UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

The association between smoking cessation before and after diagnosis and non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrence:

Van Osch, Frits; Jochems, Sylvia; Reulen, Raoul; Pirrie, Sarah; Nekeman, Duncan; Wesselius, Anke; James, Nicholas; Wallace, D Michael A; Cheng, Kar; van Schooten, Frederik J; Bryan, Richard; Zeegers, Maurice

DOI 10.1007/s10552-018-1046-8

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Van Osch, F, Jochems, S, Reulen, R, Pirrie, S, Nekeman, D, Wesselius, A, James, N, Wallace, DMA, Cheng, K, van Schooten, FJ, Bryan, R & Zeegers, M 2018, 'The association between smoking cessation before and after diagnosis and non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrence: a prospective cohort study', Cancer Causes & Control, vol. 29, no. 7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-018-1046-8

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Cancer Causes and Control. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10552-018-1046-8

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

The association between smoking cessation before and after diagnosis and non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrence: a prospective cohort study

Frits H.M. van Osch* (1, 2), Sylvia H.J. Jochems (1, 2), Raoul C. Reulen (3), Sarah J. Pirrie
(2), Duncan Nekeman (1, 2), Anke Wesselius (1), Nicholas D. James (2, 4), D. Michael A.
Wallace (2, 4), KK Cheng (3), Frederik J. van Schooten (5), Richard T. Bryan (2), Maurice P.
Zeegers (1, 2, 6)

- Unit of Nutritional and Cancer Epidemiology, Chairgroup of Complex Genetics and Epidemiology, School for Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism (NUTRIM), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
- 2. Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 3. Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 4. University Hospital Birmingham, NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
- Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, School for Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism (NUTRIM), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
- 6. Chairgroup of Complex Genetics and Epidemiology, Care and Public Health Research Institute (CAPRHI), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands.

*Corresponding author. Frits H.M. van Osch, Department of Complex Genetics, PO Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands. ORCID ID: 0000-0003-4329-2560 Telephone: +3143 388 1991, e-mail: <u>f.vanosch@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>

Acknowledgements:

We thank all the West Midlands Consultant Urologists and their units involved with the Bladder Cancer Prognosis Programme (BCPP), as well as the BCPP research nurses. The BCPP programme was funded by Cancer Research UK and supported by the Institute of Applied Health Research (IAHR) and the Institute of Cancer of Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham. We would also like to thank Professor Lucinda Billingham's group and Dr. Ton Ambergen from the University of Birmingham and Maastricht University, respectively, for their advice regarding the statistical analyses.

1 Abstract

2

Background: Smoking is a major risk factor for bladder cancer, but the relationship between
smoking cessation after initial treatment and bladder cancer recurrence has been investigated
less frequently and not prospectively yet.

Methods: 722 non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) patients (pTa, pT1 and CIS)
from the prospective Bladder Cancer Prognosis Programme (BCPP) cohort, selected in the
UK between 2005-2011, provided complete data on smoking behaviour before and up to 5
years after diagnosis. The impact of smoking behaviour on NMIBC recurrence was explored
by multivariable Cox regression models investigating time-to-first NMIBC recurrence.

11 **Results:** Over a median follow-up period of 4.21 years, 403 pathologically confirmed 12 NMIBC recurrences occurred in 210 patients. Only 25 current smokers at diagnosis quit 13 smoking (14%) during follow-up and smoking cessation after diagnosis did not decrease risk 14 of recurrence compared to continuing smokers (p=0.352).

15 Conclusions: Although quitting smoking after diagnosis might reduce the risk of recurrence 16 based on retrospective evidence, this is not confirmed in this prospective study because the 17 number of NMIBC patients quitting smoking before their first recurrence was too low. 18 Nevertheless, this indicates an important role for urologists and other health care 19 professionals in promoting smoking cessation in NMIBC.

20 Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) is estimated to be the ninth most frequent cancer worldwide with approximately 400,000 newly diagnosed cases per year [1]. Compared to other cancers, mortality rates are generally lower for BC [1] since the majority of BCs diagnosed are nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancers (NMIBC) [2]. However, NMIBC often recurs [3] and has a risk of progressing to muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) [4], events which impact on the quality of life of the patient [5] and generate high disease management costs [6].

27 Although smoking is an established risk factor for BC, its effects has been less 28 frequently investigated in relation to BC prognosis [7-10]. Although many studies 29 investigated effectiveness of treatment for NMIBC and MIBC with regard to recurrence, 30 progression and mortality, most studies did not investigate the effect of smoking or other 31 factors modifiable by patients on BC prognosis [11]. Nevertheless, the number of studies also reporting hazard ratios (HRs) for BC recurrence by smoking status at diagnosis has increased 32 33 recently and the current body of evidence consistently shows that there is a small association 34 between smoking and BC recurrence when comparing current smokers to never smokers at diagnosis [10,12]. However, the impact of smoking cessation after BC diagnosis on 35 recurrence and mortality has not yet been quantified prospectively [13]. Studies have 36 37 investigated the impact of smoking cessation within one year after diagnosis on BC 38 recurrence, showing a slight decrease in risk of recurrence [14,15], and one study indicating 39 no effect of quitting after diagnosis on overall or bladder cancer-specific mortality [16].

The Bladder Cancer Prognosis Programme (BCPP) followed-up BC patients for five years post-diagnosis and investigated changes in smoking behaviour in relation to the course of the disease [17]. The principal aim of this study was to investigate whether smoking cessation post-diagnosis and smoking behaviour pre-diagnosis influences BC recurrence.

44 Methods

45 **The Bladder Cancer Prognosis programme**

This study was conducted within the framework of the West Midlands Bladder Cancer Prognosis Programme (BCPP), a cohort study in the United Kingdom. Details of the study are described elsewhere [17]. In brief, individuals were included between December 2005 and October 2011 after referral to participating urology centres due to symptoms suspicious of BC and followed for a maximum of 5 years from diagnosis. Patients with previous cancer of the urethra, bladder, ureter, or renal pelvis within the last decade were excluded. The study was ethically-approved (06/MRE04/65) and all participants gave written informed consent.

53

54 **Data collection**

55 At or around time of diagnosis, trained research nurses used semi-structured face-to-face interviews and questionnaires to collect data on social support, health-related quality of life, 56 57 sociodemographics, medical history, and health-related behaviours including smoking 58 behaviour. Variables on smoking behaviour included current smoking status (never, former, 59 current), duration (years of smoking), intensity (cigarettes per day), smoking cessation (in 60 years) and tobacco type (filter, non-filter or rolled cigarettes, cigar or pipe). Monthly smoking 61 status was also assessed retrospectively by postal questionnaires that were sent out to 62 participants yearly until the end of follow-up.

63

64 Smoking status at diagnosis and during follow-up

A combined smoking status variable was created indicating continuing smokers, former smokers who consistently abstained, never smokers, former smokers who started smoking again, and current smokers who quit smoking post-diagnosis. Patients were considered quitters when they abstained consistently, so smokers who quit for 3 months and then started 69 again were considered as continuing smokers. Furthermore, for each participant that reported 70 smoking cessation during follow-up it was confirmed whether this occurred before or after 71 their first recurrence. If patients quit smoking after their first recurrence, they were 72 considered as continuing smokers in the time-to-first recurrence analysis.

73

74 **Population at risk**

Of the 1,550 cases who agreed to participate, 231 were subsequently identified as not having 75 76 BC. Patients who presented with MIBC (n=275) disease at diagnosis were excluded from 77 analysis because they are fundamentally different from NMIBC with regard to recurrence. 78 Patients with squamous or adeno-carcinomas of non-urothelial origin or with bladder cancer 79 as secondary carcinoma were excluded (n=41). In addition to patients presenting with Ta and 80 T1 tumours, carcinoma in situ (CIS) tumours were included (n=16) since they have an 81 increased risk of recurrence [18]. In total, 846 (84%) of these patients had provided data on 82 smoking behaviour at diagnosis and during follow-up and remained under follow-up within the cohort study. Of the included 846 NMIBC patients, there were 116 patients with 83 84 unknown recurrent tumour stage. These 116 unconfirmed events were excluded for other analyses as well as 8 cases who had radiotherapy (on suspicion of being MIBC cases) 85 86 resulting in a NMIBC patient population at risk of recurrence of 722.

87 No systematic guidance or tools were provided to enable patients to quit smoking
88 after diagnosis, so care as usual was applied by all participating urologists.

89

90 Statistical analysis

BC recurrence was defined as a new tumour that was the same stage as the primary tumour (Ta or T1) but also when a primary Ta patient had a T1 recurrence. Patients that progressed from T1 to T2 disease were not counted as a recurrence but as a progression 94 event. Unfortunately, there were not enough events to also consider biological progression 95 within this sample of NMIBC patients, as defined in the BCPP cohort [19]. Therefore, this 96 study only focussed on confirmed recurrence events and patients who experienced a 97 progression event were censored in the survival analysis when the progression event was 98 diagnosed.

99 The impact of smoking behaviour on BC recurrence was explored by Cox regression models—with time since initial transurethral resection of the bladder tumour (TURBT) as the 100 101 time-metric—investigating possible differences in likelihood of a first recurrence. We 102 explored two different Cox regression models: one adjusted for age at diagnosis and sex 103 (model 1) and one additionally adjusted for BC stage, grade, tumour size and number of 104 tumours at diagnosis (model 2). This set of confounders was chosen since they are markers of 105 NMIBC prognosis and are factors that contribute to European Association of Urology (EAU) 106 risk stratification for clinical decisions[20]. Moreover, they are potentially associated with 107 smoking behaviour at diagnosis [21]. Consequently, conditional risk set modelling was 108 applied to investigate time between multiple recurrent events and analysis time was reset at 109 each event [22]. For this analysis, reresection of tumours was added to model 2 as a 110 confounder. The proportional hazards assumption was checked in all models using 111 Schoenfeld residuals. Cumulative incidence functions (CIF) corrected for competing risks 112 (death) were made [23].

Furthermore, the differences in mean number of recurrences over 5 years between never smokers, former smokers and continuing smokers were compared using a multivariable ANOVA model correcting for pairwise comparisons using Tukey's HSD. There were not enough BC-related death events (45) or confirmed progression events (19) to allow for separate analyses. A similarly low number of progression events has been observed in a large (n=718) NMIBC patient sample before [24]. 119 NMIBC patients who died before the end of follow-up (n=157) were censored at time 120 of death and patients who underwent cystectomy (n=15) were censored at the date of 121 cystectomy (13). Other patients were considered lost to follow-up when the date on which 122 patients were last seen in the hospital for bladder cancer-related therapy or the date on which 123 they filled in their last follow-up questionnaire was before the end of follow-up (5 years).

124 **Results**

125 Number of recurrences and characteristics of population at risk

All 722 patients at risk of recurrence were followed over a median period of 4.21 years (IQR
= 2.64-5.00 years). The majority of patients (506, 70%) were followed for at least 3 years.
Over this period of follow-up, 210 NMIBC patients experienced at least one confirmed
recurrence event. These 210 NMIBC patients accumulated a total of 403 confirmed
recurrence events in the cohort.

131 Most cases were male (79%) and around the age of 70 (Table 1). Furthermore, 132 continuing smokers seemed to be underrepresented in the low EAU risk group (12%), those 133 who quit smoking seemed more likely to be younger and female, and continuing smokers 134 seemed more likely to present with multiple tumours at diagnosis (Table 1). In the 135 multivariate models, 26 patients were not included in the analysis due to missing data on age 136 (n=7), number of tumours at diagnosis (n=15) and tumour size (n=4). Because participants 137 were recruited from multiple centers, patients were treated by multiple urologists with 138 different individual thresholds to perform certain therapies. Therefore, not all patients were 139 treated exactly according to the EAU guidelines [20], which is often the case in actual 140 clinical practice [25].

	Overall (n=722)	Combined smoking status							
		Never smoker (n=103)	Former smoker (n=266)	Continuing Smoker (n=186)	Former smoker who started again (n=150)	Quitters after diagnosis (n=17)	p- value*		
Age in years							< 0.001		
Median (25th-75th									
percentile)	71 (63-77)	72 (61-79)	72 (67-79)	67 (57-74)	72 (64-77)	62 (56-67)			
Sex							< 0.001		
Male	573 (79%)	63 (61%)	231 (87%)	139 (75%)	129 (86%)	11 (65%)			
Female	149 (21%)	40 (39%)	35 (13%)	47 (25%)	21 (14%)	6 (35%)			
EAU risk group							< 0.001		
Low	128 (18%)	28 (27%)	71 (27%)	23 (12%)	4 (3%)	2 (12%)			
Intermediate	383(53%)	50 (49%)	131 (49%)	97 (52%)	91 (61%)	14 (82%)			
High	211 (29%)	25 (24%)	64 (24%)	66 (36%)	55 (37%)	1 (6%)			
Number of tumours	211 (2970)	25 (2170)	01(21/0)	00 (30%)	55 (5170)	1 (0/0)	< 0.001		
1 1 1	429 (61%)	70 (70%)	179 (69%)	100 (55%)	69 (46%)	11 (65%)	<0.001		
-	· · ·	, ,	· · ·		. ,				
2-7	258 (36%)	27 (27%)	74 (28%)	76 (42%)	75 (50%)	6 (35%)			
>=8	22 (3%)	3 (3%)	8 (3%)	6 (3%)	5 (3%)	0 (-)	0.0.00		
Tumour size							0.068		
<3cm	445 (63%)	68 (68%)	174 (67%)	105 (58%)	85 (57%)	13 (76%)			
>=3cm	260 (37%)	32 (32%)	84 (33%)	77 (42%)	63 (43%)	4 (24%)			
Grade							0.001		
1	212 (30%)	34 (34%)	99 (38%)	51 (28%)	26 (17%)	2 (13%)			
2	257 (36%)	34 (34%)	75 (28%)	73 (40%)	66 (44%)	9 (56%)			
3	245 (34%)	33 (33%)	90 (34%)	60 (32%)	57 (38%)	5 (31%)			
Stage							0.590		
рТа	476 (66%)	68 (66%)	184 (69%)	115 (62%)	95 (63%)	14 (82%)			
pT1	239 (33%)	35 (34%)	79 (30%)	69 (37%)	53 (35%)	3 (18%)			
pTi	7 (1%)	0 (-)	3 (1%)	2 (1%)	2 (1%)	0 (-)			
No of recurrences	7 (170)	0(-)	5(170)	2(170)	2(170)	0(-)	0.337		
	109(510/)	19 (630/)	28(460)	22 (520/)	27(520)	2(220/)	0.557		
1	108 (51%)	18 (62%)	28 (46%)	33 (53%)	27 (52%)	2 (33%)			
2	46 (22%)	6 (21%)	16 (26%)	16 (26%)	6 (11%)	2 (33%)			
>3	56 (27%)	5 (17%)	17 (28%)	13 (21%)	19 (37%)	2 (33%)			
Smoking intensity							0.076		
1-9 cigarettes	128 (29%)	NA	55 (30%)	23 (21%)	42 (34%)	8 (50%)			
10-19 cigarettes	140 (32%)	NA	53 (28%)	42 (38%)	42 (34%)	3 (19%)			
>20 cigarettes	167 (38%)	NA	78 (42%)	45 (41%)	39 (32%)	5 (31%)			
Smoking duration							< 0.001		
1-9 years	45 (10%)	NA	26 (14%)	2 (2%)	16(14%)	1 (6%)			
10-19 years	83 (19%)	NA	43 (23%)	10 (9%)	29 (25%)	1 (6%)			
20-29 years	87 (20%)	NA	46 (25%)	12 (11%)	27 (23%)	2 (13%)			
30-39 years	88 (21%)	NA	37 (20%)	28 (25%)	19 (16%)	4 (25%)			
>40 years	127 (30%)	NA	32 (17%)	60 (54%)	27 (23%)	8 (50%)			
Smoking cessation	127 (3070)	1 12 1	52 (1770)	00 (37/0)	27 (2370)	0 (00/0)	0.051		
<pre><20 years</pre>	48 (12%)	NA	23 (9%)	NA	25 (17%)	NA	0.051		
•									
21-40 years	208 (51%)	NA	134 (51%)	NA	74 (49%) 51 (24%)	NA			
>40 years	155 (38%)	NA	104 (40%)	NA variables	51 (34%)	NA			

Table 1. Patient characteristics at diagnosis & number of recurrences over 5 years for 722 NMIBC patients treated with transurethral resection by smoking category.

*Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous and chi-square test for categorical variables

143 Associations between smoking behaviour pre and post-diagnosis and BC recurrence 144 Although HR estimates for smoking cessation pre-diagnosis indicated a protective association with BC recurrence, the p for linear trend was not statistically significant 145 146 (p_{trend}=0.126) and therefore the association cannot be considered as strong (Table 2). No association between smoking status and risk of recurrence was observed in the multivariable 147 148 model (Table 2). Interestingly, when compared to continuing smokers (HR=1.04, 95% CI=0.65-1.66) HRs were similar for those who quit smoking (p=0.352) and former smokers 149 150 who started again post-diagnosis (p=0.431) (Table 2). Additionally, the cumulative incidence 151 function shows that cumulative incidence of BC recurrence was lowest for former smokers 152 and never smokers (Figure 1). 153 154 **Insert Figure 1 here** 155 156 Figure 1. Cumulative incidence functions with correction for competing risk (death) 157 indicating cumulative incidence of first recurrence per category of smoking

158 status in NMIBC patients treated with TURBT.

159

Only 25 smokers (14%) of the 174 current smokers originally recorded at diagnosis quit smoking at any point during follow-up. Three quitters were excluded for full analysis for not having information on their date last seen and another five had missing data regarding the invasiveness of their recurrent events. Of the 480 former smokers at diagnosis, 172 (36%) started smoking (any form of tobacco) again post-diagnosis in all included 846 NMIBC patients.

- 166 Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke during childhood (HR=1.17, 95%CI=0.81-
- 167 1.68) or adulthood (HR=1.02, 95%CI=0.76-1.36) did not seem to have any impact on time to
- 168 first recurrence (Table 2).

/1 IIIst recurrence I		-			liti	~ d ~ l *	
	Age & sex adjusted			Multivariable model*			
	HR	95% CI	number of events / patients at risk	HR	95% CI	number of events / patients at risk	
Combined smoking							
status							
Never smoker	1.00	ref	29/103	1.00	ref	28/99	
Former smoker	0.79	0.51-1.24	61/266	0.78	0.48-1.24	59/254	
Continuing smoker	1.17	0.75-1.83	62/186	1.04	0.65-1.66	61/180	
Former smoker who started again**	1.04	0.65-1.64	51/150	0.87	0.53-1.41	49/146	
Current smoker who quit smoking***	1.25	0.52-3.00	6/17	1.47	0.63-3.41	6/17	
Smoking cessation (in years) ****							
<20 years	0.81	0.46-1.43	15/48	0.82	0.46-1.46	15/47	
21-40 years	0.76	0.53-1.08	57/208	0.74	0.51-1.08	54/200	
>40 years	0.67	0.44-1.02	39/155	0.71	0.46-1.09	38/148	
p for trend	0.070			0.126			
Exposed to passive smoking during childhood?							
No	1.00	ref	36/142	1.00	ref	35/138	
Yes	1.23	0.86-1.75	173/576	1.17	0.81-1.68	168/554	
Exposed to passive smoking during adulthood?							
No	1.00	ref	74/261	1.00	ref	74/261	
Yes	1.03	0.77-1.38	135/454	1.02	0.76-1.36	135/454	

first recurrence in NMIBC patients treated with TURBT. 171

* All estimates adjusted for age, sex, stage, grade, tumour size and number of tumours

** Former smoker who started again and current smoker who quit smoking not included in former smokers at diagnosis

*** Smokers who quit after their first event are considered as current smokers

**** Reference category = current smokers at diagnosis, estimates also include former smokers who started again after diagnosis

172

Table 3 shows HRs for time to first recurrence by smoking intensity, duration and pack-years. No linear trends were observed although the highest categories showed the highest point estimates for both smoking intensity and pack years. For smoking duration the HRs were divergent and did not indicate any trend (p_{trend}=0.729) at all. 178 smoking pack-years, intensity and duration (recorded at diagnosis) with time to first

- 179 recurrence in NMIBC patients treated with TURBT.
- 180

	Age & sex adjusted			Multivariable model*			
	HR	95% CI	number of events / patients at risk	HR	95% CI	number of events / patients at risk	
Never smoker	1.00	ref	29/103	1.00	ref	28/99	
Pack-years							
1-9 packyears	0.86	0.53-1.42	36/141	0.81	0.48-1.37	34/134	
10-19 packyears	0.95	0.54-1.67	22/81	0.92	0.51-1.65	22/80	
20-29 packyears	0.93	0.49-1.77	15/58	0.81	0.42-1.60	15/57	
30-39 packyears	0.70	0.35-1.43	11/55	0.60	0.30-1.22	11/53	
>40 packyears	1.28	0.76-2.14	30/86	1.14	0.66-1.97	29/83	
p for trend	0.365			0.688			
Smoking intensity (cigarettes/day)							
1-9 cigarettes	0.83	0.50-1.38	32/128	0.81	0.47-1.38	30/122	
10-19 cigarettes	0.75	0.45-1.28	31/140	0.61	0.35-1.07	31/138	
20+ cigarettes	1.24	0.79-1.96	55/167	1.16	0.72-1.85	54/160	
p for trend	0.112			0.198			
Smoking duration (in years)							
1-9 years	1.03	0.52-2.05	12/45	0.97	0.48-1.95	12/43	
10-19 years	0.94	0.54-1.62	22/83	0.85	0.48-1.50	21/78	
20-29 years	0.79	0.45-1.39	21/87	0.79	0.44-1.44	20/85	
30-39 years	1.08	0.61-1.89	26/88	0.93	0.52-1.66	25/85	
40+ years	1.00	0.60-1.64	36/127	0.88	0.52-1.49	36/124	
p for trend	0.917			0.729			

* All estimates adjusted for age, sex, stage, grade, tumour size and number of tumours at diagnosis

When considering multiple events that have occurred in patients (Table 4) the HRs are similar to the time to first recurrence analysis (HR for continuing vs never smokers is 1.10, 95%CI=0.72-1.69). However, continuing smokers seemed to have experienced more recurrences than never smokers on average over 5 years on average, however not

- 186 significantly (0.64 vs 0.45, p=0.308).
- 187

Table 4. Conditional risk set model investigating time between multiple recurrence

events in NMIBC patients treated with TURBT by smoking status at diagnosis and after diagnosis.

T

	HR*	95% CI	number of events / patients at risk	Mean number of recurrences over 5 years (95% CI)
Smoking status				
Never smoker	1.00	ref	43/99	0.45 (0.28-0.63)
Former smoker	0.71	0.47-1.08	108/254	0.45 (0.33-0.57)
Continuing smoker	1.10	0.72-1.69	116/180	0.64 (0.47-0.81)
Former smoker who started again	0.89	0.56-1.43	108/146	0.82 (0.57-1.06)
Current smoker who quit smoking**	0.85	0.35-2.04	18/19	0.84 (0.10-1.58)

* All estimates adjusted for age, sex, stage, grade, tumour size, number of tumours and reresection of recurrent tumour

** Smokers who have quit after their first event (n=2) are also included

192 **Discussion**

193 Smoking cessation post-diagnosis and BC recurrence & clinical implications

194 The reported HRs give reason to believe that quitting smoking does not influence the 195 likelihood of NMIBC recurrence over 5 years when compared to continuing smokers in our sample. However, the number of quitters in our prospective sample was small which 196 197 complicates drawing conclusions for this group. Another (retrospective) patient cohort study 198 which assessed smoking cessation post-diagnosis concluded that quitting smoking 199 significantly reduced risk of recurrence (HR=0.45, 95% CI=0.25-0.83, comparing quitters to 200 continuing smokers), however the proportion of quitters (~43% of current smokers at 201 diagnosis) was also considerably larger [14]. In another retrospective cohort study, Fleshner et al concluded that it remained unclear whether smoking cessation at time of diagnosis is 202 203 beneficial with regard to BC recurrence [15] although Aveyard et al. estimated that the 204 Fleshner study shows a HR of 0.71 (95% CI=0.48-1.05) when comparing quitters to 205 continuing smokers[26], which is similar to the estimate observed in the study by Chen et al. 206 Taken together, the limited evidence at this point seems to indicate that quitting smoking at 207 or closely after diagnosis could reduce risk of recurrence. However, even across several 208 smoking-related cancer sites such as lung cancer where this association is stronger, evidence 209 to imply a strong, causal relationship between smoking behaviour after diagnosis and recurrence is still limited [27] so more prospective research is needed. 210

211 Considering the prolonged latency period for the development of BC after exposures 212 [2], it is credible that the association between altering smoking behaviour post-diagnosis and 213 likelihood of a first recurrence or multiple recurrences over 5 years is not as strong as the 214 association between smoking and carcinogenesis. Similarly, epidemiological evidence 215 suggests that pre-diagnostic smoking cessation does not immediately lower the risk of BC 216 [28], also indicating a longer latency period than 5 years. Furthermore, it is considered that a first BC recurrence is often the result of incomplete resection and/or tumour cell reimplantation, and that genuine new tumour formation only plays a more important role in later recurrences [29]. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that, because of the DNAdamaging effects of cigarette smoke [30], modifying smoking behaviour may only influence later recurrences and possibly those that may occur beyond the follow-up period of 5 years reported here.

Notwithstanding the results from our study, when considering the impact of comorbidities on overall survival in BC patients [31] which include several smoking-related diseases [32] and other evidence indicating beneficial and significant results of postdiagnostic smoking cessation in retrospective studies [14,15], it is evident that smoking cessation should be encouraged for NMIBC patients at diagnosis.

It is striking that only 14% of current smokers at diagnosis in our sample quit smoking post-diagnosis. There are examples of succesful smoking cessation interventions in urology [33], and several studies found that when patients were diagnosed with BC they were more likely to quit smoking [34,35]. Therefore, urologists should continue to improve smoking cessation counselling in newly diagnosed NMIBC patients and to be current on the available tools to improve smoking cessation figures. Moreover, more intervention clinical research investigating smoking cessation programmes in NMIBC patients is warranted.

235

236 Smoking behaviour pre-diagnosis & exposure to environmental tobacco smoke

Smoking cessation was most beneficial, with regard to reducing the risk of recurrence, the longer before diagnosis it happened compared to continuing smokers. This was the strongest association observed in our study and has been observed in other studies as well, although not consistently [12]. Other results were in line with earlier studies investigating smoking status at diagnosis and BC recurrence as well, by indicating a slightly increased risk of recurrence
in NMIBC patients for current smokers compared to never smokers in a meta-analysis [10].

243 Another recent study not included in the aforementioned meta-analysis shows similar 244 HRs (HR=1.49, 95%C.I.=0.95-2.33) for current smokers at diagnosis [8]. However, when 245 including this study and our study (data from continuing smokers) in the meta-analysis the 246 pooled HR barely changes from 1.27 (95%CI=1.09-1.46) to 1.26 (95% CI= 1.12-1.40) [10], indicating a significantly increased risk of recurrence for current smokers at diagnosis 247 compared to never smokers. Possibly, the lack of association for continuing smokers in this 248 249 study can be explained through multiple synchronous tumours being present at diagnosis in 250 epithelial tumours. This theory of "field cancerization" proposes that (pre-)malignant 251 transformation of cells has already occurred at different sites across the urothelium, 252 explaining why (changing) smoking exposure will not have a large impact on disease 253 prognosis [36].

Additionally, given that recent reviews indicate no considerable heterogeneity between studies that do not show an association between environmental tobacco smoke and risk of BC, it is unlikely that we would have shown any substantial association with BC recurrence either [37,38].

258 Because no substantial association between smoking status pre-diagnosis and BC 259 recurrence was observed in adjusted models it is possible that the tumour characteristics 260 associated with BC recurrence (stage, grade, tumour size, number of tumours) included as 261 confounders in these models overshadow the effects of smoking behaviour in determining risk of BC recurrence [21] and possible also mortality since no association between quitting 262 263 smoking after diagnosis and all-cause or bladder-cancer-speficic mortality was observed in a 264 large retrospective cohort study[16]. Moreover, since current smokers at diagnosis in our cohort have been associated with having a higher stage, higher grade and larger tumour size 265

compared to never smokers [39], smoking behaviour might play a more crucial role in determining risk of recurrence already before diagnosis through promoting unfavourable tumour characteristics associated with BC recurrence at diagnosis, although in a Dutch cohort of 323 UBC patients there was only a weak association between smoking intensity and increased risk of a more aggressive tumour type [40].

271

272 Strengths and weaknesses

273 Despite the prospective nature of our study there were some limitations restricting the analyses. Due to the relatively short follow-up of this study, long term effects of smoking 274 275 cessation post-diagnosis could not be assessed and the number of deaths due to BC in the 276 NMIBC patients within our cohort was too low for Cox regression analysis. Also, it was not 277 possible to obtain detailed information on adjuvant therapy for all patients, so differences in 278 adjuvant therapy could not be considered in the statistical analysis. Additionally, we did not 279 correct for biomarkers of BC recurrence such as mutations in the FGFR3 or TP53 genes [41], 280 although they might work together with smoking intensity in predicting BC outcome [42].

281 Furthermore, one of the caviats of using only self-reported questionnaire data to assess smoking exposure was likely demonstrated in our sample of NMIBC patients. The 282 283 large proportion (about 1 in 3) of former smokers pre-diagnosis who reported to have started 284 smoking again post-diagnosis is implausible and is probably observed due to misclassification of either the questionnaire at baseline or during follow-up. A high 285 286 misclassification rate (47%) when comparing self-reported data on smoking behaviour to cotinine values in blood was also shown in another sample of bladder cancer patients 287 288 undergoing surveillance [43]. Preferably, future studies should consider more reliable ways 289 of verifying smoking exposure through biochemical analysis.

290 Unfortunately, at the start of the study we did not anticipate this small proportion of291 quitters after diagnosis which is why the analysis concerning quitters is underpowered.

292 **Conclusion**

Although quitting smoking after diagnosis might reduce probability of recurrence based on retrospective evidence, the number of NMIBC patients quitting smoking in our prospective study was low. This indicates an important role for urologists and other health care professionals in promoting smoking cessation in NMIBC. Based on the current evidence, smoking cessation pre-diagnosis seems to have the largest impact on reducing risk of recurrence after NMIBC diagnosis.

299 **References**

- Antoni S, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Znaor A, Jemal A, Bray F. Bladder Cancer
 Incidence and Mortality: A Global Overview and Recent Trends. Eur Urol. 2017;71:
 96–108. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2016.06.010
- Bryan RT, Zeegers MP, van Roekel EH, Bird D, Grant MR, Dunn JA, et al. A
 comparison of patient and tumour characteristics in two UK bladder cancer cohorts
 separated by 20 years. BJU Int. 2013;112: 169–175. doi:10.1111/bju.12032
- Yan Y, Andriole GL, Humphrey PA, Kibel AS. Patterns of multiple recurrences of superficial (Ta/T1) transitional cell carcinoma of bladder and effects of clinicopathologic and biochemical factors. Cancer. Wiley Subscription Services, Inc., A Wiley Company; 2002;95: 1239–1246. doi:10.1002/cncr.10822
- 310 4. Kaufman DS, Shipley WU, Feldman AS. Bladder cancer. Lancet. 374: 239–249.
 311 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60491-8
- 312 5. Roychowdhury DF, Hayden A, Liepa AM. Health-related quality-of-life parameters as
 313 independent prognostic factors in advanced or metastatic bladder cancer. J Clin Oncol.
 314 2003;21: 673–678.
- Svatek RS, Hollenbeck BK, Holmäng S, Lee R, Kim SP, Stenzl A, et al. The
 Economics of Bladder Cancer: Costs and Considerations of Caring for This Disease.
 Eur Urol. 2014;66: 253–262. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2014.01.006
- Hou L, Hong X, Dai M, Chen P, Zhao H, Wei Q, et al. Association of smoking status
 with prognosis in bladder cancer: A meta-analysis. Oncotarget. Impact Journals;
 2016;5. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.13606
- Li HM, Azhati B, Rexiati M, Wang WG, Li XD, Liu Q, et al. Impact of smoking status and cumulative smoking exposure on tumor recurrence of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Int Urol Nephrol. 2016; doi:10.1007/s11255-016-1441-6
- Wilcox AN, Silverman DT, Friesen MC, Locke SJ, Russ DE, Hyun N, et al. Smoking
 status, usual adult occupation, and risk of recurrent urothelial bladder carcinoma: data
 from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Project. Cancer Causes Control. Springer
 International Publishing; 2016;27: 1429–1435. doi:10.1007/s10552-016-0821-7
- van Osch FHM, Jochems SHJ, van Schooten FJ, Bryan RT, Zeegers MP. Significant
 Role of Lifetime Cigarette Smoking in Worsening Bladder Cancer and Upper Tract
 Urothelial Carcinoma Prognosis: A Meta-Analysis. J Urol. 2016;195: 872–879.
 doi:10.1016/j.juro.2015.10.139
- Gritz ER, Dresler C, Sarna L. Smoking, The Missing Drug Interaction in Clinical
 Trials: Ignoring the Obvious. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2005;14: 2287–93.
 doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-05-0224
- 335 12. Simonis K, Shariat SF, Rink M. Smoking and smoking cessation effects on
 336 oncological outcomes in nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. Curr Opin Urol. 2014;24:
 337 492–499.
- Crivelli JJ, Xylinas E, Kluth LA, Rieken M, Rink M, Shariat SF. Effect of Smoking on
 Outcomes of Urothelial Carcinoma: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Eur Urol.
 2014;65: 742–754. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2013.06.010
- 14. Chen C-H, Shun C-T, Huang K-H, Huang C-Y, Tsai Y-C, Yu H-J, et al. Stopping
 smoking might reduce tumour recurrence in nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer.
 doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2007.06873.x
- Fleshner N, Garland J, Moadel A, Herr H, Ostroff J, Trambert R, et al. Influence of
 Smoking Status on the Disease-Related Outcomes of Patients with TobaccoAssociated Superficial Transitional Cell Carcinoma of the Bladder. 1998;
- Koshiaris C, Aveyard P, Oke J, Ryan R, Szatkowski L, Stevens R, et al. Smoking
 cessation and survival in lung, upper aero-digestive tract and bladder cancer: cohort

349 study. Br J Cancer. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;117: 1224–1232. 350 doi:10.1038/bjc.2017.179 17. Zeegers MP, Bryan RT, Langford C, Billingham L, Murray P, Deshmukh NS, et al. 351 352 The West Midlands Bladder cancer prognosis programme: Rationale and design. BJU Int. 2010;105: 784–788. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08849.x 353 Sylvester RJ, van der Meijden APM, Oosterlinck W, Witjes JA, Bouffioux C, Denis L, 354 18. 355 et al. Predicting Recurrence and Progression in Individual Patients with Stage Ta T1 356 Bladder Cancer Using EORTC Risk Tables: A Combined Analysis of 2596 Patients from Seven EORTC Trials. Eur Urol. 2006;49: 466-477. 357 358 doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2005.12.031 359 19. Bryan RT, Cheng KK, James ND, Zeegers MP, Wallace DMA. Re: Defining 360 Progression in Nonmuscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: It is Time for a New, Standard 361 Definition: D. Lamm, R. Persad, M. Brausi, R. Buckley, J. A. Witjes, J. Palou, A. 362 Böhle, A. M. Kamat, M. Colombel and M. Soloway J Urol 2014; 191: 20-27. 363 [Internet]. The Journal of Urology. 2014. pp. 1930–1931. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2014.01.011 364 365 20. Babjuk M, Böhle A, Burger M, Capoun O, Cohen D, Compérat EM, et al. EAU Guidelines on Non-Muscle-invasive Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: Update 366 2016 [Internet]. European Urology. 2017. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2016.05.041 367 368 21. Babjuk M, Oosterlinck W, Sylvester R, Kaasinen E, Böhle A, Palou-Redorta J, et al. 369 EAU guidelines on non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder, the 2011 370 update. Actas Urológicas Españolas (English Ed. 2012;36: 389-402. 371 22. Amorim LDAF, Cai J. Modelling recurrent events: A tutorial for analysis in 372 epidemiology. Int J Epidemiol. 2015;44: 324–333. doi:10.1093/ije/dyu222 373 Latouche A, Allignol A, Beyersmann J, Labopin M, Fine JP. A competing risks 23. 374 analysis should report results on all cause-specific hazards and cumulative incidence 375 functions. J Clin Epidemiol. 2013;66: 648-653. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.09.017 Lammers RJM, Witjes WPJ, Hendricksen K, Caris CTM, Janzing-Pastors MHC, 376 24. 377 Witjes JA. Smoking Status Is a Risk Factor for Recurrence After Transurethral 378 Resection of Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer. Eur Urol. 2011;60: 713-720. 379 doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2011.07.010 380 Hendricksen K, Aziz A, Bes P, Chun FK-H, Dobruch J, Kluth LA, et al. Discrepancy 25. Between European Association of Urology Guidelines and Daily Practice in the 381 Management of Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: Results of a European Survey. 382 383 Eur Urol Focus. 2017; doi:10.1016/j.euf.2017.09.002 26. Aveyard P, Adab P, Cheng KK, Wallace DMA, Hey K, Murphy MFG. Does smoking 384 status influence the prognosis of bladder cancer? A systematic review. BJU Int. 385 Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111); 2002;90: 228-239. doi:10.1046/j.1464-386 387 410X.2002.02880.x 388 27. Warren GW, Sobus S, Gritz ER. The biological and clinical effects of smoking by 389 patients with cancer and strategies to implement evidence-based tobacco cessation 390 support. Lancet Oncol. 2014;15: e568-80. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70266-9 391 van Osch FH, Jochems SH, van Schooten F-J, Bryan RT, Zeegers MP. Quantified 28. 392 relations between exposure to tobacco smoking and bladder cancer risk: a meta-393 analysis of 89 observational studies. Int J Epidemiol. 2016;45: 857-870. 394 doi:10.1093/ije/dyw044 395 29. Bryan RT, Collins SI, Daykin MC, Zeegers MP, Cheng K, Wallace DMA, et al. 396 Mechanisms of recurrence of Ta/T1 bladder cancer. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. The Royal College of Surgeons of England ; 2010;92: 519–524. 397 doi:10.1308/003588410X12664192076935 398

- 30. Corral R, Lewinger JP, Van Den Berg D, Joshi AD, Yuan J-M, Gago-Dominguez M,
 et al. Comprehensive analyses of DNA repair pathways, smoking and bladder cancer
 risk in Los Angeles and Shanghai. Int J Cancer. 2014;135: 335–347.
 doi:10.1002/ijc.28693
- 403 31. Megwalu II, Vlahiotis A, Radwan M, Piccirillo JF, Kibel AS. Prognostic Impact of
 404 Comorbidity in Patients with Bladder Cancer. Eur Urol. 2008;53: 581–589.
 405 doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2007.10.069
- 406 32. Piccirillo JF, Tierney RM, Costas I, Grove L, Edward L. Spitznagel J, AR F, et al.
 407 Prognostic Importance of Comorbidity in a Hospital-Based Cancer Registry. JAMA.
 408 American Medical Association; 2004;291: 2441. doi:10.1001/jama.291.20.2441
- Bjurlin MA, Cohn MR, Kim DY, Freeman VL, Lombardo L, Hurley SD, et al. Brief
 Smoking Cessation Intervention: A Prospective Trial in the Urology Setting. J Urol.
 2013;189: 1843–1849. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2012.11.075
- 412 34. Bassett JC, Gore JL, Chi AC, Kwan L, McCarthy W, Chamie K, et al. Impact of a
 413 bladder cancer diagnosis on smoking behavior. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30: 1871–8.
 414 doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.36.6518
- 415 35. Ostroff J, Garland J, Moadel A, Fleshner N, Hay J, Cramer L, et al. Cigarette smoking
 416 patterns in patients after treatment of bladder cancer. J Cancer Educ. 2000;15: 86–90.
 417 doi:10.1080/08858190009528663
- 418 36. Braakhuis BJM, Tabor MP, Kummer JA, Leemans CR, Brakenhoff RH. A genetic
 419 explanation of Slaughter's concept of field cancerization: evidence and clinical
 420 implications. Cancer Res. 2003;63: 1727–30. Available:
- 421 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12702551

- 37. Osch F van, Jochems S, Wesselius A, Schooten F van, Bryan R, Zeegers M. A
 Stratified Meta-Analysis of the Association between Exposure to Environmental
 Tobacco Smoke during Childhood and Adulthood and Urothelial Bladder Cancer Risk.
 Int J Environ Res Public Heal 2018, Vol 15, Page 569. Multidisciplinary Digital
 Publishing Institute; 2018;15: 569. doi:10.3390/IJERPH15040569
- 427 38. Lee PN, Thornton AJ, Hamling JS, Lee PN. Epidemiological evidence on
 428 environmental tobacco smoke and cancers other than lung or breast. Regul Toxicol
 429 Pharmacol. 2016;80: 134–163. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2016.06.012
- 430
 430
 431
 431
 431
 431
 431
 431
 431
 432
 432
 432
 433
 434
 445
 445
 445
 445
 445
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 454
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
 446
- 434 40. Barbosa ALA, Vermeulen SHHM, Aben KK, Grotenhuis AJ, Vrieling A, Kiemeney
 435 LA. Smoking intensity and bladder cancer aggressiveness at diagnosis. Real FX,
 436 editor. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2018;13: e0194039.
 437 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0194039
- 438 41. Bryan RT, Zeegers MP, James ND, Wallace DMA, Cheng KK. Biomarkers in bladder
 439 cancer. BJU Int. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2010;105: 608–613. doi:10.1111/j.1464440 410X.2009.08880.x
- 441 42. Mitra AP, Castelao JE, Hawes D, Tsao-Wei DD, Jiang X, Shi S-R, et al. Combination
 442 of molecular alterations and smoking intensity predicts bladder cancer outcome.
 443 Cancer. Wiley Subscription Services, Inc., A Wiley Company; 2013;119: 756–765.
 444 doi:10.1002/cncr.27763
- 445 43. Thong AE, Petruzella S, Orlow I, Zabor EC, Ehdaie B, Ostroff JS, et al. Accuracy of
 446 Self-reported Smoking Exposure Among Bladder Cancer Patients Undergoing
 447 Surveillance at a Tertiary Referral Center. Eur Urol Focus. Elsevier; 2016;2: 441–444.
 448 doi:10.1016/j.euf.2015.12.002