UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Functional niche partitioning in therizinosauria provides new insights into the evolution of theropod herbivory

Lautenschlager, Stephan

DOI: 10.1111/pala.12289

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard): Lautenschlager, S 2017, 'Functional niche partitioning in therizinosauria provides new insights into the evolution of theropod herbivory', *Palaeontology*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 375-387. https://doi.org/10.1111/pala.12289

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Lautenschlager, S. (2017), Functional niche partitioning in Therizinosauria provides new insights into the evolution of theropod herbivory. Palaeontology, 60: 375–387., which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pala.12289. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

FUNCTIONAL NICHE PARTITIONING IN THERIZINOSAURIA PROVIDES NEW INSIGHTS INTO THE EVOLUTION OF THEROPOD HERBIVORY 3

4 STEPHAN LAUTENSCHLAGER^{1,2}

¹School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, B15
 2TT, Birmingham, UK
 ²School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, 24 Tyndall Avenue, BS8 1TQ, Bristol, UK

School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, 24 Tyndall Avenue, BS8 TTQ, Bristol, UK
Corresponding author: s.lautenschlager@bham.ac.uk

9

10 **Abstract:** Dietary specialisation is generally considered to be a crucial factor in driving 11 morphological evolution across extant and extinct vertebrates. The ability to adapt to a 12 specific diet and to exploit ecological niches is thereby influenced by functional morphology 13 and biomechanical properties. Differences in functional behaviour and efficiency can 14 therefore allow dietary diversification and the coexistence of similarly adapted taxa. 15 Therizinosauria, a group of secondarily herbivorous theropod dinosaurs, is characterised by a 16 suite of morphological traits thought to be indicative of adaptations to an herbivorous diet. Digital reconstruction, theoretical modelling and computer simulations of the mandibles of 17 18 therizinosaur dinosaurs provides evidence for functional niche partitioning in adaptation to 19 herbivory. Different mandibular morphologies present in therizinosaurians were found to 20 correspond to different dietary strategies permitting coexistence of taxa. Morphological traits 21 indicative of an herbivorous diet, such as a downturned tip of the lower jaw and an expanded 22 post-dentary region, were identified as having stress mitigating effects. The more widely 23 distributed occurrence of these purported herbivorous traits across different dinosaur clades 24 suggests that these features also could have played an important role in the evolution and acquisition of herbivory in other groups. 25

26

Key words: functional niche partitioning, finite element analysis, Dinosauria, herbivory,
functional morphology

29

30 Niche partitioning is a viable mechanism among sympatric species to allow the coexistence 31 of similarly adapted taxa and to minimise competition for resources (MacArthur 1972; Chase 32 & Leibold 2003; Finke & Snyder 2008). With regard to diet, niche partitioning can act upon 33 food type, habitat, foraging time and foraging behaviour (Schoener 1974; Patterson et al. 34 2003; Adams & Thibault 2006). These differentiations are directly influenced by how and 35 how efficiently taxa can exploit available resources, and dictated by physiological, 36 anatomical, and functional properties. Differences in functional morphology and the ability to 37 adapt to a different diet, such as herbivory, can therefore allow the occupation of new or 38 further subdivision of existing ecological niches. Consequently, the acquisition of herbivory 39 has often been considered an important key innovation and has been acquired numerous 40 times in vertebrate evolutionary history (Sues 2000). Within Dinosauria, herbivory has 41 evolved convergently in Ornithischia, Sauropodomorpha and Theropoda (Barrett et al. 2011; 42 Barrett 2014). In the latter, the adaptation to an herbivorous diet represents a departure from 43 the carnivorous condition of most basal theropods, which occurred presumably independently 44 in Oviraptorosauria, Ornithomimosauria and Therizinosauria (Barrett 2000, 2005; Zanno & 45 Makovicky 2011), and appears to have been a major driver for morphological diversity and 46 speciation in theropods.

Therizinosauria, a group of herbivorous theropods predominantly found in Cretaceous
sediments of Asia and North America, has a complicated taxonomic history and their unusual
skeletal morphology has long obfuscated their phylogenetic position (Clark *et al.* 2004).
Derived members of this group are characterised by small skulls with an edentulous

premaxilla and a rostral rhamphotheca, an elongate neck, hypertrophied manual unguals and
a broad, opisthopubic pelvis (Zanno 2010a; Lautenschlager *et al.* 2014). This mosaic of
morphological features resulted in a variety of phylogenetic hypotheses (Barsbold & Perle
1984; Paul 1984; Gauthier 1986) and it was not until the discovery of new fossils that
Therizinosauria was firmly established as a derived clade within Theropoda (Russel & Dong
1993).

57 Within the last two decades a number of new fossil findings have further substantiated 58 the phylogenetic position of therizinosaurians (Zanno 2010a; Averianov 2015). These new 59 discoveries revealed that therizinosaurians were more widely distributed across North 60 America and Asia than originally thought. Furthermore, the coeval occurrence of different 61 taxa and morphotypes within the same locality (Sues & Averianov 2016; Zanno et al. 2016) 62 indicates that different species might have occupied different ecological niches. While the skeletal morphology of Therizinosauria is strongly divergent from that of other theropods, it 63 64 is also very diverse across different therizinosaurian species. In particular, tooth morphology, 65 claw shape and body size were found to be highly variable, presumably reflecting different palaeoecological specialisations and herbivorous dietary strategies among Therizinosauria 66 67 (Zanno 2010a; Zanno & Makovicky 2013; Lautenschlager 2014).

68 However, while the increasing number of newly discovered taxa have significantly 69 improved knowledge of therizinosaurians, the often fragmentary nature of many specimens 70 have not only confounded phylogenetic analyses, but also comprehensive understanding of 71 their anatomy, palaeobiology and palaeoecology. In particular cranial remains are rare and 72 only few skeletal elements are equally represented in all taxa. Amongst them, the dentary is 73 the most consistently preserved element known from at least six therizinosaurian taxa (Fig. 74 1). It shows a high degree of morphological variation and characteristic features, such as a downturned symphyseal region, rostral edentulism, and a lateral shelf, all of which are 75

thought to be indicative of dietary specialisation patterns (Zanno & Makovicky 2011).

Furthermore, lower jaw elements are ideally suited for biomechanical studies, as the
mandible is primarily adapted for foraging and biting, whereas the cranial skeleton represents
a compromise of multiple functions (e.g., bony housing of brain and sensory systems). Still,
the problem remains that fragmentary preservation, taphonomic artefacts and the availability
of specimens preclude comprehensive biomechanical studies (Zanno 2010a).

82 The use of theoretical, virtual models can provide a versatile solution. While 83 kinematic abstractions have been used to model theoretical and actually realised jaw 84 morphologies in dinosaurs in the past (e.g. Weishampel 1984, 1998), high computational 85 demands considerably limited the necessary complexity of such models. Recent advances in 86 hard- and software technology now allow the simulation of complex and thus more realistic 87 models. Consequently, the use of biomechanical analysis techniques in palaeontological 88 research using approaches, such as finite element analysis (FEA), has increased in recent 89 years, but theoretical modelling of anatomical features has been used only in a handful of 90 studies (Rayfield & Milner 2008; Anderson et al. 2011; Xing et al. 2015). Although it 91 involves the use of idealised models, which do not reflect the fossil morphology completely, 92 recent studies have attested this approach to be very informative (Bright 2014; Rahman & 93 Lautenschlager in press) as it allows studying morphofunctional properties unimpeded by 94 preservation and taphonomic artefacts.

Using actually preserved specimens as well as theoretical models, the functional
morphology of the dentary (and as far possible also the complete mandibles) of six
therizinosaurian species were investigated with biomechanical analysis techniques. The
complete and well-preserved mandibles of the derived therizinosaurid *Erlikosaurus andrewsi*was employed as a template to create theoretical models incorporating morphological
information provided by fossil specimens of other taxa, spanning the full phylogenetic and

morphological range across Therizinosauria (Fig. 1). The biomechanical behaviour and 101 102 performance of different dentary morphologies was studied using FEA to test for possible 103 dietary and functional specialisations of Therizinosauria within the herbivorous regime. The 104 combined use and integration of actually preserved specimens and theoretical models helped 105 overcome limitations posed by the incomplete fossil record and to evaluate the functional 106 morphology of mandibular characters associated with herbivory. This approach further 107 allowed testing the hypothesis that functional niche partitioning permitted the coeval 108 diversification of taxa and the refinement of dietary strategies, thereby elucidating the 109 evolutionary dynamics of ecological partitioning and the evolution of herbivory in theropod 110 dinosaurs.

111

112 MATERIAL AND METHODS

113 Institutional abbreviations

114 HGM, Henan Geological Museum, China; IGM, Geological Institute of the Mongolian

115 Academy of Sciences, Ulaanbataar, Mongolia; IVPP, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology

and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; UMNH, Utah

117 Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.

118

119 Specimens and model creation

The complete mandible of the therizinosaurid *Erlikosaurus andrewsi* (IGM 100/111)
was used as a template for the creation of the digital models. The specimen was digitised at
X-Tek Systems (now Nikon Metrology) using a XT-H-225ST CT scanner with parameters
set at 180kV and 145µA. Slice data consisting of 1998 slices with a slice thickness of 145 µm
were imported into Avizo (versions 6.3.1.and 7.0.0; VSG, Visualization Science Group) for

125 image segmentation and further processing. Moderate digital restoration was necessary to 126 remove preservational artefacts, such as small breaks and cracks (Lautenschlager *et al.* 2014). 127 The digitally restored model of the mandible of Erlikosaurus andrewsi was 128 subsequently modified to create mandible models of five further therizinosaurian taxa for 129 which the dentary is known: Falcarius utahensis (UMNH VP 14527, 14528 and 14529) 130 (Zanno 2010b), Beipiaosaurus inexpectus (IVPP V11559) (Xu et al. 1999), Jianchangosaurus 131 yixianensis (HGM 41HIII-0308A) (Pu et al. 2013), Alxasaurus elesitaiensis (IVPP 88402) 132 (Russel & Dong 1993), and Segnosaurus galbinensis (IGM 100/80) (Zanno et al. 2016). For 133 that purpose all mandibular elements of Erlikosaurus andrewsi were imported into the 3D 134 modelling and visualisation software Blender (www.blender.org) as .obj files. Photographs in 135 different orientations derived from personal observations (Falcarius utahensis, Beipiaosaurus 136 inexpectus) and from published figures of the dentary and (where preserved) other 137 mandibular elements of the above listed taxa were imported as reference images in Blender. 138 Using the mesh manipulation tools in Blender, the models of *Erlikosaurus andrewsi* were 139 each modified: The outlines of the digital models were fitted to the morphology of the reference images in different views. Individual elements were scaled, rotated and translated 140 141 to correspond to the shape of the specific modelled taxa (Rahman & Lautenschlager in press). 142 Where necessary, different components, such as teeth, were duplicated and placed as 143 indicated by the reference images. In taxa for which the postdentary morphology is unknown 144 (Falcarius utahensis, Alxasaurus elesitaiensis), only the dentary was modelled in the way 145 described above. Postdentary elements were supplemented unchanged (except for uniformly 146 scaling to match the size of the dentary) from the Erlikosaurus models. This step assumes 147 that the respective taxa shared a similar postdentary morphology, which might not correspond 148 to the actual condition. However, the supplemented postdentary elements provide attachment 149 for the dentary and thereby allow analysing the preserved morphology. Results for the

- 150 postdentary morphology of Falcarius utahensis and Alxasaurus elesitaiensis might therefore
- 151 reflect these assumptions and are treated accordingly. The final models were remeshed using
- 152 Blender's remeshing modifier and exported as .stl files for FEA.
- 153

154 Finite element analysis

For FEA, all models were scaled to the same surface area (based on the mandible of 155 Erlikosaurus andrewsi) to remove size-related effects during analyses (Dumont et al. 2009) 156 and imported into Hypermesh (version 11, Altair Engineering) for the creation of solid mesh 157 158 FE models. All models consisted of approximately 1,500,000-2,000,000 four-noded 159 tetrahedral elements (see supplementary table 1). Material properties for crocodilian bone and 160 teeth were assigned in Hypermesh (bone: E = 20.49 GPa, n = 0.40; teeth: E = 60.40 GPa, n =161 0.31) and treated as homogenous and isotropic following (Creech 2004; Chen et al. 2008). A keratinous rhamphotheca covering the premaxilla and the rostral part of the dentary had been 162 163 reconstructed in *Erlikosaurus andrewsi* (Lautenschlager et al. 2013, 2014) and is thought to 164 be present in some derived therizinosaurid. However, such a rhamphotheca was not incorporated in the current FE models, as basal therizinosaurians most likely lacked this 165 166 structure (Zanno 2010b). While the presence of a keratinous sheath has been shown to mitigate stress and strain in the underlying bone (Lautenschlager et al. 2013), further 167 sensitivity tests demonstrated that a keratin cover only changed the magnitude but not the 168 169 distribution of stress and strain (Lautenschlager 2014; Lautenschlager *et al.* 2016). Similarly, 170 sutures between the individual mandibular elements were not included. The inclusion of 171 cranial sutures in FE models can have an effect on stress and strain magnitudes (e.g. Bright & Gröning 2011, Porro et al. 2011; Reed et al. 2001; Bright 2012), but material properties of 172 173 cranial sutures in archosaurs are often poorly known (Porro et al. 2013, Cuff et al. 2015),

- 174 However, in a comparative context as employed here, these factors can be assumed to affect 175 all models equally so that it is possible to extract shape as the crucial parameter only. All FE models were restrained from rigid body movement in all directions at the 176 177 articular (15 constraints). Further constraints were applied according to the tested functional scenarios (see below). Muscle loads were applied at the mandibular insertions according to 178 179 the reconstructed jaw adductor arrangement for Erlikosaurus andrewsi (Lautenschlager 180 2013), with a total of 569 N applied to each hemi-mandible (supplementary table 2). Each 181 muscle group was modelled as a series of loads to represent and cover the extent of the 182 attachment area. Muscle forces and locations were kept constant for all models to allow 183 analysis of shape in a comparative context. Although it is likely that some variation in muscle 184 arrangement and mass existed in the different taxa, a conservative approach was taken here. 185 This approach avoids the introduction of functional variance due to different loading properties, which would mask mechanical differences due to mandibular shape. All models 186 187 were subsequently imported into Abaqus (version 6.10, Simulia) for analysis and 188 postprocessing. Biomechanical performance was assessed by comparison of von Mises stress 189 and strain distribution. In addition, reaction forces were obtained from the solved FE models 190 at the bite points. Ratios between input forces and output forces and average von Mises stress 191 values were calculate to quantify biomechanical efficiency. Variation in deformation for each 192 model and loading scenario was quantified using a landmark-based approach (for the 193 undeformed and deformed models). Twenty-six landmarks were placed at morphologically 194 homologous points (supplementary fig. 11) using Avizo and subjected to Procrustes 195 superimposition and a principal component analysis (PCA) performed in PAST (Hammer et 196 al. 2001).
- 197

198 Simulated feeding scenarios

199	To analyse ecomorphological differences between taxa, a variety of feeding scenarios
200	was simulated: (i) unilateral biting at the first, fifteenth and last tooth with single constraints
201	applied at the respective positions. The fifteenth tooth position was chosen as it represents the
202	average midpoint of the tooth row; (ii) bilateral biting at the first, fifteenth and last tooth
203	position, with single constraints applied accordingly; (iii) clipping of an object at the tip of
204	the dentary with a single constraint at the centre of the dorsal margin of the symphysis; (iv)
205	upwards-pull movement, which simulates grasping of an object at the tip of the dentary and
206	pulling dorsally. An additional load (150 N) was applied at the symphyseal region in dorsal
207	direction; (v) a downwards-pull movement, which simulates the grasping of an object at the
208	tip of the dentary and pulling ventrally. An additional load with a magnitude within the range
209	of the bite force (150 N) was applied at the symphyseal region in ventral direction; (vi) lateral
210	pull, simulating sideways movement while holding an object at the rostral teeth. An
211	additional load (150 N) was applied at the symphyseal region in lateral direction.

212

213 **RESULTS**

214 Stress distribution

215 As postdentary elements are unknown in some taxa and were extrapolated on the 216 basis of the preserved material of *Erlikosaurus andrewsi*, results largely focus on the dentary. 217 The comparison of the stress and strain distributions obtained from FEA demonstrates 218 distinct differences between the analysed mandibular models. Beipiaosaurus inexpectus and 219 Erlikosaurus andrewsi show the lowest stress and strain levels in the dentary but also the 220 complete mandible during unilateral and bilateral bite scenarios (Fig. 2, supplementary figs. 1-7) simulating biting at the first, middle and last tooth positions. In comparison, the models 221 222 of Jianchangosaurus yixianensis and Alxasaurus elesitaiensis experience the highest stress 223 and strain levels (Fig. 2, supplementary figs. 2, 3). If only the dentaries are considered,

224 Falcarius utahensis, Jianchangosaurus yixianensis and Alxasaurus elesitaiensis show the 225 highest stress magnitudes. In all models the dentary shows the relatively lowest stress 226 magnitudes during unilateral and bilateral biting, with stress hotspots centred on the angular 227 and articular region. Stress in the dentaries is mostly focused on the ventral surface for biting 228 at the first and middle tooth position, but shifts posteriorly to the postdentary elements when 229 simulating a bite at the last tooth. Stress magnitudes are moderately higher on the balancing 230 side, whereas stresses are reduced and more uniformly distributed on both sides in the 231 bilateral biting scenarios (supplementary fig. 4). The same patterns are observed for strain

- and deformation distributions (supplementary figs. 5-7) throughout all models.
- 233 In addition to different bite positions, further functional scenarios were analysed
- simulating clipping at the tip of the dentary, and the mandible pulling an object in dorsal,

235 ventral and lateral direction as would be experienced during foraging. Among these, clipping

236 models experience the lowest stress levels throughout the mandible, but considerably higher

237 levels than biting at the first, middle and last tooth (Fig. 3, supplementary fig. 8). Increased

stress levels are induced in the symphyseal region for simulated clipping. As with the

239 different biting scenarios, Beipiaosaurus inexpectus and Erlikosaurus andrewsi show the

240 lowest stress magnitudes in the mandibles during clipping (Fig. 3). The same patterns,

241 however, are also observed, if only the dentaries are considered.

Among the extrinsic scenarios with additional forces applied to the mandible to simulate pulling of an object in different directions, the upwards-pull scenario produces the highest stress and strain magnitudes for all models (Fig. 3, supplementary figs. 8-10), with stress and strain hotspots found around the articular region. In comparison, the lateral-pull scenario produces slightly lower, but still high levels of stress and strain. In this scenario, stress hotspots are centred on the lateral surface of the dentary in addition to the postdentary elements (Fig. 3). A downwards pull movement shows the relatively lowest stress and strain

- 249 levels (supplementary figs. 8-10). Stresses are mostly concentrated on the ventral surface of
- the dentary, the angular and the articular regions. For all extrinsic feeding scenarios, the

251 mandibles of Beipiaosaurus inexpectus and Erlikosaurus andrewsi show the lowest stress and

strain levels, whereas the highest magnitudes were generally observed in *Jianchangosaurus*

253 *yixianensis* and *Alxasaurus elesitaiensis*. If only the dentaries are considered, *Erlikosaurus*

and to a lesser degree Beipiaosaurus inexpectus

255 experience the lowest stress magnitudes during the extrinsic feeding scenarios. The

256 calculation of average von Mises stress for all models is consistent with these results obtained

from the contour plots (Fig. 4A): *Beipiaosaurus inexpectus* and *Erlikosaurus andrewsi* have

the lowest per-element average stress values for both the intrinsic and extrinsic scenarios. In

- 259 contrast, average von Mises stress is highest in *Falcarius utahensis* and *Alxasaurus*
- *elesitaiensis,* confirming the aforementioned observations of the contour plots quantitatively.
- 261

262 *Relative bite forces*

Relative bite forces obtained from the FE models reveal considerable differences in how
muscle forces are translated into bite forces among the studied taxa. As expected, relative bite
forces increase with a posterior shift of the bite position, due to the skull acting as a third-

266 class lever. *Alxasaurus elesitaiensis* records the highest relative bite forces for biting at the

first (23-32% of muscle force), middle (27-35%) and last tooth position (56-66%) (Fig. 4B).

268 Relative bite forces for *Falcarius utahensis* fall within the same, although somewhat lower

range (first tooth: 19-24%, middle tooth: 23-27%, last tooth: 43-59%). By comparison,

270 Beipiaosaurus inexpectus and Erlikosaurus andrewsi possess the lowest relative bite forces

ranging from 14-18% (first tooth), to 20-27% (middle tooth) and maximally 28-38% (last

tooth) (Fig. 4b).

273

274 Functional morphospace

275 Principal component analysis (PCA) plots obtained from the morphometric analysis of the 276 undeformed and deformed mandible models illustrate the biomechanical behaviour of the 277 different morphologies in response to functional scenarios (Fig. 5, supplementary fig. 12). PC 278 axes 1 and 2 account for over 70% variation in deformation of the mandibular models. PC 1 279 correlates with the dorsal displacement of the posterior dentary and postdentary region, 280 whereas PC 2 represents the displacement in mediolateral width (i.e. mandibles draw closer 281 together moving along positive axis). Although a large overlap exists between the individual 282 models and functional scenarios (Fig. 5), differences in the degree of deformation are visible. 283 Alxasaurus elesitaiensis (8%) and Beipiaosaurus inexpectus (12%) occupy relatively smaller 284 regions of the morphospace, whereas Jianchangosaurus yixianensis (32%) and Erlikosaurus 285 andrewsi (33%) show the highest degree of morphospace occupation.

286

287 **DISCUSSION**

The combination of digital reconstruction, theoretical modelling and biomechanical analysis demonstrates the functional diversity of therizinosaurian mandibles. As attested by the results obtained from FEA, differences in mandibular morphology correlate with different functional behaviours and likely reflect dietary specialisation patterns within this group of herbivorous theropods.

293 Considerable differences in mechanical performance (stress and strain magnitudes, 294 relative bite forces, deformational variation) between the modelled taxa suggest distinctive 295 morphofunctional trends. For all tested loading scenarios, the dentary and complete 296 mandibular morphologies of *Beipiaosaurus inexpectus* and *Erlikosaurus andrewsi* were 297 found to experience the lowest stress and strain magnitudes (but also the lowest relative bite 298 forces). Both taxa differ from other therizinosaurians in the dorsoventrally expanded 299 postdentary and coronoid region. An elevated coronoid eminence is frequently found in 300 herbivorous dinosaurs and is a uniting feature of Ornithischia, culminating in prominently 301 heightened coronoid processes in derived groups, such as ceratopsids and hadrosaurids 302 (Ostrom 1961; Upchurch et al. 2004; Weishampel 2004; Bell et al. 2009; Tanoue et al. 303 2009). While it has been demonstrated that the elevation and expansion of the coronoid 304 region is mechanically beneficial by increasing moment arms and mechanical advantage 305 (Nabavizadeh 2016), further stress reducing effects have been hypothesised by providing an 306 enlarged surface for ligamentous tissues (Bell et al. 2009). As observed here, the dorsolateral 307 expansion of the postdentary and coronoid region appears to provide additional stress 308 mitigating benefits. However, it should be noted that the postdentary elements of Falcarius 309 utahensis and Alxasaurus elesitaiensis are unknown. It is therefore possible that these taxa 310 might have possessed similar morphological adaptations to mitigate stresses. Comparing the 311 results for the dentaries of the analysed taxa only suggests a similar pattern. The ventrally 312 curved and downturned symphyseal region in Erlikosaurus and rewsi and Segnosaurus 313 galbinensis experience lower stress and strain magnitudes, in particular for the extrinsic 314 feeding scenarios. Additionally, the symphyseal region of the dentary in Erlikosaurus 315 andrewsi (and possibly also in Segnosaurus galbinensis) was likely covered by a keratinous 316 sheath (Lautenschlager et al. 2014), which has been shown to further mitigate stress and 317 strain magnitudes (Lautenschlager et al. 2013). Among Therizinosauria (and other 318 herbivorous coelurosaurs), a downturned and convex symphyseal region of the dentary has 319 been assumed to be a primary adaptation to herbivory (Zanno & Makovicky 2011). By 320 comparison, the elongate and straight dentary morphologies of Falcarius utahensis, 321 Jianchangosaurus yixianensis, and Alxasaurus elesitaiensis record the highest stress and 322 strain magnitudes. This indicates that the relatively unmodified jaw morphology 323 reassembling the plesiomorphic coelurosaurian and dinosaurian condition (Langer 2004;

324 Choiniere *et al.* 2010) offers less stress resistance. This may seem surprising, considering 325 basal coelurosaurs were adapted to carnivory. However, within hypercarnivorous clades, 326 including Tyrannosauroidea, a trend towards the dorsoventral expansion of the postdentary 327 and coronoid region in derived members is observable, which might likely have served the same stress mitigating purpose (Holtz 2004; Holtz et al. 2004; Eddy & Clarke 2011). 328 329 Although prone to increased stresses, the mandible models of Falcarius utahensis and 330 Alxasaurus elesitaiensis produced the highest relative bite forces amongst the analysed therizinosaurian taxa. This suggests that the elongate mandibular morphologies could 331 332 represent a compromise between high bite forces and increased stress susceptibility.

333 However, in *Falcarius utahensis* and *Alxasaurus elesitaiensis* the tooth row extends

relatively closer to the jaw joint, resulting in higher bite forces.

335 The observed differences in mandibular robustness and relative bite forces indicate 336 dietary adaptations and specialisations across the individual therizinosaurian taxa and functional diversification in the course of their evolution. The basal-most therizinosaurian 337 338 Falcarius utahensis is characterised by high relative bite forces, moderate stress and strain magnitudes, in particular during unilateral and bilateral biting scenarios, and moderate 339 340 deformational variation as indicated by the functional morphospace occupation. Although the 341 exact timing of a shift from carnivory to herbivory in Therizinosauria is difficult to identify, the osteology of *Falcarius utahensis* suggests that this dietary transformation was not yet 342 343 fully completed at this stage (Zanno 2010b). It is therefore possible that Falcarius utahensis 344 retained relatively high bite forces in order to sustain an omnivorous life style. In more 345 derived therizinosaurians, relative bite forces were found to be considerably lower. This 346 pattern indicates that these taxa might have engaged in less oral processing and instead relied 347 on other means to process vegetation, such as gut fermentation or gastric mills (although 348 unambiguous evidence for the latter has not been found) (Zanno & Makovicky 2011; Zanno

350 musculature to crop foliage (Lautenschlager *et al.* 2013). As aforementioned, though, the

351 observed differences in relative bite forces are partially influenced by the length and extent of

352 the tooth row, leading to relatively higher bite forces in *Falcarius utahensis*,

353 Jianchangosaurus yixianensis and Alxasaurus elesitaiensis.

354 Low stress and strain magnitudes in Beipiaosaurus inexpectus and Erlikosaurus andrewsi show that these taxa would have been more flexible in their foraging behaviour as 355 356 they were able to engage in different feeding styles without increasing stress levels, which 357 could have been further mitigated by the presence of a keratinous sheath (Lautenschlager et 358 al. 2013). Although scarce for the majority of therizinosaurian deposits, preserved plant 359 fossils demonstrate the palaeoenvironment of Beipiaosaurus inexpectus to be diverse and 360 abundant in conifers, ferns and bennettitaleans (Zhou et al. 2003). Results from the extrinsic 361 loading scenarios would further suggest that a downwards pull motion while gripping 362 vegetation is generally more likely for all studied taxa than a lateral or upwards movement. 363 However, taxa with a downturned symphyseal region (Erlikosaurus andrewsi, Segnosaurus galbinensis), which mitigates stresses in the dentary during lateral pulling, could have been 364 365 more likely to engage in this behaviour. In contrast, the increased stress levels found for a pull-upwards scenarios for all taxa indicate foraging of vegetation at head level, but not 366 367 below. These findings are consistent with the functional morphology of the postcranial 368 skeleton. The trend towards increased neck length in derived therizinosaurians indicates the 369 importance of extending the browsing range (Zanno 2010b), whereas the manual unguals of most taxa had been adapted for grasping vegetation during foraging (Lautenschlager 2014). 370 371 In contrast, the claws of Alxasaurus elesitaiensis were found to have been used in a more 372 generalist fashion (Lautenschlager 2014), which is reflected also in the results for the

373 mandibular function here, suggesting that this species was ecologically more flexible in374 comparison to other therizinosaurians.

375 For the sympatric taxa Erlikosaurus and rewsi and Segnosaurus galbinensis the 376 differences in relative bite force show that Segnosaurus galbinensis would have been able to feed on tougher vegetation, whereas overall robustness in Erlikosaurus andrewsi suggests a 377 378 greater flexibility in feeding style as stress levels stay low across different feeding 379 simulations. Adaptation to coarser and tougher food has been shown to be a common, but not 380 exclusive mechanism for niche separation in contemporaneous herbivorous dinosaurs 381 (Fiorillo 1998; Mallon & Anderson 2014). Specialisations in tooth morphology found in Segnosaurus galbinensis support the assumption that both taxa were functionally adapted to 382 383 different foraging behaviour and food selection (Zanno et al. 2016). Differentiations of the 384 dentary teeth in Segnosaurus galbinensis hint at increased incorporation of the dentition on 385 procuring or processing food, whereas previous findings for *Erlikosaurus andrewsi* 386 demonstrate that this species mostly employed the rhamphotheca as a cropping device, whilst 387 harnessing the neck musculature during foraging (Lautenschlager et al. 2013). Actual size differences between the two taxa (estimated mass: Erlikosaurus ca. 174-278 kg, Segnosaurus 388 ca. 1469 kg; Lautenschlager *et al.* 2012; Zanno & Makovicky 2013) suggest that these 389 390 effects were likely increased and hint at additional mechanisms of resource partitioning such 391 as height stratification (Bakker 1978; Weishampel & Norman 1989; Zanno & Makovicky 392 2013; Mallon et al. 2013). However, considering that many therizinosaurian taxa are more 393 widely separated by time and geography, other factors than intra-clade competition were 394 likely at work in driving biomechanical variability within Therizinosauria. Adaptive 395 responses to different floras and competition with other herbivores might have been a further 396 factor in shaping morphological diversity.

A number of morphological traits thought to be indicative of an herbivorous diet have been identified in Therizinosauria, many of which are also more broadly distributed across Coelurosauria (Zanno & Makovicky 2011; Barrett 2014), such as a downturned symphyseal region of the dentary, an elevated coronoid region, rostral edentulism and modifications of tooth shape. Results from the present study thereby offer the chance to evaluate the functional significance of these purported herbivorous characters, allowing general statements to be made regarding the evolution of theropod herbivory in general.

404 As demonstrated by the results, *Falcarius utahensis* differs considerably from other 405 therizinosaurians in possessing relatively high bite forces and moderate stress and strain 406 levels, consistent with its basal position and the retention of some plesiomorphic characters 407 (e.g. elongate, straight mandible, symphyseal teeth). Following this initial stage, a rapid 408 morphological and functional diversification within Therizinosauria took place, manifested in 409 a general decrease of bite forces and the evolution of stress mitigating characters, such as an 410 extended postdentary region and a downturned symphyseal region of the dentary. This trend 411 is paralleled by rostral edentulism and the development of a keratinous rhamphotheca 412 covering the tip of the dentary and skull, which has been shown to provide additional stress 413 reducing effects (Lautenschlager et al. 2013). Similar morphological trajectories can be 414 observed in other herbivorous theropod clades (e.g. Ornithomimosauria, Oviraptorosauria) 415 and ornithischians (e.g. Ceratopsia, Ankylosauria, Stegosauria), in which comparable 416 characters have evolved (Ostrom 1966; Barrett et al. 2011; Zanno & Makovicky 2011; 417 Mallon & Anderson 2013; Barrett 2014). Features, such as a characteristically downturned 418 dentary, have classically been proposed as primary adaptations to herbivory and are widely distributed across herbivorous dinosaurs (Sues 2000; Zanno & Makovicky 2011; Barrett 419 420 2014; Novas et al. 2015) and some archosauromorphs more generally (Flynn et al. 2010). 421 Furthermore, the expression of a downturned dentary has recently been demonstrated to be

422 linked to a dietary shift from omnivory to herbivory with ontogeny in the theropod dinosaur 423 *Limusaurus inextricabilis* (Wang *et al.* 2016). Results obtained here suggest that the presence 424 of this feature provides a viable mechanism for stress mitigation in herbivorous taxa 425 confirming long-held assumption about its functionality (e.g Barrett 2000, 2014 and references therein). The acquisition of additional stress-reducing structures, such as a 426 427 keratinous sheath covering the symphyseal region of the dentary and the rostrum of the skull, further indicates the importance of bracing the rostral region of the snout. With a shift from a 428 429 faunivore to a plant-based diet, the functional focus moves from the tooth row to the 430 rostralmost part of the skull and lower jaw as a device for procuring food. As a consequence, 431 this region is being reinforced through the development of a downturned dentary and the 432 acquisition of a keratinous sheath in herbivorous theropods and many ornithischians. A 433 comparable mechanism can be found in sauropods, in which a dorsoventral expansion of the 434 symphyseal region has been shown to achieve the same effect of reducing stresses (Button et 435 al. 2016). This convergent evolution of morphologically and functionally similar features 436 suggests that these anatomical modifications of the mandible convey an important 437 biomechanical advantage for herbivorous taxa.

438

439 **CONCLUSIONS**

As shown in this study, the combined use of digital reconstruction, theoretical modelling and
biomechanical analysis techniques provides powerful tools to overcome preservational
limitations of the fossil record and to study the functional significance of morphological
variation of skeletal structures. Using this approach, different mandibular morphologies
present in therizinosaurian dinosaurs were found to correspond to different dietary strategies
permitting niche-differentiation and coexistence of taxa. Morphological features indicative of
an herbivorous diet, including a downturned tip of the lower jaw and an expanded post-

dentary region, were identified as having stress mitigating effects. The more widely
distributed occurrence of these purported herbivorous traits in other theropod and dinosaur
clades further suggests that these features played an important role in the evolution and
acquisition of (dinosaur) herbivory. However, while some morphofunctional trends can be
identified using the therizinosaurian dataset, a larger sample size is necessary to further
disentangle the functional significance of herbivorous characters and their individual
contribution.

454

455 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Andrew Ramsey and Mike Robinson (Nikon Metrology) are thanked for support with the
scanning of *Erlikosaurus*. Mike Getty (Utah Museum of Natural History) and Zheng Fang

458 (Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing) provided access to

459 specimens under their care. Jeannette Di Leo kindly proofread earlier versions of the

460 manuscript. Editor Laura Porro (Royal Veterinary College, London), Paul Barrett (Natural

461 History Museum, London), David Button (North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences,

462 Raleigh), and an anonymous reviewer are thanked for critical reviews and helpful suggestions

that improved the manuscript substantially.

464

465 DATA ARCHIVING STATEMENT

466 Data for this study are available in the Dryad Digital Repository: doi:10.5061/dryad.vd68s

467 **[FIG S1.** Comparison of displacement distribution for different unilateral biting scenarios in

468 studied therizinosaurian mandibles.

469 FIG S2. Comparison of maximum principal strain distribution for different unilateral biting
470 scenarios in studied therizinosaurian mandibles.

- 471 **FIG S3.** Comparison of minimum principal strain distribution for different unilateral biting
- 472 scenarios in studied therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 473 **FIG S4.** Comparison of Von Mises stress distribution for different bilateral biting scenarios
- 474 in studied therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 475 **FIG. S5.** Comparison of displacement distribution for different bilateral biting scenarios in
- 476 studied therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 477 **FIG. S6.** Comparison of maximum principal strain distribution for different bilateral biting
- 478 scenarios in studied therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 479 **FIG. S7.** Comparison of minimum principal strain distribution for different bilateral biting
- 480 scenarios in studied therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 481 FIG. S8. Comparison of displacement distribution for different feeding scenarios in studied
- 482 therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 483 FIG. S9. Comparison of maximum principal strain distribution for different feeding scenarios
- 484 in studied therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 485 FIG. S10. Comparison of minimum principal strain distribution for different feeding
- 486 scenarios in studied therizinosaurian mandibles.
- 487 **FIG. S11.** Landmark positions for geometric morphometrics analysis
- 488 **FIG. S12.** Deformational variation of tested mandibular models and loading scenarios.
- 489 Principal component plot based on geometric morphometric analysis of undeformed and
- deformed models.
- 491 SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1. Number of tetrahedral elements (rounded to the nearest
- 492 10,000) for the different FE models
- 493 **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2.** Muscle forces applied to the different mandible models.
- 494 **SUPPLEMENTARY MODEL 1.** 3-D model of *Falcarius utahensis* in STL format.

495	SUPPLEMENTARY MODEL 2. 3-D model of Jianchangosaurus yixianensis in STL
496	format.
497	SUPPLEMENTARY MODEL 3. 3-D model of Beipiaosaurus inexpectus in STL format.
498	SUPPLEMENTARY MODEL 4. 3-D model of Alxasaurus elesitaiensis in STL format.
499	SUPPLEMENTARY MODEL 5. 3-D model of <i>Erlikosaurus andrewsi</i> in STL format.
500	SUPPLEMENTARY MODEL 6. 3-D model of Segnosaurus galbinensis in STL format.]
501	
502	REFERENCES
503	ADAMS, R. and THIBAULT, K. 2006. Temporal resource partitioning by bats at water
504	holes. Journal of Zoology, 270, 466-472.
505	ANDERSON, P. S., GILL, P. G. and RAYFIELD, E. J. 2011. Modeling the effects of cingula
506	structure on strain patterns and potential fracture in tooth enamel. Journal of
507	Morphology, 272, 50-65.
508	AVERIANOV, A. 2015. Frontal bones of non-avian theropod dinosaurs from the Upper
509	Cretaceous (Santonian-Campanian) Bostobe Formation of the northeastern Aral Sea
510	region, Kazakhstan. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 53, 168-175.
511	BAKKER, R. T. 1978. Dinosaur feeding behaviour and the origin of flowering plants.
512	<i>Nature</i> , 274 , 661-663.
513	BARRETT, P. M. 2000. Prosauropod dinosaurs and iguanas: speculations on the diets of
514	extinct reptiles. 42-78. In SUES, HD. (ed.) Evolution of Herbivory in Terrestrial
515	Vertebrates. Perspectives from the Fossil Record. Cambridge University Press.
516	BARRETT, P. M. 2005. The diet of ostrich dinosaurs (Theropoda: Ornithomimosauria).
517	Palaeontology, 48, 347-358.
518	BARRETT, P. M. 2014. Paleobiology of herbivorous dinosaurs. Annual Review of Earth and
519	Planetary Sciences, 42, 207-230.

- 520 BARRETT, P. M., BUTLER, R. J. and NESBITT, S. J. 2011. The roles of herbivory and
- 521 omnivory in early dinosaur evolution. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions*522 of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **101**, 383-396.
- 523 BARSBOLD, R. and PERLE, A. 1980. Segnosauria, a new infraorder of carnivorous
 524 dinosaurs. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, 25, 185-195.
- 525 BELL, P. R., SNIVELY, E. and SHYCHOSKI, L. 2009. A comparison of the jaw mechanics
- in hadrosaurid and ceratopsid dinosaurs using finite element analysis. *The Anatomical Record*, **292**, 1338-1351.
- 528 BRIGHT, J. A. 2012. The importance of craniofacial sutures in biomechanical finite element
 529 models of the domestic pig. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e31769.
- 530 BRIGHT, J. A. 2014. A review of paleontological finite element models and their validity.
- 531 *Journal of Paleontology*, **88**, 760-769.
- 532 BRIGHT, J. A. and GRÖNING, F. 2011. Strain accommodation in the zygomatic arch of the
- pig: a validation study using digital speckle pattern interferometry and finite element
 analysis. *Journal of Morphology*, **272**, 1388-1398.
- 535 BUTTON, D. J., BARRETT, P. M. and RAYFIELD, E. J. 2016. Comparative cranial
- myology and biomechanics of *Plateosaurus* and *Camarasaurus* and evolution of the
 sauropod feeding apparatus. *Palaeontology*, **59**, 887-913.
- 538 CHASE, J. M. and LEIBOLD, M. A. 2003. *Ecological niches: linking classical and*539 *contemporary approaches*. University of Chicago Press.
- 540 CHEN, P.-Y., LIN, A. Y. M., LIN, Y.-S., SEKI, Y., STOKES, A. G., PEYRAS, J., A., O. E.,
- 541 MEYERS, M. A. and MCKITTRICK, J. 2008. Structure and mechanical properties of
- 542 selected biological materials. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical*
- 543 *Materials I*, **2008**, 208-226.

544	CHOINIERE, J. N., CLARK, J. M., FORSTER, C. A. and XU, X. 2010. A basal coelurosaur
545	(Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Late Jurassic (Oxfordian) of the Shishugou
546	Formation in Wucaiwan, People's Republic of China. Journal of Vertebrate
547	Paleontology, 30 , 1773-1796.
548	CLARK, J. M., MARYANSKA, T. and BARSBOLD, R. 2004. Therizinosauroidea. 151-164.
549	In WEISHAMPEL, D. B., DODSON, P. and OSMOLSKA, H. (eds). The Dinosauria

550 (second edition). University of California Press, Berkeley.

- 551 CREECH, J. E. 2004. Phylogenetic character analysis of crocodylian enamel microstructure
- and its relevance to biomechanical performance. Unpublished Masters thesis, FloridaState University.
- 554 CUFF, A. R., BRIGHT, J. A. and RAYFIELD, E. J. 2015. Validation experiments on finite 555 element models of an ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) cranium. *PeerJ*, **3**, e1294.
- 556 DUMONT, E., GROSSE, I. R. and SLATER, G. J. 2009. Requirements for comparing the
- performance of finite element models of biological structures. *Journal of theoretical biology*, **256**, 96-103.
- 559 EDDY, D. R. and CLARKE, J. A. 2011. New Information on the Cranial Anatomy of
- 560 *Acrocanthosaurus atokensis* and Its Implications for the Phylogeny of Allosauroidea
 561 (Dinosauria: Theropoda). *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e17932.
- FINKE, D. L. and SNYDER, W. E. 2008. Niche partitioning increases resource exploitation
 by diverse communities. *Science*, **321**, 1488-1490.
- 564 FLYNN, J. J., NESBITT, S. J., MICHAEL PARRISH, J., RANIVOHARIMANANA, L. and
- 565 WYSS, A. R. 2010. A new species of *Azendohsaurus* (Diapsida: Archosauromorpha)
- 566 from the Triassic Isalo Group of southwestern Madagascar: cranium and mandible.
- 567 *Palaeontology*, **53**, 669-688.

- FIORILLO, A. R. 1998. Dental micro wear patterns of the sauropod dinosaurs *Camarasaurus*and *Diplodocus*: Evidence for resource partitioning in the late Jurassic of North
 America. *Historical Biology*, 13, 1-16.
- 571 GAUTHIER, J. A. 1986. Saurischian monophyly and the origin of birds. 1-55. *In* PADIAN,
- 572 K. (ed.) *The Origin of Birds and the Evolution of Flight*. California Academy of
 573 Sciences, , San Francisco.
- 574 HAMMER, Ø., HARPER, D. A. T. and RYAN, P. D. 2001. Past: Paleontological statistics
 575 software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologica Electronica*, 4, 1576 9.
- 577 HOLTZ JR, T. R. 2004. Tyrannosauroidea. 111-136. *In* WEISHAMPEL, D. B., DODSON,
 578 P. and OSMOLSKA, H. (eds). *The Dinosauria (second edition)*. University of
- 579 California Press, Berkeley.
- 580 HOLTZ JR, T. R., MOLNAR, R. E. and CURRIE, P. J. 2004. Basal tetanurae. 71-110. In
- 581 WEISHAMPEL, D. B., DODSON, P. and OSMOLSKA, H. (eds). *The Dinosauria*582 (*second edition*). University of California Press, Berkeley.
- 583 LANGER, M. C. Basal saurischia. Sauropoda. 25-46. In WEISHAMPEL, D. B., DODSON,
- 584 P. and OSMOLSKA, H. (eds). *The Dinosauria (second edition)*. University of
 585 California Press, Berkeley.
- LAUTENSCHLAGER, S. 2013. Cranial myology and bite force performance of Erlikosaurus
 andrewsi: A novel approach for digital muscle reconstructions. *Journal of anatomy*,
 222, 260-272.
- 589 LAUTENSCHLAGER, S. 2014. Morphological and functional diversity in therizinosaur
- 590 claws and the implications for theropod claw evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal*
- 591 Society of London B: Biological Sciences, **281**, 20140497.

592	LAUTENSCHLAGER, S., RAYFIELD, E. J., ALTANGEREL, P., ZANNO, L. E. and
593	WITMER, L. M. 2012. The endocranial anatomy of Therizinosauria and its
594	implications for sensory and cognitive function. PLoS ONE, 7, e52289.
595	LAUTENSCHLAGER, S., BRASSEY, C. A., BUTTON, D. J. and BARRETT, P. M. 2016.
596	Decoupled form and function in disparate herbivorous dinosaur clades. Scientific
597	reports, 6 , 26495.
598	LAUTENSCHLAGER, S., WITMER, L. M., ALTANGEREL, P. and RAYFIELD, E. J.
599	2013. Edentulism, beaks, and biomechanical innovations in the evolution of theropod
600	dinosaurs. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, 20657-20662.
601	LAUTENSCHLAGER, S., WITMER, L. M., ALTANGEREL, P., ZANNO, L. E. and
602	RAYFIELD, E. J. 2014. Cranial anatomy of Erlikosaurus andrewsi (Dinosauria,
603	Therizinosauria): new insights based on digital reconstruction. Journal of Vertebrate
604	Paleontology, 34 , 1263-1291.
605	MACARTHUR, R. H. 1972. Geographical ecology: patterns in the distribution of species.
606	Princeton University Press, Princton, New Jersey.
607	MALLON, J. C. and ANDERSON, J. S. 2013. Skull Ecomorphology of Megaherbivorous
608	Dinosaurs from the Dinosaur Park Formation (Upper Campanian) of Alberta, Canada.
609	<i>PLoS ONE</i> , 8 , e67182.
610	MALLON, J. C. and ANDERSON, J. S. 2014. The functional and palaeoecological
611	implications of tooth morphology and wear for the megaherbivorous dinosaurs from
612	the Dinosaur Park Formation (upper Campanian) of Alberta, Canada. PLoS ONE, 9,
613	e98605.
614	MALLON, J. C., EVANS, D. C., RYAN, M. J. and ANDERSON, J. S. 2013. Feeding height
615	stratification among the herbivorous dinosaurs from the Dinosaur Park Formation
616	(upper Campanian) of Alberta, Canada. BMC ecology, 13, 1.

- 617 NABAVIZADEH, A. 2016. Evolutionary trends in the jaw adductor mechanics of
 618 ornithischian dinosaurs. *The Anatomical Record*, **299**, 271-294.
- 619 NOVAS, F. E., SALGADO, L., SUAREZ, M., AGNOLIN, F. L., EZCURRA, M. D.,
- 620 CHIMENTO, N. R., DE LA CRUZ, R., ISASI, M. P., VARGAS, A. O. and
- 621 RUBILAR-ROGERS, D. 2015. An enigmatic plant-eating theropod from the Late
- 622 Jurassic period of Chile. *Nature*, **522**, 331-334.
- 623 OSTROM, J. H. 1961. Cranial morphology of the hadrosaurian dinosaurs of North America.
 624 122, 39-186.
- 625 OSTROM, J. H. 1966. Functional morphology and evolution of the ceratopsian dinosaurs.
 626 *Evolution*, 290-308.
- PATTERSON, B. D., WILLIG, M. R. and STEVENS, R. D. 2003. Trophic strategies, niche
 partitioning, and patterns of ecological organization. *Bat ecology*, 9, 536-57.
- 629 PAUL, G. S. 1984. The segnosaurian dinosaurs: relics of the prosauropod-ornithischian
- 630 transition? *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, **4**, 507-515.
- 631 PORRO, L. B., HOLLIDAY, C. M., ANAPOL, F., ONTIVEROS, L. C., ONTIVEROS, L. T.
- and ROSS, C. F. 2011. Free body analysis, beam mechanics, and finite element
- 633 modeling of the mandible of *Alligator mississippiensis*. *Journal of Morphology*, **272**,
- 634 **910-937.**
- 635 PORRO, L. B., METZGER, K. A., IRIARTE-DIAZ, J. and ROSS, C. F. 2013. In vivo bone
- 636 strain and finite element modeling of the mandible of *Alligator mississippiensis*.
- 637 *Journal of anatomy*, **223**, 195-227.
- 638 PU, H., KOBAYASHI, Y., LÜ, J., XU, L., WU, Y., CHANG, H., ZHANG, J. and JIA, S.
- 639 2013. An unusual basal therizinosaur dinosaur with an ornithischian dental
- 640 arrangement from Northeastern China. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e63423.

- RAHMAN, I. A. and LAUTENSCHLAGER, S. in press. Applications of three-dimensional
 box modelling to paleontological functional analysis. *Journal of Paleontology*.
- RAYFIELD, E. J. and MILNER, A. C. 2008. Establishing a framework for archosaur cranial
 mechanics. *Paleobiology*, 34, 494-515.
- 645 REED, D. A., PORRO, L. B., IRIARTE-DIAZ, J., LEMBERG, J. B., HOLLIDAY, C. M.,
- 646 ANAPOL, F. and ROSS, C. F. 2011. The impact of bone and suture material
- 647 properties on mandibular function in *Alligator mississippiensis*: testing theoretical
 648 phenotypes with finite element analysis. *Journal of anatomy*, **218**, 59-74.
- 649 RUSSELL, D. A. and DONG, Z. 1993. The affinities of a new theropod from the Alxa-
- 650 Desert, Inner Mongolia, People's Republic of China. *Canadian Journal of Earth*651 *Sciences*, **30**, 2107-2127.
- SCHOENER, T. W. 1974. Resource partitioning in ecological communities. *Science*, 185,
 27-39.
- 654 SUES, H.-D. 2000. Evolution of herbivory in terrestrial vertebrates: perspectives from the

655 *fossil record*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- 656 SUES, H.-D. and AVERIANOV, A. 2016. Therizinosauroidea (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from
- the Upper Cretaceous of Uzbekistan. *Cretaceous Research*, **59**, 155-178.
- TANOUE, K., GRANDSTAFF, B. S., YOU, H. L. and DODSON, P. 2009. Jaw mechanics in
- basal ceratopsia (Ornithischia, Dinosauria). *The Anatomical Record*, **292**, 1352-1369.
- 660 UPCHURCH, P., BARRETT, P. M. and DODSON, P. 2004. Sauropoda. 259-322. In
- 661 WEISHAMPEL, D. B., DODSON, P. and OSMOLSKA, H. (eds). The Dinosauria
- 662 *(second edition)*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- 663 WANG, S., STIEGLER, J., AMIOT, R., WANG, X., DU, G.-H., CLARK, J. M. and XU, X.
- 664 2017. Extreme ontogenetic changes in a ceratosaurian theropod. *Current Biology*, 27,
- 665 **144-148**.

666	WEISHAMPEL, D. B. 1984. Evolution of jaw mechanisms in ornithopod dinosaurs.
667	Advances in Anatomy Embryology and Cell Biology, 87, 1-109.
668	WEISHAMPEL, D. B. 1998. Fossils, function and phylogeny. 34-54. In THOMASON, J. J.
669	(ed.) Functional Morphology in Vertebrate Paleontology. Cambridge University
670	Press, New York.
671	WEISHAMPEL, D. B. and NORMAN, D. B. 1989. Vertebrate herbivory in the Mesozoic;
672	jaws, plants, and evolutionary metrics. Geological Society of America Special Papers,
673	238 , 87-101.
674	WEISHAMPEL, D. B. 2004. Ornithischia. 323-324. In WEISHAMPEL, D. B., DODSON, P.
675	and OSMOLSKA, H. (eds). The Dinosauria (second edition). University of California
676	Press, Berkeley.
677	XING, L., WANG, Y., SNIVELY, E., ZHANG, J., DONG, Z., BURNS, M. E. and CURRIE,
678	P. J. 2015. Model-Based Identification of Mechanical Characteristics of Sinosaurus
679	(Theropoda) Crests. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 89, 1-11.
680	XU, X. and WANG, XL. 1999. A therizinosauroid dinosaur with integumentary structures
681	from China. Nature, 399 , 350-354.
682	ZANNO, L. E. 2010a. A taxonomic and phylogenetic re-evaluation of Therizinosauria
683	(Dinosauria: Maniraptora). Journal of Systematic Palaeontology, 8, 503-543.
684	ZANNO, L. E. 2010b. Osteology of Falcarius utahensis (Dinosauria: Theropoda):
685	characterizing the anatomy of basal therizinosaurs. Zoological Journal of the Linnean
686	Society, 158 , 196-230.
687	ZANNO, L. E., GILLETTE, D. D., ALBRIGHT, L. B. and TITUS, A. L. 2009. A new North
688	American therizinosaurid and the role of herbivory in 'predatory' dinosaur evolution.
689	Proceedings of the Royal Society London, Series B, 276, 3505-3511.

- 690 ZANNO, L. E. and MAKOVICKY, P. J. 2011. Herbivorous ecomorphology and
- specialization patterns in theropod dinosaur evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 232-237.
- 693 ZANNO, L. E. and MAKOVICKY, P. J. 2013. No evidence for directional evolution of body
- 694 mass in herbivorous theropod dinosaurs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*
- 695 *B: Biological Sciences*, **280**.
- 696 ZANNO, L. E., TSOGTBAATAR, K., CHINZORIG, T. and GATES, T. A. 2016.
- 697 Specializations of the mandibular anatomy and dentition of *Segnosaurus galbinensis*
- 698 (Theropoda: Therizinosauria). *PeerJ*, **4**, e1885.
- 699 ZHOU, Z., BARRETT, P. M. and HILTON, J. 2003. An exceptionally preserved Lower
- 700 Cretaceous ecosystem. *Nature*, **421**, 807-814.

701 FIGURE CAPTIONS

702

703 FIG. 1. Reconstructed therizinosaurian mandibles shown in phylogenetic context. Preserved 704 elements depicted in light grey and missing elements depicted in dark grey in lateral outline 705 images. All models scaled to the same surface area. Phylogeny simplified after Zanno 706 (2010a). 707 [intended for 110 mm two-thirds page width] 708 709 FIG. 2. Comparison of von Mises stress distribution for different unilateral biting scenarios 710 in studied therizinosaurian mandibles. (A) Falcarius utahensis, (B) Jianchangosaurus 711 yixianensis, (C) Beipiaosaurus inexpectus, (D) Alxasaurus elesitaiensis, (E) Erlikosaurus 712 andrewsi, (F) Segnosaurus galbinensis. From left to right, bite point at first, middle and last 713 tooth position indicated by arrows. Scale bar represents 100 mm. 714 [intended for 166 mm full page width] 715 716 FIG. 3. Comparison of von Mises stress distribution for different feeding scenarios in studied 717 therizinosaurian mandibles. (A) Falcarius utahensis, (B) Jianchangosaurus vixianensis, (C) 718 *Beipiaosaurus inexpectus*, (D) *Alxasaurus elesitaiensis*, (E) *Erlikosaurus andrewsi*, (F) 719 Segnosaurus galbinensis. From left to right, clipping at tip of dentary, pulling downwards, 720 upwards and lateral. Direction of pull indicated by arrows. Scale bar represents 100 mm. 721 [intended for 166 mm full page width] 722 723 FIG. 4. Quantitative assessment of biomechanical differences for tested mandibular models 724 and loading scenarios. (A) Average von Mises stress. (B) Relative bite forces (calculated as

- ration between input and output forces). Range of values in each graph derived from
- via unilateral and bilateral bite simulations.
- 727 [intended for 80 mm column width]
- 728
- 729 FIG. 5. Deformational variation of tested mandibular models and loading scenarios. Size of
- individual morphospace correlates with the degree and extent of deformation subjected to
- 731 loading. Principal component plot based on geometric morphometric analysis of undeformed
- and deformed models. PC 1 correlates with the dorsal displacement of the posterior dentary
- and postdentary region, PC 2 represents the displacement in mediolateral width (i.e.
- mandibles draw closer together moving along positive axis). Filled circles represent
- 735 undeformed models.
- [intended for 110 mm two-thirds page width]