

# An exploration of the longitudinal relation between parental feeding practices and child anthropometric adiposity measures from the West Midlands Active Lifestyle and Healthy Eating in Schoolchildren (WAVES) Study

WAVES Study Investigators; Pallan, Miranda

DOI:

[10.1093/ajcn/nqy241](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy241)

License:

None: All rights reserved

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

WAVES Study Investigators & Pallan, M 2018, 'An exploration of the longitudinal relation between parental feeding practices and child anthropometric adiposity measures from the West Midlands Active Lifestyle and Healthy Eating in Schoolchildren (WAVES) Study', *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, vol. 108, no. 6, pp. 1316–1323. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy241>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

## **Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* following peer review. The version of record Kiya L Hurley, Miranda J Pallan, Emma R Lancashire, Peymane Adab, WAVES Study Investigators, An exploration of the longitudinal relation between parental feeding practices and child anthropometric adiposity measures from the West Midlands Active Lifestyle and Healthy Eating in Schoolchildren (WAVES) Study, *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, Volume 108, Issue 6, December 2018, Pages 1316–1323, is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy241>

## **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

## **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

## Research at Birmingham

### An exploration of the longitudinal relationship between parental feeding practices and child anthropometric adiposity measures from the WAVES study

Hurley, Kiya; Pallan, Miranda; Lancashire, Emma; Adab, Peymane

#### *Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

#### *Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Hurley, K, Pallan, M, Lancashire, E & Adab, P 2018, 'An exploration of the longitudinal relationship between parental feeding practices and child anthropometric adiposity measures from the WAVES study' *The American journal of clinical nutrition*.

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# An exploration of the longitudinal relationship between parental feeding practices and child anthropometric adiposity measures from the WAVES study

---

Dr. Kiya L. Hurley, Dr. Miranda J. Pallan, Dr. Emma R. Lancashire, and Prof. Peymane Adab, And on behalf of the WAVES study investigators.

**Affiliation:** Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. (Authors affiliated: KLH, MJP, ERL, and PA)

**Author Last Names:** Hurley, Pallan, Lancashire, Adab

**Sources of Support and Disclaimer:** This project was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme (project number 06/85/11). KLH is funded by the National Institute for Health Research, Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care West Midlands (NIHR CLAHRC WM). The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the HTA, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health. The funders have played no role in the design of the study or collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, nor in the writing of the manuscript and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Corresponding author:** Dr. Miranda J. Pallan, Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK., Tel: +44121 414 7990, Email: [m.j.pallan@bham.ac.uk](mailto:m.j.pallan@bham.ac.uk)

**Short running head:** Parent feeding, child eating, and weight status

**Abbreviations:**

BMI	Body Mass Index
CEBQ	Child Eating Behaviours Questionnaire
CFPQ	Comprehensive Feeding Practices Questionnaire
IMD	Index of Multiple Deprivation
WAVES	West Midlands ActiVe lifestyle and healthy Eating in School children study
UK	United Kingdom

1 *Abstract:*

2 **Background:** Some research suggests that parent/carer feeding practices may  
3 influence children's weight patterns, but longitudinal evidence is limited and  
4 inconsistent.

5 **Objective:** To investigate the relationship between various parent/carer feeding  
6 practices when a child is 7-8 years and proxy measurements of child adiposity at 8-9  
7 years (weight status, waist-to-height ratio, and body fat percentage).

8 **Design:** Secondary analysis of data from the West Midlands Active lifestyle and  
9 healthy Eating in School children (WAVES) study comprising a diverse sample of  
10 parents/carers and their children from 54 primary schools in the West Midlands,  
11 England (n= 774 parent-child dyads (53% of the WAVES study sample)). Information  
12 on feeding practices was collected using subscales from Comprehensive Feeding  
13 Practices Questionnaire, completed by the child's main parent/carer (self-defined).  
14 Child height, weight, body fat percentage, and waist circumference were measured  
15 and converted into three proxy measurements of adiposity (weight status, waist-to-  
16 height ratio, and body fat percentage). Associations between these measurements  
17 and parent/carer feeding practices were examined using mixed-effects logistic  
18 regression models.

19 **Results:** Of the questionnaire respondents, 80% were mothers, 16% were fathers  
20 and 4% other carers. Median standardised subscale scores ranged from 1.7  
21 (Interquartile Range=1.0; (emotion regulation)) to 4.0 (Interquartile Range =1.5;  
22 (monitoring and modelling)) and significantly different subscale scores were present  
23 between child weight statuses for emotion regulation, pressure-to-eat, and restriction

24 for weight control. Logistic regression modelling showed that when baseline  
25 adiposity measures were included as covariates, all associations between parental  
26 feeding practices at age 7-8 years and measures of adiposity at age 8-9 years were  
27 attenuated.

28 **Conclusions:** Observed relationships between various parental feeding practices  
29 and later are mitigated by inclusion of the baseline adiposity measure. This finding  
30 lends support to the theory of reverse causation, whereby the child's size may  
31 influence parental choice of specific feeding practices, rather than the child's  
32 subsequent weight status being a consequence of these feeding practices.

### 33 **Introduction:**

34 Excess weight in children is an important public health concern, with adverse  
35 physical and psychosocial consequences in childhood, and increased risk of  
36 morbidity and mortality in later life (1, 2). Two recent reviews have highlighted that  
37 common environmental factors, such as parent feeding practices, have a substantial  
38 effect on Body Mass Index (BMI) from childhood through to adolescence (3) and that  
39 parental food habits and feeding practices are the most dominant family system  
40 determinants of children's eating habits and food choices (4). There is also evidence  
41 of 'intergenerational ripples', whereby parents develop their feeding practices based  
42 on their own childhood feeding experience (5). Therefore, understanding the effect of  
43 parental feeding practices on children's adiposity has been identified as a research  
44 priority, as it could inform the development of interventions with potential impact  
45 beyond the current generation (6).

46 Parent feeding practices relate to the specific methods and behaviours that parents  
47 employ to influence children's behaviour, health, or weight (7, 8) and are distinct  
48 from the more generalistic parent feeding style which typifies the levels of  
49 demandingness and responsiveness a parent expresses in feeding and eating  
50 interactions (9, 10). Examples of parental feeding practices include pressuring  
51 children to eat certain foods, using food as a reward, or not allowing the child to eat  
52 certain foods. Evidence from a variety of studies suggests that certain parent feeding  
53 practices are associated with child weight status. For example, restrictive feeding  
54 practices are associated with higher weight status (11-16), whilst pressure to eat is  
55 related to lower weight status (11, 15-18). However, these findings are inconsistent  
56 and sometimes conflicting (18-22), particularly in relation to other parent feeding

57 practices (for example, using food as a reward (15, 16, 19, 20)). A number of  
58 methodological limitations in previous studies constrain potential interpretation. For  
59 example, most were cross-sectional in nature, and the measures of adiposity used  
60 have been limited, with few previous studies using multiple measures such as waist-  
61 to-height ratio or body fat percentage. Additionally, previous studies rarely consider  
62 how child characteristics influence parental feeding practices. Shloim *et al.* (2015)  
63 noted in their systematic review of studies (n = 31) that, where child characteristics  
64 were measured, the parental feeding practices employed were responsive to the  
65 child. For example, more restriction was seen in children with greater adiposity or  
66 greater perceived food approach tendencies and more pressure to eat in thinner  
67 children or those perceived to be undereating (10). However, the direction of the  
68 proposed effect is still ambiguous. Therefore, it is important to consider the  
69 possibility of reverse causation, whereby parental use of specific feeding practices  
70 may be driven by a child's weight status, rather than subsequent child weight status  
71 being a consequence of them. Additionally, much of the research focus in this area  
72 has been on young children and so little is known about whether a relationship  
73 between these factors exists in older children when they begin to exert some level of  
74 autonomy over their food decisions.

75 This study investigates the relationship between parent feeding practices when  
76 children are aged 7-8 years, and their adiposity measures at 8-9 years, using a  
77 socially and ethnically diverse sample of UK families. Adiposity is assessed through  
78 the primary outcome of weight status based on BMI z-score and the secondary  
79 outcomes of waist-to-height ratio, and body fat percentage.

80



**81 Methods:**

82 We conducted a secondary analysis of data collected between 2011 and 2014 at  
83 baseline (T0: children aged 5-6 years), first (T1: children aged 7-8 years) and second  
84 (T2: children aged 8-9 years) follow-up for the **West Midlands ActiVe** lifestyle and  
85 healthy **Eating in School** children (WAVES) study; a cluster-randomised controlled  
86 trial evaluating the clinical and cost-effectiveness of an obesity prevention  
87 programme in an ethnically diverse population of children from the West Midlands,  
88 UK. National Health Service Research Ethics approval for the WAVES study was  
89 obtained from the Black Country Research Ethics Committee (NHS REC  
90 no.10/H1202/69) and the trial was registered in May 2010 (ISRCTN97000586).

91 The WAVES study cohort was recruited from 54 state-funded primary schools in the  
92 West Midlands, UK. Written informed consent was obtained from parents and verbal  
93 assent was obtained from each child prior to measurements commencing. Further  
94 information can be found in the WAVES study protocol (23).

95 Trained researchers, blind to the WAVES study trial arm allocation, measured the  
96 height, weight and waist circumference of each child in school at each time point,  
97 using validated instruments (Leicester Height Measure MK II (Harlow Healthcare,  
98 UK) and Tanita BC-420MA Class 111 Body Composition Analyser (Tanita, Japan))  
99 and standard protocols (23). Child weight status was dichotomised into individuals  
100 with overweight (including individuals with obesity) or individuals without overweight  
101 using the age and sex specific 85<sup>th</sup> centile cut-off from the UK 1990 growth reference  
102 charts (24). Waist-to-height ratio was calculated by dividing the child's waist  
103 circumference (cm) by their height (m) and dichotomised into high or low risk using a  
104 threshold of 0.5 (25, 26). Body fat percentage was calculated using bioelectrical

105 impedance (27) and was dichotomised using the age and sex specific threshold for a  
106 high body fat percentage for each child provided by Tanita® (28).

107 Data on parent feeding practices were collected through a self-administered  
108 questionnaire booklet sent home for completion by the child's main parent or carer  
109 (self-defined) at T1. Subscales of the Comprehensive Feeding Practices  
110 Questionnaire (CFPQ) were used to assess a wide range of parent feeding practices  
111 (29). The CFPQ has been shown to be valid in children up to twelve years old (22,  
112 29, 30) and in varied cultural contexts (30-32). To keep respondent burden to a  
113 minimum, only the following subscales were included in the WAVES study parent  
114 questionnaire: child control; emotion regulation; environment; food as a reward;  
115 modelling; monitoring; pressure to eat; and restriction for weight control. Minor  
116 wording changes from the original questionnaire were applied to make the tool  
117 appropriate for a UK population e.g. replacing 'Soda' with 'Fizzy pop'.

118 Likert scales ranging from one (never) to five (always) scored each item. For ease of  
119 interpretation, item scores were summed, and then divided by the number of items in  
120 the subscale. Subscale scores were not calculated if there were missing data from  
121 more than one (3-5 item scales) or two (6-8 item scales) item(s). Where subscale  
122 scores were calculated with missing data, the subscale was standardised using the  
123 completed number of items as the denominator. Questionnaire subscale response  
124 rates ranged from 89% (modelling) to 92% (emotion regulation). All questionnaire  
125 subscales had moderate to good internal consistency with Cronbach Alphas ( $\alpha$ )  
126 ranging from 0.6 (environment) to 0.9 (monitoring).

127 Parent reported home postcodes, mapped to the English Indices of Multiple  
128 Deprivation 2007 (IMD), were used as a measure of socioeconomic status (using the

129 quintile cut offs for England) (33). Child eating behaviour subscales of 'food  
130 responsiveness', 'enjoyment of food' and 'emotional over eating' were collected from  
131 the Child Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (CEBQ) embedded within the WAVES  
132 parent questionnaire booklet. Scoring of these subscales was conducted in the same  
133 manner as the CFPQ. As these three CEBQ subscales all represent eating  
134 behaviours that potentially lead to greater food intake, they were combined to create  
135 one "food approaching eating behaviour" score. Other relevant information (parent  
136 age and ethnicity (using the UK census ethnic group categories (34))) were also  
137 collected through the WAVES study parent questionnaire booklet. Where parent  
138 ethnicity was missing, child ethnicity from school records was used as a proxy.

139 Parents and children participating in the WAVES study were included in the present  
140 study if a questionnaire booklet was returned at T1 and any child anthropometric  
141 adiposity measurement (weight status, waist-to-height ratio or body fat percentage)  
142 was available at T2. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA 13 (StataCorp  
143 LP, US) and, due to multiple tests being performed, a conservative *a priori*  
144 significance level of 1% (two-sided) was utilised. Descriptive statistics to summarise  
145 participant characteristics are presented by child weight status. The internal validity  
146 of all questionnaire subscales was assessed using Cronbach Alpha.

147 To account for the clustered nature of the sample, mixed-effects logistic regression  
148 models were used to evaluate the relationship between CFPQ subscales and each  
149 anthropometric outcome measure. Three models were developed. Model 1 was  
150 adjusted only for the WAVES study trial arm allocation (fixed effect) and school  
151 attended (random effect) to account for the data being collected after delivery of the  
152 WAVES study intervention and the clustered nature of the sample. Model 2 was  
153 additionally adjusted for the sex of the child, child food approaching feeding

154 behaviour score, IMD score (deprivation index), and parent level factors (age and  
155 ethnicity). Model 3 was further adjusted for T0 values for the outcome measure (BMI  
156 z-score, waist-to-height ratio or body fat percentage) to investigate whether any  
157 associations exist independently of baseline values.

158 To consider the impact of missing data on the relationships investigated, all further  
159 adjusted models (Model 3) were repeated on a dataset where missing covariate  
160 information was imputed. Generation of imputed datasets was conducted in  
161 REALCOM-Impute (35) to account for the clustered nature of the sample, imported  
162 into STATA using the realcomImputeLoad command, and analysed in STATA 13.  
163 Generation of imputed datasets included the following incomplete variables: T2  
164 outcome of interest, T0 outcome measure, child food approaching eating behaviour  
165 composite score, parent age, parent ethnicity (White, South Asian, Black African-  
166 Caribbean and Mixed/Other ethnicities), deprivation score of household (IMD 2010).  
167 Additionally, the following complete variables were included to improve the accuracy  
168 of the imputation: sex of the child, WAVES study trial arm, school level free school  
169 meal entitlement proportion, and school level ethnic mix (White, South Asian, Black  
170 African-Caribbean and Mixed/Other ethnicities). The results of ten imputed datasets  
171 were pooled to produce imputation estimates.

## 172 **Results:**

173 There were between 716-774 parent-child dyads included in these analyses (49-53%  
174 of the WAVES study participants, **Figure 1**). Parents of White children were the most  
175 likely to respond to the questionnaire (64%) and parents of Black children were least  
176 likely to respond (44%). Additionally, there was a graded response rate across the  
177 deprivation quintiles, with the highest responses coming from the least deprived

178 quintile (75%) and the lowest from the most deprived quintile (53%). There was no  
179 difference in the response rates according to the age or sex of the child  
180 **(Supplemental Table 1)**.

181 Child and parent characteristics at T2 (aged 8-9 years) are described by child weight  
182 status in **Table 1**. Overall, 80% of responders were mothers, 16% fathers, and 4%  
183 other relatives (e.g. grandmother, stepfather, or aunt). The mean parent age was  
184 36.7 years (standard deviation (SD) 6.7 years). Additionally, almost a third of  
185 children were identified with overweight (30.6%). A slightly higher proportion of boys  
186 than girls had overweight and children of a mixed, Black or South Asian ethnicity  
187 were more likely to have overweight than White children, which is in line with  
188 England averages (36). However, there was only a significant difference in children  
189 of a Black ethnicity.

190 High median scores were seen in the parent feeding practices of monitoring and  
191 modelling (median scores 4.0 (Interquartile range (IQR) 1.5)), indicating that parents  
192 employed these practices most frequently (**Figure 2**). Significant differences  
193 between weight status groups were evident for the parent feeding practices of  
194 emotion regulation, pressure to eat, and restriction for weight control, with parents of  
195 children with overweight using more restriction and emotion regulation and less  
196 pressure to eat.

### 197 ***Association with proxy measures of child adiposity***

198 Similar patterns emerged across all proxy measurements for adiposity (**Figure 3**). In  
199 Models 1 (minimal adjustment) and 2 (which accounted for most covariates), a  
200 significantly increased risk of overweight, central adiposity, or high body fat  
201 percentage were found if parents employed restriction and a significantly decreased

202 risk if parents employed pressure to eat. However, after the inclusion of a baseline  
203 measure for the adiposity outcome being considered (Model 3), the effect sizes were  
204 reduced and these associations were no longer significant. Interestingly, a  
205 significantly lower risk of adiposity, measured by all three outcomes (risk of  
206 overweight, high waist to height ratio, or high body fat percentage), was seen with  
207 greater use of food as a reward in Model 2, however in all cases, this association  
208 was attenuated in the subsequent model that adjusted for baseline values. Multiple  
209 imputation in Model 3 generated results which were similar to the main analyses,  
210 whereby no parent feeding practice was significantly associated with any measure of  
211 overweight at the 1% level.

## 212 **Discussion**

213 The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between parental feeding  
214 practices and three proxy measures of child adiposity a year later, in an ethnically  
215 diverse sample of UK children. Although there were associations between certain  
216 parental feeding practices and measures of child adiposity, inclusion of a baseline  
217 adiposity measure attenuated the observed relationships. This finding has two  
218 potential explanations. First, it may lend support to the theory of reverse causation,  
219 whereby it is the child's level of adiposity that may lead to parental utilisation of  
220 specific feeding practices, rather than being a consequence of them. However, it  
221 may also be suggestive of a reduced impact of parental feeding practices on  
222 adiposity in older children.

223 Before adjusting for baseline values we found significant associations between  
224 'restriction for weight control' and 'pressure-to-eat' with child levels of adiposity,  
225 which was consistent with previous research findings (13, 16). However, once we

226 included baseline adiposity in the models, the effect sizes approached null and the  
227 associations were no longer statistically significant. This suggests that the use of  
228 these feeding practices may be in response to initial child weight status (37, 38).  
229 Thus, parents of higher weight children may be more likely to implement restrictive  
230 feeding practices whilst parents of lower weight children may pressure their child to  
231 eat. This complements a finding by Gregory et al. (2010; n = 156) which suggested  
232 that mothers' feeding practices may influence children's eating behaviours, but not  
233 their weight status after one year in children aged 2-4 years (39). Both the present  
234 study and the study by Gregory et al. (2010) had relatively short follow-up periods  
235 which limit the ability to capture the impact on weight status of altered eating  
236 behaviours as a result of a parent feeding practice. However, Webber et al. (2010;  
237 n= 113) also found no significant longitudinal associations between maternal feeding  
238 practices and change in child adiposity three years later, in children aged 7-9 years  
239 (40).

240 Our findings contradict a body of evidence that suggested restriction is associated  
241 with increased child weight, both cross-sectionally (11, 14, 41, 42) and longitudinally  
242 (40, 43). Mechanisms to explain why restriction may be a counterproductive feeding  
243 practice relate to food becoming more desirable and so consumed in excess when  
244 outside of the parent's control (44). Given the larger sample size and longitudinal  
245 nature of our study, our findings challenge these previous theories; however, it is  
246 important to note that the confidence intervals were wide in Model 3, and in some  
247 cases, only just crossed the point of no significance. Additionally, it has been  
248 hypothesised that the influence of parental feeding practices may be stronger at  
249 younger ages (45-47), and therefore the pre-adolescent age range included in the  
250 present study may indicate the point at which children begin to strive for greater

251 autonomy around their feeding and, as such, parental feeding practices begin to  
252 have a lesser impact on subsequent child weight. Hence, the null findings in both the  
253 present study and that of Webber et al. (2010) may be due to the age group studied  
254 (40). Such information is important for future childhood obesity prevention strategies  
255 and so further investigations of longitudinal relationships at various ages are needed.

256 Several strengths and limitations are noteworthy within this study. First, whilst the  
257 diverse nature of the West Midlands population, the purposeful oversampling of  
258 schools with higher proportions of South Asian and Black children in the WAVES  
259 study, and the availability of questionnaire responses from the main carer (including  
260 mothers, fathers, and other guardians/carers), may have maximised the external  
261 validity of the study findings, it also adds an element of heterogeneity to the sample  
262 which may reduce the power to detect true effect estimates in certain sub-groups  
263 (48). However, the models were developed to control for various demographic  
264 factors to counteract this variability. Second, whilst all outcome data were objectively  
265 measured by trained researchers, parent data were all self-reported, and child eating  
266 behaviour was based on parent perception and therefore may be subject to some  
267 social desirability bias. However, validation studies on both the CEBQ and CFPQ  
268 have reported that the responses correlate well with observed practices and  
269 behaviours and so these questionnaires allow a relatively quick and cost-effective  
270 method of collecting this data on a large scale (29, 49). Third, some variables were  
271 missing a substantial amount of data. To assess the impact of this missing covariate  
272 data, multiple imputation sensitivity analyses were conducted and the results were  
273 found to be very similar to the results of the main analyses, increasing the  
274 confidence in our conclusions. Additionally, despite the researchers employing



275 numerous techniques to encourage questionnaire completion the parental response  
276 rate was relatively low which may bias the results presented.

277 This study has allowed further exploration of a wide range of parent feeding  
278 practices and their relationships with a number of proxy measurements for child  
279 adiposity. It has extended the current evidence by allowing adjustment for the child's  
280 previous level of adiposity and current eating behaviour. The pathway to which  
281 parent feeding practices are often hypothesised to impact child adiposity is through  
282 changes in dietary behaviour, for example the use of emotion regulation  
283 inadvertently encouraging intake of energy dense, nutrient poor foods in times of  
284 distress, leading to excess energy intake and overweight over time. Therefore, it  
285 would be useful for future research to quantify the impact these feeding practices  
286 may have on dietary intake. Additionally, qualitative studies, investigating why  
287 parents adopt such feeding practices, would contribute to understanding the complex  
288 relationship between feeding practices and weight status. Finally, the findings of this  
289 study challenge the notion that parent feeding practices are associated with  
290 adiposity, particularly in older children. However, further evidence is needed to  
291 evaluate whether this is a result of reverse causation or an artefact of the changing  
292 feeding relationship between parents and their growing children.

### 293 **Acknowledgements**

294 A special thanks to Dr Karla Hemming for her advice on the preparation of this  
295 manuscript and all of the WAVES study team for the collection and cleaning of this  
296 data.

297 KLH is a Research Fellow funded by the NIHR CLAHRC West Midlands initiative.  
298 This article presents independent research and the views expressed are those of the  
299 authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of  
300 Health.

301 *WAVES study trial investigators and collaborators*

302 University of Birmingham: Peymane Adab (Professor of Public Health and Chief  
303 Investigator), Tim Barrett (Professor of Paediatrics), KK Cheng (Professor of  
304 Epidemiology) Amanda Daley (Reader in Behavioural Medicine), Jonathan J Deeks  
305 (Professor of Biostatistics), Joan L Duda (Professor of Sport and Exercise  
306 Psychology), Emma Frew (Reader in Health Economics), Karla Hemming (Reader in  
307 Medical Statistics), Miranda Pallan (Senior clinical lecturer), Jayne Parry (Professor  
308 of Policy and Public Health). University of Warwick: Paramjit Gill (Professor of  
309 General Practice), University of Cambridge, Cambridge MRC Epidemiology Unit /  
310 Norwegian School of Sports Sciences: Ulf Ekelund (Professor of Physical Activity  
311 Epidemiology and Public Health / Senior Investigator Scientist). University of Leeds:  
312 Janet E Cade (Professor of Nutritional Epidemiology and Public Health). University  
313 of Edinburgh, Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics: Raj  
314 Bhopal (Bruce and John Usher Chair in Public Health). Birmingham Community  
315 Healthcare NHS Trust: Eleanor McGee (Public Health Nutrition Lead). Birmingham  
316 Services for Education: Sandra Passmore (Health Education Advisor).

317 **Conflict of Interest**

318 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## 319 **Author contributions**

320 PA, MJP and ERL, alongside the WAVES study trial investigators, designed the  
 321 original WAVES study research; KLH developed the research plan for this paper,  
 322 conducted the data collection and wrote the paper, with significant input from PA,  
 323 MJP, and ERL. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

1. Reilly JJ, Methven E, McDowell ZC, Hacking B, Alexander D, Stewart L, Kelnar CJH. Health consequences of obesity. *Arch Dis Child* 2003;88(9):748-52. doi: 10.1136/adc.88.9.748.
2. Friedlander SL, Larkin EK, Rosen CL, Palermo TM, Redline S. Decreased quality of life associated with obesity in school-aged children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2003;157(12):1206-11. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.157.12.1206.
3. Silventoinen K, Rokholm B, Kaprio J, Sorensen TI. The genetic and environmental influences on childhood obesity: a systematic review of twin and adoption studies. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010;34(1):29-40. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2009.177.
4. Scaglioni S, De Cosmi V, Ciappolino V, Parazzini F, Brambilla P, Agostoni C. Factors Influencing Children's Eating Behaviours. *Nutrients* 2018;10(6):706.
5. Brewis A, Gartin M. Biocultural construction of obesogenic ecologies of childhood: Parent-feeding versus child-eating strategies. *Am J Hum Biol* 2006;18(2):203-13. doi: 10.1002/ajhb.20491.
6. Bergmeier H, Skouteris H, Horwood S, Hooley M, Richardson B. Associations between child temperament, maternal feeding practices and child body mass index during the preschool years: a systematic review of the literature. *Obes Rev* 2014;15(1):9-18. doi: 10.1111/obr.12066.
7. Fisher JO, Birch LL. Restricting access to foods and children's eating. *Appetite* 1999;32(3):405-19. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/appe.1999.0231>.
8. Hoerr SL, Hughes SO, Fisher JO, Nicklas TA, Liu Y, Shewchuk RM. Associations among parental feeding styles and children's food intake in families with limited incomes. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2009;6:55. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-6-55.
9. L. VR, Mobley AR. Parenting styles, feeding styles, and their influence on child obesogenic behaviors and body weight. A review. *Appetite* 2013;71:232-41.
10. Shloim N, Edelson LR, Martin N, Hetherington MM. Parenting styles, feeding styles, feeding practices, and weight status in 4-12 year-old children: A systematic review of the literature. *Front Psychol* 2015;6:1-20. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01849.

11. Jansen PW, Roza SJ, Jaddoe VWV, Mackenbach JD, Raat H, Hofman A, Verhulst FC, Tiemeier H. Children's eating behavior, feeding practices of parents and weight problems in early childhood: results from the population-based Generation R Study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2012;9(130):1-11.
12. Birch LL, Fisher JO. Mothers' child-feeding practices influence daughters' eating and weight. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71(5):1054-61.
13. Musher-Eizenman DR, de Lauzon-Guillain B, Holub SC, Leporc E, Charles MA. Child and parent characteristics related to parental feeding practices. A cross-cultural examination in the US and France. *Appetite* 2009;52(1):89-95. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2008.08.007.
14. Joyce JL, Zimmer-Gembeck MJ. Parent feeding restriction and child weight. The mediating role of child disinhibited eating and the moderating role of the parenting context. *Appetite* 2009;52(3):726-34. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2009.03.015.
15. Webber L, Hill C, Cooke L, Carnell S, Wardle J. Associations between child weight and maternal feeding styles are mediated by maternal perceptions and concerns. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2010;64(3):259-65. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2009.146.
16. Rodgers RF, Paxton S, Massey R, Campbell KJ, Wertheim EH, Skouteris H, Gibbons K. Maternal feeding practices predict weight gain and obesogenic eating behaviors in young children: a prospective study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2013;10(24):1-10.
17. Spruijt-Metz D, Lindquist CH, Birch LL, Fisher JO, Goran MI. Relation between mothers' child-feeding practices and children's adiposity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;75:581-6.
18. Carnell S, Wardle J. Associations between multiple measures of parental feeding and children's adiposity in United Kingdom preschoolers. *Obesity* 2007;15(1):137-44. doi: 10.1038/oby.2007.513.
19. Montgomery C, Jackson DM, Kelly LA, Reilly JJ. Parental feeding style, energy intake and weight status in young Scottish children. *Br J Nutr* 2007;96(6):1149-53. doi: 10.1017/bjn20061968.
20. Haycraft EL, Blissett JM. Maternal and paternal controlling feeding practices: reliability and relationships with BMI. *Obesity* 2008;16(7):1552-8. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.238.
21. Brown KA, Ogden J, Vogele C, Gibson EL. The role of parental control practices in explaining children's diet and BMI. *Appetite* 2008;50(2-3):252-9. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2007.07.010.
22. Baughcum AE, Powers SW, Johnson SB, Chamberlin LA, Deeks CM, Jain A, Whitaker RC. Maternal feeding practices and beliefs and their relationships to overweight in early childhood. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2001;22(6):391-408.
23. Adab P, Pallan MJ, Lancashire ER, Hemming K, Frew E, Griffin T, Barrett T, Bhopal R, Cade JE, Daley A, et al. A cluster-randomised controlled trial to assess the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a childhood obesity prevention programme delivered through schools, targeting 6-7 year old children: the WAVES study protocol. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15(1):488-98. doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-1800-8.

24. Cole TJ, Freeman JV, Preece MA. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. *Arch Dis Child* 1995;73:25-9.
25. Martin-Calvo N, Moreno-Galarraga L, Martinez-Gonzalez MA. Association between Body Mass Index, Waist-to-Height Ratio and Adiposity in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* 2016;8(8). doi: 10.3390/nu8080512.
26. Ashwell M. Obesity risk: importance of the waist to height ratio. *Nurs Stand* 2009;23(41):49-54.
27. Talma H, Chinapaw MJ, Bakker B, HiraSing RA, Terwee CB, Altenburg TM. Bioelectrical impedance analysis to estimate body composition in children and adolescents: a systematic review and evidence appraisal of validity, responsiveness, reliability and measurement error. *Obes Rev* 2013;14(11):895-905. doi: 10.1111/obr.12061.
28. Tanita. Internet: <https://tanita.eu/help-guides/understanding-measurements> (accessed 12/10/17).
29. Musher-Eizenman D, Holub S. Comprehensive Feeding Practices Questionnaire: Validation of a New Measure of Parental Feeding Practices. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2007;32(8):960-72. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsm037.
30. Melbye EL, Ogaard T, Overby NC. Validation of the Comprehensive Feeding Practices Questionnaire with parents of 10-to-12-year-olds. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2011;11(113):1-12. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-11-113.
31. Blissett J, Bennett C. Cultural differences in parental feeding practices and children's eating behaviours and their relationships with child BMI: a comparison of Black Afro-Caribbean, White British and White German samples. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013;67(2):180-4. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2012.198.
32. Powell FC, Farrow CV, Meyer C. Food avoidance in children. The influence of maternal feeding practices and behaviours. *Appetite* 2011;57(3):683-92. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2011.08.011.
33. Payne RA, Abel GA. UK indices of multiple deprivation - a way to make comparisons across constituent countries easier. *Health Stat Q* 2012;53:22-37.
34. Office for National Statistics. Harmonised concepts and questions for social data sources. Primary principles: Ethnic group. 2015.
35. Carpenter JR, Goldstein H, Kenward MG. REALCOM-IMPUTE software for multilevel multiple imputation with mixed response types. *J Stat Softw* 2011;45(5):1-14.
36. Niblett P, Team LS. National Child Measurement Programme: England, 2014/15 school year. [Online]: Health and Social Care Information Centre, 2015.
37. Faith MS, Berkowitz RI, Stallings VA, Kerns J, Storey M, Stunkard AJ. Parental feeding attitudes and styles and child body mass index: prospective analysis of a gene-environment interaction. *Pediatrics* 2004;114:429 - 36. doi: 10.1542/peds.2003-1075-L.

38. Rifas-Shiman SL, Sherry B, Scanlon K, Birch LL, Gillman MW, Taveras EM. Does maternal feeding restriction lead to childhood obesity in a prospective cohort study? *Arch Dis Child* 2011;96(3):265-9. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/adc.2009.175240>.
39. Gregory JE, Paxton SJ, Brozovic AM. Maternal feeding practices, child eating behaviour and body mass index in preschool-aged children: a prospective analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2010;7:55-65. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-7-55.
40. Webber L, Cooke L, Hill C, Wardle J. Child adiposity and maternal feeding practices: a longitudinal analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010;92(6):1423-8. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.30112>.
41. Dev DA, McBride BA, Fiese BH, Jones BL, Cho H. Risk Factors for Overweight/Obesity in Preschool Children: An Ecological Approach. *Child Obes* 2013;9(5):399-408. doi: 10.1089/chi.2012.0150.
42. de Lauzon-Guillain B, Musher-Eizenman D, Leporc E, Holub S, Charles MA. Parental feeding practices in the United States and in France: relationships with child's characteristics and parent's eating behavior. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2009;109(6):1064-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.03.008.
43. Anzman SL, Birch LL. Low inhibitory control and restrictive feeding practices predict weight outcomes. *J Pediatr* 2009;155(5):651-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.04.052.
44. Clark HR, Goyder E, Bissell P, Blank L, Peters J. How do parents' child-feeding behaviours influence child weight? Implications for childhood obesity policy. *J Public Health* 2007;29(2):132-41. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdm012.
45. Campbell K, Andrianopoulos N, Hesketh K, Ball K, Crawford D, Brennan L, Corsini N, Timperio A. Parental use of restrictive feeding practices and child BMI z-score. A 3-year prospective cohort study. *Appetite* 2010;55(1):84-8. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2010.04.006.
46. Tschann JM, Martinez SM, Penilla C, Gregorich SE, Pasch LA, de Groat CL, Flores E, Deardorff J, Greenspan LC, Butte NF. Parental feeding practices and child weight status in Mexican American families: a longitudinal analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2015;12:66. doi: 10.1186/s12966-015-0224-2.
47. Derks IPM, Tiemeier H, Sijbrands EJG, Nicholson JM, Voortman T, Verhulst FC, Jaddoe VWV, Jansen PW. Testing the direction of effects between child body composition and restrictive feeding practices: results from a population-based cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2017;106(3):783-90. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.117.156448.
48. Hansen WB, Collins LM. Seven ways to increase power without increasing N. *NIDA Research Monograph* 1994;142:184-95.
49. Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S, Rapoport L. Development of the children's eating behaviour questionnaire. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2001;42(7):963-70.

## Tables

**Table 1: Participant characteristics, by weight status at T2 (aged 8-9 years)**

	Not overweight/ Obese <sup>1</sup> (n=626)	Overweight/ Obese <sup>1</sup> (n=207)	p-value
Child Age (years) N=833, mean (SD) <sup>2</sup>	7.7 (0.3)	7.7 (0.3)	0.389
Sex of the child (N=833, n (%)) <sup>3</sup>			
Males	310 (73.5)	112 (26.5)	(reference)
Females	316 (76.9)	95 (23.1)	0.237
Child Ethnicity (N=833, n (%)) <sup>3</sup>			
White	320 (77.3)	94 (22.7)	(reference)
South Asian	190 (74.8)	64 (25.2)	0.492
Black	30 (60.0)	20 (40.0)	0.020
Other/Mixed	86 (74.8)	29 (25.2)	0.604
Average physical activity energy expenditure (kJ/kg/day; mean (SD); N=802) <sup>2</sup>	92.7 (25.5)	87.5 (22.4)	0.024
IMD quintiles (N=824, n (%)) <sup>3</sup>			
Quintile 1 (more deprived)	298 (72.9)	111 (27.1)	(reference)
Quintile 2	120 (77.4)	35 (22.6)	0.272
Quintile 3	72 (78.3)	20 (21.7)	0.230
Quintile 4	66 (75.9)	21 (24.1)	0.550
Quintile 5 (less deprived)	62 (76.5)	19 (23.5)	0.748
Main carer relationship to child (N=828, n (%)) <sup>3</sup>			
Mother	509 (76.7)	155 (23.3)	(reference)
Father	91 (69.5)	40 (30.5)	0.088
Other	22 (66.7)	11 (33.3)	0.200
Main carer age ((years) N=781, mean (SD)) <sup>2</sup>	36.7 (6.6)	37.0 (6.9)	0.512

<sup>1</sup> Based on the UK 1990 growth reference data (UK90);

<sup>2</sup> p-values generated using mixed effect linear regression models, fitting weight status as a continuous variable, , controlling for WAVES study trial arm allocation as a fixed effect, and school attended as a random effect

<sup>3</sup> p-values generated using multinomial logistic regression models, fitting weight status as a continuous variable, controlling for WAVES study trial arm allocation as a fixed effect, and using robust standard errors to account for clustering

## Figures

**Figure 1: Flow diagram of participants from the over-arching WAVES study into the present study**

**Figure 2: Median scores for each parent feeding practice by child weight status at T2 (aged 8-9 years) and p-for-trends generated using mixed-effects linear regressions. Children without overweight/obesity, n=626, children identified with overweight and obesity, n= 207.**

**Figure 3: Mixed effects logistic regression generated odds ratios (and 99% confidence intervals) to show the association between parent feeding styles and three proxy measures for child adiposity. Maximum number included in models, n=716, minimum number included in models, n=549.**