UNIVERSITY BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Impact of disease stage and aetiology on survival in hepatocellular carcinoma

Johnson, Philip; Berhane, Sarah; Kagebayashi, Chiaki; Satomura, Shinji; Teng, Mabel; Fox, Richard; Yeo, Winnie; Mo, Frankie; Lai, Paul; Chan, Stephen L; Tada, Toshifumi; Toyoda, Hidenori; Kumada, Takashi

DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2016.422

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Johnson, P, Berhane, S, Kagebayashi, C, Satomura, S, Teng, M, Fox, R, Yeo, W, Mo, F, Lai, P, Chan, SL, Tada, T, Toyoda, H & Kumada, T 2017, 'Impact of disease stage and aetiology on survival in hepatocellular carcinoma: implications for surveillance', *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 116, no. 4, pp. 441-447. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2016.422

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement: Checked 01/03/2017

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

BJC
OPEN

British Journal of Cancer (2017) 116, 441–447 | doi: 10.1038/bjc.2016.422

Keywords: surveillance; geographical variation; hepatocellular carcinoma; hepatitis B virus; aetiology; hepatitis C virus

Impact of disease stage and aetiology on survival in hepatocellular carcinoma: implications for surveillance

Philip Johnson^{*,1,2}, Sarah Berhane¹, Chiaki Kagebayashi³, Shinji Satomura³, Mabel Teng⁴, Richard Fox⁵, Winnie Yeo⁶, Frankie Mo⁶, Paul Lai⁷, Stephen L Chan⁶, Toshifumi Tada⁸, Hidenori Toyoda⁸ and Takashi Kumada⁸

¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer Medicine, University of Liverpool and Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Sherrington Building, Ashton Street, Liverpool, Merseyside L69 3GA, UK; ²The Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Clatterbridge Road, Bebington, Wirral CH63 4JY, UK; ³Department of Molecular Biochemistry and Clinical Investigation, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan; ⁴Department of Oncology, Addenbrooke's Hospital, University of Cambridge, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK; ⁵Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit, School of Cancer Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; ⁶State Key Laboratory in Oncology in South China, Sir YK Pao Centre for Cancer, Department of Clinical Oncology, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Cancer Institute, Hong Kong, China; ⁷Department of Surgery, Prince of Wales Hospital, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China and ⁸Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Ogaki Municipal Hospital, 4-86 Minaminokawa-cho, Ogaki, Gifu 503-8052, Japan

Background: Variation in survival in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has been attributed to different aetiologies or disease stages at presentation. While international guidelines recommend surveillance of high-risk groups to permit early diagnosis and curative treatment, the evidence that surveillance decreases disease-specific mortality is weak.

Methods: We compared HCC survival figures from Japan (n = 1174) and Hong Kong (n = 1675) over similar time periods (Japan 2000–2013, Hong Kong, China 2003–2014). The former has an intensive national surveillance programme, while the latter has none. We also analysed changes in survival in Japan over a 50-year period including data from before and after institution of a national HCC surveillance programme.

Results: In Japan, over 75% of cases are currently detected by surveillance, whereas in Hong Kong <20% of cases are detected presymptomatically. Median survival was 52 months in Japan and 17.8 months in Hong Kong; this survival advantage persisted after allowance for lead-time bias. Sixty-two per cent of Japanese patients had early disease at diagnosis and 63% received curative treatment. The comparable figures for Hong Kong were 31.7% and 44.1%, respectively. These differences could not be accounted for by disease aetiology, and patients in Hong Kong who were detected at an early stage had a similar survival to the analogous patients in Japan.

Conclusions: The variation in survival is largely accounted for by stage at diagnosis, which in turn relates to the intensity of surveillance programmes and the consequent variation in curative therapeutic options.

A striking feature of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the wide global variation in incidence, ranging from $<3/100\,000$ in Northern Europe to $>30/100\,000$ in parts of Africa and China

(Parkin *et al*, 2005). In all regions, most HCC arises in the setting of chronic liver disease (Llovet, 2005). Equally striking is the wide variation in reported median survival figures ranging from <3

*Correspondence: Professor P Johnson; E-mail: Philip.Johnson@liverpool.ac.uk

Received 19 August 2016; revised 8 November 2016; accepted 28 November 2016; published online 12 January 2017



5(**C**)

months in parts of Africa to >3 years in Taiwan and Japan (Chen *et al*, 2006; Hsu *et al*, 2010).

Recognising the crucial importance of early diagnosis for the implementation of potentially curative therapy, most international guidelines suggest that patients at high HCC risk (Omata et al, 2010; Bruix and Sherman, 2011; For Research, EO and Liver, EAFTSOT, 2012; Song et al, 2012) are screened by six monthly ultrasound (US) examinations with or without the serum tumour marker α -fetoprotein (AFP). However, systematic reviews conclude that the evidence that surveillance decreases disease-specific mortality is weak (Kansagara et al, 2014) and the US National Cancer Institute concludes that 'surveillance of persons at elevated risk does not result in a decrease in mortality from hepatocellular cancer' (NCI). The only randomised trial showing benefit from surveillance (Zhang et al, 2004) had significant methodological limitations (Kansagara et al, 2014). Furthermore, although those who are detected within a surveillance programme tend to have 'earlier' disease and survive longer, the possibility that this is attributable to lead-time bias is difficult to exclude (Singal et al, 2014; Sherman, 2014b). However, it is recognised that a formal randomised trial of surveillance to provide the relevant evidence base is now impossible, not least because properly informed patients would not consent to recruitment to a control, unscreened arm, particularly in the light of international clinical guidelines (McCaughan, 2013; Kansagara et al, 2014; Singal et al, 2014; Sherman, 2014b). On the basis of this lack of evidence, most Western countries have chosen not to implement a national surveillance programme and it has been left to individual hospitals or clinicians to undertake surveillance resulting in very variable practice (Dalton-Fitzgerald et al, 2014; Joshi et al, 2014). Thus, patients are caught between guidelines written by HCC 'experts' who strongly support surveillance and funders who are reluctant to act on these guidelines in the absence of a firm conventional evidence base, while both sides recognise that such an evidence base is impossible to acquire.

In an attempt to provide some evidence as to the potential benefits of surveillance for HCC without a formal randomised trial, we have compared HCC survival rates in two national patient cohorts both with advanced and sophisticated health-care systems. One of these, Japan, has a mature, intensive, national programme of surveillance for HCC, whereas the second, Hong Kong, has not introduced such a programme.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study involved patient level data from HCC centres in Japan and Hong Kong, China. Part of the Japan cohort has previously been reported by Toyoda *et al* (2006b), whereas the Chinese cohort comprised consecutive patients drawn from the North West Territories, Hong Kong. We also had access to historical data pertaining to changes occurring in the same region of Japan over the period between 1969 and 2013 in terms of age, survival, 90-day postoperative mortality, tumour size and stage (as assessed by the Japanese Integrated Staging (JIS) score) with which to assess the impact of the introduction of surveillance in 1980.

Diagnosis, tumour characteristics and assessment of survival. Patients were diagnosed on the basis of characteristic radiology according to international guidelines (For Research, EO and Liver, EAFTSOT, 2012) or histological examination of tumour tissue. Survival was calculated from date of diagnosis. Parameters recorded common to both cohorts are shown in Table 1. Aetiology was classified as hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) related or 'other', the latter including alcoholic and other forms of chronic liver disease (Table 1). Where aetiology was mixed, typically HCV and alcohol, the former was recorded. **Treatment and staging.** Japanese patients were staged according to the JIS score (Kudo *et al*, 2003). In Hong Kong (China), treatment was decided in multidisciplinary meetings. Liver transplantation was not available in the Hong Kong or Japanese centres. Both units had ready access to 'state-of-the-art' treatments, which was not influenced by cost considerations. The Milan Criteria (three tumours <3 cm or one tumour <5 cm; Mazzaferro *et al*, 1996) was used to classify patients as having early (potentially curative disease) or advanced disease (Singal *et al*, 2014). Resection, radiofrequency ablation and percutaneous ethanol injection were considered potentially curative treatments. All other treatment options were considered palliative.

Surveillance policy. Mass surveillance was introduced in Japan in 1980. The approach adopted in the Ogaki prefecture, described here, is typical of the whole of Japan (The Japan Society of Hepatology, 2010a, b; Kudo *et al*, 2016). The population above the age of 50 years is offered regular screening for chronic viral hepatitis. All patients with cirrhosis or severe fibrosis are followed-up with US examination every 3–6 months; no patients are excluded on the grounds of advanced liver disease/liver failure. Regular monitoring of tumour markers (AFP, AFP-L3% and desgamma-carboxy prothrombin) is also performed every 3–6 months. When an increase of tumour markers is observed, additional imaging examinations are performed. In Hong Kong, China there was no formal surveillance programme.

Statistical methods. Statistical analysis was undertaken using Stata IC 12 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Survival curves were generated by the Kaplan–Meier method. Univariable Cox regression analysis was used to identify significant prognostic variables in each of the cohorts. Variables analysed were age, gender, albumin (g1⁻¹), AFP (ng ml⁻¹), bilirubin (μ mol1⁻¹), treatment (curative/palliative), tumour size (cm), tumour type (solitary or multifocal), vascular invasion, aetiology (HCV/HBV/ HCV + HBV, other) and screening status. A log transformation was made to AFP and bilirubin because of extreme skewness. To make allowance for lead-time bias introduced by systematic surveillance, we applied the method of Duffy *et al* (2008). Using forward selection, a multivariable Cox proportional hazards model was built to explain variation in survival as related to clinical features and aetiological factors.

RESULTS

Comparing similar time periods (Japan 2000-2013; Hong Kong, China 2003-2014), median survival in Japan was 52 months compared with 17.8 months in Hong Kong (Figure 1A). This difference in survival was maintained even after allowing for leadtime bias (Figure 1B). By all measures of disease extent and stage (tumour size <3 cm, multifocality, vascular invasion as well as the Milan Criteria), the Japanese cohort had much earlier disease at diagnosis (Table 2). However, within that cohort of Hong Kong patients who were detected at an early stage (i.e., within the Milan Criteria), the median survival was actually significantly better than for the analogous Japanese group (Figure 1C), although among those with good liver function (Child-Pugh grade 'A') survival figures were virtually identical (Figure 1D) as were results among those who underwent surgical resection or who were classified as receiving curative theory (data not shown). Comparing the Kaplan-Meier survival curves for late-stage patients with tumour sizes of over 5 cm (outside the Milan criteria) showed that there was no statistically significant difference (P = 0.2068) between the Japanese and Chinese patients (Supplementary Figure 1).

Changes in survival following introduction of surveillance programme in Japan. In the latest cohort (2000–2013), 78% of

	Japa	Japan		
Ν	260	2605		
Accrual period	1966–1999, n = 1431	2000–2013, n = 1174	2003–2014	
% Ethnicity	>95% (Oriental)	>95% (Oriental)	>95% (Oriental)	
Age (years) Median (IQR) Mean (±s.d.)	63 (56, 69) 62.6 (±9.5)	70 (63, 76) 68.8 (±9.5)	59 (52, 68) 59.6 (±11.4)	
% Male	75.8, n=1431	70.8, <i>n</i> = 1174	84.6, n = 1675	
% Aetiology HCV HBV HCV + HBV Other ^a	n=1326 48.8 21.6 0.9 28.7	n = 1174 66.4 15.7 0.9 17	n=1112 6.7 80.5 0.5 12.2	
Liver function and cancer biomarkers	;			
AFP (ng ml ⁻¹), median (IQR) Bilirubin (μmol l ⁻¹), median (IQR) Albumin (gl ⁻¹), median (IQR) % Child score (A:B:C)	68.0 (14, 1130), n = 1216 17.1 (10.3, 29.1), n = 1404 32 (27, 36), n = 1375 46.0:39.1:15.0, n = 1429	21.3 (6.3, 208.5), n = 1156 13.7 (10.3, 22.2), n = 1168 36 (32, 40), n = 1168 70.2 : 22.5 : 7.3, n = 1174	107 (9, 2869), n = 1675 15 (10, 26), n = 1675 38 (34, 42), n = 1675 75.9 : 20.2 : 3.9, n = 1675	
Tumour characteristics and disease s	tage			
% Multifocal Tumour size <3 cm (%) 3-5 cm (%) 5.1-10 cm (%) > 10 cm (%) % Vascular invasion (presence) % HCC detected through surveillance % within the Milan Criteria Treatment (% curative) Survival	67.4, n = 1431 $n = 1043$ 44.9 27.1 20.9 7.1 $42.4, n = 1341$ $59.50, n = 1312$ $35.2, n = 1430$ $29.3, n = 1431$	43.8, n = 1165 n = 1164 52.8 22.3 18.9 6 16, n = 1163 77.60, n = 1172 62, n = 1159 62.8, n = 1170	45.4, n = 1675 n = 1600 25.3 25.6 25.9 23.2 26.7, n = 1675 NA 31.7, n = 1624 44.1, n = 1675	
Median overall survival (months)	16.6, n = 1430	52. $n = 1174$	17.8. n = 1672	

Japanese HCC cases were detected by surveillance. The high current median survival seen in Japan was preceded by increasing survival rates over several decades (Figure 1E). Thus, between the years of 1966 and 1980 when there was no surveillance programme in place, median survival in Japan was <3 months (Toyoda *et al*, 2006a). Survival improved over each following decade, from 8.8 months between 1980 and 1989 to the most recent figure of over 4 years (2000–2013; Figure 1E). The median age at diagnosis also increased each decade, from 60.5 years before the initiation of a surveillance programme to 70 years during the most recent analysis period (Table 3). In parallel with these changes, there was a shift towards earlier disease stage with the proportion of patients with stage 0/1 (the earliest stages according to the JIS), rising from 3.4% between 1966 and 1979 to 53.4% between 2000 and 2013 (Table 3).

In the Japanese data set, a clear distinction had been recorded between those detected within the formal surveillance programme and the remaining 'unscreened' patients. Those who were screened had an earlier disease stage compared with those who were unscreened. For example, percentages for receiving curative treatment, within the Milan Criteria, tumour sizes <3 cm, multifocality and vascular invasion were 40.6%, 27.7%, 22.3%, 62.5% and 41.7%, respectively, for those who were unscreened compared with 69.1%, 71.8%, 61.5%, 38.4% and 8.7%, respectively, for screened patients. We therefore applied the previously referenced statistical method to assess the contribution of leadand length-time bias to this cohort. This showed that the difference between the screened and unscreened cohorts decreased from 46.3 to 19.8 months but remained highly significant (P < 0.0001; Figure 1F and Supplementary Figure 2). Median survival in other subgroups are summarised in Table 3.

www.bjcancer.com | DOI:10.1038/bjc.2016.422

Role of aetiology and surveillance in HCC survival. Direct comparison between Japan and Hong Kong is complicated by major differences in aetiology, with Japanese patients being predominately HCV related and Hong Kong patients HBV related. Despite this in both aetiologies, patients in Japan clearly survived longer than those in Hong Kong (Supplementary Figures 3a and b) and multivariable analysis (Table 4) showed that tumour-related factors, such as vascular invasion, AFP and tumour size, but not aetiology, accounted for these differences (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). When disease stage factors are accounted for in a multivariable Cox regression analysis, screening status variable becomes insignificant (P > 0.05), indicating that any differences in survival between the two groups (screened and unscreened) is accounted for by disease stage. All univariable analysis is shown in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION

The stage of HCC at presentation was the most important factor influencing survival. Patients with early-stage disease are more likely to receive potentially curative therapy and survive longer. When we applied a statistical method that adjusts for lead and length-time bias, significant benefit remained among the screened population in Japan (log-rank test, P < 0.0001). This method has limitations in that it is not specific for HCC but other approaches that make allowance for lead-time bias, using HCC-specific features, have arrived at similar conclusions. Specifically Mourad *et al* (2014), found the same using a modelling approach and



Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier curves showing survival. (A) In the Japanese and Chinese cohorts, (B) in the Japanese and Chinese cohorts after lead-time bias, (C) in Japanese and Chinese patients who were within the Milan criteria, (D) in Japanese and Chinese patients who were within the Milan Criteria and Child–Pugh A, (E) in Japan over the decades (1966–1979, 1980–1989, 1990–1999 and 2000–2013) and (F) survival according to screening status (after lead-time bias adjustment) in the Japanese (and Chinese) cohorts.

Table 2. Percentage of patients with curative treatments, early-stage BCLC and within the Milan Criteria						
Cohort	% screened	% curative	% within Milan Criteria	% tumour size <3 cm	% multifocal	% vascular invasion
Japan 1966–1979	12.9 (n = 132)	3.3 (n = 150)	6.0 (n = 149)	12.5 (n = 16)	93.3 (n = 150)	86.3 (n = 73)
Japan 1980–1989	53.1 (n = 375)	16.2 (n=476)	20.0 (n = 476)	30.2 (n = 291)	75.2 (n = 476)	62.9 (n = 464)
Japan 1990–1999	70.1 (n = 805)	41.9 (n = 805)	50.1 (n = 805)	51.4 (n=736)	57.9 (n = 805)	26.6 (n = 804)
Japan 2000–2013	77.6 (n = 1172)	62.8* (n = 1170)	62.0* (n = 1159)	52.8* (n = 1164)	43.8** (n = 1165)	16.0* (n = 1163)
Hong Kong	NA	44.1* (n = 1675)	31.7* (n = 1624)	25.3* (n = 1600)	45.4** (n = 1675)	26.7* (n = 1675)
Abbreviation: $BCLC = Barcelona-Clinic Liver Cancer. Note: Comparing Japan (2000–2013) and Hong Kong, China. *P < 0.0001 and **P = 0.4.$						

www.bjcancer.com	DOI:10.1038/bjc.2016.422

Japan 1990-1999

Data set

Impact of disease stage and aetiology on sur	viva
--	------

60.0, n = 475 64.0, n = 805(years)

12.9, n = 475

101.7

n = 475

12.4, /

٩N

٩Z

ΔN

ΔA

805

38.7, n=

2.8, n=736 3.9, n = 291 60.5, n = 150

n = 150

3.4,

n = 16

3.5,

0

n = 150

3.3,

٩Z

٩Z

٩Z

٩Z

₹

n = 1174

53.4,

2.8*, n = 1164

22.7**

37.5*, n = 1174 27.6*, n = 1672

35.7 (30.0, 42.9) n = 909

15.9 (10.5, 23.2)

n = 263٩Z

114.8) n = 559

77.3 (67.8, 88.2) n = 718

30.0 (25.9–35.8),

52.0 (44.1, 57.0), 17.8 (15.0, 20.2),

n = 150

Japan 1966–1979 Japan 2000–2013

n = 1174

n = 1174

٩Z

n = 1672

Hong Kong, China

95.6 (78.1,

٩Z

Median age

JIS early stage, % (stage 0/1)

tumour size

mortality rate for resections Crude 90-day

> Screened Japan[†] Figure 1F

Unscreened Figure 1F

within Milan Criteria and

Within Milan

adjustment) † Overall (after lead-time Figure 1B

Overall[†] Figure 1A

ົວ

Median survival, in months (95%

Japan

A† Figure 1D

Figure 1C Criteria

Ā A

ΔA A

26.6 (24.1, 29.2),n = 805

and E

8.8 (7.2, 11.1), 3.0 (2.6, 3.9),

Japan 1980–1989

n = 475

ΔN ٩N

Child-Pugh

Table 3. Comparing recent Japanese cohort with those over the decades as well as Hong Kong, China cohort

(per 1000)

% resections 17.0, n = 805

21.9

Median (cm)

59.0*, n=1672 70.0*, n= 1174

₹

 5.0^* , n = 1600

13**

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; NA = not applicable. Note: See figures for the log-rank tests¹. Comparing Japan (2000–2013) and Hong Kong, China. *P < 0.0001, rtest and **P = 0.1014.

103.1 (87.5,), n=489

97.6 (82.2,), n=515

	Haz.				95% Cont.	
_t	ratio	s.e	Z	P>z	interval	
Japan						
Vascular invasion						
No	Ref.					
Yes	2.815	0.397	7.34	< 0.0001	2.136	3.71
Albumin	0.91	0.008	- 11.16	< 0.0001	0.895	0.925
Log 10 AFP	1.279	0.047	6.75	< 0.0001	1.191	1.373
Age	1.034	0.005	6.25	< 0.0001	1.023	1.045
Tumour type						
Solitary	Ref.					
Multifocal	1.605	0.153	4.97	< 0.0001	1.332	1.935
Tumour size	1.05	0.008	6.22	< 0.0001	1.034	1.067
Log 10 bilirubin	2.226	0.407	4.38	< 0.0001	1.556	3.186
Gender						
Female	Ref.					
Male	1.519	0.152	4.18	< 0.0001	1.249	1.849
Hong Kong, China						
Vascular invasion						
No	Ref.					
Yes	2.501	0.186	12.35	< 0.0001	2.162	2.892
Log 10 bilirubin	2.625	0.263	9.65	< 0.0001	2.158	3.194
Tumour size	1.069	0.007	9.64	< 0.0001	1.054	1.083
Albumin	0.949	0.006	- 8.76	< 0.0001	0.938	0.96
Log 10 AFP	1.177	0.027	7.2	< 0.0001	1.126	1.231
Tumour type						
Solitary	Ref.					
Multifocal	1.566	0.106	6.64	< 0.0001	1.372	1.788
Age	1.008	0.003	2.62	0.009	1.002	1.013
Abbreviations: $AFP = \alpha$ -fetoprotein; Ref. = reference.						

Table 4. Multivariable Cox regression analysis

Cucchetti et al (2014) concluded that even after lead-time bias adjustment, semiannual surveillance maintained a survival benefit over symptomatic diagnosis. The fact that the median age at diagnosis in Japan has not fallen since the surveillance programme was initiated, but rather increased, may offer further evidence that lead-time bias does not account for all the benefit of surveillance. The better survival in Japan is unlikely to be attributable simply to 'better' treatment as, among those Hong Kong patients detected within the Milan Criteria, the latter actually survived longer than the Japanese cohort and by all other measures of survival in earlystage disease according to treatment there were no significant differences.

The progressive improvement in median survival between 1980 (when surveillance was initiated) and 2013 (from <3 months to the current figure of > 70 months) in the Japanese cohort has been replicated across Japan (Ikai et al, 2010). This observation cannot, in itself, be taken as evidence for the benefit of surveillance since there have, over the same period, been major advances in both diagnosis and management. For example, we cannot be entirely confident of the diagnosis of small HCCs in the early stages of the study, before internationally agreed diagnostic criteria were established. However, most small tumours did come to resection and were thus histologically confirmed. Crucially however, whilst in Hong Kong the survival has increased from 3 months (Shiu et al, 1990) to 17.8 months, in Japan the improvement (over the same time period) has been to 52 months (30 months after adjusting for lead-time bias). Furthermore, the parallel stage-shift to earlier disease (as assessed by the JIS system) supports the contention that survival improvement was, at least in part, attributable to surveillance. In both Hong Kong and Japan, patients with chronic HCV survived longer than those with HBV, suggesting that the high incidence of HCV infection might contribute to the better survival in Japan compared with Hong Kong. A direct comparison, however, reveals that within each aetiology, Japanese patients consistently survived longer. Interestingly, in both Japan

BRITISH JOURNAL OF CANCER

and Hong Kong, irrespective of how the HCC cases were detected, those with HBV had clinical features characteristic of more advanced disease.

However, there are significant limitations to our study. Crucial issues such as cost effectiveness, and any harm inflicted by a surveillance programme such as the consequence of false-positive results, have not been considered. Furthermore, any benefit of surveillance suggested here is not necessarily transferable to a Western setting. Obesity is increasingly recognised as an aetiological factor for HCC development in the West and this will decrease the sensitivity of US examination, whereas US is likely to be a more effective surveillance tool in the slimmer Japanese population (Zaman, 2013). In Japan, patients at risk are a wellinformed population committed to surveillance and this may not be the case in the West where compliance may be poor, especially among those with alcoholic cirrhosis. Furthermore, only patients in whom the presence of a risk factor for HCC is known (e.g., chronic viral hepatitis) will enter a surveillance programme. In Hong Kong, HCC was often the first manifestation of chronic hepatitis B infection, whereas in Japan the population had already been offered screening for the presence of chronic HBV or HCV. There is abundant evidence from the United States that management strategies developed and implemented in specialist centres are not always replicated in the primary care setting and that the overall percentage of patients with cirrhosis actually undergoing effective surveillance is very low (El-Serag and Davila, 2010; Dalton-Fitzgerald et al, 2014; Joshi et al, 2014). The multiple barriers that inhibit translation of the potential benefits of surveillance into an effective program at the population level have been clearly described by Singal and El-Serag (2015).

Although a prospective randomised controlled trial (RCT) to assess the impact of surveillance would be ideal, it is now recognised that this approach is not practical (Poustchi *et al*, 2011; McCaughan, 2013; Kansagara *et al*, 2014; Singal *et al*, 2014; Sherman, 2014a, b); all other, non-RCT-based approaches have significant limitations. Nonetheless, in the absence of an RCT, our data when combined with the time trends shown here, and reports from the whole of Japan (Toyoda *et al*, 2006a) and other parts of Asia (Yeh *et al*, 2014), lend strong support for the beneficial impact of surveillance on HCC mortality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help of all those who contributed to the collection of the data sets used in this study. From Hong Kong, Ms Jane Koh had a major role in data collection and tabulation. We are also indebted to Stephen Duffy, Professor of Cancer Screening at the Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, University of London, who gave us access to the statistical methodology that permitted correction for lead and length-time bias. Statistical analysis was supported in part by a grant from Liverpool Health Partners (JXR10979).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Bruix J, Sherman M (2011) Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. *Hepatology* **53**: 1020–1022.
- Chen CH, Su WW, Yang SS, Chang TT, Cheng KS, Lin HH, Wu SS, Lee CM, Changchien CS, Chen CJ, Sheu JC, Chen DS, Lu SN (2006) Long-term trends and geographic variations in the survival of patients with

hepatocellular carcinoma: analysis of 11 312 patients in Taiwan. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **21**: 1561–1566.

- Cucchetti A, Trevisani F, Pecorelli A, Erroi V, Farinati F, Ciccarese F, Rapaccini GL, Di Marco M, Caturelli E, Giannini EG (2014) Estimation of lead-time bias and its impact on the outcome of surveillance for the early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* **61**: 333–341.
- Dalton-Fitzgerald E, Tiro J, Kandunoori P, Halm EA, Yopp A, Singal AG (2014) Practice patterns and attitudes of primary care providers and barriers to surveillance of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **13**: 791–8.e1.
- Duffy SW, Nagtegaal ID, Wallis M, Cafferty FH, Houssami N, Warwick J, Allgood PC, Kearins O, Tappenden N, O'Sullivan E (2008) Correcting for lead time and length bias in estimating the effect of screen detection on cancer survival. Am J Epidemiol 168: 98–104.
- El-Serag HB, Davila JA (2010) Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma: in whom and how? *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 4(1): 5–10.
- For Research EOLiver, EAFTSOT (2012) EASL-EORTC Clinical Practice Guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 56: 908–943.
- Hsu C, Shen YC, Cheng CC, Hu FC, Cheng AL (2010) Geographic difference in survival outcome for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: implications on future clinical trial design. *Contemp Clin Trials* **31**: 55–61.
- Ikai I, Kudo M, Arii S, Omata M, Kojiro M, Sakamoto M, Takayasu K, Hayashi N, Makuuchi M, Matsuyama Y (2010) Report of the 18th follow-up survey of primary liver cancer in Japan. *Hepatol Res* 40: 1043–1059.
- Joshi K, Mendler M, Gish R, Loomba R, Kuo A, Patton H, Kono Y (2014) Hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance: a national survey of current practices in the USA. *Dig Dis Sci* **59**(12): 3073–3077.
- Kansagara D, Papak J, Pasha AS, O'Neil M, Freeman M, Relevo R, Quiñones A, Motu'Apuaka M, Jou JH (2014) Screening for hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic liver disease: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med 161: 261–269.
- Kudo M, Chung H, Osaki Y (2003) Prognostic staging system for hepatocellular carcinoma (CLIP score): its value and limitations, and a proposal for a new staging system, the Japan Integrated Staging Score (JIS score). J Gastroenterol 38: 207–215.
- Kudo M, Izumi N, Sakamoto M, Matsuyama Y, Ichida T, Nakashima O, Matsui O, Ku Y, Kokudo N, Makuuchi M, Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan (2016) Survival Analysis over 28 Years of 173 378 Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Japan. *Liver Cancer* 5: 190–197.
- Llovet JM (2005) Updated treatment approach to hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol* **40**: 225–235.
- Mazzaferro V, Regalia E, Doci R, Andreola S, Pulvirenti A, Bozzetti F, Montalto F, Ammatuna M, Morabito A, Gennari L (1996) Liver transplantation for the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinomas in patients with cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 334: 693–700.
- McCaughan GW (2013) Early hepatocellular carcinoma-Is there such a thing as too early? J Hepatol 58: 210–211.
- Mourad A, Deuffic-Burban S, Ganne-Carrié N, Renaut-Vantroys T, Rosa I, Bouvier AM, Launoy G, Cattan S, Louvet A, Dharancy S (2014) Hepatocellular carcinoma screening in patients with compensated hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related cirrhosis aware of their HCV status improves survival: A modeling approach. *Hepatology* **59**: 1471–1481.
- NCI. National Cancer Institute Liver (Hepatocellular) Cancer Screening (PDQ®) [Online]. Available at http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/ screening/hepatocellular/HealthProfessional.
- Omata M, Lesmana LA, Tateishi R, Chen P-J, Lin S-M, Yoshida H, Kudo M, Lee JM, Choi BI, Poon RT (2010) Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver consensus recommendations on hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Int* 4: 439–474.
- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P (2005) Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin 55: 74–108.
- Poustchi H, Farrell GC, Strasser SI, Lee AU, McCaughan GW, George J (2011) Feasibility of conducting a randomized control trial for liver cancer screening: Is a randomized controlled trial for liver cancer screening feasible or still needed? *Hepatology* 54: 1998–2004.
- Sherman M (2014a) Screening for liver cancer: another piece of the puzzle? *Hepatology* 59: 1673–1675.
- Sherman M (2014b) Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 28: 783–793.
- Shiu W, Dewar G, Leung N, Leung WT, Chan M, Tao M, Lui C, Chan CL, Lau WY, Metreweli C, Li AKC (1990) Hepatocellular carcinoma in Hong Kong: clinical study on 340 cases. *Oncology* 47: 241–245.

- Singal AG, El-Serag HB (2015) Hepatocellular Carcinoma From Epidemiology To Prevention: Translating Knowledge Into Practice. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 13: 2140–2151.
- Singal AG, Pillai A, Tiro J (2014) Early detection, curative treatment, and survival rates for hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance in patients with cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* **11**: e1001624.
- Song P, Tobe RG, Inagaki Y, Kokudo N, Hasegawa K, Sugawara Y, Tang W (2012) The management of hepatocellular carcinoma around the world: a comparison of guidelines from 2001 to 2011. *Liver Int* 32: 1053–1063.
- The Japan Society of Hepatology (2010a) Chapter 2: diagnosis and surveillance. *Hepatol Res* **40**: 16–47.
- The Japan Society of Hepatology (2010b) Surveillance algorithm and diagnosis algorithm for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res* **40**: 6–7.
- Toyoda H, Kumada T, Kiriyama S, Sone Y, Tanikawa M, Hisanaga Y, Yamaguchi A, Isogai M, Kaneoka Y, Washizu J (2006a) Impact of surveillance on survival of patients with initial hepatocellular carcinoma: a study from Japan. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 4: 1170–1176.
- Toyoda H, Kumada T, Osaki Y, Oka H, Urano F, Kudo M, Matsunaga T (2006b) Staging hepatocellular carcinoma by a novel scoring system (BALAD score) based on serum markers. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **4**: 1528–1536.

- Yeh YP, Hu TH, Cho PY, Chen HH, Yen AMF, Chen SLS, Chiu SYH, Fann JCY, Su WW, Fang YJ (2014) Evaluation of abdominal ultrasonography mass screening for hepatocellular carcinoma in Taiwan. *Hepatology* **59**: 1840–1849.
- Zaman A (2013) Diabetes and Obesity Greatly Contribute to Hepatocellular Carcinoma:reviewing Welzel TM et al Am J Gastroenterol 2013 Aug.
 [Online]. Journal Watch. Available at http://www.jwatch.org/na32053/ 2013/09/11/diabetes-and-obesity-greatly-contribute-hepatocellular (accessed 11 September 2013).
- Zhang B-H, Yang B-H, Tang Z-Y (2004) Randomized controlled trial of screening for hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 130: 417–422.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/

© The Author(s) named above 2017

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)