

The time is right to focus on model organism metabolomes

Edison, Arthur S.; Hall, Robert D.; Junot, Christophe; Karp, Peter D.; Kurland, Irwin J.; Mistrik, Robert; Reed, Laura K.; Saito, Kazuki; Salek, Reza M.; Steinbeck, Christoph; Sumner, Lloyd W.; Viant, Mark R.

DOI:
[10.3390/metabo6010008](https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo6010008)

License:
Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):
Edison, AS, Hall, RD, Junot, C, Karp, PD, Kurland, IJ, Mistrik, R, Reed, LK, Saito, K, Salek, RM, Steinbeck, C, Sumner, LW & Viant, MR 2016, 'The time is right to focus on model organism metabolomes', *Metabolites*, vol. 6, no. 1, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo6010008>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:
Checking for eligibility: 17/01/2017

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Communication

The Time Is Right to Focus on Model Organism Metabolomes

Arthur S. Edison ¹, Robert D. Hall ², Christophe Junot ³, Peter D. Karp ⁴, Irwin J. Kurland ⁵, Robert Mistrik ⁶, Laura K. Reed ⁷, Kazuki Saito ⁸, Reza M. Salek ⁹, Christoph Steinbeck ⁹, Lloyd W. Sumner ¹⁰ and Mark R. Viant ^{11,*}

¹ Departments of Genetics and Biochemistry, Complex Carbohydrate Research Center and Institute of Bioinformatics, University of Georgia, 315 Riverbend Road, Athens, GA 30602, USA; aedison@uga.edu

² Wageningen University & Research Centre, PO Box 16, 6700AA Wageningen, The Netherlands; robert.hall@wur.nl

³ CEA, iBiTec-S, Service de Pharmacologie et d'Immunoanalyse, Laboratoire d'Etude du Métabolisme des Médicaments, MetaboHUB-Paris, CEA Saclay, Building 136, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France; christophe.junot@cea.fr

⁴ Bioinformatics Research Group, SRI International, 333 Ravenswood Avenue AE206, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA; pkarp@ai.sri.com

⁵ Albert Einstein College of Medicine, 1301 Morris Park Avenue, Bronx, NY 10461, USA; irwin.kurland@einstein.yu.edu

⁶ HighChem LLC, Leskova 11, 81105 Bratislava, Slovakia; robert.mistrik@highchem.com

⁷ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alabama, 300 Hackberry Lane, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA; lreed1@ua.edu

⁸ RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Yokohama 230-0045; Chiba University, Chiba 260-8675, Japan; kazuki.saito@riken.jp

⁹ European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, CB10 1SD, UK; reza.salek@ebi.ac.uk (R.M.S.); steinbeck@ebi.ac.uk (C.S.)

¹⁰ University of Missouri, Department of Biochemistry, Columbia, MO 65211, USA; sumnerlw@missouri.edu

¹¹ School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

* Correspondence: m.viant@bham.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-(0)121-414-2219; Fax: +44-(0)121-414-5925

Academic Editor: Peter Meikle

Received: 18 December 2015; Accepted: 2 February 2016; Published: 15 February 2016

Abstract: Model organisms are an essential component of biological and biomedical research that can be used to study specific biological processes. These organisms are in part selected for facile experimental study. However, just as importantly, intensive study of a small number of model organisms yields important synergies as discoveries in one area of science for a given organism shed light on biological processes in other areas, even for other organisms. Furthermore, the extensive knowledge bases compiled for each model organism enable systems-level understandings of these species, which enhance the overall biological and biomedical knowledge for all organisms, including humans. Building upon extensive genomics research, we argue that the time is now right to focus intensively on model organism metabolomes. We propose a grand challenge for metabolomics studies of model organisms: to identify and map all metabolites onto metabolic pathways, to develop quantitative metabolic models for model organisms, and to relate organism metabolic pathways within the context of evolutionary metabolomics, *i.e.*, phylometabolomics. These efforts should focus on a series of established model organisms in microbial, animal and plant research.

Keywords: *Escherichia coli*; *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; *Caenorhabditis elegans*; *Danio rerio*; *Daphnia magna*; *Drosophila melanogaster*; *Mus musculus*; *Arabidopsis thaliana*; *Oryza sativa*; *Solanum lycopersicum*

1. The Importance of Model Organisms

Model organisms are an essential component of biological, biomedical and environmental research that can be used to study specific biological processes. They represent carefully selected biosystems that are relatively simple to manipulate, are relatively inexpensive, and consequently are widely available and accessible to researchers. These biosystems often have short generation times and are easy to propagate. Model organisms can include vertebrate and invertebrate animals, plants and microbes. Intensive studies of a moderate number of key organisms yield research efficiencies, and is leading to systems-level understandings of these organisms. Furthermore, knowledge gleaned for model organisms can be transferred to related organisms. The most cited organisms within Google scholar comprise *Homo sapiens*, *Mus musculus*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Escherichia coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Drosophila melanogaster*. Five of these non-human species form a subset of the 13 model organisms listed by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH).

Much of what we know about biological processes, whether they be involved in human disease, pharmacology, toxicology, plant physiology, evolution, or ecology, has been learnt through basic research into model organisms. For example, major insights into developmental biology have been gained from research on fruit flies (in particular *D. melanogaster*). It is an ideal species for this purpose as it is easy to maintain, small, breeds rapidly, and lays many eggs. In addition, *D. melanogaster* has many characterized visible mutations, only four chromosomes and a well-annotated genome sequence. These resources have led to its rapid establishment as one of the most widely used model organisms with over 91,000 references catalogued in PubMed. *C. elegans*, a free-living transparent nematode, has also become an excellent model for developmental biology. Horvitz and Sulston followed the fate of all cell divisions from a fertilized egg to an adult hermaphrodite [1], enhancing our understanding of normal development and leading to the discovery of apoptosis. Increasingly this species is being used as a model organism in terrestrial toxicology. Another invertebrate, the water flea *Daphnia*, is the most recently listed NIH model organism. It has long been an established sentinel species in freshwater ecology and regulatory toxicology. *Daphnia*'s listing by the NIH followed from the discovery that its genome is highly responsive to environmental stresses [2], and hence can serve as a model for both human-environment interactions as well as in freshwater toxicology. Mouse models are of course widely used in biomedical research, with €550 M European Commission funding invested into research projects using this model between 1998 and 2010 [3]. Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) represent another important vertebrate model that shares a high degree of genetic and organ system homology to humans. Advantages for the use of zebrafish, in comparison to mice, include the ability to house zebrafish in more natural conditions than is possible to simulate for mammals, ease of genetic manipulation (e.g., through absorption of chemical mutagens added to their water), much higher fecundity, rapid growth and development, and transparency through early adulthood allowing the use of imaging modalities for metabolic and phenotypic assessments. This species has wide-ranging applications as a model from biomedical to environmental research. Within the plant kingdom *A. thaliana*, a member of the mustard (*Brassicaceae*) family, is widely used as a model organism in plant biology, including plant-pathogen interactions, a topic of wide-ranging importance in times of concern about feeding a growing population on the planet.

While seemingly disparate, research into bacteria, yeast, insects, worms, fish, rodents and plants has shown that the core biochemical operating principles have been conserved across all living organisms. It is this very fact that justifies how findings derived from non-mammalian animals, for example, can shed light on biological processes in humans. For the specific case of metabolic biochemistry, primary metabolites have traditionally been defined as those directly involved in conserved processes necessary for life such as energy generation, growth, development and reproduction, and hence are typically present across many taxa. In contrast, secondary metabolites have traditionally been defined as not being directly involved in conserved processes and instead have a role(s) in more specialized metabolism. Secondary metabolites are vastly more chemically diverse and occur widely in plants, fungi and microbes and are of huge importance to many industries

(e.g., the pharmaceutical, nutraceutical and agrochemical sectors). A systematic and comparative study of the metabolism of several model organisms will help us to better understand universally conserved, as well as more specialized pathways, which ultimately should lead to a deeper understanding of metabolites beyond their simple categorization into “primary” and “secondary”.

2. Growth of ‘Omics Research into Model Organisms

The sequencing of organism genomes, exemplified by the Human Genome Project that was launched in 1990, represents one of the greatest endeavors in the history of science. This project and associated technologies helped to drive the sequencing of many other organisms and consequently the continued growth of model organism research, with scientific communities further expanding around key species as their genomes were sequenced. For example, considering the model organisms introduced above, *A. thaliana* was the first plant genome to be sequenced in 2000 [4] and there is now a vast array of resources and many are outlined in the Arabidopsis Information Resource [5]. The draft mouse genome was published shortly after the human genome in 2002 [6]. The sequencing of *Daphnia pulex* was the first of any crustacean, revealing that it contained many more genes than the human genome [2]. However, these activities have not solely been driven by the sequencing of the human genome. As noted by Wilson and others, the *C. elegans* genome-sequencing project provided an important foundation upon which to develop the Human Genome Project [7]. Furthermore, the ENCODE project sponsored by National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) [8] to accelerate the annotation of functional non-coding portions of the human genome was facilitated and complemented by the modENCODE project that focused on similar genomic regions in *C. elegans* and *D. melanogaster* [9]. By comparison to the human reference genome, approximately 70% of human genes have at least one zebrafish ortholog [10]. The importance of these model organisms, and the growth of genomics research focused on these species, cannot therefore be overstated.

Beyond genomics, the Human Proteome Organization (HUPO) has launched an initiative on Model Organism Proteomes (iMOP). The overarching aim of iMOP is to create a global network of experimental and bioinformatics groups interested in model organism proteomes, to standardize the protocols and standards for model organism proteome characterization, and to make optimum use of the proteomics data once acquired [11]. Collectively, these large-scale data generation experiments have fed the development of new computational techniques for genome-scale metabolic modeling. From annotated genomes we can now computationally infer the reaction network of an organism [11], which in turn can be used to develop a steady-state metabolic model for that organism [12]. Genome-scale metabolic models have now been developed for the model organisms *E. coli* [13,14] and *S. cerevisiae* [15], as well as for humans [16]. These models can accurately predict gene knock-out phenotypes, growth rates under different nutrient conditions, and disease phenotypes.

The field of metabolomics has truly come of age and is making a significant impact in a wide range of fields from biomedicine and pharmacology to agriculture [17–20]. Several metabolomics studies have revealed an unexpectedly large uncharted landscape of unknown metabolites in the organisms studied to date [21–23]. These metabolites, and the biochemical pathways that produce them, represent a huge opportunity for new biological discovery. It was in response to this challenge that the international Metabolomics Society launched a Metabolite Identification task group in 2013 [24], with goals to develop robust reporting standards for metabolite identification and to advertise best-practice to the metabolomics community using a range of analytical approaches [25–28]. The challenge of identifying all metabolites in all sample types is currently—and into the foreseeable future—not achievable. This paucity of peak identification is often, and justifiably, highlighted as one of the critical weaknesses of metabolomics science by those outside of the metabolomics community. Hence it is vital that this challenge is confronted immediately, drawing upon broad international expertise.

3. Launch of the Model Organism Metabolomes Task Group

To leverage both the international studies of model organisms and the rapidly growing field of metabolomics, as well as to add momentum to more general efforts to identify metabolites, we propose that the community should focus and extend research activities specifically on the identification of Model Organism Metabolomes (MOMs). In June 2015, the authors of this paper met in San Francisco and launched the Metabolomics Society's Model Organism Metabolomes (MOM) task group, and have subsequently developed a grand challenge as well as multiple aims for the metabolomics and related communities. The grand challenge is to *identify and map all metabolites onto metabolic pathways, to develop quantitative metabolic models for many model organisms (Table 1), and to relate organism metabolic pathways within the context of evolutionary metabolomics, i.e., phylometabolomics*. More specifically, we define *phylometabolomics* as the comparative analysis of the evolution of metabolism and metabolic networks in a phylogenetic context. Such an approach is dependent upon our ability to identify the metabolomes of multiple species and would enable us to study, for example, the roles of ancestral constraint, common ancestry and the evolutionary convergence of metabolism. In turn, such knowledge has practical applications, for example to predict human or environmental toxicity through the discovery of evolutionarily conserved molecular mechanisms of toxic responses, or to highlight metabolic pathway characteristics of species longevity [29]. We recommend that these efforts to identify and map all metabolic pathways should focus initially on a series of established model organisms in microbial, animal and plant research (Table 1). Furthermore, these efforts will exploit established tools from all of the model organisms to provide novel biological and bioinformatic approaches for metabolite identification through genetic manipulations, including gene knockouts, transgenics, mutations, and large panels of recombinant inbred lines. These genetic manipulations are not ethically possible in humans but will provide information that can be applied to humans and human disease.

Table 1. Prioritized list of model organisms that the new MOM task group recommend for deep investigations of their metabolomes.

Kingdom	Latin Name	Common Name
Bacteria	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-
Fungi	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	yeast
Animal (invertebrate)	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>	nematode
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	water flea
	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> *	fruit fly
Animal (vertebrate)	<i>Danio rerio</i>	zebrafish
	<i>Mus musculus</i>	mouse
Plant	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> **	thale cress
	<i>Medicago truncatula</i>	barrel medic, model legume
	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	rice
	<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	tomato

* International Drosophila Metabolomics Curation Consortium [30]; ** Metabolomics subcommittee (chaired by Kazuki Saito) within the Multinational Arabidopsis Steering Committee [31].

The aims of the Model Organism Metabolomes task group are:

- To integrate disparate model organism-focused research groups into a model organism metabolomes community, to promote interactions between these groups, and to stimulate joint initiatives.
- To share, discuss and coordinate analytical strategies to progress the annotation and identification of model organism metabolomes (including polar metabolites and lipids), linking with the efforts of the Metabolomics Society's Metabolite Identification task group, to generate best-practice

strategies. In addition, to determine quantifiable ranges of primary and secondary metabolite levels measured in the model organisms under differing conditions, e.g., diet and environment.

- To share, discuss and coordinate bioinformatic strategies, data standards and databases for the curation, analysis and visualization of model organism metabolomes, to generate/best-practice strategies.
- To catalyse the integration of metabolome data with the huge battery of existing information and knowledge of model organisms, thereby linking metabolic data with other data types including other 'omics data.
- To catalyze the integration of phylometabolomic data into a framework for understanding the evolution of metabolic networks and also how these respond to stressors, and to explore linkages between the phenotype(s) induced by these stressors.
- To support the development of quantitative metabolic models for model organisms.
- To promote the use of model organism metabolomes in systems biology education for training future 'omics scientists.

Meetings that include a focus on model organism metabolome identification include both past events (e.g., Genetics Society of America *Drosophila* conference 2014, Metabolomics Society conference 2015) as well as proposed future events (e.g., the Metabolomics Society's 2016 conference and beyond, Daphnia Genomics Consortium conferences, GSA Model Organism conferences). To initiate more immediate discussions within the community, the MOM task group has established a forum at <http://metabolomics-forum.com>. In addition, we recommend either the MetaboLights repository [32] based at EMBL-EBI [33] or Metabolomics Workbench [34,35] for data deposition related to model organism species. Furthermore, we recommend resources such as the BioCyc and Pathways Tools to build curated predicted metabolic networks from annotated genome sequences for model organisms [36,37]. We welcome the opportunity to dialogue with the international metabolomics community, to discuss how to address the aims above, and together to advance the annotation and identification of model organism metabolomes.

Acknowledgments: Irwin J. Kurland is supported by grant NIDDK P60DK020541 (Einstein DRTC). Arthur S. Edison is supported in part by the Georgia Research Alliance. Laura K. Reed is supported in part by grant NIGMS R01GM098856. Lloyd W. Sumner is supported by NSF RCN#1340058 and NSF-JST# 1139489. Mark R. Viant is supported in part by grants from the UK Natural Environment Research Council NE/J017442/1 and R8-H10-61.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed to the discussions leading to the grand challenge and aims presented here, as well as to the writing of the paper. Peter D. Karp, Irwin J. Kurland and Mark R. Viant are the co-chairs of the international Metabolomics Society's Model Organism Metabolomes (MOM) task group and along with Arthur S. Edison edited the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 2002. Available online: http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/medicine/laureates/2002/press.html (accessed on 9 December 2015).
2. Colbourne, J.K.; Pfrender, M.E.; Gilbert, D.; Thomas, W.K.; Tucker, A.; Oakley, T.H.; Tokishita, S.; Aerts, A.; Arnold, G.J.; Basu, M.K.; *et al.* The ecoresponsive genome of *Daphnia pulex*. *Science* **2011**, *331*, 555–561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Of Mice and Men—Are Mice Relevant Models for Human Disease? Available online: http://ec.europa.eu/research/health/pdf/summary-report-25082010_en.pdf (accessed on 9 December 2015).
4. Kaul, S.; Koo, H.L.; Jenkins, J.; Rizzo, M.; Rooney, T.; Tallon, L.J.; Feldblyum, T.; Nierman, W.; Benito, M.I.; Lin, X.Y.; *et al.* Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **2000**, *408*, 796–815.
5. The Arabidopsis Information Resource. Available online: <http://www.arabidopsis.org/> (accessed on 6 February 2016).

6. Waterston, R.H.; Lindblad-Toh, K.; Birney, E.; Rogers, J.; Abril, J.F.; Agarwal, P.; Agarwala, R.; Ainscough, R.; Alexandersson, M.; An, P.; *et al.* Initial sequencing and comparative analysis of the mouse genome. *Nature* **2002**, *420*, 520–562. [[PubMed](#)]
7. The *C. elegans* Genome Consortium; Wilson, R.K. How the worm was won: The *C. elegans* genome sequencing project. *Trends Genet.* **1999**, *15*, 51–58.
8. The Encode Project: Encyclopedia of DNA Elements. Available online: <http://www.genome.gov/encode/> (accessed on 9 December 2015).
9. The Modencode Project. Available online: <http://www.modencode.org> (accessed on 9 December 2015).
10. Howe, K.; Clark, M.D.; Torroja, C.F.; Torrance, J.; Berthelot, C.; Muffato, M.; Collins, J.E.; Humphray, S.; McLaren, K.; Matthews, L.; *et al.* The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. *Nature* **2013**, *496*, 498–503. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Jones, A.M.E.; Aebersold, R.; Ahrens, C.H.; Apweiler, R.; Baerenfaller, K.; Baker, M.; Bendixen, E.; Briggs, S.; Brownridge, P.; Brunner, E.; *et al.* The HUPO initiative on model organism proteomes, IMOP. *Proteomics* **2012**, *12*, 340–345. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Thiele, I.; Palsson, B.O. A protocol for generating a high-quality genome-scale metabolic reconstruction. *Nature Protoc.* **2010**, *5*, 93–121. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Orth, J.D.; Conrad, T.M.; Na, J.; Lerman, J.A.; Nam, H.; Feist, A.M.; Palsson, B.O. A comprehensive genome-scale reconstruction of *Escherichia coli* metabolism. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2011**. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Weaver, D.S.; Keseler, I.M.; Mackie, A.; Paulsen, I.T.; Karp, P.D. A genome-scale metabolic flux model of *Escherichia coli* k-12 derived from the EcoCyc database. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **2014**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Heavner, B.D.; Smallbone, K.; Price, N.D.; Walker, L.P. Version 6 of the consensus yeast metabolic network refines biochemical coverage and improves model performance. *J. Biol. Databases Curation* **2013**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Thiele, I.; Swainston, N.; Fleming, R.M.T.; Hoppe, A.; Sahoo, S.; Aurich, M.K.; Haraldsdottir, H.; Mo, M.L.; Rolfsson, O.; Stobbe, M.D.; *et al.* A community-driven global reconstruction of human metabolism. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *31*, 419–425. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Clayton, T.A.; Lindon, J.C.; Cloarec, O.; Antti, H.; Charuel, C.; Hanton, G.; Provost, J.P.; Le Net, J.L.; Baker, D.; Walley, R.J.; *et al.* Pharmaco-metabonomic phenotyping and personalized drug treatment. *Nature* **2006**, *440*, 1073–1077. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Schauer, N.; Semel, Y.; Roessner, U.; Gur, A.; Balbo, I.; Carrari, F.; Pleban, T.; Perez-Melis, A.; Bruedigam, C.; Kopka, J.; *et al.* Comprehensive metabolic profiling and phenotyping of interspecific introgression lines for tomato improvement. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2006**, *24*, 447–454. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Griffin, J.L.; Atherton, H.; Shockcor, J.; Atzori, L. Metabolomics as a tool for cardiac research. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **2011**, *8*, 630–643. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Weiss, R.H.; Kim, K. Metabolomics in the study of kidney diseases. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **2012**, *8*, 22–33. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Taylor, N.S.; Weber, R.J.M.; Southam, A.D.; Payne, T.G.; Hrydziuszko, O.; Arvanitis, T.N.; Viant, M.R. A new approach to toxicity testing in *Daphnia magna*: Application of high throughput ft-icr mass spectrometry metabolomics. *Metabolomics* **2009**, *5*, 44–58. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Geier, F.M.; Want, E.J.; Leroi, A.M.; Bundy, J.G. Cross-platform comparison of *Caenorhabditis elegans* tissue extraction strategies for comprehensive metabolome coverage. *Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *83*, 3730–3736. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Dunn, W.B.; Lin, W.C.; Broadhurst, D.; Begley, P.; Brown, M.; Zelena, E.; Vaughan, A.A.; Halsall, A.; Harding, N.; Knowles, J.D.; *et al.* Molecular phenotyping of a UK population: Defining the human serum metabolome. *Metabolomics* **2015**, *11*, 9–26. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Creek, D.J.; Dunn, W.B.; Fiehn, O.; Griffin, J.L.; Hall, R.D.; Lei, Z.; Mistrik, R.; Neumann, S.; Schymanski, E.L.; Sumner, L.W.; *et al.* Metabolite identification: Are you sure? And how do your peers gauge your confidence? *Metabolomics* **2014**, *10*, 350–353. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Moco, S.; Bino, R.J.; De Vos, R.C.H.; Vervoort, J. Metabolomics technologies and metabolite identification. *Trac-Trends Anal. Chem.* **2007**, *26*, 855–866. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Dunn, W.B.; Erban, A.; Weber, R.J.M.; Creek, D.J.; Brown, M.; Breitling, R.; Hankemeier, T.; Goodacre, R.; Neumann, S.; Kopka, J.; *et al.* Mass appeal: Metabolite identification in mass spectrometry-focused untargeted metabolomics. *Metabolomics* **2013**, *9*, S44–S66. [[CrossRef](#)]

27. Stupp, G.S.; Clendinen, C.S.; Ajredini, R.; Szewc, M.A.; Garret, T.; Menger, R.F.; Yost, R.A.; Beecher, C.; Edison, A.S. Isotopic ratio outlier analysis global metabolomics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Anal. Chem.* **2013**, *85*, 11858–11865. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Qiu, Y.P.; Moir, R.; Willis, I.M.; Beecher, C.; Tsai, Y.H.; Garrett, T.J.; Yost, R.A.; Kurland, I.J. Isotopic Ratio Outlier Analysis (IROA) of the *S. cerevisiae* metabolome using accurate mass GC-TOF/MS: A new method for discovery. *Anal. Chem.* **2016**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Ma, S.M.; Yim, S.H.; Lee, S.G.; Kim, E.B.; Lee, S.R.; Chang, K.T.; Buffenstein, R.; Lewis, K.N.; Park, T.J.; Miller, R.A.; *et al.* Organization of the mammalian metabolome according to organ function, lineage specialization, and longevity. *Cell Metab.* **2015**, *22*, 332–343. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. International Drosophila Metabolomics Curation Consortium. Available online: <http://flygxe.ua.edu/metabolomics.html> (accessed on 6 February 2016).
31. About MASC. Available online: https://www.arabidopsis.org/portals/masc/MASC_Info.jsp (accessed on 6 February 2016).
32. MetaboLights. Available online: <http://www.ebi.ac.uk/metabolights/> (accessed on 6 February 2016).
33. Haug, K.; Salek, R.M.; Conesa, P.; Hastings, J.; de Matos, P.; Rijnbeek, M.; Mahendrakar, T.; Williams, M.; Neumann, S.; Rocca-Serra, P.; *et al.* MetaboLights—An open-access general-purpose repository for metabolomics studies and associated meta-data. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **2013**, *41*, D781–D786. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Sud, M.; Fahy, E.; Cotter, D.; Azam, K.; Vadivelu, I.; Burant, C.; Edison, A.; Fiehn, O.; Higashi, R.; Nair, K.S.; *et al.* Metabolomics Workbench: An international repository for metabolomics data and metadata, metabolite standards, protocols, tutorials and training, and analysis tools. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **2016**, *44*, D463–D470. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Metabolomics Workbench. Available online: <http://www.metabolomicsworkbench.org/> (accessed on 6 February 2016).
36. Karp, P.D.; Latendresse, M.; Paley, S.M.; Krummenacker, M.; Ong, Q.D.; Billington, R.; Kothari, A.; Weaver, D.; Lee, T.J.; Subhraveti, P.; *et al.* Pathway tools version 19.0 update: Software for pathway/genome informatics and systems biology. *Brief. Bioinform.* **2015**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Caspi, R.; Billington, R.; Ferrer, L.; Foerster, H.; Fulcher, C.A.; Keseler, I.M.; Kothari, A.; Krummenacker, M.; Latendresse, M.; Mueller, L.A.; *et al.* The Metacyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the biocyc collection of pathway/genome databases. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **2016**, *44*, D471–D480. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).