UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Effects of lay support for pregnant women with social risk factors on infant development and maternal psychological health at 12 months postpartum

Popo, Emma; Kenyon, Sara; Dann, Sophie; MacArthur, Christine; Blissett, Jacqueline

DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0182544

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard): Popo, E, Kenyon, S, Dann, S, MacArthur, C & Blissett, J 2017, 'Effects of lay support for pregnant women with social risk factors on infant development and maternal psychological health at 12 months postpartum', *PLoS* ONE, vol. 12, no. 8, e0182544. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0182544

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1	Effects of lay support for pregnant women with social risk factors
2	on infant development and maternal psychological health at 12
3	months postpartum
4	
5	Emma Popo ¹ , Sara Kenyon ¹ , Sophie-Anna Dann ¹ , Christine MacArthur ¹ , Jackie Blissett ^{2,3*}
6	
7	
8	¹ Institute of Applied Health Research, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of
9	Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK.
10	² Centre for Technology Enabled Health Research, Coventry University, Coventry, UK
11	³ School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, UK.
12	*Corresponding Author. Email jacqueline.blissett@coventry.ac.uk (JB)
13	
14	Abbreviations
15	ELSIPS: Evaluation of Lay Support in Pregnant women with Social risk

17 Abstract

Background: The ELSIPS (Evaluation of Lay Support in Pregnant Women with Social Risk) RCT 18 showed that lay support for women with social risk had a positive effect on maternal mental 19 health and mother-infant bonding. This exploratory study examined whether these 20 21 observed benefits would impact infant development at 1 year. Methods: A sub-sample of 22 women whose infants were under one year who had participated in the ELSIPS RCT which 23 randomised women to receive either standard care or the services of a Pregnancy Outreach 24 Worker (POW), and who were contactable, were eligible to participate in the follow up. At home visits, the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (3rd Edition) and standardised 25 measures of depression, self efficacy, mind-mindedness and bonding were completed. 26 27 **Results:** 486 women were eligible for follow up, of whom 154 agreed to participate. 61/273 were successfully followed up in the standard maternity care arm and 51/213 in the POW 28 29 arm. Women who completed follow up were less depressed and had higher selfefficacy 30 scores at 8-12 weeks postpartum than those who did not complete follow up. There were no significant differences in maternal outcomes, infant cognitive development, receptive 31 32 communication, expressive communication, fine motor development or social/emotional functioning between groups at 12 month follow up. Infants of mothers who received the 33 34 POW intervention had significantly better gross motor development than infants whose mothers received standard care (p<0.03). Conclusions: The provision of lay support to 35 women with social risk may facilitate infant gross motor skill development at one year but 36 there were no other demonstrable benefits. The effects of the intervention may be 37 underestimated given that those women who completed follow up had better mental 38 39 health than the original study sample.

40 Introduction

41 Maternal and child health outcomes for disadvantaged and minority families continue to fall behind those with more advantaged backgrounds [1,2,3]. Severe maternal morbidities are 42 more likely in non-white ethnic groups, with preexisting health conditions and poorer access 43 to health services being risk factors for higher morbidity [4]. Women with complex social 44 45 risk factors such as domestic violence and substance abuse are more likely to seek antenatal 46 care later and fail to stay in regular contact with health services during pregnancy [4]. The differences in health behaviors and outcomes between socially advantaged and 47 disadvantaged women and children are mediated by a number of factors including lack of 48 social support [5,6], lack of education [7,8] and poor living environment [9]. 49

50 Inequalities in health are not limited to physical functioning; for example women with higher socioeconomic status have lower rates of depression [10]. Postpartum depression 51 (PPD) is characterized by low maternal mood within the year after childbirth [11] and is 52 53 associated with reduced sensitivity in interaction and emotional withdrawal [12,13]. The effects on child development include adverse child physical, social and emotional 54 55 developmental outcomes such as poor cognitive development and greater likelihood of 56 insecure attachment [13-17]. Depressed women are less likely to develop warm, loving feelings towards their children [18-20] and failure to bond has implications for the 57 58 subsequent relationship. Disordered bonding is characterized by a significant lack of 59 maternal feeling; hostility toward the infant, lack of responsiveness to the infant's needs, and sometimes, harm or neglect [21,22]. Depression is also associated with reduced 60 61 maternal 'mentalising' and vocalizations about infant emotion and cognition [23]. This 62 characteristic is called 'mind-mindedness'; the mother's tendency to view her child as an

individual with a mind of its own [24,25]. There is significant individual variation in mothers' mind-mindedness, and poorer mind-mindedness is associated with poorer infant cognitive development [26] and less secure attachment [27,28]. Mind-mindedness is also associated with parenting stress and child emotional and behavioural problems and has been highlighted as an important target for interventions [29]. The ability to appropriately comment on infant mental states and processes facilitates infant cognitive and socioemotional development via increased maternal sensitive responsiveness [30].

Another important predictor of maternal functioning is self efficacy; the extent to which 70 individuals regard their lives as under their own control [31]. Depression is associated with 71 72 reduced perceptions of personal control [32]. Self efficacy plays a crucial role in parenting 73 behaviour and infant psychosocial risk [33, 34]. Self efficacy is a primary predictor of parenting behavioural competence in mothers of young infants, over and above the effects 74 of depression and social support [35]. Given that perceived control over life events has 75 been suggested to underlie social inequalities in health, interventions that seek to increase 76 self efficacy have the potential to improve social, physical and mental health outcomes for 77 mothers and their infants. 78

Research has begun to assess the efficacy of a variety of types of support for women with high social risk during and after pregnancy, including lay support. Lay support is provided by community workers or peers who are not health professionals but whose role is to provide social and practical support, for example, to access existing care pathways. A Cochrane review of psychosocial and psychological interventions for preventing postpartum depression included seven lay interventions, and demonstrated that they were not significantly different from professionally delivered interventions in their ability to reduce

risk of depression [36]. However, the review called for further trials of the efficacy of lay 86 support because of the small number of eligible studies, the lack of trials examining 87 individual lay interventions targeting mood, and the lack of detail concerning the nature of 88 the lay individuals delivering the intervention [36]. The Evaluation of Lay Support in 89 90 Pregnant Women with Social Risk (ELSIPS) study [37] aimed to evaluate whether using lay Pregnancy Outreach workers (POW), could benefit maternal and infant health outcomes in 91 nulliparous women with a number of social risk factors. POWs were trained by an 92 93 independent Community Interest Company to deliver individual case management for women identified by the midwifery team as having social risk. The service included home 94 visits, and aimed to encourage antenatal attendance and healthy lifestyles and facilitate 95 access to existing services (e.g. benefits, housing). The POW's role was also to provide 96 support to mothers to improve their psychological health, including depression, self efficacy 97 98 and bonding. Most contacts between POWs and the women in the trial took place 99 antenatally (77%), with 27% being face to face contact and half of contacts lasting between 1-2 hours (see http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009203 [38] for full trial details). 100 The trial found modest positive effects on maternal mental health at 8-12 weeks 101 postpartum, particularly in those women with greater social risk [38] and a significant 102 103 benefit for mother-to-infant bonding. Measuring the potential impact of these effects on infant development is important given the cumulative duration and timing effects of 104 maternal depression on infant outcomes [39]. 105

This study aimed to follow up a subsample of families within the ELSIPS trial to evaluate infant and maternal outcomes at one year postpartum. The aims were 1) to examine whether the service had a positive impact on the cognitive, social, emotional and physical

development of the infant at 12 months and 2) to examine whether the POW service had an
effect on maternal depression, mind-mindedness, self efficacy and bonding at 12 months
postpartum.

112 Materials and Methods

113 ELSIPS was a randomised controlled trial (RCT) in nulliparous women with social risk, which compared standard maternity care with the addition of referral to a lay Pregnancy Outreach 114 115 Worker (POW) support service. The POWs worked alongside community midwives offering 116 individualised support to encourage engagement with health and social care services, from 117 randomisation (before 28 weeks gestation) until 6 weeks after birth. Primary outcomes were engagement with antenatal care and maternal depression at 8-12 postnatal weeks, 118 119 using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS [40]). Self efficacy and mother-toinfant bonding was also measured at 8-12 weeks. Details of the original ELSIPS trial have 120 121 been previously published [37, 38]. 1324 women participated in the original trial, 662 receiving the intervention and 662 standard care. 122

123 Study oversight

Ethical approval from South Birmingham Ethics Committee (10/H1207/23). Participants in
the original trial received a separate information leaflet for the follow up study and signed a
consent form specific to the follow up study before participation.

127

128 Follow up Study Design

We followed up a subsample of women and infants in both trial arms to evaluate the effect of the intervention at 12 months postpartum on the primary outcome of infant development (measured by the Bayley Scale of Infant and Toddler Development-III [41]). Selection criteria were applied systematically and researchers were blind to participant group membership at the point of decisions regarding eligibility for follow up.

134 The secondary outcomes for the follow up were maternal depressed mood, mind-135 mindedness, self efficacy and maternal bonding. Infant weight and length were also 136 recorded.

137 The researcher conducting home visits and collecting and entering all data was blind to138 group membership and maternal social risk factors.

139 **Participants**

We followed up women whose infants were between 11-13 months between June 2012 and 140 June 2013. This was a pragmatic sample; participants who could be included were limited 141 142 due to funding and time constraints. Women were eligible if they had returned an 8-12 week questionnaire in the original trial, and gave birth to a live infant after 1st June 2011. 143 Women were not eligible if they had been approached to engage in other additional 144 research or evaluations of the trial (e.g. qualitative analyses), if we did not have accurate 145 146 contact information, if they did not speak English, or if the GP felt that participation was inappropriate. Of the original sample of 1324 mother and baby pairs, this left a potential 147 148 pool of 486 participants. See Fig 1.

149

150 Figure 1. Consort diagram for the ELSIPS follow up

151

152

When infants were aged 7 to 11 months, their mothers were invited to participate by sending a patient information leaflet and reply slip to their home. Once the reply slip was returned to the study office, the mother was telephoned to confirm participation, or the mothers were called directly by the researcher when their child approached 11 months old to book a home visit and check eligibility.

158 155 mothers were engaged in total, representing 11.7% of the original trial sample. In total, 159 8.5% of the original sample were successfully followed up. Home visits could not be 160 completed in 43 cases, due to the mother not being present at the agreed appointment (n= 161 41) or the child exceeding the age cut off by the time of booking (n=2). If a mother did not 162 attend an arranged appointment, two further attempts were made to contact her to re-163 arrange. If a mother did not attend a second appointment, a final attempt was made to re-164 arrange the appointment but no further contact was made after this point.

165 **Measures**

166 **Primary Outcome: Infant Development.**

167 **Bayley III Scale of Infant and Toddler Development (Bayley-III).** The Bayley III 168 measures the mental, motor and behavioural development of infants from one to 42 169 months of age across 5 subscales: cognitive, language, motor, social/ emotional and 170 adaptive behaviour [38]. It takes 45-60 minutes to complete. The Bayley Scale is a widely 171 used, internationally recognised, extensively evaluated, standardised, reliable and valid gold 172 standard for early childhood assessment [42].

The cognitive, language and motor scales are administered by the researcher (in this 173 174 case a psychologist) who has attended accredited training. The Cognitive Scale assesses the infant's information processing and play skills. The Language Scale is composed of receptive 175 communication (infant's preverbal behaviour, social referencing and verbal comprehension) 176 177 and expressive communication (infant's preverbal communication skills, including gestures). 178 The Motor Scale is split into fine motor (ability to manipulate objects, reaching, grasping and visual tracking) and gross motor (how well the child can move his /her torso and limbs, 179 180 balance and static positioning).

The social/emotional and adaptive behaviour questionnaires are completed by the caregiver. The Social Emotional Scale assesses the social and emotional functioning of the child reported by the caregiver, identifying whether key social-emotional milestones have been reached. The Adaptive Behaviour Scale assesses the child's skills in behavioural autonomy and responses to his/her environmental context.

186 Secondary Outcomes: Maternal cognition and emotion

Maternal Mind-mindedness Interview. Maternal mind-mindedness can be measured by the mother's use of mental state language in descriptions of her child [26]. All mothers were asked to 'describe (your baby's name) for me', and responses were audiorecorded, transcribed and coded by two trained independent coders (both psychologists, both trained in mind-mindedness coding) blinded to group allocation and social risks. The mind-mindedness interview has established reliability, validity and feasibility [43].

Self report measures. Mothers completed three questionnaire measures, which had
 also been completed at 8-12 weeks postpartum. These were:

195	Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale [40]: A 10 item self report questionnaire with 4
196	response options, designed to assess emotional wellbeing. A score of 13 or higher
197	suggests depression. The EPDS is the most frequently used measure of postnatal
198	depression, with good reliability, validity, specificity and sensitivity [44].
199	Pearlin Mastery Scale [31]: A 7 item index of self efficacy, with 4 point likert response
200	options (strongly agree to strongly disagree). Higher scores indicate higher self efficacy.
201	This scale has been used in many large scale studies to examine parents' self efficacy
202	[e.g. 45] (for example, the National Survey of Families and Households, as well as the
203	National Longitudinal Survey of Youth)
204	Mother to Infant Bonding Scale [46]: Seven expressions indicating the mothers feelings
205	toward her child, followed by a 4 point likert scale (Very much to Not at all). Higher
206	scores indicate poorer maternal to infant bonding. The scale is widely used with good
207	reliability and validity [47].
208	Length and weight Infant length and weight was measured using the SECA 210 Measure
209	Mat and the SECA 384 electronic scale.

Procedure

After informed consent, the mind-mindedness interview was conducted. Next, self-report
measures were completed. The infant's height and weight was measured by the researcher,
fully clothed for height measurement but clothes and nappy removed for weight
measurement.

The Bayley assessment was administered in the following order: Gross motor, fine motor, cognitive, receptive communication and expressive communication. The child's age was calculated and for premature babies, the adjusted age was calculated using gestation at birth. Standard administration procedure was followed. On completion of the assessment and questionnaire, the mother was given £20 in high street vouchers. The same researcher conducted all measures in both arms of the trial.

Data analysis

Bayley Scale scores: Raw scores were summed for each subtest and converted into scaled scores. Scaled scores were used to determine the child's performance against norms from typically developing children (reference mean =10, SD= 3).

225 Mind-mindedness: After transcription, each comment was coded as mind-minded 226 (referring to a mental state), general, behavioural or physical. The percentage of mind-227 related comments relative to the total number of comments was calculated. Inter-rater 228 reliability was calculated; 90.5% of the statements were coded in the same way by the two 229 coders, suggesting overall agreement was very good (Kappa = .86).

We examined differences in social risk and other characteristics between those participants who did and did not complete the follow up study irrespective of eligibility for follow-up, using independent sample t-tests. We also examined differences between those participants who were eligible for the follow-up and who did or did not complete the study, using independent sample t-tests. Differences between arms in outcomes were compared using ANOVA where data were normally distributed and Mann Whitney U tests where the data were significantly skewed. Differences between groups in the percentage of

237 participants who had an EPDS score ≥13 were analysed using chi-square. Analyses of 238 differences in self efficacy, depression and bonding at 1 year follow up were adjusted for a 239 group difference in gestational age (see below) with ANCOVA. Analysis of infant 240 developmental outcomes was not adjusted for gestation because the measures used to 241 assess infant development adjust for gestational age. Based on the sample available post 242 hoc power analyses showed that with 112 participants and alpha at .05, power of .95 was 243 available to detect effect sizes of .38 and larger; this corresponds to a difference between arms of 1.14 Bayley scale points (mean score for Bayley scaled subscales is 10 and standard 244 245 deviation is 3). We also carried out pre-specified subgroup analyses of the women who had 2 or more social risks at baseline. 246

247 SPSS software (IBM SPSS statistics version 21) was used for statistical analyses.

248 **Results**

249 Sample characteristics

Table 1 illustrates characteristics of participants followed and not followed from the original 250 251 trial. Of the 112 mothers visited, 62 infants were boys and 50 were girls. The follow up sample was largely representative of the initial and eligible ELSIPS sample though there 252 were proportionately fewer Asian mothers in the follow up, due to the eligibility criteria of 253 254 good understanding of written and spoken English (46.6% of ineligible Asian mothers did not speak English). There were no significant differences in social risk count, birth weight or 255 gestation between those who did and did not participate in follow up, irrespective of 256 eligibility. However, there was a significant difference in EPDS and self efficacy: women who 257 258 participated in follow up had significantly lower mean depression scores (t=2.94, df=1006,

259	p=.003) and reported greater self efficacy (t=-3.11, df= 975, p=.002) than those who did not
260	participate overall, and than those who were eligible but did not participate (depression
261	t=2.7, df=217, p=.007; self efficacy t=-2.8, df=220, p=.005). There was no significant
262	difference between those followed up and those not followed, irrespective of eligibility for
263	follow up, in proportions of EPDS ≥13.

 Table 1. Baseline+ data for ELSIPS Follow Up Sample Compared to a) all participants who

 were eligible to be followed but who were not followed and b) all participants who were

 not followed

N= 1324	Followed Up	Eligible for follow up but not followed	Not Followed Up (overall sample)
Group total (%)	112 (8.5)	373 (28)	1212 (91.5)
Ethnicity			
White European (%)	80 (71.4)	241 (65)	609 (50.2)
African (%)	5 (4.5)	14 (3.7)	82 (6.8)
Caribbean (%)	12 (10.7)	20 (5.3)	58 (4.8)
Asian (%)	6 (5.4)	69 (18.4)	335 (27.6)
Other (%)	9 (8.0)	24 (6.4)	86 (7.1)
Middle Eastern (%)	0 (0.00)	5 (1.3)	42 (3.47)
Mean birth weight (sd)	3152 (632)	3199 (563)	3167.8 (617.3)
Mean Gestation in days (sd)	-2.5 (13.1)	-2.3 (14)	-3.6 (16.5)
Mean baseline EPDS score (sd)	5.7 (4.5)*	7.1 (5.4)	7.2 (5.4)
N(%) ≥13	10 (8.9)	54 (11.1)	138 (11.4%)

Mean baseline Self efficacy score (sd)	20.0 (2.7)*	19.2 (3.2)	19.0 (3.2)	
Mean baseline MIB score (sd)	1.9 (.2)	1.9 (.2)	1.9 (.2)	
Social risk count (sd)	2.3 (1.0)	2.2 (1.1)	2.2 (1.1)	

^{*}Followed up sample are significantly different from overall ELSIPS sample not followed

265 AND significantly different from sample eligible for follow up but not followed.

266 * $p \le 0.05$ + At 8-12 weeks postpartum

267

268	Of the 112 women and infants included, 51 were from the POW service and 61 from the
269	standard care trial arms. Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics at 8-12 weeks for the
270	participants followed up in each arm. When comparing the sample by randomisation group,
271	there were no significant differences in demographic and anthropometric characteristics,
272	except that infants in the POW group had significantly fewer days of gestation (t=2.0, df
273	=111, p=.05). Analyses of maternal outcomes were therefore adjusted for gestation. There
274	were small differences in maternal mental health between the POW and control arms at 8-
275	12 weeks postnatal, with a trend towards a lower mean levels of depression (t=1.7, df=110,
276	p=.09) and significantly higher self efficacy scores (t=-2.2, df=109, p=.03) in the women who
277	had received the POW intervention. There were no significant differences between the POW
278	group and standard care group in the percentage of participants with EPDS scores \geq 13.

Table 2. ELSIPS Follow Up Sample Composition and Characteristics

	Tri	al Group		
_	Standard	POW	total	

ELSIPS sample			1324
Eligible to participate			486
Recruited (%)	61 (54.5)	51 (45.5)	112
Воу (%)	33 (55)	29 (55.8)	
Girl (%)	27 (45)	23 (44.2)	
Ethnicity			
White European (%)	46 (46.7)	34 (65.1)	
African (%)	1 (1.7)	4 (7.7)	
Caribbean (%)	5 (8.3)	7 (13.5)	
Asian (%)	4 (6.7)	2 (3.8)	
Other (%)	4 (6.7)	5 (9.6)	
Birthweight g (sd)	3199.5 (603.6)	3096.2 (665.7)	
Male Infant weight in kg at 12 months (sd)	10.3 (1.4)	10.1 (1.1)	
Female Infant weight in kg at 12 months (sd)	9.4 (1.3)	9.5 (1.4)	
Male Infant length in cm at 12 months (sd)	76.5 (2.9)	76.4 (2.3)	
Female Infant length in cm at 12 months (sd)	74.8 (2.6)	74.9 (3.9)	
Gestation in days (sd)	-0.5 (10.2)	-4.9 (15.5)*	
Social risk count (sd)	2.2 (1.1)	2.5 (0.9)	
Baseline EPDS mean (SD)	6.3 (4.6)	4.9 (4.2)t	
N (%) ≥13	7(11.4)	3 (5.8)	
Baseline Mastery (self efficacy) mean (SD)	19.5 (2.8)	20.6 (2.4)*	
Baseline Bonding mean (SD)	22.9 (1.5)	23.0 (1.2)	

t p<.1 * p \leq 0.05 Baseline measures taken at 8-12 weeks postpartum.

280 Inferential analysis

281 There were no differences between intervention and control arms of the trial in maternal 282 depression, bonding or self efficacy outcomes at 12 months postpartum (Table 3). Despite 283 this, infants of the women who had received the POW intervention had significantly better gross motor skills than those who received standard care, with the infants in the POW arm 284 scoring close to the scaled reference mean of 10. There were no other significant 285 286 differences between the two groups of infants in developmental outcome. Scores of cognitive development and receptive communication were approximately one standard 287 288 deviation lower than the scaled reference indicating below average performance of infants 289 in both the POW and Control trial arms. Sub group analyses of women with 2 or more social risks showed that there were no significant differences in infant development between 290 infants of women with 2 or more social risks in the POW (n=45) and control (n=43) arms of 291 the trial, and no differences in the two groups of women in their longer term depression, 292 293 self efficacy or bonding scores (see Supporting Information: S1-S2 Tables).

				AN(C)OVA/chi
	Treatment	t Group		square
Outcome variable	Standard (n=61)	POW (n=51)	N	F/X2, p-value
Primary Outcome:				
Mean Bayley III Scale of Infant and Toddler Development Scaled				
Scores				
Cognitive Scale b	7.8 (1.8)	7.2 (2.4)	111	2.45, 0.12
Communication Scale b	14.6 (5.0)	15.2 (4.1)	111	0.37, 0.54
Expressive Communication b	8.3 (2.4)	8.7 (2.3)	111	0.82, 0.37
Receptive Communication b	6.7 (2.5)	6.7 (1.9)	111	0.01, 0.94
Motor Scale b	17.8 (4.2)	18.9 (4.4)	112	1.78, 0.19
Fine Motor b	9.2 (3.0)	8.9 (2.2)	112	0.30, 0.58

Table 3. Group Differences by Randomisation in the ELSIPS Follow Up Study at 12 months postpartum

Gross Motor b	8.6 (3.0)	10.0 (3.2)	112	5.35, 0.02*
Social and Emotional Scale b	10.5 (3.2)	10.2 (3.2)	111	0.20, 0.66
Adaptive Behaviour Scale b	78.8 (17.8)	81.6 (13.9)	111	0.79, 0.38
Secondary Outcomes:				
Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Score				
N(%) ≥13	5 (8.1)	3 (5.8)	112	.25, .45
Mean (sd)	6.8 (4.9)	5.6 (4.6)	112	1.38, 0.24
Median (IQR, range)	6 (7, 0-26)	5 (5, 0-24)		0.12a
Mother to Infant Bonding Score				
Mean (sd)	1.4 (2.8)	1.0 (1.8)	111	0.30, 0.59
Median (IQR, range)	0 (2, 16-28)	0 (1, 16-28)		0.95 a
Pearlin Self efficacy Scale				
Mean (sd)	23.1 (3.4)	23.7 (3.5)	111	0.83, 0.37
Median (IQR, range)	23 (6, 0-13)	24 (6, 0-8)		0.20 a

Proportion of Mind-minded Comments

25.7 (22.0)	27.0 (20.6)	111	0.17, 0.68
20.00 (39.28, 0-	25 (27.50, 0-		
75)	75)		0.45a
0.46 (5.25)	0.64 (5.08)	111	0.75, 0.39
-0.09 (3.13)	0.06 (2.03)	107c	0.10, 0.75
3.58 (3.53)	3.22 (3.36)	109d	1.72, 0.19
	20.00 (39.28, 0- 75) 0.46 (5.25) -0.09 (3.13)	20.00 (39.28, 0- 25 (27.50, 0- 75) 75) 0.46 (5.25) 0.64 (5.08) -0.09 (3.13) 0.06 (2.03)	20.00 (39.28, 0- 25 (27.50, 0- 75) 75) 0.46 (5.25) 0.64 (5.08) 111 -0.09 (3.13) 0.06 (2.03) 107c

* $p \le 0.05$. Main analyses use ANCOVA with gestation in days as covariate (gestation information missing n = 1), except: a Mann

Whitney Test used to analyse group differences; b ANOVA used as Bayley scaled scores are calculated using infant adjusted age. c missing mother to infant bonding data at baseline (n=5); d missing self efficacy data at baseline (n=3). Baseline measures taken at 8-12 weeks postpartum.

295 **Discussion**

This study aimed to examine whether the POW service had a positive impact on infant 296 297 development at 12 months and a sustained effect on maternal depression, self efficacy and bonding at 12 months postpartum. There was no evidence of sustained improvements in 298 any aspect of maternal mental health in the sub-sample who completed follow up but 299 300 infants of women who received the POW intervention had significantly better gross motor skills at 1 year than infants of women who received standard care. There were no other 301 302 significant improvements in any other aspect of development in infants in the POW arm of the trial. 303

Gross motor skills include the assessment of general musculature, physical skills and 304 305 coordination, and thus gross motor skills delay is often used as an indicator of developmental delay. The infants of women who received the POW support demonstrated 306 307 gross motor skills in line with that expected of their age group using scaled reference scores. In contrast, their peers who did not receive the intervention demonstrated gross motor skill 308 309 performance significantly below the norm for their age, consistent with their background of high social risk. In general, the infants performed less well than a same aged peer reference 310 311 group, particularly in indices of cognitive and receptive communication development, for which they scored over one standard deviation below that expected of their age group 312 (between the 9th and 16th percentile). 313

Delayed development of motor skills has been associated with later cognitive deficits such as dyslexia, ADHD and language impairment [48]. Specifically, gross motor skills (but not fine motor skills) measured from birth to 4 years have been shown to explain significant variance

in children's later cognitive performance, particularly working memory and processing speed [49]. Therefore, whilst we did not demonstrate a significant effect of the intervention on cognitive development at 12 months, it is possible that children whose mothers received the POW intervention may show better longer term cognitive outcomes. This may be particularly important in this group, who are showing signs of significant underperformance in many areas of development.

The positive effects of the POW service on postnatal mental health are likely to have 323 benefitted maternal-infant interaction, given that self efficacy is associated with greater 324 325 parental competence [35] and that maternal depression is associated with less play, touch and affection [12]. Therefore, one mechanism by which the POW service had positive 326 327 effects on infant gross motor outcome may be through better sensorimotor stimulation. 328 Another mechanism may be that of exposure to a less stressful uterine environment. Maternal antenatal distress has previously been associated with poorer motor development 329 in infancy [50] and is a more important predictor of motor development than postnatal 330 331 distress. Aspects of distress clustered in the second and third trimesters, including anger, pregnancy related stress and cortisol levels, have specific links to poorer infant psychomotor 332 333 development [51]. There are a number of other potential mechanisms linking improvements 334 in early postnatal mental health to better later infant gross motor outcomes, include greater support from a less depressed mother to infant attempts at movement, as well as general 335 improvements in environmental stimulation (toys, opportunities) that increase children's 336 interest in their environment and motivate the development of movement and action. 337 338 Furthermore, improvements in bonding from mother to infant facilitate security of 339 attachment and thus may improve willingness to explore the environment. Improvements in 340 maternal pregnancy health (for example reductions in the use of drugs and/or alcohol 341 during pregnancy, reduced use of antidepressants during pregnancy) may also have 342 implications for improvements in infant gross motor development. However, we do not 343 have data from the ELSIPS study to enable us to examine these factors as mechanisms, so 344 these conclusions remain speculative.

345 One important limitation of this study was that the sample selected for follow up was pragmatic, limited by financial and time restrictions, and a less diverse, less depressed and 346 347 more self- efficacious group were tested for longer term outcomes. Selection bias regarding inclusion into the follow up study applied equally to both arms of the study but unobserved 348 selection mechanisms may have comprised the comparability of the study groups despite 349 350 the efforts of the investigators to apply selection criteria in both arms in a symmetrical way. Thus the lack of representativeness of our follow up sample does pose significant limitations 351 on our ability to make conclusions about the effectiveness of the overall ELSIPS trial on later 352 infant outcome. Also, whilst there was a significant difference in self efficacy between the 353 354 follow up sample POW and standard care participants at 8-12 weeks, the differences in depression at that time were slight. Therefore, it is possible that the effects of the 355 356 intervention on maternal mental health are relatively short term or that the measured effects of the intervention on long term maternal mental health, bonding and infant 357 development may be underestimated. A further important limitation of the study is that of 358 multiple testing. We did not undertake Bonferroni correction for multiple testing given their 359 unnecessarily stringent effects because we did not want to increase the risk of type II error 360 361 in this relatively small sample. However, this raises the possibility that the only improvement in infant development found in this study, that of gross motor development, 362

363 could be a spurious finding resulting from a type I error. Nevertheless the scale of the effect
364 (half a standard deviation difference between arms) suggests that this result is a clinically
365 significant one. Further work examining the effects of pre- and post-natal lay interventions
366 on longer term infant outcomes, and the precise mechanisms of action, is required.

There is a need for a greater range of support services for perinatal women with social risks, including support in addressing economic and social needs, and these women express a preference for services focused on empowerment of the women themselves [52]. The POW service is an example of such a service, which shows positive effects on maternal mental health and bonding in the short-term. Whilst the benefits of the POW service for infant development are limited, there may be a benefit for infant gross motor outcomes in the longer term.

374 Acknowledgements

375 We would like to acknowledge the input of Professor Richard Lilford, Professor in Public

Health, University of Warwick for his comments on the manuscript.

377

378 Financial Disclosure

The authors have no financial relationships relevant to the work.

380

381 Conflict of Interest

382 The authors declare no conflict of interest related to this work.

383

384 Contributors' Statements

385	Jackie Blissett contributed to conceptualization and design of the follow up study,
386	supervised data collection, conducted the initial analyses, drafted the initial manuscript, and
387	approved the final manuscript as submitted.
388	Sara Kenyon contributed to conceptualization and design of the follow up study, supervised
389	data collection, critically reviewed the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as
390	submitted.
391	Emma Popo carried out the data collection, contributed to the initial analyses, contributed
392	to the draft of the initial manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.
393	Sophie Dann contributed to the design of the follow up study, supervised data collection,
394	critically reviewed the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.
395	Christine MacArthur contributed to conceptualization and design of the follow up study,
396	critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.
397	
398	
399	References
400	1. Aber, J. L., Bennett, N. G., Conley, D. C., & Li, J. The Effects of Poverty on Child Health and
401	Development. Annu Rev Public Health. 1997; 18: 463-483.
402	2. Kramer, M. S., Seguin, L., Lydon, J., & Goulet, L. Socio-economic disparities in pregnancy
403	outcome: why do the poor fare so poorly? Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2000; 14: 194-210.

3. Mackenbach, J. P., Stirbu, I., Roskam, A. J. R., Schaap., MM., Menvielle, G., Leinsalu, M. et
al., Socioeconomic inequalities in health in 22 European countries. *New Eng J Med.* 2008;
359: E14-E14.

407 4. Knight, M., Kurinczuk, J. J., Spark, P., Brocklehurst, P., & UKOSS. Inequalities in maternal
408 health: national cohort study of ethnic variation in severe maternal morbidities. *BMJ*. 2009;
409 338. DOI 10.1136/bmj.b542

410 5. Collins, N., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Lobel, M., & Scrimshaw, S. C. M. Social Support in

411 Pregnancy: Psychosocial Correlates of Birth Outcomes and Postpartum Depression. J Pers

412 Soc Psychol. 1993; 65: 1243-1258.

413 6. Crnic, K. A., & Greenberg, M. T. Minor Parenting Stresses with Young-Children. *Child Dev.*414 1990; 61: 1628-1637. DOI 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1990.tb02889.

415 7. Desai, S., & Alva, S. Maternal education and child health: Is there a strong causal

416 relationship? *Demography*. 1998; 35: 71-81. Doi 10.2307/3004028

417 8. Karlsen, S., Say, L., Souza, J. P., Hogue, CJ., Calles, DL., Guelmezoglu, A. et al. The

relationship between maternal education and mortality among women giving birth in health

419 care institutions: Analysis of the cross sectional WHO Global Survey on Maternal and

420 Perinatal Health. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11. Doi 10.1186/1471-2458-11-606

421 9. Pickett, K. E., & Pearl, M. Multilevel Analyses of Neighbourhood Socioeconomic Context

422 And Health Outcomes: A Critical Review. *J Epidemiol Commun H.* 2001; 55: 111-122.

- 10. Lorant, V., Deliege, D., Eaton, W., Robert, A., Philippot, P., & Ansseau, M. Socioeconomic
 inequalities in depression: A meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2003; 157; 98-112. Doi
 10.1093/Aje/Kwf182
- 426 11. Grace, S. L., Evindar, A., & Stewart, D. E. The effect of postpartum depression on child
- 427 cognitive development and behavior: a review and critical analysis of the literature. Arch

428 *Women Ment Hlth.* 2003; 6: 263-274. doi: 10.1007/s00737-003-0024-6.

- 429 12. Field, T. Postpartum depression effects on early interactions, parenting, and safety
- 430 practices: A review. *Infant Behav Dev.* 2010; 33: 1-6.
- 431 13. Stein, A., Pearson, RM., Goodman, SH., Rapa, E., Rahman, A., McCallum, M. et al. Effects

432 of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *Lancet.* 2014; 384: 1800-1819.

- 433 14. Kurstjens, S., & Wolke, D. Effects of maternal depression on cognitive development of
- 434 children over the first 7 years of life. J Child Psychol Psyc. 2001; 42: 623-636. Doi
- 435 10.1017/S0021963001007296.
- 436 15. Martins, C. & Gaffan, EA. Effects of early maternal depression on patterns of infant-
- 437 mother attachment: A meta-analytic investigation. *J Child Psychol Psyc.* 2000; 41: 737-746.
- 438 16. Murray, L., Fiori Cowley, A., Hooper, R., & Cooper, P. The impact of postnatal depression
- and associated adversity on early mother-infant interactions and later infant outcome. *Child*
- 440 *Dev.* 1996; 67: 2512-2526. DOI 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1996.tb01871.x
- 17. Sharp, D., Hay, DF., Pawlby, S. Schmucker, G., Allen, H., & Kumar, R. The impact of
- 442 postnatal depression on boys' intellectual development. J Child Psychol Psyc. 1995;
- 443 36: 1315-1336.

- 18. Kumar, R. C. "Anybody's child": severe disorders of mother-to-infant bonding. Brit J
- 445 *Psychiat.* 1997; 171: 175-181. DOI 10.1192/bjp.171.2.175
- 446 19. Moehler, E., Brunner, R., Wiebel, A., Reck, C., & Resch, F. Maternal depressive symptoms
- in the postnatal period are associated with long-term impairment of mother-child bonding.
- 448 Arch Women Ment Health. 2006; 9: 273-278. DOI 10.1007/s00737-006-0149-5
- 20. Yoshida, K., Yamashita, H., Conroy, S., Marks, M., & Kumar, C. A Japanese version of
- 450 Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale: factor structure, longitudinal changes and links with
- 451 maternal mood during the early postnatal period in Japanese mothers. Arch Women Ment
- 452 *Health.* 2012; 15: 343-352. DOI 10.1007/s00737-012-029.
- 21. Brockington, I. F., Aucamp, H. M., & Fraser, C. Severe disorders of the mother-infant
 relationship: definitions and frequency. *Arch Women Ment Health.* 2006; 9: 243-251. doi:
 10.1007/s00737-006-0133-0
- 456 22. Brockington, I. F., Oates, J., George, S., Vostanis, P., Sullivan, M., Loh, C. et al. A
- 457 Screening Questionnaire for mother infant bonding disorders. *Arch Women Ment Health.*458 2001; 3: 133-140.
- 459 23. Hipwell, A.E.; Stepp, S.D.; Moses-Kolko, E.L; Xiong, S; Paul, E; Merrick, N; et al. Predicting
- 460 adolescent postpartum caregiving from trajectories of depression and anxiety prior to
- 461 childbirth: a 5-year prospective study. *Arch Women Ment Health. 2016;*19; 871-82.

462

463 24. Meins, E. Security of Attachment and the Social Development of Cognition. 1997; Hove,
464 England: Psychology Press.

465 25. Herrera E, Reissland N, Shepherd J. Maternal touch and maternal child-directed speech:
466 effects of depressed mood in the postnatal period. *J Affect Disorders*. 2004; 81: 29–39.

26. Meins E, Fernyhough C, Wainwright R, Das Gupta, M., Fradley, E. & Tuckey, M. Maternal
mind-mindedness and attachment security as predictors of theory of mind understanding. *Child Dev.* 2002; 73: 1715–1726.

470 27 Meins, E., Fernyhough, C., Fradley, E., & Tuckey, M. Rethinking maternal sensitivity:

471 Mothers' comments on infants' mental processes predict security of attachment at 12

472 months. J Child Psychol Psyc. 2001; 42: 637-648. Doi 10.1017/S0021963001007302

473 28. Arnott B, Meins E. Links among antenatal attachment representations, post-natal

474 mindmindedness, and infant attachment security: a preliminary study of mothers and

475 fathers. *B Menninger Clin.* 2007; 71: 132–149.

- 476 29. Walker, T.M.; Wheatcroft, R.; Camic, P.M. Mind-mindedness in parents of pre-schoolers:
- a comparison between clinical and community samples. *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2012;
- 478 17: 318-335.
- 30. Meins, E. Sensitivity, security and internal working models: bridging the transmission
 gap. Attach Hum Dev. 1999; 1:325-42.
- 481 31. Pearlin, L. I., & Schooler, C. The Structure of Coping. J Health Soc Behav. 1978; 19: 2-21
- 482 32. Franks, F & Faux, SA. Depression, Stress, Mastery and Social Resources in 4 Ethnocultural
 483 Women's Groups. *Res Nurs Health.* 1990; 13: 283-292.

33 Haslam, DM., Pakenham, KI, Smith, A. Social Support and postpartum depressive
symptomatology: the mediating role of maternal self efficacy. *Infant Ment Health J.* 2006;
27: 276-291.

487 34. Sanders, M. R., & Woolley, M. L. The relationship between maternal self efficacy and

- 488 parenting practices: Implications for parent training. *Child Care Health Dev.* 2005; 31: 65-73.
- 489 35. Teti, DM., & Gelfand, DM. Behavioral Competence Among Mothers of Infants in The 1st

490 Year - The Mediational Role Of Maternal Self-Efficacy. *Child Dev.* 1991; 62: 918-929.

- 491 36. Dennis CL, Dowswell T. Psychosocial and psychological interventions for preventing
- 492 postpartum depression. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013. Issue 2. Art. No.: CD001134

493 37. Kenyon, S., Jolly, K., Hemming, K., Ingram, L., Gale, N. Dann, SA. et al. Evaluation of Lay

494 Support in Pregnant women with Social risk (ELSIPS): a randomised controlled trial. *BMC*

495 *Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2012; 12: 11, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2393-12-11.

496 38. Kenyon SL, Jolly, K, Hemming K, Hope, L., Blissett, J. Dann, SA. et al. Lay support for

497 pregnant women with social risk: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*, 2016; 6: e009203.

498 Doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009203.

- 39. Lovejoy, MC., Graczyk, PA., O'Hare, E., Neuman, G. Maternal Depression and parenting
 behaviour: a meta-analytic review. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2000; 20: 561-592.
- 40 Cox, J. L., Holden, J. M., & Sagovsky, R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development
- of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1987; 150: 782-786.
- 503 doi: 10.1192/bjp.150.6.782

- 41 Bayley, N. *Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development*. 2006; San Antonio, TX:
 Harcourt Assessment.
- 42. Albers, C.A. Grieve, A.J. Test review: Bayley, N. (2006). Bayley Scales of Infant and
- 507 Toddler Development- Third Edition. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment. J Psychoeduc
- 508 *Assess*, 2007; 25:180.
- 43. Schiborr, J.; Lotzin, A.; Romer, G.; Schulte-Markwort, M; Ramsauer, B. Child-focused
- 510 maternal mentalization: A systematic review of measurement tools from birth to three.
- 511 *Measurement.* 2013; 46: 2492-2509.
- 44. Hewitt C, Gilbody S, Brealey S, Paulden M, Palmer S, Mann R, et al. Methods to identify
- 513 postnatal depression in primary care: an integrated evidence synthesis and value of
- information analysis. *Health Technol Assess*. 2009; 13 :1-145, 147-230.
- 45. Petterson, S.M. & Friel L.V. Psychological Distress, Hopelessness and Welfare. *Women*
- 516 *Health*. 2001; 32:1-2, 79-99.
- 46. Taylor, A., Atkins, R., Kumar, R., Adams, D., & Glover, V. A new Mother-to-Infant Bonding
- 518 Scale: links with early maternal mood. Arch Women Ment Health. 2005; 8: 45-51. doi:
- 519 10.1007/s00737-005-0074-z.
- 47. van Bussel JC, Spitz B, Demyttenaere K. Three self-report questionnaires of the early
- 521 mother-to-infant bond: reliability and validity of the Dutch version of the MPAS, PBQ and
- 522 MIBS. Arch Womens Ment Health. 2010; 13:373-84.
- 48. Diamond, A. Close interrelation of motor development and cognitive development and
 of the cerebellum and prefrontal cortex. *Child Dev.* 2000; 71: 44-56.

525	49. Piek, JP., Dawson, L., Smith, LM., Gasson, N. The role of early fine and gross motor
526	development on later motor and cognitive ability. <i>Hum Movement Sci.</i> 2008; 27: 668-681.
527	50. Huizink, AC., de Medina, PGR., Mulder, EJH., Visser, GHA & Buitelaar, JK. Stress during
528	pregnancy is associated with developmental outcome in infancy. J Child Psychol Psyc. 2003;
529	44: 810-818.
530	51. Kingston, D., Tough,S., & Whitfield, H. Prenatal and Postpartum Maternal Psychological
531	Distress and Infant Development: A Systematic Review. Child Psychiat Hum D. 2012; 43: 683-
532	714.
533	52. Raymond NC., Pratt, RJ., Godecker, A; Harrison, PA., Kim, H, Kuendig, J. et al. Addressing
534	perinatal depression in a group of underserved urban women : a focus group study. BMC
535	Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014; 14: Article Number: 336.
536	
536 537	
	Supporting Information
537	Supporting Information
537 538	Supporting Information S1 Table. ELSIPS Follow Up Sample Composition and Characteristics
537 538 539	
537 538 539 540	S1 Table. ELSIPS Follow Up Sample Composition and Characteristics
537 538 539 540 541	S1 Table. ELSIPS Follow Up Sample Composition and Characteristics