

Hexadirectional modulation of high-frequency electrophysiological activity in the human anterior medial temporal lobe maps visual space

Staudigl, Tobias; Leszczynski, Marcin; Jacobs, Joshua; Sheth, Sameer A.; Schroeder, Charles E.; Jensen, Ole; Doeller, Christian F.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.035)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Staudigl, T, Leszczynski, M, Jacobs, J, Sheth, SA, Schroeder, CE, Jensen, O & Doeller, CF 2018, 'Hexadirectional modulation of high-frequency electrophysiological activity in the human anterior medial temporal lobe maps visual space', *Current Biology*, vol. 28, no. 20, pp. 3325-3329.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.035>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.












Figure S1. Intracranial data. Related to Figure 2.

(A-C) Hexadirectional signal in intracranial entorhinal data. To investigate the possible electrophysiological origin and spatial specificity of the MEG results, we analyzed intracranial data recorded from the entorhinal cortex of an epilepsy patient, while the patient was performing a free viewing task. As for the MEG data, intracranial data were aligned to saccade onsets and re-referenced using a bipolar montage to provide high spatial specificity with respect to the underlying electric source. BHA power (60-120 Hz) was extracted during saccadic eye movements and the hexadirectional analysis approach was applied (see Figure 1c) (A) Electrode position. The red crosshair indicates the electrode position in the left entorhinal cortex (highlighted). (B) BHA power difference (aligned vs. misaligned, 60-120 Hz, 6-fold symmetry, bar plot) in the entorhinal electrode is significantly higher ($p < .011$) than the distribution of surrogate BHA power differences, replicating the MEG findings. (C) 6-fold symmetric modulation of the BHA power, visualizing the effect in (B), indicated that the effect is not driven by a single direction.

(D) Control periodicities in intracranial entorhinal data. Biological implausible rotational symmetries do not show higher BHA power (60-120 Hz) for aligned versus misaligned saccade directions (4-fold: $p = .7135$; 5-fold: $p = .5753$; 7-fold: $p = .9573$; 8-fold: $p = .9868$; one-sided tests). Bars represent BHA difference, (aligned vs. misaligned), histograms the respective distribution of surrogate BHA power differences.

(E-G) Intracranial control analyses. (E) Electrode position. The red crosshair indicates the electrode position in the left amygdala, adjacent to but clearly outside the entorhinal cortex (highlighted). (F) BHA power difference (aligned vs. misaligned, 60-120 Hz, 6-fold symmetry, bar plot) in the amygdala electrode (bipolar montage) is not significantly higher ($p > .36$) than the distribution of surrogate BHA power differences, confirming the spatial specificity of the hexadirectional modulation of BHA found in the entorhinal cortex. (G) 6-fold symmetric modulation of the BHA power, visualizing the effect in (F). The difference between the hexadirectional modulation of BHA in entorhinal cortex and amygdala was significantly higher than expected by chance ($p < .013$) when compared to a distribution of surrogate BHA power differences.




Figure S2. Hexadirectional modulation of saccade directions. Related to Figure 2. There was no significant difference in number of saccades aligned to the putative grid orientation versus number of saccades misaligned for 4-, 5-, 6-, 7- and 8-fold rotational symmetries (all t 's > -1.6 and < 0 , all p 's $> .1$). The estimation of the hexadirectional modulation of the saccade direction followed a two-step procedure (see Figure 1c): First, the putative grid orientation was estimated on one half of the trials. BHA MEG activity during visual exploration in the left anterior temporal lobe was used during this step (analogous to the main analysis). Second, the number of saccades aligned and misaligned to the putative grid orientation were summed. The procedure was repeated with inversed assignment of data sets to the two steps, and the number of aligned and misaligned saccade directions was averaged across the repetitions (two-fold cross-validation design).