UNIVERSITY BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

How close are we to complete annotation of metabolomes?

Viant, Mark R.; Kurland, Irwin J.; Jones, Martin R.; Dunn, Warwick B.

DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.01.001

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Viant, MR, Kurland, IJ, Jones, MR & Dunn, WB 2017, 'How close are we to complete annotation of metabolomes?', *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, vol. 36, pp. 64-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.01.001

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



ScienceDirect

How close are we to complete annotation of metabolomes?

Mark R Viant^{1,2}, Irwin J Kurland³, Martin R Jones¹ and Warwick B Dunn^{1,2}



The metabolome describes the full complement of the tens to hundreds of thousands of low molecular weight metabolites present within a biological system. Identification of the metabolome is critical for discovering the maximum amount of biochemical knowledge from metabolomics datasets. Yet no exhaustive experimental characterisation of any organismal metabolome has been reported to date, dramatically contrasting with the genome sequencing of thousands of plants, animals and microbes. Here, we review the status of metabolome annotation and describe advances in the analytical methodologies being applied. In part through new international coordination, we conclude that we are now entering a new era of metabolome annotation.

Addresses

¹ School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

² Phenome Centre Birmingham, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

³ Albert Einstein College of Medicine, 1300 Morris Park Avenue, Bronx, NY 10461, USA

Corresponding author: Viant, Mark R (m.viant@bham.ac.uk)

Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 2017, 36:64-69

This review comes from a themed issue on Omics

Edited by Georg Pohnert and Frank C Schroeder

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.01.001

1367-5931/© 2017 The Author(s). This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Introduction

Metabolomics is the multidisciplinary field of research concerned with the study of metabolomes, the complement of naturally-occurring and exogenous (*e.g.* environmental pollutants), low-molecular-weight (typically <1500 Da) metabolites present within biological systems [1]. Comprising of precursors, intermediates and products of biochemical pathways, metabolites constitute some of the terminal products of higher cellular processes and collectively provide a 'fingerprint' of the complex interplay between genome and environment. From an analytical perspective, both the measurement and identification of whole metabolomes presents a considerable challenge, not least due to the vast structural heterogeneity of metabolites, their large number (*e.g.* an estimated 200 000 structurally-distinct secondary metabolites across the plant kingdom [2]) and their wide concentration ranges (estimated to span 12 orders of magnitude [3]). As a point of clarity, the formal definitions of metabolite annotation and identification, as developed by the Chemical Analysis Working Group of the Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI) [4], are shown in Table 1. The categorical scoring system defines 'identification' as the most rigorous (level 1) while 'annotation' does not require such exhaustive analytical validation (levels 2 and 3). Currently there are no completed lists of experimentally-derived metabolites that describe the metabolome of any model organism, not even as putative annotations.

Meaningful biological inferences may only be drawn from metabolomics datasets where peaks can be structurally identified as named metabolites, that is it is only when we are empowered to move beyond discussing unidentified peaks to rigorously identified metabolites that we can fully engage in describing metabolic pathways and integrate metabolism with other levels of biological hierarchy. For over a decade, molecular identification has remained the principal technical bottleneck in metabolomics [5,6]. Hence, for metabolomics to deliver its full potential in fields from medicine to ecology, innovations in analytical workflows are urgently required. Yet based on the literature, it is readily apparent that the core metabolomics workflow has changed little over the past 15 years, typically comprising of sampling, measurement of metabolites by mass spectrometry (MS) and/or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, data processing and statistical analyses, with a view to discovering peaks of biological importance [7,8]. Those peaks are typically searched against databases, providing limited putative annotation. Rarely do investigators undertake the challenging and time consuming step of identifying peaks that are not present in databases [9], using methods that are common to natural products chemistry such as fractionation, high resolution accurate mass MS, and 1D and 2D NMR for structure determination. Typically, a significant proportion of detected peaks are not annotated or identified, dependent on the analytical platform used and sample type. Hence it is appropriate to conclude that all experimental metabolomics studies to date would have generated additional biological insights were metabolite identification a more tractable process, that in turn may have allowed for more complete metabolome network

Table 1

Summary of levels of confidence in metabolite 'identification', as defined by the Chemical Analysis Working Group of the Metabolomics Standards Initiative [4]

Level of confidence	Description	Requisite analytical data
Level 1	'Identified metabolites'	Two orthogonal analytical techniques applied to the analysis of both the metabolite of interest and to a chemical reference standard of suspected structural equivalence, with all analyses performed under identical analytical conditions within the same laboratory Examples of appropriate orthogonal data: accurate mass via MS with retention time; accurate mass MS and fragmentation data or isotopic pattern; 2D NMR spectra; full ¹ H and/or ¹³ C NMR spectra and so on
Level 2	'Putatively annotated compounds'	As for levels 3 and 4, including spectral (NMR and/or MS) similarity with public or commercial libraries
Level 3	'Putatively characterised compound classes'	As for level 4, plus spectral and/or physicochemical properties consistent with a particular class of organic compounds
Level 4	'Unknown'	A discernible spectral signal (NMR, MS or other) that can be reproducibly detected and quantified

derivation. Metabolite identification remains a colossal challenge and a step change is needed. Here, we review the status of metabolome annotation, introduce the important role of model organisms, and describe the analytical methodologies being applied.

Can model organisms help metabolome annotation?

A critical question is how such a transformative change will occur in metabolomics, to address this more than decade long problem. We believe a combination of approaches is required, including new analytical strategies, computational algorithms and database resources, and also a concerted effort by the metabolomics community to solve this bottleneck. This latter point has recently been recognised with the formation, in 2015, of a scientific task group of the international Metabolomics Society to progress the characterisation of metabolomes by initially focusing on a few model organisms [10[•]]. The value of model organisms across biology and medicine is huge [11]. While seemingly disparate, research into bacteria, yeast, insects, worms, fish, rodents and plants has shown that the core biochemical operating principles have been conserved across all living organisms. Hence findings derived from non-mammalian model animals, for example can shed light on biological processes in humans (Table 2).

The Model Organism Metabolomes (MOM) task group's philosophy is to leverage upon the critical mass of research activity and knowledge that exists for model organisms, that is to encourage the community to focus their metabolite identification efforts on systems we know the most about already (*i.e.* have species-specific metabolite databases [12–14]), that have sequenced genomes (hence can create genome-wide metabolic reconstructions to predict metabolism; [15]), and that when the metabolomes are successfully identified this knowledge will be of greatest value to the community [10[•]]. The two primary aims of the MOM task group are to integrate

disparate model organism-focused research groups into an interactive community, and to share, discuss and develop the analytical and bioinformatics strategies to progress the identification of model organism metabolomes, resulting in best practice documents (Figure 1). Ultimately, this task group has set a grand challenge: to identify and map all 'system' metabolites onto metabolic pathways, to develop quantitative metabolic models for model organisms, and to relate organism metabolic pathways within the context of evolutionary *metabolomics, that is phylometabolomics* [10[•]]. Efforts have begun to optimise analytical methods for metabolome identification, for example in Escherichia coli [16], Saccharomyces cerevisiae [17"] and Caenorhabditis elegans [18], as well as to mine the literature for existing knowledge, for example in S. cerevisiae [19]. An atlas of tissue-specific metabolomes has also been initiated for Drosophila melanogaster, including both polar and lipophilic metabolites [20].

Extending our analytical strategies to progress metabolome identification

With the ambition to more deeply characterise the complete metabolomes of model organisms, what recent developments in analytical chemistry have been applied? Unlike for genomics, where disruptive technologies are relatively common [21], the analytical methods used in metabolomics have changed relatively little over the last decade. What has occurred recently is a considerable growth of targeted methods for studying swathes of metabolism, likely driven by the very frustration of limited peak annotation in non-targeted metabolomics, as discussed above. For example, a number of targeted LC-MS/MS assays have been developed to profile from a few tens to a couple of hundred metabolites in rice [22,23,24[•]] and mammalian samples [25,26]. While benefitting from yielding metabolic data that is identified and often quantitative, all of these studies only scrape the surface of the thousands of metabolites estimated to comprise a metabolome. Hence, to an extent, this shift to targeted assays is a distraction (except for cases where

Table 2

Species-specific metabolome databases available for the model organisms prioritised for deeper investigation by the Model Organism Metabolomes task group [10°]

Latin name	Common name	e Database	Content
Escherichia coli	-	<i>E. coli</i> Metabolome Database (ECMDB) http://ecmdb.ca/	3755 small molecules from textbooks, scientific journals, metabolic reconstructions and electronic databases
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Yeast	Yeast Metabolome Database (YMDB) http://www.ymdb.ca/	16 042 small molecules from textbooks, scientific journals, metabolic reconstructions and electronic databases
Caenorhabditis elegans	Nematode	Small Molecule Identifiers (SMIDs) http://smid-db.org/	ca. 180 experimentally identified metabolites
Daphnia magna	Water flea	None currently	-
Drosophila melanogaster	Fruit fly	None currently	-
Danio rerio	Zebrafish	None currently	-
Mus musculus	Mouse	Mouse Multiple tissue Metabolome DataBase (MMMDB) http://mmmdb.iab.keio.ac.jp/	Contains <i>ca.</i> 200 known metabolites per tissue and many unknown peaks
Arabidopsis thaliana	Thale cress	AraCyc http://www.plantcyc.org/databases/aracyc/14.0	Metabolic pathway reconstruction and experimental data, contains 2802 compounds
Medicago truncatula	Barrel medic	MedicCyc 2.0 http://mediccyc.noble.org/	Metabolic pathway reconstruction, contains >400 pathways with related genes, enzymes and metabolites
Oryza sativa	Rice	OryzaCyc 4.0 http://www.plantcyc.org/databases/oryzacyc/4.0	Metabolic pathway reconstruction, contains
Solanum lycopersicum	Tomato	TomatoCyc 2.0 http://www.plantcyc.org/databases/tomatocyc/2.0	Metabolic pathway reconstruction, contains 2550 compounds

the targets are already known) from solving the real challenge of metabolite identification and understanding biology in greater detail utilising non-targeted metabolomics.

So what is the current status of non-targeted metabolomics for fully characterising metabolomes? Both gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) methods continue to be developed, including multidimensional chromatography and the application of multiple columns for the separation of different classes of metabolites. For example, a 'broad spectrum' GC–MS method has been developed to measure non-volatile

Figure 1



Schematic workflow for the deep experimental characterisation of the metabolomes of model organisms. The creation of such knowledge in openaccess metabolome databases would greatly accelerate the study of metabolism in an evolutionary context, that is *phylometabolomics*.

metabolites in tropical fruits [27]; a total of 92 peaks were detected of which the authors identified only 45. Utilising a comprehensive GCxGC approach, coupled with headspace solid phase microextraction (HS SPME) and a time of flight (ToF) MS, Alves et al. reported the identification of 257 volatile metabolites from S. cerevisiae distributed over more than a dozen chemical families [28]. For a recent review of advanced multi-dimensional separations in mass spectrometry, see Ref. [29]. The inherent requirements of GC-MS for the thermal stability and volatility of the analytes, or derivatives thereof, means that, alone, this technique is unable to facilitate comprehensive metabolome annotation. Instead, the majority of non-targeted metabolomics studies continue to employ LC, and there is an increasing trend towards the application of several column types in a given study; for example Tufi et al. not only used two LC columns (C₁₈ and HILIC) but also GC-MS to study a freshwater snail Lymnaea stagnalis [30[•]]. This more comprehensive analytical approach was applied specifically to obtain a broader picture of the hydrophilic and lipophilic metabolome.

The application of multiple analytical platforms, as applied to L. stagnalis [30[•]] is an emerging trend in metabolomics. Geier *et al.* [31[•]] applied three different platforms to analyse C. elegans, including 1D ¹H NMR spectroscopy, GC/MS and UPLC-MS. The deeper integration of NMR and MS data in automated metabolite identification pipelines is an emerging topic [32]. An even broader range of metabolites were measured in 31 varieties of rice using HS SPME GC-MS, primary polar metabolites by GC-ToF-MS, both polar and semi-polar compounds by ¹H NMR and direct infusion MS, and multi-elemental analysis using ICP-MS [33**]. While more time intensive and costly, deeper characterisation of organismal metabolomes currently requires such a multi-platform strategy. Fortunately, as long as the metabolic knowledge is captured in relevant open access databases, such as MetaboLights [34], then this strategy only needs to be conducted once. A related project to deeply annotate the metabolome of the NIH model species Daphnia magna (waterflea) is underway in the primary authors' laboratory, applying multiple extraction methods, LC-MS/MS and MSⁿ methods, GC-Orbitrap MS, and 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy. Progress has also been reported in the integration of several platforms to enable metabolite identification by UHPLC-SPE-NMR-MS [35]. In addition, approaches such as ion mobility mass spectrometry [36] and ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry [37] hold considerable promise for contributing to metabolome annotation projects.

Another methodology that has considerable potential for aiding metabolite identification is stable isotope labelling, for example to probe the sulfur metabolome of *Arabidopsis* [38,39]. Isotopic ratio outlier analysis (IROA) is another isotope labelling technology that is designed to generate specific ¹³C isotopic patterns in metabolites for both high resolution LC-MS and GC-MS [17^{••},40-43]. Unlike other stable isotope labelling methods, rather than utilising natural abundance and 98-99% enrichment for the control and experimental populations, respectively [44–48]. IROA uses an enrichment level of 95% and 5% ¹³C. This leads to more observable isotopic peaks in the mass spectra in predictable and diagnostic patterns. Recent studies have demonstrated the promise of IROA for metabolic phenotyping in model organisms, including for prototrophic S. cerevisiae [17^{••},49] and C. elegans [43]; the latter was grown in liquid culture with 13 C-labeled *E*. coli that was first grown in M9 minimal media on either 95% or 5% ¹³C glucose, creating labelled C. elegans. These 95% ¹³C and 5% ¹³C glucose labelling experiments, when extracted and combined, show distinctive IROA patterns: ¹²C-derived molecules, ¹³C-derived molecules, artifacts (lack IROA patterns) and derivatives of exogenously applied compounds. Only metabolites of biological origin will have mirrored ¹²C and ¹³C metabolite peaks at the same retention time. Furthermore, the abundance of the heavy isotopologues in the 5% 13 C samples (M + 1, M + 2, etc. the ¹²C envelope) or light isotopologues in the 95% ¹³C samples $(M - 1, M - 2, etc. the {}^{13}C$ envelope), follows the binomial distribution for ¹³C in metabolite products based on the initial substrate enrichment. The mass difference between the ¹²C monoisotopic peak and the ¹³C monoisotopic peak indicates the number of carbons in the metabolite. Uniquely, the accurate mass IROA-GC/MS protocol developed, using both chemical ionization (CI) and electron ionization (EI), extends the information acquired from the isotopic peak patterns for molecular formulae generation. The process has been formulated as an algorithm, in which the numbers of carbons, methoximations and silvlations are used as search constraints, and an accurate mass CI IROA library with retention times based on the Fiehn protocol has been published [17^{••}]. The combination of CI and EI IROA protocols affords a metabolite identification procedure that can identify co-eluting metabolites [17^{••}]. In summary, non-targeted stable isotope metabolite profiling using IROA reduces the complexity for global stable isotope metabolite identification [50], and can extend metabolome analysis by identifying 'known unknowns' with an IROA mirror pattern, and generating the number of carbons in the unknown metabolite.

Conclusions

The hugely beneficial impact of the Human Genome Project on 21st century science is undeniable [51,52]. No such large-scale experimental characterisations of organism metabolomes have been reported and many of the studies published to date describe only a fraction of the estimated size of a metabolome. That said, efforts are now underway from text mining to novel experimental approaches that offer to accelerate this process, and coordination of some of these activities is being achieved through the Metabolomics Society's task group. Activity in metabolome identification is therefore expected to increase over the next couple of years with significant returns on this investment within 5–10 years. Looking further ahead, challenges will include developing analytical strategies to quantify several thousand known metabolites (simultaneously) as well as the spatial localisation of these compounds, for example using MS imaging [53,54]. Ultimately, a better understanding of the parts list is going to facilitate growth of several fields, including phylometabolomics, the study of organism metabolic pathways in the context of evolution.

Acknowledgements

This work was in part supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NE/J017442/1), Thermo Fisher Scientific (CASE award) and by an Einstein-Mount Sinai Diabetes Research Center Grant (P60DK020541).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Oliver SG, Winson MK, Kell DB, Baganz F: Systematic functional analysis of the yeast genome. *Trends Biotechnol* 1998, 16:373-378.
- Hartmann T: From waste products to ecochemicals: fifty years research of plant secondary metabolism. *Phytochemistry* 2007, 68:2831-2846.
- 3. Lei ZT, Huhman DV, Sumner LW: Mass spectrometry strategies in metabolomics. *J Biol Chem* 2011, **286**:25435-25442.
- Sumner LW, Amberg A, Barrett D, Beale MH, Beger R, Daykin CA, Fan TWM, Fiehn O, Goodacre R, Griffin JL et al.: Proposed minimum reporting standards for chemical analysis. *Metabolomics* 2007, 3:211-221.
- Wishart DS: Advances in metabolite identification. Bioanalysis 2011, 3:1769-1782.
- Dunn WB, Erban A, Weber RJM, Creek DJ, Brown M, Breitling R, Hankemeier T, Goodacre R, Neumann S, Kopka J et al.: Mass appeal: metabolite identification in mass spectrometryfocused untargeted metabolomics. *Metabolomics* 2013, 9:S44-S66.
- Dunn WB, Broadhurst D, Begley P, Zelena E, Francis-McIntyre S, Anderson N, Brown M, Knowles JD, Halsall A, Haselden JN et al.: Procedures for large-scale metabolic profiling of serum and plasma using gas chromatography and liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Nat Protoc 2011, 6:1060-1083.
- Southam Andrew D, Weber Ralf JM, Engel Jasper, Jones Martin R, Viant Mark R: A complete workflow for high-resolution spectral-stitching nanoelectrospray direct-infusion massspectrometry-based metabolomics and lipidomics. *Nature Protocols* 2016, 12:255-273 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nprot.2016.156 Published online 12 January 2017.
- Gillard J, Frenkel J, Devos V, Sabbe K, Paul C, Rempt M, Inze D, Pohnert G, Vuylsteke M, Vyverman W: Metabolomics enables the structure elucidation of a diatom sex pheromone. Angew Chem Int Ed 2013, 52:854-857.
- Edison AS, Hall RD, Junot C, Karp PD, Kurland IJ, Mistrik R,
 Reed LK, Saito K, Salek RM, Steinbeck C et al.: The time is right to focus on model organism metabolomes. *Metabolites* 2016, 6.

Describes the launch of the first internationally coordinated action to accelerate research into the deep characterisation of model organism metabolomes. A team of scientists have laid down the challenge "to identify and map all 'system' metabolites onto metabolic pathways, to develop quantitative metabolic models for model organisms, and to relate

organism metabolic pathways within the context of evolutionary metabolomics."

- 11. Fontana L, Partridge L: Promoting health and longevity through diet: from model organisms to humans. *Cell* 2015, **161**:106-118.
- Wishart DS, Tzur D, Knox C, Eisner R, Guo AC, Young N, Cheng D, Jewell K, Arndt D, Sawhney S *et al.*: HMDB: the human metabolome database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007, 35:D521-D526.
- Jewison T, Knox C, Neveu V, Djoumbou Y, Guo AC, Lee J, Liu P, Mandal R, Krishnamurthy R, Sinelnikov I et al.: YMDB: the yeast metabolome database. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40:D815-D820.
- Guo AC, Jewison T, Wilson M, Liu YF, Knox C, Djoumbou Y, Lo P, Mandal R, Krishnamurthy R, Wishart DS: ECMDB: the *E. coli* metabolome database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013, 41:D625-D630.
- Kim T, Dreher K, Nilo-Poyanco R, Lee I, Fiehn O, Lange BM, Nikolau BJ, Sumner L, Welti R, Wurtele ES et al.: Patterns of metabolite changes identified from large-scale gene perturbations in Arabidopsis using a genome-scale metabolic network. Plant Physiol 2015, 167:U1685-U1890.
- 16. Shen Y, Fatemeh T, Tang LH, Cai ZW: Quantitative metabolic network profiling of *Escherichia coli*: an overview of analytical methods for measurement of intracellular metabolites. *TRAC Trends Anal Chem* 2016, **75**:141-150.
- 17. Qiu Y, Moir R, Willis I, Beecher C, Tsai YH, Garrett TJ, Yost RA,
- Kurland IJ: Isotopic ratio outlier analysis of the S. cerevisiae metabolome using accurate mass gas chromatography/timeof-flight mass spectrometry: a new method for discovery. Anal Chem 2016, 88:2747-2754.

First report using IROA technology in combination with accurate mass GC/TOF-MS, used to examine the *S. cerevisiae* metabolome. An accurate mass CI IROA library containing 126 metabolites with retention times based on the Fiehn protocol was established. The combination of CI and EI IROA protocols identifies co-eluting metabolites and differentiates metabolite spectra from artifacts, and "known unknown" metabolites were easily separated, with the number of carbons identified from the IROA patterns.

- Stupp GS, Clendinen CS, Ajredini R, Szewc MA, Garret T, Menger RF, Yost RA, Beecher C, Edison AS: Isotopic ratio outlier analysis global metabolomics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Anal Chem* 2013, 85:11858-11865.
- Nobata C, Dobson PD, Iqbal SA, Mendes P, Tsujii J, Kell DB, Ananiadou S: Mining metabolites: extracting the yeast metabolome from the literature. *Metabolomics* 2011, 7:94-101.
- Chintapalli VR, Al Bratty M, Korzekwa D, Watson DG, Dow JAT: Mapping an atlas of tissue-specific *Drosophila melanogaster* metabolomes by high resolution mass spectrometry. *PLoS One* 2013, 8.
- Mikheyev AS, Tin MMY: A first look at the Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencer. Mol Ecol Resour 2014, 14:1097-1102.
- Cao ZY, Sun LH, Mou RX, Zhang LP, Lin XY, Zhu ZW, Chen MX: Profiling of phytohormones and their major metabolites in rice using binary solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 2016, 1451:67-74.
- 23. Hu CY, Tohge T, Chan SA, Song Y, Rao J, Cui B, Lin H, Wang L, Fernie AR, Zhang DB *et al.*: Identification of conserved and diverse metabolic shifts during rice grain development. *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**.
- 24. Schafer M, Brutting C, Baldwin IT, Kallenbach M: High-
- throughput quantification of more than 100 primary- and secondary-metabolites, and phytohormones by a single solidphase extraction based sample preparation with analysis by UHPLC-HESI-MS/MS. Plant Methods 2016, 12.

Excellent demonstration of the quantitatitive capabilities of targeted metabolite analysis, here assaying more than 100 primary and secondary metabolites simultaneously following a single extraction of plant material. Such an approach is highly recommended when the metabolite 'targets' are already known, and hence non-targeted metabolomics is not required.

25. Chen JH, Hou W, Han B, Liu GH, Gong J, Li YM, Zhong DM, Liao QF, Xie ZY: Target-based metabolomics for the quantitative measurement of 37 pathway metabolites in rat brain and serum using hydrophilic interaction ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Bioanal Chem 2016, 408:2527-2542.

- Jain M. Nilsson R. Sharma S. Madhusudhan N. Kitami T. Souza AL. 26. Kafri R, Kirschner MW, Clish CB, Mootha VK: Metabolite profiling identifies a key role for glycine in rapid cancer cell proliferation. Science 2012, 336:1040-1044.
- 27. Khakimov B, Mongi RJ, Sorensen KM, Ndabikunze BK, Chove BE, Engelsen SB: A comprehensive and comparative GC-MS metabolomics study of non-volatiles in Tanzanian grown mango, pineapple, jackfruit, baobab and tamarind fruits. Food Chem 2016, 213:691-699.
- Alves Z, Melo A, Figueiredo AR, Coimbra MA, Gomes AC, 28. Rocha SM: Exploring the Saccharomyces cerevisiae volatile metabolome: indigenous versus commercial strains. PLoS One 2015, 10.
- May JC, McLean JA: Advanced multidimensional separations 29. in mass spectrometry: navigating the big data deluge. In Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry, vol. 9. Edited by Bohn PW, Pemberton JE. 2016:387-409.
- 30. Tufi S, Lamoree MH, De Boer J, Leonards PEG: Cross-platform metabolic profiling: application to the aquatic model organism

Lymnaea stagnalis. Anal Bioanal Chem 2015, 407:1901-1912 Good example of the application of several separation technologies-both GC and LC - with the latter using two different column chemistries (C18 and HILIC). This approach was used to increased the breadth of experimental measurement of the snail metabolome.

- 31. Geier FM, Want EJ, Leroi AM, Bundy JG: Cross-platform
- comparison of Caenorhabditis elegans tissue extraction strategies for comprehensive metabolome coverage. Anal Chem 2011, 83:3730-3736.

Good example of the application of multiple analytical platforms to enable a deeper characterisation of this important model organism's metabolome. Multiple tissue extraction strategies were examined and an 80% methanol solution was determined to be the best.

- Bingol K. Bruschweiler R: Knowns and unknowns in 32. metabolomics identified by multidimensional NMR and hybrid MS/NMR methods. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2016, 43:17-24
- Mumm R, Hageman JA, Calingacion MN, de Vos RCH, Jonker HH,
 Erban A, Kopka J, Hansen TH, Laursen KH, Schjoerring JK et al.: Multi-platform metabolomics analyses of a broad collection of fragrant and non-fragrant rice varieties reveals the high complexity of grain quality characteristics. Metabolomics 2016. 12.

An exemplary paper, demonstrating through hierarchical cluster analysis, both the complementarity and distinct merits of multiple analytical platforms in the study of rice variant metabolome constituents. Importantly, data is interrogated in a way that aligns well with the vision of the MOM task group, i.e. phylometabolomic comparisons, for a crop species of fundamental societal importance.

- Haug K, Salek RM, Conesa P, Hastings J, de Matos P, Rijnbeek M, 34. Mahendraker T, Williams M, Neumann S, Rocca-Serra P et al.: MetaboLights-an open-access general-purpose repository for metabolomics studies and associated meta-data. Nucleic Acids Res 2013, 41:D781-D786.
- 35. Gu WY, Li N, Leung ELH, Zhou H, Yao XJ, Liu L, Wu JL: Rapid identification of new minor chemical constituents from Smilacis Glabrae Rhizoma by combined use of UHPLC-Q-TOF-MS, preparative HPLC and UHPLC-SPE-NMR-MS techniques. Phytochem Anal 2015, 26:428-435.
- 36. May JC, Branum RLG, McLean JA: Targeting the untargeted in molecular phenomics with structurally-selective ion mobility-mass spectrometry. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2016, 39:192-197.
- 37. Romano S, Dittmar T, Bondarev V, Weber RJM, Viant MR, Schulz-Vogt HN: Exo-metabolome of Pseudovibrio sp. FO-BEG1 analyzed by ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry and the effect of phosphate limitation. PLoS One 2014, 9.

- 38. Glaser K, Kanawati B, Kubo T, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Grill E: Exploring the Arabidopsis sulfur metabolome. Plant J 2014, 77:31-45
- 39. Nakabayashi R, Tsugawa H, Mori T, Saito K: Automation of chemical assignment for identifying molecular formula of S-containing metabolites by combining metabolomics and chemoinformatics with 34S labeling. Metabolomics 2016, 12:168 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11306-016-1115-5.
- Clendinen CS, Stupp GS, Ajredini R, Lee-McMullen B, Beecher C, Edison AS: An overview of methods using ¹³C for improved compound identification in metabolomics and natural products. Event Plant Sci 2015, 6:611 products. Front Plant Sci 2015, 6:611.
- 41. de Jong FA, Beecher C: Addressing the current bottlenecks of metabolomics: isotopic ratio outlier analysis, an isotopiclabeling technique for accurate biochemical profiling. Bioanalysis 2012, 4:2303-2314.
- 42. Edison AS, Clendinen CS, Ajredini R, Beecher C, Ponce FV, Stupp GS: Metabolomics and natural-products strategies to study chemical ecology in nematodes. Integr Comp Biol 2015, 55:478-485.
- 43. Stupp GS, Clendinen CS, Ajredini R, Szewc MA, Garrett T, Menger RF, Yost RA, Beecher C, Edison AS: Isotopic ratio outlier analysis global metabolomics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Anal Chem 2013, 85:11858-11865.
- 44. Giavalisco P, Kohl K, Hummel J, Seiwert B, Willmitzer L: ³C isotope-labeled metabolomes allowing for improved compound annotation and relative quantification in liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry-based metabolomic research. Anal Chem 2009, 81:6546-6551.
- Wu L, Mashego MR, van Dam JC, Proell AM, Vinke JL, Ras C, van 45. Winden WA, van Gulik WM, Heijnen JJ: Quantitative analysis of the microbial metabolome by isotope dilution mass spectrometry using uniformly ¹³C-labeled cell extracts as internal standards. *Anal Biochem* 2005, **336**:164-171.
- 46. Bennett BD, Yuan J, Kimball EH, Rabinowitz JD: Absolute quantitation of intracellular metabolite concentrations by an isotope ratio-based approach. Nat Protoc 2008, 3:1299-1311.
- 47. Weindl D, Wegner A, Jager C, Hiller K: Isotopologue ratio normalization for non-targeted metabolomics. J Chromatogr A 2015, 1389:112-119.
- 48. Blank LM, Desphande RR, Schmid A, Hayen H: Analysis of carbon and nitrogen co-metabolism in yeast by ultrahigh-resolution mass spectrometry applying ¹³C- and ¹⁵N-labeled substrates simultaneously. Anal Bioanal Chem 2012, **403**:2291-2305
- VanderSluis B, Hess DC, Pesyna C, Krumholz EW, Syed T, Szappanos B, Nislow C, Papp B, Troyanskaya OG, Myers CL et al.: Broad metabolic sensitivity profiling of a prototrophic yeast deletion collection. Genome Biol 2014, 15:R64.
- 50. Weindl D, Wegner A, Hiller K: Metabolome-wide analysis of stable isotope labeling-is it worth the effort? Front Physiol 2015 6:344
- Lander ES, Int Human Genome Sequencing C, Linton LM, Birren B, 51. Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, Devon K, Dewar K, Doyle M et al.: Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature 2001. 409:860-921.
- 52. Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, Sutton GG, Smith HO, Yandell M, Evans CA, Holt RA et al.: The sequence of the human genome. Science 2001, 291:1304-1351.
- Boughton BA, Thinagaran D, Sarabia D, Bacic A, Roessner U: Mass spectrometry imaging for plant biology: a review. Phytochem Rev 2016, 15:445-488.
- 54. Palmer A, Trede D, Alexandrov T: Metabolomics2016, 12:107 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11306-016-1047-0.