UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

The utility of routine surveillance screening with magnetic resonance imaging to detect tumour recurrence/progression in children with high-grade central nervous system tumours

Stevens, Simon; Main, Caroline; Bailey, S; Pizer, Barry; English, Martin; Phillips, Bob; Peet, Andrew; Avula, Shivaram; Wilne, Sophie; Wheatley, Keith; Kearns, Pamela; Wilson, Jayne

DOI: 10.1002/pbc.27509

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Stevens, S, Main, C, Bailey, S, Pizer, B, English, M, Phillips, B, Peet, A, Avula, S, Wilne, S, Wheatley, K, Kearns, P & Wilson, J 2018, 'The utility of routine surveillance screening with magnetic resonance imaging to detect tumour recurrence/progression in children with high-grade central nervous system tumours', *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.27509

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Checked for eligibility: 20/11/2018

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Stevens SP, Main C, Bailey S, et al. The utility of routine surveillance screening with magnetic resonance imaging to detect tumor recurrence/progression in children with high-grade central nervous system tumors: a systematic review. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2018;e27509., which has been published in final form at: https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.27509. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 20. Apr. 2024

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE S1 Search strategy

Searches for published studies were undertaken using the following databases: MEDLINE (OvidSP); MEDLINE In-Process Citations & Daily Update (OvidSP); EMBASE (OvidSP); Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)(Wiley); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)(Wiley); CINAHL Plus (EBSCO); DARE (CRD website); HTA (CRD website).

The search strategy for Medline (OvidSP) 1985 – August week 1, 2018, is as follows:

1. Glioma/ or Brain Neoplasms/ or Meningioma/ or Glioblastoma/ or Astrocytoma/

2. ((brain or brainstem or intracranial or posterior fossa) adj3 (cancer* or carcinom* or tumor* or tumour* or neoplasm*)).mp.

3. (Astrocytoma* or Brain Stem Glioma* or Medulloblastoma*or Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumo?r* or ganglioneuroblastoma* or CNS neuroblastoma* or Ependymoblastoma or Medulloepithelioma or Pineal Parenchymal Tumour* or (Atypical Teratoid adj1 tumo?r*) or Oligoastrocytoma or ((Pilocytic or Gemistocytic) adj1 astrocytoma*) or ependymoma or primitive neuroectal tumo?r*).mp.

4. (((Diffuse fibrillary or Gemistocytic or Pilocytic Pilomyxoid Protoplasmic Subependymal giant cell) adj1 astrocytoma*) or Oligoastrocytoma or Oligodendroglioma or Oligoastrocytoma or Pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma or ((astrocytoma or oligoastrocytoma or oligodendroglioma) adj1 astrocytoma*) or Glioblastoma or Gliomatosis cerebri or Gliosarcoma or ((diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma or low grade brain stem) adj1 glioma) or ((classic or desmoplastic or nodular or large cell or nodularity) adj1 medulloblastoma*) or Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumo?r* or ((ganglioneuroblastoma or neuroblastoma) adj1 central nervous system*) or Ependymoblastoma or Pineoblastoma or pineal parenchymal tumo?r* or (central nervous system adj1 atypical teratoid) or (central nervous system adj1 rhabdoid tumo?r*) or Germinomas or ((immature or malignant transformation) adj2 teratomas)).mp.

5. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4

6. exp Surgical Procedures, Operative/

7. surg*.mp.

8. debulk*.mp.

9. cytoreduc*.mp.

10. 6 or 7 or 8 or 9

11. (chemotherap* or antineoplastic agents or cytotoxic or alkylating agents or nitrosoureas or antimetabolite* or antitumor?r or ((antibod* or monoclonal) adj 3 Human*) or plant alkyloid* or (hormone* adj 1 agent*) or anthracycline* * or systemic therap*).mp.

12. (Everolimus or Afinitor or Cetuximab or Erbitux or Bevacizumab or Avastin or Cediranib or Recentin or lomustine or CCNU or CeeNU or carmustine or BiCNU or Carustine or Ethylnitrosourea or Streptozocin or Sorafenib or Nexavar or tipifarnib or Zarnestra or Erlotinib or Tarceva or Sorafenib or Nexavar or temsirolimus or Torisel or Sunitinib or Sutent or irinotecan or Camptosar or Campto or Vandetanib or Caprelsa or Cabozantinib or Cometriq or XL184 or Axitinib or AG013736 or Inlyta).mp.

13. 11 or 12

14. exp Immunotherapy/ae, cl, ct, mt, mo, nu, px, st

15. exp Genetic Therapy/ae, cl, ct, mt, mo, nu, ut

16. exp Imaging, Three-Dimensional/ or exp Whole Body Imaging/ or exp Magnetic Resonance Imaging/

17. exp Tomography, Emission-Computed/ or exp Four-Dimensional Computed Tomography/ or exp

Tomography/ or exp Tomography, Emission-Computed, Single-Photon/ or exp Positron-Emission Tomography/ 18. 16 or 17

19. (radiation therapy or radiotherap* or intensity modulat* radiotherapy*or radiosurgery or radiation oncology or reduced boost volume radiotherap* or hyper fractionat* stereotactic radiotherap*or adjuvant radiotherap* or body radiotherap* stereotactic*or computer assisted radiotherap*or computer assisted radiotherap* or high energy radiotherap* or dosage* radiotherap* or dose fractionation* radiotherap* or high energy radiotherap*or implant radiotherap*or intensity or modulated radiotherap*or interstitial radiotherap*orimage guided radiotherap*or stereotactic*guid* radiotherap* or local therap* or proton therap* or proton adj2 therap* or proton adj2 radiotherap* or proton adj2 radiotherap* or proton adj2 radiotherap* or proton adj2 irradia* or PBT).mp.

20. 10 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 18 or 19

21.5 and 20

22. (Response or overall survival or progression* free survival or event* free survival or time to recurrence or time to progression or disease* free interval* or endocrinopath* or ((growth or thyroid) adj 1 hormone adj 3 deficienc*) or ((glucocorticoid or gonadotropin) adj 3 deficienc*) or endocrine dysfuct* or (cardiac function* adj 3 impair*) or ataxia or spastic paresis or visual dysfunction or epilepsy or hemiparesis or neurolog* deficit*).mp.

23. 21 and 22

24. limit 23 to (yr="1985 -Current" and ("newborn infant (birth to 1 month)" or "infant (1 to 23 months)" or "preschool child (2 to 5 years)" or "child (6 to 12 years)" or "adolescent (13 to 18 years)" or "young adult (19 to 24 years)") and humans)

Title: The utility of routine surveillance screening with magnetic resonance imaging to detect tumour recurrence/progression in children with high-grade central nervous system tumours: a systematic review Authors: Stevens SP, Main C, Bailey S, Pizer B, Peet A, Avula S, English M, Phillips B, Wheatley K, Kearns PR, Wilne S, Wilson JS

Corresponding author: Ms Jayne Wilson Email: j.s.wilson.1@bham.ac.uk Tel: +44 (0)121 414 9273

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE S2 Data extraction and quality assessment proforma

Study demographics	
Study Name / ID:	
Investigator group/study name:	
Hospital and country conducted:	
Single or multi-institutional study:	
Study title:	
Study objectives:	
Publication status of paper:	
Is the study linked to other papers? (state):	
Study design:	
Years of study:	
Overall trial N:	
Population / Study eligbility	
General tumour type(s):	
Tumour subtype(s) (N) :	
Tumour location:	
Tumour grade:	
Age: (median, range: mean; SD):	
Male: Female N (%)	
Inclusion criteria:	
Exclusion criteria:	
Baseline data	
Previous treatments:	
Response after previous treatments:	
In the case of surgery, extent of resection:	
Imaging modality used to determine post-operative	
status:	
Intervention(s)	
Intervention:	
MRI protocol and planning (including plane(s),	
weighting and contrast enhancement)	
Treatment intent (curative or palliative)	
Concomitant therapy:	
Outcome definitions:-	
Post-operative MRI scan:	
Surveillance MRI scan:	
Symptomatic:	
Asymptomatic:	
Definition of (radiographic) recurrence / progression:	
Overall survival (OS):	
Event-free survival (EFS):	
Recurrence-free survival (RFS):	
Progression-free survival (PFS):	
Measurement of tumour response:	
Short-term adverse events (toxicity)	
Long-term adverse events	
Results	
N in analysis:	
Average duration of follow-up (range):	
Average frequency of MRI surveillance imaging	

(range):	
Average number of surveillance MRI images per	
patient (range):	
Patients with Recurrent disease (n):	
Average age at recurrence (range):	
Average time-to-recurrence / progression (range):	
Frequency of MRI-detected recurrence / detection rate:	
Changes in patient treatment due to recurrence:	
Median survival:	
Overall survival (OS):	
Event-free survival (EFS):	
Recurrence-free survival (RFS):	
Progression-free survival (PFS):	
Tumour response rates:	
Short-term adverse events (toxicity)	
Long-term adverse events	
Quality of survival:	
Measures of family psychological functioning and	
anxiety:	
Analysis	
Methods of analysis (ITT or per protocol):	
Statistical tests used (state; comment)	
Conclusions	
Authors conclusions:	
Reviewers conclusions:	

Stuc	ly Quality Assessment	Y/N
1.	Is the study based on a representative sample selected from the relevant population?	
2.	Are the criteria for inclusion explicit?	
3.	Were all individuals who entered the study, at a similar timepoint in their disease progression?	
4.	Was follow-up long enough for important outcomes to occur?	
5.	Were outcomes assessed using objective criteria or was blinding used?	
6.	If comparisons of sub-series are being made, was there sufficient description of the series and distribution of prognostic factors?	
Rev	ewer comments:	

Title: The utility of routine surveillance screening with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to detect tumour recurrence/progression in children with high-grade central nervous system (CNS) tumours: a systematic review Authors: Stevens SP, Main C, Bailey S, Pizer B, Peet A, Avula S, English M, Phillips B, Wheatley K, Kearns PR, Wilne S, Wilson JS

Corresponding author: Ms Jayne Wilson Email: j.s.wilson.1@bham.ac.uk Tel: +44 (0)121 414 9273