

# Investigation of the lubrication properties and tribological mechanisms of oxygenated compounds

Sukjit, E.; Poapongsakorn, P.; Dearn, K. D.; Lapuerta, M.; Sánchez-Valdepeñas, J.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.wear.2017.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2017.02.007)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Sukjit, E, Poapongsakorn, P, Dearn, KD, Lapuerta, M & Sánchez-Valdepeñas, J 2017, 'Investigation of the lubrication properties and tribological mechanisms of oxygenated compounds', *Wear*, vol. 376-377, no. Part A, pp. 836-842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2017.02.007>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

Checked for eligibility: 10/07/2017

**General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

**Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Manuscript Number:

Title: Investigation of lubrication properties and mechanisms of oxygenated compounds

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: Lubricity; Oxygenated compounds; Wear scar; Humidity

Corresponding Author: Dr. Ekarong Sukjit, PhD in Mechanical Engineering

Corresponding Author's Institution: Suranaree University of Technology

First Author: Ekarong Sukjit, PhD in Mechanical Engineering

Order of Authors: Ekarong Sukjit, PhD in Mechanical Engineering; Piyamon Poapongsakorn, PhD in Mechanical Engineering; Karl Dearn; Magín Lapuerta; Jesús Sánchez-Valdepeñas

Abstract: Lubrication properties and mechanisms of various oxygenated compounds were investigated. High-frequency reciprocating rig (HFRR) was applied to measure the lubricity. The effect of humidity, functional group, carbon-chain length, and unsaturation on the lubricity of the oxygenated compound were studied. After the lubricity test, microscopic observation of disc specimens was made in a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The functional moieties were found to be the factor that significantly influenced the lubricity and the sensitivity to humidity of the oxygenated compounds. Abrasive wear was the dominating mechanism for all of the oxygenated compounds. As the vapor pressure increased, wear became more severe due to the contribution of corrosion. While, chain length and unsaturation seemed to have an insignificant effect on lubricity of the fatty acid methyl ester.

## CONFIRMATION OF AUTHORSHIP

### Wear of Materials 2017

**TITLE:** Investigation of lubrication properties and mechanisms of oxygenated compounds

**REFERENCE NO:** 5023

We, the undersigned, confirm that we are the joint authors of the above paper.


We confirm that all the authors have had material input into the submission.

We confirm that, to our knowledge, all the claims, statements and conclusions are true and are our jointly held opinions.


We confirm that we all accept the terms of publication of the publisher.

Signed:

Name: Ekarong Sukjit

Signature:  .....

Name: Piyamon Poapongsakorn

Signature:  .....

Name: Karl Dearn

Signature:  .....

Name: Magín Lapuerta

Signature:  .....

Name: Jesús Sánchez-Valdepeñas

Signature: Jesús Sánchez-Valdepeñas

0523 E. Sukjit, P. Poapongsakorn, K. D. Dearn, M. Lapuerta and J. Sánchez-Valdepeñas

1           **Investigation of lubrication properties and mechanisms of oxygenated compounds**

2           E. Sukjit <sup>a,\*</sup>, P. Poapongsakorn <sup>b</sup>, K. D. Dearn <sup>c</sup>, M. Lapuerta <sup>d</sup> and J. Sánchez-Valdepeñas <sup>d</sup>

3                   <sup>a</sup> Suranaree University of Technology, School of Mechanical Engineering,

4                               111 University Avenue, Nakhon Ratchasima, 30000, Thailand

5                   <sup>b</sup> Suranaree University of Technology, School of Manufacturing Engineering,

6                               111 University Avenue, Nakhon Ratchasima, 30000, Thailand

7                   <sup>c</sup> University of Birmingham, School of Mechanical Engineering,

8                               Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

9                   <sup>d</sup> University of Castilla La-Mancha, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Industriales,

10                               Avenida Camilo José Cela s/n, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain

11

12           **Abstract**

13           Lubrication properties and mechanisms of various oxygenated compounds were  
14 investigated. High-frequency reciprocating rig (HFRR) was applied to measure the lubricity. The  
15 effect of humidity, functional group, carbon-chain length, and unsaturation on the lubricity of the  
16 oxygenated compound were studied. After the lubricity test, microscopic observation of disc  
17 specimens was made in a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The functional moieties were  
18 found to be the factor that significantly influenced the lubricity and the sensitivity to humidity of  
19 the oxygenated compounds. Abrasive wear was the dominating mechanism for all of the  
20 oxygenated compounds. As the vapor pressure increased, wear became more severe due to the  
21 contribution of corrosion. While, chain length and unsaturation seemed to have an insignificant  
22 effect on lubricity of the fatty acid methyl ester.

23           Keywords: Lubricity, Oxygenated compounds, Wear scar, Humidity.

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2           Several new technologies have been developed to increase the potential of fuel  
3 combustion and decrease engine-out emissions in compression ignition engines. For example,  
4 the common-rail systems achieve the high injection pressure to enhance the fuel atomization  
5 leading to better air mixing, faster fuel evaporation and more combustion efficiency. The water  
6 injection to intake manifold can reduce the supercharged air temperature resulting a reduction in  
7 NO<sub>x</sub> emissions without a large increase in PM emissions [1]. The production of high quality  
8 refined fuel and synthetic fuel is another example successful to improve the engine performance  
9 and exhaust gas emissions. However all technologies as mentioned challenge with the failure of  
10 fuel injection equipment. Severely-loaded injector and pump of common-rail systems need fuels  
11 with high lubricating capacity to avoid any tribological damaged surface problem on fuel  
12 injection components. The existence of water in the air can significantly affect the lubricating  
13 properties of fuel and its effect is dependent on the fuel type and the applied load. A correlation  
14 of increased wear scar with increased moisture content in the air was reported when aviation fuel  
15 and diesel fuel were tested [2, 3]. The desulphurization process to limit sulfur content in diesel  
16 fuel eliminates not only quantities of sulfur in diesel fuel but also those of lubricity-imparting  
17 compounds such as polyaromatics and oxygen containing compounds leading to numerous fuel  
18 injection equipment failures due to the rapid loss of fuel lubrication performance [4].  
19 Oxygenated compounds present in the fuel molecule play a key role on reducing exhaust gas  
20 emissions, especially smoke and PM emissions, and their tribological behaviors of oxygenated  
21 compounds are different due to polarity of each compound. The absence of polar compounds and  
22 reactive species tend to form an oxide layer on the metallic surfaces but this oxide film can be  
23 simply eliminated during rubbing process, as a result of producing relatively severe wear. The

1 presence of polar species which are preferentially adsorbed will prevent the formation of an  
2 oxide film [2, 5]. For reasons as stated the fuel lubricity is one of the most critical properties of  
3 convention fuels or alternative fuels to avoid severe contact condition in fuel injection  
4 equipment.

5 Many researches have been reported the use of additive or pure substances as blend  
6 components to improve the lubricity of diesel fuel with low content of sulfur. Fatty acid and its  
7 methyl ester are widely used as lubricity additive to enhance the lubricating properties of highly  
8 refined fuel [6-8]. An increase in carbon-chain length of fatty acid esters tended to increase the  
9 effectiveness of the boundary lubricant to reduce friction and wear [9, 10]. However there is no  
10 clear trend between carbon-chain length of methyl esters and lubricity enhancing properties  
11 when carbon number of 18 to 22 was tested [11]. Comparing the saturated and unsaturated  
12 compounds of esters the molecules of saturated compounds can develop stronger lubricating  
13 layer due to molecules are easier to align in straight chains and are more closely packed on the  
14 surface, resulting in the better lubricity [12]. Conversely, a rough correlation between saturation  
15 and lubricity enhancement showed that an increase in unsaturation will improve fuel lubricity,  
16 when methyl ester of C<sub>18</sub> series was investigated [11]. Biodiesels derived from several  
17 feedstocks such as palm, rapeseed, soybean, castor and cotton seed have successfully been used  
18 as diesel fuel lubricity enhancers and methyl ester of castor containing ricinoleic acid as main  
19 composition showed a substantial improvement in lubricity when as little as 1% was added to  
20 low sulfur diesel fuel [6]. The effect of functional group present in oxygenated compounds on  
21 fuel lubricity was tested and the results showed that the capability to enhance the lubricity was  
22  $\text{COOH} > \text{CHO} > \text{OH} > \text{COOCH}_3 > \text{C=O} > \text{C-O-C}$  [13].

1           According to the European and American standards of fuel lubricity, the high frequency  
2 reciprocating rig (HFRR) is widely employed as consequence of its ability to provide a wide  
3 range of wear mechanisms related with adhesive sliding wear, which is responsible for the fuel  
4 injection equipment failures [4]. The test methods require a steel ball sliding on a steel disc  
5 submerged in the fuel to form wear on specimens. In general, the wear scar on ball specimen is  
6 reported to classify the lubricity of fuels. The wear scars of 460 and 520  $\mu\text{m}$  are the limits of  
7 diesel fuel lubricity prescribed by EN 590:2009 [14] and ASTM D 975 [15], respectively.

8           To consider the ambient temperature and the presence of water in the air (humidity)  
9 which significantly affects the fuel lubricity during the test [2], the European standard requires  
10 that water vapor pressure lies within a specified range for the test, approximately 25%-65%  
11 relative humidity at ambient air temperature of 25 °C. The average wear scar is corrected with  
12 the factor as function of vapor pressure as called the humidity correction factor (HCF), leading to  
13 final reported value of corrected wear scar (WS1.4). The HCF of 60  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$  is proposed by the  
14 standard for unknown chemical composition of the fuel. The recent work revealed that the HCF  
15 is dependent on the chemical composition of fuel. It reported that an overestimation on corrected  
16 wear scar diameters was observed for paraffinic fuels and alcohols when the standard humidity  
17 correction factor was applied, while an underestimation was found in case of biodiesel fuels. The  
18 HCF of 55  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$  was proposed to normalize the wear scar of hydrocarbon fuels with carbon  
19 number ranged from 10 to 20. The HCF of 70  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$  was recommended for biodiesel fuel. The  
20 HCF of 40  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$  was suggested for alcohol fuel as practical value [16].

21           In this work, the commercial diesel fuels,  $\text{C}_{10}$  oxygenated compounds and fatty acid  
22 methyl ester of  $\text{C}_9\text{-C}_{18}$  were selected to evaluate the effect of the ambient air humidity on the  
23 wear scar so that specific humidity correction factors depending on the fuel composition used to

1 normalize the wear scar diameter were proposed. In addition, worn surfaces of tested specimens  
2 were observed in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) and lubricity mechanisms of the  
3 oxygenated compounds were discussed.

4

## 5 **2. Materials and methodology**

### 6 **2.1 Oxygenated compounds**

7 The main characteristics of tested fuels and pure substances comprised of commercial  
8 diesel fuels and oxygenated compounds for the lubricity test are given in Table 1 [17-21]. The  
9 ultra-low sulphur diesel (ULSD) and biodiesel derived from rapeseed oil (RME) were provided  
10 by Shell Global Solutions UK. The ULSD was supplied with additives to keep the lubrication  
11 properties below the limitation required by EN 590. The C<sub>10</sub> oxygenated compounds with  
12 different functional groups, selected methyl esters with carbon-chain length between C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>18</sub> and  
13 C<sub>18</sub> methyl esters with variable unsaturation were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

14

### 15 **2.2 Specimens**

16 The standard test specimens are composed of two pieces, i.e. ball specimen and disc  
17 specimen. Both ball and disc specimens are made of ANSI E-52100 steel. The chemical  
18 composition of the ANSI E-52100 steel is shown in Table 2 [22]. However, the disc specimen  
19 was prepared from annealed rod, while the ball specimen was hardened by quenching prior to  
20 tempering [23]. Hardness and roughness of ball and disc specimens provided by the  
21 manufacturer are summarized in Table 3. As the disc specimens will be used for an analysis of  
22 wear mechanism after the test, the as-received disc specimen was etched and then investigated in  
23 a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The microstructure of the as-received disc specimen was



1 shown in Figure 1. As a result of the annealing process, the disc specimen reveals spheroidized  
2 carbides dispersed in ferrite.

3

### 4 **2.3 Lubricity test**

5 The lubricity of tested fuels and pure substances were carried out in the high frequency  
6 reciprocating rig (HFRR). The parameters including the fuel temperature, fuel sample volume,  
7 reciprocating frequency and stroke, test time and load weight were set according to the test  
8 methods specified in EN ISO 12156-1:2006 [24] and ASTM D 6079 standards [25]. The  
9 temperature controlled cabinet and salt-water solutions were employed to obtain the different  
10 conditions of air humidities during the test. The salts and the range of vapor pressures obtained  
11 after the equilibrium of salts are described in Table 2. To evaluate the lubricity in term the mean  
12 wear scar diameter (MWSD) the microscope with 100x magnification lens was used to measure  
13 the length of wear scar on the tested ball in the axis parallel and perpendicular to the sliding  
14 direction. After the lubricity test, tested disc specimens were cleaned with acetone and then  
15 investigated in a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

16

### 17 **2.4 Humidity correction factor (HFC)**

18 Regarding the EN ISO 12156-1 to consider the laboratory air condition during the  
19 lubricity test, the mean wear scar diameter was calculated to the corrected wear scar diameter or  
20 wear scar normalized to a vapor pressure of 1.4 kPa (WS1.4) as described in Equation (1):

21

$$22 \quad WS_{1.4} = MWSD + HCF(1.4 - p_v) \quad (1)$$

23

1 where  $p_v$  is the mean vapor pressure during the test and HCF is the humidity correction factor.  
 2 The HCF can be determined by the differentiating equation 1 with respect to the vapor pressure  
 3 shown the detail in equation 2 and the standard recommends the HCF value of 60  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$  for  
 4 diesel fuel. From Table 5, the HCF of diesel fuel with low content of sulfur determined from the  
 5 experimental data in this study is 57.70  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$ , which is comparable to the standard HFC value.

6

$$7 \quad \text{HCF} = \frac{d\text{MWSD}}{dp_v} \quad (2)$$

8

### 9 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 10 **3.1 Effect of functional group**

11 Mean wear scar diameters (MWSDs) measured from specimens tested in various  
 12 oxygenated compounds at different vapor pressures and the normalized wear scar diameters (WS  
 13 1.4) for each oxygenated compound are shown in Figure 2 and 3, respectively. To obtain the  
 14 lubricity (WS 1.4) the HCF values of each compound calculated from linear fitting of results in  
 15 Figure 2 have been used. Lubricity of the oxygenated compounds decreased with increasing of  
 16 MWSD. As the oxygenated compounds contain different functional groups, the effect of  
 17 functional group enhancing lubricity can be listed as the following sequence: carboxyl >  
 18 aldehyde > hydroxyl > ester > ether > ketone. The results corresponded with the previous studies  
 19 [26], except the results of carbonyl group which composed of ether and ketone.

20 The sizes of the wear scars optically observed on the disc specimens tested in various  
 21 oxygenated compounds shown in Figure 4 display a similar trend comparing to the MWSD  
 22 results (Figure 2). Generally, the lubricity of a fluid depends on its viscosity, i.e. fluid with high  
 23 viscosity produces good boundary lubrication [27, 28]. Thus, in the cases of decanoic acid,

1 decanal, and 1-decanol having relatively high viscosity (Table 1), small and smooth wear scars  
2 were observed (Figure 4 (a)-(f)). However, 1-decanol, possessing the highest viscosity, yielded  
3 comparatively larger wear scar, than decanoic acid and decanal. Therefore, viscosity was  
4 unlikely the only factor influencing lubricity.

5         It was reported previously that lubricity of organic compounds mainly depends on their  
6 adsorption ability to a substrate [13]. Since the organic compounds usually attach to a substrate  
7 via the functional moiety, the amount of organic compound molecules being able to adsorb on a  
8 substrate as well as the bonding strength between the organic compound and the substrate are  
9 directly influenced the characteristic of the functional group. Cook and Hackerman found that  
10 the organic compound with carboxyl group (COOH) could yield higher amount of molecules  
11 adsorbed on steel than those with hydroxyl group did [29]. Moreover, due to the fact that  
12 carboxyl group are more polar than other functional groups presenting in this study, it can form a  
13 stronger intermolecular bonding with a metal substrate [13]. Thus, decanoic acid composed of  
14 carboxyl group resulted in the smallest and smoothest wear scars, i.e. the highest lubricity  
15 (Figure 4 (a) and (b)).

16         In terms of polarity, carbonyl (C=O) and hydroxyl (OH) groups are ranked next to  
17 carboxyl group. Therefore, decanal with carbonyl group and 1-decanol with hydroxyl group  
18 produced larger wear scars (Figure 4 (c)-(f)), i.e. lower lubricity, than decanoic acid. Even  
19 though 2-decanone was also composed of carbonyl group, its lubricity was the lowest (Figure 3).  
20 Moreover, deep grooves were clearly observed on the disc specimens tested in 2-decanone  
21 (Figure 4 (k) and (l)). Comparing with linear structure of decanal, the relatively branching chain  
22 molecule of the 2-decanone prevents the chains to pack closely together and results in the weaker  
23 lubricating layer. The same effect can be used to explain the lubrication of methyl nonanoate and

1 dipentyl ether having the branching structures. However, the adsorption of the chain molecule of  
2 the compounds also depends on their molecular weight, i.e. increase in molecular weight leads to  
3 increasing of adsorption ability on a substrate [30]. Therefore, methyl nonanoate and dipentyl  
4 ether, having higher molecular weight comparing with 2-decanone, produced smaller and  
5 smoother wear scars (Figure 4 (g)-(j)), i.e. the higher lubricity.

6

### 7 **3.2 Effect of humidity**

8         The effect of humidity on the lubricity of the oxygenated compounds was investigated by  
9 varying the vapor pressure in the test chamber. The results of MWSD at different vapor pressures  
10 are shown in Figure 2. It is obvious that increase in vapor pressure led to increasing of MWSD. It  
11 is likely that water from surrounding air could be absorbed into the oxygenated compound during  
12 the test. As the viscosity of water is relatively low, the presence of water will reduce the overall  
13 viscosity of the oxygenated compound. Thus, at higher vapor pressure, the water content in the  
14 oxygenated compound became higher and resulted in decreasing of viscosity and lubricity of the  
15 compound. Moreover, the existence of oxygen in water could lead to corrosion on metal  
16 substrate which might contribute to destroy the lubricating film on the rubbing surface and  
17 induce bigger wear scar [16].

18         From Figure 2, the relationship between the MWSD and the vapor pressure is  
19 approximately linear and Equation (2) can be applied to calculate the slope of the linear-fitted  
20 curve, i.e. the humidity correction factor (HCF). The linear equations which best fitted the  
21 experimental results with the HCFs for different oxygenated compounds are summarized in  
22 Table 5. It can be seen that 2-decanone was very sensitive to the change in humidity as it has the  
23 highest HCF of 99.80  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$ , while decanal was least sensitive to humidity with HCF of 13.46

1  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$ . The sensitivity to humidity usually depends on the ability of the compound to absorb  
2 water from surrounding air, i.e. hygroscopy. Thus, it is likely that the functional group had  
3 significantly effect on hygroscopy of the oxygenated compound.

4 The close observation of worn surfaces was made in the SEM at high magnification  
5 (Figure 5). Worn surface tested in decanal at low vapor pressure revealed quite smooth surface  
6 with insignificant grooves (Figure 5 (a)). On the other hand, the evidence of abrasive wear, i.e.  
7 grooves, as well as material loss around carbide particles were clearly observed on the worn  
8 surface from the test in decanal at high vapor pressure (Figure 5 (b)). Normally, ferrite is softer  
9 and more active than carbide. Under corrosive environment, ferrite is likely to be corroded,  
10 especially in the critical area, in this case, around carbide particles. Therefore, in the case of  
11 decanal, as the vapor pressure increases abrasive wear with corrosion was likely the dominating  
12 mechanism.

13 For specimens tested in methyl nonanoate and 2-decanone, since these compounds were  
14 quite sensitive to humidity, material loss could be observed even at low vapor pressure (Figure 5  
15 (c) and (e)). In the case of methyl nonanoate, slightly deeper grooves were observed as the vapor  
16 pressure increased (Figure 5 (d)). However, for 2-decanone which is most sensitive to humidity,  
17 the worn surface tested at high vapor pressure revealed the severe abrasive wear with detachment  
18 of carbide particles from the surface (Figure 5 (f)).

19

### 20 **3.3 Effect of chain length and unsaturation**

21 The effect of chain length on lubricity was studied by varying the number of carbon  
22 atoms in fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) in the range of 12 to 18 carbon atoms as listed in Table  
23 1. The MWSDs for the specimens tested in various types of FAME at different vapor pressures

1 are represented in Figure 6. The linear fitted equations and the HCFs for different FAMES are  
2 also summarized in Table 6. It can be seen that HFCs did not change significantly as the number  
3 of carbon atoms in the fatty acid chain changed. Unlike the effect of functional group, the  
4 number of carbon atoms was not the factor influencing the sensitivity to humidity of the  
5 oxygenated compound. The corrected wear scars of the specimens tested in the FAMES with  
6 different chain lengths are shown in Figure 7. Since the increase of the chain length led to  
7 increasing of lubricating film thickness [31], the boundary lubrication of the FAME tended to  
8 increase slightly with increasing of carbon atoms in the fatty acid chain. The results also agreed  
9 with the previous works [13], which suggested that the chain length had a minimum effect of  
10 lubricity.

11 Three types of FAMES with different degrees of unsaturation were used in this study. The  
12 FAMES contain different numbers of double bond; methyl stearate (C18:0) contains no double  
13 bond, methyl oleate (C18:1) contains one double bond, and methyl linoleate (C18:2) contains  
14 two double bonds. The MWSDs of the three FAMES are illustrated in Figure 8. As the most  
15 unsaturated fatty acid, C18:2 was very sensitive to humidity, i.e. its HCF is the highest. The HFC  
16 decreased with decrease in saturation of the fatty acid chain; the HFC of C18:2, C18:1, and  
17 C18:0 are 108.02, 68.86, and 47.75  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$ , respectively. Moreover, it can be seen in Table 5  
18 that the HCF of rapeseed methyl ester (RME) is 85.80  $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$ . Since the RME is mainly  
19 composed of C18:1 and C18:2 [32, 33], the contribution of each component affects the HFC  
20 value of the RME.

21 The corrected wear scars of C18:0, C18:1, and C18:2 are shown in Figure 7. The lubricity  
22 of the FAME slightly decreased with increasing of unsaturation of fatty acid. However, it was  
23 found in the previous works that increase in unsaturation slightly improve the lubricity of fatty

1 acid [34]. Therefore, it should be suggested that the effect of unsaturation on lubricity is trivial  
2 for the fatty acid.

3

#### 4 **4. Conclusion**

5 In this study, effect of humidity on lubrication mechanisms of various oxygenated  
6 compounds was investigated. The findings can be summarized as follows:

- 7 • The functional group significantly affects the lubricity of the oxygenated compounds.  
8 The effect of functional group enhancing lubricity can be listed as the following  
9 sequence: carboxyl > aldehyde > hydroxyl > ester > ether > ketone.
- 10 • The oxygenated compound with carboxyl group having the highest polarity could  
11 strongly adsorb on the metal surface and led to the highest lubricity. While, 2-decanone  
12 (ketone) has the lowest lubricity due to its relatively branching structure as well as low  
13 molecular weight which prevented the chain molecules from packing closely together.
- 14 • Lubricity of the oxygenated compounds decreased with increasing of vapor pressure. The  
15 sensitivity the oxygenated compounds to humidity was influenced by the functional  
16 group. In this study, ketone is most sensitive to the change in humidity, while aldehyde is  
17 least sensitive to the change in humidity.
- 18 • Both chain length and unsaturation of the fatty acid methyl ester have insignificant effect  
19 on the lubricity. However, the unsaturation could influence the sensitivity to humidity,  
20 i.e. unsaturated fatty acid was more sensitive to humidity that the saturated fatty acid.

21

#### 22 **Acknowledgements**

1 Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand is gratefully  
2 acknowledged for the financial support.

3

#### 4 **References**

- 5 [1] X. Tauzia, A. Maiboom, S.R. Shah, Experimental study of inlet manifold water injection on  
6 combustion and emissions of an automotive direct injection Diesel engine, *Energy*, 35  
7 (2010) 3628-3639.
- 8 [2] P.I. Lacey, Wear with low-lubricity fuels I. Development of a wear mapping technique,  
9 *Wear*, 160 (1993) 325-332.
- 10 [3] P.I. Lacey, Evaluation of Oxidative Corrosion in Diesel Fuel Lubricated Contacts, *Tribology*  
11 *Transactions*, 37 (1994) 253-260.
- 12 [4] D.P. Wei, H.A. Spikes, The lubricity of diesel fuels, *Wear*, 111 (1986) 217-235.
- 13 [5] J.K. Lancaster, A review of the influence of environmental humidity and water on friction,  
14 lubrication and wear, *Tribology International*, 23 (1990) 371-389.
- 15 [6] D.P. Geller, J.W. Goodrum, Effects of specific fatty acid methyl esters on diesel fuel  
16 lubricity, *Fuel*, 83 (2004) 2351-2356.
- 17 [7] J. Hu, Z. Du, C. Li, E. Min, Study on the lubrication properties of biodiesel as fuel lubricity  
18 enhancers, *Fuel*, 84 (2005) 1601-1606.
- 19 [8] G. Knothe, "Designer" biodiesel: Optimizing fatty ester composition to improve fuel  
20 properties *Energ Fuel*, 22 (2008) 1358-1364.
- 21 [9] G. Anastopoulos, E. Lois, F. Zannikos, S. Kalligeros, C. Teas, Influence of aceto acetic esters  
22 and di-carboxylic acid esters on diesel fuel lubricity, *Tribol Int*, 34 (2001) 749-755.
- 23 [10] S. Bhuyan, S. Sundararajan, L. Yao, E.G. Hammond, T. Wang, Boundary lubrication  
24 properties of lipid-based compounds evaluated using microtribological methods, *Tribology*  
25 *Letters*, 22 (2006) 167-172.
- 26 [11] J.W. Goodrum, D.P. Geller, Influence of fatty acid methyl esters from hydroxylated  
27 vegetable oils on diesel fuel lubricity, *Bioresource Technol*, 96 (2005) 851-855.
- 28 [12] K. Wadumesthrige, M. Ara, S.O. Salley, K.Y. Simon Ng, Investigation of lubricity  
29 characteristics of biodiesel in petroleum and synthetic fuel, *Energ Fuel*, 23 (2009) 2229-  
30 2234.
- 31 [13] G. Knothe, K.R. Steidley, Lubricity of components of biodiesel and petrodiesel: The origin  
32 of biodiesel lubricity, *Energ Fuel*, 19 (2005) 1192-1200.
- 33 [14] EN 590, Automotive fuels-diesel requirement and test method, European committee for  
34 standardization. Brussels, Belgium, (2009).
- 35 [15] ASTM D 975, Standard specification for diesel fuel oils, ASTM International, West  
36 Conshohocken, United States.
- 37 [16] M. Lapuerta, J. Sánchez-Valdepeñas, E. Sukjit, Effect of ambient humidity and hygroscopy  
38 on the lubricity of diesel fuels, *Wear*, 309 (2014) 200-207.
- 39 [17] Sigma Aldrich Corporation.
- 40 [18] H. Djojoputro, S. Ismadji, Density and Viscosity of Several Aldehydes Fragrance  
41 Compounds in Their Binary Mixtures with Ethanol at (298.15 K, 308.15 K, and 318.15 K),  
42 *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*, 50 (2005) 2003-2007.



- 1 [19] G. Knothe, K.R. Steidley, Kinematic viscosity of biodiesel fuel components and related  
2 compounds. Influence of compound structure and comparison to petrodiesel fuel  
3 components, *Fuel*, 84 (2005) 1059-1065.
- 4 [20] M. Lapuerta, J. Rodríguez-Fernández, O. Armas, Correlation for the estimation of the  
5 density of fatty acid esters fuels and its implications. A proposed Biodiesel Cetane Index,  
6 *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, 163 (2010) 720-727.
- 7 [21] E. Sukjit, J.M. Herreros, K.D. Dearn, R. García-Contreras, A. Tsolakis, The effect of the  
8 addition of individual methyl esters on the combustion and emissions of ethanol and butanol  
9 -diesel blends, *Energy*, 42 (2012) 364-374.
- 10 [22] ASM Handbook, Volume 01 - Properties and Selection: Irons, Steels, and High-  
11 Performance Alloys, ASM International., Materials Park, OH, 1990.
- 12 [23] PCS Instruments, Datasheet: HFRR Specimens, PCS Instruments, London.
- 13 [24] EN ISO 12156-1, Diesel fuel: Assessment of lubricity using the high frequency  
14 reciprocating rig (HFRR) Part 1: Test method, European committee for standardization,  
15 Brussel, Belgium, Part 1: Test method, (2008).
- 16 [25] ASTM D 6079, Standard test method for evaluating lubricity of diesel fuels by the high  
17 frequency reciprocating rig (HFRR), ASTM international, West Conshohocken, United  
18 States.
- 19 [26] G. Knothe, Dependence of biodiesel fuel properties on the structure of fatty acid alkyl  
20 esters, *Fuel Process Technol*, 86 (2005) 1059-1070.
- 21 [27] N. Canter, Special Report: Boundary Lubricity Additives, *Tribology and Lubrication*  
22 *Technology Magazine*, 2009, pp. 10-18.
- 23 [28] J. Luo, S. Wen, P. Huang, Thin film lubrication. Part I. Study on the transition between  
24 EHL and thin film lubrication using a relative optical interference intensity technique, *Wear*,  
25 194 (1996) 107-115.
- 26 [29] E.L. Cook, N. Hackerman, Adsorption of Polar Organic Compounds on Steel, *The Journal*  
27 *of Physical Chemistry*, 55 (1951) 549-557.
- 28 [30] M. Lapuerta, R. García-Contreras, J. Campos-Fernández, M.P. Dorado, Stability, lubricity,  
29 viscosity, and cold-flow properties of alcohol-diesel blends, *Energ Fuel*, 24 (2010) 4497-  
30 4502.
- 31 [31] B.J. Bornong, P. Martin, Adsorption of polar organic molecules on chromium, *The Journal*  
32 *of Physical Chemistry*, 71 (1967) 3731-3736.
- 33 [32] E. Sukjit, K.D. Dearn, Enhancing the lubricity of an environmentally friendly Swedish  
34 diesel fuel MK1, *Wear*, 271 (2011) 1772-1777.
- 35 [33] E. Sukjit, K.D. Dearn, A. Tsolakis, Interrogating the surface: the effect of blended diesel  
36 fuels on lubricity, SAE Paper, (2011).
- 37 [34] M. Lapuerta, J. Sánchez-Valdepeñas, D. Bolonio, E. Sukjit, Effect of fatty acid composition  
38 of methyl and ethyl esters on the lubricity at different humidities, *Fuel*, 184 (2016) 202-210.
- 39  
40

- 1 Captions
- 2 Figure 1 Microstructure of the as-received disc specimen.
- 3 Figure 2 MWSD of oxygenated compounds.
- 4 Figure 3 Normalized wear scar of oxygenated compounds.
- 5 Figure 4 Wear scars on disc specimen tested in different oxygenated compounds.
- 6 Figure 5 Wear scars on disc specimen tested in different oxygenated compounds at high
- 7 magnification.
- 8 Figure 6 MWSD of FAMES.
- 9 Figure 7 Effect of unsaturation of C18 FAMES on MWSD.
- 10 Figure 8 Normalized wear scar of FAMES.
- 11
- 12 Table 1. Main properties of tested fuels, oxygenated compounds and fatty acid methyl esters.
- 13 Table 2. Chemical composition of ANSI E-52100 [22].
- 14 Table 3. Hardness and roughness of specimens [23].
- 15 Table 4. Salts used for humidity control and ranges of humidity at laboratory temperature.
- 16 Table 5. Proposed humidity correction factors for commercial fuels and oxygenated compounds.
- 17 Table 6. Proposed humidity correction factors for individual fatty acid methyl esters.
- 18
- 19




Figure 1 Microstructure of the as-received disc specimen.




Figure 2 MWSD of oxygenated compounds.




Figure 3 Normalized wear scar of oxygenated compounds.




Figure 4 Wear scars on disc specimen tested in different oxygenated compounds.




Figure 5 Wear scars on disc specimen tested in different oxygenated compounds at high magnification.




Figure 6 MWSD of FAMES.






Figure 7 Effect of unsaturation of C18 FAMES on MWSD.




Figure 8 Normalized wear scar of FAMEs.

Table 1. Main properties of tested fuels, oxygenated compounds and fatty acid methyl esters.

Fuel	Description	Molecular formula	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Viscosity at 40 °C (cSt)	Density at 15 °C (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	Purity (% v/v)
<i>Commercial fuels</i>						
ULSD	Ultra-low sulphur diesel	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>26.1</sub>	211.7	2.70 <sup>a</sup>	827.1 <sup>a</sup>	
RME	Rapeseed methyl ester	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>35.3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	294.4	4.53 <sup>a</sup>	883.7 <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Oxygenated compounds</i>						
Decanoic acid	Carboxyl (COOH)	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>8</sub> COOH	172.26	5.63 <sup>b</sup>	893 <sup>c</sup>	>98
Decanal	Aldehyde (CHO)	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>8</sub> CHO	156.27	1.72 <sup>d</sup>	830 <sup>c</sup>	>98
1-Decanol	Hydroxyl (OH)	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>9</sub> OH	158.28	8.04 <sup>b</sup>	829 <sup>c</sup>	>98
Methyl nonanoate	Esters (COOCH <sub>3</sub> )	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>7</sub> COOCH <sub>3</sub>	172.26	1.44 <sup>b</sup>	875 <sup>c</sup>	>97
Dipentyl ether	Ether (C-O-C)	[CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> O	158.28	1.08 <sup>b</sup>	785 <sup>c</sup>	>98
2-Decanone	Ketone (C=O)	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>7</sub> COCH <sub>3</sub>	156.27	1.47 <sup>b</sup>	825 <sup>c</sup>	>98
<i>Fatty acid methyl esters</i>						
Methyl laurate	C12:0	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	214.34	2.43 <sup>b</sup>	873.28 <sup>e</sup>	>98
Methyl myristate	C14:0	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>30</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	242.40	3.30 <sup>b</sup>	868.18 <sup>e</sup>	>98
Methyl palmitate	C16:0	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	270.45	4.38 <sup>b</sup>	864.19 <sup>e</sup>	>97
Methyl stearate	C18:0	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	298.50	5.92 <sup>b</sup>	867.55 <sup>e</sup>	>96
Methyl oleate	C18:1	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>36</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	296.49	4.78 <sup>b</sup>	877.46 <sup>e</sup>	>99
Methyl linoleate	C18:2	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	294.47	4.25 <sup>b</sup>	893.18 <sup>e</sup>	>99

<sup>a</sup> [21]<sup>b</sup> [19]<sup>c</sup> [17]<sup>d</sup> [18]<sup>e</sup> [20]

Table 2. Chemical composition of ANSI E-52100 [22].

<b>Component Elements</b>	C	Cr	Mn	P	Si	S	Fe
<b>Content (%)</b>	0.98 - 1.10	1.30 - 1.60	0.25 - 0.45	$\leq 0.025$	0.15 - 0.30	$\leq 0.025$	balance

Table 3. Hardness and roughness of specimens [23].

Specimen	Hardness	Roughness
Ball	HRC 58-66	<0.05 $\mu\text{m Ra}$
Disc	190-210HV30	<0.02 $\mu\text{m Ra}$

Table 4. Salts used for humidity control and ranges of humidity at laboratory temperature.

Salt	Temperature (°C)	Relative humidity (%)	Vapor pressure (kPa)
Sodium hydroxyde (NaOH)	24-27	7 –10	0.23 – 0.31
Potassium acetate (KCH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> )	24-27	20 – 23	0.62 – 0.71
Magnesium chloride (MgCl <sub>2</sub> )	24-27	29 – 33	0.98 – 1.04
Potassium carbonate (K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )	24-27	40 – 43	1.28 – 1.40
Sodium bromide (NaBr)	24-27	54 – 58	1.73 – 1.90
Potassium chloride (KCl)	24-27	80 – 84	2.51 – 2.75

Table 5. Proposed humidity correction factors for commercial fuels and oxygenated compounds.

Fuel	Description	MWSD ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) linear fit	HCF ( $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$ )
ULSD	Ultra-low sulphur diesel	$57.70 + 263.55$	57.70
RME	Rapeseed methyl ester	$85.80x + 145.27$	85.80
Decaolic acid	Carboxyl (COOH)	$22.92x + 74.674$	22.92
Decanal	Aldehyde (CHO)	$13.46x + 159.23$	13.46
1-Decanol	Hydroxyl (OH)	$14.98x + 360.27$	14.98
Methyl nonanoate	Esters (COOCH <sub>3</sub> )	$40.62x + 357.72$	40.62
Dipentyl ether	Ether (C-O-C)	$25.07x + 441.18$	25.07
2-Decanone	Ketone (C=O)	$99.80x + 496.14$	99.80

Table 6. Proposed humidity correction factors for individual fatty acid methyl esters.

Fuel	Description	MWSD ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) linear fit	HCF ( $\mu\text{m}/\text{kPa}$ )
Methyl laurate	C12:0	$45.83x + 205.65$	45.83
Methyl myristate	C14:0	$55.61x + 202.94$	59.24
Methyl palmitate	C16:0	$48.00x + 164.55$	40.16
Methyl stearate	C18:0	$47.75x + 153.95$	47.75
Methyl oleate	C18:1	$68.86x + 179.85$	68.86
Methyl linoleate	C18:2	$108.02x + 129.27$	108.02