

The tip of the iceberg in idiopathic intracranial hypertension

Mollan, Susan; Mitchell, J; Sinclair, Alexandra

DOI:

[10.1136/practneurol-2019-002198](https://doi.org/10.1136/practneurol-2019-002198)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Mollan, S, Mitchell, J & Sinclair, A 2019, 'The tip of the iceberg in idiopathic intracranial hypertension', *Practical Neurology*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 178-179. <https://doi.org/10.1136/practneurol-2019-002198>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility 08/02/2019

This article has been accepted for publication in Tip of the iceberg in idiopathic intracranial hypertension, 2019, following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/practneurol-2019-002198>

© Authors (or their employer(s)). Reuse of this manuscript version (excluding any databases, tables, diagrams, photographs and other images or illustrative material included where a another copyright owner is identified) is permitted strictly pursuant to the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC 4.0) <http://creativecommons.org>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

The tip of the iceberg in idiopathic intracranial hypertension

Mollan SP 0000-0002-6314-4437

Mitchell J 0000-0001-6785-9352

Sinclair AJ 0000-0003-2777-5132

- 1 Birmingham Neuro-Ophthalmology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, UK
- 2 Metabolic Neurology, Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom,UK
- 3 Department of Neurology, University Hospitals Birmingham, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, UK
- 4 Centre for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Birmingham Health Partners, Birmingham, UK

Corresponding Author: Dr Alexandra J Sinclair, Metabolic Neurology, Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; a.b.sinclair@bham.ac.uk

Key Words: Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension; Lumbar puncture; papilloedema; raised intracranial pressure; headache

Lumbar puncture (LP) is a critical part of the diagnostic algorithm to measure opening pressure and contents in all those presenting with raised intracranial pressure (ICP).[1-4] The diagnosis of IIH is one of exclusion, and at the point of initial presentation, there is no clinically recognised sign that can diagnose IIH above other causes of raised ICP.[1-4] Gates *et al.* [5] raise an interesting discussion regarding the utility of needle tip type for the lumbar puncture (LP) procedure in those who are diagnosed subsequently with idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH).[5] Whilst the first guidelines in IIH [1] and the European IIH guidelines [2] have recommended that therapeutic serial LPs are not advocated as a long-term treatment strategy for IIH due to the lack of high-quality peer reviewed evidence [1,2], to which the authors agree, we accept that there are centres that continue this practice.

There are established complications of LPs which include local discomfort, low pressure headaches and more rarely infection or local haemorrhage.[6] Although LP induces a transient reduction of CSF pressure the effect is typically short lived with pressures found to rise rapidly after the procedure, despite the amount of CSF drained.[7] Therapeutic LP has limited application for managing headache, as headache improves in 71%, but the improvement is small (1 point on the verbal rating score 0-10) and there is also a 64% chance of a significant headache exacerbation in the week following LP in IIH patients.[8] More importantly, those with IIH frequently report high pain scores and a very negative emotional experience when they undergo a LP.[9]. IIH is associated with poor quality of life and the impact of LP needle type utilised (atraumatic versus cutting needle) have not been evaluated to consider the long term pros and cons for the patient.[10]

As the incidence of IIH has been documented to rise, with an unexpected increase in unsolicited hospital admissions [11], it is important that the natural history of the condition is considered, as relapse is common, even in those who appear to initially have a rapid improvement post LP. [12] Expert clinical experience, as cited by Gates *et al.*[5], provides important insights to inform hypothesis driven research.

Within the United Kingdom the authors have occasionally seen the phenomenon of IIH resolution post LP, we have tended to observe that these patients seen may be on a mild spectrum of the disease. We have not seen patients with moderate to severe IIH go into prolonged remission immediately following an LP. So, before the call for a change to clinical practice without clear evidence [5], more robust research is needed to evaluate the extent of resolution of IIH post LP, the role of needle type, the baseline disease severity in these patients, and the duration of the remission following LP. Our experience of following patient's long term, is that the disease can fluctuate and in those that settle following an LP, without further intervention, they typically re-present with recurrent disease further down the line. With the emergence of telemetric ICP monitoring in IIH we are gaining fascinating insight into the relationship between ICP and clinical signs and the dramatic changes in ICP seen over the course of progressing disease. We have noted that ICP varies widely in IIH with progression from diagnosis, to fulminant disease with declining vision, until ICP was temporarily controlled with lumbar drainage (which did not reverse the disease process) and ultimately the ICP settled following a definitive CSF shunt [12]. The lumbar puncture needle choice may be the tip of the iceberg in managing and understanding raised ICP in IIH.

References

1. Mollan SP, Davies B, Silver NC, Shaw S, Mallucci CL, Wakerley BR, Krishnan A, Chavda SV, Ramalingam S, Edwards J, Hemmings K, Williamson M, Burdon MA, Hassan-Smith G, Digre K, Liu GT, Jensen RH, Sinclair AJ. Idiopathic intracranial hypertension: consensus guidelines on management. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2018;89(10):1088-1100.
2. Hoffmann J, Mollan SP, Paemeleire K, Lampl C, Jensen RH, Sinclair AJ. European headache federation guideline on idiopathic intracranial hypertension. *J Headache Pain* 2018;19(1):93.
3. Mollan SP, Spitzer D, Nicholl DJ. Raised intracranial pressure in those presenting with headache. *BMJ* 2018 Oct 4;363:k3252.
4. Mollan SP, Hornby C, Mitchell J, Sinclair AJ. Evaluation and management of adult idiopathic intracranial hypertension. *Pract Neurol*. 2018 Dec;18(6):485-488
5. Gates P, McNeill P, Shuey N. Regarding the article published in the *BMJ* titled: Atraumatic (pencil-point) versus conventional needles for lumbar puncture: a clinical practice guideline. *Pract Neurol* 2018; xxx
6. Wright BLC, Lai JTF, Sinclair AJ. Cerebrospinal fluid and lumbar puncture: A practical review. *J Neurol*. 2012;259(8):1530–45.
7. Johnston I, Paterson A. Benign intracranial hypertension. II. CSF pressure and circulation. *Brain* 1974; 97(2):301-12.
8. Yiangou A, Mitchell J, Markey KA, Scotton W, Nightingale P, Botfield H, Ottridge R, Mollan SP, Sinclair AJ. Therapeutic lumbar puncture for headache in idiopathic intracranial hypertension: Minimal gain, is it worth the pain? *Cephalalgia* 2018; p. 333102418782192.
9. Scotton WJ, Mollan SP, Walters T, Doughty S, Botfield H, Markey K, Yiangou A, Williamson S, Sinclair AJ. Characterising the patient experience of diagnostic lumbar puncture in idiopathic intracranial hypertension: a cross-sectional online survey. *BMJ Open*, 2018. 8(5): p. e020445.
10. Mollan SP, Aguiar M, Evison F, Frew E, Sinclair AJ. The expanding burden of idiopathic intracranial hypertension *Eye (Lond)*. 2018 Oct 24. doi: 10.1038/s41433-018-0238-5.
11. Yri HM, Wegener M, Sander B, Jensen R. Idiopathic intracranial hypertension is not benign: a long-term outcome study. *J Neurol*. 2012 May;259(5):886-94.
12. Mitchell JL, Mollan SP, Tserloulmas G, Sinclair AJ. Telemetric monitor observes pressure following lumbar drain in fulminant idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension. *Pract Neurol* 2018 (under submission).

Declarations or Conflicts of Interest

No authors contributing have a conflict of interest in the subject matter.

AJS is funded by an NIHR Clinician Scientist Fellowship (NIHR-CS-011-028) and by the Medical Research Council, UK (MR/K015184/1).

Contributor Statement

All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.