UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Exercise to preserve β-cell function in recent-onset Type 1 diabetes mellitus (EXTOD) - a randomized controlled pilot trial

Narendran, Parth; Jackson, Nikki; Daley, Amanda; Thompson, Dylan; Stokes, Keith; Greenfield, Sheila; Charlton, Mary; Curran, Michelle; Solomon, Thomas; Nouwen, Arie; Lee, Siang I; Cooper, Ashley R ; Mostazir, Mohammod; Taylor, Rod S ; Kennedy, Amy; Andrews, Rob C

DOI: 10.1111/dme.13439

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Narendran, P, Jackson, N, Daley, A, Thompson, D, Stokes, K, Greenfield, S, Charlton, M, Curran, M, Solomon, T, Nouwen, A, Lee, SI, Cooper, AR, Mostazir, M, Taylor, RS, Kennedy, A & Andrews, RC 2017, 'Exercise to preserve β-cell function in recent-onset Type 1 diabetes mellitus (EXTOD) - a randomized controlled pilot trial', *Diabetic Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 1521-1531. https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.13439

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 21/09/2017

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Narendran P, Jackson N, Daley A, Thompson D, Stokes K, Greenfield S, Charlton M, Curran M, Solomon TP, Nouwen A, Lee SI. Exercise to preserve β -cell function in recent-onset Type 1 diabetes mellitus (EXTOD)–a randomized controlled pilot trial. Diabetic Medicine. 2017. which has been published in final form at 10.1111/dme.13439. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Supplementary Table 3 - Well-being, exercise, and health at 6 and 12 months

6/12m means are adjusted for their baseline scores using ANCOVA model. Within-group differences are paired t-tests from un-adjusted scores between two time points.

	mean (± SE)			Mean difference at Baseline (95% Cl)	Mean difference at 6 months (95% Cl)	Mean difference at 12 months (95% CI)
	Baseline (mean ± SD)	6 months	12 months			
Well-being	· · ·					
-	dized instrument for	r measuring gen	eric health statu	us. Here we have reporte	d the results from the visu	ual analogue scale hen
participants rate their	health on a score of	f 0 – 100, with 1	00 being the be	st health.		-
CONTROL	74.00 (17.71)	72.01 (4.65)	71.85 (3.90)			
EXERCISE	75.69 (15.31)	68.37 (4.18)	73.72 (4.02)			
Within CONTROL					-2.12 (-11.20 to 6.97)	-2.76 (-11.66 to 6.13)
Within EXERCISE					-7.21 (-14.47 to 0.04)	-1.31 (-9.26 to 6.63)
INTERVENTION vs CONTROL				1.69 (-7.09 to 10.47)	-3.64 (-16.34 to 9.05)	1.86 (-9.64 to 13.36)
	motome This quasti	oppoiro moocur	oc cumptoms of	depression and 9 differen	nt items, including: Sadne	ss Loss of Interast
	entration, Guilt, Fati	gue, Agitation a	nd Suicidal Idea	•	tal score with a range of 0	
CONTROL	$0.77 (\Gamma C A)$	10 22 (2 02)				
CONTROL	8.37 (5.64)	10.33 (2.03)	7.37 (1.70)			
EXERCISE	8.37 (5.64) 10.66 (9.63)	7.92 (1.86)	10.14 (1.75)			
EXERCISE Within CONTROL	. ,				-0.14 (-3.18 to 2.91)	-2.09 (-4.94 to 0.76)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE	. ,				-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15)	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS	. ,			2.28 (-1.98 to 6.55)	. ,	
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL	. ,			2.28 (-1.98 to 6.55)	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15)	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise	. ,			2.28 (-1.98 to 6.55)	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15)	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise Questionnaires	10.66 (9.63)	7.92 (1.86)	10.14 (1.75)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15) -2.40 (-8.16 to 3.36)	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74) 2.77 (-2.30 to 7.85)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise Questionnaires Bandura exercise self-e	10.66 (9.63) efficacy questionnal	7.92 (1.86) ire. This questio	10.14 (1.75)	at participants' confidence	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15) -2.40 (-8.16 to 3.36) ce in their ability to exerci	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74) 2.77 (-2.30 to 7.85)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise Questionnaires Bandura exercise self-e show the mean score of	10.66 (9.63) efficacy questionnai of the 18 items. Eac	7.92 (1.86) ire. This questio h question is sco	10.14 (1.75) nnaire assesses pred from 0-100	at participants' confidence	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15) -2.40 (-8.16 to 3.36)	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74) 2.77 (-2.30 to 7.85)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise Questionnaires Bandura exercise self-e show the mean score of CONTROL	10.66 (9.63) efficacy questionnai of the 18 items. Eac 64.46 (24.39)	7.92 (1.86) ire. This questio h question is sco 62.44 (3.55)	10.14 (1.75) nnaire assesses ored from 0-100 52.44 (4.11)	at participants' confidence	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15) -2.40 (-8.16 to 3.36) ce in their ability to exerci	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74) 2.77 (-2.30 to 7.85)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise Questionnaires Bandura exercise self-es show the mean score of CONTROL EXERCISE	10.66 (9.63) efficacy questionnai of the 18 items. Eac	7.92 (1.86) ire. This questio h question is sco	10.14 (1.75) nnaire assesses pred from 0-100	at participants' confidence	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15) -2.40 (-8.16 to 3.36) ce in their ability to exerci aning greater confidence.	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74) 2.77 (-2.30 to 7.85) se regularly. Here we
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise Questionnaires Bandura exercise self-es show the mean score of CONTROL EXERCISE Within CONTROL	10.66 (9.63) efficacy questionnai of the 18 items. Eac 64.46 (24.39)	7.92 (1.86) ire. This questio h question is sco 62.44 (3.55)	10.14 (1.75) nnaire assesses ored from 0-100 52.44 (4.11)	at participants' confidence	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15) -2.40 (-8.16 to 3.36) ce in their ability to exerci aning greater confidence. -2.24 (-15.14 to 10.66)	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74) 2.77 (-2.30 to 7.85) se regularly. Here we -11.69 (-23.74 to 0.37)
EXERCISE Within CONTROL Within EXERCISE INTERVENTIONVS CONTROL Exercise Questionnaires Bandura exercise self-es show the mean score of CONTROL EXERCISE	10.66 (9.63) efficacy questionnai of the 18 items. Eac 64.46 (24.39)	7.92 (1.86) ire. This questio h question is sco 62.44 (3.55)	10.14 (1.75) nnaire assesses ored from 0-100 52.44 (4.11)	at participants' confidence	-0.96 (-6.06 to 4.15) -2.40 (-8.16 to 3.36) ce in their ability to exerci aning greater confidence.	0.64 (-4.47 to 5.74) 2.77 (-2.30 to 7.85)

Outcome expectations for exercise. In this questionnaire participants' are asked to rate (1-5) 9 positive outcome expectation and -9 items negative outcome expectation statements. The mean of the score of the 13 statements is then calculated. The higher the score the greater the expectation from exercise.

CONTROL	3.79 (0.80)	3.98 (0.18)	4.02 (0.15)			
EXERCISE	4.04 (0.58)	3.58 (0.17)	4.08 (0.17)			
Within CONTROL					0.05 (-0.38 to 0.48)	0.24 (-0.14 to 0.62)
Within EXERCISE					-0.34 (-0.63 to -0.04)	0.04 (-0.28 to 0.35)
INTERVENTIONvs				0.25 (-0.12 to 0.62)	-0.40 (-0.92 to 0.12)	0.07 (-0.40 to 0.53)
CONTROL						
Illness questions						

Problem areas in Diabetes (PAID). This is a 20-item questionnaire that is widely used for measuring diabetes-related emotional distress. The final possible score is 0-100, with higher scores indicating greater diabetes-related emotional distress.

CONTROL	38.85 (16.26)	37.54 (2.86)	35.78 (3.35)						
EXERCISE	40.93 (13.12)	34.59 (2.63)	37.42 (3.46)						
Within CONTROL					-2.91 (-12.12 to 6.30)	-4.62 (-14.14 to 4.91)			
Within EXERCISE					-4.98 (-12.22 to 2.27)	-1.87 (-9.92 to 6.19)			
INTERVENTIONvs				2.08 (-5.90 to 10.05)	-2.96 (-10.89 to 4.97)	1.68 (-8.23 to 11.52)			
CONTROL									
Hypoglycaemia Behaviou	Hypoglycaemia Behaviour. This was assessed using the The Hypoglycemia Fear Survey II. The first part of this questionnaire consists of 15								
statements about behavi	iour to avoid hype	oglycaemia that t	he participants	' have to rank 0 (never)	to 4 (always). The total s	core is 0-60. The			
higher the score the mor	e change the part	ticipants' have m	ade to avoid hy	vpoglycaemia.					
CONTROL	0.46 (0.58)	0.27 (0.08)	0.35 (0.11)						
EXERCISE	0.59 (0.87)	0.22 (0.08)	0.16 (0.11)						
Within CONTROL					-0.21 (-0.44 to 0.01)	-0.12 (-0.35 to 0.10)			
Within EXERCISE					-0.34 (-0.63 to -0.04)	-0.41 (-0.74 to -0.08)			
INTERVENTIONvs				0.12 (-0.27 to 0.51)	-0.04 (-0.27 to 0.19)	-0.19 (-0.51 to 0.12)			
CONTROL									
Hypoglycaemia Worry. This was assessed using the The Hypoglycemia Fear Survey II. The second part of this questionnaire consists of 18									
statements about fear of	f hypoglycaemia t	hat the participa	nts' have to ran	ik 0 (never) to 4 (always)	. The total score is 0-60.	The higher the score			
the greater the fear of hy	ypoglycaemia.								
CONTROL	0.88 (0.95)	0.28 (0.13)	0.42 (0.15)						
EXERCISE	1.07 (1.08)	0.42 (0.13)	0.43 (0.15)						
Within CONTROL					-0.61 (-0.97 to -0.24)	-0.46 (-0.82 to -0.10)			
Within EXERCISE					-0.64 (-1.04 to -0.23)	-0.64 (-1.04 to -0.24)			

INTERVENTIONvs CONTROL 0.19 (-0.35 to 0.73) 0.13 (-0.23 to 0.49) 0.01 (-0.41 to 0.44)

Health Care Climate Questionnaire. This questionnaire assess patients' perceptions of the degree to which their team of health care providers is autonomy supportive. They score 6 statements 1-7 and the mean of the 6 items is calculated. The higher the score the greater the perceived autonomy support.							
CONTROL	4.43 (1.97)	4.62 (0.28)	4.68 (0.29)				
EXERCISE	4.22 (1.79)	5.46 (0.27)	5.71 (0.29)				
Within CONTROL					0.24 (-0.78 to 1.26)	0.27 (-0.72 to 1.25)	
Within EXERCISE					1.20 (0.33 to 2.07)	1.47 (0.57 to 2.37)	
INTERVENTIONvs				-0.20 (-1.20 to 0.79)	0.84 (0.05 to 1.63)	1.02 (0.20 to 1.85)	
CONTROL							