# UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Growth, bone health & ambulatory status of boys with DMD treated With daily vs. intermittent oral glucocorticoid regimen

Crabtree, Nicola; Adams, Judith E.; Padidela, Raja; Shaw, N. J.; Högler, Wolfgang; Roper, H.; Hughes, I.; Daniel, A.; Mughal, M. Z.

DOI: 10.1016/j.bone.2018.07.019

*License:* Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Crabtree, N, Adams, JE, Padidela, R, Śhaw, NJ, Högler, W, Roper, H, Hughes, I, Daniel, A & Mughal, MZ 2018, 'Growth, bone health & ambulatory status of boys with DMD treated With daily vs. intermittent oral glucocorticoid regimen', *Bone*, vol. 116, pp. 181-186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2018.07.019

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

CLINICAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

2	Growth, Bone Health & Ambulatory Status of Boys with					
3	DMD Treated With Daily vs. Intermittent Oral					
4	Glucocorticoid Regimen					
5						
6	*Crabtree NJ <sup>+</sup> , *Adams JE <sup>21</sup> , Padidela R <sup>3</sup> , Shaw NJ <sup>+,*</sup> , Högler W <sup>+,*</sup> , Roper H <sup>3</sup> , Hughes I <sup>o</sup> , Daniel A <sup>3</sup> ,					
7	*Mughal MZ <sup>3</sup> .					
8						
9	*Crabtree, Adams & Mughal are the senior authors and all contributed equally to the study.					
10						
11 12	<sup>1</sup> Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK.					
13 14 15	<sup>2</sup> Radiology and Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Centre for Imaging Sciences, Faculty c Biology Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.					
16	<sup>3</sup> Department of Paediatric Endocrinology, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester, UK.					
17	<sup>4</sup> Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.					
18	<sup>5</sup> Department of Paediatrics, Heartlands Hospital, Birmingham, UK.					
19	<sup>6</sup> Department of Paediatric Neurology, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester UK.					
20						
21						
22	Correspondence					
23	Dr Nicola J Crabtree					
24	Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes					
25	Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust					
26	Steelhouse Lane					
27	Birmingham, B4 6NH, United Kingdom					
28	Tel +44 121 333 8517					
29	Fax +44 121 333 8191					
30	Email Nicola.crabtree@nhs.net					
31	Short Title: Bone health in DMD boys on different GC regimes					

- **Disclosure Summary**: NJC, JEA, PR, NJS, WH, IH & MZM have nothing to disclose.

HR has served on Scientific Advisory boards for GSK, Prosensa, Biomarin, and Biogen and is PI for
 clinical trials funded by NIH, Summit plc., and Roche.

- **Funding:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 37 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### 42 Abstract

Oral glucocorticoids (GC) preserve muscle strength and prolong walking in boys with Duchenne
muscular dystrophy (DMD). Although vertebral fractures have been reported in boys taking GC,
fracture rates for different GC regimes have not been investigated. The aim of this pragmatic
longitudinal study was to compare growth, body mass, bone mineral density (BMD), vertebral
fractures (VF) and ambulatory status in boys with DMD on daily (DAILY) or intermittent
(INTERMITTENT), oral GC regimens.

49 A convenience sample of 50 DMD boys from two centres was included in the study; 25 boys each 50 were on the DAILY or INTERMITTENT regimen. Size adjusted lumbar spine BMD (LS BMAD), total 51 body less head BMD (TBLH), by DXA and distal forearm bone densities by pQCT, GC exposure, VF 52 assessment and ambulatory status were analysed at three time points; baseline, 1 and 2 years. 53 At baseline, there were no differences in age, GC duration or any bone parameters. However, DAILY 54 boys were shorter (height SDS DAILY= -1.4(0.9); INTERMITTENT= -0.8(1.0), p=0.04) with higher BMI (BMI SDS DAILY= 1.5(0.9); INTERMITTENT= 0.8(1.0), p=0.01). Over 2 years, DAILY boys got 55 56 progressively shorter (delta height SDS DAILY= -0.9(1.1); INTERMITTENT= +0.1(0.6), p<0.001). At their 2 year assessment, 5 DAILY and 10 INTERMITTENT boys were non-ambulant. DAILY boys had 57 58 more VFs than INTERMITTENT boys (10 versus 2;  $\chi 2 p = 0.008$ ). BMAD SDS remained unchanged 59 between groups. TBLH and radius BMD declined significantly but the rate of loss was not different. 60 In conclusion, there was a trend for more boys on daily GCs to remain ambulant but at the cost of 61 more VFs, greater adiposity and markedly diminished growth. In contrast, boys on intermittent GCs 62 had fewer vertebral fractures but there was a trend for more boys to loose independent ambulation. Key words: 63 Glucocorticoids, Muscular dystrophy, vertebral fracture, ambulation, bone density, 64 growth

65

### 67 **1. Introduction**

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is an X-linked recessive disorder, which affects 1 in 3600– 69 6000 live male births [1]. DMD is caused by loss of function mutations in the *dystrophin* gene, which 70 encodes the dystrophin protein in muscle. Dystrophin deficiency results in necrosis of myofibres, 71 which in turn results in progressive deterioration of muscle function. The disease manifests usually 72 before 3 years of age with proximal muscle weakness and the inability to run and jump as their peers. 73 As the disease progresses, rising from the floor becomes difficult and eventually independent 74 ambulation is lost.

75 Currently there is no cure for DMD but the quality of life of patients can be improved by medical 76 treatment and supportive care. Long term treatment with oral glucocorticoids (GCs) slows down 77 deterioration of skeletal muscle function, prolonging ambulation by 2 to 5 years [2]. Moderate quality 78 evidence from RCTs demonstrates that GC treatment improves muscle function for about 12 months, 79 and muscle strength for up to two years [3]. Treatment with GCs along with improved 80 cardiorespiratory and orthopaedic supportive care, have led to improved survival of males with DMD. 81 The current standard of care is to treat with prednisolone 0.75 mg/kg/day or deflazacort 0.9mg/kg/day; 82 this treatment is initiated at the plateau of motor abilities between 4 and 6 years [1]. After loss of 83 ambulation, steroid treatment may be continued, usually without further weight-related dose increase, 84 or discontinued if side-effect burden is intolerable. Within the UK, GC treatment is given either daily or 85 intermittently (10 days on & 10 days off) [4].

86 Long-term GC treatment in DMD boys is associated with obesity, short stature, pubertal delay, and an 87 increased risk of long bone and vertebral fractures (VFs) [5]. Fractures are associated with low bone 88 mineral density (BMD) [6-12] and mainly caused by progressive muscle weakness and GC use[13]. 89 Furthermore, GC-related growth deceleration and pubertal delay might also contribute to bone fragility 90 in DMD boys [5]. Long bone fractures may precipitate permanent loss of mobility [8]. VFs may be 91 asymptomatic or associated with severe localised back pain. The role of chronic GC therapy in the 92 causation of VFs in DMD is emphasized by their absence in boys who are GC naïve [10]. Although 93 VF have previously been reported in DMD boys taking GCs [7, 9-12, 14], fracture rate for different GC 94 regimes has not been investigated.

95 Clinical observation suggests that DMD boys on continuous GC regimes may be more prone to VFs
96 compared to those on intermittent regimes. However, to the best of our knowledge bone health
97 outcomes have not been compared in DMD boys on different GC regimes. Thus, the aim of this study
98 was to compare longitudinal growth, body mass, BMD, VFs and ambulatory status in boys with DMD
99 on daily or intermittent, oral GC regimens.

100

### 101 2. Materials and Methods

102 This was a pragmatic study to compare the two different GC regimes. The majority of children 103 from Manchester are treated using the continuous GC regime (DAILY), whilst the majority of children 104 from Birmingham are treated using the intermittent 10 days on-10 days off regime (INTERMITTENT). 105 The decision to commence GC therapy was made by the local neuromuscular consultant according to 106 the guidelines used by the NorthStar network [4].

### 107 **2.1. Subjects**

108 A retrospective convenience sample of boys with established DMD was included, managed in two UK 109 centres between 2006 and 2014. At both centres, boys were excluded if they were not on the daily or 110 intermittent GC regime, for e.g. alternate day or weekend only regimes. Additionally, boys were 111 excluded if they had had exposure to oral or intravenous bisphosphonate treatment at baseline bone 112 assessment. At Manchester Children's Hospital, 25 boys were selected who had undergone annual 113 "bone health" assessments on at least 3 consecutive time points irrespective of their age (i.e. had at 114 least 2 years follow up). The same number of children was then identified from Birmingham Children's 115 Hospital DMD bone database in order to match as far as possible with those in Manchester, by age 116 and imaging modality. At both centres, bisphosphonate treatments were initiated when there was 117 evidence of a VF and associated pain. This information was extracted from the boys' medical records. At both centres, boys are routinely given advice to optimise their dietary calcium intake, preferably 118

from low fat dairy products; they also receive vitamin D supplements and 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels
are monitored annually.

### 121 **2.2. Ethics**

Since this project was undertaken as a service / therapy evaluation between two UK centres, it did notrequire ethical approval [15].

### 124 **2.3. Cumulative Glucocorticoid Exposure**

125 Cumulative GC exposure was calculated using information recorded in the subjects' medical records.

### 126 **2.4.** Anthropometric measurements

Height and weight were measured and body mass index calculated as weight/height<sup>2</sup> (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Once the child was unable to stand, arm span or supine length were used as surrogates for height. Height, weight and BMI measurements were transformed to standard deviation scores using the 1990 British growth reference data [16]. At both centres, anthropometric and bone assessments were repeated approximately annually.

### 132 **2.5.** Ambulation Status

At each bone imaging time-point, the subject's mobility status was recorded, categorised as
independently ambulant or requiring wheelchair assistance.

### 135 **2.6. Bone Health Assessments**

136

### 137 2.6.1. Vertebral Fracture Assessment (VFA)

138

The VFA was undertaken, at each measurement point, using all available spine imaging (e.g. CT scout views, anterior and lateral whole spine radiographs and Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA). Until recently vertebral fracture assessment, (VFA) by DXA was considered inferior to plain Xrays for the diagnosis of vertebral fracture. However, with the development of new higher-resolution scanners, VFA by DXA is proving to be an attractive imaging tool for children. Compared to lateral radiographs, it affords the child a significant (approximately threefold) reduction in radiation dose and is available at the time of routine DXA scanning [17, 18].

146Images from both centres were jointly reviewed by 2 experienced investigators (JEA & NJC) to arrive147at a consensus on fracture identification. Fractures were defined if there was  $\geq$  25% loss in height of

148 anterior, mid or posterior vertebral body, in relation to the adjacent unaffected vertebrae [19]. This

threshold was based on a UK based survey which suggested that  $\ge 25\%$  loss in vertebral height was the most likely level to prompt bisphosphonate treatment [20]. In addition, the identification of VFs with less than 25% vertebral height loss is unreliable both by plain radiography and DXA based VFA [17, 18].

153

### 2.6.2. Long bone Fractures

Data on long bone fractures was collected from review of hospital records and confirmed wherepossible from radiological review.

#### 156 **2.6.3. Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA)**

DXA scans of the lumbar spine (L1-4) and total body were performed on either a GE Lunar iDXA<sup>™</sup> or
Prodigy (GE Lunar Corp. Madison, WI, USA) (Birmingham) or a Hologic Discovery (QDR 4500
Discovery, Hologic Inc. Bedford, MA) (Manchester) scanner, according to standard protocol. Results
are presented as lumbar spine bone mineral apparent density [L1-L4 BMAD (g/cm<sup>3</sup>)] [21] and total
body less head BMD [TBLH BMD (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)]. Age, gender and machine specific Z-scores were
calculated using UK reference data [22].

#### 163 **2.6.4. Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT)**

At both sites, pQCT scans were acquired at the distal radius (4% of radial length) of the non-dominant forearm using a Stratec XCT-2000 scanner (Stratec, Pforzheim, Germany). Outcome variables included trabecular and total volumetric BMD (g/cm<sup>3</sup>). Age- and gender-specific Z-scores were calculated using the manufacturer's reference data [23].

### 168 **2.7. Statistical Analysis**

169 Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

170 Parameter differences from baseline to follow-up were evaluated using General Linear Model

- 171 Repeated Measures analyses, with time as the within-subject factor and GC regime (DAILY or
- 172 INTERMITTENT) as the between-subjects factor. If the sphericity assumption was not met, the
- 173 Huynh-Feldt correction was applied. A level of p<0.05 was used to denote statistical significance.
- 174 Results are presented as mean and standard deviation unless otherwise stated.

176

### 177 **3. Results**

### **3.1. Baseline Characteristics**

By design, subjects from both GC regimes did not differ in age (mean (SD) 8.3 (2.4), age of GC 179 180 therapy initiation (6.3 (1.9) years) or duration of GCs 2.0 (1.9) years). However, boys on the DAILY 181 regime had greater cumulative GC exposure and were significantly shorter (height SDS -1.4 (1.0) vs. -0.8 (1.0) p=0.04) with greater BMI (BMI SDS 1.5 (0.9) vs. 0.8 (1.0) p=0.01). In contrast, there were no 182 183 differences in any of the measured bone density parameters between treatment regimens whether we included or excluded (data not shown) boys who subsequently went on to receive bisphosphonate 184 185 therapy (Table 1). One boy from the DAILY group and 3 boys from the INTERMITTENT group had 186 suffered a long bone fracture. In contrast, 1 of the DAILY boys had radiological evidence of a vertebral fracture, whereas none of the INTERMITTENT boys had evidence of vertebral fracture. 187

### 188 **3.2.** Follow-up Data

#### 189

#### 3.2.1. Growth and body mass

- After 2.5 (0.9) years, height SDS decreased significantly in the DAILY boys but remained constant for
  the INTERMITTENT boys (delta height SDS -0.9 (1.1) vs. +0.1 (0.6); p<0.001). Weight SDS</li>
  increased significantly in both the DAILY boys and INTERMITTENT boys (delta weight SDS +0.3 (0.8)
  vs. +0.7 (0.7); p=0.05). In contrast, BMI SDS increased at the same rate for both DAILY and
  INTERMITTENT boys (mean delta BMI SDS = +0.8 (0.8)). Consequently, BMI SDS for DAILY boys
- remained significantly higher at the final assessment (BMI SDS DAILY = +2.3 (0.7) vs.

196 INTERMITTENT = +1.5 (1.0); p=0.001).

### 197 **3.2.2. Ambulation, fractures and bone density**

198 There was a non-significant trend for more boys in the INTERMITTENT regime to be non-ambulant.

199 At their final assessment, mean age 10.7 (2.7) years, 10 boys on the INTERMITTENT regime (40%)

and 5 in the DAILY group (20%) were non ambulant (Figure 1a).

During follow-up, one DAILY boy sustained a minimally displaced metaphyseal fracture of the distal tibia. None of the INTERMITTENT boys sustained any long bone fractures. Over the same period, 10 (40%) DAILY and 2 (8%) INTERMITTENT boys suffered VFs ( $\chi^2 = 7.018$ ; p =0.008) (Figure1b). Six of the 10 DAILY boys with symptomatic VF prior to the 2 year assessment were commenced on intravenous bisphosphonate therapy. As such, bone density data from these 6 boys were excluded from follow-up comparisons.

207 LS BMAD Z-scores did not change over time and did not differ between the treatment groups. In

208 contrast, Z-scores for TBLH BMD, TotBMD and TrabBMD at the 4% distal radial site decreased

significantly (p<0.05) with time. However, there was no statistical interaction between GC regime and

time for any of the bone parameters (Table 2).

Similar differences were observed combining GC regimes and grouping according to fracture. There were no significant differences in LS BMAD or TBLH BMD Z-scores between those that did or did not suffer a VF. However, TotBMD and TrabBMD Z-scores at the 4% distal radius were significantly lower in boys who fractured (p<0.05), and declined (p<0.05) over time in both groups (Table 3 & Figure 2b).

215

# 216 **4. Discussion**

This study found that boys on the DAILY GC regime suffered significantly more VFs than those on the
INTERMITTENT GC regimen but more tended to be ambulant at the end of the observation period.
DAILY boys were also shorter, grew less and had greater BMI.

220 Despite the striking differences in VFs there were no differences in BMAD between DAILY and

221 INTERMITTENT GC regimes either at baseline or over the duration of follow-up. Similarly, in the

whole group, there were also no differences in BMAD between boys with VFs and those without. Thus

BMAD, in DMD boys treated with GC, does not appear to be a predictive marker of vertebral fracture.

This supports observations in children with nephrotic syndrome [24] but contrasts findings from the

225 STOPP study in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, nephrotic syndrome and rheumatoid

- arthritis, where low areal BMD was a significant predictor of VFs [25, 26]. The lumbar spine BMAD
- 227 may not be useful for differentiating boys with and without VFs in DMD.

228 Distal radial total and trabecular bone density measured by pQCT were not different between GC regimes at baseline. However, in contrast to BMAD, the pQCT density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>) Z-scores decreased 229 230 over time. We postulate that the differences between BMAD and pQCT distal radial densities may be 231 due to the higher amount of trabecular bone in the pQCT measured distal radial parameters and the 232 greater sensitivity of pQCT to detect trabecular changes. Adult studies indicate that GC have a 233 predilection to affect the more metabolically active trabecular bone [27]. If this holds true for children, 234 it would explain why reductions in trabecular rich sites as measured by pQCT are more sensitive to 235 change than the lumbar spine.

236 It is generally assumed that BMAD reflects predominantly trabecular bone density of the spine; 237 however, because DXA measures the whole projection, at the lumbar spine it invariably includes the 238 cortical spinous processes. The combination of trabecular bone in the vertebral body with the cortical 239 bone from the spinous processes may mask any changes within the trabecular compartment arising 240 from GC and progressive immobility of the DMD boys. Although DXA remains the preferred method of 241 bone health assessment in children [28], it is well known that areal bone mineral density, as 242 measured by DXA, is significantly affected by bone size. Children with reduced stature and hence 243 reduced bone size will have spuriously low areal bone mineral density [29]. In our group of boys the 244 mean Z score for height, in both groups at the start of the assessment was reduced. However, boys 245 on the DAILY regime were already significantly shorter at baseline and grew less than the intermittent 246 group. To overcome the known pitfall of bone size in DXA imaging, we used the bone mineral 247 apparent density (BMAD) to assess their bone changes [28]. However, even though BMAD reduces 248 the size influence it is only an approximation for true volumetric bone density. As such, quantitative 249 computed tomography (QCT) of the lumbar spine may be a more appropriate lumbar spine bone 250 density technique to apply in children with DMD. We speculate that volumetric BMD by spine QCT 251 may a better predictor of vertebral fracture. Longitudinal studies are needed to answer this question 252 [30].

Our pragmatic study has a number of shortcomings. The data on ambulatory status was gathered
from review of patient's records. Since patients were reviewed in at roughly 6 monthly intervals at
both centres accurate documentation of exact time of loss of ambulation was not always possible and
would often rely on parent recalling this information. Again the information on GC dose was estimated

257 from patient records. There were some differences in the annual bone heath assessments. Most 258 notably bone density measures were acquired on different bone densitometers. However, the 259 machine differences were minimised by using Z-scores from a robust reference dataset [22]. There 260 were also differences in the imaging type from which vertebral fractures were assessed annually but 261 again these differences were minimised by reviewing the images and consensus reporting by 2 262 investigators experienced in vertebral morphometry (JEA & NJC). It is feasible that the assessment of 263 bone density may be affected by differences in adiposity. Experimental work with phantoms has 264 shown that increasing adiposity increases the detected bone area and consequently results in 265 artificially lower bone density values [31]. However, these measurement errors have not been 266 quantified in children and as such it is difficult to assess the true impact they have on our different 267 treatment groups with statistically different levels of adiposity. Consequently, further work on the 268 relationship between lumbar spine BMAD and vertebral fracture is required as this study was not 269 powered to statistically evaluate changes in bone density.

### 270 **5. Conclusion**

In summary, boys on a daily GC regimen tend to remain ambulant longer but at the cost of
significantly more VFs, greater adiposity and markedly diminished growth. In contrast, boys on the
intermittent GC regimen had fewer fractures but tended to lose ambulation earlier. In both groups, LS
BMAD was a poor predictor of VFs. The high prevalence of VF, and the limited value of DXA to
predict VF, suggests the need for VF screening as part of the boys routine bone health assessments.
Finally, the decline in volumetric bone density as measured by peripheral QCT may be a more
sensitive measure of bone loss and vertebral fracture risk.

### 278 6. Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Mike Machin for data collation, and to Dee Chapman, Jacky Walford, JoanneAnderson for radiographic assistance with this study.

- 281 The work was carried out at the National Institute for Health Research/Wellcome Birmingham Clinical
- 282 Research Facility at Birmingham Children's Hospital (Birmingham, United Kingdom), Manchester
- 283 Royal Infirmary and Manchester Children's Hospital (Manchester, United Kingdom). The views

- 284 expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the
- 285 National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health.
- Authors contributions: Study design: NJC, MZM; Study conduct: NJC, JEA, RP, NJS, WH, HR, IH and
- 287 MZM. Data collection: NJC, MZM, JEA. Data analysis: NJC. Data interpretation: All authors. Drafting
- 288 manuscript: NJC, MZM. Revising manuscript content and approving final manuscript version: All
- authors; NJC accepts overall responsibility for data integrity.

290

# 292 **7. References**

- Bushby, K., Finkel, R., Birnkrant, D.J., Case, L.E., Clemens, P.R., Cripe, L., Kaul, A., Kinnett, K.,
   McDonald, C., Pandya, S., Poysky, J., Shapiro, F., Tomezsko, J., Constantin, C., and Group,
   D.M.D.C.C.W., Diagnosis and management of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, part 1:
   diagnosis, and pharmacological and psychosocial management. *Lancet Neurol*, 2010. 9(1): p.
   77-93.DOI: 10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70271-6.
- Moxley, R.T., 3rd, Pandya, S., Ciafaloni, E., Fox, D.J., and Campbell, K., Change in natural history of Duchenne muscular dystrophy with long-term corticosteroid treatment: implications for management. *J Child Neurol*, 2010. **25**(9): p. 1116-29.DOI: 10.1177/0883073810371004.
- Matthews, E., Brassington, R., Kuntzer, T., Jichi, F., and Manzur, A.Y., Corticosteroids for the treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2016(5): p.
   CD003725.DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003725.pub4.
- Ricotti, V., Ridout, D.A., Scott, E., Quinlivan, R., Robb, S.A., Manzur, A.Y., Muntoni, F., and NorthStar Clinical, N., Long-term benefits and adverse effects of intermittent versus daily glucocorticoids in boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 2013. **84**(6): p. 698-705.DOI: 10.1136/jnnp-2012-303902.
- 3095.Wood, C.L., Straub, V., Guglieri, M., Bushby, K., and Cheetham, T., Short stature and pubertal310delay in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Arch Dis Child, 2016. 101(1): p. 101-6.DOI:31110.1136/archdischild-2015-308654.
- Bianchi, M.L., Mazzanti, A., Galbiati, E., Saraifoger, S., Dubini, A., Cornelio, F., and Morandi,
   L., Bone mineral density and bone metabolism in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Osteoporos Int*, 2003. **14**(9): p. 761-7.DOI: 10.1007/s00198-003-1443-y.
- 315 7. King, W.M., Ruttencutter, R., Nagaraja, H.N., Matkovic, V., Landoll, J., Hoyle, C., Mendell, 316 J.R., and Kissel, J.T., Orthopedic outcomes of long-term daily corticosteroid treatment in Neurology, 317 Duchenne muscular dystrophy. 2007. **68**(19): p. 1607-13.DOI: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000260974.41514.83. 318
- 3198.Larson, C.M. and Henderson, R.C., Bone mineral density and fractures in boys with320Duchenne muscular dystrophy. J Pediatr Orthop, 2000. 20(1): p. 71-4.
- Ma, J., McMillan, H.J., Karaguzel, G., Goodin, C., Wasson, J., Matzinger, M.A., DesClouds, P.,
   Cram, D., Page, M., Konji, V.N., Lentle, B., and Ward, L.M., The time to and determinants of
   first fractures in boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Osteoporos Int*, 2017. 28(2): p.
   597-608.DOI: 10.1007/s00198-016-3774-5.
- 32510.Singh, A., Schaeffer, E.K., and Reilly, C.W., Vertebral Fractures in Duchenne Muscular326Dystrophy Patients Managed With Deflazacort. J Pediatr Orthop, 2016.DOI:32710.1097/BPO.0000000000817.
- Houde, S., Filiatrault, M., Fournier, A., Dube, J., D'Arcy, S., Berube, D., Brousseau, Y.,
  Lapierre, G., and Vanasse, M., Deflazacort use in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: an 8-year
  follow-up. *Pediatr Neurol*, 2008. **38**(3): p. 200-6.DOI: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2007.11.001.
- Mayo, A.L., Craven, B.C., McAdam, L.C., and Biggar, W.D., Bone health in boys with
   Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy on long-term daily deflazacort therapy. *Neuromuscul Disord*,
   2012. 22(12): p. 1040-5.DOI: 10.1016/j.nmd.2012.06.354.
- Hogler, W. and Ward, L., Osteoporosis in Children with Chronic Disease. *Endocr Dev*, 2015.
   28: p. 176-95.DOI: 10.1159/000381045.
- 33614.Bianchi, M.L., Morandi, L., Andreucci, E., Vai, S., Frasunkiewicz, J., and Cottafava, R., Low337bone density and bone metabolism alterations in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: response338to calcium and vitamin D treatment. Osteoporos Int, 2011. 22(2): p. 529-39.DOI:33910.1007/s00198-010-1275-5.

- 34015.NHS Health Research Authority. Determine whether your study is research. 2016 [cited3412017 1st August 2017]; Available from: <a href="http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determine-whether-your-study-is-research/">http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-</a>342you-apply/determine-whether-your-study-is-research/.
- Cole, T.J., Freeman, J.V., and Preece, M.A., British 1990 growth reference centiles for weight,
   height, body mass index and head circumference fitted by maximum penalized likelihood.
   Statistics in Medicine, 1998. 17: p. 407-429.
- Adiotomre, E., Summers, L., Allison, A., Walters, S.J., Digby, M., Broadley, P., Lang, I.,
  Morrison, G., Bishop, N., Arundel, P., and Offiah, A.C., Diagnostic accuracy of DXA compared
  to conventional spine radiographs for the detection of vertebral fractures in children. *Eur Radiol*, 2016.DOI: 10.1007/s00330-016-4556-3.
- 350 18. Crabtree, N.J., Chapman, S., Hogler, W., Hodgson, K., Chapman, D., Bebbington, N., and 351 Shaw, N.J., Vertebral fractures assessment in children: Evaluation of DXA imaging versus 352 conventional radiography. 2017. spine Bone, **97**: p. 168-174.DOI: 353 10.1016/j.bone.2017.01.006.
- 35419.Genant, H.K., Faulkner, K.G., Gluer, C.-C., and Engelke, K., Bone densitometry: current355assessment. Osteoporosis International, 1993. Suppl. 1: p. S91-S97.
- 35620.Adiotomre, E., Summers, L., Allison, A., Walters, S.J., Digby, M., Broadley, P., Lang, I., and357Offiah, A.C., Diagnosis of vertebral fractures in children: is a simplified algorithm-based358qualitative technique reliable? *Pediatr Radiol*, 2016. **46**(5): p. 680-8.DOI: 10.1007/s00247-359015-3537-z.
- 36021.Carter, D.R., Bouxsein, M.L., and Marcus, R., New approaches for interpreting projected361bone densitometry data. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, 1992. 7(2): p. 137-145.
- Crabtree, N.J., Shaw, N.J., Bishop, N.J., Adams, J.E., Mughal, M.Z., Arundel, P., Fewtrell, M.S.,
  Ahmed, S.F., Treadgold, L.A., Hogler, W., Bebbington, N.A., Ward, K.A., and Team, A.S.,
  Amalgamated Reference Data for Size-Adjusted Bone Densitometry Measurements in 3598
  Children and Young Adults-the ALPHABET Study. *J Bone Miner Res*, 2016.DOI:
  10.1002/jbmr.2935.
- Rauch, F. and Schoenau, E., Peripheral quantitative computed tomography of the proximal
   radius in young subjects--new reference data and interpretation of results. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact*, 2008. 8(3): p. 217-26.
- Sbrocchi, A.M., Rauch, F., Matzinger, M., Feber, J., and Ward, L.M., Vertebral fractures
  despite normal spine bone mineral density in a boy with nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol*, 2011. **26**(1): p. 139-42.DOI: 10.1007/s00467-010-1652-5.
- Halton, J., Gaboury, I., Grant, R., Alos, N., Cummings, E.A., Matzinger, M., Shenouda, N.,
  Lentle, B., Abish, S., Atkinson, S., Cairney, E., Dix, D., Israels, S., Stephure, D., Wilson, B., Hay,
  J., Moher, D., Rauch, F., Siminoski, K., and Ward, L.M., Advanced vertebral fracture among
  newly diagnosed children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of the Canadian
  Steroid-Associated Osteoporosis in the Pediatric Population (STOPP) research program. J *Bone Miner Res*, 2009. 24(7): p. 1326-34.DOI: 10.1359/jbmr.090202
- 379 10.1359/jbmr.090202 [pii].
- Huber, A.M., Gaboury, I., Cabral, D.A., Lang, B., Ni, A., Stephure, D., Taback, S., Dent, P.,
  Ellsworth, J., LeBlanc, C., Saint-Cyr, C., Scuccimarri, R., Hay, J., Lentle, B., Matzinger, M.,
  Shenouda, N., Moher, D., Rauch, F., Siminoski, K., Ward, L.M., and Canadian SteroidAssociated Osteoporosis in the Pediatric Population, C., Prevalent vertebral fractures among
  children initiating glucocorticoid therapy for the treatment of rheumatic disorders. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*, 2010. **62**(4): p. 516-26.DOI: 10.1002/acr.20171.
- Van Staa, T.P., Leufkens, H.G., Abenhaim, L., Zhang, B., and Cooper, C., Use of oral
   corticosteroids and risk of fractures. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 2000. 15(6): p.
   993-1000.
- Crabtree, N.J., Arabi, A., Bachrach, L.K., Fewtrell, M., El-Hajj Fuleihan, G., Kecskemethy, H.H.,
   Jaworski, M., Gordon, C.M., and International Society for Clinical, D., Dual-energy X-ray

- 391absorptiometry interpretation and reporting in children and adolescents: the revised 2013392ISCD Pediatric Official Positions. J Clin Densitom, 2014. 17(2): p. 225-42.DOI:39310.1016/j.jocd.2014.01.003.
- Fewtrell, M., Ahmed, S.F., Allgrove, J., Bishop, N.J., Crabtree, N.J., Gregory, J.W., Mughal,
  M.Z., Ryan, P.J., Shaw, N.J., Smith, C.M., and Ward, K.A., Bone densitometry in children
  assessed by dual X-ray absorptiometry: uses and pitfalls. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*,
  2003. 88(9): p. 795-8.
- 398 30. Adams, J.E., Engelke, K., Zemel, B.S., Ward, K.A., and International Society of Clinical, D.,
  399 Quantitative computer tomography in children and adolescents: the 2013 ISCD Pediatric
  400 Official Positions. *J Clin Densitom*, 2014. **17**(2): p. 258-74.DOI: 10.1016/j.jocd.2014.01.006.
- Tothill, P., Laskey, M.A., Orphanidiou, C.I., and Van Wilk, M., Anomalies in dual energy X-ray
  absorptiometry measurements of total-body bone mineral during weight change using
  Lunar, Hologic and Norland instruments. *The British Journal of Radiology*, 1999. **72**: p. 661669.

405

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of the DMD boys in each GC regimen (Mean (SD))

	DAILY Steroid	INTERMITTENT	Difference	
	Regime	Steroid Regime	p value	
	(n = 25)	(n = 25)		
	8.1	8.5	NS	
Age (years)	(2.3)	(2.7)		
Height SDS	-1.4	-0.8	0.04	
Height 505	(0.9)	(1.0)	0.04	
Weight SDS	0.3	0.1	NS	
Weight ODO	(0.9)	(1.1)	NO	
BMI SDS	1.5	0.8	0.01	
	(0.9)	(1.0)	0.01	
Age started alucocorticoids	6.0	6.5	NS	
Age started glococorticolds	(1.9)	(1.8)		
Duration of Staroids (vears)	2.1	2.0	NS	
	(1.6)	(2.2)		
Cumulative alucecerticoid dese (mg)	11278	6397	0.02	
Cumulative glucoconticolu dose (mg)	(7248)	(7543)	0.02	
Lumbar Spine BMAD (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-0.6	-0.3	NS	
Z-Score	(0.7)	(1.0)		
Total Body Less Head BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	-2.3	-1.8	NC	
Z-Score	(1.2)	(0.8)	INO	
4% Distal Radius Total Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-0.5	-0.8	NS	
Z-Score	(1.5)	(1.2)		
4% Distal Radius Trabecular Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-1.1	-1.3	NS	
Z-Score	(1.5)	(1.2)		
Number of boys with long bone fractures	1	3		
Number of boys with vertebral fractures	1	0		

### **Note:**

410 Abbreviation: BMAD Bone Mineral Apparent Density

412 Table 2 Mean (SD) Bone density Z-scores of DMD boys on DAILY and INTERMITTENT steroid
413 regimen (excluding 6 boys who started bisphosphonate treatment due to symptomatic vertebral
414 fractures)

#### 415

	DAILY Steroid Regime			INTERMITTENT Steroid Regime			
Z-Scores	Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	
	n = 19	n = 19	n = 19	n = 25	n = 25	n = 25	
Lumbar Spine	-0.6	-0.6	-0.4	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	
BMAD	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.9)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.1)	
*Total Body Less	-2.3	-2.4	-3.1	-1.8	-1.9	-2.0	
Head BMD	(1.2)	(1.5)	(1.3)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(0.9)	
*4% Distal Radius	-0.2	-1.0	-1.0	-0.8	-1.2	-1.4	
Total Density	(1.3)	(1.4)	(1.4)	(1.2)	(1.0)	(1.2)	
*4% Distal Radius	-0.9	-1.2	-1.9	-1.3	-1.5	-1.8	
Trabecular Density	(1.4)	(1.0)	(1.3)	(1.2)	(1.1)	(1.2)	

416 **Note:** 

### 417 Abbreviation: BMAD Bone Mineral Apparent Density

418 \* Significant change over time (p<0.05) but no significant interaction between GC regime and bone

419 density over time.

420

421

Table 3 Mean (SD) Bone density Z-scores of boys with and without vertebral fractures at the end of
the study (excluding 6 boys who started bisphosphonate treatment due to symptomatic vertebral
fractures)

#### 426

	No Vertebral Fractures			Vertebral Fractures		
Z-Scores	Baseline (n = 38)	Year 1 (n = 38)	Year 2 (n = 38)	Baseline (n = 6)	Year 1 (n = 6)	Year 2 (n = 6)
Lumbar Spine BMAD	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.4	-0.6	-0.7
	(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(1.4)	(1.4)	(1.6)
Total Body Less Head	-1.8	-2.0	-2.3	-2.7	-2.7	-3.2
BMD	(0.9)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(1.0)	(0.9)	(1.1)
*4% Distal Radius	-0.5	-0.9	-1.1	-1.2	-2.2	-2.3
Total Density	(1.3)	(0.7)	(1.3)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.6)
*4% Distal Radius	-1.0	-1.3	-1.7	-2.1	-2.0	-2.6
Trabecular Density	(1.2)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(0.8)	(1.3)

427 Note:

### 428 Abbreviation: BMAD Bone Mineral Apparent Density

429 \*Significantly different at baseline and significant change over time (p<0.05) but no significant

430 interaction between fracture and bone density over time.

431

432

433

#### 435 Figure Legends

436

**Figure 1** Changes in ambulation over time (a). There was a trend for more boys on the DAILY GC regime (20%) (Black boxes) to remain ambulant after two years than boys on the INTERMITTENT (40%) (Grey boxes). Occurrences of vertebral fracture (b). Significantly more vertebral fractures were reported in boys on the DAILY regime (40%) (Black boxes) than the INTERMITTENT regime (8%) (Grey boxes) ( $\chi^2$ ; p = 0.008).

442

Figure 2 Bone density differences between the vertebral fracture group (n= 6, black circles) and non-vertebral fracture group (n= 38 grey squares). (a) Demonstrates no significant difference in LSBMAD Z-scores between groups (n= 38). In contrast, (b) demonstrates the reduction in baseline TotBMD Z-scores at the 4% distal radius for DMD boys who suffered a vertebral fracture and their greater drop in TotBMD Z-scores over time, as measured by pQCT.