data analysis revealed that 10 athletes did not complete the PEAS or SSBS scale.
218 Their data were deleted leaving a final sample size of 598 for further analyses. Eleven
219 athletes (1.9%) had missing data and Little's Missing Completely at Random test (MCAR;
220 Little, 1988) indicated that data were missing completely at random ($\chi^2 = 17.562$, $df = 27$, $p >$
221 $.916$). Missing values were replaced using a multiple imputation model that generated five
222 data sets with maximum number of parameters set at 100. The average value of the missing
223 data sets was used for subsequent analysis.

224 We used the PROCESS 2.16 (Hayes, 2013) SPSS macro (model 4) to test direct and indirect
225 (via beliefs) effects of sport supplement use on doping attitudes. Direct effects are the
226 effects of the predictor on the outcome variable that occur separately to the mediator,
227 while indirect effects are the effects of the predictor on the outcome variable via the
228 mediator. Bootstrapping was set at 10,000 samples to control for Type I error (Hayes, 2009;
229 Preacher & Hayes, 2004) and bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals were calculated for all
230 effects. When the confidence interval for indirect effects does not contain zero, this is
231 indicative of mediation. The Completely Standardised Indirect Effect (CSIE) has been
232 reported as the effect size metric and interpreted as 0.01 = small effect, 0.09 = medium
233 effect and 0.25 = large effect (Preacher & Kelley, 2011). The level of statistical significance
234 was set at $p \leq .05$.

235 **Results**

236 *Descriptive Statistics and Zero-Order Correlations*

237 Mean scores indicated that around half of athletes used sport supplements (51%) and
238 overall the sample was characterised by low doping attitudes (mean \pm SD = 2.09 ± 0.82 ;
239 median = 2.00) and moderate beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements (mean \pm
240 SD = 3.01 ± 1.12 ; median = 3.17). Zero-order correlations provided support for our first
241 hypothesis, that is sport supplement use was positively associated with attitudes towards
242 doping ($r = .11$, $p = .005$). Also, positive relationships were found between sport supplement
243 use and beliefs about sport supplements ($r = .51$, $p < .001$) and between sport supplement
244 beliefs and doping attitudes ($r = .26$, $p < .001$).

245 *Mediation Analysis*

246 We hypothesized that sport supplement beliefs would mediate the relationship between
247 sport supplement use and doping. This hypothesis was also supported as sport supplement
248 use had an indirect effect on doping attitudes via sport supplement beliefs ($b = 0.22$, 95% CI

249 = 0.14 to 0.31, CSIE = 0.13, 95% CI = 0.09 to 0.19). In contrast, sport supplement use did not
250 have a direct effect on doping attitudes ($b = 0.03$, 95% CI = -0.17 to 0.27). Overall the model
251 accounted for 26% of the variance in doping attitudes ($F_{(2, 593)} = 207.62$, $p < .001$, $r = .51$).
252 Results are presented in Figure 1.

253 Discussion

254 Researchers have supported the notion that an athlete's use of sport supplements is related
255 to doping attitudes (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Ntoumanis et al., 2014). However, to date,
256 no study has attempted to understand the process through which sport supplement use
257 may lead to doping. One potential explanation is that over time athletes develop beliefs
258 about supplements. To move beyond simple description of the supplement use-doping
259 relationship and extend understanding in this area, we investigated whether this
260 relationship was mediated by sport supplement beliefs. The support provided for this
261 mediational pathway suggests that use of sport supplements may lead athletes to develop
262 beliefs about their effectiveness, possibly due to perceived improvements in performance.
263 These beliefs, in turn, may lead to the development of favourable attitudes toward doping
264 with possible implications for doping behaviour. The absence of a direct effect of sport
265 supplement use on doping attitudes underscores the importance of beliefs as a mechanism
266 that could explain the link between supplement use and doping attitudes.

267 Study 2

268 The results of Study 1 provided evidence consistent with the hypothesis that the relationship
269 between sport supplement use and doping attitudes is mediated by sport supplement
270 beliefs. However, the measure we used to assess doping attitudes has been criticised by
271 some researchers for its poor predictive validity in relationship to doping behaviour (Nicholls
272 et al., 2017). Specifically, the five-item version of the PEAS represents a mix of governmental
273 (e.g., "legalising performance enhancement would be beneficial for sport"), moral ("doping

274 is not cheating”) and functional (“doping is necessary to be competitive”) statements.
275 Therefore, when using this scale it is not possible to determine which of these sub-
276 components of doping attitudes is/are most important.

277 As an alternative, researchers have advocated the use of hypothetical scenarios to assess
278 doping intentions (e.g., Huybers & Mazanov, 2012; Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring &
279 Kavussanu, 2018). Athletes are presented with a hypothetical situation that they may
280 encounter in their career and are asked to indicate how likely they would be to use a banned
281 substance, if they were in that situation. Doping likelihood is reported to be one of the
282 strongest predictors of doping behaviour (Ntoumanis et al., 2014) and has previously been
283 shown to identify athletes at risk of doping (Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring & Hurst, 2019;
284 Ring, Kavussanu, Simms, & Mazanov, 2018). Therefore, in Study 2, we extended the results
285 of Study 1 by aiming to 1) examine the relationship between athletes’ use of sport
286 supplements and doping likelihood, and 2) determine whether beliefs about the
287 effectiveness of supplements mediate this relationship.

288 **Method**

289 *Participants*

290 Four-hundred and eighty-one competitive athletes volunteered to participate in the study
291 (age = 20.3 ± 2.2 years; years competing = 5.9 ± 4.2 , hours per week training = 6.3 ± 4.4).
292 The sample comprised mostly males (69.5%), who competed in team (88.8%) and individual
293 (11.2%) sports. The highest ever standard at which the athletes had competed at in their
294 sport was club (27.6%), county (45.7%), regional (6.7%), and national level (20.0%).

295 *Measures*

296 *Sport Supplement Use and Beliefs*

297 These variables were assessed using the same measures described in Study 1.

298 *Doping Likelihood*

299 In line with previous research (Huybers & Mazanov, 2012; Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring &
300 Kavussanu, 2018), we asked athletes to indicate how likely they are to dope during a
301 hypothetical scenario. This scenario focused on the benefits of using a banned substance to
302 help improve performance for a future competition and is presented below:

303 *It's the week before the most important competitive game/event of your season.*
304 *Lately, your performance has been below your best. You don't feel you have the*
305 *necessary fitness for this competition, and you're concerned about how you'll*
306 *perform. You mention this to a teammate, who tells you that he/she uses a new*
307 *substance that has enhanced his/her fitness and performance. The substance is*
308 *banned for use in sport, but there's no chance that you will be caught.*

309 After reading the scenario, athletes were asked to rate how likely they were to use the
310 banned substance on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly*
311 *agree*).

312 *Procedure*

313 After gaining ethical approval from the university research ethics committee, athletes were
314 recruited from sports clubs. Recruitment strategy and instructions were identical to those in
315 study 1, and athletes provided informed consent and completed the measures previously
316 described.

317 *Data Analysis*

318 Preliminary examination of the data revealed that six athletes did not complete the SSBS
319 scale. These were deleted, leaving a final sample size of 475. Two athletes (0.42%) had
320 missing data and Little's MCAR test revealed data were missing completely at random ($\chi^2 =$
321 5.142, $df = 10$, $p > .882$). Missing values were replaced using a multiple imputation model

322 that generated five data sets with maximum number of parameters set at 100. The average
323 value of the missing data sets was used in subsequent analysis.

324 Similar to Study 1, we used the PROCESS 2.16 (Hayes, 2013) SPSS macro (model 4) to test
325 direct and indirect effects of sport supplement use on beliefs and doping likelihood.
326 Bootstrapping was set at 10,000 samples and bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals were
327 calculated for all effects. The CSIE was reported as the effect size metric and the level of
328 statistical significance accepted was at $p \leq .05$.

329 Results

330 *Descriptive Statistics and Zero-Order Correlations*

331 Descriptive statistics indicated that on average, over two thirds of athletes used
332 supplements (69%) and reported relatively moderate beliefs in their effectiveness (mean \pm
333 SD = 3.12 ± 1.41 ; median = 3.67). Athletes also reported relatively low doping likelihood
334 scores (mean \pm SD = 2.27 ± 1.53 ; median = 2.00). Supporting our first hypothesis, zero-order
335 correlations showed the use of sport supplements was positively associated with likelihood
336 of doping ($r = .15$, $p = .002$). Further, positive relationships were identified between sport
337 supplement use and sport supplement beliefs ($r = .46$, $p < .001$), and that stronger sport
338 supplement beliefs and likelihood of doping ($r = .22$, $p < .001$).

339 *Mediation Analysis*

340 Our second hypothesis posited that the relationship between supplement use and doping
341 likelihood would be mediated by sport supplement beliefs. As can be seen in Figure 2, sport
342 supplement use was not directly related to doping likelihood ($b = 0.17$, 95% CI = -0.15 to
343 0.50), but was indirectly related to doping likelihood via sport supplement beliefs ($b = 0.31$,
344 95% CI = 0.15 to 0.49, CSIE = 0.09, 95% CI = 0.05 to 0.15). Overall the model accounted for
345 21% of the variance in doping likelihood ($F_{(2, 473)} = 143.52$, $p < .001$, $r = .46$).

346 Discussion

347 Similar to Study 1, in Study 2, we found that sport supplement use indirectly predicted
348 doping likelihood via sport supplement beliefs. This finding suggests that users of sport
349 supplements may be more likely to dope because supplement use may lead one to develop
350 beliefs about their effectiveness. In turn, these beliefs may influence doping likelihood.

351 General Discussion

352 It has been proposed that the use of sport supplements can lead an athlete to dope
353 (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b; Petróczi, 2013). Building on research conducted
354 on the role of sport supplement use and doping (Backhouse et al., 2013), we examined the
355 associations between athletes' use of sport supplements and both doping attitudes and
356 doping likelihood, and whether beliefs about the effectiveness of supplements mediated any
357 of these associations.

358 In support of our hypotheses, we found that sport supplement use was positively associated
359 with both doping attitudes (Study 1) and doping likelihood (Study 2). These results are in line
360 with existing cross-sectional research (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hildebrandt et al., 2012),
361 which has reported a positive relationship between sport supplement use and doping. While
362 sport supplements may help athletes meet nutritional targets, train harder, and stay healthy
363 and injury-free (Maughan et al., 2018), their continued consumption may also lead to a
364 greater willingness to engage in doping (i.e., via the gateway hypothesis or IMDB). If athletes
365 perceive sport supplements as beneficial for performance, they may subsequently be more
366 likely to consider doping. These findings provide some support for the gateway hypothesis
367 and IMDB, namely, that the use of performance enhancing methods (e.g., sport
368 supplements) could increase the likelihood of an athlete doping.

369 To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the mediating role of sport supplement
370 beliefs in the sport supplement use-doping relationship. Our findings are consistent with the

371 hypothesis that sport supplement beliefs mediate the relationship between sport
372 supplement use and both doping attitudes and likelihood. This suggests that athletes who
373 use sport supplements may develop beliefs about their effectiveness over time and as a
374 result be more likely to dope. This may happen because athletes believe that doping can
375 improve performance to the same, or to a greater extent to that of supplements. In other
376 words, the perceived beneficial effects of sport supplements may augment the belief that
377 they are effective, which in turn may lead to doping. Given the IMDB, which posits that the
378 continued use of non-banned performance enhancing methods can lead to doping (Petróczi,
379 2013), the more an athlete believes in the effectiveness of these types of methods, the
380 more likely they are to dope. Overall, our results underline the potentially important role of
381 sport supplement beliefs in doping.

382 *Practical Implications*

383 Our findings have practical implications for organisations and researchers aiming to prevent
384 doping in sport. They show that sport supplement use is indirectly related to doping
385 attitudes and likelihood via beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements. Thus, anti-
386 doping prevention programmes need to focus on reducing the belief about the effectiveness
387 of sport supplements. This could be achieved by downplaying their effectiveness during
388 nutritional and anti-doping interventions. There is a body of evidence suggesting that a large
389 proportion of the effectiveness of sport supplements is the result of a *placebo effect* (Beedie
390 et al., 2018; Hurst, Foad, Coleman, & Beedie, 2017a). Informing athletes about the placebo
391 effect could help them to make more informed choices about the use of sport supplements
392 and banned substances, which, in turn, may modify their beliefs about their effectiveness.

393 Alternatively, a more indirect way to modify beliefs could be for practitioners to promote an
394 environment that fosters behaviours away from the use of sport supplements. For example,
395 providing athletes with a “food-first approach” could provide athletes with functional

alternatives to sport supplementation (Whitaker & Backhouse, 2017). This may indirectly modify an athlete's behaviour in relationship to supplements. For example, instead of an athlete adopting non-natural forms of nutrition, such as powders and pills, that athlete may adopt more natural means of nutrition, and have a reduced belief in the effectiveness of sport supplements. It is reasonable to suggest that based on the results of this and other studies (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b), as well as the gateway hypothesis and the IMBD, a reduction in the use of sport supplements might change an athlete's belief in their effectiveness, and subsequently the chance of that athlete doping.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

In this multi-study research programme, we have reported some novel findings. However, these need to be interpreted in light of the following limitations. First, both studies are cross-sectional, and, therefore, a causal link between supplement use and doping outcomes cannot be asserted. It could be argued that beliefs about supplements influence supplement use which in turn influences doping. In regards to the latter, we were unable to analyse this relationship as supplement use was measured on a dichotomous scale (i.e. 0 = no, 1 = yes). Future research should examine whether supplement use acts a mediator between supplement beliefs and doping. Similarly, researchers should also investigate how athletes develop beliefs about banned and non-banned substances and whether they are related to future substance use. This could help determine how athletes learn and interpret information about performance enhancing substances, which could be used to facilitate the development of anti-doping educational interventions. Second, the effect sizes between sport supplement use and doping were small ($r = .11$ and $.15$, for doping attitudes and likelihood, respectively). This suggests that any potential causal relationship between the use of sport supplements and doping could be influenced by other factors that may be more influential in leading athletes to dope. Third, and like other research in this area (Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring et al., 2018), participants had relatively low doping attitudes and

422 likelihood scores. It is unknown whether the results from this study are similar for athletes
423 with higher scores on these variables. Future research is therefore needed that examines
424 the mediating role of sport supplements and the supplement use-doping relationship in an
425 athletic sample with higher doping scores.

426 **Conclusion**

427 In conclusion, the results from our research demonstrate that sport supplement use is
428 related to both doping attitudes and doping likelihood. That is, athletes using sport
429 supplements are more likely to report a more favourable attitude to doping and indicate a
430 greater likelihood of doping. Moreover, we provide novel evidence to suggest that sport
431 supplement users, who have a strong belief in the effectiveness of the supplements, may be
432 more likely to dope, and these beliefs may explain the relationship between sport
433 supplement use and doping. For anti-doping organisations and researchers aiming to
434 prevent doping, targeting athletes' beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements may
435 improve anti-doping prevention programmes. Research investigating the effects of belief-
436 based interventions on sport supplement use in sport is now needed.

- 439 Ajzen, I. (1985). *From Intentions to Actions: A Theory of Planned Behavior* Berlin: Springer.
- 440 Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to*
- 441 *theory and research*: Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- 442 Backhouse, S., Whitaker, L., Patterson, L., Erickson, K., & McKenna, J. (2016). *Social*
- 443 *Psychology of Doping in Sport: A Mixed Studies Narrative Synthesis*. Montreal,
- 444 Canada: World Anti-Doping Agency.
- 445 Backhouse, S. H., Whitaker, L., & Petroczi, A. (2013). Gateway to doping? Supplement use in
- 446 the context of preferred competitive situations, doping attitude, beliefs, and norms.
- 447 *The Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 23(2). 244-252.
- 448 Beedie, C., Benedetti, F., Barbiani, D., Camerone, E., Cohen, E., Coleman, D., . . . Szabo, A.
- 449 (2018). Consensus statement on placebo effects in sports and exercise: the need for
- 450 conceptual clarity, methodological rigour, and the elucidation of neurobiological
- 451 mechanisms. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 18(10). 1383-1389.
- 452 Bloodworth, A. J., Petroczi, A., Bailey, R., Pearce, G., & McNamee, M. J. (2012). Doping and
- 453 supplementation: the attitudes of talented young athletes. *The Scandinavian Journal*
- 454 *of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 22(2). 293-301.
- 455 Boardley, I. D., Grix, J., & Harkin, J. (2015). Doping in team and individual sports: a qualitative
- 456 investigation of moral disengagement and associated processes. *Qualitative*
- 457 *Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 7(5). 698-717.
- 458 Bohner, G., & Dickel, N. (2011). Attitudes and attitude change. *Annual review of psychology*,
- 459 62. 391-417.
- 460 Chan, D. K., Hardcastle, S., Dimmock, J. A., Lentillon-Kaestner, V., Donovan, R. J., Burgin, M.,
- 461 & Hagger, M. S. (2015). Modal salient belief and social cognitive variables of anti-
- 462 doping behaviors in sport: Examining an extended model of the theory of planned
- 463 behavior. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16. 164-174.
- 464 Cohen, P. A., Bloszies, C., Yee, C., & Gerona, R. (2016). An amphetamine isomer whose
- 465 efficacy and safety in humans has never been studied, beta-
- 466 methylphenylethylamine (BMPEA), is found in multiple dietary supplements. *Drug*
- 467 *Test Anal*, 8(3-4). 328-333.
- 468 Dascombe, B. J., Karunaratna, M., Cartoon, J., Fergie, B., & Goodman, C. (2010). Nutritional
- 469 supplementation habits and perceptions of elite athletes within a state-based
- 470 sporting institute. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13(2). 274-280.
- 471 Elbe, A.-M., & Brand, R. (2016). The effect of an ethical decision-making training on young
- 472 athletes' attitudes toward doping. *Ethics & Behavior*, 26(1). 32-44.
- 473 Geyer, H., Parr, M., Mareck, U., Reinhart, U., Schrader, Y., & Schänzer, W. (2004). Analysis of
- 474 non-hormonal nutritional supplements for anabolic-androgenic steroids-results of
- 475 an international study. *International journal of sports medicine*, 25(02). 124-129.
- 476 Geyer, H., Parr, M. K., Koehler, K., Mareck, U., Schanzer, W., & Thevis, M. (2008). Nutritional
- 477 supplements cross-contaminated and faked with doping substances. *J Mass*
- 478 *Spectrom*, 43(7). 892-902.
- 479 Hauw, D., & McNamee, M. (2015). A critical analysis of three psychological research
- 480 programs of doping behaviour. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16. 140-148.
- 481 Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new
- 482 millennium. *Communication monographs*, 76(4). 408-420.
- 483 Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis:*
- 484 *A Regression-Based Approach (Methodology in the Social Sciences)* New York, NY:
- 485 Guilford-Press.

- Hildebrandt, T., Harty, S., & Langenbucher, J. W. (2012). Fitness supplements as a gateway substance for anabolic-androgenic steroid use. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 26(4). 955-962.
- Hurst, P., Foad, A. J., Coleman, D. A., & Beedie, C. (2017a). Athletes Intending to Use Sports Supplements Are More Likely to Respond to a Placebo. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise (MSSE)*, 40(9). 1877-1883.
- Hurst, P., Foad, A. J., Coleman, D. A., & Beedie, C. (2017b). Development and validation of the Sports Supplements Beliefs Scale *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 5(3). 89-97.
- Huybers, T., & Mazanov, J. (2012). What would Kim do: A choice study of projected athlete doping considerations. *Journal of sport management*, 26(4). 322-334.
- Kandel, D. (1975). Stages in adolescent involvement in drug use. *Science*, 190(4217). 912-914.
- Kavussanu, M., & Ring, C. (2017). Moral identity predicts doping likelihood via moral disengagement and anticipated guilt. *Journal of sport & exercise psychology*, 39(4). 293-301.
- Knapik, J. J., Steelman, R. A., Hoedebecke, S. S., Austin, K. G., Farina, E. K., & Lieberman, H. R. (2016). Prevalence of Dietary Supplement Use by Athletes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 46(1). 103-123.
- Lazuras, L., Barkoukis, V., Mallia, L., Lucidi, F., & Brand, R. (2017). More than a feeling: The role of anticipated regret in predicting doping intentions in adolescent athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 30. 196-204.
- Little, R. J. (1988). A test of missing completely at random for multivariate data with missing values. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 83(404). 1198-1202.
- Maughan, R. J., Burke, L. M., Dvorak, J., Larson-Meyer, D. E., Peeling, P., Phillips, S. M., . . . Geyer, H. (2018). IOC consensus statement: dietary supplements and the high-performance athlete. *International journal of sport nutrition and exercise metabolism*, 28(2). 104-125.
- Nicholls, A. R., Madigan, D. J., & Levy, A. R. (2017). A Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale for adult and adolescent athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 28. 100-104.
- Ntoumanis, N., Ng, J. Y., Barkoukis, V., & Backhouse, S. (2014). Personal and psychosocial predictors of doping use in physical activity settings: a meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 44(11). 1603-1624.
- Petróczi, A. (2006). *Measuring attitude toward doping: Further evidence for the psychometric properties of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale*. 14th Congress of the European Association for Sport Management. Nicosia, Cyprus.
- Petróczi, A. (2007). Attitudes and doping: a structural equation analysis of the relationship between athletes' attitudes, sport orientation and doping behaviour. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 2. 34.
- Petróczi, A. (2013). The doping mindset—Part I: Implications of the Functional Use Theory on mental representations of doping. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 2(4). 153-163.
- Petróczi, A., & Aidman, E. (2009). Measuring explicit attitude toward doping: Review of the psychometric properties of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 10(3). 390-396.
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behavior research methods, instruments, & computers*, 36(4). 717-731.
- Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: quantitative strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychological methods*, 16(2). 93-115.

537 Ring, C., & Hurst, P. (2019). The effects of moral disengagement mechanisms on doping
 538 likelihood are mediated by guilt and moderated by moral traits. *Psychology of Sport*
 539 *and Exercise*, 40. 33-41.
 540 Ring, C., & Kavussanu, M. (2018). Ego involvement increases doping likelihood. *Journal of*
 541 *Sports Sciences*, 36(15). 1757-1762.
 542 Ring, C., Kavussanu, M., Simms, M., & Mazanov, J. (2018). Effects of situational costs and
 543 benefits on projected doping likelihood. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 34. 88-94.
 544 Schwarz, N. (2007). Attitude construction: Evaluation in context. *Social cognition*, 25(5). 638-
 545 656.
 546 WADC. (2015). *The World Anti-Doping Code*. Montreal, Canada: The World Anti-Doping
 547 Agency Retrieved from [https://www.wada-](https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/wada-2015-world-anti-doping-code.pdf)
 548 [ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/wada-2015-world-anti-doping-code.pdf](https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/wada-2015-world-anti-doping-code.pdf).
 549 Whitaker, L., & Backhouse, S. H. (2017). Doping in sport: an analysis of sanctioned UK rugby
 550 union players between 2009 and 2015. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 35(16). 1607-
 551 1613.
 552 Whitaker, L., Long, J., Petróczi, A., & Backhouse, S. H. (2014). Using the prototype willingness
 553 model to predict doping in sport. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in*
 554 *Sports*, 24(5). e398-e405.
 555 Zelli, A., Mallia, L., & Lucidi, F. (2010). The contribution of interpersonal appraisals to a
 556 social-cognitive analysis of adolescents' doping use. *Psychology of Sport and*
 557 *Exercise*, 11(4). 304-311.
 558

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The effects of supplement use on doping attitudes and the mediating role of sport supplement beliefs. *Note.* Values are the unstandardized regression coefficients. * $p < .01$

Figure 2. The effects of supplement use on doping likelihood and the mediating role of sport supplement beliefs. *Note.* Values are the unstandardized regression coefficients. * $p < .01$