

# Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK human milk and food suggest slow response to restrictions on use of PBDEs and HBCDD

Tao, Fang; Abdallah, Mohamed; Harrad, Stuart

*License:*

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Tao, F, Abdallah, M & Harrad, S 2017, 'Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK human milk and food suggest slow response to restrictions on use of PBDEs and HBCDD', *Environment International*, vol. 105, pp. 95–104.

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

Eligibility for repository: Checked on 17/5/2017

**General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

**Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

## Manuscript Details

<b>Manuscript number</b>	ENVINT_2017_258
<b>Title</b>	EMERGING AND LEGACY FLAME RETARDANTS IN UK HUMAN MILK AND FOOD SUGGEST SLOW RESPONSE TO RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF PBDES AND HBCDD
<b>Article type</b>	Research Paper

### Abstract

The legacy flame retardants (LFRs) polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD), together with six emerging flame retardants (EFRs) were measured in United Kingdom (UK) human milk collected in 2010 (n=25) and 2014-15 (n=10). These data are the first report of the presence of EFRs in UK human milk. The most abundant EFR was  $\beta$ -tetrabromoethylcyclohexane (DBE-DBCH) (average = 2.5 ng/g lw; geometric mean = 1.5 ng/g lw), which is comparable to the concentrations of the most abundant LFRs i.e. BDE 47 and  $\alpha$ -HBCDD at 2.8 and 2.1 ng/g lw, respectively (geometric mean = 2.1 and 1.7). The estimated average dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs by UK nursing infants was 18 ng/kg bw/day. EFRs were also measured in UK foodstuffs with  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH again the predominant compound detected, accounting – on average – for 64.5 $\pm$ 23.4% of  $\Sigma$ EFRs. Average estimated dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ EFRs in the UK were 89 and 26 ng/day (1.3 and 2.6 ng /body weight/day) for adults and toddlers, respectively. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in our UK food samples exceeded those reported in UK samples from the same food categories collected in 2003-04 and 2006. Despite this and our recent report elsewhere of significant temporal declines in concentrations of BDE 209 in UK indoor dust (p < 0.05) and HBCDDs in UK indoor dust and air (p < 0.001), no significant temporal differences (p > 0.05) were observed between concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs, BDE 209 and HBCDDs in human milk sampled in 2010 and those obtained in 2014-15. UK adult body burdens for EFRs were predicted via inhalation, diet and dust ingestion using a simple pharmacokinetic model. The predicted EFR body burdens compared well with observed concentrations in human milk.

<b>Keywords</b>	Emerging flame retardants; Brominated flame retardants; Human exposure; Human milk; Diet; Nursing infant.
<b>Taxonomy</b>	Exposure by Ingestion, Exposure Monitoring, Human Environmental Health Exposure, Environmental Health Exposure
<b>Corresponding Author</b>	Mohamed Abdallah
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution</b>	University of Birmingham
<b>Order of Authors</b>	Fang Tao, Mohamed Abdallah, Danielle Ashworth, Philippa Douglas, Mireille Toledano, Stuart Harrad
<b>Suggested reviewers</b>	Cynthia De Wit, marta venier, Malarvannan Govindan, Iryna Labunska, Line Haug

## Submission Files Included in this PDF

### File Name [File Type]

Cover letter\_MA\_2017.docx [Cover Letter]

Reply to Reviewers 2.docx [Response to Reviewers]

Emerging and legacy FRs in UK human milk and food\_R2\_corrections marked.docx [Revised Manuscript with Changes Marked]

Emerging and legacy FRs in UK human milk and food\_R2\_NFC.doc [Manuscript File]

Abstract.docx [Abstract]

Supplementary information\_Tao et al\_R1 .docx [e-Component]

Highlights.docx [Highlights]

**Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK human milk and food  
suggest slow response to restrictions on use of PBDEs and HBCDD**

*Fang Tao<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Abou-Elwafa Abdallah<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Danielle C Ashworth<sup>3,4</sup>, Philippa Douglas<sup>3,4</sup>,  
Mireille B Toledano<sup>3,4</sup>, Stuart Harrad<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Division of Environmental Health and Risk Management, School of Geography, Earth and  
Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, 71526 Assiut,  
Egypt

<sup>3</sup>MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics,  
Imperial College London, W2 1PG, UK

<sup>4</sup> National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Health Impact of  
Environmental Hazards at King's College London, a Partnership with Public Health England,  
and collaboration with Imperial College London, UK, W2 1PG

\* Corresponding author

E-mail: M.abdallah@bham.ac.uk

Tel.: +44 121 414 7298

fax: +44 121 414 5527.

## Abstract

The legacy flame retardants (LFRs) polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD), together with six emerging flame retardants (EFRs) were measured in United Kingdom (UK) human milk collected in 2010 (n=25) and 2014-15 (n=10). These data are the first report of the presence of EFRs in UK human milk. The most abundant EFR was  $\beta$ -tetrabromoethylcyclohexane (DBE-DBCH) (average = 2.5 ng/g lw; geometric mean = 1.5 ng/g lw), which is comparable to the concentrations of the most abundant LFRs i.e. BDE 47 and  $\alpha$ -HBCDD at 2.8 and 2.1 ng/g lw, respectively (geometric mean = 2.1 and 1.7). The estimated average dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs by UK nursing infants was 18 ng/kg bw/day. EFRs were also measured in UK foodstuffs with  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH again the predominant compound detected, accounting – on average – for  $64.5 \pm 23.4\%$  of  $\Sigma$ EFRs. Average estimated dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ EFRs in the UK were 89 and 26 ng/day (1.3 and 2.6 ng /body weight/day) for adults and toddlers, respectively. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in our UK food samples exceeded those reported in UK samples from the same food categories collected in 2003-04 and 2006. Despite this and our recent report elsewhere of significant temporal declines in concentrations of BDE 209 in UK indoor dust ( $p < 0.05$ ) and HBCDDs in UK indoor dust and air ( $p < 0.001$ ), no significant temporal differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed between concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs, BDE 209 and HBCDDs in human milk sampled in 2010 and those obtained in 2014-15. UK adult body burdens for EFRs were predicted via inhalation, diet and dust ingestion using a simple pharmacokinetic model. The predicted EFR body burdens compared well with observed concentrations in human milk.

**Keywords:** Emerging flame retardants; Brominated flame retardants; Human exposure; Human milk; Diet; Nursing infant.

41   **Highlights:**

- 42       • First investigation of EFRs in UK human milk.
- 43       • Estimated dietary exposures to EFRs comparable to dietary intakes of PBDEs.
- 44       •  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH most abundant EFR in food and human milk.
- 45       • No significant change in PBDEs and HBCDD in human milk between 2010 and 2014-  
46       15
- 47       • Nursing infant exposure to EFRs exceeds adult and toddler dietary intakes.
- 48       • Observed body burdens of EFRs match closely those predicted via PK modelling

## Introduction

Flame retardants have been incorporated within a wide range of consumer goods and materials to meet fire safety regulations. Due to their persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic properties, legacy flame retardants (LFRs) like polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDDs) were subject to various bans and restrictions under different jurisdictions. Penta- and Octa-BDE technical products were banned in Europe (including the UK) by 2004, and globally under the UNEP Stockholm Convention in 2009. Significant restrictions have been put on the Deca-BDE technical product in Europe since 2008, while HBCDD was listed under the Stockholm Convention in 2014 (European Court of Justice, 2008; Stockholm Convention, 2009; UNEP, 2014). This resulted in increasing concerns over the use of emerging flame retardants (EFRs) to replace the banned LFRs. Previous studies have highlighted substantially higher levels of BDE-209 in UK indoor dust compared to other European countries, which was mainly attributed to the extensive usage of Deca-BDE in upholstery fabrics and textiles in the UK (1,000-1,200 tonnes/year out of an estimated 1,500 tonnes/year in the EU for this application) to comply with the stringent UK Furniture and Furnishing Fire Safety Regulation 1988 (EU risk assessment report, 2002). While concentrations of LFRs have been decreasing in UK indoor air and dust over the last decade, those of EFRs have been reported to increase in the UK indoor environment (Tao et al., 2016). Moreover, the occurrence of EFRs has been widely documented recently in several environmental and biological matrices including indoor/outdoor air, indoor dust, soil, sediment, dietary items of animal origin, fish and birds (Cequier et al., 2014; Labunska et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Möller et al., 2011; Newton et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2012). This is of concern due to reports suggesting some EFRs (e.g. decabromodiphenyl ethane (DBDPE), 1,2-bis(2,4,6-

tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE), [EH-TBB](#) and DBE-DBCH) are potentially persistent and bioaccumulative (He et al., 2012; Howard and Muir, 2010; [Patisaul et al., 2013](#); Tomy et al., 2007). As a result, concentrations of EFRs in the human diet and tissues may increase in the future.

Given their similar structure to LFRs, EFRs may pose similar adverse effects to those displayed by LFRs. EFRs like DBE-DBCH, BTBPE, 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate (EH-TBB) and bis(2-ethylhexyl)-tetrabromophthalate (BEH-TEBP) are capable of endocrine disruption and DNA damage (Barr et al., 2010; Ezechiáš et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2013; Khalafet al., 2009; Pradhan et al., 2013; Saunders et al., 2013). Despite such health concerns and evidence of exposure via indoor air and dust, very limited information on levels of EFRs in the human diet and human tissues exists to date. We are aware of only one UK and Irish diet study targeting hexabromobenzene (HBB), DBDPE and BTBPE, in which only BTBPE was detected in some UK food samples at concentrations ranging from 0.05-1.76 ng/g lw (Fernandes et al., 2010). Elsewhere, in a Swedish market basket study, EFRs were only detected in fish samples collected in 2010, with DBE-DBCH the predominant compound (Sahlström et al., 2015). In the same study,  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH [was](#) found in two Swedish pooled human milk samples ([average = 4](#) pg/g wet weight) collected in 2009-2010 (Sahlström et al., 2015). In the Sherbrooke region of Canada, Zhou et al. (2014) measured several EFRs including EH-TBB, BEH-TEBP, BTBPE, and DBDPE in paired human maternal serum (n = 102) and breast milk (n = 105) samples collected in 2008-2009. EH-TBB was detected in > 55% of both serum and milk samples, while BEH-TEBP, BTBPE, and DBDPE were also present but less frequently detected in both matrices (Zhou et al., 2014).

In the present study, 16 EFRs were investigated in 14 groups of composite food samples

95 covering meat, liver, oily fish, eggs and cheese to provide a preliminary estimate of UK dietary  
96 exposure. Additionally, concentrations of 8 PBDEs and 3 HBCDD diastereomers were measured  
97 in the same samples and compared with those reported in previous UK studies to evaluate the  
98 impact of regulations and restrictions on these LFRs. Moreover, this study measures the  
99 concentrations of EFRs in UK human milk for the first time, compares exposures of nursing  
100 infants with that of adults and toddlers, and uses a simple one-compartment pharmacokinetic  
101 model to forecast the body burdens of the studied EFRs and LFRs in UK adults and compare  
102 these predicted burdens to those derived empirically from the analysed human milk samples.



## Materials and methods

### Chemicals and reagents

Solvents used were all of HPLC analytical grade (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Standards of BDEs 28, 47, 99, 100, 153, 154, 183 and 209,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, BTBPE, DBDPE, EH-TBB, BEH-TEBP and labelled internal standards (IS) 13C-BDE 209, 13C-BTBPE, 13C-BEH-TEBP and 13C-HBCDD were purchased from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, ON, Canada). BDEs 77 and 128 (IS) were obtained from Accustandard (New Haven, CT, USA). TBBPA-BDBPE was purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Essex, UK). HBCDDs were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. (Dorset, UK).

### Target FRs

The FRs investigated in this study comprise: 8 PBDEs (BDEs # 28, 47, 99, 100, 153, 154, 183 and 209), 3 HBCDDs ( $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -HBCDD) and 16 EFRs ( $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, EH-TBB, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, DBDPE, tetrabromobisphenolA-bis(2,3-dibromopropyl) ether (TBBPA-BDBPE), pentabromotoluene (PBT), hexabromobenzene (HBB), pentabromobenzene (PBBz), tetrabromo-o-chlorotoluene (TBCT), 1,2,4,5-tetrabromo-3,6-dimethylbenzene (TBX), pentabromoethylbenzene (PBEB), 2,3-dibromopropyl 2,4,6-tribromophenyl ether (TBP-DBPE), syn- dechlorane plus (DDC-CO) and anti- DDC-CO).

### Sample collection

*Food samples.* Samples of 14 different food groups were collected from two supermarkets representing national chains and one local market in Birmingham, UK during May and June 2015. Three samples of each food group were collected per retail outlet. Following purchase, equal weights of each of the three samples comprising each food group taken from each outlet were homogenised to provide a composite sample. It was not possible to collect all food groups

from each of the three outlets, so the number of composite samples analyzed varied between one and three for each food group (Table 1). Following homogenization, all composite samples were freeze dried and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis.

*Human milk samples.* Donors of all human milk samples were primiparas. Archived human milk samples (n=25, each comprising ~50 mL) for which LFR data have been reported previously (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014, 2011) were obtained from the milk bank of Birmingham Women's Hospital after the research proposal and experimental design were approved by a local research ethics committee (REC reference number: 9/H1211/57) according to UK National Health Service guidelines (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014). Detailed sampling collection procedures are provided elsewhere (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014), but in summary, following their collection from primiparous mothers within their first three months of lactation in 2010, these archived milk samples were transferred on ice from the milk bank in 100 mL clean polypropylene containers and freeze dried prior to storage at -20 °C until analysis.

Contemporary human milk samples (n=10, each comprising ~50 mL) were collected within the first three months of birth from participants living in Southampton, UK, between August 2014 and May 2015 as part of the Breast milk, Environment, Early-life, and Development (BEED) study conducted by researchers at Imperial College London (REC reference number: 13/NW/0202). After collection, samples were kept frozen in clean screw-capped polypropylene containers and then transferred on ice from Imperial College London to Birmingham before freeze drying and storage at -20 °C until the time of analysis.

#### **Estimation of daily dietary intakes**

Dietary intakes of the studied FRs were calculated for UK toddlers and adults based on food

consumption data from the latest national diet and nutrition survey report published by Public Health England and the Food Standards Agency (2014) (Table S8). Dietary intakes were calculated by multiplying food consumption rates for both average (“typical”) and high-end consumers (the latter assumed to be those consuming the average consumption rate + 2 standard deviations) by average concentrations in each food group. More details are provided in the SI section.

Daily dietary intakes (DI) were calculated using Eq. (S1):

$$DI = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Ci * CRI}{B_w} \quad DI = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Ci * CRI}{B_w} \quad DI = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Ci * CRI}{B_w} \dots\dots\dots \text{Eq. (S1)}$$

Where  $Ci$  is the concentration (ng/g ww) of FR in a food item  $i$  and  $CRI$  is the daily consumption rate of the foodstuff  $i$  (g/day; values given in supporting material). Body weight ( $B_w$ ; kg) values employed in this study were assumed to be 70 kg for and 10 kg for adults and toddlers, separately.

#### Estimation of infants' intake of FRs via breast milk

Breast milk is a recognized medium for direct transfer of POPs to nursing infants. We estimated a nursing infants' dietary intake of the studied FRs via breast milk using Eq. (S2):

$$DI = \frac{C_{FRs} * F_{lipid}}{B_w} \dots\dots\dots \text{Eq. (S2)}$$

Where  $DI$  is the estimated dietary intake (ng/kg bw/day);  $C_{FRs}$  is the concentration of target FRs in milk (ng/g lw);  $F_{lipid}$  is the daily lipid intake via breast milk (g/day) and  $B_w$  is the body weight. The infant's daily lipid intake via breast milk ( $F_{lipid}$ ) was calculated using U.S. EPA guidelines (USEPA, 2002) which suggest an average intake of 702 mL milk per day for a 1 month old infant weighing 4.14 kg. The median lipid content of the analysed milk samples was 3.47 g lipid per 100 mL of breast milk resulting in a daily lipid intake of 24.4 g lipid/day.

## First order pharmacokinetic (PK) model

To examine the relationship between our estimated intakes via various pathways and the body burdens indicated via levels in human milk, a simple one-compartment, first order pharmacokinetic (PK) model was used (Abdallah and Harrad, 2011). The studied FRs were hypothesized to accumulate in lipids (the single compartment in the model). Therefore, the change in FRs lipid level over time can be calculated by Eq. (S2):

$$\frac{\delta C_{FR}}{\delta t} = \frac{I_{FR}(t) * AF_{FR}}{BL(t)} - K_{FR} * C_{FR}(t) \dots\dots\dots \text{Eq. (S3)}$$

Where  $C_{FR}$  is the compound specific concentration in lipids (ng/g lw);  $I_{FR}$  is the daily intake of the target FR (ng/day);  $AF_{FR}$  is the absorption fraction;  $BL$  is body lipid mass (g) and  $K_{FR}$  is the compound specific first order dissipation rate ( $\text{day}^{-1}$ ).

If  $K_{FR}$  is assumed constant over time, then Eq. (S3) can be changed into:

$$C_{FR}(t) = C_{FR}(0) * e^{(-K_{FR} * t)} + \left[ \frac{I_{FR}(t) * AF_{FR}}{BL(t)} \right] * \left[ \frac{(1 - e^{(-K_{FR} * t)})}{K_{FR}} \right] \dots\dots\dots \text{Eq. (S4)}$$

Where  $C_{FR}(0)$  is the studied FR body lipid concentration at time 0 (initial concentration before intake). Assuming a constant dose over time at constant body lipid mass, the steady state BFR lipid concentration can be calculated from Eq. (S4):

$$C_{FR} = \frac{I_{FR}(t) * AF_{FR}}{BL(t) * K_{FR}} \dots\dots\dots \text{Eq. (S5)}$$

While Eq. (S5) is used to predict the body burdens of the target FRs, it is stressed that the assumption of steady state conditions is an inherent uncertainty with this approach.

To convert daily adult intakes of FRs via different exposure pathways to expected body burdens, the dust and diet absorption fractions and human half-lives for PBDEs and HBCDDs (Abdallah et al., 2012; Abdallah and Harrad, 2011; Geyer et al., 2004; Lorber, 2008; Thuresson et al., 2006) were used in Eq. (S4) (Table S16) while the inhalable fraction was assumed to be 100%

bioavailable. The body lipid mass was estimated based on a 25% body fat for an average adult weighing 70 kg (U.S. EPA, 1997). Finally,  $K_{FR}$  was calculated as  $0.693/t_{0.5}$ ; where  $t_{0.5}$  is the half-life of the studied FRs in the body lipid compartment (Table S16).

## **Analytical protocols**

All samples were spiked with internal standards ( $^{13}C$ -BDE 209,  $^{13}C$ -BTBPE,  $^{13}C$ -BEH-TEBP, BDE 77, BDE 128) before extraction. Aliquots of freeze-dried human milk or diet samples (~500 mg) were accurately weighed and extracted using pressurized liquid extraction (Dionex ASE 350) with hexane/acetone (3:1, v/v). Extraction cells were filled from bottom to top with: pre-cleaned hydromatrix, 2 g Florisil®, 3 g alumina, samples, and then topped with hydromatrix. The crude extracts were further purified via shaking with 5-6 mL concentrated sulfuric acid before reconstitution in 50  $\mu$ L iso-octane containing 250 pg/ $\mu$ L PCB-129 as recovery determination standard for QA/QC purposes.

Our analytical methods for measurement of target FRs have been described previously (Tao et al., 2016). In summary, analysis was conducted on a Trace 1310 GC coupled to an ISQ™ single quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, TX, USA) operated in ECNI mode. After GC/MS analysis, the samples were evaporated and reconstituted in 200  $\mu$ L of methanol containing  $d_{18}$ - $\gamma$ -HBCD (25 pg/ $\mu$ L) as recovery determination standard for determination of HBCDDs by LC-MS/MS using a previously reported method (Harrad et al., 2009). Detailed description of the analytical methods and QA/QC measurements is provided in the supporting information (SI).

## **Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

Five-point calibration curves were constructed for each target compound with excellent linearity

( $R^2 > 0.99$ ) over a concentration range relevant to those detected in air and dust samples. Average recoveries of IS were:  $83 \pm 16\%$  for BDE-77,  $95 \pm 10\%$  for BDE-128,  $88 \pm 11\%$  for  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BEH-TEBP,  $89 \pm 37\%$  for  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BTBPE, and  $78 \pm 25\%$  for  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BDE-209. Instrumental limits of detection (LOD) and method limits of quantification (LOQ) were calculated for each target compound based on 3:1 and 10:1 signal to noise ratio, respectively (Table S1). Granular anhydrous sodium sulfate (1 g) was extracted as a method blank. One method blank was prepared using the same analytical method for each batch of five samples. BDE-209 was detected in the majority of blanks but at a level below 5% of the levels detected in samples from the corresponding batch. None of the other target compounds were detected in method blanks for food and human milk samples.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of data was performed using both Excel (Microsoft Office 2010) and IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (Chicago, IL, U.S.A.). Data were checked for normality via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and visual inspection of quantile-by-quantile graphic plots in SPSS. When datasets were found to be log-normally distributed, further statistical analysis was performed on log-transformed data. Independent t-tests and ANOVA analyses were only conducted for target compounds with detection frequencies  $\geq 60\%$ . In instances where analyte levels were  $< \text{LOQ}$ , concentrations were assumed to equal  $\text{LOQ}/2$ .

## Results and discussion

### Concentrations of FRs in food

#### EFRs

Of all 16 target EFRs, only  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, EH-TBB, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP,

DBDPE were [found above the detection limit \(Table S1\)](#) in [the studied](#) food samples. Table 1 summarizes the concentrations of EFRs in composite food samples collected in the UK.  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was detected in all samples, followed by  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB (detected in 97% and 77% of samples, respectively), while DBDPE was the least detected EFR with a detection frequency (DF) of 33%.  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was the predominant compound in the studied food samples, accounting for  $64.5\% \pm 29.5\%$  of  $\Sigma$ EFRs.

To the best of our knowledge, only one previous study has reported concentrations of DBE-DBCH in food samples as part of a Swedish market basket study (Sahlström et al., 2015). In this, DBE-DBCH was only found in four fish samples at levels (average 114 pg/g ww) lower than those in our study (fish: 240-1820 pg/g ww). Moreover, in the Swedish study,  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH was the dominant EFR (Sahlström et al., 2015) in contrast to our data.

Very little is known about the levels of EFRs in UK food samples. A previous study of EFRs in a selection of UK and Irish food samples collected between June and August 2007 detected only BTBPE above the method LOQ and at levels [\(0.05-3.33 ng/g lw\)](#) comparable to those detected in our study [\(0.04 – 2.4 ng/g lw, Table S4\)](#) (Fernandes et al., 2010). With respect to fish, BTBPE and DBDPE were also detected in samples collected in Canada (Law et al., 2006), France (Munsch et al., 2011), and China (He et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2009) at levels comparable to those found in our study (Table [S4](#)). Moreover, Labunska et al.(2015) detected BTBPE, EH-TBB, and BEH-TEBP in meat, fish, liver and egg samples from an e-waste processing area and control sites in South China, while Zheng et al. (2012) reported levels of BTBPE and DBDPE in chicken eggs from another South China e-waste processing area. In both studies, levels of EFRs in food items from e-waste recycling areas exceeded those detected in our

study, underlining the significance of informal e-waste recycling as a source of EFRs to the environment and the human diet (Table S4).

The isomeric ratio of  $\beta$ - to  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH ( $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}} = \frac{\text{Concentration of } \beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}{\text{Concentration of } \alpha\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$ ) in our food samples ranged from 1.2 to 220, with a median value of 7.3. In agreement with this finding,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was reported as the predominant DBE-DBCH isomer in the blubber of Canadian arctic beluga (Tomy et al., 2008) and herring gull egg pools (Gauthier et al., 2008). Interestingly, the  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  values in our food samples significantly exceeded those detected in UK indoor dust (0.32-2.88) ( $p < 0.01$ ), indoor air (0.53-1.0) ( $p < 0.01$ ) (Tao et al., 2016) and the commercial product (1.0) (Arsenault et al., 2008), suggesting diastereomer-specific environmental degradation/metabolism, isomer-specific preferential uptake and/or isomerisation along the food chain. Furthermore, the median values of  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  in the analysed liver (80) and tuna (83) samples were substantially higher than those found in meat (5.9), eggs (7.1), cheese (2.1) and other fish (6.1). Moreover, the levels of DBE-DBCH were higher in liver than in other food samples studied except tuna (Table 1). These findings indicate that the uptake and metabolism of DBE-DBCH isomers might be species- and organism-dependent. This may be important given the reported toxicological effects of DBE-DBCH including reproductive toxicity and inducing aggressive behaviour in birds (Khalafet al., 2009; Marteinson et al., 2014).

#### *LFRs*

Tables 1, S5 and S6 show the average concentrations of LFRs detected in the studied composite food samples. Target PBDE congeners were frequently detected (DF > 70%). BDE 47 and BDE 99 were the major contributors to  $\Sigma$ PBDEs, consistent with previous studies of food samples from Spain (Domingo et al., 2008), the UK (Harrad et al., 2004) and the USA (Schechter et al.,



2009). The highest average concentrations were found in fish for  $\Sigma$ HBCDD (3.6-16 ng/g lw) and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (14 to 40 ng/g lw). These levels were comparable to those found in the literature, with fish displaying higher concentrations of both  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs (Eljarrat et al., 2014; Goscinny et al., 2011; Schecter et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2009; Törnkvist et al., 2011) and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (Bakker et al., 2008; Domingo et al., 2008; Törnkvist et al., UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009; 2011; UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; Voorspoels et al., 2007) than other food groups (Table S6). Moreover, concentrations of  $\Sigma$ HBCDD (<0.48-20 ng/g lw; <22-830 pg/g ww) in food samples in the current study were comparable to those detected in similar foodstuffs in two previous UK studies (<LOD-300 pg/g ww (Driffield et al., 2008) and 65-680 pg/g ww (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), respectively) as well as those in other countries including: Romania (40-250 pg/g ww) (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010), Sweden (5.0-630 pg/g ww) (Törnkvist et al., 2011), Belgium (<10-350 pg/g ww) (Roosens et al., 2009), and the USA (nd-593 pg/g ww)(Schecter et al., 2009) but higher than those in China (<LOD-9.2 ng/g lw) (Shi et al., 2009). Average concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in all UK food groups in the present study exceeded those reported elsewhere (Figure 1). The only exception was that the concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in our fish samples were comparable to those reported in the Netherlands (Bakker et al., 2008). Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in the present study exceed those recorded in previous UK studies conducted in 2003-2004 and 2006 (Figure S1) (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009). Recently, Rose et al. (2015) reported substantially high levels of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in UK fresh water fish (average = 20 ng/g ww; maximum = 130 ng/g ww). However, we showed evidence of a temporal decline in concentrations of BDE 209 in office dust and of BDE 47 and 99 in office air in our recent UK study (Tao et al., 2016). This apparent contradiction may be attributable to a gradual shift over time of PBDEs from the indoor to the

outdoor environment of which one manifestation may be increasing concentrations of PBDEs in the human diet (Harrad and Diamond, 2006). Furthermore, as BDE 209 has been reported to debrominate to lower brominated PBDEs in both terrestrial and aquatic biota (Gandhi et al., 2011; La Guardia et al., 2007; Letcher et al., 2014; Stapleton et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2012; Van den Steen et al., 2007), it is plausible that ongoing transfer from the indoor environment to outdoors and subsequent debromination of BDE 209 in biota, could drive temporal increases in concentrations of lower congener PBDEs in food samples. This hypothesis is supported by the relatively high ratios of tri-hexa-BDEs/BDE 209 in foodstuffs in this study compared to those in two previous UK studies (Figure S2) even though levels of BDE-209 were comparable (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009) (Figure S3).

### **Concentrations of FRs in human milk**

#### *EFRs*

Similar to food samples,  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, EH-TBB, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, DBDPE were the only EFRs found in human milk samples. Table 2 shows a statistical summary of concentrations of our target EFRs in archived human milk samples collected in 2010 (human milk group 1) and human milk samples collected from 2014-2015 (human milk group 2). While no statistically significant differences were found between concentrations of individual EFRs in the two groups ( $p>0.05$ ), the DFs of all EFRs in group 1 were lower than those in group 2. This may indicate increased usage of these EFRs currently than hitherto. The DFs of DBDPE and BTBPE were low ( $<50\%$ ) in both human milk groups, even though these two FRs were detected in  $> 60\%$  of UK indoor dust samples collected in 2014 (Tao et al., 2016). However, our results are similar to DFs reported for these two FRs in 105 Canadian human milk samples collected in 2008-2009 (Zhou et al., 2014).

326 Current evidence about the capacity for bioaccumulation of DBDPE and BTBPE is equivocal.  
327 Both flame retardants have been reported to display high bioaccumulation potential in fish (He et  
328 al., 2012; Tomy et al., 2007). In contrast, findings for DBDPE and BTBPE in mammals such as  
329 rats (Hakk et al., 2004; Nomeir et al., 1993; Verreault et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010) and  
330 chicken (Zheng et al., 2015) suggest low bioaccessibility and relatively high biotransformation  
331 potential, consistent with the low DFs of these chemicals in our human milk samples.  
332 Interestingly, very high levels of BTBPE (56 and 54 ng/g lw) were found in two archived (group  
333 1) human milk samples, which may reflect elevated exposure to BTBPE of the individual donors  
334 concerned - plausible given our recent detection in one UK dust sample of BTBPE at a  
335 concentration of 4,700,000 ng/g (Tao et al., 2016).

336 In our study, EH-TBB was more frequently detected than BEH-TEBP in line with a previous  
337 study of EFRs in human milk from Canada (Zhou et al., 2014). This may be associated with  
338 higher bioaccessibility of EH-TBB compared to BEH-TEBP (Fang and Stapleton, 2014), and/or  
339 by preferential partitioning of EH-TBB from blood to milk in humans relative to BEH-TEBP  
340 (Zhou et al., 2014). Similar observations were made by Liu et al.( 2016) i.e. EH-TBB was  
341 detected more frequently than BEH-TEBP in human hair, fingernails, toenails and serum. This is  
342 also in line with the reported greater lactational transfer of EH-TBB relative to BEH-TEBP in  
343 dosed Wistar rats (Phillips et al., 2016).

344 Of our target EFRs,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH showed the highest DFs and concentrations in both human  
345 milk groups (Table 1). To our knowledge, this is the first report of  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH in human milk  
346 samples worldwide. Sahlström et al. (2015) detected only  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH in two pooled breast  
347 milk samples in Sweden, at an average of 4.0 pg/g ww, well below the average concentrations  
348 detected in our study (41 and 24 pg/g ww in human milk group 1 and group 2, respectively). In

line with our results in food items of animal origin, the values of  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  ranged from 0.9 to 608 across both human milk groups, with a median of 9.6. This exceeds significantly those in UK indoor air (0.53-1.0) and dust (0.32-2.88) ( $p < 0.001$ ) indicating potential isomer-specific degradation/metabolism and/or bioisomerisation in humans. Of note,  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  values in human milk were statistically indistinguishable from those in diet samples ( $p > 0.05$ ) indicating the relatively higher abundance of  $\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}$  compared to  $\alpha\text{-DBE-DBCH}$  in human milk may be at least partially attributable to dietary intake of DBE-DBCH.

Despite the ubiquity of EFRs in the environment, very few studies have reported on their levels in human tissues. In Canada, the reported concentrations of EH-TBB (nd-24 ng/g lw) in human milk samples ( $n=105$ ) (Zhou et al., 2014) exceeded those in our study, while concentrations of BEH-TEBP (nd-6.6 ng/g lw) and DBDPE (nd-25 ng/g lw) were comparable to those reported here (Table 2). Of note, our concentrations of EH-TBB and BEH-TEBP in UK human milk were much lower than those detected in human hair, fingernails and toenails (EH-TBB: 7.6-4540 ng/g; BEH-TEBP: 13-2600 ng/g) as well as serum samples (TBB: 1.3-54 ng/g lw; BEH-TEBP: 19-69 ng/g) from the USA (Liu et al., 2016).

#### *LFRs*

Concentrations of  $\Sigma\text{tri-hexa-BDEs}$ , BDE-209 and  $\Sigma\text{HBCDDs}$  in human milk group 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 2, with those for individual HBCDD diastereomers summarized in Table S6. Concentrations of  $\Sigma\text{HBCDDs}$  in human milk group 2 samples ranged between 0.7-7.1 ng/g lw, which were slightly - albeit not statistically significantly - lower than those in UK human milk group 1 (1.0-22.4 ng/g lw) (Abdallah and Harrad, 2011). While concentrations of  $\Sigma\text{HBCDD}$  in food samples in this study were comparable to those in two previous UK studies (Driffield et

al., 2008; UK Food Standards Agency, 2006),  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in UK indoor air and dust collected between 2013 and 2015 appear lower than in samples collected between 2006 and 2007. This may account for the slight downward trend we observed for  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in UK human milk.

The average concentration of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa-BDEs in group 2 (6.5 ng/g lw) is comparable to that reported for group 1 (5.9 ng/g lw) and to Australian human milk samples (7.6 ng/g lw) collected in 2007 (Toms et al., 2009). The relatively higher concentrations of BDE 153 compared to BDE 99 in this study concur with several previous studies (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014; Dunn et al., 2010; Frederiksen et al., 2009; Hassine et al., 2012). By comparison, in UK indoor air, dust (Tao et al., 2016) and diet samples (Table S5), concentrations of BDE 153 are exceeded substantially by those of BDE 99, indicating that external exposures through indoor air, dust and diet cannot account for the elevated abundance of BDE 153 in human milk. This higher relative abundance in humans of BDE-153 is more likely attributable to its higher bioaccumulation potential in lipids (as evidenced by a half-life of 6.5 years compared to 1.8 and 2.9 years for BDE-47 and BDE-99 respectively) and/or possible debromination of BDE 209 to BDE 153 (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014), consistent with the significant correlation between concentrations in human milk of BDE 153 and BDE 209 in this study ( $p < 0.05$ ).

No significant differences were observed between concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in human milk group 2 (collected in 2014-2015) and group 1 (collected in 2010) (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014) ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Table S7). This is in agreement with previous studies reporting no significant change in concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (*N.B.* BDE 209 not measured) in human milk samples collected between 2002 and 2007 in Spain (Schuhmacher et al., 2009) and between 2000 to 2009 in Taiwan (Shy et al., 2012). Similarly, concentrations of PBDEs in Canadian human milk

appear to have stabilised between 2002 and 2005 (Ryan and Rawn, 2014). As diet and dust have been identified as the major pathways of human exposure to PBDEs (Harrad et al., 2008, 2004; Lorber, 2008), it is intriguing that while concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in our UK food samples exceed those reported in two previous UK food surveys ([Figure S4](#)); no significant temporal change was observed in concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in UK dust over the studied period (Tao et al., 2016) (2006-2007 to 2013-2015). These contrasting temporal trends in concentrations of tri-hexa BDEs in UK diet and dust are not inconsistent with the hypothesis of Harrad and Diamond (2006) that dietary exposure to chemicals with substantial indoor sources (e.g. FRs) may continue to increase for some time after exposure via indoor pathways has stabilised or fallen as a result of legislative curbs on use. Thus the steady concentrations of tri-hexa-BDEs in human milk observed here may indicate the importance of dust relative to diet as a vector of exposure of the UK population to these contaminants. Concentrations of BDE 209 in human milk group 2 are indistinguishable from those in group 1 (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014) ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Table 2). This is consistent with the studies of Fängström et al. (2008) and Shy et al. (2012) who also observed no time trend for BDE 209 in human milk samples in Sweden (between 1980-2004) and Taiwan (between 2000-2009). Consistent with this, no substantial differences were found between BDE 209 concentrations in food in the present study and those in two previous UK dietary studies ([Figure S3](#)) (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009). In contrast, concentrations of BDE 209 in UK office dust decreased significantly over the period (2006-2007 to 2013-2015) (Harrad et al., 2008; Tao et al., 2016). Notwithstanding the relatively small number of samples in the current study, this implies that concentrations of this congener in dust exert a relatively minor influence on body burdens. This may be attributable to the very low bioaccessibility from dust (7-14%) of BDE 209, combined

with its very short human half-life (7 days) and preferential partitioning to serum rather than milk fat (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014).

#### **Relative abundance of various FRs**

$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, BDE47 and BDE99 were the major target compounds in meat, fish, egg and dairy products, contributing 59%, 57% and 60% to  $\Sigma$ FRs in these food groups, respectively. In liver samples,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was the predominant flame retardant, accounting for 69% of  $\Sigma$ FRs.

As shown in Figure S5, PBDEs were the predominant FR class found in meat, fish, egg and dairy products, contributing 44%, 46% and 52% of  $\Sigma$ FRs, respectively. In contrast, EFRs were more prevalent in liver samples, accounting for 81% of  $\Sigma$ FRs.

BDE47 was the most abundant compound in human milk, contributing 20% of  $\Sigma$ FRs, followed by  $\alpha$ -HBCDD and  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH accounting for 17% and 11% of  $\Sigma$ FRs, respectively. PBDEs were the predominant FR class in human milk (Figure S6), contributing an average of 50% to  $\Sigma$ FRs, followed by EFRs (38% of  $\Sigma$ FRs). Despite the bans and restrictions on the use of PBDE commercial products, PBDEs remain the most abundant class out of our target FRs in human milk, which may reflect ongoing emissions of these LFRs from old furniture and appliances as well as long half-lives of some PBDE congeners (e.g. BDE 153) in human tissues.

#### **Estimation of dietary intakes**

##### *EFRs*

The estimated high-end and average dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ EFRs in the UK were 26 and 89 ng/day (2.6 and 1.3 ng /body weight/day) for toddlers and adults, respectively (Table S9). The estimated high-end intakes were one order of magnitude higher than the average dietary intakes for both

toddlers and adults (Table [S9](#)). The main contributor to human dietary exposure to most EFRs and  $\sum$ EFRs was meat, followed by fish. However, consumption of eggs and dairy products was the principal contributor to dietary intakes of BEH-TEBP for both toddlers and adults (Figure [S7](#)). This is the first estimate of dietary exposure to EFRs for the UK. Furthermore, very limited information on human dietary exposure to EFRs has been reported anywhere to date. Estimated dietary intakes of EFRs for adults and children from an e-waste recycling area in eastern China were 756 and 1827 ng/day, respectively, which is much higher than those in our study (Labunska et al., 2015).

$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH showed the highest contribution to the estimated  $\sum$ EFRs intakes in the present study for both adults and children (14 and 50 ng/day respectively). We are aware of a Swedish study on dietary exposure to EFRs in which EFRs were only detected in fish (Sahlström et al., 2015). The estimated median daily intakes of EFRs were 6.8 and 3.3 ng/day for Swedish mothers and toddlers, which is similar to our estimated daily intakes of EFRs through fish consumption (10 and 2.2 ng/day, respectively).

#### *LFRs*

Tables [S10](#) and [S11](#) show the estimated intakes of  $\sum$ PBDEs via consumption of food in the UK and other different countries. An important caveat is that our estimates of dietary exposure are based on a limited range of food categories and a relatively small number of food items. Estimated average daily intakes of  $\sum$ PBDEs in our study are 42 and 124 ng/day for toddlers and adults, respectively, which is lower than one previous study by the UK Food Standards Agency (2006). This is comparable to estimates of dietary PBDEs intake in Spain (Domingo et al., 2008) but exceeds those for the USA (Schechter et al., 2009), Belgium (Voorspoels et al., 2007), the



459 Netherlands (Bakker et al., 2008), Sweden (Törnkvist et al., 2011), China (Su et al., 2012) and  
460 Romania (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010). Notably, estimated high-end intakes of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs were 5  
461 times higher than the mean dietary intakes for both toddlers and adults (Table [S10](#)). Meat was  
462 the main source of PBDEs for both toddlers and adults in this study, contributing > 58% of the  
463 overall intake, consistent with previous studies conducted in China (Su et al., 2012) and Romania  
464 (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010). However, fish was the predominant contributor to human exposure of  
465 PBDEs in several other countries such as Sweden (Törnkvist et al., 2011), Belgium (Voorspoels  
466 et al., 2007), and Spain (Domingo et al., 2008).

467 Estimated average daily dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs for UK adults and toddlers are 8.8 and 31  
468 ng/day respectively (Table [S12](#)). Compared with other countries, our estimate of UK adult  
469 dietary intake of HBCDDs is comparable to those for the USA (Schechter et al., 2009), Sweden  
470 (Törnkvist et al., 2011) and China (Shi et al., 2009); but lower than those in one previous UK  
471 study (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), Belgium (Goscinnny et al., 2011), Spain (Eljarrat et al.,  
472 2014), the Netherlands (de Winter-Sorkina, 2003) and Romania (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010) (Table  
473 [S13](#)). Meat was the food group making the greatest contribution to dietary exposure to  
474  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs (76% and 73% for toddlers and adults respectively), followed by fish (23% and 20%  
475 for toddlers and adults respectively). Elsewhere, meat was the main contributor to dietary  
476 exposure in the USA (Schechter et al., 2009), Belgium (Goscinnny et al., 2011), Netherlands (de  
477 Winter-Sorkina, 2003), China (Shi et al., 2009) and Romania (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010). In  
478 contrast, milk and fruit were the main contributors to dietary exposure to HBCDDs in a previous  
479 UK study (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), while in Spain (Eljarrat et al., 2014) and Sweden  
480 (Törnkvist et al., 2011), fish was the main source of dietary intake of HBCDDs.

## **Nursing infants' dietary intake of FRs via breast milk**

Table S14 and S15 summarise estimated intakes of target FRs via breast milk for a 1 month old infant (Further details are provided in the SI section). **Estimated median dietary exposure to  $\Sigma$ EFRs of a breast-fed infant assuming ingestion of milk from group 2 was 18 ng/kg bw/day,** thereby exceeding substantially our estimated average dietary intakes for both UK adults (1.1 ng/kg bw/day for 70 kg adults) and toddlers (2 ng/kg bw/day for 10 kg toddlers). Shi et al. (2016) reported an average  $\Sigma$ EFRs intake of 38.4 ng/kg bw/day for nursing infants in China, which is higher than our estimate. In Shi et al. (2016)'s study, the dietary intake of DBDPE was predominant, accounting for 87% to 99% of the total dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs, while in our study  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was the main contributor, with a contribution of 39% to the total dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs. The estimated dietary intakes of target EFRs from human milk group 1 were comparable to those from group 2. The dietary intakes of nursing infants of PBDEs and HBCDDs were previously reported using group 1 (collected in 2010) data (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014, 2011) and no substantial differences were observed between those and our estimates from group 2 data (collected in 2014-15).

## **Relationship between FR intake and human body burdens**

To examine the relationship between estimated intakes via various pathways and human body burdens measured in human milk samples; a simple one-compartment, first order pharmacokinetic (PK) model was used (Abdallah and Harrad, 2011). Detailed information about the PK model and methods via which our predicted body burdens are derived are supplied in the SI section.

**Despite limited information on the toxicokinetics of EH-TBB and BEH-TEBP in rodents**

(Knudsen et al., 2016; 2017), to the best of our knowledge, no information is available for bioavailable fractions and human half-lives of EFRs. We therefore estimated these parameters for EFRs from those for related PBDEs (Table S16), e.g. those for DBE-DBCH (4 x Br; molecular weight: 427.8) were assumed equivalent to that for BDE 47 (4 x Br; molecular weight: 485.79).

To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to model the body burden of EFRs in human milk. In general, predicted adult body burdens agreed well with observed levels in human milk (Table 3). Results revealed dietary exposure was the main contributor to UK adult body burdens of DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB (64%-73%), while dust ingestion plays a more important role in driving body burdens of BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, and DBDPE (61%-83% of body burden) in UK adults. While human exposure to DBDPE via air and dust is relatively high, the low body burdens observed for this EFR suggest low bioaccessibility and/or high biotransformation potential of DBDPE as shown elsewhere for rats (Hakk et al., 2004; Nomeir et al., 1993; Verreault et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010) and chickens (Zheng et al., 2015). As our predicted body burdens were based on assumed half-lives and absorption efficiencies of EFRs extrapolated from known values for PBDEs, this good agreement indicates our target EFRs likely possess similar physicochemical properties to PBDEs.

Overall, good agreement was observed between predicted and observed body burdens for our target EFRs. This was achieved notwithstanding the simplicity of the PK model used, the omission of dermal exposure, and for EFRs a number of additional factors such as: the scarcity of information about crucial parameters like the half-lives of target compounds in human tissues, and uncertainties about the bioaccessibility of target chemicals. While this suggests that we have identified the principal exposure pathways to the target FRs, more research is needed to better

characterise exposure and factors that influence the relationship between external exposure and body burdens for EFRs.

### **Relationship between LFR intake and human body burdens**

Generally, predicted body burdens appear reasonably close to measured values of PBDEs in human milk in the present study (Table S17). In a previous report, good agreement was also observed between the predicted body burdens through diet, air and dust and the observed levels of main target PBDEs in UK human milk (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014). In this study, dietary intake was the major exposure pathway contributing to PBDE body burdens (56%-85% for tri-hexa BDEs) in the UK population except for BDE 209 - for which dust ingestion accounted for ~90% of overall body burden.

For HBCDDs, predicted body burdens were lower than observed levels for individual HBCDDs in UK human milk when using our estimated dietary intake values of HBCDDs (Table S19). This may be attributable to our focus on meat-related food samples in our study as HBCDD concentrations were highest in vegetables, fruit and cows' milk in previous UK studies (Driffield et al., 2008; UK Food Standards Agency, 2006). As concentrations of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in meat-related food samples in this study were comparable to those reported previously (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), we therefore used estimated dietary intakes from this previous study to predict body burdens. This resulted in closer agreement between predicted and observed body burdens of individual HBCDDs. This indicates the importance of including vegetables, fruits, milk and high water content food samples when monitoring dietary exposure to HBCDDs.

### **Conclusions**

This study reveals the presence of EFRs in various types of UK food and human milk. Meat was

the main source of dietary intakes of PBDEs, EFRs and HBCDDs for both toddlers and adults under an average consumer scenario. Estimated dietary exposures to EFRs were comparable to dietary intakes of PBDEs but higher than those of HBCDDs for both toddlers and adults. The most frequently detected compounds were  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB in human milk. This may be a health concern as some EFRs show similar persistence, bioaccumulation potential and toxicity properties to legacy FRs (Barr et al., 2010; Ezechiáš et al., 2012; He et al., 2012; Howard and Muir, 2010; Johnson et al., 2013; Khalaf et al., 2009; Pradhan et al., 2013; Saunders et al., 2013; Tomy et al., 2007), exacerbated by likely future increases in use of EFRs due to the banned and restricted use of LFRs (European Court of Justice, 2008; La Guardia et al., 2006; Stockholm Convention, 2009; UNEP, 2014). In spite of recent evidence of significant temporal trends for LFRs in food/indoor dust/air, no temporal changes were observed for LFRs in human milk over the same time period. This suggests that the lag time between changes in use of these chemicals and a response in human body burdens is not insubstantial. We also examined the relationship between our estimated intakes via different pathways and the body burdens using a simple one-compartment PK model. The results of this showed predicted adult body burdens to be in agreement with observed levels in human milk for all studied FRs. In summary, dust ingestion appears to constitute the major exposure pathway for adults to BDE 209, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, and DBDPE, while dietary exposure was the major exposure pathway contributing to UK body burdens of HBCDDs, tri-hexa BDEs, DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB.

## **Acknowledgment**

This research is supported by a Li Siguang scholarship to Fang Tao funded by the China Scholarship Council and the University of Birmingham. The BEED study is supported by an

MRC PhD studentship and MRC Centenary Award from the MRC-PHE Centre in Environment and Health (G0801056/1 and MR/L01341X/1) and the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Health Impact of Environmental Hazards at King's College London in partnership with Public Health England (PHE) and in collaboration with Imperial College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or Public Health England. We gratefully acknowledge all the milk donors and the staff of Birmingham Women's Hospital Milk Bank, and the participants who donated milk from the BEED study. We also thank Maria Leal Sanchez, our collaborators, and the research midwives at the study hospitals for their help recruiting the participants and/or collecting the milk.

## **Supporting Information**

Full details of the analytical protocols, QA/QC measurements and human exposure assessment models are provided as supporting information.

586 **Table 1. Average concentrations of FRs in composite food samples from Birmingham, UK (ng/g lw)**

	Lipid weight (%)	Water content (%)	$\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH	$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH	EH-TBB	BTBPE	BEH-TEBP	DBDPE	$\Sigma$ EFRs	BDE 209	$\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs	$\Sigma$ HBCDDs
<b>Detection Frequency (%)</b>	--	--	97%	100%	77%	60%	63%	33%	--	97%	--	--
<b>Meat</b>												
<b>Beef (3<sup>a</sup>)</b>	8.0	67	0.89	1.9	0.20	<0.04	0.44	<1.1	4.5	0.46	15	2.7
<b>Lamb (3)</b>	8.0	68	0.62	6.2	0.19	<0.05	0.28	3.5	11	0.28	2.1	0.32
<b>Pork (3)</b>	8.0	66	0.95	4.1	1.4	2.4	0.20	4.6	14	0.42	21	4.6
<b>Chicken (3)</b>	5.0	73	0.58	7.6	0.36	1.3	0.57	<1.5	11	0.63	11	4.5
<b>Liver</b>												
<b>Beef liver (2)</b>	4.0	64	1.6	49	1.6	<0.06	0.69	4.5	57	3.3	32	20
<b>Lamb liver (2)</b>	5.0	65	<0.26	55	0.19	0.35	0.94	7.6	65	0.43	5.5	1.3
<b>Pork liver (1)</b>	5.0	69	1.1	85	0.63	0.24	5.0	1.5	93	0.51	4.7	7.9
<b>Chicken liver (1)</b>	4.0	73	0.72	34	0.66	0.14	5.8	<1.6	42	0.47	3.2	<0.48
<b>Fish</b>												
<b>Salmon (3)</b>	9.0	65	1.3	4.4	0.32	<0.04	<0.1	6.6	13	0.69	40	12
<b>Mackerel (2)</b>	20	49	1.1	4.9	0.22	0.17	<0.2	<0.63	7.0	0.74	13	3.6
<b>Tuna (2)</b>	2.0	75	0.48	39	0.38	0.78	0.42	21	62	1.7	16	16
<b>Trout (2)</b>	10	67	0.60	4.6	0.43	0.16	1.1	<0.88	7.4	0.34	27	8.8
<b>Egg and dairy products</b>												
<b>Cheese (2)</b>	18	46	0.44	0.99	0.11	0.20	0.22	<0.74	2.3	0.21	5.1	<0.24
<b>Hen Eggs (1)</b>	11	51	0.42	3.0	0.10	0.18	1.8	<1.2	6.1	0.53	1.9	1.3

587 <sup>a</sup>Number in parentheses denotes number of composite samples of that food group analysed.

588

**Table 2. Descriptive statistics for concentrations for EFRs and LFRs in UK human milk (ng/g lw) <sup>a</sup>**

	Lipid weight (%)	$\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH	$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH	EH-TBB	BTBPE	BEH-TEBP	DBDPE	$\Sigma$ EFRs	$\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs	BDE 209	$\Sigma$ HBCDDs
<b>Human milk collected in 2010 (n=25)</b>											
<b>Detection Frequency</b>	--	20%	76%	44%	28%	36%	4%	--	--	69%	--
<b>Mean</b>	3.2	--	6.8	--	--	--	--	--	5.9	0.31	5.95
<b>Median</b>	3.5	<0.13	3.1	<0.01	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	7.9	5.00	0.25	3.83
<b>Minimum</b>	1.9	<0.13	<0.13	<0.01	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	0.57	0.20	<0.06	1.04
<b>Maximum</b>	4.4	1.7	38	2.1	56	4.6	250	260	26.10	0.92	22.37
<b>Human milk collected in 2014-2015 (n=10)</b>											
<b>Detection Frequency</b>	--	100%	100%	90%	40%	50%	10%	--	--	40%	--
<b>Mean</b>	3.9	0.67	2.5	0.21	--	0.25	--	--	6.5	<0.22	3.2
<b>Median</b>	4.1	0.60	1.2	0.16	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	3.1	5.8	<0.22	2.9
<b>Minimum</b>	1.5	0.30	0.43	<0.01	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	1.9	1.7	<0.22	0.69
<b>Maximum</b>	5.3	1.1	10	0.48	0.71	0.73	58	59	14	0.67	7.1

589 <sup>a</sup> Average concentrations were calculated only for those FRs for which detection frequency>50%.



**Table 3. Estimated median and average daily intakes<sup>a</sup> of selected target EFRs and comparison of resultant predicted adult body burdens<sup>b</sup> with those observed in human milk**

Exposure Pathway/EFR	$\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH	$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH	EH-TBB	BTBPE	BEH-TEBP	DBDPE
<b>Average intake (ng/day)</b>						
<b>Dust<sup>c</sup></b>	0.26	0.30	0.97	21.00	14.00	20.00
<b>Diet<sup>d</sup></b>	8.20	49.84	3.34	5.79	6.04	15.71
<b>Air<sup>c</sup></b>	2.30	1.70	0.17	0.31	0.17	0.44
<b>Median intake (ng/day)</b>						
<b>Dust<sup>c</sup></b>	0.16	0.18	0.19	1.50	2.60	6.50
<b>Diet<sup>d</sup></b>	7.72	49.59	3.48	4.19	6.75	9.53
<b>Air<sup>c</sup></b>	1.70	1.20	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.10
<b>Average predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>						
<b>Dust</b>	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.15	0.10	0.003
<b>Diet</b>	0.43	2.61	0.15	0.04	0.04	0.003
<b>Air</b>	0.21	0.15	0.02	0.002	0.001	0.001
<b>Sum</b>	0.65	2.78	0.21	0.19	0.14	0.01
<b>Median predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>						
<b>Dust</b>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.001
<b>Diet</b>	0.40	2.60	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.002
<b>Air</b>	0.15	0.11	0.005	0.001	0.0003	0.0001
<b>Sum</b>	0.57	2.72	0.17	0.04	0.07	0.003
<b>Observed body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>						
<b>Average</b>	0.67	2.50	0.21	0.15	0.25	--
<b>Median</b>	0.60	1.20	0.16	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78


<sup>a</sup>Values below LOQ were assumed to be 1/2 LOQ. Average and median dust intakes based on assumption that 20 mg/day dust ingested (Jones-Otazo et al., 2005) containing the average and median FR concentrations reported for UK house dust and average inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day (Currado and Harrad, 1998);

<sup>b</sup>Body burdens were calculated only for those FRs for which detection frequency>50%;

<sup>c</sup>Data from Tao et al. (2016);

<sup>d</sup>Estimated from the average consumption rates calculated for each food group (Food Standards Agency, 2014), the average and median FRs concentrations in this study were used for calculation of average and median dietary intakes, separately.

599 **Figure 1. Average concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (pg/g ww) in food samples from different countries.**



600

601 a) data from this study; b) data from Rose et al.(2015); c) data from Schecter et al.(2009); d) data from Voorspoels et al.(2007); e)  
 602 data from Domingo et al.(2008); f) BDE 209 was not measured; g) data from Bakker et al.(2008); h) data from Törnkvist et  
 603 al.(2011); i) data from Su et al.(2012); j) data from Dirtu and Covaci(2010); k) median concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs.

## References

- Abdallah, M.A.E., Harrad, S., 2014. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in UK human milk: implications for infant exposure and relationship to external exposure. *Environ. Int.* 63, 130–6. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.009
- Abdallah, M.A.E., Harrad, S., 2011. Tetrabromobisphenol-A, hexabromocyclododecane and its degradation products in UK human milk: Relationship to external exposure. *Environ. Int.* 37, 443–448. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2010.11.008
- Arcus-Arth, A., Krowech, G., Zeise, L., 2005. Breast milk and lipid intake distributions for assessing cumulative exposure and risk. *J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol.* 15, 357–365. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500412
- Arsenault, G., Lough, A., Marvin, C., McAlees, A., McCrindle, R., MacInnis, G., Pleskach, K., Potter, D., Riddell, N., Sverko, E., Tittlemier, S., Tomy, G., 2008. Structure characterization and thermal stabilities of the isomers of the brominated flame retardant 1,2-dibromo-4-(1,2-dibromoethyl)cyclohexane. *Chemosphere* 72, 1163–1170. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.03.044
- Bakker, M.I., de Winter-Sorkina, R., de Mul, A., Boon, P.E., van Donkersgoed, G., van Klaveren, J.D., Baumann, B. a, Hijman, W.C., van Leeuwen, S.P.J., de Boer, J., Zeilmaker, M.J., 2008. Dietary intake and risk evaluation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in The Netherlands. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 52, 204–216. doi:10.1002/mnfr.200700112
- Bearr, J.S., Stapleton, H.M., Mitchelmore, C.L., 2010. Accumulation and DNA damage in fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) exposed to 2 brominated flame-retardant mixtures, Firemaster 550 and Firemaster BZ-54. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 29, 722–9.

doi:10.1002/etc.94

Cequier, E., Ionas, A.C., Covaci, A., Marcé, R.M., Becher, G., Thomsen, C., 2014. Occurrence of a broad range of legacy and emerging flame retardants in indoor environments in Norway. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 6827–35. doi:10.1021/es500516u

Currado, G.M., Harrad, S., 1998. Comparison of polychlorinated biphenyl concentrations in indoor and outdoor air and the potential significance of inhalation as a human exposure pathway. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32, 3043–3047. doi:10.1021/es970735c

de Winter-Sorkina, R., Bakker, M. I., Van Donkersgoed, G., Van Klaveren, J. D., 2003. Dietary intake of brominated flame retardants by the Dutch population. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 37, 1005–1010. doi:10.1021/es101233z

Covaci, A., 2010. Estimation of Daily Intake of Organohalogenated Contaminants from Food Consumption and Indoor Dust Ingestion in Romania. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6297–6304. doi:10.1021/es101233z

Domingo, J.L., Martí-Cid, R., Castell, V., Llobet, J.M., 2008. Human exposure to PBDEs through the diet in Catalonia, Spain: Temporal trend: A review of recent literature on dietary PBDE intake. *Toxicology* 248, 25–32. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2008.03.006

Driffield, M., Harmer, N., Bradley, E., Fernandes, A.R., Rose, M., Mortimer, D., Dicks, P., 2008. Determination of brominated flame retardants in food by LC–MS/MS: diastereoisomer-specific hexabromocyclododecane and tetrabromobisphenol A. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 25, 895–903. doi:10.1080/02652030701882999

Dunn, R.L., Huwe, J.K., Carey, G.B., 2010. Biomonitoring polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human milk as a function of environment, dietary intake, and demographics in New Hampshire. *Chemosphere* 80, 1175–82. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.06.017

649 Eljarrat, E., Gorga, M., Gasser, M., Díaz-Ferrero, J., Barceló, D., 2014. Dietary Exposure  
650 Assessment of Spanish Citizens to Hexabromocyclododecane through the Diet. J. Agric.  
651 Food Chem. 62, 2462–2468. doi:10.1021/jf405007x

652 EU RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT, 2002. European Union Risk Assessment Report on  
653 BIS(PENTABROMOPHENYL) ETHER. European Commission, Joint Research  
654 Centre, European Chemicals Bureau, EUR20402EN, 2002., Vol. 17.

655 Ezechiáš, M., Svobodová, K., Cajthaml, T., 2012. Hormonal activities of new brominated  
656 flame retardants. Chemosphere 87, 820–824. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.049

657 Fang, M., Stapleton, H.M., 2014. Evaluating the Bioaccessibility of Flame Retardants in  
658 House Dust Using an In Vitro Tenax Bead-Assisted Sorptive Physiologically Based  
659 Method. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 13323–13330. doi:10.1021/es503918m

660 Fängström, B., Athanassiadis, I., Odsjö, T., Norén, K., Bergman, A., 2008. Temporal trends  
661 of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecane in milk from  
662 Stockholm mothers, 1980-2004. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 52, 187–93.  
663 doi:10.1002/mnfr.200700182

664 Fernandes, A., Smith, F., Petch, R., Panton, S., Carr, M., Mortimer, D., Tlustos, C., Rose, M.,  
665 2010. The Emerging BFRs Hexabromobenzene (HBB), Bis (246-tribromophenoxy)  
666 ethane (BTBPE), and Decabromodiphenylethane (DBDPE) in UK and Irish Foods. Proc.  
667 BFR 2010, Kyoto, Japan 90028.

668 Frederiksen, M., Vorkamp, K., Thomsen, M., Knudsen, L.E., 2009. Human internal and  
669 external exposure to PBDEs - A review of levels and sources. Int. J. Hyg. Environ.  
670 Health 212, 109–134. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2008.04.005

671 Gandhi, N., Bhavsar, S.P., Gewurtz, S.B., Tomy, G.T., 2011. Can biotransformation of BDE-  
672 209 in lake trout cause bioaccumulation of more toxic, lower-brominated PBDEs (BDE-  
673 47, -99) over the long term? *Environ. Int.* 37, 170–177.  
674 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2010.08.013

675 Gauthier, L.T., Potter, D., Hebert, C.E., Letcher, R.J., 2008. Temporal Trends and Spatial  
676 Distribution of Non-polybrominated Diphenyl Ether Flame Retardants in the Eggs of  
677 Colonial Populations of Great Lakes Herring Gulls. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 312–317.  
678 doi:10.1021/es801687d

679 Goscinnny, S., Vandevijvere, S., Maleki, M., Overmeire, I. Van, Windal, I., Hanot, V., Blaude,  
680 M.-N., Vleminckx, C., Loco, J. Van, 2011. Dietary intake of hexabromocyclododecane  
681 diastereoisomers ( $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -HBCD) in the Belgian adult population. *Chemosphere* 84,  
682 279–288. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.04.048

683 Hakk, H., Larsen, G., Bowers, J., 2004. Metabolism, tissue disposition, and excretion of 1,2-  
684 bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE) in male Sprague-Dawley rats.  
685 *Chemosphere* 54, 1367–74. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2003.10.032

686 Harrad, S., Abdallah, M.A.E., Rose, N.L., Turner, S.D., Davidson, T.A., 2009. Current-Use  
687 Brominated Flame Betardants in Water, Sediment, and Fish from English Lakes.  
688 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 9077–9083. doi: 10.1021/Es902185u

689 Harrad, S., Diamond, M., 2006. New Directions: Exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers  
690 (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): Current and future scenarios. *Atmos.*  
691 *Environ.* 40, 1187–1188. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.10.006

692 Harrad, S., Ibarra, C., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Boon, R., Neels, H., Covaci, A., 2008.  
693 Concentrations of brominated flame retardants in dust from United Kingdom cars,

694 homes, and offices: Causes of variability and implications for human exposure. *Environ.*  
695 *Int.* 34, 1170–1175. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.05.001>

696 Harrad, S., Wijesekera, R., Hunter, S., Halliwell, C., Baker, R., 2004. Preliminary assessment  
697 of UK human dietary and inhalation exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers.  
698 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 2345–2350. doi: 10.1021/es0301121

699 Hassine, S. Ben, Ameer, W. Ben, Gandoura, N., Driss, M.R., 2012. Determination of  
700 chlorinated pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in  
701 human milk from Bizerte (Tunisia) in 2010. *Chemosphere* 89, 369–77.  
702 doi:[10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.035)

703 He, M.J., Luo, X.J., Chen, M.Y., Sun, Y.X., Chen, S.J., Mai, B.X., 2012. Bioaccumulation of  
704 polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenyl ethane in fish from a river  
705 system in a highly industrialized area, South China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 419, 109–115. doi:  
706 [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.12.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.12.035)

707 Howard, P.H., Muir, D.C.G., 2010. Identifying new persistent and bioaccumulative organics  
708 among chemicals in commerce. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 2277–85.  
709 doi:[10.1021/es903383a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es903383a)

710 Johnson, P.I., Stapleton, H.M., Mukherjee, B., Hauser, R., Meeker, J.D., 2013. Associations  
711 between brominated flame retardants in house dust and hormone levels in men. *Sci.*  
712 *Total Environ.* 445–446, 177–184. doi:[10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.017)

713 Jones-Otazo, H.A., Clarke, J.P., Diamond, M.L., Archbold, J.A., Ferguson, G., Harner, T.,  
714 Richardson, G.M., Ryan, J.J., Wilford, B., 2005. Is house dust the missing exposure  
715 pathway for PBDEs? An analysis of the urban fate and human exposure to PBDEs.  
716 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 5121–5130. doi: 10.1021/Es048267b

717 Khalaf, Hazem, Anders Larsson, Håkan Berg, Robert McCrindle, Gilles Arsenault, and P.-  
 718 E.O., 2009. Diastereomers of the Brominated Flame Retardant 1,2-Dibromo-4-(1,2  
 719 dibromoethyl)cyclohexane Induce Androgen Receptor Activation in the HepG2  
 720 Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cell Line and the LNCaP Prostate Cancer Cell Line. Environ.  
 721 Health Perspect. URL <http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/0901065/> (accessed 10.9.15).

722 Knudsen, G.A.; Sanders, J.M.; Birnbaum, L.S., 2016. Disposition of the Emerging  
 723 Brominated Flame Retardant, 2-Ethylhexyl 2,3,4,5-Tetrabromobenzoate, in Female SD  
 724 Rats and Male B6C3F1 Mice: Effects of Dose, Route, and Repeated Administration.  
 725 Toxicol Sci. 154, 392-402.doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfw176

726 Knudsen, G.A.; Sanders, J.M.; Birnbaum, L.S., 2017. Disposition of the emerging  
 727 brominated flame retardant, bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate, in female Sprague  
 728 Dawley rats: effects of dose, route and repeated administration. Xenobiotica 47,245-254.  
 729 doi: 10.1080/00498254.2016.1174793

730 La Guardia, M.J., Hale, R.C., Harvey, E., 2007. Evidence of debromination of  
 731 decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-209) in biota from a wastewater receiving stream.  
 732 Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 6663–6670. doi:10.1021/es070728g

733 La Guardia, M.J., Hale, R.C., Harvey, E., 2006. Detailed Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether  
 734 (PBDE) Congener Composition of the Widely Used Penta-, Octa-, and Deca-PBDE  
 735 Technical Flame-retardant Mixtures. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 6247–6254.  
 736 doi:10.1021/es060630m

737 Labunska, I., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Eulaers, I., Covaci, A., Tao, F., Wang, M., Santillo, D.,  
 738 Johnston, P., Harrad, S., 2015. Human dietary intake of organohalogen contaminants at  
 739 e-waste recycling sites in Eastern China. Environ. Int. 74, 209–220.



740       doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.020>

741   Law, K., Halldorson, T., Danell, R., Stern, G., Gewurtz, S., Alae, M., Marvin, C., Whittle,  
742       M., Tomy, G., 2006. Bioaccumulation and Trophic Transfer of Some Brominated Flame  
743       Retardants in a Lake Winnipeg (Canada) Food Web. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 2177.  
744       doi:10.1897/05-500R.1

745   Letcher, R.J., Martenson, S.C., Fernie, K.J., 2014. Dietary exposure of American kestrels  
746       (*Falco sparverius*) to decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-209) flame retardant: Uptake,  
747       distribution, debromination and cytochrome P450 enzyme induction. *Environ. Int.* 63,  
748       182–190. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.010

749   Li, P., Wu, H., Li, Q., Jin, J., Wang, Y., 2015. Brominated flame retardants in food and  
750       environmental samples from a production area in China: concentrations and human  
751       exposure assessment. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 187, 719. doi:10.1007/s10661-015-4947-  
752       y

753   Liu, L.Y., He, K., Hites, R.A., Salamova, A., 2016. Hair and Nails as Noninvasive  
754       Biomarkers of Human Exposure to Brominated and Organophosphate Flame Retardants.  
755       *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 3065–3073. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b05073

756   Lorber, M., 2008. Exposure of Americans to polybrominated diphenyl ethers. *J. Expo. Sci.*  
757       *Environ. Epidemiol.* 18, 2–19. doi: 10.1038/sj.jes.7500572

758   Möller, A., Xie, Z., Cai, M., Zhong, G., Huang, P., Cai, M., Sturm, R., He, J., Ebinghaus, R.,  
759       2011a. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers vs alternate brominated flame retardants and  
760       Dechloranes from East Asia to the Arctic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 6793–9.  
761       doi:10.1021/es201850n

762 Munschy, C., Héas-Moisan, K., Tixier, C., Boulesteix, L., Morin, J., 2011. Classic and novel  
 763 brominated flame retardants (BFRs) in common sole (*Solea solea* L.) from main nursery  
 764 zones along the French coasts. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409, 4618–27.  
 765 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.07.021

766 Nomeir, A.A., Markham, P.M., Ghanayem, B.I. and Chadwick, M.A.R.J.O.R.Y., 1993.  
 767 Disposition of the flame retardant 1, 2-bis (2, 4, 6-tribromophenoxy) ethane in rats  
 768 following administration in the diet. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 21(2), 209-214.

769 Newton, S., Sellström, U., de Wit, C. [a](#), 2015. Emerging Flame Retardants, PBDEs, and  
 770 HBCDDs in indoor and outdoor media in Stockholm, Sweden. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49,  
 771 2912–2920. doi:10.1021/es505946e

772 Official Journal of the European Union, 9 May 2008, Judgment of the Court of 1 April 2008  
 773 (2008/C116/04).

774 [Patisaul, H.B.; Roberts, S.C.; Mabrey, N.; McCaffrey, K.A.; Gear, R.B.; Braun, J.; Belcher,](#)  
 775 [S.M.; Stapleton, H.M., 2013. Accumulation and Endocrine Disrupting Effects of the](#)  
 776 [Flame Retardant Mixture Firemaster \(R\) 550 in Rats: An Exploratory Assessment. J](#)  
 777 [BiochemMol Toxicol.](#) 27, 124-136. doi: 10.1002/jbt.21439

778 [Phillips, A.L.; Chen, A.; Rock, K.D.; Horman, B.; Patisaul, H.B.; Stapleton, H.M., 2016.](#)  
 779 [Transplacental and Lactational Transfer of Firemaster \(R\) 550 Components in Dosed](#)  
 780 [Wistar Rats. Toxicol Sci.](#) 153, 246-257. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfw12

781 Pradhan, A., Kharlyngdoh, J.B., Asnake, S., Olsson, P.-E., 2013. The brominated flame  
 782 retardant TBECH activates the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) androgen receptor, alters gene  
 783 transcription and causes developmental disturbances. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 142–143, 63–72.  
 784 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.07.018

785 Public Health England and the Food Standards Agency, 2014. National Diet and Nutrition  
 786 Survey: results from Years 1 to 4 (combined) of the rolling programme for 2008 and  
 787 2009 to 2011 and 2012 - Publications - GOV.UK.  
 788 [https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
 789 [from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
 790 [2012](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012) (accessed 1.21.16).

791 Roosens, L., Abdallah, M.A.E., Harrad, S., Neels, H., Covaci, A., 2009. Exposure to  
 792 Hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs) via Dust Ingestion, but Not Diet, Correlates with  
 793 Concentrations in Human Serum: Preliminary Results. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 117,  
 794 1707–1712. doi: 10.1289/Ehp.0900869

795 Rose, M., Fernandes, A., Mortimer, D., Baskaran, C., 2015. Contamination of fish in UK  
 796 fresh water systems: Risk assessment for human consumption. *Chemosphere* 122, 183–9.  
 797 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.11.046

798 Ryan, J.J., Rawn, D.F.K., 2014. The brominated flame retardants, PBDEs and HBCD, in  
 799 Canadian human milk samples collected from 1992 to 2005; concentrations and trends.  
 800 *Environ. Int.* 70, 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.04.020

801 Sahlström, L.M.O., Sellström, U., de Wit, C.A., Lignell, S., Darnerud, P.O., 2015. Estimated  
 802 intakes of brominated flame retardants via diet and dust compared to internal  
 803 concentrations in a Swedish mother-toddler cohort. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 218,  
 804 422–32. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.03.011

805 Saunders, D.M.V., Higley, E.B., Hecker, M., Mankidy, R., Giesy, J.P., 2013. In vitro  
 806 endocrine disruption and TCDD-like effects of three novel brominated flame retardants:  
 807 TBPH, TBB, & TBCO. *Toxicol. Lett.* 223, 252–259. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.09.009

- 808 Schecter, A., Haffner, D., Colacino, J., Patel, K., Pöpke, O., Opel, M., Birnbaum, L., 2009.  
 809 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) and Hexabromocyclodecane (HBCD) in  
 810 Composite U.S. Food Samples. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 118, 357–362.  
 811 doi:10.1289/ehp.0901345
- 812 Schuhmacher, M., Kiviranta, H., Ruokojärvi, P., Nadal, M., Domingo, J.L., 2009.  
 813 Concentrations of PCDD/Fs, PCBs and PBDEs in breast milk of women from Catalonia,  
 814 Spain: a follow-up study. *Environ. Int.* 35, 607–13. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2008.12.003
- 815 Shi, T., Chen, S.J., Luo, X.J., Zhang, X.L., Tang, C.M., Luo, Y., Ma, Y.J., Wu, J.P., Peng,  
 816 X.Z., Mai, B.X., 2009. Occurrence of brominated flame retardants other than  
 817 polybrominated diphenyl ethers in environmental and biota samples from southern  
 818 China. *Chemosphere* 74, 910–916. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.10.047
- 819 Shi, Z.X., Wu, Y.N., Li, J.G., Zhao, Y.F., Feng, J.F., 2009. Dietary Exposure Assessment of  
 820 Chinese Adults and Nursing Infants to Tetrabromobisphenol-A and  
 821 Hexabromocyclododecanes: Occurrence Measurements in Foods and Human Milk.  
 822 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 4314–4319. doi:10.1021/es8035626
- 823 Shi, Z., Zhang, L., Li, J., Zhao, Y., Sun, Z., Zhou, X., Wu, Y., 2016. Novel brominated flame  
 824 retardants in food composites and human milk from the Chinese Total Diet Study in  
 825 2011: Concentrations and a dietary exposure assessment. *Environ. Int.* 96, 82–90.  
 826 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.09.005
- 827 Shy, C.G., Huang, H.L., Chao, H.R., Chang-Chien, G.P., 2012. Cord blood levels of thyroid  
 828 hormones and IGF-1 weakly correlate with breast milk levels of PBDEs in Taiwan. *Int. J.*  
 829 *Hyg. Environ. Health* 215, 345–51. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.10.004
- 830 Stapleton, H.M., Brazil, B., Holbrook, R.D., Mitchelmore, C.L., Benedict, R., Konstantinov,

831 A., Potter, D., 2006. In vivo and in vitro debromination of decabromodiphenyl ether  
 832 (BDE 209) by juvenile rainbow trout and common carp. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40,  
 833 4653–4658. doi:10.1021/es060573x

834 Stockholm Convention, 2009. The 9 New POPs under the Stockholm Convention. [http://chm.](http://chm.pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/language/en-US/Default.aspx)  
 835 [pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/lan-](http://chm.pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/language/en-US/Default.aspx)  
 836 [guage/en-US/Default.aspx](http://chm.pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/language/en-US/Default.aspx).

837 Su, G., Liu, X., Gao, Z., Xian, Q., Feng, J., Zhang, X., Giesy, J.P., Wei, S., Liu, H., Yu, H.,  
 838 2012. Dietary intake of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated  
 839 biphenyls (PCBs) from fish and meat by residents of Nanjing, China. *Environ. Int.* 42,  
 840 138–143. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2011.05.015

841 Tao, F., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Harrad, S., 2016. Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK  
 842 indoor air and dust: evidence for replacement of PBDEs by emerging flame retardants?  
 843 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 13052-13061. doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b02816

844 Tian, S., Zhu, L., Bian, J., Fang, S., 2012. Bioaccumulation and metabolism of  
 845 polybrominated diphenyl ethers in carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) in a water/sediment  
 846 microcosm: Important role of particulate matter exposure. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46,  
 847 2951–2958. doi:10.1021/es204011k

848 Toms, L.M.L., Hearn, L., Kennedy, K., Harden, F., Bartkow, M., Temme, C., Mueller, J.F.,  
 849 2009. Concentrations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in matched samples  
 850 of human milk, dust and indoor air. *Environ. Int.* 35, 864–869.  
 851 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2009.03.001

852 Tomy, G.T., Palace, V.P., Pleskach, K., Ismail, N., Oswald, T., Danell, R., Wautier, K.,  
 853 Evans, B., 2007. Dietary Exposure of Juvenile Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

854 to 1,2-bis(2,4,6-tribromo- phenoxy)ethane: Bioaccumulation Parameters, Biochemical  
 855 Effects, and Metabolism. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 4913–4918. doi:10.1021/es070288t

856 Tomy, G.T., Pleskach, K., Arsenault, G., Potter, D., Mccrindle, R., Marvin, C.H., Sverko, E.,  
 857 Tittlemier, S., 2008. Identification of the novel cycloaliphatic brominated flame  
 858 retardant 1,2-dihromo-4-(1,2-dibromoethyl)cyclo-hexane in Canadian arctic beluga  
 859 (Delphinapterus leucas). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 543–549. doi: 10.1021/Es072043m

860 Törnkvist, A., Glynn, A., Aune, M., Darnerud, P.O., Ankarberg, E.H., 2011. PCDD/F, PCB,  
 861 PBDE, HBCD and chlorinated pesticides in a Swedish market basket from 2005 –  
 862 Levels and dietary intake estimations. *Chemosphere* 83, 193–199.  
 863 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.042>

864 UK Food Standards Agency, 2006. Brominated chemicals: UK dietary intakes.  
 865 <http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/fsis1006.pdf>.

866 UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009. Investigation of the Occurrence of  
 867 Brominated Contaminants in Selected Foods.  
 868 [https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-food.pdf)  
 869 [food.pdf](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-food.pdf).

870 UNEP, 2014. Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants.  
 871 <http://chm.pops.int/default.aspx> (accessed November 19, 2014).

872 Van den Steen, E., Covaci, A., Jaspers, V.L.B., Dauwe, T., Voorspoels, S., Eens, M., Pinxten,  
 873 R., 2007. Accumulation, tissue-specific distribution and debromination of  
 874 decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE 209) in European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Environ.*  
 875 *Pollut.* 148, 648–653. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.11.017

876 Verreault, J., Gebbink, W. a, Gauthier, L.T., Gabrielsen, G.W., Letcher, R.J., 2007.  
877 Brominated flame retardants in glaucous gulls from the Norwegian Arctic: more than  
878 just an issue of polybrominated diphenyl ethers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 4925–31.  
879 doi:10.1021/es070522f

880 Voorspoels, S., Covaci, A., Neels, H., Schepens, P., 2007. Dietary PBDE intake: A market-  
881 basket study in Belgium. *Environ. Int.* 33, 93–97. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2006.08.003

882 Wang, F., Wang, J., Dai, J., Hu, G., Luo, X., Mai, B., 2010. Comparative tissue distribution,  
883 biotransformation and associated biological effects by decabromodiphenyl ethane and  
884 decabrominated diphenyl ether in male rats after a 90-day oral exposure study. *Environ.*  
885 *Sci. Technol.* 44, 5655–5660. doi: 10.1021/es101158e

886 Yang, R., Wei, H., Guo, J., Li, A., 2012. Emerging brominated flame retardants in the  
887 sediment of the Great Lakes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 3119–26.  
888 doi:10.1021/es204141p

889 Zheng, X.B., Wu, J.P., Luo, X.J., Zeng, Y.H., She, Y.Z., Mai, B.X., 2012. Halogenated flame  
890 retardants in home-produced eggs from an electronic waste recycling region in South  
891 China: Levels, composition profiles, and human dietary exposure assessment. *Environ.*  
892 *Int.* 45, 122–128. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.04.006

893 Zheng, X.B., Luo, X.J., Zheng, J., Zeng, Y.H., Mai, B.X., 2015. Contaminant sources,  
894 gastrointestinal absorption, and tissue distribution of organohalogenated pollutants in  
895 chicken from an e-waste site. *Sci. Total Environ.* 505, 1003–1010.  
896 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.076

897 Zhou, S.N., Buchar, A., Siddique, S., Takser, L., Abdelouahab, N., Zhu, J., 2014.  
898 Measurements of Selected Brominated Flame Retardants in Nursing Women:

899 Implications for Human Exposure. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 8873–8880.  
900 doi:10.1021/es50168



**Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK human milk and food  
suggest slow response to restrictions on use of PBDEs and HBCDD**

*Fang Tao<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Abou-Elwafa Abdallah<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Danielle C Ashworth<sup>3,4</sup>, Philippa Douglas<sup>3,4</sup>,  
Mireille B Toledano<sup>3,4</sup>, Stuart Harrad<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Division of Environmental Health and Risk Management, School of Geography, Earth and  
Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, 71526 Assiut,  
Egypt

<sup>3</sup>MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics,  
Imperial College London, W2 1PG, UK

<sup>4</sup> National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Health Impact of  
Environmental Hazards at King's College London, a Partnership with Public Health England,  
and collaboration with Imperial College London, UK, W2 1PG

\* Corresponding author

E-mail: M.abdallah@bham.ac.uk

Tel.: +44 121 414 7298

fax: +44 121 414 5527.

## Abstract

The legacy flame retardants (LFRs) polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD), together with six emerging flame retardants (EFRs) were measured in United Kingdom (UK) human milk collected in 2010 (n=25) and 2014-15 (n=10). These data are the first report of the presence of EFRs in UK human milk. The most abundant EFR was  $\beta$ -tetrabromoethylcyclohexane (DBE-DBCH) (average = 2.5 ng/g lw; geometric mean = 1.5 ng/g lw), which is comparable to the concentrations of the most abundant LFRs i.e. BDE 47 and  $\alpha$ -HBCDD at 2.8 and 2.1 ng/g lw, respectively (geometric mean = 2.1 and 1.7). The estimated average dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs by UK nursing infants was 18 ng/kg bw/day. EFRs were also measured in UK foodstuffs with  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH again the predominant compound detected, accounting – on average – for  $64.5 \pm 23.4\%$  of  $\Sigma$ EFRs. Average estimated dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ EFRs in the UK were 89 and 26 ng/day (1.3 and 2.6 ng /body weight/day) for adults and toddlers, respectively. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in our UK food samples exceeded those reported in UK samples from the same food categories collected in 2003-04 and 2006. Despite this and our recent report elsewhere of significant temporal declines in concentrations of BDE 209 in UK indoor dust ( $p < 0.05$ ) and HBCDDs in UK indoor dust and air ( $p < 0.001$ ), no significant temporal differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed between concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs, BDE 209 and HBCDDs in human milk sampled in 2010 and those obtained in 2014-15. UK adult body burdens for EFRs were predicted via inhalation, diet and dust ingestion using a simple pharmacokinetic model. The predicted EFR body burdens compared well with observed concentrations in human milk.

**Keywords:** Emerging flame retardants; Brominated flame retardants; Human exposure; Human milk; Diet; Nursing infant.

41    **Highlights:**

- 42        • First investigation of EFRs in UK human milk.
- 43        • Estimated dietary exposures to EFRs comparable to dietary intakes of PBDEs.
- 44        •  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH most abundant EFR in food and human milk.
- 45        • No significant change in PBDEs and HBCDD in human milk between 2010 and 2014-15
- 46        • Nursing infant exposure to EFRs exceeds adult and toddler dietary intakes.
- 47        • Observed body burdens of EFRs match closely those predicted via PK modelling

## Introduction

Flame retardants have been incorporated within a wide range of consumer goods and materials to meet fire safety regulations. Due to their persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic properties, legacy flame retardants (LFRs) like polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDDs) were subject to various bans and restrictions under different jurisdictions. Penta- and Octa-BDE technical products were banned in Europe (including the UK) by 2004, and globally under the UNEP Stockholm Convention in 2009. Significant restrictions have been put on the Deca-BDE technical product in Europe since 2008, while HBCDD was listed under the Stockholm Convention in 2014 (European Court of Justice, 2008; Stockholm Convention, 2009; UNEP, 2014). This resulted in increasing concerns over the use of emerging flame retardants (EFRs) to replace the banned LFRs. Previous studies have highlighted substantially higher levels of BDE-209 in UK indoor dust compared to other European countries, which was mainly attributed to the extensive usage of Deca-BDE in upholstery fabrics and textiles in the UK (1,000-1,200 tonnes/year out of an estimated 1,500 tonnes/year in the EU for this application) to comply with the stringent UK Furniture and Furnishing Fire Safety Regulation 1988 (EU risk assessment report, 2002). While concentrations of LFRs have been decreasing in UK indoor air and dust over the last decade, those of EFRs have been reported as increasing in the UK indoor environment (Tao et al., 2016). Moreover, the occurrence of EFRs has been widely documented recently in several environmental and biological matrices including indoor/outdoor air, indoor dust, soil, sediment, dietary items of animal origin, fish and birds (Cequier et al., 2014; Labunska et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Möller et al., 2011; Newton et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2012). This is of concern due to reports suggesting some EFRs (e.g. decabromodiphenyl ethane (DBDPE), 1,2-bis(2,4,6-

tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE), EH-TBB and DBE-DBCH) are potentially persistent and bioaccumulative (He et al., 2012; Howard and Muir, 2010; Patisaul et al., 2013; Tomy et al., 2007). As a result, concentrations of EFRs in the human diet and tissues may increase in the future.

Given their similar structure to LFRs, EFRs may pose similar adverse effects to those displayed by LFRs. EFRs like DBE-DBCH, BTBPE, 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate (EH-TBB) and bis(2-ethylhexyl)-tetrabromophthalate (BEH-TEBP) are capable of endocrine disruption and DNA damage (Barr et al., 2010; Ezechiáš et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2013; Khalafet al., 2009; Pradhan et al., 2013; Saunders et al., 2013). Despite such health concerns and evidence of exposure via indoor air and dust, very limited information on levels of EFRs in the human diet and human tissues exists to date. We are aware of only one UK and Irish diet study targeting hexabromobenzene (HBB), DBDPE and BTBPE, in which only BTBPE was detected in some UK food samples at concentrations ranging from 0.05-1.76 ng/g lw (Fernandes et al., 2010). Elsewhere, in a Swedish market basket study, EFRs were only detected in fish samples collected in 2010, with DBE-DBCH the predominant compound (Sahlström et al., 2015). In the same study,  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH was found in two Swedish pooled human milk samples (average = 4 pg/g wet weight) collected in 2009-2010 (Sahlström et al., 2015). In the Sherbrooke region of Canada, Zhou et al. (2014) measured several EFRs including EH-TBB, BEH-TEBP, BTBPE, and DBDPE in paired human maternal serum (n = 102) and breast milk (n = 105) samples collected in 2008-2009. EH-TBB was detected in > 55% of both serum and milk samples, while BEH-TEBP, BTBPE, and DBDPE were also present but less frequently detected in both matrices (Zhou et al., 2014).

In the present study, 16 EFRs were investigated in 14 groups of composite food samples

covering meat, liver, oily fish, eggs and cheese to provide a preliminary estimate of UK dietary exposure. Additionally, concentrations of 8 PBDEs and 3 HBCDD diastereomers were measured in the same samples and compared with those reported in previous UK studies to evaluate the impact of regulations and restrictions on these LFRs. Moreover, this study measures the concentrations of EFRs in UK human milk for the first time, compares exposures of nursing infants with that of adults and toddlers, and uses a simple one-compartment pharmacokinetic model to forecast the body burdens of the studied EFRs and LFRs in UK adults and compare these predicted burdens to those derived empirically from the analysed human milk samples.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Chemicals and reagents**

Solvents used were all of HPLC analytical grade (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Standards of BDEs 28, 47, 99, 100, 153, 154, 183 and 209,  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, BTBPE, DBDPE, EH-TBB, BEH-TEBP and labelled internal standards (IS)  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BDE 209,  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BTBPE,  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BEH-TEBP and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -HBCDD were purchased from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, ON, Canada). BDEs 77 and 128 (IS) were obtained from Accustandard (New Haven, CT, USA). TBBPA-BDBPE was purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Essex, UK). HBCDDs were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. (Dorset, UK).

### **Target FRs**

The FRs investigated in this study comprise: 8 PBDEs (BDEs # 28, 47, 99, 100, 153, 154, 183 and 209), 3 HBCDDs ( $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -HBCDD) and 16 EFRs ( $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, EH-TBB, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, DBDPE, tetrabromobisphenolA-bis(2,3-dibromopropyl) ether (TBBPA-BDBPE), pentabromotoluene (PBT), hexabromobenzene (HBB), pentabromobenzene (PBBz), tetrabromo-o-chlorotoluene (TBCT), 1,2,4,5-tetrabromo-3,6-dimethylbenzene (TBX),

pentabromoethylbenzene (PBEB), 2,3-dibromopropyl 2,4,6-tribromophenyl ether (TBP-DBPE), syn- dechlorane plus (DDC-CO) and anti- DDC-CO).

### **Sample collection**

*Food samples.* Samples of 14 different food groups were collected from two supermarkets representing national chains and one local market in Birmingham, UK during May and June 2015. Three samples of each food group were collected per retail outlet. Following purchase, equal weights of each of the three samples comprising each food group taken from each outlet were homogenised to provide a composite sample. It was not possible to collect all food groups from each of the three outlets, so the number of composite samples analysed varied between one and three for each food group (Table 1). Following homogenisation, all composite samples were freeze dried and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis.

*Human milk samples.* Donors of all human milk samples were primiparas. Archived human milk samples (n=25, each comprising ~50 mL) for which LFR data have been reported previously (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014, 2011) were obtained from the milk bank of Birmingham Women's Hospital after the research proposal and experimental design were approved by a local research ethics committee (REC reference number: 9/H1211/57) according to UK National Health Service guidelines (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014). Detailed sampling collection procedures are provided elsewhere (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014), but in summary, following their collection from primiparous mothers within their first three months of lactation in 2010, these archived milk samples were transferred on ice from the milk bank in 100 mL clean polypropylene containers and freeze dried prior to storage at -20 °C until analysis.

Contemporary human milk samples (n=10, each comprising ~50 mL) were collected within the first three months of birth from participants living in Southampton, UK, between August 2014

and May 2015 as part of the Breast milk, Environment, Early-life, and Development (BEED) study conducted by researchers at Imperial College London (REC reference number: 13/NW/0202). After collection, samples were kept frozen in clean screw-capped polypropylene containers and then transferred on ice from Imperial College London to Birmingham before freeze drying and storage at -20 °C until the time of analysis.

#### **Estimation of daily dietary intakes**

Dietary intakes of the studied FRs were calculated for UK toddlers and adults based on food consumption data from the latest national diet and nutrition survey report published by Public Health England and the Food Standards Agency (2014) (Table S8). Dietary intakes were calculated by multiplying food consumption rates for both average (“typical”) and high-end consumers (the latter assumed to be those consuming the average consumption rate + 2 standard deviations) by average concentrations in each food group. More details are provided in the SI section.

Daily dietary intakes (DI) were calculated using Eq. (S1):

$$DI = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Ci * CRi}{B_w} \dots\dots\dots \text{Eq. (S1)}$$

Where ***Ci*** is the concentration (ng/g ww) of FR in a food item ***i*** and ***CRi*** is the daily consumption rate of the foodstuff ***i*** (g/day; values given in supporting material). Body weight (***B<sub>w</sub>***; kg) values employed in this study were assumed to be 70 kg for and 10 kg for adults and toddlers, separately.

#### **Estimation of infants' intake of FRs via breast milk**

Breast milk is a recognized medium for direct transfer of POPs to nursing infants. We estimated a nursing infants' dietary intake of the studied FRs via breast milk using Eq. (S2):



$$DI_i = \frac{C_{FRs} * F_{lipid}}{B_w} \dots \dots \dots \text{Eq. (S2)}$$

Where **DI** is the estimated dietary intake (ng/kg bw/day); **C<sub>FRs</sub>** is the concentration of target FRs in milk (ng/g lw); **F<sub>lipid</sub>** is the daily lipid intake via breast milk (g/day) and **B<sub>w</sub>** is the body weight. The infant's daily lipid intake via breast milk (F<sub>lipid</sub>) was calculated using U.S. EPA guidelines (USEPA, 2002) which suggest an average intake of 702 mL milk per day for a 1 month old infant weighing 4.14 kg. The median lipid content of the analysed milk samples was 3.47 g lipid per 100 mL of breast milk resulting in a daily lipid intake of 24.4 g lipid/day.

### First order pharmacokinetic (PK) model

To examine the relationship between our estimated intakes via various pathways and the body burdens indicated via levels in human milk, a simple one-compartment, first order pharmacokinetic (PK) model was used (Abdallah and Harrad, 2011). The studied FRs were hypothesized to accumulate in lipids (the single compartment in the model). Therefore, the change in FRs lipid level over time can be calculated by Eq. (S2):

$$\frac{\delta C_{FR}}{\delta t} = \frac{I_{FR}(t) * AF_{FR}}{BL(t)} - K_{FR} * C_{FR}(t) \dots \dots \dots \text{Eq. (S3)}$$

Where C<sub>FR</sub> is the compound specific concentration in lipids (ng/g lw); I<sub>FR</sub> is the daily intake of the target FR (ng/day); AF<sub>FR</sub> is the absorption fraction; BL is body lipid mass (g) and K<sub>FR</sub> is the compound specific first order dissipation rate (day<sup>-1</sup>).

If K<sub>FR</sub> is assumed constant over time, then Eq. (S3) can be changed into:

$$C_{FR}(t) = C_{FR}(0) * e^{(-K_{FR} * t)} + \left[ \frac{I_{FR}(t) * AF_{FR}}{BL(t)} \right] * \left[ \frac{(1 - e^{(-K_{FR} * t)})}{K_{FR}} \right] \dots \dots \text{Eq. (S4)}$$

Where C<sub>FR</sub>(0) is the studied FR body lipid concentration at time 0 (initial concentration before intake). Assuming a constant dose over time at constant body lipid mass, the steady state BFR

lipid concentration can be calculated from Eq. (S4):

$$C_{FR} = \frac{I_{FR}(t) * AF_{FR}}{BL(t) * K_{FR}} \dots \text{Eq. (S5)}$$

While Eq. (S5) is used to predict the body burdens of the target FRs, it is stressed that the assumption of steady state conditions is an inherent uncertainty with this approach.

To convert daily adult intakes of FRs via different exposure pathways to expected body burdens, the dust and diet absorption fractions and human half-lives for PBDEs and HBCDDs (Abdallah et al., 2012; Abdallah and Harrad, 2011; Geyer et al., 2004; Lorber, 2008; Thuresson et al., 2006) were used in Eq. (S4) (Table S16) while the inhalable fraction was assumed to be 100 % bioavailable. The body lipid mass was estimated based on a 25 % body fat for an average adult weighing 70 kg (U.S. EPA, 1997). Finally,  $K_{FR}$  was calculated as  $0.693/t_{0.5}$ ; where  $t_{0.5}$  is the half-life of the studied FRs in the body lipid compartment (Table S16).

#### **Analytical protocols**

All samples were spiked with internal standards ( $^{13}\text{C}$ -BDE 209,  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BTBPE,  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BEH-TEBP, BDE 77, BDE 128) before extraction. Aliquots of freeze-dried human milk or diet samples (~500 mg) were accurately weighed and extracted using pressurised liquid extraction (Dionex ASE 350) with hexane/acetone (3:1, v/v). Extraction cells were filled from bottom to top with: pre-cleaned hydromatrix, 2 g Florisil®, 3 g alumina, samples, and then topped with hydromatrix. The crude extracts were further purified via shaking with 5-6 mL concentrated sulfuric acid before reconstitution in 50  $\mu\text{L}$  iso-octane containing 250 pg/ $\mu\text{L}$  PCB-129 as recovery determination standard for QA/QC purposes.

Our analytical methods for measurement of target FRs have been described previously (Tao et al., 2016). In summary, analysis was conducted on a Trace 1310 GC coupled to an ISQ™ single

quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, TX, USA) operated in ECNI mode. After GC/MS analysis, the samples were evaporated and reconstituted in 200  $\mu$ L of methanol containing  $d_{18}$ - $\gamma$ -HBCD (25 pg/ $\mu$ L) as recovery determination standard for determination of HBCDDs by LC-MS/MS using a previously reported method (Harrad et al., 2009). Detailed description of the analytical methods and QA/QC measurements is provided in the supporting information (SI).

### **Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

Five-point calibration curves were constructed for each target compound with excellent linearity ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ) over a concentration range relevant to those detected in air and dust samples. Average recoveries of IS were:  $83 \pm 16$  % for BDE-77,  $95 \pm 10$  % for BDE-128,  $88 \pm 11$  % for  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BEH-TEBP,  $89 \pm 37$  % for  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BTBPE, and  $78 \pm 25$  % for  $^{13}\text{C}$ -BDE-209. Instrumental limits of detection (LOD) and method limits of quantification (LOQ) were calculated for each target compound based on 3:1 and 10:1 signal to noise ratio, respectively (Table S1). Granular anhydrous sodium sulfate (1 g) was extracted as a method blank. One method blank was prepared using the same analytical method for each batch of five samples. BDE-209 was detected in the majority of blanks but at a level below 5 % of the levels detected in samples from the corresponding batch. None of the other target compounds were detected in method blanks for food and human milk samples.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis of data was performed using both Excel (Microsoft Office 2010) and IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (Chicago, IL, U.S.A.). Data were checked for normality via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and visual inspection of quantile-by-quantile graphic plots in SPSS.

When datasets were found to be log-normally distributed, further statistical analysis was performed on log-transformed data. Independent t-tests and ANOVA analyses were only conducted for target compounds with detection frequencies  $\geq 60$  %. In instances where analyte levels were  $< \text{LOQ}$ , concentrations were assumed to equal  $\text{LOQ}/2$ .

## Results and discussion

### Concentrations of FRs in food

#### *EFRs*

Of all 16 target EFRs, only  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, EH-TBB, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, DBDPE were found above the detection limit (Table S1) in the studied food samples. Table 1 summarises the concentrations of EFRs in composite food samples collected in the UK.  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was detected in all samples, followed by  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB (detected in 97 % and 77 % of samples, respectively), while DBDPE was the least detected EFR with a detection frequency (DF) of 33 %.  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was the predominant compound in the studied food samples, accounting for  $64.5 \% \pm 29.5 \%$  of  $\Sigma \text{EFRs}$ .

To the best of our knowledge, only one previous study has reported concentrations of DBE-DBCH in food samples as part of a Swedish market basket study (Sahlström et al., 2015). In this, DBE-DBCH was only found in four fish samples at levels (average 114 pg/g ww) lower than those in our study (fish: 240-1820 pg/g ww). Moreover, in the Swedish study,  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH was the dominant EFR (Sahlström et al., 2015) in contrast to our data.

Very little is known about the levels of EFRs in UK food samples. A previous study of EFRs in a selection of UK and Irish food samples collected between June and August 2007 detected only

BTBPE above the method LOQ and at levels (0.05-3.33 ng/g lw) comparable to those detected in our study (0.04 – 2.4 ng/g lw, Table S4) (Fernandes et al., 2010). With respect to fish, BTBPE and DBDPE were also detected in samples collected in Canada (Law et al., 2006), France (Munsch et al., 2011), and China (He et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2009) at levels comparable to those found in our study (Table S4). Moreover, Labunska et al. (2015) detected BTBPE, EH-TBB, and BEH-TEBP in meat, fish, liver and egg samples from an e-waste processing area and control sites in South China, while Zheng et al. (2012) reported levels of BTBPE and DBDPE in chicken eggs from another South China e-waste processing area. In both studies, levels of EFRs in food items from e-waste recycling areas exceeded those detected in our study, underlining the significance of informal e-waste recycling as a source of EFRs to the environment and the human diet (Table S4).

The isomeric ratio of  $\beta$ - to  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH ( $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}} = \frac{\text{Concentration of } \beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}{\text{Concentration of } \alpha\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$ ) in our food samples ranged from 1.2 to 220, with a median value of 7.3. In agreement with this finding,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was reported as the predominant DBE-DBCH isomer in the blubber of Canadian arctic beluga (Tomy et al., 2008) and herring gull egg pools (Gauthier et al., 2008). Interestingly, the  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  values in our food samples significantly exceeded those detected in UK indoor dust (0.32-2.88) ( $p < 0.01$ ), indoor air (0.53-1.0) ( $p < 0.01$ ) (Tao et al., 2016) and the commercial product (1.0) (Arsenault et al., 2008), suggesting diastereomer-specific environmental degradation/metabolism, isomer-specific preferential uptake and/or isomerisation along the food chain. Furthermore, the median values of  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  in the analysed liver (80) and tuna (83) samples were substantially higher than those found in meat (5.9), eggs (7.1), cheese (2.1) and other fish (6.1). Moreover, the levels of DBE-DBCH were higher in liver than in other

food samples studied except tuna (Table 1). These findings indicate that the uptake and metabolism of DBE-DBCH isomers might be species- and organism-dependent. This may be important given the reported toxicological effects of DBE-DBCH including reproductive toxicity and inducing aggressive behaviour in birds (Khalafet al., 2009; Marteinson et al., 2014).

#### *LFRs*

Tables 1, S5 and S6 show the average concentrations of LFRs detected in the studied composite food samples. Target PBDE congeners were frequently detected (DF > 70%). BDE 47 and BDE 99 were the major contributors to  $\Sigma$ PBDEs, consistent with previous studies of food samples from Spain (Domingo et al., 2008), the UK (Harrad et al., 2004) and the USA (Schechter et al., 2009). The highest average concentrations were found in fish for  $\Sigma$ HBCDD (3.6-16 ng/g lw) and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (14 to 40 ng/g lw). These levels were comparable to those found in the literature, with fish displaying higher concentrations of both  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs (Eljarrat et al., 2014; Goscinny et al., 2011; Schechter et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2009; Törnkvist et al., 2011) and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (Bakker et al., 2008; Domingo et al., 2008; Törnkvist et al., UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009; 2011; UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; Voorspoels et al., 2007) than other food groups (Table S6). Moreover, concentrations of  $\Sigma$ HBCDD (<0.48-20 ng/g lw; <22-830 pg/g ww) in food samples in the current study were comparable to those detected in similar foodstuffs in two previous UK studies (<LOD-300 pg/g ww (Driffield et al., 2008) and 65-680 pg/g ww (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), respectively) as well as those in other countries including: Romania (40-250 pg/g ww) (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010), Sweden (5.0-630 pg/g ww) (Törnkvist et al., 2011), Belgium (<10-350 pg/g ww) (Roosens et al., 2009), and the USA (nd-593 pg/g ww) (Schechter et al., 2009) but higher than those in China (<LOD-9.2 ng/g lw) (Shi et al., 2009). Average concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in all UK food groups in the present study exceeded those

reported elsewhere (Figure 1). The only exception was that the concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in our fish samples were comparable to those reported in the Netherlands (Bakker et al., 2008). Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in the present study exceed those recorded in previous UK studies conducted in 2003-2004 and 2006 (Figure S1) (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009). Recently, Rose et al. (2015) reported substantially high levels of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in UK fresh water fish (average = 20 ng/g ww; maximum = 130 ng/g ww). However, we showed evidence of a temporal decline in concentrations of BDE 209 in office dust and of BDE 47 and 99 in office air in our recent UK study (Tao et al., 2016). This apparent contradiction may be attributable to a gradual shift over time of PBDEs from the indoor to the outdoor environment of which one manifestation may be increasing concentrations of PBDEs in the human diet (Harrad and Diamond, 2006). Furthermore, as BDE 209 has been reported to debrominate to lower brominated PBDEs in both terrestrial and aquatic biota (Gandhi et al., 2011; La Guardia et al., 2007; Letcher et al., 2014; Stapleton et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2012; Van den Steen et al., 2007), it is plausible that ongoing transfer from the indoor environment to outdoors and subsequent debromination of BDE 209 in biota, could drive temporal increases in concentrations of lower congener PBDEs in food samples. This hypothesis is supported by the relatively high ratios of tri-hexa-BDEs/BDE 209 in foodstuffs in this study compared to those in two previous UK studies (Figure S2) even though levels of BDE-209 were comparable (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009) (Figure S3).

## **Concentrations of FRs in human milk**

### *EFRs*

Similar to food samples,  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, EH-TBB, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, DBDPE were the only EFRs found in human milk samples. Table 2 shows a statistical summary

of concentrations of our target EFRs in archived human milk samples collected in 2010 (human milk group 1) and human milk samples collected from 2014-2015 (human milk group 2). While no statistically significant differences were found between concentrations of individual EFRs in the two groups ( $p>0.05$ ), the DFs of all EFRs in group 1 were lower than those in group 2. This may indicate increased usage of these EFRs currently than hitherto. The DFs of DBDPE and BTBPE were low ( $<50\%$ ) in both human milk groups, even though these two FRs were detected in  $>60\%$  of UK indoor dust samples collected in 2014 (Tao et al., 2016). However, our results are similar to DFs reported for these two FRs in 105 Canadian human milk samples collected in 2008-2009 (Zhou et al., 2014).

Current evidence about the capacity for bioaccumulation of DBDPE and BTBPE is equivocal. Both flame retardants have been reported to display high bioaccumulation potential in fish (He et al., 2012; Tomy et al., 2007). In contrast, findings for DBDPE and BTBPE in mammals such as rats (Hakk et al., 2004; Nomeir et al., 1993; Verreault et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010) and chicken (Zheng et al., 2015) suggest low bioaccessibility and relatively high biotransformation potential, consistent with the low DFs of these chemicals in our human milk samples. Interestingly, very high levels of BTBPE (56 and 54 ng/g lw) were found in two archived (group 1) human milk samples, which may reflect elevated exposure to BTBPE of the individual donors concerned - plausible given our recent detection in one UK dust sample of BTBPE at a concentration of 4,700,000 ng/g (Tao et al., 2016).

In our study, EH-TBB was more frequently detected than BEH-TEBP in line with a previous study of EFRs in human milk from Canada (Zhou et al., 2014). This may be associated with higher bioaccessibility of EH-TBB compared to BEH-TEBP (Fang and Stapleton, 2014), and/or by preferential partitioning of EH-TBB from blood to milk in humans relative to BEH-TEBP



(Zhou et al., 2014). Similar observations were made by Liu et al.( 2016) i.e. EH-TBB was detected more frequently than BEH-TEBP in human hair, fingernails, toenails and serum. This is also in line with the reported greater lactational transfer of EH-TBB relative to BEH-TEBP in dosed Wistar rats (Phillips et al., 2016).

Of our target EFRs,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH showed the highest DFs and concentrations in both human milk groups (Table 1). To our knowledge, this is the first report of  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH in human milk samples worldwide. Sahlström et al. (2015) detected only  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH in two pooled breast milk samples in Sweden, at an average of 4.0 pg/g ww, well below the average concentrations detected in our study (41 and 24 pg/g ww in human milk group 1 and group 2, respectively). In line with our results in food items of animal origin, the values of  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  ranged from 0.9 to 608 across both human milk groups, with a median of 9.6. This exceeds significantly those in UK indoor air (0.53-1.0) and dust (0.32-2.88) ( $p < 0.001$ ) indicating potential isomer-specific degradation/metabolism and/or bioisomerisation in humans. Of note,  $f_{\beta\text{-DBE-DBCH}}$  values in human milk were statistically indistinguishable from those in diet samples ( $p > 0.05$ ) indicating the relatively higher abundance of  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH compared to  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH in human milk may be at least partially attributable to dietary intake of DBE-DBCH.

Despite the ubiquity of EFRs in the environment, very few studies have reported on their levels in human tissues. In Canada, the reported concentrations of EH-TBB (nd-24 ng/g lw) in human milk samples (n=105) (Zhou et al., 2014) exceeded those in our study, while concentrations of BEH-TEBP (nd-6.6 ng/g lw) and DBDPE (nd-25 ng/g lw) were comparable to those reported here (Table 2). Of note, our concentrations of EH-TBB and BEH-TEBP in UK human milk were much lower than those detected in human hair, fingernails and toenails (EH-TBB: 7.6-4540 ng/g; BEH-TEBP: 13-2600 ng/g) as well as serum samples (TBB: 1.3-54 ng/g lw; BEH-TEBP: 19-69

ng/g) from the USA (Liu et al., 2016).

#### *LFRs*

Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa-BDEs, BDE-209 and  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in human milk group 1 and 2 are summarised in Table 2, with those for individual HBCDD diastereomers summarised in Table S6. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in human milk group 2 samples ranged between 0.7-7.1 ng/g lw, which were slightly - albeit not statistically significantly - lower than those in UK human milk group 1 (1.0-22.4 ng/g lw) (Abdallah and Harrad, 2011). While concentrations of  $\Sigma$ HBCDD in food samples in this study were comparable to those in two previous UK studies (Driffield et al., 2008; UK Food Standards Agency, 2006),  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in UK indoor air and dust collected between 2013 and 2015 appear lower than in samples collected between 2006 and 2007. This may account for the slight downward trend we observed for  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in UK human milk.

The average concentration of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa-BDEs in group 2 (6.5 ng/g lw) is comparable to that reported for group 1 (5.9 ng/g lw) and for Australian human milk samples (7.6 ng/g lw) collected in 2007 (Toms et al., 2009). The relatively higher concentrations of BDE 153 compared to BDE 99 in this study concur with several previous studies (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014; Dunn et al., 2010; Frederiksen et al., 2009; Hassine et al., 2012). By comparison, in UK indoor air, dust (Tao et al., 2016) and diet samples (Table S5), concentrations of BDE 153 are exceeded substantially by those of BDE 99, indicating that external exposures through indoor air, dust and diet cannot account for the elevated abundance of BDE 153 in human milk. This higher relative abundance in humans of BDE-153 is more likely attributable to its higher bioaccumulation potential in lipids (as evidenced by a half-life of 6.5 years compared to 1.8 and 2.9 years for BDE-47 and BDE-99 respectively) and/or possible debromination of BDE 209 to BDE 153 (Abdallah and

Harrad, 2014), consistent with the significant correlation between concentrations in human milk of BDE 153 and BDE 209 in this study ( $p < 0.05$ ).

No significant differences were observed between concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in human milk group 2 (collected in 2014-2015) and group 1 (collected in 2010) (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014) ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Table S7). This is in agreement with previous studies reporting no significant change in concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (*N.B.* BDE 209 not measured) in human milk samples collected between 2002 and 2007 in Spain (Schuhmacher et al., 2009) and between 2000 to 2009 in Taiwan (Shy et al., 2012). Similarly, concentrations of PBDEs in Canadian human milk appear to have stabilised between 2002 and 2005 (Ryan and Rawn, 2014). As diet and dust have been identified as the major pathways of human exposure to PBDEs (Harrad et al., 2008, 2004; Lorber, 2008), it is intriguing that while concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in our UK food samples exceed those reported in two previous UK food surveys (Figure S4); no significant temporal change was observed in concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in UK dust over the studied period (Tao et al., 2016) (2006-2007 to 2013-2015). These contrasting temporal trends in concentrations of tri-hexa BDEs in UK diet and dust are not inconsistent with the hypothesis of Harrad and Diamond (2006) that dietary exposure to chemicals with substantial indoor sources (e.g. FRs) may continue to increase for some time after exposure via indoor pathways has stabilised or fallen as a result of legislative curbs on use. Thus the steady concentrations of tri-hexa-BDEs in human milk observed here may indicate the importance of dust relative to diet as a vector of exposure of the UK population to these contaminants. Concentrations of BDE 209 in human milk group 2 are indistinguishable from those in group 1 (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014) ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Table 2). This is consistent with the studies of Fångström et al. (2008) and Shy et al. (2012) who also observed no time trend for BDE 209 in human milk samples in Sweden

(between 1980-2004) and Taiwan (between 2000-2009). Consistent with this, no substantial differences were found between BDE 209 concentrations in food in the present study and those in two previous UK dietary studies (Figure S3) (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009). In contrast, concentrations of BDE 209 in UK office dust decreased significantly over the period (2006-2007 to 2013-2015) (Harrad et al., 2008; Tao et al., 2016). Notwithstanding the relatively small number of samples in the current study, this implies that concentrations of this congener in dust exert a relatively minor influence on body burdens. This may be attributable to the very low bioaccessibility from dust (7-14 %) of BDE 209, combined with its very short human half-life (7 days) and preferential partitioning to serum rather than milk fat (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014).

#### **Relative abundance of various FRs**

$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH, BDE 47 and BDE 99 were the major target compounds in meat, fish, egg and dairy products, contributing 59 %, 57 % and 60 % to  $\Sigma$ FRs in these food groups, respectively. In liver samples,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was the predominant flame retardant, accounting for 69 % of  $\Sigma$ FRs. As shown in Figure S5, PBDEs were the predominant FR class found in meat, fish, egg and dairy products, contributing 44 %, 46 % and 52 % of  $\Sigma$ FRs, respectively. In contrast, EFRs were more prevalent in liver samples, accounting for 81 % of  $\Sigma$ FRs.

BDE47 was the most abundant compound in human milk, contributing 20 % of  $\Sigma$ FRs, followed by  $\alpha$ -HBCDD and  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH accounting for 17 % and 11 % of  $\Sigma$ FRs, respectively. PBDEs were the predominant FR class in human milk (Figure S6), contributing an average of 50 % to  $\Sigma$ FRs, followed by EFRs (38 % of  $\Sigma$ FRs). Despite the bans and restrictions on the use of PBDE commercial products, PBDEs remain the most abundant class out of our target FRs in human

milk, which may reflect ongoing emissions of these LFRs from old furniture and appliances as well as long half-lives of some PBDE congeners (e.g. BDE 153) in human tissues.

## **Estimation of dietary intakes**

### *EFRs*

The estimated high-end and average dietary intakes of  $\sum$ EFRs in the UK were 26 and 89 ng/day (2.6 and 1.3 ng /body weight/day) for toddlers and adults, respectively (Table S9). The estimated high-end intakes were one order of magnitude higher than the average dietary intakes for both toddlers and adults (Table S9). The main contributor to human dietary exposure to most EFRs and  $\sum$ EFRs was meat, followed by fish. However, consumption of eggs and dairy products was the principal contributor to dietary intakes of BEH-TEBP for both toddlers and adults (Figure S7). This is the first estimate of dietary exposure to EFRs for the UK. Furthermore, very limited information on human dietary exposure to EFRs has been reported anywhere to date. Estimated dietary intakes of EFRs for adults and children from an e-waste recycling area in eastern China were 756 and 1827 ng/day, respectively, which is much higher than those in our study (Labunska et al., 2015).

$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH showed the highest contribution to the estimated  $\sum$ EFRs intakes in the present study for both adults and children (14 and 50 ng/day respectively). We are aware of a Swedish study on dietary exposure to EFRs in which EFRs were only detected in fish (Sahlström et al., 2015). The estimated median daily intakes of EFRs were 6.8 and 3.3 ng/day for Swedish mothers and toddlers, which is similar to our estimated daily intakes of EFRs through fish consumption (10 and 2.2 ng/day, respectively).

451 Tables S10 and S11 show the estimated intakes of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs via consumption of food in the UK  
452 and other different countries. An important caveat is that our estimates of dietary exposure are  
453 based on a limited range of food categories and a relatively small number of food items.  
454 Estimated average daily intakes of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in our study are 42 and 124 ng/day for toddlers and  
455 adults, respectively, which is lower than one previous study by the UK Food Standards Agency  
456 (2006). This is comparable to estimates of dietary PBDE intake in Spain (Domingo et al., 2008)  
457 but exceeds those for the USA (Schechter et al., 2009), Belgium (Voorspoels et al., 2007), the  
458 Netherlands (Bakker et al., 2008), Sweden (Törnkvist et al., 2011), China (Su et al., 2012) and  
459 Romania (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010). Notably, estimated high-end intakes of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs were 5  
460 times higher than the mean dietary intakes for both toddlers and adults (Table S10). Meat was  
461 the main source of PBDEs for both toddlers and adults in this study, contributing > 58% of the  
462 overall intake, consistent with previous studies conducted in China (Su et al., 2012) and Romania  
463 (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010). However, fish was the predominant contributor to human exposure of  
464 PBDEs in several other countries such as Sweden (Törnkvist et al., 2011), Belgium (Voorspoels  
465 et al., 2007), and Spain (Domingo et al., 2008).

466 Estimated average daily dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs for UK adults and toddlers are 8.8 and 31  
467 ng/day respectively (Table S12). Compared with other countries, our estimate of UK adult  
468 dietary intake of HBCDDs is comparable to those for the USA (Schechter et al., 2009), Sweden  
469 (Törnkvist et al., 2011) and China (Shi et al., 2009); but lower than those in one previous UK  
470 study (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), Belgium (Goscinnny et al., 2011), Spain (Eljarrat et al.,  
471 2014), the Netherlands (de Winter-Sorkina, 2003) and Romania (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010) (Table  
472 S13). Meat was the food group making the greatest contribution to dietary exposure to

$\Sigma$ HBCDDs (76 % and 73 % for toddlers and adults respectively), followed by fish (23 % and 20 % for toddlers and adults respectively). Elsewhere, meat was the main contributor to dietary exposure in the USA (Schechter et al., 2009), Belgium (Goscinnny et al., 2011), Netherlands (de Winter-Sorkina, 2003), China (Shi et al., 2009) and Romania (Dirtu and Covaci, 2010). In contrast, milk and fruit were the main contributors to dietary exposure to HBCDDs in a previous UK study (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), while in Spain (Eljarrat et al., 2014) and Sweden (Törnkvist et al., 2011), fish was the main source of dietary intake of HBCDDs.

#### **Nursing infants' dietary intake of FRs via breast milk**

Table S14 and S15 summarise estimated intakes of target FRs via breast milk for a 1 month old infant (Further details are provided in the SI section). Estimated median dietary exposure to  $\Sigma$ EFRs of a breast-fed infant assuming ingestion of milk from group 2 was 18 ng/kg bw/day, thereby exceeding substantially our estimated average dietary intakes for both UK adults (1.1 ng/kg bw/day for 70 kg adults) and toddlers (2 ng/kg bw/day for 10 kg toddlers). Shi et al. (2016) reported an average  $\Sigma$ EFRs intake of 38.4 ng/kg bw/day for nursing infants in China, which is higher than our estimate. In Shi et al. (2016)'s study, the dietary intake of DBDPE was predominant, accounting for 87 % to 99 % of the total dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs, while in our study  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH was the main contributor, with a contribution of 39 % to the total dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs. The estimated dietary intakes of target EFRs from human milk group 1 were comparable to those from group 2. The dietary intakes of nursing infants of PBDEs and HBCDDs were previously reported using group 1 (collected in 2010) data (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014, 2011) and no substantial differences were observed between those and our estimates from group 2 data (collected in 2014-15).

## **Relationship between FR intake and human body burdens**

To examine the relationship between estimated intakes via various pathways and human body burdens measured in human milk samples; a simple one-compartment, first order pharmacokinetic (PK) model was used (Abdallah and Harrad, 2011). Detailed information about the PK model and methods via which our predicted body burdens are derived are supplied in the SI section.

Despite limited information on the toxicokinetics of EH-TBB and BEH-TEBP in rodents (Knudsen et al., 2016; 2017), to the best of our knowledge, no information is available for bioavailable fractions and human half-lives of EFRs. We therefore estimated these parameters for EFRs from those for related PBDEs (Table S16), e.g. those for DBE-DBCH (4 x Br; molecular weight: 427.8) were assumed equivalent to that for BDE 47 (4 x Br; molecular weight: 485.79).

To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to model the body burden of EFRs in human milk. In general, predicted adult body burdens agreed well with observed levels in human milk (Table 3). Results revealed dietary exposure was the main contributor to UK adult body burdens of DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB (64 %-73 %), while dust ingestion plays a more important role in driving body burdens of BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, and DBDPE (61 %-83 % of body burden) in UK adults. While human exposure to DBDPE via air and dust is relatively high, the low body burdens observed for this EFR suggest low bioaccessibility and/or high biotransformation potential of DBDPE as shown elsewhere for rats (Hakk et al., 2004; Nomeir et al., 1993; Verreault et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010) and chickens (Zheng et al., 2015). As our predicted body burdens were based on assumed half-lives and absorption efficiencies of EFRs extrapolated from known values



for PBDEs, this good agreement indicates our target EFRs likely possess similar physicochemical properties to PBDEs.

Overall, good agreement was observed between predicted and observed body burdens for our target EFRs. This was achieved notwithstanding the simplicity of the PK model used, the omission of dermal exposure, and for EFRs a number of additional factors such as: the scarcity of information about crucial parameters like the half-lives of target compounds in human tissues, and uncertainties about the bioaccessibility of target chemicals. While this suggests that we have identified the principal exposure pathways to the target FRs, more research is needed to better characterise exposure and factors that influence the relationship between external exposure and body burdens for EFRs.

#### **Relationship between LFR intake and human body burdens**

Generally, predicted body burdens appear reasonably close to measured values of PBDEs in human milk in the present study (Table S17). In a previous report, good agreement was also observed between the predicted body burdens through diet, air and dust and the observed levels of the main target PBDEs in UK human milk (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014). In this study, dietary intake was the major exposure pathway contributing to PBDE body burdens (56 %-85 % for tri-hexa BDEs) in the UK population except for BDE 209 - for which dust ingestion accounted for ~90 % of overall body burden.

For HBCDDs, predicted body burdens were lower than observed levels for individual HBCDDs in UK human milk when using our estimated dietary intake values of HBCDDs (Table S19). This may be attributable to our focus on meat-related food samples in our study as HBCDD concentrations were highest in vegetables, fruit and cows' milk in previous UK studies (Driffield

et al., 2008; UK Food Standards Agency, 2006). As concentrations of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs in meat-related food samples in this study were comparable to those reported previously (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006), we therefore used estimated dietary intakes from this previous study to predict body burdens. This resulted in closer agreement between predicted and observed body burdens of individual HBCDDs. This indicates the importance of including vegetables, fruits, milk and high water content food samples when monitoring dietary exposure to HBCDDs.

## Conclusions

This study reveals the presence of EFRs in various types of UK food and human milk. Meat was the main source of dietary intakes of PBDEs, EFRs and HBCDDs for both toddlers and adults under an average consumer scenario. Estimated dietary exposures to EFRs were comparable to dietary intakes of PBDEs but higher than those of HBCDDs for both toddlers and adults. The most frequently detected compounds were  $\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH,  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB in human milk. This may be a health concern as some EFRs show similar persistence, bioaccumulation potential and toxicity properties to legacy FRs (Barr et al., 2010; Ezechiáš et al., 2012; He et al., 2012; Howard and Muir, 2010; Johnson et al., 2013; Khalaf et al., 2009; Pradhan et al., 2013; Saunders et al., 2013; Tomy et al., 2007), exacerbated by likely future increases in use of EFRs due to the banned and restricted use of LFRs (European Court of Justice, 2008; La Guardia et al., 2006; Stockholm Convention, 2009; UNEP, 2014). In spite of recent evidence of significant temporal trends for LFRs in food/indoor dust/air, no temporal changes were observed for LFRs in human milk over the same time period. This suggests that the lag time between changes in use of these chemicals and a response in human body burdens is not insubstantial. We also examined the relationship between our estimated intakes via different pathways and the body burdens using a simple one-compartment PK model. The results of this

showed predicted adult body burdens to be in agreement with observed levels in human milk for all studied FRs. In summary, dust ingestion appears to constitute the major exposure pathway for UK adults to BDE 209, BTBPE, BEH-TEBP, and DBDPE, while dietary exposure was the major exposure pathway contributing to UK body burdens of HBCDDs, tri-hexa BDEs, DBE-DBCH and EH-TBB.

## **Acknowledgment**

This research is supported by a Li Siguang scholarship to Fang Tao funded by the China Scholarship Council and the University of Birmingham. The BEED study is supported by an MRC PhD studentship and MRC Centenary Award from the MRC-PHE Centre in Environment and Health (G0801056/1 and MR/L01341X/1) and the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Health Impact of Environmental Hazards at King's College London in partnership with Public Health England (PHE) and in collaboration with Imperial College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or Public Health England. We gratefully acknowledge all the milk donors and the staff of Birmingham Women's Hospital Milk Bank, and the participants who donated milk from the BEED study. We also thank Maria Leal Sanchez, our collaborators, and the research midwives at the study hospitals for their help recruiting the participants and/or collecting the milk.

## **Supporting Information**

Full details of the analytical protocols, QA/QC measurements and human exposure assessment models are provided as supporting information.



586 **Table 1. Average concentrations of FRs in composite food samples from Birmingham, UK (ng/g lw)**

	Lipid weight (%)	Water content (%)	$\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH	$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH	EH-TBB	BTBPE	BEH-TEBP	DBDPE	$\Sigma$ EFRs	BDE 209	$\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs	$\Sigma$ HBCDDs
<b>Detection Frequency (%)</b>	--	--	97%	100%	77%	60%	63%	33%	--	97%	--	--
<b>Meat</b>												
<b>Beef (3<sup>a</sup>)</b>	8.0	67	0.89	1.9	0.20	<0.04	0.44	<1.1	4.5	0.46	15	2.7
<b>Lamb (3)</b>	8.0	68	0.62	6.2	0.19	<0.05	0.28	3.5	11	0.28	2.1	0.32
<b>Pork (3)</b>	8.0	66	0.95	4.1	1.4	2.4	0.20	4.6	14	0.42	21	4.6
<b>Chicken (3)</b>	5.0	73	0.58	7.6	0.36	1.3	0.57	<1.5	11	0.63	11	4.5
<b>Liver</b>												
<b>Beef liver (2)</b>	4.0	64	1.6	49	1.6	<0.06	0.69	4.5	57	3.3	32	20
<b>Lamb liver (2)</b>	5.0	65	<0.26	55	0.19	0.35	0.94	7.6	65	0.43	5.5	1.3
<b>Pork liver (1)</b>	5.0	69	1.1	85	0.63	0.24	5.0	1.5	93	0.51	4.7	7.9
<b>Chicken liver (1)</b>	4.0	73	0.72	34	0.66	0.14	5.8	<1.6	42	0.47	3.2	<0.48
<b>Fish</b>												
<b>Salmon (3)</b>	9.0	65	1.3	4.4	0.32	<0.04	<0.1	6.6	13	0.69	40	12
<b>Mackerel (2)</b>	20	49	1.1	4.9	0.22	0.17	<0.2	<0.63	7.0	0.74	13	3.6
<b>Tuna (2)</b>	2.0	75	0.48	39	0.38	0.78	0.42	21	62	1.7	16	16
<b>Trout (2)</b>	10	67	0.60	4.6	0.43	0.16	1.1	<0.88	7.4	0.34	27	8.8
<b>Egg and dairy products</b>												
<b>Cheese (2)</b>	18	46	0.44	0.99	0.11	0.20	0.22	<0.74	2.3	0.21	5.1	<0.24
<b>Hen Eggs (1)</b>	11	51	0.42	3.0	0.10	0.18	1.8	<1.2	6.1	0.53	1.9	1.3

587 <sup>a</sup>Number in parentheses denotes number of composite samples of that food group analysed.

588

**Table 2. Descriptive statistics for concentrations for EFRs and LFRs in UK human milk (ng/g lw) <sup>a</sup>**

	Lipid weight (%)	$\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH	$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH	EH-TBB	BTBPE	BEH-TEBP	DBDPE	$\Sigma$ EFRs	$\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs	BDE 209	$\Sigma$ HBCDDs
<b>Human milk collected in 2010 (n=25)</b>											
<b>Detection Frequency</b>	--	20%	76%	44%	28%	36%	4%	--	--	69%	--
<b>Mean</b>	3.2	--	6.8	--	--	--	--	--	5.9	0.31	5.95
<b>Median</b>	3.5	<0.13	3.1	<0.01	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	7.9	5.00	0.25	3.83
<b>Minimum</b>	1.9	<0.13	<0.13	<0.01	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	0.57	0.20	<0.06	1.04
<b>Maximum</b>	4.4	1.7	38	2.1	56	4.6	250	260	26.10	0.92	22.37
<b>Human milk collected in 2014-2015 (n=10)</b>											
<b>Detection Frequency</b>	--	100%	100%	90%	40%	50%	10%	--	--	40%	--
<b>Mean</b>	3.9	0.67	2.5	0.21	--	0.25	--	--	6.5	<0.22	3.2
<b>Median</b>	4.1	0.60	1.2	0.16	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	3.1	5.8	<0.22	2.9
<b>Minimum</b>	1.5	0.30	0.43	<0.01	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78	1.9	1.7	<0.22	0.69
<b>Maximum</b>	5.3	1.1	10	0.48	0.71	0.73	58	59	14	0.67	7.1

589 <sup>a</sup> Average concentrations were calculated only for those FRs for which detection frequency>50%.

**Table 3. Estimated median and average daily intakes<sup>a</sup> of selected target EFRs and comparison of resultant predicted adult body burdens<sup>b</sup> with those observed in human milk**

Exposure Pathway/EFR	$\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH	$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH	EH-TBB	BTBPE	BEH-TEBP	DBDPE
<b>Average intake (ng/day)</b>						
<b>Dust<sup>c</sup></b>	0.26	0.30	0.97	21.00	14.00	20.00
<b>Diet<sup>d</sup></b>	8.20	49.84	3.34	5.79	6.04	15.71
<b>Air<sup>c</sup></b>	2.30	1.70	0.17	0.31	0.17	0.44
<b>Median intake (ng/day)</b>						
<b>Dust<sup>c</sup></b>	0.16	0.18	0.19	1.50	2.60	6.50
<b>Diet<sup>d</sup></b>	7.72	49.59	3.48	4.19	6.75	9.53
<b>Air<sup>c</sup></b>	1.70	1.20	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.10
<b>Average predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>						
<b>Dust</b>	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.15	0.10	0.003
<b>Diet</b>	0.43	2.61	0.15	0.04	0.04	0.003
<b>Air</b>	0.21	0.15	0.02	0.002	0.001	0.001
<b>Sum</b>	0.65	2.78	0.21	0.19	0.14	0.01
<b>Median predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>						
<b>Dust</b>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.001
<b>Diet</b>	0.40	2.60	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.002
<b>Air</b>	0.15	0.11	0.005	0.001	0.0003	0.0001
<b>Sum</b>	0.57	2.72	0.17	0.04	0.07	0.003
<b>Observed body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>						
<b>Average</b>	0.67	2.50	0.21	0.15	0.25	--
<b>Median</b>	0.60	1.20	0.16	<0.1	<0.1	<0.78


<sup>a</sup>Values below LOQ were assumed to be 1/2 LOQ. Average and median dust intakes based on assumption that 20 mg/day dust ingested (Jones-Otazo et al., 2005) containing the average and median FR concentrations reported for UK house dust and average inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day (Currado and Harrad, 1998);

<sup>b</sup>Body burdens were calculated only for those FRs for which detection frequency>50%;

<sup>c</sup>Data from Tao et al. (2016);

<sup>d</sup>Estimated from the average consumption rates calculated for each food group (Food Standards Agency, 2014), the average and median FRs concentrations in this study were used for calculation of average and median dietary intakes, separately.

599 **Figure 1. Average concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (pg/g ww) in food samples from different countries.**



600

601 a) data from this study; b) data from Rose et al.(2015); c) data from Schecter et al.(2009); d) data from Voorspoels et al.(2007); e)  
 602 data from Domingo et al.(2008); f) BDE 209 was not measured; g) data from Bakker et al.(2008); h) data from Törnkvist et  
 603 al.(2011); i) data from Su et al.(2012); j) data from Dirtu and Covaci(2010); k) median concentrations of  $\Sigma$  PBDEs.



## References

- Abdallah, M.A.E., Harrad, S., 2014. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in UK human milk: implications for infant exposure and relationship to external exposure. *Environ. Int.* 63, 130–6. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.009
- Abdallah, M.A.E., Harrad, S., 2011. Tetrabromobisphenol-A, hexabromocyclododecane and its degradation products in UK human milk: Relationship to external exposure. *Environ. Int.* 37, 443–448. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2010.11.008
- Arcus-Arth, A., Krowech, G., Zeise, L., 2005. Breast milk and lipid intake distributions for assessing cumulative exposure and risk. *J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol.* 15, 357–365. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500412
- Arsenault, G., Lough, A., Marvin, C., McAlees, A., McCrindle, R., MacInnis, G., Pleskach, K., Potter, D., Riddell, N., Sverko, E., Tittlemier, S., Tomy, G., 2008. Structure characterization and thermal stabilities of the isomers of the brominated flame retardant 1,2-dibromo-4-(1,2-dibromoethyl)cyclohexane. *Chemosphere* 72, 1163–1170. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.03.044
- Bakker, M.I., de Winter-Sorkina, R., de Mul, A., Boon, P.E., van Donkersgoed, G., van Klaveren, J.D., Baumann, B. a, Hijman, W.C., van Leeuwen, S.P.J., de Boer, J., Zeilmaker, M.J., 2008. Dietary intake and risk evaluation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in The Netherlands. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 52, 204–216. doi:10.1002/mnfr.200700112
- Bearr, J.S., Stapleton, H.M., Mitchelmore, C.L., 2010. Accumulation and DNA damage in fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) exposed to 2 brominated flame-retardant mixtures, Firemaster 550 and Firemaster BZ-54. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 29, 722–9.

doi:10.1002/etc.94

Cequier, E., Ionas, A.C., Covaci, A., Marcé, R.M., Becher, G., Thomsen, C., 2014. Occurrence of a broad range of legacy and emerging flame retardants in indoor environments in Norway. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 6827–35. doi:10.1021/es500516u

Currado, G.M., Harrad, S., 1998. Comparison of polychlorinated biphenyl concentrations in indoor and outdoor air and the potential significance of inhalation as a human exposure pathway. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32, 3043–3047. doi:10.1021/es970735c

de Winter-Sorkina, R., Bakker, M. I., Van Donkersgoed, G., Van Klaveren, J. D., 2003. Dietary intake of brominated flame retardants by the Dutch population. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6297–6304. doi:10.1021/es101233z

Domingo, J.L., Martí-Cid, R., Castell, V., Llobet, J.M., 2008. Human exposure to PBDEs through the diet in Catalonia, Spain: Temporal trend: A review of recent literature on dietary PBDE intake. *Toxicology* 248, 25–32. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2008.03.006

Driffield, M., Harmer, N., Bradley, E., Fernandes, A.R., Rose, M., Mortimer, D., Dicks, P., 2008. Determination of brominated flame retardants in food by LC–MS/MS: diastereoisomer-specific hexabromocyclododecane and tetrabromobisphenol A. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 25, 895–903. doi:10.1080/02652030701882999

Dunn, R.L., Huwe, J.K., Carey, G.B., 2010. Biomonitoring polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human milk as a function of environment, dietary intake, and demographics in New Hampshire. *Chemosphere* 80, 1175–82. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.06.017

649 Eljarrat, E., Gorga, M., Gasser, M., Díaz-Ferrero, J., Barceló, D., 2014. Dietary Exposure  
 650 Assessment of Spanish Citizens to Hexabromocyclododecane through the Diet. *J. Agric.*  
 651 *Food Chem.* 62, 2462–2468. doi:10.1021/jf405007x

652 EU Risk Assessment Report, 2002. European Union Risk Assessment Report on  
 653 Bis(pentabromophenyl) ether. *European Commission, Joint Research Centre, European*  
 654 *Chemicals Bureau, EUR20402EN, 2002., Vol. 17.*

655 Ezechiáš, M., Svobodová, K., Cajthaml, T., 2012. Hormonal activities of new brominated  
 656 flame retardants. *Chemosphere* 87, 820–824. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.049

657 Fang, M., Stapleton, H.M., 2014. Evaluating the Bioaccessibility of Flame Retardants in  
 658 House Dust Using an In Vitro Tenax Bead-Assisted Sorptive Physiologically Based  
 659 Method. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 13323–13330. doi:10.1021/es503918m

660 Fängström, B., Athanassiadis, I., Odsjö, T., Norén, K., Bergman, A., 2008. Temporal trends  
 661 of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecane in milk from  
 662 Stockholm mothers, 1980-2004. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 52, 187–93.  
 663 doi:10.1002/mnfr.200700182

664 Fernandes, A., Smith, F., Petch, R., Panton, S., Carr, M., Mortimer, D., Tlustos, C., Rose, M.,  
 665 2010. The Emerging BFRs Hexabromobenzene (HBB), Bis (246-tribromophenoxy)  
 666 ethane (BTBPE), and Decabromodiphenylethane (DBDPE) in UK and Irish Foods. *Proc.*  
 667 *BFR 2010, Kyoto, Japan* 90028.

668 Frederiksen, M., Vorkamp, K., Thomsen, M., Knudsen, L.E., 2009. Human internal and  
 669 external exposure to PBDEs - A review of levels and sources. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ.*  
 670 *Health* 212, 109–134. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2008.04.005

671 Gandhi, N., Bhavsar, S.P., Gewurtz, S.B., Tomy, G.T., 2011. Can biotransformation of BDE-  
672 209 in lake trout cause bioaccumulation of more toxic, lower-brominated PBDEs (BDE-  
673 47, -99) over the long term? *Environ. Int.* 37, 170–177.  
674 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2010.08.013

675 Gauthier, L.T., Potter, D., Hebert, C.E., Letcher, R.J., 2008. Temporal Trends and Spatial  
676 Distribution of Non-polybrominated Diphenyl Ether Flame Retardants in the Eggs of  
677 Colonial Populations of Great Lakes Herring Gulls. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 312–317.  
678 doi:10.1021/es801687d

679 Goscinnny, S., Vandevijvere, S., Maleki, M., Overmeire, I. Van, Windal, I., Hanot, V., Blaude,  
680 M.-N., Vleminckx, C., Loco, J. Van, 2011. Dietary intake of hexabromocyclododecane  
681 diastereoisomers ( $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -HBCD) in the Belgian adult population. *Chemosphere* 84,  
682 279–288. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.04.048

683 Hakk, H., Larsen, G., Bowers, J., 2004. Metabolism, tissue disposition, and excretion of 1,2-  
684 bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE) in male Sprague-Dawley rats.  
685 *Chemosphere* 54, 1367–74. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2003.10.032

686 Harrad, S., Abdallah, M.A.E., Rose, N.L., Turner, S.D., Davidson, T.A., 2009. Current-Use  
687 Brominated Flame Betardants in Water, Sediment, and Fish from English Lakes.  
688 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 9077–9083. doi: 10.1021/Es902185u

689 Harrad, S., Diamond, M., 2006. New Directions: Exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers  
690 (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): Current and future scenarios. *Atmos.*  
691 *Environ.* 40, 1187–1188. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.10.006

692 Harrad, S., Ibarra, C., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Boon, R., Neels, H., Covaci, A., 2008.  
693 Concentrations of brominated flame retardants in dust from United Kingdom cars,

694 homes, and offices: Causes of variability and implications for human exposure. *Environ.*  
695 *Int.* 34, 1170–1175. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.05.001>

696 Harrad, S., Wijesekera, R., Hunter, S., Halliwell, C., Baker, R., 2004. Preliminary assessment  
697 of UK human dietary and inhalation exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers.  
698 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 2345–2350. doi: 10.1021/es0301121

699 Hassine, S. Ben, Ameer, W. Ben, Gandoura, N., Driss, M.R., 2012. Determination of  
700 chlorinated pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in  
701 human milk from Bizerte (Tunisia) in 2010. *Chemosphere* 89, 369–77.  
702 doi:[10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.035)

703 He, M.J., Luo, X.J., Chen, M.Y., Sun, Y.X., Chen, S.J., Mai, B.X., 2012. Bioaccumulation of  
704 polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenyl ethane in fish from a river  
705 system in a highly industrialized area, South China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 419, 109–115. doi:  
706 [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.12.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.12.035)

707 Howard, P.H., Muir, D.C.G., 2010. Identifying new persistent and bioaccumulative organics  
708 among chemicals in commerce. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 2277–85.  
709 doi:[10.1021/es903383a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es903383a)

710 Johnson, P.I., Stapleton, H.M., Mukherjee, B., Hauser, R., Meeker, J.D., 2013. Associations  
711 between brominated flame retardants in house dust and hormone levels in men. *Sci.*  
712 *Total Environ.* 445–446, 177–184. doi:[10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.017)

713 Jones-Otazo, H.A., Clarke, J.P., Diamond, M.L., Archbold, J.A., Ferguson, G., Harner, T.,  
714 Richardson, G.M., Ryan, J.J., Wilford, B., 2005. Is house dust the missing exposure  
715 pathway for PBDEs? An analysis of the urban fate and human exposure to PBDEs.  
716 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 5121–5130. doi: 10.1021/Es048267b

717 Khalaf, Hazem, Anders Larsson, Håkan Berg, Robert McCrindle, Gilles Arsenault, and P.-  
 718 E.O., 2009. Diastereomers of the Brominated Flame Retardant 1,2-Dibromo-4-(1,2  
 719 dibromoethyl)cyclohexane Induce Androgen Receptor Activation in the HepG2  
 720 Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cell Line and the LNCaP Prostate Cancer Cell Line. *Environ.*  
 721 *Health Perspect.* URL <http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/0901065/> (accessed 10.9.15).

722 Knudsen, G.A.; Sanders, J.M.; Birnbaum, L.S., 2016. Disposition of the Emerging  
 723 Brominated Flame Retardant, 2-Ethylhexyl 2,3,4,5-Tetrabromobenzoate, in Female SD  
 724 Rats and Male B6C3F1 Mice: Effects of Dose, Route, and Repeated Administration.  
 725 *Toxicol Sci.* 154, 392-402.doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfw176

726 Knudsen, G.A.; Sanders, J.M.; Birnbaum, L.S., 2017. Disposition of the emerging  
 727 brominated flame retardant, bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate, in female Sprague  
 728 Dawley rats: effects of dose, route and repeated administration. *Xenobiotica* 47,245-254.  
 729 doi: 10.1080/00498254.2016.1174793

730 La Guardia, M.J., Hale, R.C., Harvey, E., 2007. Evidence of debromination of  
 731 decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-209) in biota from a wastewater receiving stream.  
 732 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 6663–6670. doi:10.1021/es070728g

733 La Guardia, M.J., Hale, R.C., Harvey, E., 2006. Detailed Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether  
 734 (PBDE) Congener Composition of the Widely Used Penta-, Octa-, and Deca-PBDE  
 735 Technical Flame-retardant Mixtures. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 6247–6254.  
 736 doi:10.1021/es060630m

737 Labunska, I., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Eulaers, I., Covaci, A., Tao, F., Wang, M., Santillo, D.,  
 738 Johnston, P., Harrad, S., 2015. Human dietary intake of organohalogen contaminants at  
 739 e-waste recycling sites in Eastern China. *Environ. Int.* 74, 209–220.

doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.020>

Law, K., Halldorson, T., Danell, R., Stern, G., Gewurtz, S., Alae, M., Marvin, C., Whittle, M., Tomy, G., 2006. Bioaccumulation and Trophic Transfer of Some Brominated Flame Retardants in a Lake Winnipeg (Canada) Food Web. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 2177. doi:10.1897/05-500R.1

Letcher, R.J., Martenson, S.C., Fernie, K.J., 2014. Dietary exposure of American kestrels (*Falco sparverius*) to decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-209) flame retardant: Uptake, distribution, debromination and cytochrome P450 enzyme induction. *Environ. Int.* 63, 182–190. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.010

Li, P., Wu, H., Li, Q., Jin, J., Wang, Y., 2015. Brominated flame retardants in food and environmental samples from a production area in China: concentrations and human exposure assessment. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 187, 719. doi:10.1007/s10661-015-4947-y

Liu, L.Y., He, K., Hites, R.A., Salamova, A., 2016. Hair and Nails as Noninvasive Biomarkers of Human Exposure to Brominated and Organophosphate Flame Retardants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 3065–3073. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b05073

Lorber, M., 2008. Exposure of Americans to polybrominated diphenyl ethers. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* 18, 2–19. doi: 10.1038/sj.jes.7500572

Möller, A., Xie, Z., Cai, M., Zhong, G., Huang, P., Cai, M., Sturm, R., He, J., Ebinghaus, R., 2011a. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers vs alternate brominated flame retardants and Dechloranes from East Asia to the Arctic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 6793–9. doi:10.1021/es201850n

762 Munschy, C., Héas-Moisan, K., Tixier, C., Boulesteix, L., Morin, J., 2011. Classic and novel  
 763 brominated flame retardants (BFRs) in common sole (*Solea solea* L.) from main nursery  
 764 zones along the French coasts. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409, 4618–27.  
 765 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.07.021

766 Nomeir, A.A., Markham, P.M., Ghanayem, B.I. and Chadwick, M.A.R.J.O.R.Y., 1993.  
 767 Disposition of the flame retardant 1, 2-bis (2, 4, 6-tribromophenoxy) ethane in rats  
 768 following administration in the diet. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 21(2), 209-214.

769 Newton, S., Sellström, U., de Wit, C. , 2015. Emerging Flame Retardants, PBDEs, and  
 770 HBCDDs in indoor and outdoor media in Stockholm, Sweden. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49,  
 771 2912–2920. doi:10.1021/es505946e

772 Official Journal of the European Union, 9 May 2008, Judgment of the Court of 1 April 2008  
 773 (2008/C116/04).

774 Patisaul, H.B.; Roberts, S.C.; Mabrey, N.; McCaffrey, K.A.; Gear, R.B.; Braun, J.; Belcher,  
 775 S.M.; Stapleton, H.M., 2013. Accumulation and Endocrine Disrupting Effects of the  
 776 Flame Retardant Mixture Firemaster (R) 550 in Rats: An Exploratory Assessment. *J*  
 777 *BiochemMol Toxicol.* 27, 124-136. doi: 10.1002/jbt.21439

778 Phillips, A.L.; Chen, A.; Rock, K.D.; Horman, B.; Patisaul, H.B.; Stapleton, H.M., 2016.  
 779 Transplacental and Lactational Transfer of Firemaster (R) 550 Components in Dosed  
 780 Wistar Rats. *Toxicol Sci.* 153, 246-257. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfw12

781 Pradhan, A., Kharlyngdoh, J.B., Asnake, S., Olsson, P.-E., 2013. The brominated flame  
 782 retardant TBECH activates the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) androgen receptor, alters gene  
 783 transcription and causes developmental disturbances. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 142–143, 63–72.  
 784 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.07.018



785 Public Health England and the Food Standards Agency, 2014. National Diet and Nutrition  
786 Survey: results from Years 1 to 4 (combined) of the rolling programme for 2008 and  
787 2009 to 2011 and 2012 - Publications - gov.uk.  
788 [https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
789 [from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
790 2012 (accessed 1.21.16).

791 Roosens, L., Abdallah, M.A.E., Harrad, S., Neels, H., Covaci, A., 2009. Exposure to  
792 Hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs) via Dust Ingestion, but Not Diet, Correlates with  
793 Concentrations in Human Serum: Preliminary Results. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 117,  
794 1707–1712. doi: 10.1289/Ehp.0900869

795 Rose, M., Fernandes, A., Mortimer, D., Baskaran, C., 2015. Contamination of fish in UK  
796 fresh water systems: Risk assessment for human consumption. *Chemosphere* 122, 183–9.  
797 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.11.046

798 Ryan, J.J., Rawn, D.F.K., 2014. The brominated flame retardants, PBDEs and HBCD, in  
799 Canadian human milk samples collected from 1992 to 2005; concentrations and trends.  
800 *Environ. Int.* 70, 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.04.020

801 Sahlström, L.M.O., Sellström, U., de Wit, C.A., Lignell, S., Darnerud, P.O., 2015. Estimated  
802 intakes of brominated flame retardants via diet and dust compared to internal  
803 concentrations in a Swedish mother-toddler cohort. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 218,  
804 422–32. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.03.011

805 Saunders, D.M.V., Higley, E.B., Hecker, M., Mankidy, R., Giesy, J.P., 2013. In vitro  
806 endocrine disruption and TCDD-like effects of three novel brominated flame retardants:  
807 TBPH, TBB, & TBCO. *Toxicol. Lett.* 223, 252–259. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.09.009

- 808 Schecter, A., Haffner, D., Colacino, J., Patel, K., Pöpke, O., Opel, M., Birnbaum, L., 2009.  
 809 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) and Hexabromocyclodecane (HBCD) in  
 810 Composite U.S. Food Samples. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 118, 357–362.  
 811 doi:10.1289/ehp.0901345
- 812 Schuhmacher, M., Kiviranta, H., Ruokojärvi, P., Nadal, M., Domingo, J.L., 2009.  
 813 Concentrations of PCDD/Fs, PCBs and PBDEs in breast milk of women from Catalonia,  
 814 Spain: a follow-up study. *Environ. Int.* 35, 607–13. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2008.12.003
- 815 Shi, T., Chen, S.J., Luo, X.J., Zhang, X.L., Tang, C.M., Luo, Y., Ma, Y.J., Wu, J.P., Peng,  
 816 X.Z., Mai, B.X., 2009. Occurrence of brominated flame retardants other than  
 817 polybrominated diphenyl ethers in environmental and biota samples from southern  
 818 China. *Chemosphere* 74, 910–916. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.10.047
- 819 Shi, Z.X., Wu, Y.N., Li, J.G., Zhao, Y.F., Feng, J.F., 2009. Dietary Exposure Assessment of  
 820 Chinese Adults and Nursing Infants to Tetrabromobisphenol-A and  
 821 Hexabromocyclododecanes: Occurrence Measurements in Foods and Human Milk.  
 822 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 4314–4319. doi:10.1021/es8035626
- 823 Shi, Z., Zhang, L., Li, J., Zhao, Y., Sun, Z., Zhou, X., Wu, Y., 2016. Novel brominated flame  
 824 retardants in food composites and human milk from the Chinese Total Diet Study in  
 825 2011: Concentrations and a dietary exposure assessment. *Environ. Int.* 96, 82–90.  
 826 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.09.005
- 827 Shy, C.G., Huang, H.L., Chao, H.R., Chang-Chien, G.P., 2012. Cord blood levels of thyroid  
 828 hormones and IGF-1 weakly correlate with breast milk levels of PBDEs in Taiwan. *Int. J.*  
 829 *Hyg. Environ. Health* 215, 345–51. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.10.004
- 830 Stapleton, H.M., Brazil, B., Holbrook, R.D., Mitchelmore, C.L., Benedict, R., Konstantinov,

831 A., Potter, D., 2006. In vivo and in vitro debromination of decabromodiphenyl ether  
832 (BDE 209) by juvenile rainbow trout and common carp. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40,  
833 4653–4658. doi:10.1021/es060573x

834 Stockholm Convention, 2009. The 9 New POPs under the Stockholm Convention. [http://chm.](http://chm.pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/language/en-US/Default.aspx)  
835 [pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/lan-](http://chm.pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/language/en-US/Default.aspx)  
836 [guage/en-US/Default.aspx](http://chm.pops.int/Programmes/New%20POPs/The%209%20new%20POPs/tabid/672/language/en-US/Default.aspx).

837 Su, G., Liu, X., Gao, Z., Xian, Q., Feng, J., Zhang, X., Giesy, J.P., Wei, S., Liu, H., Yu, H.,  
838 2012. Dietary intake of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated  
839 biphenyls (PCBs) from fish and meat by residents of Nanjing, China. *Environ. Int.* 42,  
840 138–143. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2011.05.015

841 Tao, F., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Harrad, S., 2016. Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK  
842 indoor air and dust: evidence for replacement of PBDEs by emerging flame retardants?  
843 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 13052-13061. doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b02816

844 Tian, S., Zhu, L., Bian, J., Fang, S., 2012. Bioaccumulation and metabolism of  
845 polybrominated diphenyl ethers in carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) in a water/sediment  
846 microcosm: Important role of particulate matter exposure. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46,  
847 2951–2958. doi:10.1021/es204011k

848 Toms, L.M.L., Hearn, L., Kennedy, K., Harden, F., Bartkow, M., Temme, C., Mueller, J.F.,  
849 2009. Concentrations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in matched samples  
850 of human milk, dust and indoor air. *Environ. Int.* 35, 864–869.  
851 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2009.03.001

852 Tomy, G.T., Palace, V.P., Pleskach, K., Ismail, N., Oswald, T., Danell, R., Wautier, K.,  
853 Evans, B., 2007. Dietary Exposure of Juvenile Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

854 to 1,2-bis(2,4,6-tribromo- phenoxy)ethane: Bioaccumulation Parameters, Biochemical  
 855 Effects, and Metabolism. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 4913–4918. doi:10.1021/es070288t

856 Tomy, G.T., Pleskach, K., Arsenault, G., Potter, D., Mccrindle, R., Marvin, C.H., Sverko, E.,  
 857 Tittlemier, S., 2008. Identification of the novel cycloaliphatic brominated flame  
 858 retardant 1,2-dihromo-4-(1,2-dibromoethyl)cyclo-hexane in Canadian arctic beluga  
 859 (Delphinapterus leucas). Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 543–549. doi: 10.1021/Es072043m

860 Törnkvist, A., Glynn, A., Aune, M., Darnerud, P.O., Ankarberg, E.H., 2011. PCDD/F, PCB,  
 861 PBDE, HBCD and chlorinated pesticides in a Swedish market basket from 2005 –  
 862 Levels and dietary intake estimations. Chemosphere 83, 193–199.  
 863 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.042>

864 UK Food Standards Agency, 2006. Brominated chemicals: UK dietary intakes.  
 865 <http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/fsis1006.pdf>.

866 UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009. Investigation of the Occurrence of  
 867 Brominated Contaminants in Selected Foods.  
 868 [https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-food.pdf)  
 869 [food.pdf](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-food.pdf).

870 UNEP, 2014. Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants.  
 871 <http://chm.pops.int/default.aspx> (accessed November 19, 2014).

872 Van den Steen, E., Covaci, A., Jaspers, V.L.B., Dauwe, T., Voorspoels, S., Eens, M., Pinxten,  
 873 R., 2007. Accumulation, tissue-specific distribution and debromination of  
 874 decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE 209) in European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). Environ.  
 875 Pollut. 148, 648–653. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.11.017

876 Verreault, J., Gebbink, W. a, Gauthier, L.T., Gabrielsen, G.W., Letcher, R.J., 2007.  
877 Brominated flame retardants in glaucous gulls from the Norwegian Arctic: more than  
878 just an issue of polybrominated diphenyl ethers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 4925–31.  
879 doi:10.1021/es070522f

880 Voorspoels, S., Covaci, A., Neels, H., Schepens, P., 2007. Dietary PBDE intake: A market-  
881 basket study in Belgium. *Environ. Int.* 33, 93–97. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2006.08.003

882 Wang, F., Wang, J., Dai, J., Hu, G., Luo, X., Mai, B., 2010. Comparative tissue distribution,  
883 biotransformation and associated biological effects by decabromodiphenyl ethane and  
884 decabrominated diphenyl ether in male rats after a 90-day oral exposure study. *Environ.*  
885 *Sci. Technol.* 44, 5655–5660. doi: 10.1021/es101158e

886 Yang, R., Wei, H., Guo, J., Li, A., 2012. Emerging brominated flame retardants in the  
887 sediment of the Great Lakes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 3119–26.  
888 doi:10.1021/es204141p

889 Zheng, X.B., Wu, J.P., Luo, X.J., Zeng, Y.H., She, Y.Z., Mai, B.X., 2012. Halogenated flame  
890 retardants in home-produced eggs from an electronic waste recycling region in South  
891 China: Levels, composition profiles, and human dietary exposure assessment. *Environ.*  
892 *Int.* 45, 122–128. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.04.006

893 Zheng, X.B., Luo, X.J., Zheng, J., Zeng, Y.H., Mai, B.X., 2015. Contaminant sources,  
894 gastrointestinal absorption, and tissue distribution of organohalogenated pollutants in  
895 chicken from an e-waste site. *Sci. Total Environ.* 505, 1003–1010.  
896 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.076

897 Zhou, S.N., Buchar, A., Siddique, S., Takser, L., Abdelouahab, N., Zhu, J., 2014.  
898 Measurements of Selected Brominated Flame Retardants in Nursing Women:

899 Implications for Human Exposure. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 8873–8880.  
900 doi:10.1021/es50168

## Abstract

The legacy flame retardants (LFRs) polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD), together with six emerging flame retardants (EFRs) were measured in United Kingdom (UK) human milk collected in 2010 (n=25) and 2014-15 (n=10). These data are the first report of the presence of EFRs in UK human milk. The most abundant EFR was  $\beta$ -tetrabromoethylcyclohexane (DBE-DBCH) (average = 2.5 ng/g lw; geometric mean = 1.5 ng/g lw), which is comparable to the concentrations of the most abundant LFRs i.e. BDE 47 and  $\alpha$ -HBCDD at 2.8 and 2.1 ng/g lw, respectively (geometric mean = 2.1 and 1.7). The estimated average dietary intake of  $\Sigma$ EFRs by UK nursing infants was 18 ng/kg bw/day. EFRs were also measured in UK foodstuffs with  $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH again the predominant compound detected, accounting – on average – for  $64.5 \pm 23.4\%$  of  $\Sigma$ EFRs. Average estimated dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ EFRs in the UK were 89 and 26 ng/day (1.3 and 2.6 ng /body weight/day) for adults and toddlers, respectively. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in our UK food samples exceeded those reported in UK samples from the same food categories collected in 2003-04 and 2006. Despite this and our recent report elsewhere of significant temporal declines in concentrations of BDE 209 in UK indoor dust ( $p < 0.05$ ) and HBCDDs in UK indoor dust and air ( $p < 0.001$ ), no significant temporal differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed between concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs, BDE 209 and HBCDDs in human milk sampled in 2010 and those obtained in 2014-15. UK adult body burdens for EFRs were predicted via inhalation, diet and dust ingestion using a simple pharmacokinetic model. The predicted EFR body burdens compared well with observed concentrations in human milk.

Supporting information for

**Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK indoor air and dust:  
evidence for replacement of PBDEs by emerging flame retardants?**

*Fang Tao<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Abou-Elwafa Abdallah<sup>1,2</sup>, Danielle C Ashworth<sup>3,4</sup>, Philippa Douglas<sup>3,4</sup>,  
Mireille B Toledano<sup>3,4</sup>, Stuart Harrad<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Division of Environmental Health and Risk Management, School of Geography, Earth and  
Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, 71526 Assiut,  
Egypt

<sup>3</sup>MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics,  
Imperial College London, W2 1PG, UK

<sup>4</sup>National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Health Impact of  
Environmental Hazards at King's College London, a Partnership with Public Health England,  
and collaboration with Imperial College London, UK, W2 1PG

**17 Tables, 4 figures and method description.**



## 1. Sampling methods

The water content of each food sample was determined gravimetrically to permit calculation of concentrations on a wet weight (ww) basis. Concentrations of FRs (ng/g ww) in each food sample were multiplied by the sample mass to calculate an estimate of dietary intake.

## 2. Analytical protocols of HBCDDs



Analysis of three HBCDD diastereomers ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ -HBCDDs) was achieved using a dual pump Shimadzu LC-20AB Prominence high pressure liquid chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a Sciex API 2000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). A Varian Pursuit XRS3 (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) C18 reversed phase analytical column (150 mm  $\times$  2 mm i.d., 3  $\mu$ m particle size) were used for separation of  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -HBCDDs. The following mobile phase program was used: (a) 1:1 methanol/water and (b) methanol at a flow rate of 180  $\mu$ L min<sup>-1</sup> was applied; the mobile phase b starts at 50% before increasing linearly to 100% over 4 min, held for 5 min followed by a linear decrease to 88% over 1 min, and a rapid drop to 50%, held for 1 min. Post-elution was conducted by increasing the mobile phase b gradually to 100% over 1 min, held 6 min, and then finished to 50% for 4 min. Using this method,  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -HBCDDs were separated at the retention times of 9.0, 10.6, and 11.2 minutes respectively.






**Table S1: Linear ranges, R<sup>2</sup>, qualifier/quantifier ions, internal standard (IS), LODs, and LOQs for PBDEs, HBCDDs and EFRs**





	Linear ranges (pg/uL)	R <sup>2</sup>	quantifier/qualifier ions* (m/z)	IS	LOD (pg/uL)	Diet and Human milk LOQ (ng/g dry weight)
<b>α-DBE-DBCH</b>	25-500	0.9991	79/81	BDE77	0.070	0.04
<b>β-DBE-DBCH</b>	25-500	0.9992	79/81		0.070	0.04
<b>EH-TBB</b>	25-500	0.9989	356.8/358.8		0.004	0.003
<b>BTBPE</b>	25-500	0.9987	330.8/332.8	<sup>13</sup> C-BTBPE	0.046	0.03
<b>BEH-TEBP</b>	25-500	0.9991	463.7/383.7	<sup>13</sup> C-BEH-TEBP	0.004	0.003
<b>DBDPE</b>	50-1000	0.9988	79/81	<sup>13</sup> C-BDE209	0.44	0.25
<b>BDE28</b>	25-500	0.9993	81/326.9	BDE77	0.011	0.01
<b>BDE47</b>	25-500	0.9995	81/326.9		0.014	0.01
<b>BDE100</b>	25-500	0.9986	81/403.9		0.004	0.003
<b>BDE99</b>	25-500	0.9991	81/403.9		0.004	0.003
<b>BDE154</b>	25-500	0.9986	81/483.8	BDE128	0.021	0.01
<b>BDE153</b>	25-500	0.9988	81/483.8		0.018	0.01
<b>BDE183</b>	25-500	0.9976	81/483.8		0.046	0.03
<b>BDE209</b>	50-1000	0.9985	486.8/484.8	<sup>13</sup> C-BDE209	0.12	0.07
<b>α-HBCDD</b>	25-500	0.9987	640.9/79.0	<sup>13</sup> C-α-HBCDD	0.056	0.03
<b>β-HBCDD</b>	25-500	0.9986	640.9/79.0	<sup>13</sup> C-β-HBCDD	0.049	0.03
<b>γ-HBCDD</b>	25-500	0.9991	640.9/79.0	<sup>13</sup> C-γ-HBCDD	0.039	0.02





\* MS/MS detection operated in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was used for quantitative determination of HBCDDs.





**Table S2: Physicochemical properties of some important EFRs, PBDEs and HBCDDs**

Compound	Acronym	Chemical structure	Molecular formula	Molecular weight	Melting point(°C)	Boiling point(°C)	Vapour pressure  (Pa)  (25 °C)	Water solubility  (g/L)  (25 °C)	Log K <sub>OW</sub>	-Log K <sub>OA</sub>	Half-life in air  (hours)	Half-life in water  (hours)	Half-life in soil  (hours)	Production volume	Type of BFR
Decabromodiphenyl ethane	DBDPE		C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Br <sub>10</sub>	971.2 <sup>a</sup>	334-337 <sup>b</sup> , 344-349 <sup>d</sup> , 348-353 <sup>e</sup> , 351-355 <sup>e</sup>	676±50 <sup>a</sup>	6.0E-15 <sup>a</sup>  1.0E-06 <sup>e</sup>	2.10E-07 <sup>a</sup>  7.2E-04 <sup>e</sup>	11.1 <sup>a</sup>	18.8 <sup>1</sup>  19.34 <sup>o</sup>	53.6 <sup>1</sup>	4320 <sup>1</sup>	8640 <sup>1</sup>	N/A	Additive <sup>g</sup>
1,2-bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane	BTBPE		C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>8</sub> Br <sub>6</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	687.6 <sup>a</sup>	N/A	566.4±50.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.88E-10 <sup>a</sup>	1.90E-05 <sup>a</sup>	7.88±0.86 <sup>a</sup>	15.0 <sup>1</sup>	8.6 <sup>1</sup>	4320 <sup>1</sup>	8640 <sup>1</sup>	LPV <sup>g</sup>	Additive <sup>g</sup>

2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate	EH-TBB (TBB)		$C_{15}H_{18}Br_4O_2$	549.9 <sup>e</sup>	N/A	N/A	3.71E-07 <sup>e</sup>	1.14E-05 <sup>f</sup>	7.73 <sup>c</sup> 8.75 <sup>f</sup>	12.34 <sup>q</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Additive <sup>g</sup>
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate	BEH-TEBP (TBPH)		$C_{24}H_{34}Br_4O_4$	706.1 <sup>a</sup>	N/A	584.8±45.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.55E-11 <sup>a</sup>	1.60E-06 <sup>a</sup>	10.08±0.94 <sup>a</sup>	17.7 <sup>l</sup> 16.86 <sup>o</sup>	5.9 <sup>l</sup>	1440 <sup>l</sup>	2880 <sup>l</sup>	LPV <sup>g</sup>	Additive <sup>g</sup>
Tetrabromobisphenol A-bis(2,3-dibromopropyl ether)	TBBPA-DBDPE (TBBPA-DBPE)		$C_{21}H_{20}Br_8O_2$	943.6 <sup>a</sup>	90-105 <sup>b</sup> , 90-100 <sup>c</sup>	676.5±55.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.60E-07 <sup>a</sup>	1.60E-07 <sup>a</sup>	10.42±0.7 <sup>a</sup>	21.1 <sup>l</sup>	12.2 <sup>l</sup>	4320 <sup>l</sup>	8640 <sup>l</sup>	LPV <sup>g</sup>	Additive <sup>g</sup>
Pentabromoethylbenzene	PBEB		$C_8H_5Br_5$	500.7 <sup>a</sup>	138 <sup>b</sup>	413.3±40.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.2E-04 <sup>a</sup> 4.67E-05 <sup>c</sup>	3.50E-04 <sup>a</sup>	6.40±0.62 <sup>a</sup>	9.9 <sup>l</sup>	111.6 <sup>l</sup>	4320 <sup>l</sup>	8640 <sup>l</sup>	LPV <sup>g</sup>	Additive <sup>g</sup>
2,3-dibromopropyl 2,4,6-tribromophenyl ether	TBP-DBPE (DPTE)		$C_9H_7Br_5O$	530.6 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	N/A	1.86E-05 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	5.82 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Additive <sup>c</sup>

Tetrabromoethylcyclohexane	DBE- DBCH (TBECH)		C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>12</sub> Br <sub>4</sub>	427.8 °C	N/A	N/A	2.97E-03 °C 1.05E-04 <sup>e</sup>	6.92E-05 <sup>e</sup>	4.82 °C, 5.24 °C, 5.25 °P	8.01 °P	52.8 °m	756864 (pH=7) °m	N/A	N/A	Additive °g
Benzene, 1,2,4,5-tetrabromo- 3,6-dimethyl	TBX (p-TBX)		C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>6</sub> Br <sub>4</sub>	421.75 °C	N/A	N/A	5.80E-03 °C	N/A	6.2 °C	8.81 <sup>t</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Additive °C
Pentabromotoluene	PBT		C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>3</sub> Br <sub>5</sub>	486.6 °g	280-282 °g, 288-289 °g	394.4±37 °g	1.22E-03 °g	7.80-E04 °g	5.87±0.62 °g, 5.43 °g, 6.99 °t, 6.26 °C	9.66 °u	N/A	N/A	N/A	LPV °g	Additive °C
Hexabromobenzene	HBB		C <sub>6</sub> Br <sub>6</sub>	551.5 °g	327 °g, 326 °g	417.5±40 <sup>g</sup>	1.14E-04 °g 3.17E-04 °g	7.70E-04 <sup>g</sup> , 1.10E-07 <sup>g</sup>	5.85±0.67 °g, 6.07 °g	10.26 °u	1992 °n	7584 °n	7584 °n	N/A	Additive °C

pentabromobenzene	PBBz		C <sub>6</sub> HBr <sub>5</sub>	472.59	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.44 <sup>t</sup>	9.10 <sup>t</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tetrabromo-o-chlorotoluene	TBCT (TBoCT)		C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>3</sub> Br <sub>4</sub> Cl	442.17 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	N/A	1.72E-03 <sup>c</sup>	N/A	6.29 <sup>c</sup>	8.82 <sup>t</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Additive <sup>c</sup>
dechlorane plus	DDC-CO (DP)		C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>12</sub> Cl <sub>12</sub>	653.7 <sup>v</sup>	206 <sup>w</sup> ,  350 °C with decompos- -ition <sup>v</sup>	N/A	1.37E-11 <sup>c</sup> ,  4.71E-08 <sup>w</sup>	4.0E-11 <sup>w</sup>	9.3 <sup>v</sup> , 10.12 <sup>c</sup> , 11.27 <sup>p</sup>	13.1 <sup>t</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Additive <sup>c</sup>
2,2',4,4',5-Pentabromodiphenyl ether	BDE 99		C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Br <sub>5</sub> O	564.69	90.5-94.5	434.2	1.32E-07 <sup>h</sup>	9E-06 <sup>h</sup>	7.32 <sup>h</sup>	11.31 <sup>r</sup>	456 <sup>n</sup>	19992 <sup>n</sup>	19992 <sup>n</sup>	HPV <sup>j</sup>	Additive

2,2',4,4',5,6'- Hexabromodiphenyl ether	BDE 154		$C_{12}H_4Br_6O$	643.58	N/A	453.2	$2.85E-08^h$	$1E-06^h$	$7.82^h$	$11.92^r$	N/A	N/A	N/A	HPV <sup>j</sup>	Additive
2,2',3,4,4',5',6'- Heptabromodiphenyl ether	BDE 183		$C_{12}H_3Br_7O$	722.48	N/A	490.7	$3.51E-09^h$	$2E-06^h$	$8.27^h$	$11.96^r$	1536 <sup>m</sup>	N/A	N/A	HPV <sup>k</sup>	Additive
Decabromodiphenyl ether	BDE 209		$C_{12}Br_{10}O$	$959.17^h$	$300-310^h$	decomposes at $>320$	$4.63E-06(21^\circ C)^h$	$<1E-07^h$	$8.70^i$	$18.42^t, 14.98^s$	7632 <sup>m</sup>	N/A	N/A	HPV	Additive
Hexabromocyclodecane	HBCDD		$C_{12}H_6Br_6$	$641.7^c$	N/A	decomposes at $>190^c$	$1.04E-07^c$	N/A	$7.92^c$	$11.8^o$	40.8 <sup>m</sup>	$1.05E-15$ (pH=7) <sup>m</sup>	N/A	HPV	Additive <sup>c</sup>

50 a) Data from SciFinder originating from calculated properties (ACD/labs Software V9.04); b) Data from SciFinder data base originating from  
51 experimentally determined properties; c) Data from Bergman et al., (2012); d) Experimental data from Li et al. (2004); e) Experimental data from  
52 the Environment Agency Dungey, S and Akintoye (2007); e) Data from Syracuse Research Corporation. f) Data from US. EPA (2008a); (g)  
53 Information from Covaci et al., (2011); (h) Data from US. EPA (2008b); i) Data from Sifleet (2009); j) Data from Penta-BDE( Alaei et al. (2003));  
54 k) Data from from octa-BDE (Alaei et al. (2003)); l) Data from NPCA (2008); m): Data from Nyholm (2009); n) Data from Wegmann et al.  
55 (2009); o) Data from Ruan et al. (2009); p) Data from Howard and Muir (2010); q): Data from Stapleton et al. (2008); r) Data from Harner and  
56 Shoeib (2002); s) Data from Cetin and Odabasi (2008); t) Data from HENRYWIN v3.20 (EPIWIN 4) u) Stenzel et al. (2013); v) Data from Xian et

57 al. (2011); w) Data from Feo et al. (2012); HPV: high production volume (above 1000 tons/year); LPV: low production volume (below 1000  
58 tons/year); N/A: not available.



**Table S3: The recoveries (%) of the EH-TBB and BEH-TEBP in the matrix spiked experiments**

	Spiked egg samples		Spiked human milk samples	
	Low levels (0.5 ng, n=3)	High levels (25 ng, n=3)	Low levels (0.5 ng, n=3)	High levels (25 ng, n=3)
<b>EH-TBB</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>90%</b>
<b>BEH-TEBP</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>83%</b>

**Table S4: Average concentrations of EFRs (ng/g lw) in biota samples from different countries.**

Samples	$\alpha$ -DBE-DBCH	$\beta$ -DBE-DBCH	EH-TBB	BTBPE	BEH-TEBP	DBDPE	Location	References
Meat								
Meat	0.58-0.95	1.9-7.6	0.19-1.4	<0.04-2.4	0.20-0.57	<1.1-4.6	UK	This study
Beef				0.56		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>	UK	(Fernandes et al., 2010)
Pork				0.55		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
Lamb				0.05		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
Turkey				1.76		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
Chicken			2.66	<0.35	1.78	<0.45	Shanghai and Nanjing City, China	(Labunska et al., 2015)
Duck			2.74	1.87	<0.25	<0.45		
Pork			2.14	2.69	1.37	<0.45		
Chicken			24.7	1.46	8.97	<0.45	E-waste area, South China	
Duck			24.2	4.57	7.23	<0.45		
Pork			38.2	5.4	12.4	<0.45		
Liver								
Liver	<0.26-1.6	34-85	0.19-1.6	<0.06-0.35	0.69-5.8	<1.6-7.6	UK	This study
Pork liver				0.81		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>	UK	(Fernandes et al., 2010)
Chicken liver				0.75		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
Chicken liver			5	3.38	2.61	<0.45	Shanghai and Nanjing City, China	(Labunska et al., 2015)
Duck liver			8.2	3.27	1.69	<0.45		
Chicken liver			35	15	10.6	<0.45	E-waste area, South China	
Duck liver			38.4	11.7	13.7	<0.45		
Fish								
Fish	0.48-1.3	4.4-39	0.22-0.43	<0.04-0.78	<0.1-1.1	<0.63-21	UK	This study
Salmon				0.26		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>	UK	(Fernandes et al., 2010)
Mackerel				0.3		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
Herring				0.25		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		

<b>Haddock</b>				0.83		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
<b>Lemon Sole</b>				3.33		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
<b>Whitebait</b>				0.77		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>		
<b>Fish</b>			4	2.1	1.9	<0.45	Shanghai and Nanjing City, China	(Labunska et al., 2015)
<b>Fish</b>			24.7	1.46	8.97	<0.45	E-waste area, South China	
<b>Fish <sup>a</sup></b>	97	17	<14	1.1-3.6 <sup>b</sup>	< 26		Sweden	(Sahlström et al., 2015)
<b>Fish</b>				<0.05-3.72		<0.1-3.30	Lake Winnipeg, Canada	(Law et al., 2006)
<b>Juvenile common sole</b>				0.08-0.31		0.28-1.13	Nursery zones situated along the French coast	(Munsch et al., 2011)
<b>Fish</b>				<0.012-0.15		<3.8	E-waste area, South China	(Shi et al., 2009)
<b>Fish</b>						<4.9-230	Dongjiang River, South China	(He et al., 2012)
<b>Fish and seafood</b>						121	Shandong Province, North China	(Cao et al., 2015)
<b>Eggs</b>								
<b>Egg</b>	0.42	3.0	0.10	0.18	1.8	<1.2	UK	This study
<b>Chicken eggs</b>			1.73	<0.35	<0.25	<0.45	Shanghai and Nanjing City, China	(Labunska et al., 2015)
<b>Chicken eggs</b>			4.8	2.93	1.16	<0.45	E-waste area, South China	
<b>Duck eggs</b>			1.21	<0.35	<0.25	<0.45	Shanghai and Nanjing City, China	
<b>Duck eggs</b>			4.03	2.11	1.11	<0.45	E-waste area, South China	
<b>Free range organic eggs</b>				0.29		<0.06 <sup>c</sup>	UK	(Fernandes et al., 2010)
<b>Chicken eggs</b>				37.2-264		5.97-37.9	E-waste area, South China	(Zheng et al., 2012)

64 a) the units are pg/g ww; b) mLOD – mLOQ; c) the units are ng/g ww.

65

**Table S5: Average concentrations (pg/g ww in parentheses) of PBDEs in composite food samples from Birmingham, UK (ng/g lw)**

	Average Lipid weight (%)	Average Water content (%)	BDE 28	BDE 47	BDE 100	BDE 99	BDE 154	BDE 153	BDE 209	Σtri-hexa BDEs	ΣPBDEs
<b>DF</b>	--	--	73%	93%	90%	100%	83%	100%	97%	--	--
<b>Meat</b>											
<b>Beef</b>	7.6	67	0.32 (23)	5.5 (360)	1.2 (73)	7.2 (470)	0.42 (27)	0.6 (38)	0.46 (32)	15 (990)	16 (1000)
<b>Lamb</b>	8.0	68	0.082 (7.5)	0.5 (45)	0.48 (36)	0.76 (65)	0.10 (8.3)	0.17 (15)	0.28 (21)	2.1 (177)	2.4 (200)
<b>Pork</b>	8.0	66	0.35 (15)	7.4 (290)	2.0 (82)	9.6 (370)	0.66 (25)	0.88 (33)	0.42 (24)	21 (810)	21 (830)
<b>Chicken</b>	4.6	73	<0.06 (<5.0)	3.5 (160)	1.0 (44)	5.6 (250)	0.43 (19)	0.54 (24)	0.63 (28)	11 (500)	12 (520)
<b>Liver</b>											
<b>Beef liver</b>	4.0	64	0.62 (24)	6.5 (250)	2.0 (76)	20 (760)	1.1 (41)	1.4 (53)	3.3 (120)	32 (1200)	35 (1300)
<b>Lamb liver</b>	5.3	65	<0.18 (<7.8)	0.034 (1.8)	3.9 (160)	0.70 (30)	0.33 (17)	0.41 (21)	0.43 (23)	5.5 (240)	5.9 (260)
<b>Pork liver</b>	4.7	69	0.33 (19)	2.0 (82)	0.17 (5.5)	1.7 (63)	0.14 (6.8)	0.34 (20)	0.51 (23)	4.7 (200)	5.3 (220)
<b>Chicken liver</b>	4.6	73	0.12 (5.5)	1.3 (59)	0.069 (3.0)	1.4 (61)	0.16 (7.2)	0.19 (8.7)	0.47 (21)	3.2 (150)	3.7(170)
<b>Fish</b>											
<b>Salmon</b>	9.1	65	0.8 (61)	17 (1100)	3.9 (230)	15 (720)	1.5 (94)	1.4 (73)	0.69 (41)	40 (2300)	40 (2300)
<b>Mackerel</b>	24	49	0.63 (130)	5.9 (1200)	1.2 (250)	3.8 (770)	1.0 (210)	0.39 (80)	0.74 (150)	13 (2600)	14 (2800)
<b>Tuna</b>	2.0	75	0.43 (8.9)	6.0 (130)	2.6 (54)	3.7 (80)	2.6 (59)	1.2 (26)	1.7 (39)	16 (360)	18 (400)
<b>Trout</b>	9.2	67	0.76 (71)	12 (950)	2.5 (190)	8.6 (550)	2.0 (150)	1.6 (120)	0.34 (32)	27 (2000)	28 (2100)
<b>Egg and dairy product</b>											
<b>Cheese</b>	18	46	0.068 (12)	1.9 (340)	0.59 (100)	2.2 (390)	0.12 (21)	0.14 (26)	0.21 (37)	5.1 (900)	5.3 (940)
<b>Hen Eggs</b>	11	51	<0.04 (<4.9)	0.19 (20)	0.13 (14)	0.3 (32)	1.2 (130)	<0.04 (<4.9)	0.53 (56)	1.9 (200)	2.4 (250)

**Table S6: Average concentrations (pg/g ww in parentheses) of HBCDDs in composite food samples from Birmingham, UK**  
(ng/g lw)

	$\alpha$ -HBCDD	$\beta$ -HBCDD	$\gamma$ -HBCDD	$\Sigma$ HBCDDs
<b>DF</b>	83%	70%	73%	
<b>Meat</b>				
<b>Beef</b>	1.6 (100)	0.44 (28)	0.62 (40)	2.7 (170)
<b>Lamb</b>	0.22 (15)	<0.12 (<16)	<0.08 (<5.5)	0.32 (26)
<b>Pork</b>	2.6 (120)	0.84 (34)	1.2 (53)	4.6 (200)
<b>Chicken</b>	2.3 (100)	0.91 (40)	1.3 (56)	4.5 (200)
<b>Liver</b>				
<b>Beef liver</b>	7.0 (260)	2.2 (83)	11 (400)	20 (740)
<b>Lamb liver</b>	0.48 (32)	0.26 (17)	0.6 (41)	1.3 (90)
<b>Pork liver</b>	3.3 (110)	0.89 (30)	3.7 (120)	7.9 (270)
<b>Chicken liver</b>	<0.18 (<8.0)	<0.18 (<8.0)	<0.12 (<5.4)	<0.48 (<22)
<b>Fish</b>				
<b>Salmon</b>	7.3 (490)	2.9 (220)	1.7 (120)	12 (830)
<b>Mackerel</b>	2.4 (490)	0.2 (40)	1.0 (210)	3.6 (740)
<b>Tuna</b>	12 (110)	2.2 (50)	1.8 (18)	16 (180)
<b>Trout</b>	6.0 (350)	1.2 (72)	1.6 (90)	8.8 (510)
<b>Egg and dairy products</b>				
<b>Cheese</b>	<0.089 (<7.8)	<0.089 (<8.0)	<0.059 (<5.4)	<0.24 (<43)
<b>Hen Eggs</b>	0.78 (190)	0.28 (68)	0.19 (45)	1.3 (300)

**Table S7: Descriptive statistics for concentrations for PBDEs and HBCDDs in UK human milk (ng/g lw)**

	<b>BDE 28</b>	<b>BDE 47</b>	<b>BDE 100</b>	<b>BDE 99</b>	<b>BDE 154</b>	<b>BDE 153</b>	<b><math>\alpha</math>-HBCDD</b>	<b><math>\beta</math>-HBCDD</b>	<b><math>\gamma</math>-HBCDD</b>
<b>Archived human milk samples collected in 2010 (n=35) (Abdallah and Harrad, 2014; M. A. Abdallah and Harrad, 2011)</b>									
<b>DFs</b>	--	100%	89%	94%	77%	97%	--	--	--
<b>5<sup>th</sup> percentile</b>	--	0.27	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.09	1.10	0.09	0.15
<b>95<sup>th</sup> percentile</b>	--	8.23	0.98	1.7	0.68	3.16	15.27	0.67	2.11
<b>Mean</b>	--	3.3	0.45	0.71	0.30	1.10	4.91	0.32	0.73
<b>Median</b>	--	2.8	0.38	0.69	0.21	0.91	3.71	0.30	0.56
<b>Min</b>	--	0.17	<0.05	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	0.75	0.08	0.13
<b>Max</b>	--	14.65	1.86	3.43	11.10	4.57	19.71	0.75	2.29
<b>Human milk collected from 2014-2015 (n=10)</b>									
<b>DFs</b>	90%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	60%	100%
<b>5<sup>th</sup> percentile</b>	0.03	0.63	0.08	0.45	0.06	0.62	0.65	<0.10	0.29
<b>95<sup>th</sup> percentile</b>	0.41	7.0	2.1	1.7	0.21	2.4	3.7	0.46	1.9
<b>Mean</b>	0.19	2.8	0.73	1.0	0.13	1.7	2.1	0.25	0.90
<b>Median</b>	0.14	2.2	0.53	0.77	0.12	1.8	1.9	0.23	0.73
<b>Min</b>	<0.03	0.52	0.07	0.42	<0.03	0.49	0.40	<0.10	0.16
<b>Max</b>	0.41	7.7	2.2	2.0	0.24	2.7	4.4	0.61	2.2

### 3. Estimation of daily dietary intakes

Consumption rates for each liver (four groups) and each oily fish (four groups) category were derived from the total liver and oily fish consumptions in the survey report divided by 4. ( Public Health England and the Food Standards Agency, 2014) The weight of each egg used for calculating daily intakes of FRs via egg consumption was corrected for the corresponding eggshell weight and concentrations on a whole egg basis as reported previously elsewhere (Labunska et al., 2013). It is notable that raw food samples were analysed in our study as preparation and cooking may affect the concentrations of chemicals (Perelló et al., 2009). We assume that exposed adults and toddlers in this study weigh 70 and 10 kg, separately (Abdallah et al., 2008).

**Table S8: Average (standard deviation in parentheses) quantities of food consumed by UK toddlers and adults (g/day) (Food Standards Agency, 2014)**

	<b>Toddlers <sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Adults <sup>b</sup></b>
<b>Beef</b>	17 (28)	48 (71)
<b>Lamb</b>	4.1 (15)	12 (39)
<b>Pork</b>	3.1 (13)	11 (32)
<b>Chicken</b>	14 (21)	61 (77)
<b>Beef liver</b>	0.04 (0.44)	0.34 (2.3)
<b>Lamb liver</b>	0.04 (0.44)	0.34 (2.3)
<b>Pork liver</b>	0.04 (0.44)	0.34 (2.3)
<b>Chicken liver</b>	0.04 (0.44)	0.34 (2.3)
<b>Salmon</b>	0.58 (3.8)	2.7 (7.7)
<b>Mackerel</b>	0.58 (3.8)	2.7 (7.7)

<b>Tuna</b>	0.58 (3.8)	2.7 (7.7)
<b>Trout</b>	0.58 (3.8)	2.7 (7.7)
<b>Cheese</b>	8.6 (9.4)	15 (19)
<b>Hen Eggs</b>	8.5 (14)	20 (38)

a) derived from data of food consumption for age group (1.5-3 years old); b) derived from data of food consumption for age group (19-64 years old).



**Table S9: Estimated average and high-end <sup>a</sup> dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ EFRs (ng/day) for UK adults and toddlers**

	Toddlers		Adults	
	Average consumer	High-end consumer	Average consumer	High-end consumer
<b>Meat</b>	18	100	64	294
<b>Liver</b>	0.42	10	3.8	56
<b>Fish</b>	2.4	34	11	76
<b>Cheese</b>	3.6	11	6.3	22
<b>Hen Eggs</b>	1.7	7.3	4.0	19
<b>Total</b>	26	162	89	467

a) estimates of high end intakes were derived from food consumption figures in Table S7 by assuming that a high-end consumer of each food group consume the average quantities of food consumed + 2 × standard deviations (SD). This is because statistically the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile value equals the average plus 2 × SD.

**Table S10: Estimated average and high-end <sup>a</sup> dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (ng/day) for UK adults and toddlers**

	Toddlers		Adults	
	Average consumer	High-end consumer	Average consumer	High-end consumer
<b>Meat</b>	28	135	89	372
<b>Liver</b>	0.08	1.8	0.61	8.9
<b>Fish</b>	4.4	61	20	133
<b>Cheese</b>	8.1	26	14	48
<b>Hen Eggs</b>	0.67	2.9	1.2	5.8
<b>Total</b>	42	227	124	568

a) estimates of high end intakes were derived from food consumption figures in Table S7 by assuming that a high-end consumer of each food group consume the average quantities of food consumed + 2 × standard deviations (SD). This is because statistically the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile value equals the average plus 2 × SD.

**Table S11: Estimated average adult dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (ng/day) in different countries**

Country	Total PBDEs intake (ng/day)	Year	References
UK	124	2015	This study
UK	413	2003-2004	(UK Food Standards Agency, 2006)
UK	107 (90.5 <sup>a</sup> )	2006	(Harrad et al., 2004)
USA	50	2009	(Schecter et al., 2009)
Belgium	23-48	2005	(Voorspoels et al., 2007)
Spain	75.4	2006	(Domingo et al., 2008)
Netherlands	55.3	2003-2004	(Bakker et al., 2008)
Sweden	49	2005	(Törnkvist et al., 2011)
China	9.9	2006	(Su et al., 2012)
Romania <sup>a</sup>	40	2007	(Dirtu and Covaci, 2010)

a) Based on median values of PBDEs and consumption of omnivorous diets only.

**Table S12: Estimated average and high-end <sup>a</sup> dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs (ng/day) for UK adults and toddlers**

	Toddlers		Adults	
	Average consumers	High-end consumers	Average consumers	High-end consumers
<b>Meat</b>	6.4	30	23	93
<b>Liver</b>	0.04	1.0	0.37	5.5
<b>Fish</b>	1.3	18	6.1	41
<b>Cheese</b>	0.18	0.6	0.32	1.1
<b>Hen Eggs</b>	0.79	3.4	1.9	8.8
<b>Total</b>	8.8	54	31	149

a) estimates of high end intakes were derived from food consumption figures in Table S7 by assuming that a high-end consumer of each food group consumes the average quantities of food consumed + 2 × standard deviations (SD). This is because statistically the 95th percentile value equals average plus 2 × SD.

**Table S13: Estimated average dietary intakes of  $\Sigma$ HBCDDs (ng/day) in different countries**

Country	Total HBCDDs intake (ng/day)	Year	References
UK	31	2015	This study
UK	413 <sup>a</sup>	2003-2004	(UK Food Standards Agency, 2006)
USA	16	2009	(Schechter et al., 2009)
Belgium <sup>a, b</sup>	69	2006-2007	(Goscinnny et al., 2011)
Spain	177	2009	(Eljarrat et al., 2014)
Netherlands	99-191	2002	( de Winter-Sorkina et al., 2013)
Sweden	10.2	2005	(Törnkvist et al., 2011)
	11	2010	(Sahlström et al., 2015)
China	27	2007	(Shi et al., 2009)
Romania <sup>a</sup>	77	2007	(Dirtu and Covaci, 2010)

a) assuming the body weight was 70 kg for daily intake estimation calculation.

164 **Table S14: Estimated exposure <sup>a, b</sup> (ng/kg bw/day) of a 1 month old infant to target FRs via**  
165 **ingestion of breast milk sampled in 2010**

	Mean	Median
<b><math>\alpha</math>-DBE-DBCH</b>	--	0.38
<b><math>\beta</math>-DBE-DBCH</b>	40	18
<b>EH-TBB</b>	--	0.29
<b>BTBPE</b>	--	0.29
<b>BEH-TEBP</b>	--	0.03
<b>DBDPE</b>	--	2.3
<b><math>\Sigma</math>EFRs</b>	--	47
<b>BDE 47 <sup>c</sup></b>	19.3	16.3
<b>BDE 100 <sup>c</sup></b>	2.7	2.2
<b>BDE 99 <sup>c</sup></b>	4.2	4.0
<b>BDE 154 <sup>c</sup></b>	1.7	1.3
<b>BDE 153 <sup>c</sup></b>	6.5	5.3
<b><math>\Sigma</math>tri-hexa BDEs <sup>c</sup></b>	34.9	29.4
<b>BDE 209 <sup>c</sup></b>	1.8	1.2
<b><math>\alpha</math>-HBCDD <sup>d</sup></b>	29	18
<b><math>\beta</math>-HBCDD <sup>d</sup></b>	1.8	1.8
<b><math>\gamma</math>-HBCDD <sup>d</sup></b>	4.2	3.3
<b><math>\Sigma</math>HBCDDs <sup>d</sup></b>	35	22

166 a) Values below LOQ were assumed to be 1/2 LOQ; b) Based on an average body weight of 4.14 kg and a  
167 daily lipid intake of 24.4 g lipid/day (U.S. EPA, 2002); c) Data from Abdallah and Harrad (2014); d) Data  
168 from Abdallah and Harrad (2011).

169

170 **Table S15: Estimated exposure <sup>a, b</sup> (ng/kg bw/day) of a 1 month old infant to target FRs via**  
171 **ingestion of breast milk sampled in 2014-15**

	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Median</b>
<b><math>\alpha</math>-DBE-DBCH</b>	3.9	3.5
<b><math>\beta</math>-DBE-DBCH</b>	15	7.1
<b>EH-TBB</b>	1.2	0.94
<b>BTBPE</b>	0.88	0.29
<b>BEH-TEBP</b>	1.5	0.03
<b>DBDPE</b>	--	2.3
<b><math>\Sigma</math>EFRs</b>	--	18
<b>BDE 28</b>	1.1	0.83
<b>BDE 47</b>	17	13
<b>BDE 100</b>	4.3	3.1
<b>BDE 99</b>	5.9	4.5
<b>BDE 154</b>	0.77	0.71
<b>BDE 153</b>	10	11
<b><math>\Sigma</math>tri-hexa BDEs</b>	38	34
<b>BDE 209</b>	0.65	0.65
<b><math>\alpha</math>-HBCDD</b>	12	11
<b><math>\beta</math>-HBCDD</b>	1.5	1.4
<b><math>\gamma</math>-HBCDD</b>	5.3	4.3
<b><math>\Sigma</math>HBCDDs</b>	19	17

172 a) based on levels in analysed human milk collected from 2014-2015 (n=10); values below LOQ were  
173 assumed to be 1/2 LOQ; b) Based on an average body weight of 4.14 kg and a daily lipid intake of 24.4 g  
174 lipid/day (U.S. EPA, 2002)

175 **Table S16: Assumed absorption fractions and human half-lives of individual target FRs**

<b>EFR</b>	<b>molecular weight</b>	<b>number of bromines</b>	<b>PBDE</b>	<b>molecular weight</b>	<b>number of bromines</b>	<b>human half-lives of PBDE (days)</b>	<b>PBDE absorption fraction</b>
<b>DBE-DBCH</b>	427.80	4	<b>BDE 47</b>	485.79	4	1096 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>c</sup>
<b>EH-TBB</b>	549.90	4	<b>BDE 47</b>	485.79	4	1096 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>c</sup>
<b>BTBPE</b>	687.60	6	<b>BDE 183</b>	722.48	7	94 <sup>b</sup>	0.90 <sup>d</sup>
<b>BEH-TEBP</b>	706.10	4	<b>BDE 183</b>	722.48	7	94 <sup>b</sup>	0.90 <sup>d</sup>
<b>DBDPE</b>	971.20	10	<b>BDE 209</b>	959.17	10	15 <sup>b</sup>	0.14 <sup>c</sup>

176 a) Geyer, H. J., Schramm, K.-W., Darnerud, P. O., Aune, M., Feicht, A., Fried, K. W., McDonald, T. a. (2004). Terminal elimination half-lives of the brominated  
177 flame retardants TBBPA , HBCD , and lower brominated PBDEs in humans. Organohalogen Compounds, 66, 3820–3825.; b) Thuresson, K., Höglund, P.,  
178 Hagmar, L., Sjödin, A., Bergman, Å. & Jakobsson, K. (2006). Apparent half-lives of hepta- to decabrominated diphenyl ethers in human serum as determined  
179 in occupationally exposed workers. Environmental Health Perspectives, 114(2), 176–181.; c) Abdallah, M. A.-E., Tilston, E., Harrad, S., & Collins, C. (2012). In  
180 vitro assessment of the bioaccessibility of brominated flame retardants in indoor dust using a colon extended model of the human gastrointestinal tract. Journal of  
181 Environmental Monitoring, 14, 3276–3283.; d) Lorber, M. (2008). Exposure of Americans to polybrominated diphenyl ethers. Journal of Exposure Science and  
182 Environmental Epidemiology, 18(1), 2–19.

183

184

185

186



**Table S17: Comparison of predicted adult body burdens arising from average and median daily exposures <sup>a</sup> to major target PBDEs with body burdens derived from observed concentrations in human milk sampled in 2014-15**

	<b>BDE 28</b>	<b>BDE 47</b>	<b>BDE 100</b>	<b>BDE 99</b>	<b>BDE 154</b>	<b>BDE 153</b>	<b>BDE 209</b>
<b>Average intake (ng/day) <sup>b</sup></b>							
Dust <sup>c</sup>	0.21	3.40	2.80	5.00	1.70	3.40	410
Diet <sup>d</sup>	2.50	45.54	11.40	54.98	7.07	5.11	6.20
Air <sup>c</sup>	0.40	2.20	0.51	1.50	0.09	0.16	4.20
<b>Median intake (ng/day) <sup>b</sup></b>							
Dust <sup>c</sup>	0.01	0.50	0.11	0.82	0.05	0.49	100
Diet <sup>d</sup>	2.52	35.99	8.27	37.68	6.34	3.94	6.28
Air <sup>c</sup>	0.04	0.31	0.03	0.19	0.01	0.02	1.40
<b>Average predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>							
Dust	0.03	0.18	0.13	0.18	0.09	0.58	0.07
Diet	0.41	2.39	0.53	1.93	0.39	0.87	0.001
Air	0.07	0.20	0.04	0.13	0.02	0.06	0.01
Sum	0.51	2.76	0.71	2.24	0.50	1.50	0.08
<b>Median predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>							
Dust	0.002	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.003	0.08	0.02
Diet	0.41	1.89	0.39	1.32	0.35	0.67	0.001
Air	0.01	0.03	0.002	0.02	0.001	0.01	0.002
Sum	0.42	1.94	0.39	1.37	0.36	0.76	0.02
<b>Observed body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>							
Average	0.19	2.8	0.73	1.0	0.13	1.7	<0.22
Median	0.14	2.2	0.53	0.77	0.12	1.8	<0.22

a) Values below LOQ were assumed to be 1/2 LOQ; b) Based on average adult dust ingestion rate of 20 mg/day (Jones-Otazo et al., 2005) and average inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day (Currado and Harrad, 1998) and average adult weight of 70 kg; c) Data from Tao et al. (2016); d) Estimated from the average consumption rates calculated for each food group (Food Standards Agency, 2014), the average and median FRs concentrations in this study were used for calculation of average and median dietary intakes, separately.

**Table S18: Comparison of predicted adult body burdens arising from average and median daily exposures <sup>a</sup> to HBCDDs with body burdens derived from observed levels in human milk sampled in 2014-15**


	$\alpha$ -HBCDD	$\beta$ -HBCDD	$\gamma$ -HBCDD
<b>Average intake (ng/day)</b>			
Dust <sup>e</sup>	41.00	19.00	80.00
Diet <sup>c,f</sup>	16.77	5.40	7.43
Diet <sup>d</sup>	203.44	105.43	112.24
Air <sup>e</sup>	0.79	0.30	4.80
<b>Median intake (ng/day)</b>			
Dust <sup>e</sup>	9.20	2.70	3.00
Diet <sup>c,f</sup>	18.18	5.41	8.51
Diet <sup>d</sup>	203.44	105.43	112.24
Air <sup>e</sup>	0.03	0.02	2.00
<b>Average predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>			
Dust	0.51	0.07	0.26
Diet <sup>c</sup>	0.21	0.02	0.02
Diet <sup>d</sup>	2.55	0.38	0.37
Air	0.01	0.001	0.02
Sum <sup>c</sup>	0.73	0.09	0.31
Sum <sup>d</sup>	3.07	0.45	0.65
<b>Median predicted body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>			
Dust	0.12	0.01	0.01
Diet <sup>c</sup>	0.34	0.03	0.05
Diet <sup>d</sup>	2.55	0.38	0.37
Air	0.0004	0.0001	0.01
Sum <sup>c</sup>	0.34	0.03	0.05
Sum <sup>d</sup>	2.66	0.39	0.39
<b>Observed body burdens (ng/g lw)</b>			
Average	2.10	0.25	0.90
Median	1.90	0.23	0.73

a) Values below LOQ were assumed to be 1/2 LOQ; b) Based on average adult dust ingestion rate of 20 mg/day (Jones-Otazo et al., 2005) and average inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day (Currado and Harrad, 1998) and average adult weight of 70 kg; c) Values based on food samples collected in 2015 in this study; d) Values based on food samples in a previous study (UK Food Standards Agency, 2006); e) Data from Tao et al. (2016); f) Estimated from the average consumption rates calculated for each food group (Food Standards Agency, 2014), the average and median FRs concentrations in this study were used for calculation of average and median dietary intakes, separately.

208 **Figure S1: Average concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in food samples from this study compared with two UK previous studies (UK**  
209 **Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009)**

210

211 **Figure S2: Relative contributions of tri-hexa-BDEs and BDE 209 to  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in UK food samples in this study and two**  
212 **previous studies**



214 **Figure S3: Average concentrations of BDE209 in food samples from this study compared with two UK previous studies (UK**  
215 **Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009)**

216

217


218

219


220 **Figure S4: Average concentrations of  $\Sigma$ tri-hexa BDEs in food samples from this study compared with two UK previous studies**  
221 **(UK Food Standards Agency, 2006; UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009)**

222

223 **Figure S5: Relative contributions of EFRs, PBDEs and HBCDDs to  $\Sigma$ FRs in UK food samples**



228 **Figure S6: Average relative contributions of EFRs, PBDEs and HBCDDs to  $\Sigma$ FRs in UK human milk**



229

230

231

232


233

234

235



236 **Figure S7: Contributions to average total dietary exposures for EFRs from different groups of UK food for toddlers and**  
237 **adults**



## 241    **References**

- 242    Abdallah, M.A.-E., Harrad, S., 2014. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in UK human milk:  
243        implications for infant exposure and relationship to external exposure. *Environ. Int.* 63,  
244        130–6. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.009
- 245    Abdallah, M.A.-E., Harrad, S., 2011. Tetrabromobisphenol-A, hexabromocyclododecane and its  
246        degradation products in UK human milk: Relationship to external exposure. *Environ. Int.* 37,  
247        443–448. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2010.11.008
- 248    Abdallah, M.A.-E., Harrad, S., Ibarra, C., Diamond, M., Melymuk, L., Robson, M., Covaci, A.,  
249        2008. Hexabromocyclododecanes In Indoor Dust From Canada, the United Kingdom, and  
250        the United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 459–464. doi:10.1021/es702378t
- 251    Abdallah, M.A.-E., Tilston, E., Harrad, S., Collins, C., 2012. In vitro assessment of the  
252        bioaccessibility of brominated flame retardants in indoor dust using a colon extended model  
253        of the human gastrointestinal tract. *J. Environ. Monit.* 14, 3276–3283.  
254        doi:10.1039/c2em30690e
- 255    Abdallah, M.A., Harrad, S., 2011. Tetrabromobisphenol-A, hexabromocyclododecane and its  
256        degradation products in UK human milk: Relationship to external exposure. *Environ. Int.* 37,  
257        443–448. doi:DOI 10.1016/j.envint.2010.11.008
- 258    Bakker, M.I., de Winter-Sorkina, R., de Mul, A., Boon, P.E., van Donkersgoed, G., van Klaveren,  
259        J.D., Baumann, B. a, Hijman, W.C., van Leeuwen, S.P.J., de Boer, J., Zeilmaker, M.J., 2008.  
260        Dietary intake and risk evaluation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in The Netherlands.  
261        *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 52, 204–216. doi:10.1002/mnfr.200700112
- 262    Cao, Z., Xu, F., Li, W., Sun, J., Shen, M., Su, X., Feng, J., Yu, G., Covaci, A., 2015. Seasonal  
263        and particle size-dependent variations of HBCDs in settled dust: implications for sampling.  
264        *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 150824084448002. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b01717
- 265    Currado, G.M., Harrad, S., 1998. Comparison of polychlorinated biphenyl concentrations in  
266        indoor and outdoor air and the potential significance of inhalation as a human exposure  
267        pathway. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32, 3043–3047. doi:10.1021/es970735c
- 268    Dietary intake of brominated flame retardants by the Dutch population - National Institute for  
269        Public Health and the Environment  
270        [http://www.rivm.nl/en/Documents\\_and\\_publications/Scientific/Reports/2003/december/Die](http://www.rivm.nl/en/Documents_and_publications/Scientific/Reports/2003/december/Dietary_intake_of_brominated_flame_retardants_by_the_Dutch_population?sp=cml2bXE9ZmFsc2U7c2VhcmNoYmFzZT0zNDI1MDtyaXZtcT1mYWxzZTs=&pagenr=3426)  
271        [tary\\_intake\\_of\\_brominated\\_flame\\_retardants\\_by\\_the\\_Dutch\\_population?sp=cml2bXE9Zm](http://www.rivm.nl/en/Documents_and_publications/Scientific/Reports/2003/december/Dietary_intake_of_brominated_flame_retardants_by_the_Dutch_population?sp=cml2bXE9ZmFsc2U7c2VhcmNoYmFzZT0zNDI1MDtyaXZtcT1mYWxzZTs=&pagenr=3426)  
272        [Fsc2U7c2VhcmNoYmFzZT0zNDI1MDtyaXZtcT1mYWxzZTs=&pagenr=3426](http://www.rivm.nl/en/Documents_and_publications/Scientific/Reports/2003/december/Dietary_intake_of_brominated_flame_retardants_by_the_Dutch_population?sp=cml2bXE9ZmFsc2U7c2VhcmNoYmFzZT0zNDI1MDtyaXZtcT1mYWxzZTs=&pagenr=3426) (accessed  
273        1.15.16).
- 274    Dirtu, A.C., Covaci, A., 2010. Estimation of Daily Intake of Organohalogenated Contaminants  
275        from Food Consumption and Indoor Dust Ingestion in Romania. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44,  
276        6297–6304. doi:10.1021/es101233z

277 Domingo, J.L., Martí-Cid, R., Castell, V., Llobet, J.M., 2008. Human exposure to PBDEs  
278 through the diet in Catalonia, Spain: Temporal trend: A review of recent literature on  
279 dietary PBDE intake. *Toxicology* 248, 25–32. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2008.03.006

280 Driffield, M., Harmer, N., Bradley, E., Fernandes, A.R., Rose, M., Mortimer, D., Dicks, P., 2008.  
281 Determination of brominated flame retardants in food by LC–MS/MS: diastereoisomer-  
282 specific hexabromocyclododecane and tetrabromobisphenol A. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A*  
283 25, 895–903. doi:10.1080/02652030701882999

284 Eljarrat, E., Gorga, M., Gasser, M., Díaz-Ferrero, J., Barceló, D., 2014. Dietary Exposure  
285 Assessment of Spanish Citizens to Hexabromocyclododecane through the Diet. *J. Agric.*  
286 *Food Chem.* 62, 2462–2468. doi:10.1021/jf405007x

287 Fernandes, A., Smith, F., Petch, R., Panton, S., Carr, M., Rose, M., Branch, E.C., House, A.,  
288 Number, C.C., Harmannij, K. and Miller, M., 2009. Fernandes, A., Smith, F., Petch, R.,  
289 Panton, S., Carr, M., Rose, M., Branch, E.C., House, A., Number, C.C., Harm, M., 2009.  
290 Investigation of the Occurrence of Brominated Contaminants in Selected Foods.

291 Fernandes, A., Smith, F., Petch, R., Panton, S., Carr, M., Mortimer, D., Tlustos, C., Rose, M.,  
292 2010. The Emerging BFRs Hexabromobenzene (HBB), Bis (246-tribromophenoxy) ethane  
293 (BTBPE), and Decabromodiphenylethane (DBDPE) in UK and Irish Foods. *Proc. BFR*  
294 2010, Kyoto, Japan 90028.

295 Geyer, H.J., Schramm, K.-W., Darnerud, P.O., Aune, M., Feicht, A., Fried, K.W., Henkelmann,  
296 B., Lenoir, D., Schmid, P., Mcdonald, T. a, 2004. Terminal elimination half-lives of the  
297 brominated flame retardants TBBPA , HBCD , and lower brominated PBDEs in humans.  
298 *Organohalogen Compd.* 66, 3820–3825.

299 Goscinnny, S., Vandevijvere, S., Maleki, M., Overmeire, I. Van, Windal, I., Hanot, V., Blaude,  
300 M.-N., Vleminckx, C., Loco, J. Van, 2011. Dietary intake of hexabromocyclododecane  
301 diastereoisomers ( $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -HBCD) in the Belgian adult population. *Chemosphere* 84,  
302 279–288. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.04.048

303 Harrad, S., Wijesekera, R., Hunter, S., Halliwell, C., Baker, R., 2004. Preliminary assessment of  
304 UK human dietary and inhalation exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers. *Environ. Sci.*  
305 *Technol.* 38, 2345–2350. doi:10.1021/es0301121

306 He, M.J., Luo, X.J., Chen, M.Y., Sun, Y.X., Chen, S.J., Mai, B.X., 2012. Bioaccumulation of  
307 polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenyl ethane in fish from a river system  
308 in a highly industrialized area, South China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 419, 109–115.  
309 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.12.035

310 Jones-Otazo, H.A., Clarke, J.P., Diamond, M.L., Archbold, J.A., Ferguson, G., Harner, T.,  
311 Richardson, G.M., Ryan, J.J., Wilford, B., 2005. Is house dust the missing exposure  
312 pathway for PBDEs? An analysis of the urban fate and human exposure to PBDEs. *Environ.*  
313 *Sci. Technol.* 39, 5121–5130. doi:10.1021/Es048267b

314 Labunska, I., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Eulaers, I., Covaci, A., Tao, F., Wang, M., Santillo, D.,

- 315 Johnston, P., Harrad, S., 2015. Human dietary intake of organohalogen contaminants at e-  
316 waste recycling sites in Eastern China. *Environ. Int.* 74, 209–220.  
317 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.020>
- 318 Labunska, I., Harrad, S., Santillo, D., Johnston, P., Yun, L., 2013. Domestic duck eggs: An  
319 important pathway of human exposure to PBDEs around E-waste and scrap metal  
320 processing areas in Eastern China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 9258–9266.  
321 doi:10.1021/es402300m
- 322 Law, K., Halldorson, T., Danell, R., Stern, G., Gewurtz, S., Alaee, M., Marvin, C., Whittle, M.,  
323 Tomy, G., 2006. BIOACCUMULATION AND TROPHIC TRANSFER OF SOME  
324 BROMINATED FLAME RETARDANTS IN A LAKE WINNIPEG (CANADA) FOOD  
325 WEB. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 2177. doi:10.1897/05-500R.1
- 326 Lorber, M., 2008. Exposure of Americans to polybrominated diphenyl ethers. *J. Expo. Sci.*  
327 *Environ. Epidemiol.* 18, 2–19. doi:DOI 10.1038/sj.jes.7500572
- 328 Munschy, C., Héas-Moisán, K., Tixier, C., Boulesteix, L., Morin, J., 2011. Classic and novel  
329 brominated flame retardants (BFRs) in common sole (*Solea solea* L.) from main nursery  
330 zones along the French coasts. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409, 4618–27.  
331 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.07.021
- 332 National Diet and Nutrition Survey: results from Years 1 to 4 (combined) of the rolling  
333 programme for 2008 and 2009 to 2011 and 2012 - Publications - GOV.UK [WWW  
334 Document], n.d. URL [https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
335 [survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
336 [to-2011-and-2012](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012) (accessed 1.21.16).
- 337 Perelló, G., Martí-Cid, R., Castell, V., Llobet, J.M., Domingo, J.L., 2009. Concentrations of  
338 polybrominated diphenyl ethers, hexachlorobenzene and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons  
339 in various foodstuffs before and after cooking. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 47, 709–15.  
340 doi:10.1016/j.fct.2008.12.030
- 341 Public Health England and the Food Standards Agency, 2014. National Diet and Nutrition  
342 Survey: results from Years 1 to 4 (combined) of the rolling programme for 2008 and 2009  
343 to 2011 and 2012 - Publications - GOV.UK.  
344 [https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
345 [years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-diet-and-nutrition-survey-results-from-years-1-to-4-combined-of-the-rolling-programme-for-2008-and-2009-to-2011-and-2012)  
346 (accessed 1.21.16).
- 347 Rose, M., Fernandes, A., Mortimer, D., Baskaran, C., 2015. Contamination of fish in UK fresh  
348 water systems: Risk assessment for human consumption. *Chemosphere* 122, 183–9.  
349 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.11.046
- 350 Sahlström, L.M.O., Sellström, U., de Wit, C.A., Lignell, S., Darnerud, P.O., 2015. Estimated  
351 intakes of brominated flame retardants via diet and dust compared to internal concentrations  
352 in a Swedish mother-toddler cohort. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 218, 422–32.  
353 doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.03.011

- 354 Schecter, A., Haffner, D., Colacino, J., Patel, K., Päpke, O., Opel, M., Birnbaum, L., 2009.  
 355 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) and Hexabromocyclodecane (HBCD) in  
 356 Composite U.S. Food Samples. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 118, 357–362.  
 357 doi:10.1289/ehp.0901345
- 358 Shi, T., Chen, S.J., Luo, X.J., Zhang, X.L., Tang, C.M., Luo, Y., Ma, Y.J., Wu, J.P., Peng, X.Z.,  
 359 Mai, B.X., 2009. Occurrence of brominated flame retardants other than polybrominated  
 360 diphenyl ethers in environmental and biota samples from southern China. *Chemosphere* 74,  
 361 910–916. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.10.047
- 362 Shi, Z.-X., Wu, Y.-N., Li, J.-G., Zhao, Y.-F., Feng, J.-F., 2009. Dietary Exposure Assessment of  
 363 Chinese Adults and Nursing Infants to Tetrabromobisphenol-A and  
 364 Hexabromocyclododecanes: Occurrence Measurements in Foods and Human Milk. *Environ.*  
 365 *Sci. Technol.* 43, 4314–4319. doi:10.1021/es8035626
- 366 Su, G., Liu, X., Gao, Z., Xian, Q., Feng, J., Zhang, X., Giesy, J.P., Wei, S., Liu, H., Yu, H., 2012.  
 367 Dietary intake of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls  
 368 (PCBs) from fish and meat by residents of Nanjing, China. *Environ. Int.* 42, 138–143.  
 369 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2011.05.015
- 370 Tao, F., Abdallah, M.A.-E., Harrad, S., 2016. Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK  
 371 indoor air and dust: evidence for replacement of PBDEs by emerging flame retardants?  
 372 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 13052–13061.i
- 373 Thuresson, K., Höglund, P., Hagmar, L., Sjödin, A., Bergman, Å., Jakobsson, K., 2006.  
 374 Apparent half-lives of hepta- to decabrominated diphenyl ethers in human serum as  
 375 determined in occupationally exposed workers. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 114, 176–181.  
 376 doi:10.1289/ehp.8350
- 377 Törnkvist, A., Glynn, A., Aune, M., Darnerud, P.O., Ankarberg, E.H., 2011. PCDD/F, PCB,  
 378 PBDE, HBCD and chlorinated pesticides in a Swedish market basket from 2005 – Levels  
 379 and dietary intake estimations. *Chemosphere* 83, 193–199.  
 380 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.042
- 381 UK Food Standards Agency. Brominated chemicals: UK dietary intakes; 10/2006;  
 382 <http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/fsis1006.pdf>, accessed 10/10/2006.
- 383 UK Food and Environment Research Agency, 2009. Investigation of the Occurrence of  
 384 Brominated Contaminants in Selected Foods.  
 385 [https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-food.pdf)  
 386 [food.pdf](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/research-report-brominated-contaminants-food.pdf).
- 387 USEPA, N., 2002. Child-specific exposure factors handbook.
- 388 USEPA, U., 1997. Exposure factors handbook. Office of Research and Development,  
 389 Washington.
- 390 Voorspoels, S., Covaci, A., Neels, H., Schepens, P., 2007. Dietary PBDE intake: A market-

391 basket study in Belgium. *Environ. Int.* 33, 93–97. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2006.08.003

392 Zheng, X.-B., Wu, J.-P., Luo, X.-J., Zeng, Y.-H., She, Y.-Z., Mai, B.-X., 2012. Halogenated  
393 flame retardants in home-produced eggs from an electronic waste recycling region in South  
394 China: Levels, composition profiles, and human dietary exposure assessment. *Environ. Int.*  
395 45, 122–128. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.04.006

396

**Highlights:**

- First investigation of EFRs in UK human milk.
- Estimated dietary exposures to EFRs comparable to dietary intakes of PBDEs.
- $\beta$ -DBE-DBCH most abundant EFR in food and human milk.
- No significant change in PBDEs and HBCDD in human milk between 2010 and 2014-15
- Nursing infant exposure to EFRs exceeds adult and toddler dietary intakes.
- Observed body burdens of EFRs match closely those predicted via PK modelling